

# HEAT KERNEL, LARGE-TIME BEHAVIOR, AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

SHU SHEN, YANLI SONG, AND XIANG TANG

ABSTRACT. Given a real reductive group  $G$ , the purpose of this paper is to show an asymptotic formula of the large-time behavior of the  $G$ -trace of the heat operator on the associated symmetric spaces. Together with Carmona's proof on Vogan's lambda map, our results provide a geometric counterpart of Vogan's minimal  $K$ -type theory.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	2
1. A geometric formula for $G$ -trace	4
1.1. Notation	5
1.2. Real reductive groups	5
1.3. Symmetric space	6
1.4. Heat semigroup and the $G$ -trace	7
1.5. Bismut's formula for $\mathrm{Tr}_G [\exp(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X})]$	7
1.6. Motivating example of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$	8
2. Root systems and related constructions	11
2.1. Maximal torus and root decompositions	11
2.2. Positive root systems	12
2.3. Subsets of $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$	14
2.4. Levi subalgebras and parabolic subalgebras	16
2.5. A subgroup of $K^1$	19
2.6. Langlands' combinatorial Lemma	20
2.7. Vogan's Lambda map	21
3. Statement of our main results	22
4. Applications of Theorem 3.7	24
4.1. Application to discrete series representations	24
4.2. Reduction to small representations	25
4.3. The $G$ -spectral measure of $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$	25
4.4. Novikov-Shubin type invariant	26
5. Large times behavior of the $L^2$ -trace	27
5.1. An application of Weyl's integral formula	28
5.2. The functional $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu, w)$	29
5.3. Intermediate results	32

---

Date: March 4, 2025.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58J20.

*Key words and phrases.* index theory,  $G$ -trace formula, lowest  $K$ -type, analysis on symmetric space.

5.4. Proof of Theorem 3.7	32
6. A functional $J_t$ and its asymptotic as $t \rightarrow \infty$	32
6.1. A functional $J_t$	33
6.2. Large time behavior of $J_t$	33
6.3. Study of the action $S_w$	36
6.4. Localisation of the problem	36
6.5. A rescaling on the normal coordinates	37
6.6. Proof of Theorem 5.11	40
6.7. Proof of Theorem 5.12	40
6.8. Proof of Theorem 5.13	41
6.9. Proof of Theorem 5.14	42
References	42

## INTRODUCTION

**0.1. Background.** Let  $G$  be a connected real reductive Lie group, and let  $K \subset G$  be a maximal compact subgroup. The study of index theory on the symmetric space  $X = G/K$  is deeply connected to the tempered representation theory of  $G$  (see, e.g., [2, 3, 16]).

Let  $E$  be a Hermitian vector space, and let  $\tau^E : K \rightarrow U(E)$  be a finite-dimensional unitary representation of  $K$ . The corresponding  $G$ -equivariant vector bundle on  $X$  is given by  $F = G \times_K E$ . When  $X$  is even dimensional and spin, if  $S^{TX} = S_+^{TX} \oplus S_-^{TX}$  is the spinor, Atiyah and Schmidt [2] studied the Dirac operator  $D_+^F : C^\infty(X, S_+^{TX} \otimes F) \rightarrow C^\infty(X, S_+^{TX} \otimes F)$  and showed that, for a properly chosen  $\tau^E$ , the (co)kernel of  $D_+^F$  realises a discrete series representation of  $G$ . By varying  $E$ , one obtains a group homomorphism from the representation ring of  $K$  to the  $K$ -theory of the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra of  $G$ . The Connes-Kasparov isomorphism [14, 15, 23, 39] theorem asserts that this homomorphism is, in fact, an isomorphism.

The square of the Dirac operator  $D^F = D_+^F + D_-^F$  is a generalized Laplacian. The associated heat operator  $\exp(-tD^{F,2})|_{t \geq 0}$  plays a fundamental role in the study of the index theory of  $D_+^F$ . The McKean-Singer theorem states that the super  $G$ -trace  $\text{Tr}_{s,G}[\exp(-tD^{F,2})]$  is independent of  $t$ . As  $t$  goes to  $\infty$ , the heat operator  $\exp(-tD^{F,2})$  converges in strong topology to the projection onto the (co)kernel of  $D_+^F$ . The limit

$$(0.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}_{s,G} \left[ \exp(-tD^{F,2}) \right]$$

computes the formal degree of the discrete series representation realised by  $D_+^F$ . As  $t$  goes to 0, the limit can be explicitly computed as a pairing on the  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ -cohomology [16].

In this paper, we aim to investigate the large-time asymptotics of the  $G$ -trace of the heat operator associated to a general Casimir operator and its connections to representation theory. Our approach extends beyond the case of the heat operator of  $D^{F,2}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . We consider the Casimir operator  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which acts as a generalized Laplacian on  $C^\infty(X, F)$ . Motivated by his previous works [5–7, 10], Bismut developed an approach reminiscent of local index theory, constructing a family of differential operators  $\mathcal{L}_b|_{b>0}$  on the extended tangent bundle  $G \times_K \mathfrak{g}$ . These operators fit within Hörmander’s theory of hypoelliptic operators of generalized Kolmogorov type, interpolating between  $\frac{1}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  on  $X$  and the generator of the extended geodesic flow on  $G \times_K \mathfrak{g}$ . Bismut<sup>1</sup> observed that the super  $G$ -trace  $\mathrm{Tr}_{s,G}[\exp(-t\mathcal{L}_b)]$  is independent of  $b$  (but not of  $t$ ), a property that follows from the standard supersymmetry trick. By taking the limit as  $b \rightarrow 0$ , he showed

$$(0.2) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{Tr}_{s,G}[\exp(-t\mathcal{L}_b)] = \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right].$$

Using a variation of Getzler’s rescaling argument for the Dirac operator, he further computed the limit as  $b \rightarrow \infty$  and obtained a remarkable formula (Theorem 1.3), which is given by an integral on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $K$  using the Lie theory data. Various generalisations are obtained in [9, 11, 26]. Successful applications of the Bismut formula have been found in the study of geometry of (locally) symmetric spaces [9, 25, 27, 35–38].

**0.2. Our main results.** The following is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 0.1.** (Theorem 3.7) *The  $G$ -trace of the heat operator has the following asymptotic formula,*

$$(0.3) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] \sim \underline{\alpha}_0 t^{\underline{\beta}_1} e^{\underline{\gamma}_2 t}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the constants  $\underline{\alpha}_0 > 0$ ,  $\underline{\beta}_1 \in -\frac{\mathbf{N}}{2}$  and  $\underline{\gamma}_2 \in \mathbf{R}$  are explicitly defined in (3.14).

In contrast to the above discussion about the supertrace which computes the von Neumann dimension of the (co)kernel of  $\mathcal{D}_+^E$ , the large-time asymptotics (0.3) exhibits the growth of the larger spectrum of the Casimir operator.

When  $G$  and  $K$  have the same complex rank and the highest weight  $\lambda^E$  of the irreducible  $K$ -representation  $E$  is regular, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the heat operator  $\exp(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X})$  concentrates on the discrete series representation with the lowest  $K$ -type  $E$ . In this case, the constants have the following representation theoretic interpretations:

- $\underline{\alpha}_0$  recovers the formal degree;
- $\underline{\beta}_1$  vanishes;
- $\underline{\gamma}_2$  is the infinitesimal character of the Casimir.

In general, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the  $G$ -trace of the heat operator concentrates on the tempered representation with real infinitesimal character containing  $E$  as its lowest  $K$ -type [33]. Moreover,

- $\underline{\alpha}_0$  is a generalisation of the Mehta-Macdonald integral [29], whose explicit computation is challenging;
- $\underline{\beta}_1$  serves as a Novikov-Shubin-type invariant, encoding local properties of the Plancherel measure on the tempered dual of  $G$ ;

<sup>1</sup>Indeed, Bismut’s results hold for all the semisimple orbital integrals.

- $\underline{\gamma}_2$  is given by Vogan's  $\Lambda$ -map [40].

Our analysis uses crucially the Lie-theoretic information associated with the root system. This proof reveals a deep connection between the Bismut's hypoelliptic Laplacian on  $X$  and Vogan's theory of unitary  $G$ -representations.

As an application, Theorem 4.1 allows us to reduce the computation of  $\underline{\alpha}_0, \underline{\beta}_1, \underline{\gamma}_2$  to the special case where  $G$  is quasi-split and  $E$  is small, paralleling Vogan's [33, 40] construction of  $G$ -representations via cohomological induction. Additionally, we apply our main theorem to compute the Novikov-Shubin type invariant for smooth locally symmetric spaces (Proposition 4.7).

Note that the structure of the above asymptotics can be also deduced from Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula [20, Theorem 13.11]. The constants  $\underline{\alpha}_0, \underline{\beta}_1, \underline{\gamma}_2$  can be determined by the Plancherel measure of  $G$  and some  $K$ -multiplicities of tempered  $G$  representations, which a priori are difficult to compute explicitly. Our contribution consists in providing an explicit geometric formula for these constants in terms of the Lie-theoretic data of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In Corollary 4.4, we will see that by combining our results with the (non-explicit) Plancherel formula, we obtain certain existence results for the tempered representations of  $G$ . This provides a geometric counterpart of Vogan's minimal  $K$ -type theory. In a forthcoming paper, we will give a more precise connection between Bismut's formula and the Plancherel measure.

**0.3. Organisation of the article.** This article is organised as follows. In Section 1, we recall the definition of  $G$ -trace and the statement of Bismut's  $G$ -trace formula.

In Section 2, we review some constructions related to root systems.

In Section 3, we state our main result Theorem 3.7.

In Section 4, we discuss applications of our main result in studying small representations in Vogan's theory and computing the Novikov-Shubin type invariant.

The purpose of Sections 5 and 6 consists to show the main theorem, Theorem 3.7.

In Section 5, using Weyl groups, we reduce the proof to show an integral over convex cones.

Finally, in Section 6, we study this integral by the Laplace Method.

**0.4. Acknowledgment.** We would like to thank Alexandre Afgoustidis, Jean-Michel Bismut, and Nigel Higson for encouragement and inspiring discussion. Shen's research was partially supported by ANR grant ANR-20-CE40-0017. Song's research was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-1952557. Tang's research was partially supported the NSF grants DMS-1952551, DMS-2350181, and Simons Foundation grant MPS-TSM-00007714.

## 1. A GEOMETRIC FORMULA FOR $G$ -TRACE

This section aims to define the  $G$ -trace for the heat semigroup on the symmetric space associated with a connected reductive Lie group  $G$  and to review Bismut's geometric  $G$ -trace formula [8, Theorem 6.1.1].

This section is organized as follows. In Sections 1.2 and 1.3, we introduce the reductive group  $G$ , a maximal compact subgroup  $K$ , the symmetric space  $X = G/K$ , Casimir operator  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  on  $X$ , and some related constructions.

In Section 1.4, we define the  $G$ -trace for the heat semigroup associated to the Casimir operator.

In Section 1.5, we recall Bismut's formula for the above  $G$ -trace.

Finally, in Section 1.6, we illustrate the idea of the main theorem on the example of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ .

**1.1. Notation.** We use the convention

$$(1.1) \quad \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \mathbf{N}^* = \{1, 2, \dots\}, \quad \mathbf{R}_+ = [0, \infty), \quad \mathbf{R}^* = \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$$

If  $M$  is a topological group,  $M^0$  denote the connected component of the identity in  $M$ . If  $M$  acts on some set  $E$ , if  $e \in E$  or if  $F \subset E$ , then  $M(e) \subset M, M(F) \subset M$  denote the corresponding centraliser.

**1.2. Real reductive groups.** Let  $G$  be a linear connected real reductive group [20, p. 3], and let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$  be the Cartan involution. Let  $K \subset G$  be the fixed point set of  $\theta$  in  $G$ . Then  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ , which is also connected.

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $K$ . Then  $\theta$  acts as an automorphism on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the eigenspace of  $\theta$  associated with the eigenvalue 1. Let  $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the eigenspace of  $\theta$  associated with the eigenvalue  $-1$ . We have the Cartan decomposition

$$(1.2) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{p} \oplus \mathfrak{k}.$$

Set

$$(1.3) \quad m = \dim \mathfrak{p}, \quad n = \dim \mathfrak{k}.$$

Let  $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form, which is  $G$  and  $\theta$ -invariant. We assume that  $B$  is positive on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and negative on  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Therefore,  $B$  induces a Euclidean metric on  $\mathfrak{p} \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ , which will be denoted by  $|\cdot|^2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $C^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Casimir operator associated to  $B$ . If  $e_1, \dots, e_{m+n}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and if  $e_1^*, \dots, e_{m+n}^*$  is the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $B$ , then

$$(1.4) \quad C^{\mathfrak{g}} = - \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} e_i^* e_i.$$

Assume that  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to  $B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , and if  $e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{m+n}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  with respect to  $-B|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , then

$$(1.5) \quad C^{\mathfrak{g}} = - \sum_{i=1}^m e_i^2 + \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} e_i^2.$$

Classically,  $C^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is in the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

We define the Casimir  $C^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k})$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in the same way. If  $E$  is a Euclidean or Hermitian space, and if  $\tau^E : K \rightarrow \text{GL}(E)$  is a finite dimensional unitary representation of  $K$ . Denote by  $C^{\mathfrak{k}, E} \in \text{End}(E)$  the corresponding Casimir operator acting on  $E$ . If  $\tau^E$  is irreducible, then  $C^{\mathfrak{k}, E} \in \mathbf{R}_-$  is a non-positive scalar.

The group  $K$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  by adjoint action. Let  $C^{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{p}} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $C^{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{k})$  be the associated Casimir. Set

$$(1.6) \quad c_{\mathfrak{g}} = -\frac{1}{8} \text{Tr} [C^{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{p}}] - \frac{1}{24} \text{Tr} [C^{\mathfrak{k},\mathfrak{k}}] \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

As we will see later in Section 1.4, the constant  $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$  appears in Bismut's  $G$ -trace formula.

**1.3. Symmetric space.** Let  $\omega^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$  be the canonical left-invariant 1-form on  $G$  with values in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By (1.2), we have a splitting

$$(1.7) \quad \omega^{\mathfrak{g}} = \omega^{\mathfrak{p}} + \omega^{\mathfrak{k}}.$$

Set

$$(1.8) \quad X = G/K.$$

The natural projection  $p : G \rightarrow X$  defines a  $K$ -principal bundle, and  $\omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$  is a connection form.

Recall that  $K$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  by adjoint action. The tangent bundle  $TX$  is given by

$$(1.9) \quad TX = G \times_K \mathfrak{p}.$$

Then  $TX$  is equipped with the scalar product induced by  $B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so that  $X$  is a Riemannian manifold. The connection  $\nabla^{TX}$  on  $TX$  induced by  $\omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$  coincides the Levi-Civita connection of  $TX$ , and its curvature is parallel and non-positive. Moreover,  $G$  acts isometrically on the left on  $X$ . Also,  $\theta$  acts as an isometry of  $X$ .

More generally, let  $\tau^E : K \rightarrow \text{U}(E)$  be a unitary representation of  $K$ . Set

$$(1.10) \quad F = G \times_K E.$$

Then,  $F$  is a Hermitian vector bundle equipped with a connection  $\nabla^F$  induced by  $\omega^{\mathfrak{k}}$ . The  $G$  action on  $X$  lifts to  $F$ , so that if  $g \in G$ , we have

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F & \xrightarrow{g^*} & F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{g} & X. \end{array}$$

We have the canonical identification of  $G$ -spaces

$$(1.12) \quad C^\infty(X, F) = (C^\infty(G) \otimes E)^K.$$

The enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  acts on  $C^\infty(G)$  as left invariant differential operators. Using the fact that  $C^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is in the centre of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  and that  $K$  is connected, we see that  $C^{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes 1$  preserves the  $K$ -invariant space  $(C^\infty(G) \otimes E)^K$ . By (1.12), we obtain an operator  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  acting on  $C^\infty(X, F)$ , which is  $G$ -invariant.

