

# Gallai-Schur Triples and Related Problems

Yaping Mao<sup>1</sup>, Aaron Robertson<sup>2\*</sup>, Jian Wang<sup>3</sup>, Chenxu Yang<sup>4</sup>,  
Gang Yang<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama, Japan.

<sup>2\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Colgate University, Hamilton, New York, United States.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Taiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, China.

<sup>4</sup>School of Computer Science, Qinghai Normal University, Xining, Qinghai, China.

<sup>5</sup>Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama, Japan.

\*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): [arobertson@colgate.edu](mailto:arobertson@colgate.edu);

Contributing authors: [mao-yaping-ht@ynu.ac.jp](mailto:mao-yaping-ht@ynu.ac.jp);

[wangjian01@tyut.edu.cn](mailto:wangjian01@tyut.edu.cn); [cxuyang@aliyun.com](mailto:cxuyang@aliyun.com);

[gangyang98@outlook.com](mailto:gangyang98@outlook.com);

## Abstract

Schur's Theorem states that, for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a minimum integer  $S(r)$  such that every  $r$ -coloring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, S(r)\}$  admits a monochromatic solution to  $x + y = z$ . Recently, Budden determined the related Gallai-Schur numbers; that is, he determined the minimum integer  $GS(r)$  such that every  $r$ -coloring of  $\{1, 2, \dots, GS(r)\}$  admits either a rainbow or monochromatic solution to  $x + y = z$ . In this article we consider problems that have been solved in the monochromatic setting under a monochromatic-rainbow paradigm. In particular, we investigate Gallai-Schur numbers when  $x \neq y$ , we consider  $x + y + b = z$  and  $x + y < z$ , and we investigate the asymptotic minimum number of rainbow and monochromatic solutions to  $x + y = z$  and  $x + y < z$ .

**Keywords:** Ramsey theory, Rainbow solution, Strict Schur number, Gallai-Schur

# 1 Introduction

A classical result in Ramsey theory is Schur's Theorem, which states that for any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , there exists a minimum integer  $n$  such that every  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  admits a monochromatic solution to  $x + y = z$ . Such numbers are called *Schur numbers* and are denoted by  $S(r)$ . Only a handful of exact values are known:  $S(2) = 5, S(3) = 14, S(4) = 45$ , and  $S(5) = 161$ . The largest of these was determined in 2018 by Heule [16]. For more details on Schur numbers, we refer the reader to [1, 24, 25, 27, 31].

Another classical result in Ramsey theory is the famous Ramsey Theorem [26]. From this theorem, it follows that every coloring of the edges of a sufficiently large complete graph admits a complete subgraph of a given size with the colors on all edges either the same or pairwise distinct. In the latter situation we say that the coloring is a *rainbow coloring*.

The numbers associated with monochromatic or rainbow substructures have been tagged with the monicker Gallai since, in 1967, Gallai [12] first examined this structure under the guise of transitive orientations. Gallai's result was reproven in [15].

Applying this guarantee of either a monochromatic or rainbow substructure to other Ramsey-type theorems, it is natural to investigate, in particular, how this alters Schur's Theorem. Since we can easily avoid rainbow structures by not using enough colors, it is natural to require that every possible color be used. We call such a coloring *exact*.

By Schur's Theorem, we may define the *Gallai-Schur numbers*: For  $r \geq 3$ , let  $GS(r)$  be the minimum integer such that every exact  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, GS(r)]$  admits either a monochromatic or rainbow solution to  $x + y = z$ . Recently, Budden [6] obtained the following formula, using results from [2, 8, 14].

**Theorem 1.1** ([6]). *For  $r \geq 3$ , we have  $GS(r) = \begin{cases} 5^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 & \text{for } r \text{ even;} \\ 2 \cdot 5^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + 1 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$*

**Remark 1.2.** It is known [1] that  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (S(r))^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \sqrt[5]{380} \approx 3.280626$ , while Theorem 1.1 gives us  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (GS(r))^{\frac{1}{r}} = \sqrt{5} \approx 2.236$ .

One of the main results used in [6] concerns the Canonical Ramsey Theorem and was first proven (in a different context) by Chung and Graham [8]. It is stated below as Theorem 1.4. This result was also examined in more depth in both [2] and [14]. Included in [2] is a complete characterization of all extremal graphs for this result.

We make the following definition for use not just in Theorem 1.4 but later in this article as well.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $k, \ell, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $r \geq 3$ . Let  $G(k, \ell; r)$  be the minimum integer such that every  $r$ -coloring of edges of the complete graph on  $G(k, \ell; r)$  vertices admits either a rainbow complete subgraph on  $k$  vertices or a monochromatic complete subgraph on  $\ell$  vertices.

We now state Chung and Graham's result.

**Theorem 1.4** ([2, 8, 14]). *For  $r \geq 3$ , we have*

$$G(3, 3; r) = \begin{cases} 5^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 & \text{for } r \text{ even;} \\ 2 \cdot 5^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + 1 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moving back to the topic of this article, we start by noting that a triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x \leq y$  that satisfies  $x + y = z$  is called a *Schur triple*. Furthermore, if  $x < y$ , it is called a *strict Schur triple*. The astute reader will notice that Schur triples have no condition of monotonicity even though Schur's name (and, hence, theorem) is attached to them. This is how the literature has come to reference them. However, we will attach coloring attributes in the following definition.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $r, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ . If  $x, y, z \in [1, n]$  with  $x \leq y$  satisfy  $x + y = z$  with either  $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = \chi(z)$  or  $\chi(x), \chi(y)$ , and  $\chi(z)$  all distinct, then we say  $(x, y, z)$  is a *Gallai-Schur triple*. If  $x < y$ , we call it a *strict Gallai-Schur triple*.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: in Section 2 we give upper and lower bounds on strict Gallai-Schur numbers; in Section 3 we prove that for  $b \geq 2$ , letting  $n(b)$  equal  $4b + 10$  if  $b$  is even, and  $4b + 5$  if  $b$  is odd, we have that every 3-coloring of  $[1, n(b)]$  admits either a monochromatic or rainbow solution to  $x + y + b = z$ ; in Section 4 we give asymptotic bounds on the minimum number of Gallai-Schur triples over all  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$ ; in Section 5 we give the minimum number of monochromatic solutions to  $x + y < z$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$  and investigate the minimum number of rainbow and monochromatic solutions to  $x + y < z$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$  as well as the maximal number of rainbow solutions; we present some open problems in Section 6.

## 2 Strict Gallai-Schur Numbers

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $r$  be a positive integer. The least positive integer  $\widehat{GS}(r)$  such that every exact  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, \widehat{GS}(r)]$  admits a strict Gallai-Schur triple is called a *strict Gallai-Schur number*.

In this section, we establish some bounds on  $\widehat{GS}(r)$ . The typical approach for finding lower bounds on Ramsey-type numbers is to find a particular coloring that avoids the monochromatic structure. We use this same approach in the Gallai-Schur setting and make the following definition for the particular type of colorings we will focus on.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ . If there is no Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi$ , we call  $\chi$  a *Gallai-Schur coloring* of  $[1, n]$ . If, in addition,  $\chi(i) = \chi(n + 1 - i)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we call  $\chi$  a *palindromic Gallai-Schur coloring*.

For an  $r$ -coloring  $\chi$  of  $[1, n]$ , we shall write  $\chi$  as a string  $\chi(1)\chi(2) \dots \chi(n)$  of length  $n$ . Letting  $a = a_1 a_2 \dots a_m$  and  $b = b_1 b_2 \dots b_m$ , we use  $\langle a, b \rangle$  to denote the concatenation of strings  $a_1 a_2 \dots a_m b_1 b_2 \dots b_m$ .