We equip  $C^\infty(X, F)$  with the standard  $G$ -invariant  $L^2$ -metric. If  $-\Delta^X$  denotes the Bochner Laplacian [4, Definition 2.4] associated to  $\nabla^{TX}, \nabla^F$ , the splitting (1.5) descends to

$$(1.13) \quad C^{\mathfrak{g},X} = -\Delta^X + C^{\mathfrak{k},E}.$$

Then,  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  is a self-adjoint generalised Laplacian [4, Definition 2.2].

**1.4. Heat semigroup and the  $G$ -trace.** Let  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)_{|t \geq 0}$  be the heat semigroup of  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$ . Since  $C^{\mathfrak{g},X}$  commutes with the  $G$ -action,  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)$  is a  $G$ -invariant operator.

For  $t > 0, x, x' \in X$ , denoted by  $p_t(x, x') \in \text{Hom}(F_{x'}, F_x)$  the corresponding smooth integral kernel with respect to the Riemannian volume on  $X$ . If  $g \in G$ , if  $g_* : F_x \rightarrow F_{gx}$  is the obvious map, since  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)$  is  $G$ -invariant, we have

$$(1.14) \quad p_t(gx, gx') = g_* p_t(x, x') g_*^{-1}.$$

Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $X$ , by (1.14), we see that  $\text{Tr}[p_t(x, x)]$  is independent of  $x \in X$ .

Following [1], for  $t > 0$ , denote  $\text{Tr}_G [\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)]$  the  $G$ -trace of the heat semigroup  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)$ . Then,

$$(1.15) \quad \text{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] = \text{Tr}[p_t(x, x)].$$

*Remark 1.1.* The quantity  $\text{Tr}_G [\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)]$  coincides with the orbital integral of  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)$  associated to the identity element defined in [34, p. 66] and [8, Section 4.2].

*Remark 1.2.* Since the semigroup  $\exp(-tC^{\mathfrak{g},X}/2)$  is non-negative and self-adjoint, we see that  $p_t(x, x) \in \text{End}(F_x)$  is also non-negative and self-adjoint. Then,

$$(1.16) \quad \text{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] \geq 0.$$

We will see in Corollary 1.4 that this quantity is indeed positive.

**1.5. Bismut's formula for  $\text{Tr}_G [\exp(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X})]$ .** For  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , set

$$(1.17) \quad \widehat{A}(x) = \frac{x/2}{\sinh(x/2)}.$$

Then,  $\widehat{A}$  is a smooth even positive function on  $\mathbf{R}$ . There exists  $C > 0$  such that for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(1.18) \quad \widehat{A}(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)e^{-|x|/2}.$$

For a Hermitian matrix  $H$ , define

$$(1.19) \quad \widehat{A}(H) = \det^{1/2} \left( \frac{H/2}{\sinh(H/2)} \right).$$

Since  $\det \left( \frac{H/2}{\sinh(H/2)} \right) > 0$ , the square root in (1.19) is defined by the positive square root.

Recall that  $\mathfrak{k}$  acts anti-symmetrically on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$ . For  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{p}})$  and  $\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{k}})$  are well defined positive number. By (1.18), there exist  $C_1 > 0$  and  $C_2 > 0$  such that for  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ ,

$$(1.20) \quad \frac{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} \leq C_1 \exp(C_2 |Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}}|).$$

Denote  $dY_0^{\mathfrak{k}}$  the Lebesgue measure on the Euclidean space  $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}, B_{|\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}})$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** *For  $t > 0$ , the following identity holds:*

$$(1.21) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi t)^{m/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{2} t \right) \\ \times \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}}} \right) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{|Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}}|^2}{2t} \right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{k}}}{(2\pi t)^{n/2}}.$$

*Proof.* This is Bismut's orbital integral formula [8, Theorem 6.1.1] associated to the identity element.  $\square$

Note that by (1.20), the above integral converges.

**Corollary 1.4.** *For  $t > 0$ , we have*

$$(1.22) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] > 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\tau^E(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})$  acts on the Hermitian space  $E$  as a self-adjoint operator. Therefore,

$$(1.23) \quad \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}}} \right) \right] > 0.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(1.24) \quad \frac{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} > 0.$$

By (1.21), (1.23), and (1.24), we get our corollary.  $\square$

**1.6. Motivating example of  $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ .** Let  $G = SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ ,  $K = SO(2)$ . We identify  $K$  with  $U(1)$  and  $\mathfrak{k}$  with  $\sqrt{-1}\mathbf{R}$ . We choose the bilinear form  $B$ , so that the induced metric on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$  is the standard metric on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

If  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$ , let  $E$  be the irreducible representation defined by  $\tau^E : z \in U(1) \rightarrow z^\lambda \in U(1)$ . The adjoint action of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is a sum of two irreducible representations of  $K$  parametrized by  $\pm 2$ . Combining with the fact that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is commutative, by (1.6), we have

$$(1.25) \quad c_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1.$$

Moreover, if  $x = Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k} = \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(1.26) \quad \frac{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} = \frac{x}{\sinh(x)}.$$

By the above normalisation and by (1.26), the Bismut's formula (1.21) reduces to a well-known formula<sup>2</sup> (see [8, Section 8.3] and [30, p. 233]),

$$(1.27) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi t} e^{-t/2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x}{\sinh x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t} - \lambda x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}}.$$

<sup>2</sup>The discrepancy with [8, Section 8.3] and [30, p. 233] comes from the different normalisation on the bilinear form  $B$ .

In the following, we will compute the asymptotics of (1.27) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  using an elementary method which will be generalized in the proof of the main Theorem 3.7.

The integral (1.27) is invariant when changing  $\lambda$  to  $-\lambda$ . We may and we will assume  $\lambda \geq 0$ . For  $w \in \{\pm 1\}$ , put

$$(1.28) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{x}{\sinh x} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t} + w\lambda x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}}.$$

By (1.27) and (1.28), we have

$$(1.29) \quad \text{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] = (I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, 1) + I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, -1)) e^{-t/2}.$$

Put

$$(1.30) \quad \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = 1.$$

We can rewrite (1.28) as

$$(1.31) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{x}{1 - e^{-2x}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2t} + (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}},$$

where the function  $\frac{x}{1 - e^{-2x}}$  is positive on  $\mathbf{R}_+$ .

We rescale the variable  $x$  by  $t$  so that,

$$(1.32) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} t^{1/2} e^{\frac{t(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})^2}{2}} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{x}{1 - e^{-2tx}} \cdot e^{-\frac{t}{2}(x - (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}))^2} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.$$

When  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , the asymptotics of the above integral can be evaluated by Laplace's method. The leading term of the asymptotics is localised near the minimal point of the action

$$(1.33) \quad x \in \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} (x - (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}))^2.$$

Let us discuss case by case according to the location of  $w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Case I :  $w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} > 0$ . In this case, we compute the large-time behavior of the integral in three steps.

1) For  $0 < \epsilon < w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we define

$$(1.34) \quad I_{\epsilon, t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} t^{1/2} e^{\frac{t}{2}(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})^2} \int_{w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} - \epsilon}^{w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} + \epsilon} \frac{x}{1 - e^{-2tx}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}(x - (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}))^2} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.$$

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we can localise the integral so that

$$(1.35) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) \sim I_{\epsilon, t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w).$$

2) We change the variable  $x = w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{y}{t^{1/2}}$ ,

$$(1.36) \quad I_{\epsilon, t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{\frac{t}{2}(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})^2} \int_{-\sqrt{t}\epsilon}^{\sqrt{t}\epsilon} \frac{w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}}}{1 - e^{-2t(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} + \frac{y}{\sqrt{t}})}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.$$

3) As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(1.37) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\epsilon, t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) &\sim \frac{1}{\pi} \cdot e^{\frac{t}{2}(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})^2} \int_{\mathbf{R}} (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} (w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}) e^{\frac{t}{2}(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Case II :  $w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$ . In this case, we can perform similar localisation as before.

1) For  $\epsilon > 0$ , put

$$(1.38) \quad I_{\epsilon,t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{t^{1/2}}{\pi} \int_0^\epsilon \frac{x}{1 - e^{-2tx}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}x^2} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.$$

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(1.39) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) \sim I_{\epsilon,t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w).$$

2) We rescale the coordinate  $x = \frac{y}{t^{1/2}}$ , and obtain

$$(1.40) \quad I_{\epsilon,t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) = \frac{1}{\pi t^{1/2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{t}\epsilon} \frac{y}{1 - e^{-2\sqrt{t}y}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^{1/2}}.$$

3) As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(1.41) \quad I_{\epsilon,t}^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) \sim \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} y e^{-\frac{1}{2}y^2} \frac{dy}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \right\} t^{-1/2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}} t^{-1/2}.$$

Case III :  $w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} < 0$ . We can directly apply the dominant convergence theorem to (1.31): as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(1.42) \quad \begin{aligned} I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, w) &\sim \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{x}{1 - e^{-2x}} e^{(w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi t)^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}_+} \frac{x}{\sinh x} e^{w\lambda x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \right\} t^{-3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 0.1 for  $G = SL_2(\mathbf{R})$  can be deduced easily from the above computations.

**Proposition 1.5.** *There exist constants  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}_+^*$ ,  $\beta \in -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$  such that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(1.43) \quad \text{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] \sim \alpha t^\beta e^{(\gamma - 1/2)t}.$$

Moreover,

(1) when  $\lambda \geq 2$ ,

$$(1.44) \quad \alpha = \frac{\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}}{\pi}, \quad \beta = 0, \quad \gamma = \frac{|\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}|^2}{2};$$

(2) when  $\lambda = 1$ ,

$$(1.45) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\pi^{3/2}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma = 0;$$

(3) when  $\lambda = 0$ ,

$$(1.46) \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} \frac{x}{\sinh x} \frac{dx}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} = \frac{\pi^{1/2}}{4\sqrt{2}}, \quad \beta = -\frac{3}{2}, \quad \gamma = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $\lambda \geq 2$ , then  $\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} > 0$  and  $-\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} < 0$ . By (1.28), only the term  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, 1)$  in case (I) will contribute to our asymptotics (1.43). If  $\lambda = 1$ , then  $\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$  and  $-\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} < 0$ , so that only the term  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, 1)$  in case (II) will contribute to the asymptotics. If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\pm\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} < 0$ , both  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda, \pm 1)$  in case (III) will contribute to the asymptotics.  $\square$

As the notations indicate, the group  $\{\pm 1\}$  is the Weyl group,  $\mathbf{R}_+$  is the Weyl chamber,  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the half sum of positive roots associated to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbf{R})$ . Also,  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the weight lattice, and  $\lambda \in \mathbf{Z}$  is the highest weight of the representation  $E$ . Here, three distinct cases are based on the position of  $w\lambda - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  relative to the Weyl chamber  $\mathbf{R}_+$ : (I) inside the chamber, (II) on its wall, and (III) outside the chamber. For a general real reductive Lie group  $G$ , these cases persist but can occur simultaneously in different directions due to the higher-dimensional structure of the Weyl chamber.

## 2. ROOT SYSTEMS AND RELATED CONSTRUCTIONS

In this section, we review some basic construction related to a root system. Most of the results are well known in Lie theory. We have recalled them in this section for the convenience of the readers. But we have omitted most of the proofs.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 2.1, we introduce the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , the root system  $R(\mathfrak{k})$  of  $\mathfrak{k}$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}$ -restricted root system  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the corresponding Weyl groups  $W(\mathfrak{k})$ ,  $W(\mathfrak{g})$ .

In Sections 2.2, we introduce the positive root systems  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$ ,  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  and the associated positive Weyl chambers  $C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ ,  $C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ . We review also some classic geometric properties related to the Weyl chambers and Weyl groups.

In Section 2.3, we define various subspace of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$  associated to a subset  $\Delta_0^1$  of a system of simple roots  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

In Section 2.4, we review the theory of  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{gc}$  associated to  $\Delta_0^1$ .

In Section 2.5, we introduce a semisimple subgroup  $K_s^1$  of  $K$  associated to  $\Delta_0^1$ . Given an irreducible representation of  $\tau^E$  of  $K$ , we construct a corresponding irreducible representation  $\tau^{E,1}$  of  $K_s^1$ .

In Section 2.6, we recall Langlands' combinatorial Lemma following Carmona [13, Section 1],

Finally, in Section 2.7, we introduce the Lambda map of Vogan following Carmona [13, Section 2].

**2.1. Maximal torus and root decompositions.** Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of  $T$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{t}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$ .

Recall that  $(\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}, -B|_{\mathfrak{t}})$  is a Euclidean space. Let  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$  be the dual space with induced Euclidean metric. Let  $R(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$  be the root system<sup>3</sup> of  $\mathfrak{k}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$ . If  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k})$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{k}_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}}$  the root space associated to  $\alpha$ . We have the root decomposition

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k})} \mathfrak{k}_\alpha.$$

We can similarly define  $R(\mathfrak{p})$  and  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ . Clearly,

$$(2.2) \quad R(\mathfrak{g}) = R(\mathfrak{p}) \cup R(\mathfrak{k}).$$

It is known that the set  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  forms an abstract root system on the real span of  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ .

<sup>3</sup>It is an abstract root system on the real subspace of  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$  spanned by  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  be the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then,

$$(2.3) \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p})} \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha}.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{C}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g})} \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}.$$

If  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{k}_{\alpha}.$$

*Remark 2.1.* If  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}$  is a  $\theta$ -invariant Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  [20, p. 129].

The following three propositions are well known [40].

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p})$ , we have  $\dim \mathfrak{p}_{\alpha} = 1$ . If  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have*

$$(2.6) \quad \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} 2, & \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \cap R(\mathfrak{k}) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *The set  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  forms an abstract root system on the real span of  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

Let  $W(\mathfrak{k})$  be the Weyl group of  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ . If  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k})$ , denote by  $s_{\alpha} \in W(\mathfrak{k})$  the reflection associated to  $\alpha$ . Let  $W(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Weyl group associated to  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then,  $W(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by the reflections  $s_{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g})$ . Since  $R(\mathfrak{k}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad W(\mathfrak{k}) \subset W(\mathfrak{g}).$$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The function  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\alpha}$  is  $W(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant.*

*Remark 2.5.* The root system  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  is possibly non reduced. Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}_3(\mathbf{R})$ , we can take  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{so}_2(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $R(\mathfrak{k}) = \{\pm\alpha\}$ , then  $R(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\pm\alpha, \pm 2\alpha\}$ . In particular,

$$(2.8) \quad R(\mathfrak{g}) = \{\pm\alpha, \pm 2\alpha\}.$$

*Remark 2.6.* The sets  $R(\mathfrak{k}), R(\mathfrak{g})$  are abstract root systems on their own real spans. These two real spans do not always coincide. Indeed, if  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{so}_2(\mathbf{R})$ , we have  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $R(\mathfrak{k})$  is empty which spans  $\{0\}$ . However,  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  spans  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$ .

In the sequel, we will denote

$$(2.9) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}.$$

We will identify  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  with  $\mathfrak{t}_0^* = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}^*$  via the metric  $-B|_{\mathfrak{t}_0}$ .

**2.2. Positive root systems.** Let  $R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \subset R(\mathfrak{k})$  be a positive root system of  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ . Let  $C_+(\mathfrak{k}) \subset \mathfrak{t}_0$  be the closed positive Weyl chamber of  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$ . Then,

$$(2.10) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{k}) = \{Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0 : \text{for all } \alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k}), \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

We denote by  $\text{Int}(C_+(\mathfrak{k}))$  the interior of  $C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , which is an open cone.

The group  $W(\mathfrak{k})$  acts on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ , so that  $C_+(\mathfrak{k})$  is a fundamental domain. We have

$$(2.11) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \bigcup_{w \in W(\mathfrak{k})} w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{k}),$$

where the intersection of two different closed Weyl chambers is negligible.

Set

$$(2.12) \quad \varrho^\mathfrak{k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k})} \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0.$$

The  $\pi$ -function for  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$  is a real polynomial on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  defined for  $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  by

$$(2.13) \quad \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k})} \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle.$$

If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , then  $w$  acts isometrically on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ . Set

$$(2.14) \quad \epsilon_w = \det(w|_{\mathfrak{t}_0}) \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Classically,

$$(2.15) \quad \pi^\mathfrak{k}(wY_0) = \epsilon_w \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0).$$

We choose a compatible positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$  for  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  in the sense that

$$(2.16) \quad R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \subset R_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

We observe that a compatible positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  always exists.

Let  $C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathfrak{t}_0$  be the positive closed Weyl Chamber of  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , i.e.,

$$(2.17) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{g}) = \{Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0 : \text{for all } \alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g}), \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

We have a decomposition

$$(2.18) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \bigcup_{w \in W(\mathfrak{g})} w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

As in (2.11), the intersection of two different closed Weyl chambers is negligible.

By (2.10), (2.16), and (2.17), we have

$$(2.19) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k}).$$

Set

$$(2.20) \quad W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = \{w \in W(\mathfrak{g}) : w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k})\}.$$

By (2.11) and (2.18), we have

$$(2.21) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{k}) = \bigcup_{w \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})} w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ , using  $w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , we see that  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$  is nonnegative on  $w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , so that

$$(2.22) \quad wR_+(\mathfrak{k}) \subset R_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

And therefore,

$$(2.23) \quad R_+(\mathfrak{k}) = R(\mathfrak{k}) \cap w^{-1}R_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In general,  $W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  is not a group. It is a system of representatives of the quotient space of  $W(\mathfrak{g})/W(\mathfrak{k})$ . Indeed, if  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , there is a unique  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k})$  sends  $w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  into  $C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , and a unique  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  such that  $w_1^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g}) = w_2w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ . This way gives a unique decomposition

$$(2.24) \quad w = w_1w_2, \quad w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}), \quad w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k}).$$

The following results do not require  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  be compatible with  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$ . Special attention should be taken since  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  is not always reduced and since  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  is not generated by  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Put

$$(2.25) \quad \check{C}_+(\mathfrak{g}) = \{u \in \mathfrak{t}_0 : \text{for all } Y_0 \in C_+(\mathfrak{g}), \langle u, Y_0 \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

The following two proposition are elementary consequences of the positivity of the cones and Chevalley's Lemma [19, Proposition 2.72]. We omit the proof.

**Proposition 2.7.** *If  $u \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  and if  $v \in u + \check{C}_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , then*

$$(2.26) \quad |v| \geq |u|,$$

where the equality holds if and only if  $u = v$ .

**Proposition 2.8.** *If  $u, v \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , and if*

$$(2.27) \quad \langle u, v \rangle \geq \langle u, wv \rangle.$$

The equality holds if and only if there is  $w', w'' \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$(2.28) \quad w = w'w'', \quad w'u = u, \quad w''v = v.$$

In this case,  $w'$  is generated by the reflection  $s_\alpha$ , such that

$$(2.29) \quad \langle \alpha, u \rangle = 0$$

and  $w''$  is generated by  $s_\alpha$  such that

$$(2.30) \quad \langle \alpha, v \rangle = 0.$$

Set

$$(2.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g})} \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot \alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{p})} \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k})} \alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0. \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 2.9.* It is easy to see that  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is just the  $\mathfrak{t}$ -restriction of the  $\rho$ -vector associated to the root system of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ .

If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $w^{-1}R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is another positive root system. By Proposition 2.4, the corresponding  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  vector is  $w^{-1}R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**2.3. Subsets of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .** Recall that  $R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$  is a positive root system of  $R(\mathfrak{g})$ , and that  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is the associated system of simple roots. Notation and convention of this section follows [22, Section 1.2].

Let  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  generated by  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the orthogonal space to  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ , so that

$$(2.32) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Let  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a subset of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  generated by  $\Delta_0^1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the orthogonal space to  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$  in  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , so that

$$(2.33) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Let  $\Delta_0^2 \subset \Delta_0^g$  be another subset of  $\Delta_0^g$  such that  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^2$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1 \subset \mathfrak{t}_0^2$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}_1^2 \subset \mathfrak{t}_0^2$  be the orthogonal space to  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$ . Then, we have the orthogonal decomposition

$$(2.34) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0^g = \mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^g.$$

Let  $P_0^1$ ,  $P_1^2$ , and  $P_2^g$  be respectively the corresponding orthogonal projections onto the above three spaces.

Note that  $\Delta_0^g$  forms a basis of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^g$ . Denote by  $\check{\Delta}_0^g$  the basis of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^g$  which is dual to  $\Delta_0^g$  with respect to the Euclidean metric. If  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^g$ , the corresponding element in  $\check{\Delta}_0^g$  will be denoted by  $\omega_\alpha$ , so that for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_0^g$ ,

$$(2.35) \quad \langle \alpha, \omega_\beta \rangle = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.10.** Set

$$(2.36) \quad \Delta_1^2 = \{P_1^2 \alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1\}, \quad \check{\Delta}_1^2 = \{P_1^2 \omega_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1\}.$$

In particular, we define  $\check{\Delta}_0^1, \Delta_1^g, \check{\Delta}_1^g$  by considering the pair  $(\emptyset, \Delta_0^1)$  or  $(\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^g)$ .

A simple argument in linear algebra shows that  $\Delta_1^2$  and  $\check{\Delta}_1^2$  are two bases of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^2$ . Since  $\mathfrak{t}_0^2$  is generated by  $\Delta_0^2$ , if  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^2$ , we have

$$(2.37) \quad P_0^2 \alpha = \alpha.$$

If  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^g \setminus \Delta_0^1$ , then  $\omega_\alpha$  is orthogonal to  $\Delta_0^1$ , and therefore contains in  $\mathfrak{t}_1^g$ , so that

$$(2.38) \quad P_1^g \omega_\alpha = \omega_\alpha.$$

By (2.37) and (2.38), if  $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1$ , we have

$$(2.39) \quad \langle P_1^2 \alpha, P_1^2 \omega_\beta \rangle = \langle P_0^2 \alpha, P_1^g \omega_\beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \omega_\beta \rangle.$$

Thus,  $\Delta_1^2$  and  $\check{\Delta}_1^2$  are dual to each other.

We have the classical well-known results [22, Lemme 1.2.4-1.2.6].

**Proposition 2.11.** *Given  $\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^2 \subset \Delta_0^g$  such that  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^2$ , then  $\Delta_1^2$  forms an obtuse basis of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^2$ , i.e., if  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \Delta_1^2$  with  $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ , then*

$$(2.40) \quad \langle \alpha, \alpha' \rangle \leq 0.$$

Moreover,  $\check{\Delta}_1^2$  forms an acute basis of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^2$ , i.e., if  $\omega, \omega' \in \check{\Delta}_1^2$ , then

$$(2.41) \quad \langle \omega, \omega' \rangle \geq 0.$$

**Definition 2.12.** Set

$$(2.42) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1^2 &= \{Y \in \mathfrak{t}_1^2 : \text{for all } \alpha \in \Delta_1^2, \langle \alpha, Y \rangle \geq 0\}, \\ \check{C}_1^2 &= \{Y \in \mathfrak{t}_1^2 : \text{for all } \omega \in \check{\Delta}_1^2, \langle \omega, Y \rangle \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $C_1^2$  is an acute cone generated by nonnegative linear combination of  $\check{\Delta}_1^2$ , and  $\check{C}_1^2$  is an obtuse cone generated by nonnegative linear combination of  $\Delta_1^2$ . By (2.37) and (2.38), we have

$$(2.43) \quad \check{C}_0^1 \subset \check{C}_0^2, \quad C_2^g \subset C_1^g.$$

By (2.17), (2.25), and (2.42), we have

$$(2.44) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{g}) = C_0^g \times \mathfrak{t}_g, \quad \check{C}_+(\mathfrak{g}) = \check{C}_0^g.$$

If  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , write

$$(2.45) \quad Y_1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^1} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha, \quad Y_2 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha, \quad Y_3 = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha,$$

so that

$$(2.46) \quad Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3.$$

Using  $(Y_0^1, Y_1^2, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) = Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and (2.46), we get a linear map

$$(2.47) \quad (Y_0^1, Y_1^2, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow (P_0^1 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2, Y_3)$$

on  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

Let  $dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, dY_0^1, dY_1^2$ , and  $dY_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the Euclidean volumes on  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$ ,  $\mathfrak{t}_1^2$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Then,

$$(2.48) \quad dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = dY_0^1 dY_1^2 dY_2^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

**Proposition 2.13.** *The linear map (2.47) is a volume preserving isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .*

*Proof.* By (2.38), we have

$$(2.49) \quad P_0^1 Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = P_0^1 Y_1, \quad P_1^2 Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = P_1^2 (Y_1 + Y_2), \quad P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} (Y_1 + Y_2) + Y_3.$$

Therefore, we have

$$(2.50) \quad (Y_0^1, Y_1^2, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) = (P_0^1 Y_1, P_1^2 (Y_1 + Y_2), P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} (Y_1 + Y_2) + Y_3).$$

Since  $\check{\Delta}_0^1$  forms a basis of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$ , we see that  $Y_0^1 = P_0^1 Y_1 \rightarrow P_1^2 Y_1$ ,  $Y_0^1 = P_0^1 Y_1 \rightarrow P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} Y_1$  are well defined linear maps. By (2.50), we see that

$$(2.51) \quad (Y_0^1, Y_1^2, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) \rightarrow (P_0^1 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2, P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} Y_2 + Y_3).$$

is a volume preserving isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . By a similar arguments using  $P_1^2 Y_2 \rightarrow P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} Y_2$ , we get our proposition.  $\square$

**2.4. Levi subalgebras and parabolic subalgebras.** Let us recall the theory of standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras, which is an analogue of the real standard parabolic subalgebras.

We use the notation of the previous section. Recall that we have fixed  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be a subset of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

**Definition 2.14.** Let  $\mathfrak{l}^1 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $K^1 \subset K$  be the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $K$ .

Then,  $\mathfrak{l}^1$  is a  $\theta$ -invariant real Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is reductive by [21, Corollary 4.61 (b)]. We use the superscript 1 to emphasis the map  $\Delta_0^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{l}^1$  is order preserving with respect to inclusion.

By [19, Corollary 4.51],  $K^1$  is a connected compact Lie subgroup of  $K$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{k}^1$  the Lie algebra of  $K^1$ . Write

$$(2.52) \quad \mathfrak{l}^1 = \mathfrak{p}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}^1$$

the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$ .

Clearly,  $\mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{k}^1$  is the Cartan subalgebra. The root systems of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$  and  $\mathfrak{k}^1$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$  are given by

$$(2.53) \quad R(\mathfrak{l}^1) = \left\{ \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0 \right\}, \quad R(\mathfrak{k}^1) = \left\{ \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $W(\mathfrak{l}^1), W(\mathfrak{k}^1)$  be the corresponding Weyl groups. Sometimes, we will also use the notations

$$(2.54) \quad R_0^1 = R(\mathfrak{l}^1), \quad W_0^1 = W(\mathfrak{l}^1).$$

By Chevalley's Lemma<sup>4</sup>,  $W(\mathfrak{l}^1) \subset W(\mathfrak{g})$  is the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $W(\mathfrak{g})$ , and  $W(\mathfrak{k}^1) \subset W(\mathfrak{k})$  is the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $W(\mathfrak{k})$ , so that

$$(2.55) \quad W(\mathfrak{k}^1) = W(\mathfrak{l}^1) \cap W(\mathfrak{k}).$$

Put

$$(2.56) \quad R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1) = R(\mathfrak{l}^1) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g}), \quad R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1) = R(\mathfrak{k}^1) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Then,  $R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1), R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)$  are compatible positive root systems of  $R(\mathfrak{l}^1), R(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ , i.e.,

$$(2.57) \quad R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1) \subset R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1).$$

Moreover,  $\Delta_0^1$  is the system of simple roots of  $R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1)$ . By [19, Proposition 2.62],  $W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$  is the subgroup of  $W(\mathfrak{g})$  generated by the reflections  $s_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^1$ .

**Proposition 2.15.** *If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$  such that  $w = w_1 w_2$  with  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , then*

$$(2.58) \quad w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1, \mathfrak{k}^1), \quad w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k}^1).$$

*Proof.* Firstly, let us show  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ . Take  $u \in \text{Int}(C_1^{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Since  $w \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$ , we have  $wu = u$ . Since  $w = w_1 w_2$ , we have

$$(2.59) \quad w_2 u = w_1^{-1} u.$$

Since  $u \in \text{Int}(C_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , by definition of  $W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ , we have  $w_1^{-1} u \in C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ . By (2.59), we get

$$(2.60) \quad w_2 u \in C_+(\mathfrak{k}).$$

By  $u \in C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , and by (2.59), we get

$$(2.61) \quad w_2 u = u.$$

By Chevalley's Lemma [19, Proposition 2.72], we get  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ .

Then,  $w_1 = w w_2^{-1} \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$ . It remains to show

$$(2.62) \quad w_1^{-1} C_+(\mathfrak{l}^1) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k}^1).$$

Or equivalently, for any  $Y \in C_+(\mathfrak{l}^1)$  and  $\beta \in R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ , we need to show

$$(2.63) \quad \langle w_1^{-1} Y, \beta \rangle \geq 0.$$

By (2.44), we have

$$(2.64) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{l}^1) = C_0^1 \times \mathfrak{t}_1.$$

<sup>4</sup>In [19, Proposition 2.72], Chevalley's Lemma is stated for reduced root systems. Using [19, Lemma 2.91], it is easy to extend the lemma to nonreduced root systems.

Since  $w_1$  acts as identity on  $\mathfrak{t}_1$ , and  $\beta$  vanishes on  $\mathfrak{t}_1$ , we see that (2.63) holds when  $Y \in \mathfrak{t}_1$ . Note that  $C_0^1$  is given by the nonnegative linear combinations of  $\check{\Delta}_0^1$ . If  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^1$ , using again that  $w_1$  acts as identity on  $\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and using  $P_0^1 \beta = \beta$ , we have

$$(2.65) \quad \langle w_1^{-1} P_0^1 \omega_\alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle w_1^{-1} \omega_\alpha, \beta \rangle.$$

The right hand side of (2.65) is nonnegative since  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ . This finishes the proof of (2.63) and complete the proof of our proposition.  $\square$

*Remark 2.16.* By Proposition 2.15, if  $w \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$ , the decomposition  $w = w_1 w_2$  in (2.24) is just the one associated to small Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{l}^1, \mathfrak{k}^1$  and to  $R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1), R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ . By the uniqueness of the decomposition, we have

$$(2.66) \quad W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) \cap W(\mathfrak{k}^1) = W(\mathfrak{l}^1, \mathfrak{k}^1).$$

Set

$$(2.67) \quad R_1 = R(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus R_0^1, \quad R_{1,+} = R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \setminus R_{0,+}^1.$$

Since a root in  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  is a nonnegative or nonpositive linear combination of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we see that  $\alpha \in R_{1,+}$  if and only if  $\alpha$  takes positive values on the interior of  $C_1^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

**Definition 2.17.** Put

$$(2.68) \quad \mathfrak{u}_1 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{1,+}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{u}_1$  is a  $\theta$ -invariant complex Lie algebra, which is nilpotent by [21, Corollary 4.61]. Here, we use the subscript 1 to emphasize the map  $\Delta_0^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_1$  is order reversing with respect to inclusion.

**Definition 2.18.** Put

$$(2.69) \quad \mathfrak{q}^1 = \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1.$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{q}^1$  is a  $\theta$ -invariant complex parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ . The map  $\Delta_0^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}^1$  is order preserving as indicated by the superscript.

The parabolic subalgebra  $\mathfrak{q}^1$  constructed in this way will be called standard parabolic  $\theta$ -invariant subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ . It is equivalent to the one constructed in [21, Proposition 4.76].