The main result in this section is Theorem 2.8. Our approach is to use a sequence of results that build up the length of palindromic Gallai-Schur colorings. Theorem 2.6

then makes the connection between palindromic Gallai-Schur colorings and colorings that avoid strict Gallai-Schur triples.

The first lemma we present shows that we can more than double the length of a palindromic Gallai-Schur coloring by increasing the number of colors by 1.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $r \geq 2$ . If  $\chi$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , then  $\chi^* = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(r+1)$ -coloring of  $[1, 2n+1]$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\chi^*$  is a palindromic coloring. Suppose to the contrary that there is a Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x \leq y < z$  under  $\chi^*$ . Since  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring, we have  $z \geq n+1$ . If  $z = n+1$ , since  $n+1$  is the only integer of color  $r+1$ , then  $(x, y, z)$  has to be rainbow. Note that  $x \leq y \leq n$  and  $y = n+1-x$ . Since  $\chi$  is a palindromic coloring, it follows that  $\chi^*(x) = \chi(x) = \chi(n+1-x) = \chi^*(n+1-x) = \chi^*(y) \neq \chi^*(z)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $z \geq n+2$ . If  $x \geq n+1$ , then  $z = x+y \geq 2n+2$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x \leq n$ .

We finish the proof by considering 3 cases that exhaust all possibilities.

**Case 1.**  $y \geq n+2$ . Let  $y' = y - n - 1$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$ . From Remark 6.2, we have  $\chi^*(i) = \chi^*(i+n+1)$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ , so that  $\chi^*(y') = \chi^*(y)$  and  $\chi^*(z') = \chi^*(z)$ . Then  $(x, y', z')$  or  $(y', x, z')$  forms a rainbow or monochromatic Schur triple under  $\chi$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ .

**Case 2.**  $y = n+1$ . Since  $\chi^*(i) = \chi^*(i+n+1)$  for  $i \in [1, n]$ , it follows that  $\chi^*(x) = \chi^*(x+y) = \chi^*(z)$ . However,  $y$  is the only integer of color  $r+1$ . Hence,  $\chi^*(x) = \chi^*(z) \neq \chi^*(y)$ , a contradiction.

**Case 3.**  $y \leq n$ . Let  $x' = n+1-x$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$ , so that  $x', z' \in [1, n]$ . Since  $\chi$  is a palindromic coloring, it follows that  $\chi^*(x') = \chi^*(x)$ . Since  $\chi^*(i) = \chi^*(i+n+1)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , it follows that  $\chi^*(z') = \chi^*(z)$ . Moreover, we have  $x' + z' = z - x = y$ . Thus  $(x', z', y)$  or  $(z', x', y)$  forms a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ . □

The next lemma is used only in furtherance of this section's main result. As such, we state the lemma but place the proof (which is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3) in the Appendix.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $r \geq 2$ . If  $\chi$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , then  $\chi^{**} = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(r+2)$ -coloring of  $[1, 5n+4]$ .*

Using Lemma 2.4, the next result easily follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For every  $k$ , there is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $2k$ -coloring of  $[1, 5^k - 1]$  and a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(2k+1)$ -coloring of  $[1, 2 \cdot 5^k - 1]$ .*

*Proof.* We prove the theorem by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 1$ , it is easy to see that 1221 is a palindromic Gallai-Schur 2-coloring of  $[1, 4]$  and 122131221 is a palindromic Gallai-Schur 3-coloring of  $[1, 9]$ . Assume  $\chi$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(2k-2)$ -coloring of  $[1, 5^{k-1} - 1]$ . We will prove that there exists a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $2k$ -coloring of  $[1, 5^k - 1]$  and a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(2k+1)$ -coloring of  $[1, 2 \cdot 5^k - 1]$ . By Lemma

2.4,  $\chi^{**}$  is a Gallai-Schur palindromic  $2k$ -coloring of  $[1, 5^k - 1]$ . Then it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $(\chi^{**})^*$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $(2k + 1)$ -coloring of  $[1, 2 \cdot 5^k - 1]$ . Thus the theorem follows.  $\square$

Although Budden's result in Theorem 1.1 provides us with the exact values of the Gallai-Schur numbers, Theorem 2.5 allows us to provide a useful (weaker) lower bound for the Gallai-Schur numbers. The usefulness is with the relationship between palindromic colorings and strict Gallai-Schur numbers. We will refer to a coloring with no strict Gallai-Schur triple as a *strict Gallai-Schur coloring*.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $r \geq 3$ . If  $\chi$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , then*

$$\chi^+ = \langle \chi, r + 1, \chi, r + 1, \chi, r + 2, \chi, r + 1, \chi, r + 2, \chi, r + 2, \chi, r + 2, \chi, r + 1, \chi \rangle$$

*is a strict Gallai-Schur  $(r + 2)$ -coloring of  $[1, 9n + 8]$ . Hence,*

*Proof.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that there is a Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x < y < z$  under  $\chi^+$ . Consider such a triple  $(x, y, z)$  with minimal  $z$ . Let  $A = \{n + 1, 2n + 2, 3n + 3, 4n + 4, 5n + 5, 6n + 6, 7n + 7, 8n + 8\}$ . The coloring string of  $A$  under  $\chi^+$  is  $r + 1, r + 1, r + 2, r + 1, r + 2, r + 2, r + 2, r + 1$ . It is easy to see that there is no monochromatic strict Schur triple in  $A$  under  $\chi^+$ . Note that if two of  $x, y, z$  are in  $A$  then all of them must be in  $A$ , but then  $(x, y, z)$  is not a strict Gallai-Schur triple. Thus at least two of  $x, y, z$  are not in  $A$ . If  $z \in A$ , that is  $z = in + i$  for some  $i \in [1, 8]$ , then  $\chi^+(z) \geq r + 1$ . From  $x, y \notin A$  we infer that  $\chi^+(x) = \chi^+(in + i - x) = \chi^+(n + 1 - x) = \chi^+(y) < r + 1$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple with  $x < y < z$ . Hence,  $z \notin A$ . If  $x \in A$ ; that is,  $x = in + i$  for some  $i \in [1, 8]$ , then  $\chi^+(x) \geq r + 1$  and (by construction)  $\chi^+(y) = \chi^+(y + in + i) = \chi^+(z) < r + 1$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $x \notin A$ . By an identical argument we obtain  $y \notin A$ . Hence we may assume that none of  $x, y, z$  is in  $A$ .

Let  $I_i = [in + i + 1, (i + 1)n + i]$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 8$ . Clearly,  $x, y, z \in \bigcup_{i=0}^8 I_i$ . First we show that  $x \in I_0$ . Otherwise, setting  $x' = x - n - 1$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$ , it is easy to see that  $\chi^+(x') = \chi^+(x)$ ,  $\chi^+(z') = \chi^+(z)$ ,  $x' < z'$ , and  $x' + y = z'$  so that  $(x', y, z')$  is a Gallai-Schur triple with  $x' < y < z'$  under  $\chi^+$ , which contradicts the minimality of  $z$ . Thus,  $x \in I_0$ .