If  $\Delta_0^1 = \emptyset$ , the corresponding object will be given the superscript or subscript 0. Then,

$$(2.70) \quad \mathfrak{l}^0 = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{t}, \quad \mathfrak{u}_0 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g})} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{q}^0 = \mathfrak{l}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0.$$

Let  $\Delta_0^2$  be another subset of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , so that

$$(2.71) \quad \mathfrak{q}^2 = \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_2.$$

Assume  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^2$ . Then,

$$(2.72) \quad \mathfrak{q}^1 \subset \mathfrak{q}^2.$$

Consider  $\mathfrak{l}^2$  as the ambient reductive Lie algebra with system of simple root  $\Delta_0^2$ . We can define a standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{l}^2$  associated to  $\Delta_0^1$ ,

$$(2.73) \quad \mathfrak{q}_* = \mathfrak{l}_\mathbb{C}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^2.$$

Applying (2.68) while  $\mathfrak{g}$  is replaced by  $\mathfrak{l}^2$ , we get

$$(2.74) \quad u_1^2 = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R_{0,+}^2 \setminus R_{0,+}^1} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha.$$

By (2.68) and (2.74), we get

$$(2.75) \quad u_1 = u_1^2 \oplus u_2.$$

By (2.69), (2.73), and (2.75), we have

$$(2.76) \quad \mathfrak{q}^1 = \mathfrak{q}_* \oplus u_2.$$

From the above observations, it is easy to see that, given  $\mathfrak{q}^2$ , the map

$$(2.77) \quad \mathfrak{q}^1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_*$$

defines a bijection between the set of standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  which are contained in  $\mathfrak{q}^2$  and the standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ . The inverse map is given by

$$(2.78) \quad \mathfrak{q}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}_* \oplus u_2.$$

**2.5. A subgroup of  $K^1$ .** Let  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Then,  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_1 \subset \mathfrak{k}^1$ . Let  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$  be the orthogonal subspace to  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_1$  in  $\mathfrak{k}^1$  with respect to  $B$ , so that

$$(2.79) \quad \mathfrak{k}^1 = \mathfrak{k}_s^1 \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_1.$$

Then,  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}^1$ , and  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0^1 \subset \mathfrak{k}_s^1$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$ , so that we have the root decomposition

$$(2.80) \quad \mathfrak{k}_{s\mathbb{C}}^1 = \mathfrak{t}_{0\mathbb{C}}^1 \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}^1)} \mathfrak{k}_\alpha.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{t}_0^1$  is generated by  $R(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$  is a semisimple Lie algebra and  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_1$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{k}^1$ .

Let  $K_s^1, T_0^1, T_1 \subset K^1$  be the Lie subgroups of  $K^1$  associated to the Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1, \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0^1, \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_1$ . By [19, Theorem 4.29],  $K_s^1$  is compact. Moreover,  $T_0^1$  is a maximal torus of  $K_s^1$ , and  $T_1$  is the connected component of the center of  $K^1$  that contains the identity.

Let  $\mathfrak{m}^1$  be the orthogonal subspace to  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0^1$  in  $\mathfrak{l}^1$  with respect to  $B$ , so that

$$(2.81) \quad \mathfrak{l}^1 = \mathfrak{m}^1 \oplus \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0^1.$$

As before,  $\mathfrak{m}^1$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$ . It is easy to see that the compact component of the centre of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$  is  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_0^1$ , and  $\mathfrak{m}^1$  is the direct sum of the semisimple part of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$  with the noncompact component of the centre of  $\mathfrak{l}^1$ . In particular,  $\mathfrak{m}^1$  is reductive. We have the Cartan decomposition

$$(2.82) \quad \mathfrak{m}^1 = \mathfrak{p}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_s^1.$$

Clearly,  $R(\mathfrak{k}_s^1) = R(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ ,  $R(\mathfrak{m}^1) = R(\mathfrak{l}^1)$ . Take

$$(2.83) \quad R_+(\mathfrak{k}_s^1) = R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1), \quad R_+(\mathfrak{m}^1) = R_+(\mathfrak{l}^1).$$

**Proposition 2.19.** *We have*

$$(2.84) \quad \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1} = \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}}|_{\mathfrak{t}_0^1}, \quad \varrho^{\mathfrak{m}^1} = \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}}|_{\mathfrak{t}_0^1}.$$

*Proof.* The first identity of (2.84) is equivalent to

$$(2.85) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \setminus R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)} \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_0^1} = 0.$$

If  $Y_0^1 \in \mathfrak{t}_0^1$ , we have

$$(2.86) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \setminus R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)} \langle \alpha, Y_0^1 \rangle = \text{Tr}^{\mathfrak{u}_1 \cap \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}} [\text{ad}(Y_0^1)].$$

Since  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$  is semisimple, then  $[\mathfrak{k}_s^1, \mathfrak{k}_s^1] = \mathfrak{k}_s^1$ . In particular,  $Y_0^1 \in \mathfrak{t}_0^1$  is a commutator of elements in  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$ . Since  $\mathfrak{k}_s^1$  acts on  $\mathfrak{u}_1 \cap \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$  and since the trace vanishes on commutators, we see that

$$(2.87) \quad \text{Tr}^{\mathfrak{u}_1 \cap \mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}} [\text{ad}(Y_0^1)] = 0.$$

By (2.86) and (2.87), we get (2.85).

By a similar method, we get the second identity of (2.84).  $\square$

If  $E$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$  with highest weight  $\lambda^E$ . Set

$$(2.88) \quad \lambda^{E,1} = \lambda^E|_{\mathfrak{t}_0^1}.$$

Since  $\lambda^E$  is a  $T$ -weight, we know that  $\lambda^{E,1}$  is a  $T_0^1$ -weight. Moreover,  $\lambda^{E,1} \in C_0^1$ . Therefore,  $\lambda^{E,1}$  is a  $R_+(\mathfrak{k}_s^1)$ -dominant  $T_0^1$ -weight.

**Definition 2.20.** Let  $\tau^{E,1} : K_s^1 \rightarrow U(E^1)$  be the irreducible unitary representation of  $K_s^1$  of highest weight  $\lambda^{E,1}$ .

**2.6. Langlands' combinatorial Lemma.** We have fix  $R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$ . We use the notation in Section 2.3. Recall that

$$(2.89) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{g}) = C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \check{C}_+(\mathfrak{g}) = \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Take  $v \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ . Since  $C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is closed and convex, the function

$$(2.90) \quad Y_0 \in C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow |Y_0 - v| \in \mathbf{R}_+$$

has one and only one minimal point, which is called the projection of  $v$  onto  $C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ . By the classical property of the projection onto a closed cone, the projection of  $v$  is the unique element  $v_* \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$(2.91) \quad \langle v - v_*, v_* \rangle = 0, \quad v_* - v \in \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

The following proposition is due to Langlands [24, Lemma 4.4]. The details can be found in [13, Corollaire 1.4].

**Proposition 2.21.** For  $v \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , there exist uniquely two subsets  $\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^2$  of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^2$ , such that

$$(2.92) \quad v = v_0^1 + v_2, \quad v_0^1 \in -\text{Int}(\check{C}_0^1), \quad v_2 \in \text{Int}(C_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) \times \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.93) \quad v_* = v_2.$$

Let  $\underline{v} \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  be another element. Denote by  $\underline{\Delta}_0^1, \underline{\Delta}_0^2, \underline{v}_0^1$ , and  $\underline{v}_2$  the associated objects. The proof of the following proposition is essentially due to Langlands [24, Corollary 4.6].

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $v, \underline{v} \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  be such that  $v - \underline{v} \in \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Then,*

$$(2.94) \quad v_2 - \underline{v}_2 \in \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad |v_2| \geq |\underline{v}_2|.$$

Moreover,

$$(2.95) \quad |v_2| = |\underline{v}_2| \iff v_2 = \underline{v}_2 \iff \langle v - \underline{v}, v_2 \rangle = 0.$$

Also, if one of the conditions of (2.95) holds, we have

$$(2.96) \quad \Delta_0^1 \subset \underline{\Delta}_0^1.$$

**Proposition 2.23.** *Let  $v, \underline{v} \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  be such that  $v - \underline{v} \in \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . If  $v_2 = \underline{v}_2$ , then*

$$(2.97) \quad \Delta_0^1 \subset \underline{\Delta}_0^1.$$

*Proof.* Assume now  $v_2 = \underline{v}_2$ . By the decomposition (2.92), we have

$$(2.98) \quad v_0^1 - \underline{v}_0^1 = v - \underline{v} \in \check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Recall that  $v_0^1, \underline{v}_0^1 \in -\check{C}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . In the proof of Proposition 2.21, we see that  $\Delta_0^1$  (resp.  $\underline{\Delta}_0^1$ ) is the unique subset of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $v_0^1$  (resp.  $\underline{v}_0^1$ ) is the negative linear combination of  $\Delta_0^1$  (resp.  $\underline{\Delta}_0^1$ ). By (2.98), we get (2.96).  $\square$

The proof of our proposition is complete.  $\square$

**2.7. Vogan's Lambda map.** Let  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ . Choose a positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$  of  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

$$(2.99) \quad \mu \in C_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Clearly, the choice of  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is not unique.

Let  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the vector defined in (2.31). By Proposition 2.21, there exist  $\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^2 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and a decomposition

$$(2.100) \quad \mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_0^1 + (\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2.$$

Clearly,  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and the decomposition (2.100) depend on the choice of  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Let  $W_0^1, W_0^2$  be the Weyl groups of the root systems  $R_0^1$  and  $R_0^2$ . Clearly,

$$(2.101) \quad W_0^1 \subset W_0^2.$$

By Chevalley's Lemma [19, Proposition 2.72],  $W_0^2 \subset W(\mathfrak{g})$  is the stabiliser of  $(\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2$  in  $W(\mathfrak{g})$ . We have the two properties for  $W_0^2$  and  $(\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2$ .

**Proposition 2.24.** *If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $w\mu = \mu$ , then  $w \in W_0^1$ . In particular,*

$$(2.102) \quad w(\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2 = (\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2.$$

**Proposition 2.25.** ([13, Proposition 2.2]) *The vectors  $\rho^{\mathfrak{g}} + (\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_0^1$  and  $(\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  are independent of the choice of the positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  with the property (2.99).*

**Definition 2.26.** Let  $\Lambda : \mathfrak{t}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_0$  be the map defined by  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0 \rightarrow (\mu - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ .

In [13, Proposition 2.2], Carmona showed that  $\Lambda$  coincides with Vogan's Lambda map [40, Proposition 4.1]. Vogan's constructions are obtained by a recurrence procedure. The results of our paper do not rely on Vogan's constructions.

## 3. STATEMENT OF OUR MAIN RESULTS

Assume  $E$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$ . We fix a positive system  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$  of  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ . Let  $\lambda^E \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  be the highest weight of  $E$ . Then,

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} \in \text{Int } C_+(\mathfrak{k})$$

is in the open Weyl chamber.

We fix  $R_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset R(\mathfrak{g})$  positive root system such that

$$(3.2) \quad \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} \in C_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Since  $\lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} \in C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , we see that

$$(3.3) \quad C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k}),$$

so that  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$  are compatible. As we have already seen in Section 2.7,  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is generally not unique.

By Proposition 2.21, associated to the vector  $\lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , there exist  $\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^2 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $\Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^2$ . Then, we have an orthogonal decomposition

$$(3.4) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2.$$

**Example 3.1.** Suppose that  $G = SL(2, \mathbf{R})$  and  $K = SO(2)$ . We use the notations in Section 1.6. The above decomposition depends on the choices of the highest weight  $\lambda^E \in \mathbf{Z}$ . The three cases in (1.44)-(1.46) give the decompositions of  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{t}_0^1 = 0, & \mathfrak{t}_1^2 = 0, & \mathfrak{t}_2 = \mathfrak{t}_0 & \text{if } |\lambda^E| \geq 2; \\ \mathfrak{t}_0^1 = 0, & \mathfrak{t}_1^2 = \mathfrak{t}_0, & \mathfrak{t}_2 = 0 & \text{if } |\lambda^E| = 1; \\ \mathfrak{t}_0^1 = \mathfrak{t}_0, & \mathfrak{t}_1^2 = 0, & \mathfrak{t}_2 = 0 & \text{if } \lambda^E = 0. \end{cases}$$

Set

$$(3.6) \quad r_0 = \dim \mathfrak{t}_0, \quad r_0^1 = \dim \mathfrak{t}_0^1, \quad r_1^2 = \dim \mathfrak{t}_1^2, \quad r_2 = \dim \mathfrak{t}_2.$$

Then,

$$(3.7) \quad r_0 = r_0^1 + r_1^2 + r_2.$$

Moreover,

$$(3.8) \quad \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right)_0^1 + \left( \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right)_2,$$

such that

$$(3.9) \quad \left( \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right)_0^1 \in -\check{C}_0^1, \quad \left( \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right)_2 \in C_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \times \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

If  $Y_1^2 \in \mathfrak{t}_1^2, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{t}_2$ , set

$$(3.10) \quad \pi_1^2(Y_1^2) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_{1,+}^2} \langle \alpha, Y_1^2 \rangle, \quad \pi_2(Y_2) = \prod_{\alpha \in R_{2,+}} \langle \alpha, Y_2 \rangle.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{q}^1 = \mathfrak{l}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1, \mathfrak{q}^2 = \mathfrak{l}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_2$  be the standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$  associated to  $\Delta_0^1$  and  $\Delta_0^2$ . Let  $K_s^1$  be the compact semisimple Lie group defined

in Section 2.5. Moreover,  $\mathfrak{m}^1$  is the reductive Lie algebra with Cartan decomposition

$$(3.11) \quad \mathfrak{m}^1 = \mathfrak{p}^1 \oplus \mathfrak{k}_s^1.$$

Also,  $\tau^{E,1}$  is the induced representation of  $K_s^1$ . Write

$$(3.12) \quad n_s = \dim K_s^1.$$

**Definition 3.2.** Set

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_0^1 &= \pi^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}(\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}) \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_s^1} \frac{\widehat{A}\left(\mathrm{ad}\left(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}\right)|_{\mathfrak{p}^1}\right)}{\widehat{A}\left(\mathrm{ad}\left(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}\right)|_{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}\right)} \mathrm{Tr}\left[\tau^{E,1}\left(e^{-Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}}\right)\right] \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}}{(2\pi)^{n_s^{1/2}}}. \\ \alpha_1^2 &= \int_{C_1^2} \pi_1^2(Y_1^2) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|Y_1^2|^2\right) \frac{dY_1^2}{(2\pi)^{r_1^{1/2}}}, \\ \alpha_2 &= \pi_2\left(\left(\lambda^E + 2\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}}\right)_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \alpha_0^1 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2, & \underline{\alpha}_0 &= \frac{\alpha_0}{(2\pi)^{m/2}} \left[\pi^{\mathfrak{k}}(\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}})\right]^{-1}, \\ \beta_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}r_0^1 + \frac{1}{2}\dim_{\mathbf{C}} u_1^2 + \dim_{\mathbf{C}} u_2, & \underline{\beta}_1 &= \beta_1 - \frac{m+n-r_0}{2}, \\ \gamma_2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left\|\left(\lambda^E + 2\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}}\right)_2\right\|^2, & \underline{\gamma}_2 &= \gamma_2 - \frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $c_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is defined in (1.6).

*Remark 3.3.* If  $\Delta_0^1 = \emptyset$ , then  $C_1^2 = C_0^2$  is a Weyl chamber, so that

$$(3.15) \quad \alpha_1^2 = \frac{1}{|W_0^2|} \int_{t_0^2} |\pi_0^2(Y_0^2)| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|Y_0^2|^2\right) \frac{dY_0^2}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^2}}.$$

This is the Mehta-Macdonald integral [29]. An explicit evaluation is given by Opdam [32].

*Remark 3.4.* By (3.14), we have

$$(3.16) \quad \underline{\beta}_1 = -\dim_{\mathbf{R}} t_0^1 - \frac{1}{2}(\dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathfrak{m}^1 + \dim_{\mathbf{C}} u_1^2 - \dim_{\mathbf{R}} t_0) \in -\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{N}.$$

*Remark 3.5.* By (3.14), we can write

$$(3.17) \quad \gamma_2 = \pi_2\left(\Lambda\left(\lambda^E + 2\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}}\right)\right).$$

*Remark 3.6.* If  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  is replaced by another compatible positive root system  $w^{-1}R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $(\lambda^E + 2\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2$ ,  $\Delta_0^2$ ,  $t_0^1$ ,  $t_1^2$ ,  $t_2$  are unchanged. Therefore,  $C_1^2, R_{1,+}^2, R_{2,+}$  are not changed. In particular,  $\alpha_1^2, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \gamma_2$  are independent of the choices of  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Moreover,  $w \in W_0^1$  and  $\Delta_0^1$  is replaced by  $w^{-1}\Delta_0^1$ . By our construction of  $\tau^{E,1}$ , we know that  $\tau^{E,1}$  is unchanged neither. In summary, all the constants defined in Definition 3.2 does not depend on the choice of  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Now we can state the main result of our paper.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Under the assumptions and notations in this section, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$(3.18) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] \sim \underline{\alpha}_0 t^{\underline{\beta}_1} e^{t\underline{\gamma}_2}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of our theorem will be given in Section 5.4.  $\square$

#### 4. APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 3.7

The purpose of this section is to discuss some immediate applications of our main result Theorem 3.7.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 4.1, we apply Theorem 3.7 to the regular case and obtain the formal degree of discrete series representation.

In Section 4.2, we discuss how the asymptotics in Theorem 3.7 is related to the corresponding asymptotics associated to some quasi-split subreductive group and small representations.

In Section 4.3, we study the spectral measure of the operator  $C^{\mathfrak{g}, X}$ .

In Section 4.4, we discuss how to use Theorem 3.7 to study the Novikov-Shubin-type invariant on locally symmetric spaces.

**4.1. Application to discrete series representations.** We assume that  $G$  has a compact Cartan subgroup, or equivalently,  $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} G = \mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{C}} K$ . In this case,  $G$  has discrete series representations.

Let  $E$  be an irreducible representation of  $K$  with a highest weight  $\lambda^E \in \mathfrak{t}_0^*$ . We assume that  $\lambda^E$  is regular in the following sense:

$$(4.1) \quad \langle \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha \rangle > 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} = \left( \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right)_2,$$

and  $\Delta_0^1 = \Delta_0^2 = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 3.7,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] \sim \underline{\alpha}_0 t^{\underline{\beta}_1} e^{t\underline{\gamma}_2},$$

where

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \underline{\alpha}_0 &= \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g})} \langle \alpha, \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim_{\mathbb{P}}}{2}} [\pi^{\mathfrak{k}}(\rho^{\mathfrak{k}})]}, \\ \underline{\beta}_1 &= 0, \\ \underline{\gamma}_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\| \lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\|^2 - \frac{c_{\mathfrak{g}}}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Up to a constant depending on the choice of the Haar measure on  $G$ ,  $\underline{\alpha}_0$  equals to the formal degree of the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

**4.2. Reduction to small representations.** Let  $\Delta_0^2 \subset \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  be the subset of simple roots associated to the vector  $\lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  as in Section 3. We have the corresponding orthogonal decomposition following (2.32) and (2.34):

$$(4.5) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Then,  $(\lambda^E + 2\rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}})_2$  is a regular element in  $\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{l}^2 \subset \mathfrak{g}$  be the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}^2 = \mathfrak{l}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_2$  be the standard  $\theta$ -invariant parabolic subalgebras of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$  associated to  $\Delta_0^2$ . Let  $L^2$  be the connected Lie subgroup associated to  $\mathfrak{l}^2$ . Let  $K^2 \subset K$  be the centraliser of  $\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in  $K$ . By [19, Corollary 4.51],  $K^2$  is connected, so that  $K^2$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $L^2$ . The  $(L^2, K^2)$  is a subreductive pair of  $(G, K)$ . We have the corresponding Cartan decomposition

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{l}^2 = \mathfrak{p}^2 \oplus \mathfrak{k}^2.$$

Set

$$(4.7) \quad \lambda^{E,2} = \lambda_{|\mathfrak{t}_0^2}^E.$$

Since  $\lambda^E$  is a  $T$ -weight, we know that  $\lambda^{E,2}$  is a  $T_0^2$ -weight. Moreover,  $\lambda^{E,2} \in C_0^2$ . Therefore,  $\lambda^{E,2}$  is a  $R_+(\mathfrak{k}^2)$ -dominant  $T_0^2$ -weight. Let

$$\tau^{E,2} : K^2 \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(E^2)$$

be the irreducible unitary representation of  $K^2$  of highest weight  $\lambda^{E,2}$ .

We obtain the following result as an application of Theorem 3.7.

**Theorem 4.1** (Reduction to  $(L^2, K^2, \tau^{E,2})$ ). *As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$(4.8) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] \sim \alpha_2 \frac{\pi^{\mathfrak{k}^2}(\rho^{\mathfrak{k}^2})}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}} \cdot \pi^{\mathfrak{k}}(\rho^{\mathfrak{k}})} t^{-\frac{m+n-r_2-\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \mathfrak{u}_2}{2}} e^{t\gamma_2} \\ \times \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}^2} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}^2})|_{\mathfrak{p}^2})}{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}^2})|_{\mathfrak{k}^2})} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \tau^{E,2} \left( e^{-Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}^2}} \right) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}^2}|^2 \right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{k}^2}}{(2\pi)^{\dim \mathfrak{k}^2/2}}.$$

*In particular, the integral in (4.8) is essentially the Bismut's orbital integral formula for  $(L^2, K^2, \tau^{E,2})$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Theorem 3.7 to  $(G, K, \tau^E)$  and  $(L^2, K^2, \tau^{E,2})$ , we obtain our theorem.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* Following Vogan [41, Definition 6.1, Theorem 6.4],  $\mathfrak{l}^2$  is quasi-split and  $\tau^{E,2}$  is small.

**4.3. The  $G$ -spectral measure of  $C^{\mathfrak{g}, X}$ .** By the abstract spectral theory, there exists a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$(4.9) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-\frac{t}{2}\lambda} d\mu(\lambda).$$

By Harish-Chandra's explicit Plancherel formula [20, Theorem 13.11],  $\mu$  is a sum of an atomic measure supported at a discrete set in  $\mathbf{R}$  and an absolutely continuous measure with continuous Radon-Nikodym density.

**Proposition 4.3.** *The measure  $\mu$  is supported on  $[-2\underline{\gamma}_2, \infty)$ . Moreover,  $-2\underline{\gamma}_2$  is contained in the support of  $\mu$ . Also, if  $\underline{\beta}_1 = 0$ , then*

$$(4.10) \quad \mu\left(\{-2\underline{\gamma}_2\}\right) = \underline{\alpha}_0.$$

*Proof.* The first two statements of our proposition follows immediately from Theorem 3.7.

Assume now  $\underline{\beta}_1 = 0$ . By Theorem 3.7 and (4.9), we have

$$(4.11) \quad \int_{[-2\underline{\gamma}_2+1, \infty)} e^{-\frac{t}{2}\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \leq e^{t\underline{\gamma}_2/2-t/4} \int_{[-2\underline{\gamma}_2+1, \infty)} e^{-\frac{t}{4}\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \\ \leq e^{t\underline{\gamma}_2/2-t/4} \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{4} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X}\right) \right] = \mathcal{O}\left(e^{t\underline{\gamma}_2-t/4}\right).$$

Using Theorem 3.7 and (4.9) again, by (4.11), as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{[-2\underline{\gamma}_2, -2\underline{\gamma}_2+1)} e^{-\frac{t}{2}\lambda} d\mu(\lambda) \sim \underline{\alpha}_0 e^{\underline{\gamma}_2 t}.$$

We claim that the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu$ , which has continuous Radon-Nikodym density, does not have contribution in (4.12). Indeed, if  $f$  is continuous, then

$$(4.13) \quad e^{-\underline{\gamma}_2 t} \int_{[-2\underline{\gamma}_2, -2\underline{\gamma}_2+1)} e^{-t\lambda/2} f(\lambda) d\lambda = \frac{1}{t} \int_{[0, 1/t)} e^{-\lambda/2} f\left(\frac{\lambda - 2\underline{\gamma}_2}{t}\right) d\lambda = \mathcal{O}(1/t),$$

which gives our claim.

Therefore, only the atomic part of  $\mu$  has contribution in (4.12). Note that when restricted on  $[-2\underline{\gamma}_2, -2\underline{\gamma}_2+1)$ , the atomic part of  $\mu$  is supported on a finite set. Our last statement now follows immediately.  $\square$

The following corollary is useful to show the existence of unitary tempered representation.

**Corollary 4.4.** *There exists a unitary tempered representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  such that that*

$$(4.14) \quad \pi(C^{\mathfrak{g}}) = -2\underline{\gamma}_2, \quad [\pi^* \otimes E]^K \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* Our corollary follows immediately from the second statement of Proposition 4.3 and the Harish-Chandra's Plancherel formula.  $\square$

*Remark 4.5.* According to Vogan [40, 41], the above  $E$  is the minimal  $K$ -type of the unitary tempered representation  $\pi$ .

**4.4. Novikov-Shubin type invariant.** We present an application of Theorem 3.7 to study the Novikov-Shubin type invariant of locally symmetric spaces.

The Novikov-Shubin invariants are topological invariants for closed manifolds defined by the large-time behavior of the heat operator of the Hodge Laplacian on the universal cover [18, 28, 31]. Let us introduce a version of Novikov-Shubin type invariant for Casimir operators on locally symmetric spaces.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete cocompact and torsion free subgroup of  $G$ . Then, the quotient space  $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/K$  is a closed smooth manifold. Then, the  $G$ -equivariant

Hermitian vector bundle  $F = G \times_K E$  on  $X$  descends to a Hermitian vector bundle  $F_\Gamma$  on  $X_\Gamma$ .

The  $\Gamma$ -trace of  $\exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right)$  is computed by the following integral

$$(4.15) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] = \int_U \mathrm{Tr}[p_t(x,x)] dx,$$

where  $U$  is a fundamental domain on  $X$  with respect to the  $\Gamma$  action, and  $p_t(x,x)$  is defined in (1.14). By (1.15),

$$(4.16) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] = \mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma) \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right].$$

We use the assumptions and notations in Theorem 3.7. In the sequel, we assume that

$$(4.17) \quad \underline{\gamma}_2 \leq 0.$$

By Proposition 4.3,  $\mu$  is supported on  $[-2\underline{\gamma}_2, \infty) \subset \mathbf{R}_+$ .

**Definition 4.6.** The Novikov-Shubin-type invariant of  $X_\Gamma$  associated with  $F_\Gamma$  is defined by<sup>5</sup>

$$(4.18) \quad \alpha_{F_\Gamma} = \sup \left\{ \beta \geq 0 : \mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right] - \mathrm{vol}(X_\Gamma) \mu(0) = \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}\right), t \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

**Proposition 4.7.** *Suppose (4.17) holds.*

- i) If  $\underline{\gamma}_2 < 0$ , then Novikov-Shubin invariant  $\alpha_{F_\Gamma} = \infty$ .
- ii) If  $\underline{\beta}_1 < 0$  and if  $\underline{\gamma}_2 = 0$ , then

$$(4.19) \quad \alpha_{F_\Gamma} = -2\underline{\beta}_1 \in \mathbf{N},$$

*Proof.* We observe from (4.16) that  $\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right]$  and  $\mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2}C^{\mathfrak{g},X}\right) \right]$  are related by the volume of  $X_\Gamma$ . By Theorem 3.7, we get our proposition.  $\square$

*Remark 4.8.* The evaluation of  $\alpha_{F_\Gamma}$  in the case  $\underline{\beta}_1 = \underline{\gamma}_2 = 0$  requires to study the subleading term of our  $G$ -trace.

## 5. LARGE TIMES BEHAVIOR OF THE $L^2$ -TRACE

The purpose of this section is to show our main result Theorem 3.7.

This section is organized as follows. In Section 5.1, using the Weyl's integral formula with respect to  $K$ , we rewrite  $\mathrm{Tr}_G$  as an integral  $I_t$  over  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ .

In Section 5.2, choosing a compatible positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , we write  $I_t$  as a sum of integral  $I_t(w)$  over the Weyl chambers  $w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $w \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

In Section 5.3, we study the asymptotic of  $I_t(w)$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The detailed proof will be given in Section 6.

Finally, in Section 5.4, we deduce Theorem 3.7.

---

<sup>5</sup>The supremum of an empty set by convention is  $\infty$ .

**5.1. An application of Weyl's integral formula.** We use the notation in Section 3. In particular, we have fixed a positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \subset R(\mathfrak{k})$  for  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ . Recall that  $\mathfrak{t}_0 = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  is identified with its dual  $\mathfrak{t}_0^*$  by the Euclidean metric  $B|_{\mathfrak{t}_0 \times \mathfrak{t}_0}$ . Recall also that  $\pi^\mathfrak{k}$  is a real polynomial on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$  defined by  $R_+(\mathfrak{k})$ .

Set

$$(5.1) \quad r_0 = \dim \mathfrak{t}_0.$$

**Definition 5.1.** For  $t > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , set

$$(5.2) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}_0} \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) \exp\left(\langle \mu, Y_0 \rangle - \frac{|Y_0|^2}{2t}\right) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi t)^{r_0/2}}.$$

**Proposition 5.2.** If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , for  $t > 0$  and  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(w\mu) = \epsilon_w I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu).$$

*Proof.* If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , there is  $k \in N_K(T)$  such that when acting on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ ,

$$(5.4) \quad w = \mathrm{Ad}(k).$$

Thus,

$$(5.5) \quad \widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(wY_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}).$$

By (2.15), (5.2), (5.5), and by a change of variable, we get our proposition.  $\square$

Let  $\mathrm{vol}(K/T)$  be the Riemannian volume of  $K/T$  with respect to the Riemannian metric induced by  $-B|_{\mathfrak{k}}$ . By [4, Corollary 7.27], we have

$$(5.6) \quad \mathrm{vol}(K/T) = \left[ \pi^\mathfrak{k} \left( \frac{\varrho^\mathfrak{k}}{2\pi} \right) \right]^{-1}.$$

Recall that  $\tau^E$  is an irreducible representation of  $K$  with highest  $\lambda^E \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** For  $t > 0$ , we have

$$(5.7) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{t}{2} C^{\mathfrak{g}, X} \right) \right] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{m}{2}}} \left[ \pi^\mathfrak{k}(\varrho^\mathfrak{k}) \right]^{-1} t^{-\frac{m+n-r_0}{2}} e^{-c_{\mathfrak{g}} t/2} I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\lambda^E + \varrho^\mathfrak{k}).$$

In particular,

$$(5.8) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\lambda^E + \varrho^\mathfrak{k}) > 0.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.3, Corollary 1.4, and (5.6), it is enough to show

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}} \frac{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^\mathfrak{k})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\mathrm{ad}(Y_0^\mathfrak{k})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \tau^E(e^{-Y_0^\mathfrak{k}}) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |Y_0^\mathfrak{k}|^2 \right) dY_0^\mathfrak{k} \\ = \mathrm{vol}(K/T) (2\pi t)^{r_0/2} I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\lambda^E + \varrho^\mathfrak{k}).$$

Since the integrand of left hand side of (5.9) is  $\text{Ad}(K)$ -invariant, using Weyl's integration formula [20, Lemma 11.4] on  $\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}$ , we get

$$(5.10) \quad \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}} \frac{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^\mathfrak{k})|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^\mathfrak{k})|_{\mathfrak{k}})} \text{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0^\mathfrak{k}} \right) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |Y_0^\mathfrak{k}|^2 \right) dY_0^\mathfrak{k} \\ = \frac{\text{vol}(K/T)}{|W(\mathfrak{k})|} \int_{\mathfrak{t}_0} \left| \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \right|^2 \frac{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}})}{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{k}})} \text{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0} \right) \right] \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |Y_0|^2 \right) dY_0.$$

Since  $\pi^\mathfrak{k}$  is real on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ , for  $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , we have

$$(5.11) \quad \left| \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \right|^2 = \left[ \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \right]^2.$$

By Weyl's character formula [12, VI.1.7], for  $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , we have

$$(5.12) \quad \text{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0} \right) \right] \prod_{\alpha \in \widehat{R}_+(\mathfrak{k})} \left( e^{-\langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle / 2} - e^{\langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle / 2} \right) = \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{k})} \epsilon_w e^{-\langle \lambda^E + \rho^\mathfrak{k}, wY_0 \rangle}.$$

From (2.15), (5.11), and (5.12), if  $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , we have

$$(5.13) \quad \left| \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \right|^2 \widehat{A}^{-1}(\text{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{k}}) \text{Tr} \left[ \tau^E \left( e^{-Y_0} \right) \right] = \pi^\mathfrak{k}(-Y_0) \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{k})} \epsilon_w e^{-\langle \lambda^E + \rho^\mathfrak{k}, wY_0 \rangle} \\ = \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{k})} \pi^\mathfrak{k}(-wY_0) e^{-\langle \lambda^E + \rho^\mathfrak{k}, wY_0 \rangle}.$$

By (5.2), (5.5), (5.10), (5.13), and by a change of variables, we get (5.9).  $\square$

**5.2. The functional  $I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu, w)$ .** Recall that in Section 3, we have fixed a compatible positive root system  $R_+(\mathfrak{g})$  in  $R(\mathfrak{g})$  such that (3.2) holds.