Next we show that  $y$  and  $z$  must be in the different  $I_i$ 's. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there exists  $i$  such that  $y, z \in I_i$ . Since  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring, it follows that  $i \geq 1$ . Let  $y' = y - i(n + 1)$  and  $z' = z - i(n + 1)$ . Then  $\chi^+(y') = \chi^+(y)$ ,  $\chi^+(z') = \chi^+(z)$  and  $x + y' = z'$  so that  $(x, y', z')$  is a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi$ , again a contradiction to the minimality of  $z$ . Thus,  $y$  and  $z$  are in the different intervals.

If both  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $I_0$ , then  $z \in I_1$ . Let  $x' = n + 1 - x$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$ . Since  $\chi$  is a palindromic coloring, we have  $\chi^+(x') = \chi^+(x)$ . Moreover,  $\chi^+(z') = \chi^+(z)$  and  $x' + z' = z - x = y$ . Hence,  $(x', z', y)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi$ , contradicting the fact that  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring. Thus  $y \notin I_0$ . We are left with the case  $x \in I_0$ ,  $y \in I_i$  with  $i \geq 1$  and  $z \in I_{i+1}$ . Let  $x' = n + 1 - x$ ,  $y' = y - i(n + 1)$  and  $z' = z - (i + 1)(n + 1)$ . Similarly,  $\chi^+(x') = \chi^+(x)$ ,  $\chi^+(y') = \chi^+(y)$ ,  $\chi^+(z') = \chi^+(z)$  and  $x' + z' = z - x - i(n + 1) = y'$  implying that  $(x', z', y')$  is a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\chi$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring.

We may now conclude that  $\chi^+$  is a strict Gallai-Schur  $(r+2)$ -coloring of  $[1, 9n+8]$ .  $\square$

Theorem 2.6, together with Theorem 1.1, will provide us with a lower bound for  $\widehat{GS}(r)$ , while the next lemma will give us an upper bound.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be an increasing sequence of non-negative integers with no 3-term arithmetic progression with  $n \geq GS(r)$ . Then every  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, a_n]$  contains a strict Gallai-Schur triple.*

*Proof.* We will show that there exist  $a_i < a_j < a_k$  such that  $(a_k - a_j, a_j - a_i, a_k - a_i)$  with  $a_k - a_j \neq a_j - a_i$  is a strict Gallai-Schur triple under any  $r$ -coloring  $\chi$  of  $[1, a_n]$ . To this end, label the vertices of the complete graph  $K_n$  by  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ . For any  $r$ -coloring  $\chi$  of  $[1, a_n]$ , we color each edge  $a_i a_j$  of  $K_n$  by the color  $\chi(|a_j - a_i|)$ .

Since  $n \geq GS(r)$ , recalling Definition 1.3, it follows from Theorems 1.4 and 1.1 that  $n \geq G(3, 3; r) = GS(r)$  so that  $K_n$  contains a rainbow or monochromatic triangle. Let  $(a_i, a_j, a_k)$  be such a triangle with  $a_i < a_j < a_k$ . Since  $a_k - a_i = (a_k - a_j) + (a_j - a_i)$  and  $a_k - a_j \neq a_j - a_i$  (because  $a_i, a_j, a_k$  does not form a 3-term arithmetic progression), by setting  $x = a_k - a_j$ ,  $y = a_j - a_i$  and  $z = a_k - a_i$ , we see that  $(x, y, z)$  is the desired triple under  $\chi$ , an arbitrary  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, a_n]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *For  $r \geq 5$ , we have  $f(r) \leq \widehat{GS}(r) < \frac{43}{4} \cdot \left(3^{\log_2 \sqrt{5}}\right)^r$ , where*

$$f(r) = \begin{cases} \frac{9}{5} \cdot 5^{\frac{r}{2}} + 9 & \text{for } r \text{ even;} \\ \frac{18}{5} \cdot 5^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + 9 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 1.1, 2.5, and 2.6, we obtain  $\widehat{GS}(r) - 1 \geq 9(GS(r-2) - 1) + 8$  so that

$$\widehat{GS}(r) \geq 9GS(r-2) = \begin{cases} \frac{9}{5} \cdot 5^{\frac{r}{2}} + 9 & \text{for } r \text{ even;} \\ \frac{18}{5} \cdot 5^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + 9 & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we are left to prove the upper bound for  $\widehat{GS}(r)$ .

Let  $n = GS(r)$  and let  $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be the following increasing sequence of positive integers with no 3-term arithmetic progression (which is well-known as a Stanley sequence):  $a_1 < \dots < a_n$  are the first  $n$  non-negative integers whose ternary representations have only the digits 0 and 1. Clearly, we have  $a_n \leq 3^{\log_2 n+1}$ . By Lemma 2.7 we have

$$\widehat{GS}(r) \leq a_n \leq 3^{\log_2 GS(r)+1} = \begin{cases} 3^{\log_2(2 \cdot 5^{r/2} + 1) + 1} & \text{for } r \text{ even;} \\ 3^{\log_2(4 \cdot 5^{(r-1)/2} + 1) + 1} & \text{for } r \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover,  $3^{\log_2(2 \cdot 5^{r/2} + 1) + 1} \leq 3^{\log_2 5^{(r+1)/2} + 1} = 3 \cdot \left(3^{\log_2 \sqrt{5}}\right)^{r+1} < \frac{43}{4} \cdot \left(3^{\log_2 \sqrt{5}}\right)^r$  and  $3^{\log_2(4 \cdot 5^{(r-1)/2} + 1) + 1} \leq 3 \cdot 3^{\log_2 5^{(r+1)/2}} < \frac{43}{4} \cdot \left(3^{\log_2 \sqrt{5}}\right)^r$ , proving the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 2.9.** Noting that  $3^{\log_2 \sqrt{5}} < \sqrt[5]{380}$  and comparing the upper bound in Theorem 2.8 with the lower bound for the growth rate of the Schur numbers found in Remark 1.2, we find that the growth rate of  $\widehat{GS}(r)$  is strictly smaller than that of  $S(r)$ .

### 3 The Equation $x + y + b = z$

In this section, we give exact values for some numbers closely linked with Gallai-Schur numbers. In particular, we consider monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x + y + b = z$  with  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We start by stating the result obtained by Schaal for the monochromatic situation with 2 and 3 colors. The 2-color case of the following theorem is found in [28], while the 3-color case is in [29].

**Theorem 3.1** ([28], [29]). *Let  $b$  be a positive integer. The minimum integer  $m(b)$  such that every 2-coloring of  $[1, m(b)]$  admits a monochromatic solution to  $x + y + b = z$  is  $m(b) = 4b + 5$ . The minimum integer  $m'(b)$  such that every 3-coloring of  $[1, m'(b)]$  admits a monochromatic solution to  $x + y + b = z$  is  $m'(b) = 13b + 4$ .*

Investigating the monochromatic-rainbow paradigm for this new equation, we will use the following notation.

**Notation.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Let  $n(b)$  be the minimum integer such that every exact 3-coloring of  $[1, n(b)]$  admits either a monochromatic or rainbow solution to  $x + y + b = z$ .

We start with a lower bound for  $n(b)$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we have  $n(2k) \geq 8k + 10$  and  $n(2k + 1) \geq 8k + 9$ .*

*Proof.* We start by showing that  $n(2k) \geq 8k + 10$ . For  $i \in [8k + 9]$ , define

$$\chi(i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd;} \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is even and } i \in [2k + 4, 6k + 6]; \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that  $\chi$  is a 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k + 9]$  with neither a monochromatic nor rainbow solution to  $x + y + 2k = z$ . For a contradiction, suppose not, and let  $(x, y, z)$  be such a solution with  $x \leq y < z$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are both odd, then  $z$  is even. Since  $\chi(i) = 1$  for odd  $i$  and  $\chi(i) \neq 1$  for even  $i$ , it follows that  $(x, y, z)$  cannot be rainbow or monochromatic, a contradiction. For the same reason, it cannot happen that exactly one of  $x$  and  $y$  is odd. Thus, all of  $x, y, z$  are even.