**Definition 5.4.** For  $t > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , and  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , set

$$(5.14) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu, w) = \int_{w^{-1}C_+(\mathfrak{g})} \pi^\mathfrak{k}(Y_0) \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) \exp \left( \langle \mu, Y_0 \rangle - \frac{1}{2t} |Y_0|^2 \right) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi t)^{\frac{r_0}{2}}}.$$

By (5.2) and (5.14), we have

$$(5.15) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu) = \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{g})} I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu, w).$$

We write  $w = w_1 w_2$  as in (2.24).

**Proposition 5.5.** For  $t > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , and  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have

$$(5.16) \quad I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu, w) = \epsilon_{w_2} \int_{C_+(\mathfrak{g})} \pi^\mathfrak{k}(w_1^{-1}Y_0) \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(w_1^{-1}Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) \exp \left( \langle w\mu, Y_0 \rangle - \frac{|Y_0|^2}{2t} \right) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi)^{\frac{r_0}{2}}}.$$

In particular,

$$(5.17) \quad \epsilon_{w_2} I_t^\mathfrak{g}(\mu, w) > 0.$$

*Proof.* Equation (5.16) follows from (2.15), (5.5), (5.14), and a change of variables. By (2.22), we have  $\pi^\mathfrak{k}(w_1 Y_0) \geq 0$ . In particular, the integrand on the right hand side of (5.16) is nonnegative on  $C_+(\mathfrak{g})$  and positive on  $\text{Int}(C_+(\mathfrak{g}))$ , from which we get (5.17).  $\square$

For  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , set

$$(5.18) \quad \text{Td}(x) = \frac{x}{1 - e^{-x}}.$$

Then,  $\text{Td}$  is a positive function such that for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,

$$(5.19) \quad \widehat{A}(x) = e^{-x/2} \text{Td}(x).$$

Moreover, there is  $C > 0$  such that for  $x \geq 0$ , we have

$$(5.20) \quad \text{Td}(x) \leq C(1 + |x|).$$

**Definition 5.6.** For  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ , set

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi_0(w_1, Y_0) &= \prod_{\alpha \in w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k})} \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in w_1 R(\mathfrak{p}) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g})} \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle, \\ \widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, Y_0) &= \prod_{\alpha \in w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k})} \langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle \prod_{\alpha \in w_1 R(\mathfrak{p}) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g})} \text{Td}(\langle \alpha, Y_0 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $\pi_0(w_1, \cdot)$  is a polynomial of degree  $|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|$  on  $\mathfrak{t}_0$ . By abuse of notation, we call  $\widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, \cdot)$  has degree  $|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|$ . Clearly, there is  $C > 0$  such that for  $Y_0 \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$(5.22) \quad 0 \leq \widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, Y_0) \leq C(1 + |Y_0|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|}.$$

**Proposition 5.7.** For  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and  $Y_0 \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , we have

$$(5.23) \quad \widehat{\pi}^{\mathfrak{k}}(w_1^{-1} Y_0) A(\text{ad}(w_1^{-1} Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, Y_0) \exp\left(\langle w_1 \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}, Y_0 \rangle\right).$$

*Proof.* By (5.21), it is enough to show

$$(5.24) \quad \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(w_1^{-1} Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \exp\left(\langle w_1 \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}, Y_0 \rangle\right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \text{Td}(\langle w_1 \alpha, Y_0 \rangle).$$

We have a disjoint union

$$(5.25) \quad R(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) : w_1 \alpha > 0\} \sqcup \{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) : w_1 \alpha < 0\}.$$

Observes that the map  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow -\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p})$  interchanges the two subsets on the right hand side of (5.25). Since  $\widehat{A}$  is an even function, by the above observation, we have

$$(5.26) \quad \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(w_1^{-1} Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \widehat{A}(\langle \alpha, w_1^{-1} Y_0 \rangle).$$

By (5.19) and (5.26), we get

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(w_1^{-1} Y_0)|_{\mathfrak{p}}) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \langle \alpha, w_1^{-1} Y_0 \rangle\right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \text{Td}(\langle \alpha, w_1^{-1} Y_0 \rangle) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \langle w_1 \alpha, Y_0 \rangle\right) \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \text{Td}(\langle w_1 \alpha, Y_0 \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(5.28) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha = \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - w_1^{-1} \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Indeed, we can write

$$(5.29) \quad -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha \right).$$

By (2.22), we have

$$(5.30) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha = \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}.$$

By the observation given after Remark 2.9, we have

$$(5.31) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{k}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \alpha \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot \alpha = w_1^{-1} \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

By (5.29)-(5.31), we get our claim (5.28).

By (5.27) and (5.28), we get (5.24) and finish the proof of our proposition.  $\square$

**Definition 5.8.** If  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$  and if  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , denote by

$$(5.32) \quad \underline{\mu}(w) = w\mu + w_1 \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

If  $w = 1$ , we write

$$(5.33) \quad \underline{\mu} = \mu + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

**Corollary 5.9.** For  $t > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}_0$ , and  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W$  satisfying (2.24), we have

$$(5.34) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu, w) = \epsilon_{w_2} \int_{C_+(\mathfrak{g})} \widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, Y_0) \exp \left( \left\langle \underline{\mu}(w), Y_0 \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2t} |Y_0|^2 \right) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi t)^{r_0/2}}.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Propositions 5.5 and 5.7.  $\square$

Recall that the decomposition (2.32),

$$(5.35) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{t}_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

Denote by  $\pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w_1, \cdot)$ ,  $\widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w_1, \cdot)$  the restrictions of  $\pi_0(w_1, \cdot)$ ,  $\widehat{\pi}_0(w_1, \cdot)$  to  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . If  $Y_0 = Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + Y_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have

$$(5.36) \quad \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, Y_0), \quad \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, Y_0).$$

Also, if  $\mu_0 = \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we use the obvious notation

$$(5.37) \quad \underline{\mu}(w) = \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

We can rewrite the above corollary.

**Corollary 5.10.** For  $t > 0$ ,  $\mu_0 = \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W$  satisfying (2.24), we have

$$(5.38) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu, w) = \epsilon_{w_2} \exp \left( \frac{t}{2} |\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}|^2 \right) \times \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w_1, Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp \left( \left\langle \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2t} |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|^2 \right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}{(2\pi t)^{r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}}.$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence of Corollary 5.9.  $\square$

### 5.3. Intermediate results.

**Theorem 5.11.** *For  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , there exist  $A_w > 0$ ,  $r_w \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $\alpha_w \geq 0$  such that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,*

$$(5.39) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\mu, w) \sim \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha_w t^{\beta_w} e^{\gamma_w t}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Theorem will be presented in Section 6.6.  $\square$

Let  $W_0^1, W_0^2 \subset W(\mathfrak{g})$  be the Weyl group associated to  $\Delta_0^1, \Delta_0^2$  defined in Section 3.

**Theorem 5.12.** *For  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have*

$$(5.40) \quad \gamma_w \leq \gamma_2,$$

*where the equality holds if and only if  $w \in W_0^2$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this Theorem will be presented in Section 6.7.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.13.** *If  $w \in W_0^2$ , then*

$$(5.41) \quad \beta_w \leq \beta_1,$$

*where the equality holds if and only if  $w \in W_0^1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of this Theorem will be presented in Section 6.8.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.14.** *If  $\mu = \lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}$ , then*

$$(5.42) \quad \sum_{w \in W_0^1} \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha_w = \alpha_0.$$

*Proof.* The proof of this Theorem will be presented in Section 6.9.  $\square$

**5.4. Proof of Theorem 3.7.** We use (5.15) to compute  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}})$  as sum over  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}, w)$ . The asymptotics of each term  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}, w)$  can be computed using Theorem 5.11. The dominant terms are characterized by Theorems 5.12-5.14.  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . We conclude that as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$(5.43) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(\lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}) \sim \alpha_0 t^{\beta_1} e^{\gamma_2 t}.$$

By (3.14), (5.7), and (5.43), we get Theorem 3.7.

## 6. A FUNCTIONAL $J_t$ AND ITS ASYMPTOTIC AS $t \rightarrow \infty$

In the whole section, we fix  $\mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Sometimes, we will not write down the dependence on  $\mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , although most of the constants in estimates will depend on this parameter.

This section is organised as follows. In Section 6.1, we introduce a functional  $J_t$  on  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , which is closely related to  $I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, \mu_0)$ .

In Section 6.2, we state the main result of this section, which gives an asymptotic for  $J_t$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

In Section 6.3, we study the action associated to the functional  $J_t$ .

In Sections 6.4 and 6.5, we establish the asymptotic of  $J_t$  using Laplace's method.

Finally, in Sections 6.6-6.9, we deduce Theorems 5.11-5.14.

### 6.1. A functional $J_t$ .

**Definition 6.1.** For  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $Y_0^t \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , set

$$(6.1) \quad S_w(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \frac{1}{2} |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|^2 - \langle Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \rangle.$$

By (6.1), we have

$$(6.2) \quad S_w(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \frac{1}{2} |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)|^2.$$

**Definition 6.2.** If  $t > 0$ , set

$$(6.3) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp(-tS_w(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}})) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

*Remark 6.3.* After a rescaling on the variable  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have

$$(6.4) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp\left(\left\langle \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\rangle - \frac{|Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|^2}{2t}\right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}{(2\pi t)^{r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}/2}}.$$

By (5.38) and (6.4), we have

$$(6.5) \quad I_t^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, \mu_0) = \epsilon_{w_2} \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} |\mu_{\mathfrak{g}}|^2\right) J_t(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

**6.2. Large time behavior of  $J_t$ .** Let  $\Delta_0^1(w) \subset \Delta_0^2(w)$  be two subsets of  $\Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  associated to  $\underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)$  as in the Proposition 2.21. Then, we have the decomposition

$$(6.6) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{t}_0^1(w) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2(w) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w).$$

If  $\widehat{C}_0^1(w)$  and  $C_1^2(w)$  are the obvious cones, we have

$$(6.7) \quad \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) = \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) + \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \quad \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \in -\text{Int}(\widehat{C}_0^1(w)), \quad \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \in \text{Int}(C_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{q}^1(w) \subset \mathfrak{q}^2(w) \subset \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  be the associated standard parabolic subalgebra. We have

$$(6.8) \quad \mathfrak{q}^0 = \mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{C}}^0 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_0^1(w) \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1^2(w) \oplus \mathfrak{u}_2(w).$$

**Definition 6.4.** Put

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0^1(w) &= \left\{ f \in w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k}) : f|_{\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} = 0 \right\}, \\ A_1^2(w) &= \left\{ f \in w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k}) : f|_{\mathfrak{t}_1^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \neq 0, f|_{\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} = 0 \right\}, \\ A_2(w) &= \left\{ f \in w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k}) : f|_{\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \neq 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We define  $B_0^1(w), B_1^2(w), B_2(w)$  in the same way when replacing  $w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k})$  by  $w_1 R(\mathfrak{p}) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Clearly, we have disjoint unions

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} w_1 R_+(\mathfrak{k}) &= A_0^1(w) \sqcup A_1^2(w) \sqcup A_2(w), \\ w_1 R(\mathfrak{p}) \cap R_+(\mathfrak{g}) &= B_0^1(w) \sqcup B_1^2(w) \sqcup B_2(w). \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.5.** *If  $w \in W(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have*

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} |A_0^1(w)| + |B_0^1(w)| &= \dim u_0^1(w), \\ |A_1^2(w)| + |B_1^2(w)| &= \dim u_1^2(w), \\ |A_2(w)| + |B_2(w)| &= \dim u_2(w). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let us show that last equation in (6.11). The other equation can be shown in a similar way.

Since  $R(\mathfrak{k}) = R_+(\mathfrak{k}) \sqcup (-R_+(\mathfrak{k}))$ , and since the condition  $\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \neq 0$  is preserved when  $\alpha$  is replaced by  $-\alpha$ , by (6.9), we have

$$(6.12) \quad |A_2(w)| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in w_1 R(\mathfrak{k}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2(w)} \neq 0 \right\} \right|.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(6.13) \quad |B_2(w)| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \left\{ \alpha \in w_1 R(\mathfrak{p}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2(w)} \neq 0 \right\} \right|.$$

By Proposition 2.2, (6.12), and (6.13), using  $w_1 R(\mathfrak{g}) = R(\mathfrak{g})$ , we have

$$(6.14) \quad |A_2(w)| + |B_2(w)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2(w)} \neq 0} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{w_1^{-1}\alpha}.$$

By Proposition 2.4 and (6.14), we have

$$(6.15) \quad |A_2(w)| + |B_2(w)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2(w)} \neq 0} \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{g}) : \alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}_2(w)} \neq 0} \dim \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

from which we get the last equation in (6.11).  $\square$

**Definition 6.6.** For  $Y_0^1 \in \mathfrak{t}_0^1(w)$ , set

$$(6.16) \quad \hat{\pi}_0^1(w, Y_0^1) = \prod_{f \in A_0^1(w)} \langle f, Y_0^1 \rangle \prod_{f \in B_0^1(w)} \text{Td}(\langle f, Y_0^1 \rangle).$$

For  $Y_1^2 \in \mathfrak{t}_1^2(w)$ , set

$$(6.17) \quad \pi_1^2(w, Y_1^2) = \prod_{f \in A_1^2(w)} \langle f, Y_1^2 \rangle \prod_{f \in B_1^2(w)} \langle f, Y_1^2 \rangle.$$

For  $Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \mathfrak{t}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)$ , set

$$(6.18) \quad \pi_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \prod_{f \in A_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \langle f, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle \prod_{f \in B_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \langle f, Y_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \rangle.$$

By Proposition 6.5, the degree of the functions (6.16)-(6.18) are  $\dim u_0^1(w)$ ,  $\dim u_1^2(w)$  and  $\dim u_2(w)$  respectively.

To simplify the notation, we denote by  $P_0^1, P_1^2, P_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  the orthogonal projections with respect to the decomposition (6.6). We have the following inclusion,

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} A_0^1(w) \cup B_0^1(w) &\subset \check{C}_0^1(w) \setminus \{0\}, \\ P_1^2(A_1^2(w) \cup B_1^2(w)) &\subset \check{C}_1^2(w) \setminus \{0\}, \\ P_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(A_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \cup B_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)) &\subset \check{C}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \setminus \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,  $\hat{\pi}_0^1$ ,  $\pi_1^2$ , and  $\pi_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  satisfy similar properties as  $\pi_0$  and  $\hat{\pi}_0$ .

**Definition 6.7.** Define

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.20) \quad \alpha_0^1(w) &= \int_{Y_0^1 \in C_0^1(w)} \widehat{\pi}_0^1(w, Y_0^1) \exp\left(\langle Y_0^1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle\right) \frac{dY_0^1}{(2\pi)^{r_0^1(w)/2}}, \\
\alpha_1^2(w) &= \int_{Y_1^2 \in C_1^2(w)} \pi_1^2(w, Y_1^2) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}|Y_1^2|^2\right) \frac{dY_1^2}{(2\pi)^{r_1^2(w)/2}} \\
\alpha_2^g(w) &= \pi_2^g(w, \underline{\mu}_2^g(w)).
\end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(6.21) \quad \alpha(w, \mu_0^g) = \alpha_0^1(w) \alpha_1^2(w) \alpha_2^g(w).$$

As before, the integrand in the definition of  $\alpha_0^1(w), \alpha_1^2(w)$  are integrable. By (6.19),

$$(6.22) \quad \alpha_0^1(w) > 0, \quad \alpha_1^2(w) > 0, \quad \alpha_2^g(w) > 0,$$

so that

$$(6.23) \quad \alpha(w, \mu_0^g) > 0.$$

**Definition 6.8.** Set

$$(6.24) \quad \beta(w, \mu_0^g) = -\frac{1}{2}r_0^1(w) + \frac{1}{2}\dim u_1^2(w) + \dim u_2^g(w).$$

The main result of this section is the following.