Let  $I_1 = [2, 2k + 2]$ ,  $I_2 = [2k + 4, 6k + 6]$  and  $I_3 = [6k + 8, 8k + 8]$ . It is easy to see that even integers in  $I_1 \cup I_3$  are colored 2 under  $\chi$  and even integers in  $I_2$  are colored 3 under  $\chi$ . If  $x, y \in I_1$ , then  $z = x + y + 2k \in I_2$ . It follows that  $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = 2$  and  $\chi(z) = 3$ , a contradiction. If  $x, y \in I_2$ , then  $z = x + y + 2k \in I_3$ . It follows that  $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = 3$  and  $\chi(z) = 2$ , a contradiction. If  $x, y \in I_3$ , then  $z = x + y + 2k > 8k + 8$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x, y$  cannot fall in the same interval. If  $y \in I_3$ , then  $z = x + y + 2k > 8k + 8$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $x \in I_1$  and  $y \in I_2$ . But then  $(x, y, z)$  has to be a rainbow solution, which contradicts the fact that  $\chi(i) = 2$  or  $3$  for even  $i$ . Therefore,  $\chi$  is a 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k + 9]$  avoiding monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x + y + 2k = z$ , thereby showing that  $n(2k) \geq 8k + 10$ .

We next show that  $n(2k+1) \geq 8k+9$ . For  $i \in [1, 8k+8]$ , define

$$\chi(i) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 3 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd and } i \in [2k+3, 6k+5], \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We shall show that  $\chi$  is a 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k+8]$  without monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x+y+2k+1=z$ . Suppose otherwise and let  $(x, y, z)$  be such a solution with  $x \leq y < z$ . If  $x$  and  $y$  are both even, then  $z$  is odd. Since  $\chi(i) = 2$  for even  $i$  and  $\chi(i) \neq 2$  for odd  $i$ , it follows that  $(x, y, z)$  cannot be rainbow and monochromatic, a contradiction. For the same reason, it cannot happen that one of  $x$  and  $y$  is even. Thus, we have that all of  $x, y, z$  are odd.

Let  $I_1 = [1, 2k+1]$ ,  $I_2 = [2k+3, 6k+5]$  and  $I_3 = [6k+7, 8k+7]$ . It is easy to see that odd integers in  $I_1 \cup I_3$  are colored 1 under  $\chi$  and odd integers in  $I_2$  are colored 3 under  $\chi$ . If  $x, y \in I_1$ , then  $z = x+y+2k+1 \in I_2$ . It follows that  $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = 1$  and  $\chi(z) = 3$ , a contradiction. If  $x, y \in I_2$ , then  $z = x+y+2k+1 \in I_3$ . It follows that  $\chi(x) = \chi(y) = 3$  and  $\chi(z) = 1$ , a contradiction. If  $x, y \in I_3$ , then  $z = x+y+2k+1 > 8k+7$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x$  and  $y$  cannot fall in the same interval. If  $y \in I_3$ , then  $z = x+y+2k+1 > 8k+7$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $x \in I_1$  and  $y \in I_2$ . But then  $(x, y, z)$  must be a rainbow solution, which contradicts the fact that  $\chi(i) = 1$  or  $3$  for odd  $i$ . Therefore,  $\chi$  is a 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k+8]$  that admits no monochromatic nor rainbow solution to  $x+y+2k+1=z$ . Hence,  $n(2k+1) \geq 8k+9$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *For  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , we have  $n(2k) = 8k+10$  and  $n(2k+1) = 8k+9$ . Furthermore,  $n(1) = 11$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to check by hand that  $n(1) = 11$  (note that  $\{1, 4, 7, 10\}, \{2, 9\}, \{3, 5, 6, 8\}$  gives a 3-coloring with neither a monochromatic nor rainbow solution to  $x+y+1=z$ ). We now consider  $n(2k)$  and  $n(2k+1)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to show that every (exact) 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k+10]$  admits either a monochromatic or rainbow solution to  $x+y+2k=z$  and that every (exact) 3-coloring of  $[1, 8k+9]$  admits either a monochromatic or rainbow solution to  $x+y+2k+1=z$ . To do so, we turn to the Maple program GALRAD, written by the second author<sup>1</sup>.

GALRAD automates the coloring of integers (within either  $[1, 8k+10]$  or  $[1, 8k+9]$ ) by considering forced colors when avoiding both monochromatic and rainbow solutions. Letting  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be the color classes, we may assume that  $1 \in A$  and, consequently, we may assume that  $b+2 \in B$ , where  $b = 2k$  or  $2k+1$ . From this, we must have either  $2b+3, 4b+5 \in A$  and  $3b+4 \in C$  or  $2b+3 \in B, 3b+4 \in A$ , and  $4b+5 \in C$ . This is the starting point of GALRAD. We then may input additional integers of assumed colors. We either obtain a contradiction (e.g.,  $x$  must be both in  $A$  and not in  $A$ ) or we obtain a (typically, larger) list of integers with forced colors and continue with assumptions.

In Table 1, underneath the color classes ( $A, B$ , and  $C$ ) we place integers that we assume are in that color class. The last column informs us of whether or not we obtain a contradiction. Parts (a) and (b) each exhibit a complete list of possibilities, thereby proving the upper bounds. We see that both (c) and (d) of Table 1 end without a final

<sup>1</sup>available at <http://math.colgate.edu/~aaron>



A	B	C	Contra- diction
3			No
3		$k$	Yes
3	$k$		Yes
3, $k$			No
3, $k$	2		Yes
3, $k$		2	Yes
2, 3, $k$			No
2, 3, $k, 2k+1$			Yes
2, 3, $k$	$2k+1$		Yes
2, 3, $k$		$2k+1$	Yes
2	3		No
	3		Yes
	2, 3		Yes
	3	2	No
$2k-1$	3	2	Yes
	3, $2k-1$	2	Yes
	3	$2, 2k-1$	Yes
2		3	No
		3	Yes
		2, 3	Yes
	2	3	No
$2k+1$	2	3	Yes
	2, $2k+1$	3	Yes
	2	$3, 2k+1$	Yes

(a) Forced colors with  $b = 2k+1$  and  $1, 2b+3, 4b+5 \in A$  and  $3b+4 \in C$

A	B	C	Contra- diction
$2k+1$			No
3, $2k+1$		$k$	Yes
$2k+1$	3		Yes
$2k+1$		3	Yes
3		$2k+1$	No
		$2k+1$	Yes
	3	$2k+1$	Yes
	3	$3, 2k+1$	Yes
	$2k+1$		No
	3, $2k+1$		Yes
3		3	No
3	$2k+1$		Yes
3, $k-1$	$2k+1$		No
3	$2k+1$		Yes
3	$k-1, 2k+1$		Yes
3, $k+2$	$2k+1$	$k-1$	No
3	$2k+1$	$k-1$	Yes
3	$k+2, 2k+1$	$k-1$	Yes
3	$2k+1$	$k-1, k+2$	No

(c) Forced colors with  $b = 2k+1$  and  $1, 3b+4 \in A$ ,  $2b+3 \in B$ , and  $4b+5 \in C$