**Theorem 6.9.** As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(6.25) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^g) \sim \alpha(w, \mu_0^g) t^{\beta(w, \mu_0^g)} \exp\left(\frac{t}{2}|\underline{\mu}_2^g(w)|^2\right).$$

*Remark 6.10.* When  $\Delta_0^2(w) = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $\underline{\mu}_0^g(w) = \underline{\mu}_2^g(w)$  is in the interior of  $C_0^g$ . By (6.25), as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(6.26) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^g) \sim \pi_0^g(\underline{\mu}_2^g(w)) t^{\dim u_0} \exp\left(\frac{t}{2}|\underline{\mu}_2^g(w)|^2\right),$$

which is a well-known (at least when  $Q = 1$ ) consequence of the Laplace method [17, Section IV.2.5].

When  $\Delta_0^1(w) = \Delta_0^g$ , i.e.,  $\underline{\mu}_0^g(w)$  is in the interior of  $-\check{C}_0^g$  and  $\underline{\mu}_2^g(w) = 0$ . Then,  $\exp\left(\langle Y_0^g, \underline{\mu}_0^g(w) \rangle\right)$  is integrable on  $C_0^g$ . By (6.25), as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(6.27) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^g) \sim t^{-\frac{1}{2}r_0^g} \int_{Y_0^g \in C_0^g} \widehat{\pi}_0^g(Y_0^g) \exp\left(\langle Y_0^g, \underline{\mu}_0^g(w) \rangle\right) \frac{dY_0^g}{(2\pi)^{t_0^g/2}},$$

which can be obtained directly from (6.4).

The proof of Theorem 6.9 will be given in Sections 6.3-6.5. We will apply the Laplace method, which relies on a detailed analysis on the minimal of  $S_w$  on  $C_0^g$  and on the local behaviour near the minimal point.

**6.3. Study of the action  $S_w$ .** By (2.90) and (6.35), we see that

$$(6.28) \quad \min_{C_0^g} S_w = S_w \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \left| \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right|^2.$$

Let us study the local behaviors of  $S_w$  near  $\underline{\mu}_2^g(w)$ . In the statement of the following proposition, we use (2.45) for the expression  $Y_0^g = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$ .

**Proposition 6.11.** *For  $Y_0^g \in t_0^g$ , we have*

$$(6.29) \quad S_w \left( Y_0^g + \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) = S_w \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) + \frac{1}{2} |P_0^2 Y_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |P_1^2 Y_2|^2 + \langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle \\ + \frac{1}{2} |P_2^g (Y_1 + Y_2) + Y_3|^2 - \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle.$$

If  $Y_0^g + \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \in C_0^g$ , we have

$$(6.30) \quad \langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle \geq 0, \quad \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle \leq 0.$$

*Proof.* By (6.1), we have

$$(6.31) \quad S_w \left( Y_0^g + \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) = S_w \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) + \frac{1}{2} |Y_0^g|^2 - \langle Y_0^g, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle.$$

Using the trivial formula,

$$(6.32) \quad |Y_0^g|^2 = |P_0^2 Y_0^g|^2 + |P_2^g Y_0^g|^2,$$

and

$$(6.33) \quad P_0^2 Y_0^g = P_0^2 Y_1 + P_1^2 Y_2, \quad P_2^g Y_0^g = P_2^g (Y_1 + Y_2) + Y_3,$$

we get

$$(6.34) \quad \frac{1}{2} |Y_0^g|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |P_0^2 Y_1|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |P_1^2 Y_2|^2 + \langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle + \frac{1}{2} |P_2^g (Y_1 + Y_2) + Y_3|^2.$$

By (2.45) and (2.92), we have

$$(6.35) \quad \langle Y_0^g, \underline{\mu}_0^1 \rangle = \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1 \rangle.$$

By (6.31), (6.34), and (6.35), we get (6.29).

Since  $Y_0^g + \underline{\mu}_2^g \in C_0^g$ ,  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are non negative linear combinations of  $\omega_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^2$ . Then,  $P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \in C_1^2$ , which gives the first estimate of (6.30). Similarly,  $P_0^1 Y_1, -\underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \in C_0^1$ , which gives the second estimate of (6.30).  $\square$

**6.4. Localisation of the problem.** For  $\epsilon > 0$ , put

$$(6.36) \quad B \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w), \epsilon \right) = \left\{ \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^g} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha \in t_0^g : |y^\alpha| < \epsilon \right\}.$$

Set

$$(6.37) \quad J_{\epsilon,t}(w, \mu_0^g) = \left( \frac{t}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} r_0^g} \int_{C_0^g \cap B(\underline{\mu}_2^g(w), \epsilon)} \widehat{\pi}_0^g(t Y_0^g) \exp(-t S_w(Y_0^g)) dY_0^g.$$

**Proposition 6.12.** *Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $\eta > 0, C > 0$  such that for  $t \geq 1$ ,*

$$(6.38) \quad |J_t(w, \mu_0^g) - J_{\epsilon,t}(w, \mu_0^g)| \leq C \exp \left( -t S_w \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) - t\eta \right).$$

*Proof.* By (6.2), (6.3), and (6.37), we have

$$(6.39) \quad J_t(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) - J_{t,\epsilon}(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \exp\left(\frac{t}{2} \left| \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)} \widehat{\pi}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp\left(-\frac{t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

By the unicity of the projection  $\mu_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have

$$(6.40) \quad \min_{Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right| > \left| \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \right|.$$

By (6.40), there exist  $\eta > 0$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  such that for  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)$ ,

$$(6.41) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2 \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \right|^2 + 2\eta.$$

By (6.39) and (6.41), we have

$$(6.42) \quad \left| J_t(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) - J_{t,\epsilon}(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \right| \leq \left(\frac{t}{2\pi}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \exp\left(-t \left( S_w(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)) + 2\eta \right)\right) \times \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)} \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp\left(-\frac{\delta t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

It remains to show that the term

$$(6.43) \quad t^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \exp(-\eta t) \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)} \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp\left(-\frac{\delta t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$$

is uniformly bounded when  $t \in [1, \infty)$ . Indeed, by (5.22), when  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in C_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have

$$(6.44) \quad \left| \widehat{\pi}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \right| \leq C(1 + |tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \leq C(1+t)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} (1 + |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|}.$$

By (6.44), there exist  $C' > 0$  and  $N \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for  $t \geq 1$ ,

$$(6.45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)} \pi_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(tY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \exp\left(-\frac{\delta t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & \leq C t^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \int_{\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} (1 + |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & = C t^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \int_{\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} \left(1 + \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right| \right)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta t}{2} \left| Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \right|^2\right) dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \\ & \leq C' t^N. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.45), we get the claim (6.43), which finishes the proof of our proposition.  $\square$

**6.5. A rescaling on the normal coordinates.** We fix now  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough such that

$$(6.46) \quad C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon) = \left\{ \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq y^\alpha < \epsilon \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2(w) \\ |y^\alpha| < \epsilon \text{ if } \alpha \notin \Delta_0^2(w) \end{array} \right\}.$$

We introduce a nonhomogenous rescaling

$$(6.47) \quad Y_0^t = \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + \frac{Y_1}{t} + \frac{Y_2 + Y_3}{\sqrt{t}},$$

where  $Y_1, Y_2$  and  $Y_3$  are as in (2.45). Set

$$(6.48) \quad C_{\epsilon,t}(w) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} : \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq y^\alpha < \epsilon t \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_0^1(w) \\ 0 \leq y^\alpha < \epsilon \sqrt{t} \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2(w) \setminus \Delta_0^1(w), \\ |y^\alpha| < \epsilon \sqrt{t} \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \setminus \Delta_0^2(w) \end{array} \right\},$$

$$C_\infty(w) = \left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_0^{\mathfrak{g}}} y^\alpha \omega_\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} : y^\alpha \geq 0 \text{ if } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2(w) \right\}.$$

Then,

$$(6.49) \quad C_{\epsilon,t} \subset C_\infty.$$

By (6.46) and (6.48), we see that  $\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + \frac{Y_1}{t} + \frac{Y_2+Y_3}{\sqrt{t}} \in C_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \cap B(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \epsilon)$  if and only if

$$(6.50) \quad Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \in C_{\epsilon,t}.$$

By (6.29), (6.37), and by the changing variable (6.47), we have

$$(6.51) \quad J_{\epsilon,t}(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}r_0^1(w)} \exp\left(-tS_w(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w))\right) \\ \int_{Y_1+Y_2+Y_3 \in C_{\epsilon,t}} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(t\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + Y_1 + \sqrt{t}(Y_2 + Y_3)\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}|P_0^2 Y_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|P_1^2 Y_2|^2\right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\left|P_2^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(\frac{Y_1}{\sqrt{t}} + Y_2\right) + Y_3\right|^2 + \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle\right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}}.$$

After a translation on the variable  $Y_3$  by  $-P_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(Y_1/\sqrt{t} + Y_2)$ , we get

$$(6.52) \quad J_{\epsilon,t}(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}r_0^1(w)} \exp\left(-tS_w(\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w))\right) \\ \int_{\widetilde{C}_{\epsilon,t}(w)} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(t\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} + P_0^2(Y_1 + \sqrt{t}Y_2) + \sqrt{t}Y_3\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2t}|P_0^2 Y_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|P_0^2 Y_2|^2\right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\langle P_0^2 Y_1, P_0^2 Y_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2}|Y_3|^2 + \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle\right) \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}r_0^{\mathfrak{g}}}}.$$

where  $\widetilde{C}_{\epsilon,t}(w)$  is some domain obtained by translation. Since  $C_\infty(w)$  is stable under translation by an element of  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . By (6.49), we know that

$$(6.53) \quad \widetilde{C}_{\epsilon,t}(w) \subset C_\infty(w).$$

**Proposition 6.13.** *There is  $C > 0$  such that for all  $t \geq 1$  and  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \in \widetilde{C}_{\epsilon,t}(w)$ , we have*

$$(6.54) \quad t^{-\dim u_1^2(w)/2 - \dim u_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \left| \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(t\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2(Y_1 + \sqrt{t}Y_2) + \sqrt{t}Y_3\right) \right| \leq C(1 + |Y|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|}.$$

As  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have the pointwise convergence in the interior of  $C_\infty(w)$ ,

$$(6.55) \quad t^{-\dim u_1^2(w)/2 - \dim u_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)} \widehat{\pi}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}\left(t\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2(Y_1 + \sqrt{t}Y_2) + \sqrt{t}Y_3\right) \\ \rightarrow \widehat{\pi}_0^1(w, P_0^1 Y_1) \pi_1^2(w, P_1^2 Y_2) \pi_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w, \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w)).$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\hat{\pi}_0^1$  is defined in 6.16. Since  $f \in A_0^1(w)$  or  $f \in B_0^1(w)$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we see that  $\hat{\pi}_0^1$  extends naturally to  $\mathfrak{t}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Still denote by  $\hat{\pi}_0^1$  this extension.<sup>6</sup> We define  $\hat{\pi}_1^2, \hat{\pi}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$  in a similar way, so that

$$(6.56) \quad \hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}_0^1 \hat{\pi}_1^2 \hat{\pi}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Clearly,

$$(6.57) \quad \hat{\pi}_0^1(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \hat{\pi}_0^1(P_0^1 Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \hat{\pi}_1^2(Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \hat{\pi}_1^2(P_0^2 Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}).$$

By (6.56) and (6.57), we have

$$(6.58) \quad \begin{aligned} & \hat{\pi} \left( t \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) + \sqrt{t} Y_3 \right) \\ &= \hat{\pi}_0^1 \left( P_0^1 Y_1 \right) \hat{\pi}_1^2 \left( P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) \right) \hat{\pi}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \left( t \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) + \sqrt{t} Y_3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

By our definition of  $\tilde{C}_{\epsilon, t}$ , we have

$$(6.59) \quad t \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) + \sqrt{t} Y_3 \in C_0^{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(6.60) \quad P_0^1 Y_1 \in C_0^1, \quad P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) \in C_0^2,$$

By the estimates which are similar to (5.22), and by (6.58), and we get (6.54).

Observe that if  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3$  is in the interior of  $C_{\infty}$ , if  $f \in A_1^2(w) \cup B_1^2(w)$  and  $f' \in A_2(w) \cup B_2(w)$ , then

$$(6.61) \quad \langle f, P_0^2 Y_2 \rangle > 0, \quad \langle f', \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \rangle > 0.$$

Moreover, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(6.62) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle f, P_0^2 \left( \sqrt{t} Y_2 + Y_3 \right) \rangle \sim \sqrt{t} \langle f', P_0^2 Y_2 \rangle, \\ & \langle f', t \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) + P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t} Y_2 \right) + \sqrt{t} Y_3 \rangle \sim t \langle f', \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.58) and by the above observations, and by  $P_0^2 Y_2 = P_1^2 Y_2$ , we get (6.55).  $\square$

*The proof of Theorem 6.9.* By (6.30), and by our definition of  $\tilde{C}_{\epsilon, t}(w)$ , if  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}} = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 \in \tilde{C}_{\epsilon, t}(w)$ , we have

$$(6.63) \quad \begin{aligned} & \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |P_0^2 Y_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |P_1^2 Y_2|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |Y_3|^2 + \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle \right) \\ & \leq \exp \left( \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |P_0^2 Y_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y_3|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(6.64) \quad (1 + |Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}}|)^{|R_+(\mathfrak{g})|} \exp \left( \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |P_0^2 Y_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y_3|^2 \right)$$

<sup>6</sup>This extension does not coincide with the extension defined by  $Y_0^{\mathfrak{t}} \rightarrow \pi_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(P_2^{\mathfrak{g}} Y_0^{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

is integrable on  $C_\infty$ , by Proposition 6.13, by (6.63), and by the dominated convergence theorem, as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$(6.65) \quad t^{-\dim u_1^2(w)/2 - \dim u_2^g(w)} \int_{\tilde{C}_{\epsilon,t}(w)} \hat{\pi}_0^g \left( t\mu_2^g + P_0^2 \left( Y_1 + \sqrt{t}Y_2 \right) + \sqrt{t}Y_3 \right) \\ \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2t} |P_0^2 Y_1|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |P_1^2 Y_2|^2 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \langle P_1^2 Y_1, P_1^2 Y_2 \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |Y_3|^2 + \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle \right) \frac{dY_0^g}{(2\pi)^{r_0^g/2}} \\ \rightarrow Q_2^g \left( \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right) \int_{C_\infty} \hat{\pi}_0^1 (P_0^1 Y_1) \pi_1^2 (P_1^2 Y_2) \exp \left( \langle P_0^1 Y_1, \underline{\mu}_0^1(w) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} |P_0^2 Y_2|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |Y_3|^2 \right) \frac{dY_0^g}{(2\pi)^{r_0^g/2}}.$$

Since the isomorphism (2.47) sends  $C_\infty$  to  $C_0^1 \times C_1^2 \times \mathfrak{t}_2^g$ , by Proposition 2.13, the last lines of (6.65) is equal to  $\alpha(w, \mu_0^g)$  given in (6.21).

By (6.52), (6.65), and the above observation, we get (6.25). The proof of Theorem 6.9 is completed.  $\square$

**6.6. Proof of Theorem 5.11.** By (5.38), we have

$$(6.66) \quad I_t^g(\mu, w) \sim \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha(w, \mu_0^g) t^{\beta(w, \mu_0^g)} \exp \left( \frac{t}{2} \left| \underline{\mu}_2^g(w) \right|^2 \right).$$

We get Theorem 5.11. In particular,

$$(6.67) \quad \gamma(w, \mu_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left| \underline{\mu}_2(w) \right|^2.$$

**6.7. Proof of Theorem 5.12.** Recall that

$$(6.68) \quad \underline{\mu}(w) = w\mu + w_1 \varrho^\mathfrak{k} - \varrho^g, \quad \underline{\mu} = \mu + \varrho^\mathfrak{k} - \varrho^g.$$

**Proposition 6.14.** *If  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , we have*

$$(6.69) \quad \mu + \varrho^\mathfrak{k} - w_1(\mu + \varrho^\mathfrak{k}) \in \check{C}_0^g, \quad w_1 \mu - w\mu \in \check{C}_0^g.$$

*In particular,*

$$(6.70) \quad \underline{\mu} - \underline{\mu}(w) \in \check{C}_0^g.$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mu + \varrho^\mathfrak{k} \in C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , the first relation in (6.69) is a consequence of (2.27). Similarly, considering the root system  $R(\mathfrak{k})$ , we have

$$(6.71) \quad \mu - w_2 \mu \in \check{C}_+(\mathfrak{k}).$$

Since  $w_1^{-1} C_+(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_+(\mathfrak{k})$ , then  $\mu - w_2 \mu$  is nonnegative on  $w_1^{-1} C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , from which we get the second relation in (6.69). Relation (6.70) is immediate from (6.69).  $\square$

**Proposition 6.15.** *If  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $w_1 \in W_1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$  and  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k})$ , we have*

$$(6.72) \quad \left| \left( \lambda^E + 2\varrho^\mathfrak{k} - \varrho^g \right)_2 \right| \geq \left| \left( w \left( \lambda^E + \varrho^\mathfrak{k} \right) + w_1 \varrho^\mathfrak{k} - \varrho^g \right)_2 \right|.$$

*Equality holds if and only if*

$$(6.73) \quad w \in W_0^2.$$

*If one the the above equivalent condition holds,*

$$(6.74) \quad \Delta_0^2(w) = \Delta_0^2.$$

*Proof.* The estimate (6.72) is a consequence of (2.94) and (6.70). By (2.95), the equality in (6.72) holds if and only if (6.73).  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 5.12.* By (2.94) and (6.70), we have

$$(6.75) \quad \left| \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}(w) \right| \leq \left| \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \right|.$$

By (6.75), we get (5.40).

The equality in (6.75) holds if and only if

$$(6.76) \quad \left\langle \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - \underline{\mu}_0^{\mathfrak{g}}(w), \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\rangle = 0.$$

By (6.69), we see that (6.76) is equivalent to

$$(6.77) \quad \left\langle \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - w_1 \left( \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} \right), \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - w_2 \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, w_1^{-1} \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} \right\rangle = 0.$$

By (2.28), the first equation in (6.77) is equivalent to  $w_1 = w_1' w_1''$  such that

$$(6.78) \quad w_1' \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}} = \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad w_1'' \left( \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} \right) = \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}}.$$

By Proposition 2.24 and by the second equation in (6.78), we see that  $w_1''$  fix the vector  $\underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Therefore, (6.78) is equivalent to  $w_1 \in W_0^2$ .

Since  $\mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}$  is in the open Weyl chamber  $\text{Int}(C_+(\mathfrak{k}))$ , by Proposition 2.24, the second equation in 6.77 is equivalent to  $w_2$  fix the vector  $w_1^{-1} \underline{\mu}_2^{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

By the above observations, we see that (6.77) is equivalent to

$$(6.79) \quad w_1, w_2 \in W_0^2.$$

By Proposition 2.15, this is equivalent to  $w \in W_0^2$ .  $\square$

**6.8. Proof of Theorem 5.13.** In this subsection, we will assume  $w \in W_0^2$ . Then,  $\Delta_0^2(w) = \Delta_0^2$ . Still  $\Delta_0^1(w)$  depends on  $w \in W_0^2$ , so that we have the decomposition

$$(6.80) \quad \mathfrak{t}_0^2 = \mathfrak{t}_0^1(w) \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2(w).$$

We have

$$(6.81) \quad \beta(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = -\dim \mathfrak{t}_0^1(w) + \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{u}_1^2(w) + \dim \mathfrak{u}_2.$$

*Proof of Theorem 5.13.* Since  $w \in W_0^2$ , we know that  $w(\lambda^E + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}}) + w_1 \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $\lambda^E + 2\varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - \varrho^{\mathfrak{g}}$  has the same projection on  $C_+(\mathfrak{g})$ , by Proposition 2.23 and 6.14, we have

$$(6.82) \quad \Delta_0^1 \subset \Delta_0^1(w).$$

By (6.81), we see that the map

$$(6.83) \quad \beta(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) \leq \beta_1.$$

We have the equality if and only if  $\Delta_0^1 = \Delta_0^1(w)$ . This is equivalent to

$$(6.84) \quad \left\langle \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - w \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - w_1 \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}}, \omega_\alpha \right\rangle = 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1.$$

By (6.70), the condition (6.84) is equivalent to

$$(6.85) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\langle \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} - w_1 \left( \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} + \varrho^{\mathfrak{k}} \right), \omega_\alpha \right\rangle &= 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1. \\ \left\langle w_1 \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - w \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega_\alpha \right\rangle &= 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1. \end{aligned}$$

The first equation is equivalent to  $w_1 \in W_0^1$ . If  $w_1 \in W_0^1$ , the second equation tells us

$$(6.86) \quad \left\langle \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} - w_2 \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}, \omega_\alpha \right\rangle = 0, \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1.$$

Since  $\mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{Int}(C_+(\mathfrak{k}))$ ,  $w_2$  fix  $\omega_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in \Delta_0^2 \setminus \Delta_0^1$ . This means  $w_2 \in W_0^1$ .  $\square$

**6.9. Proof of Theorem 5.14.** In this subsection, we assume  $w \in W_0^1$ . The decomposition

$$(6.87) \quad \mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{t}_0^1 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_1^2 \oplus \mathfrak{t}_2$$

is independent of  $w$ . Therefore,  $\alpha_1^2(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}})$  and  $\alpha_2(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}})$  do not depend on  $w \in W_0^1$ , so that

$$(6.88) \quad \alpha_1^2(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \alpha_1^2, \quad \alpha_2(w, \mu_0^{\mathfrak{g}}) = \alpha_2.$$

**Proposition 6.16.** *If  $w = w_1 w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1)$  with  $w_1 \in W(\mathfrak{l}^1, \mathfrak{k}^1)$  and  $w_2 \in W(\mathfrak{k}^1)$ , then*

$$(6.89) \quad \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha_0^1(w) = \int_{w^{-1}C_0^1} \pi^{\mathfrak{k}^1}(Y_0^1) \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^1)|_{\mathfrak{p}^1}) \exp(\langle \mu_0^1, Y_0^1 \rangle) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi)^{r_0^{1/2}}}.$$

*In particular,*

$$(6.90) \quad \sum_{w \in W_0^1} \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha_0^1(w) = \int_{\mathfrak{t}_0^1} \pi^{\mathfrak{k}^1}(Y_0^1) \widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^1)|_{\mathfrak{p}^1}) \exp(\langle \mu_0^1, Y_0^1 \rangle) \frac{dY_0}{(2\pi)^{r_0^{1/2}}}.$$

*Proof.* By the first formula of (6.20), we have

$$(6.91) \quad \alpha_0^1(w) = \int_{Y_0^1 \in C_0^1} \prod_{\alpha \in R_+(\mathfrak{k}^1)} \langle w_1 \alpha, Y_0^t \rangle \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}^1) \\ w_1 \alpha > 0}} \text{Td}(\langle w_1 \alpha, Y_0^t \rangle) \\ \exp\left(\langle Y_0^1, w \mu_0^1 + w_1 \rho^{\mathfrak{k}^1} - \rho^{\mathfrak{m}^1} \rangle\right) \frac{dY_0^1}{(2\pi)^{r_0^{1/2}}}.$$

Proceeding as in the proof of (5.34), we get our results.  $\square$

Assume now  $\mu = \lambda^E + \rho^{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Recall that the  $K_s^1$  representation  $E^1$  is defined in Section 2.5.

**Proposition 6.17.** *The following identity holds,*

$$(6.92) \quad \sum_{w \in W(\mathfrak{k}^1)} \epsilon_{w_2} \alpha_0^1(w) = \pi^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}(\rho^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}) \int_{\sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{t}_s^1} \frac{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1})|_{\mathfrak{p}_s^1})}{\widehat{A}(\text{ad}(Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1})|_{\mathfrak{k}_s^1})} \text{Tr}^{E^1} \left[ e^{-Y_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}} \right] \frac{dY_0^{\mathfrak{k}_s^1}}{(2\pi)^{n_s^{1/2}}}.$$

*Proof.* Proceeding as the proof of (5.9), using Weyl's formula, and an analogous version of (5.23), we get our proposition.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Michael Francis Atiyah, *Elliptic operators and compact groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. Vol. 401, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974.
- [2] Michael Atiyah and Wilfried Schmid, *A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 1–62, DOI 10.1007/BF01389783.
- [3] Paul Baum, Alain Connes, and Nigel Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group C\*-algebras*, C\*-algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993), 1994, pp. 240–291, DOI 10.1090/conm/167/1292018.
- [4] Nicole Berline, Ezra Getzler, and Michèle Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*, Grundlehren Text Editions, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Corrected reprint of the 1992 original.

- [5] Jean-Michel Bismut, *The hypoelliptic Laplacian on the cotangent bundle*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (2005), no. 2, 379–476, DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-05-00479-0. MR2137981
- [6] ———, *The hypoelliptic Dirac operator*, Geometry and dynamics of groups and spaces, Progr. Math., vol. 265, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008, pp. 113–246, DOI 10.1007/978-3-7643-8608-5\_3. MR2402405
- [7] ———, *The hypoelliptic Laplacian on a compact Lie group*, J. Funct. Anal. **255** (2008), no. 9, 2190–2232, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2008.07.017. MR2473254
- [8] ———, *Hypoelliptic Laplacian and orbital integrals* **177** (2011), xii+330, DOI 10.1515/9781400840571.
- [9] ———, *Eta invariants and the hypoelliptic Laplacian*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **21** (2019), no. 8, 2355–2515, DOI 10.4171/jems/887. MR4035848
- [10] Jean-Michel Bismut and Gilles Lebeau, *The hypoelliptic Laplacian and Ray-Singer metrics*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 167, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008. MR2441523
- [11] Jean-Michel Bismut and Shu Shen, *Geometric orbital integrals and the center of the enveloping algebra*, Compos. Math. **158** (2022), no. 6, 1189–1253, DOI 10.1112/s0010437x22007412. MR4468508
- [12] Theodor Bröcker and Tammo tom Dieck, *Representations of compact Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 98, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. Translated from the German manuscript, Corrected reprint of the 1985 translation.
- [13] Jacques Carmona, *Sur la classification des modules admissibles irréductibles*, Noncommutative harmonic analysis and Lie groups (Marseille, 1982), 1983, pp. 11–34, DOI 10.1007/BFb0071495.
- [14] Pierre Clare, Nigel Higson, Yanli Song, and Xiang Tang, *On the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism, I*, Jpn. J. Math. **19** (2024), no. 1, 67–109, DOI 10.1007/s11537-024-2220-2.
- [15] Pierre Clare, Nigel Higson, and Yanli Song, *On the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism, II*, Jpn. J. Math. **19** (2024), no. 1, 111–141, DOI 10.1007/s11537-024-2221-1.
- [16] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici, *The  $L^2$ -index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), no. 2, 291–330, DOI 10.2307/1971393.
- [17] Jean Dieudonné, *Calcul infinitésimal*, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [18] D. V. Efremov and Mikhail A. Shubin, *The spectral asymptotics of elliptic operators of Schrödinger type on a hyperbolic space [MR1181097 (94d:58145)]*, Trudy Sem. Petrovsk. **15** (1991), 3–32, 235.
- [19] Anthony W. Knaapp, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996.
- [20] ———, *Representation theory of semisimple groups*, Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, Oxford, 1986.
- [21] Anthony W. Knaapp and David A. Vogan, Jr, *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 45, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995.
- [22] Jean-Pierre Labesse and Jean-Loup Waldspurger, *La formule des traces tordue d’après le Friday Morning Seminar*, CRM Monograph Series, vol. 31, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. With a foreword by Robert Langlands [dual English/French text].
- [23] Vincent Lafforgue,  *$K$ -théorie bivariante pour les algèbres de Banach et conjecture de Baum-Connes*, Invent. Math. **149** (2002), no. 1, 1–95, DOI 10.1007/s002220200213.
- [24] Robert P. Langlands, *On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups*, Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups, 1989, pp. 101–170, DOI 10.1090/surv/031/03.
- [25] Bingxiao Liu, *Asymptotic equivariant real analytic torsions for compact locally symmetric spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **281** (2021), no. 7, Paper No. 109117, 54, DOI 10.1016/j.jfa.2021.109117. MR4269601

- [26] ———, *Hypoelliptic Laplacian and twisted trace formula*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **73** (2023), no. 5, 1909–1985, DOI 10.5802/aif.3566 (English, with English and French summaries). MR4655382
- [27] ———, *On full asymptotics of real analytic torsions for compact locally symmetric orbifolds*, Anal. PDE **17** (2024), no. 4, 1261–1329, DOI 10.2140/apde.2024.17.1261. MR4746871
- [28] John Lott, *Delocalized  $L^2$ -invariants*, J. Funct. Anal. **169** (1999), no. 1, 1–31, DOI 10.1006/jfan.1999.3451.
- [29] Ian G. Macdonald, *Some conjectures for root systems*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **13** (1982), no. 6, 988–1007, DOI 10.1137/0513070.
- [30] Henry P. McKean, *Selberg’s trace formula as applied to a compact Riemann surface*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **25** (1972), 225–246, DOI 10.1002/cpa.3160250302. MR0473166
- [31] Sergei P. Novikov and Mikhail A. Shubin, *Morse inequalities and von Neumann  $\text{II}_1$ -factors*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **289** (1986), no. 2, 289–292.
- [32] Eric M. Opdam, *Some applications of hypergeometric shift operators*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 1, 1–18, DOI 10.1007/BF01388841.
- [33] Susana A. Salamanca-Riba and David A. Vogan, Jr., *On the classification of unitary representations of reductive Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **148** (1998), no. 3, 1067–1133, DOI 10.2307/121036.
- [34] Atle Selberg, *Harmonic analysis and discontinuous groups in weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces with applications to Dirichlet series*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **20** (1956), 47–87.
- [35] Shu Shen, *Analytic torsion, dynamical zeta functions, and the Fried conjecture*, Anal. PDE **11** (2018), no. 1, 1–74, DOI 10.2140/apde.2018.11.1. MR3707290
- [36] ———, *Analytic torsion, dynamical zeta function, and the Fried conjecture for admissible twists*, Comm. Math. Phys. **387** (2021), no. 2, 1215–1255, DOI 10.1007/s00220-021-04113-y. MR4315671
- [37] ———, *Complex valued analytic torsion and dynamical zeta function on locally symmetric spaces*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **5** (2023), 3676–3745, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnab335. MR4565652
- [38] Shu Shen and Jianqing Yu, *Flat vector bundles and analytic torsion on orbifolds*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **30** (2022), no. 3, 575–656, DOI 10.4310/cag.2022.v30.n3.a3. MR4530056
- [39] Antony Wassermann, *Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes-Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires connexes réductifs*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **304** (1987), no. 18, 559–562.
- [40] David A. Vogan, Jr., *Representations of real reductive Lie groups*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 15, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1981.
- [41] Jr. Vogan David A., *The algebraic structure of the representation of semisimple Lie groups. I*, Vol. 109, 1979.

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU-PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, SORBONNE UNIVERSITÉ,  
4 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75252 PARIS CEDEX 5, FRANCE.

*Email address:* shu.shen@imj-prg.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1 BROOKINGS DR, ST. LOUIS, MO, 63130, USA

*Email address:* yanlisong@wustl.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, 1 BROOKINGS DR, ST. LOUIS, MO, 63130, USA

*Email address:* xtang@math.wustl.edu