A	B	C	Contra- diction
$2k+1$			No
2, $2k+1$			Yes
$2k+1$	2		Yes
$2k+1$		2	Yes
	$2k+1$		No
	2, $2k+1$		Yes
	$2k+1$	2	Yes
2	$2k+1$		No
2, $k$	$2k+1$		Yes
2	$k, 2k+1$		Yes
2	$2k+1$	$k$	Yes
		$2k+1$	No
		$2k+1$	Yes
	2	$2, 2k+1$	Yes
2		$2k+1$	No
2, $k$		$2k+1$	Yes
2	$k$	$2k+1$	Yes
2		$2k+1$	Yes

(b) Forced colors with  $b = 2k$  and  $1, 2b+3, 4b+5 \in A$  and  $3b+4 \in C$

A	B	C	Contra- diction
$2k+1$			No
2, $2k+1$			Yes
$2k+1$	2		Yes
$2k+1$		2	Yes
		$2k+1$	No
2		$2k+1$	Yes
	2	$2k+1$	Yes
		$2, 2k+1$	Yes
$k+1$	$2k+1$		No
	$2k+1$		Yes
	$k+1, 2k+1$		Yes
	$2k+1$	$k+1$	No
	3, $2k+1$	$k+1$	Yes
	$2k+1$	3, $k+1$	Yes
3	$2k+1$	$k+1$	No

(d) Forced colors with  $b = 2k$  and  $1, 3b+4 \in A$ ,  $2b+3 \in B$ , and  $4b+5 \in C$

**Table 1:** Forced colors using GALRAD

contradiction. To finish both of these cases some additional work is needed. For the situation in (c), we use the flexibility offered in GALRAD to further assume that  $k \geq k_0$ , where  $k_0$  is a given integer. This allows us to consider  $k-1, k-2, \dots, k-k_0+1$  as positive integers in the computer algorithm. By iteratively increasing  $k_0$  by 1 it becomes clear (but is tedious to show by hand) that when we are in the final situation of part (c) we have  $[1, 4k_0+5] \subseteq A$ . Taking  $k = k_0$ , we obtain  $[1, 4k+5] \subseteq A$ , which

contradicts the deduction that  $k - 1 \in C$ . For situation (d), we argue in the same way noting that  $[1, 4k + 8] \subseteq A$  while  $k + 1 \in C$ .  $\square$

## 4 On the Number of Gallai-Schur Triples

In 1995, Graham, Rödl, and Ruciński [13] proposed the following multiplicity problem: Find (asymptotically) the least number of monochromatic solutions to  $x + y = z$  that must occur in a 2-coloring of the set  $[1, n]$ . This problem was solved by Robertson and Zeilberger [25], and independently by Schoen [31], with a nice proof given later by Datskovsky [10]. The answer was found to be  $\frac{n^2}{22}(1 + o(1))$ .

Here we investigate this problem for Gallai-Schur triples. We start with the following lemma that uses notation from Definition 1.3.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $k, \ell, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $n \geq G(k, \ell; r)$ . Define  $s = s(k, \ell; r)$  to be the minimum number of rainbow  $K_k$  and monochromatic  $K_\ell$  copies over all  $r$ -colorings of the edges of the complete graph on  $G(k, \ell; r)$  vertices. Then any  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains at least*

$$s \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{G(k, \ell; r)}{m}}$$

*rainbow  $K_k$  copies and monochromatic  $K_\ell$  copies, where  $m = \min(k, \ell)$ .*

*Proof.* Denote the vertex set of a graph  $H$  by  $V(H)$ , and let  $K(W)$  be the complete graph on vertex set  $W$ . Let  $g = G(k, \ell; r)$ , and let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$ .

Call  $T \subseteq V(K_n)$  *good* if either  $|T| = k$  and  $K(T)$  is rainbow or  $|T| = \ell$  and  $K(T)$  is monochromatic. Define

$$\Omega = \{(S, T) : T \subseteq S \subseteq V(K_n), |S| = g, T \text{ is good}\}.$$

We shall derive a lower bound for the number of rainbow and monochromatic triangles under  $\chi$  by a double counting technique. On one hand, for any  $S \subseteq V(K_n)$  with  $|S| = g$ , it is clear that  $K(S)$  contains at least  $s$  rainbow  $K_k$  and monochromatic  $K_\ell$  copies under  $\chi$ . It follows that  $|\Omega| \geq s \binom{n}{g}$ . On the other hand, every rainbow  $K_k$  is contained in  $\binom{n-k}{g-k}$  different subgraphs  $K_g$  of  $K_n$ , and every monochromatic  $K_\ell$  is contained in  $\binom{n-\ell}{g-\ell}$  different subgraphs  $K_g$  of  $K_n$ . Let  $t_\chi$  be the total number of rainbow  $K_k$  and monochromatic  $K_\ell$  copies under  $\chi$ . Then we have

$$s \binom{n}{g} \leq |\Omega| \leq t_\chi \cdot \max\left(\binom{n-k}{g-k}, \binom{n-\ell}{g-\ell}\right)$$

and hence

$$t_\chi \geq s \frac{\binom{n}{g}}{\binom{n-m}{g-m}} = s \frac{\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{G(k, \ell; r)}{m}},$$

where  $m = \min(k, \ell)$ .  $\square$

We will use the  $k = \ell = 3$  instance of Lemma 4.1 as stated in the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.2.** For  $r \geq 2$  and  $n \geq G(3, 3; r)$ , every  $r$ -coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  contains at least  $\left(\frac{n}{G(3, 3; r)}\right)^3$  triangles that are rainbow or monochromatic.

*Proof.* Let  $g = G(3, 3; r)$ . From Lemma 4.1, using the trivial bound  $s \geq 1$ , all that remains to prove is that  $\left(\frac{n}{g}\right)^3 \geq \frac{n^3}{g^3}$ , which holds since  $(1 - \frac{1}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n}) \geq (1 - \frac{1}{g})(1 - \frac{2}{g})$  holds for  $n \geq g$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $r \geq 3$  and define  $M(n; r)$  to be the minimum number of Gallai-Schur triples over all  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$ . We have

$$\frac{n^2}{2m^3}(1 + o(1)) \leq M(n; r) \leq \frac{4n^2}{121}(1 + o(1)),$$

for  $n \geq m$ , where

$$m = \begin{cases} 5^{\frac{r}{2}} + 1 & \text{if } r \text{ is even;} \\ 2 \cdot 5^{\frac{r-1}{2}} + 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for  $r = 3$  we have


$$\frac{n^2}{276}(1 + o(1)) < M(n; 3) \leq \frac{4n^2}{121}(1 + o(1)).$$

*Proof.* The upper bound on  $M(n; r)$  comes from the following 3-coloring, and is based on the coloring found in [25] that produces the minimum number of monochromatic Schur triples over all 2-colorings: color every  $i \in [1, \frac{4n}{22}] \cup (\frac{10n}{22}, \frac{n}{2}]$  red; color every  $i \in (\frac{4n}{22}, \frac{10n}{22}]$  blue; and color every  $i \in (\frac{n}{2}, n]$  green. From [25], we know that there are  $\frac{n^2}{88}(1 + o(1))$  monochromatic Schur triples that occur in  $[1, \frac{n}{2}]$  and no monochromatic Schur triples that occur in the  $(\frac{n}{2}, n]$ . It is easy to determine that there are  $\frac{21n^2}{968}(1 + o(1))$  rainbow triples in the set  $[1, n]$ ; see Figure 1. Hence, in total there are  $\frac{4n^2}{121}(1 + o(1))$  Gallai-Schur triples in our 3-coloring.

If we require the  $r$ -coloring to be exact, from this 3-coloring replace  $r - 3$  of the integers' colors with  $r - 3$  distinct colors. We now have an exact  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$  with  $\frac{4n^2}{121} - O(rn) = \frac{4n^2}{121}(1 + o_r(1))$  Gallai-Schur triples. (Of course, this is unsatisfying and we address this issue later in the article.)

For the lower bound, we turn to Theorem 1.4, where we see that  $m = G(3, 3; r)$ . Let  $V(K_{n+1}) = [1, n + 1]$  and let  $\chi$  be an  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ . We define an  $r$ -coloring  $\chi'$  of the edges of  $K_{n+1}$  by  $\chi'(ij) = \chi(|i - j|)$  for any  $i, j \in [1, n + 1]$ . Since  $n + 1 \geq m$ , by Corollary 4.2, we see that the number of monochromatic and rainbow triangles is at least  $\frac{n^3}{m^3}(1 + o(1))$ . Moreover, every Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  under  $\chi$  creates at most  $2(n + 1 - z)$  rainbow or monochromatic triangles in  $K_{n+1}$  under  $\chi'$ . Using  $2(n + 1 - z) \leq 2n$  gives the bound  $\frac{n^2}{2m^3}(1 + o(1))$ .

However, for specific values of  $r$ , we can improve this bound slightly. Let  $r$  be given. Noting that there are  $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$  solutions to  $x + y = i$ , then at most  $\lfloor \frac{i}{2} \rfloor$  rainbow and monochromatic solutions to  $x + y = i$  exist, each corresponding to at most  $2(n + 1 - i)$



**Fig. 1:** Rainbow solutions (shaded) to  $x + y = z$

rainbow and monochromatic triangles. Letting  $k = cn$ , where  $0 < c < 1$ , we deduce that there are at least

$$\sum_{i=2}^k \binom{i}{2} \cdot 2(n+1-i) \leq 4 \sum_{i=1}^{k/2} i(n-2i) + O(n) = \left( \frac{k^2}{2}n - \frac{k^3}{3} \right) (1 + o(1))$$

triangles corresponding to  $\frac{k^2}{4}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x+y=z$ . Solving

$$\left( \frac{k^2}{2}n - \frac{k^3}{3} \right) (1 + o(1)) = \frac{n^3}{m^3}(1 + o(1))$$

for  $k$  (given  $m$ ) will give a bound of  $\frac{k^2}{4}(1+o(1))$  that is slightly better than  $\frac{n^2}{2m^3}(1+o(1))$ .

For  $r = 3$ , we can drastically improve the lower bound (by about a factor of 10) by appealing to a result in [9], where we find that any 3-coloring of the edges of  $K_n$  admits at least  $\frac{n^3}{150}(1+o(1))$  monochromatic triangles. Hence, we may instead solve

$$\left( \frac{k^2}{2}n - \frac{k^3}{3} \right) (1 + o(1)) = \frac{n^3}{150}(1 + o(1))$$

for  $k$  to obtain (using Maple) that  $k \approx 0.1204034549n$  so that we have at least

$$\frac{k^2}{4}(1 + o(1)) \approx 0.003624247988n^2(1 + o(1)) > \frac{n^2}{276}(1 + o(1))$$

Gallai-Schur triples in any 3-coloring of  $[1, n]$  (actually, we have at least this many monochromatic Schur triples).  $\square$

As mentioned in the above proof, we obtained a lower bound for the minimum number of monochromatic Schur triples over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$ . An upper bound found in [32] allows us to state the following.

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $T(n)$  be the minimum number of monochromatic Schur triples over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$ . Then*

$$\frac{1}{276}n^2(1 + o(1)) < T(n) < \frac{2.08}{276}n^2(1 + o(1)).$$

## 5 The Inequality $x + y < z$

In 2010, Kosek, Robertson, Sabo, and Schaal [17] modified the multiplicity of Schur triples problem of Graham, Rödl, and Ruciński [13] by changing  $x + y = z$  to the system of inequalities  $x + y < z$  and  $x \leq y$ , and determined the minimum number of monochromatic solution over all 2-colorings of  $[1, n]$ . In this section we approach this problem in the rainbow-monochromatic setting.

### 5.1 Minimizing the Number of Monochromatic Solutions to $x + y < z$

We start this subsection by generalizing the structural result in [17] from two colors to an arbitrary number of colors by showing that in order to minimize the number of monochromatic solutions to  $x + y < z$  and  $x \leq y$ , we need only consider colorings where each color class consists of a single interval.

To state this structural result, we will use the following notation.

**Notation.** Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and let  $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{r-1}$  be integers such that  $\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i = n$ . For  $k \geq 0$  an integer, let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) = \{ \chi : [k+1, k+n] \rightarrow \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\} \\ : |\chi^{-1}(i)| = a_i, 0 \leq i \leq r-1 \}; \end{aligned}$$

that is,  $\mathcal{D}_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  is the set of all  $r$ -colorings of  $[k+1, k+n]$  where the color  $i$  is used exactly  $a_i$  times for  $0 \leq i \leq r-1$ . In particular, denote by  $\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}) \in \mathcal{D}_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$  the  $r$ -coloring  $\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})(j) = c$  where  $c \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$  is the unique integer such that  $j \in [k+1 + \sum_{i=0}^{c-1} a_i, k + \sum_{i=0}^c a_i]$ , where we take the empty sum to equal 0.

In Theorem 5.1 below, we find that the  $r$ -coloring that minimizes the number of monochromatic solutions to  $x + y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  is the one where each color class is a single interval and the interval lengths are ordered in non-decreasing lengths.

**Notation.** We will let  $M(\chi)$  represent the number of monochromatic solutions to  $x + y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  under the coloring  $\chi$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let integer  $k \geq 0$  be fixed and let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For non-negative integers  $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{r-1}$  (so that  $a_0 \leq \frac{n}{r}$ ) and  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a_i$ , we have  $M(\chi) \geq M(\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1}))$  for any  $\chi \in \mathcal{D}_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 5.1.* We use induction on  $r$ , with  $r = 2$  being the result in [17]. We assume the result for all  $(r-1)$ -colorings of  $[k+1, k+n]$  for all non-negative integers  $k$  and all positive integers  $n$ . Consider an arbitrary  $r$ -coloring of  $[k+1, k+n]$  with

color class sizes  $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{r-1}$ . Identify all integers of colors  $r-2$  or  $r-1$  with a new color, call it blue, and let  $b = a_{r-2} + a_{r-1}$ . We now view the  $r$ -coloring as an  $(r-1)$ -coloring with color class sizes  $0 \leq a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_{r-3} \leq b$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-3}, b)$  achieves the minimum number of monochromatic solutions, with the understanding that the  $b$  “blue” integers may not produce monochromatic solutions under the original  $r$ -coloring.

We claim that the  $b$  “blue” integers in  $[k+n-b+1, k+n]$  when reverted to their original color may be minimized among themselves (i.e., within the interval  $[k+n-b+1, k+n]$ ) in order to provide the overall minimum number of solutions in our original  $r$ -coloring. Assuming otherwise, we must have a “blue” integer interchanged with an integer of color  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-3\}$ . As argued in [17], this cannot decrease the total number of monochromatic solutions (letting  $z \in [k+n-b+1, k+n]$  have color  $j$  and letting  $x < k+n-b+1$  be “blue” means potentially more solutions to  $x+y < z$  of color  $j$  and potentially more “blue” solutions as well).

Hence, in order to minimize the total number of monochromatic solutions, we should minimize the number of monochromatic solutions in  $[k+n-b+1, k+n]$  when the “blue” integers are reverted to their original colors. Performing this reversion, we now have a 2-coloring of the interval  $[k+n-b+1, k+n]$ , and our base case informs us that the first  $a_{r-2}$  integers should be one color and the last  $a_{r-1}$  integers should be the other color. As a result, we obtain the  $r$ -coloring  $\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})$ , which completes the induction argument.  $\square$

Having the structural result of Theorem 5.1, for any given number of colors, determining the minimum number of solutions to  $x+y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  reduces to a straightforward (but potentially very long) calculus problem. We present the solution for  $r=3$  and leave any other number of colors to the interested reader. The main counting lemma we will use is from [17] and is stated next.

**Lemma 5.2** ([17]). *Let  $I(s, t)$  be the number of solutions to  $x+y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  that reside in  $[s, t]$ . Then  $I(s, t) = \frac{1}{12}(t-2s)^3 + O((t-2s)^2)$  for  $t > 2s$  and is otherwise 0.*

We now present the  $r=3$  optimization result.

**Theorem 5.3.** *For any fixed integer  $k \geq 0$ , the minimum number of monochromatic solutions to  $x+y < z$ ,  $x \leq y < z$  that can occur in any 3-coloring of  $[k+1, k+n]$  is  $M_k(n) = Cn^3 + O_k(n^2)$ , where*

$$C = \frac{89 - 36\sqrt{2}}{63948} \approx 0.0005956138.$$

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the number of monochromatic solutions to  $x+y < z$ ,  $x \leq y$  that can occur in any 3-coloring of  $[k+1, k+n]$ . By Theorem 5.1 we need only consider  $\chi_{[k+1, k+n]}(a, b, n-a-b)$  with  $a \leq b \leq n-a-b$ . We may assume that  $a \geq k+2$ ; otherwise,  $[2k+2, k+n]$  is 2-colored and the solution is  $\frac{n^3}{12(1+2\sqrt{2})^2} + O_k(n^2)$  as given in [17]. From Lemma 5.2, we need only consider

$$I = \begin{cases} \frac{(a-k-2)^3 + (b-a-k-2)^3 + (n-2a-2b-k-2)^3}{12} & \text{if } a < b - k - 2 \text{ and} \\ & a < (n - 2b - k - 2)/2; \\ \frac{(a-k-2)^3 + (b-a-k-2)^3}{12} & \text{if } a < b - k - 2 \text{ and} \\ & a \geq (n - 2b - k - 2)/2; \\ \frac{(a-k-2)^3 + (n-2a-2b-k-2)^3}{12} & \text{if } a \geq b - k - 2 \text{ and} \\ & a < (n - 2b - k - 2)/2; \\ \frac{(a-k-2)^3}{12} & \text{if } a \geq b - k - 2 \text{ and} \\ & a \geq (n - 2b - k - 2)/2, \end{cases}$$

where the expressions are given up to  $O_k(n^2)$ .

We use Mathematica to obtain the minimum values over each region. The result follows by taking the minimum over these regional minimum values.

For  $a < b - k - 2$ ,  $a < (n - 2b - k - 2)/2$ , we obtain  $\frac{89-36\sqrt{2}}{63948}n^3 + O_k(n^2)$ .

For  $a < b - k - 2$ ,  $a \geq (n - 2b - k - 2)/2$ , we obtain  $\frac{9-4\sqrt{2}}{4704}n^3 + O_k(n^2)$ .

For  $a \geq b - k - 2$ ,  $a < (n - 2b - k - 2)/2$ , we obtain  $\frac{1}{972}n^3 + O_k(n^2)$ .

For  $a \geq b - k - 2$ ,  $a \geq (n - 2b - k - 2)/2$ , we obtain  $\frac{1}{768}n^3 + O_k(n^2)$ .

The minimum of the above values is  $\frac{89-36\sqrt{2}}{63948}n^3 + O_k(n^2)$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

## 5.2 Minimizing the Number of Monochromatic and Rainbow Solutions to $x + y < z$

It is natural to consider the rainbow and monochromatic version of this problem as was done in Theorem 4.3.

**Definition 5.4.** Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For a system of linear inequalities  $\mathcal{I}$  and an  $r$ -coloring  $\chi$  of  $[1, n]$ , let  $G_\chi(\mathcal{I}; r)$  represent the number of rainbow and monochromatic solutions to  $\mathcal{I}$  under  $\chi$ . Let  $GM(\mathcal{I}; r) = \min_\chi(G_\chi(\mathcal{I}; r))$ , where the minimum is over all  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$ .

Below, we determine an upper bound on  $GM(\mathcal{J}; 3)$ , where  $\mathcal{J}$  is the system  $x + y < z$  and  $x \leq y$ . Our approach will be to limit the colorings investigated, as evidenced by the following notation.


**Notation.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$  where each color consists of a single interval. Let  $\chi(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$  be the 3-coloring given by coloring  $[1, an]$  red,  $(an, (a + b)n]$  blue, and  $((a + b)n, n]$  green, where we have  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $a + b \leq 1$ . Let  $GM_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{J}; r) = \min_\chi(G_\chi(\mathcal{J}; r))$ , where the minimum is over all 3-colorings in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Clearly  $GM(\mathcal{J}; 3) \leq GM_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{J}; 3)$ , so we continue by determining  $GM_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{J}; 3)$ . From Lemma 5.2, we see that there are  $\frac{(d-2c)^3}{12}n^3 + O(n^2)$  solutions to  $\mathcal{J}$  in  $[cn, dn]$  provided  $d > 2c$  (and no solution otherwise). This allows us to state the following result.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let  $\chi(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$  and let  $M(\chi)$  be the number of monochromatic triples  $(x, y, z)$  such that  $x + y < z$  and  $x \leq y < z$ , under  $\chi$ . Then, up to  $O(n^2)$ , we have

$$M(\chi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{12}(a^3 + (b-a)^3)n^3 & \text{if } a < b \text{ and } a + b > \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{12}(a^3 + (b-a)^3 + (1-2(a+b))^3)n^3 & \text{if } a < b \text{ and } a + b \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{12}a^3n^3 & \text{if } a \geq b \text{ and } a + b > \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{12}(a^3 + (1-2(a+b))^3)n^3 & \text{if } a \geq b \text{ and } a + b \leq \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

We next enumerate the number of rainbow solutions in a given  $\chi(a, b)$ . We start by noting that when  $x \in [1, an]$  and  $y \in (an, (a+b)n]$  with  $(a+b)n \leq x + y \leq n$  we require several distinguishing cases depending on the sizes of  $a$  and  $b$ ; see Figure 2.



**Fig. 2:** Rainbow solutions (shaded) over all possible  $\chi(a, b)$

For each given type in Figure 2, the enumeration of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  up to  $O(n^2)$  is easy to produce. We do so in Table 2.



Type	Number of Rainbow Solutions	Domain
I	$\sum_{x=1}^{an} \sum_{y=(a+b)n-x}^{(a+b)n} (n-x-y) + \frac{(2b-a)a}{2}(1-a-b)n^3$	$a < b,$ $2a + b < 1$
II	$\sum_{x=1}^{n-(a+b)n} \sum_{y=(a+b)n-x}^{(a+b)n} (n-x-y) + \sum_{x=n-an-bn}^{an} \sum_{y=(a+b)n-x}^{n-x} (n-x-y) + \frac{(2b-a)a}{2}(1-a-b)n^3$	$a < b,$ $2a + b \geq 1$
III	$\sum_{y=an}^{(a+b)n} \sum_{x=(a+b)n-y}^{n-y} (n-x-y) + \frac{b^2}{2}(1-a-b)n^3$	$a \geq b,$ $2a > 1$
IV	$\sum_{y=an}^{(a+b)n} \sum_{x=(a+b)n-y}^{an} (n-x-y) + \frac{b^2}{2}(1-a-b)n^3$	$a \geq b,$ $2a + b \leq 1$
V	$\sum_{y=an}^{n-an} \sum_{x=(a+b)n-y}^{an} (n-x-y) + \sum_{y=n-an}^{(a+b)n} \sum_{x=(a+b)n-y}^{n-y} (n-x-y) + \frac{b^2}{2}(1-a-b)n^3$	$a \geq b,$ $2a \leq 1$ $1 < 2a + b$

**Table 2:** Enumerated rainbow solutions over all possible  $\chi(a, b)$

Coupling the expressions in Table 2 with the function in Lemma 5.5, we can now give the number of monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  in  $[1, n]$  under  $\chi(a, b) \in \mathcal{D}$ . Letting  $G(a, b) = G_{\chi(a,b)}(\mathcal{J}; 3)$  and suppressing all  $O(n^2)$  terms, we use Maple to determine the following:

$$\frac{12G(a, b)}{n^3} = \begin{cases} 1 - 6a + 12a^2 - 7a^3 - 6b + 36ab - 42a^2b + 12b^2 - 30ab^2 - 10b^3 & \text{for } a \geq b \text{ and } a + b \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ 1 - 6a + 12a^2 - 10a^3 - 6b + 36ab - 33a^2b + 12b^2 - 39ab^2 - 7b^3 & \text{for } a < b \text{ and } a + b \leq \frac{1}{2}; \\ a^3 + 12ab - 18a^2b - 6ab^2 - 2b^3 & \text{for } a \geq b, a + b > \frac{1}{2}, \\ & \text{and } 2a + b \leq 1; \\ -2a^3 + 12ab - 9a^2b - 15ab^2 + b^3 & \text{for } a < b, a + b > \frac{1}{2}, \\ & \text{and } 2a + b \leq 1; \\ a^3 + 6b - 12ab + 6a^2b - 6b^2 + 6ab^2 & \text{for } a \geq b, a \geq \frac{1}{2}, a + b \leq 1; \\ 12a - 24a^2 + 17a^3 + 6b - 12ab + 6a^2b - 6b^2 + 6ab^2 - 2 & \text{for } a \geq b, a < \frac{1}{2}, 2a + b > 1; \\ 12a - 24a^2 + 14a^3 + 6b - 12ab + 15a^2b - 6b^2 - 3ab^2 + 3b^3 - 2 & \text{for } a < b, a + b \leq 1, \\ & \text{and } 2a + b > 1. \end{cases}$$

At this stage it becomes a calculus problem, where we find all critical points where  $\frac{\partial G}{\partial a} = \frac{\partial G}{\partial b} = 0$  and compare against all the boundaries. Using Maple, we find that the minimum of  $\left(\frac{3}{196} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{147}\right)n^3 + O(n^2)$  occurs along the exterior boundary, in particular at  $a = \frac{4-\sqrt{2}}{7}$  and  $b = 0$  (and two other points both leading to the same 2-coloring, just with different colors). As can be gleaned in Figure 3, the minimum occurs when we have 2-colorings (and hence no rainbow solutions); this minimum is the same as that determined in [17].

This gives us

$$GM(\mathcal{J}; 3) \leq GM_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{J}; 3) = \left(\frac{3}{196} - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{147}\right)n^3 + O(n^2).$$




Fig. 3: Graphs of  $\frac{G(a,b)}{n^3}$

This is a bit of an unsatisfying answer, so we consider a perhaps more appropriate measure of the minimum monochromatic and rainbow content in  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$ . To this end, consider the following definition.

**Definition 5.6.** We say that an  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$  is  $k$ -exact if each color is used at least  $k$  times.

Before continuing, we should point out that even though the minimum number of monochromatic and rainbow solutions over all 3-colorings in  $\mathcal{D}$  occurs in a 2-coloring, this does not mean we do not have fewer monochromatic and rainbow solutions over some 3-coloring not in  $\mathcal{D}$ . This seems to be a very difficult problem.

Considering only  $\delta n$ -exact 3-colorings in  $\mathcal{D}$  (with  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{3}]$ ), and denoting these by  $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ , using the work previously done in this section, we need only adjust the exterior boundary of the problem and compare all new boundary points to any critical points. As can be visually seen in Figure 3, and easily confirmed, no critical point is a local minimum. Thus, we can conclude that for a given  $\delta \in [0, \frac{1}{3}]$ , the value of  $GM_{\mathcal{D}_\delta}(\mathcal{J}; 3)$  occurs along one of the planes  $a = \delta$ ,  $b = \delta$ , or  $a + b = 1 - \delta$ .


**Example.** Let  $\delta = .1$ . Then  $GM_{\mathcal{D}_\delta}(x + y < z; 3) = Cn^3 + O(n^2)$ , where

$$C = \left( \frac{5501}{294000} - \frac{23\sqrt{46}}{147000} \right) \approx 0.01764970347.$$

This occurs along the boundary where  $a = \frac{26-\sqrt{46}}{70}$  and  $b = \delta$ .

### 5.3 Optimizing the Number of Rainbow Solutions to $x + y < z$

We have in place all the tools (see Table 2) to determine the minimum number of rainbow solutions over all colorings in  $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ . Obviously, the answer is  $O(n^2)$  if we do not restrict to  $\delta n$ -exact 3-colorings for some  $\delta > 0$ . We start by graphing the function given in Table 2. The result is in Figure 4.



**Fig. 4:** Graph of the number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  in colorings  $G(a, b)$

As we can see, asking for the minimum is not the correct question. This makes some intuitive sense since rainbow (sometimes referred to as anti-Ramsey) solutions are in some sense a dual of monochromatic (sometimes referred to as Ramsey) solutions. Hence, asking for the maximal number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  over  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$  is the correct question.

As we can see from the graph in Figure 4, this reduces to finding the maximum function value over all critical points (on the graph it appears there is only a single relative maximum). Using Maple we verify that there is indeed a single relative maximum, which is the absolute maximum, which allows us to state the following result.

**Theorem 5.7.** *The maximal number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$  with each color class being a single interval, is  $Cn^3 + O(n^2)$ , where*

$$C = \frac{3\sqrt{3} - 5}{6} \approx 0.0326920707.$$

*This maximum occurs in the coloring  $G\left(2 - \sqrt{3}, \frac{\sqrt{3}-1}{2}\right) \approx G(.268, .366)$ .*

We note here that the maximum in Theorem 5.7 is significantly more than the  $\frac{3!}{3^3} \cdot \frac{n^3}{12} + O(n^2) = \frac{n^3}{54} + O(n^2)$  expected under a random 3-coloring of  $[1, n]$  (about 76.5% more).

We have attempted (in vain) to determine the maximum number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$  but have made little progress. We can provide the following bounds.

**Theorem 5.8.** *Let  $RM_3(n)$  be the maximum number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  with  $x \leq y$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$ . Then*

$$\frac{n^3}{31}(1 + o(1)) < RM_3(n) \leq \frac{n^3}{27}(1 + o(1)).$$

*Proof.* The lower bound follows from Theorem 5.7 along with the fact that  $\frac{1}{31} < \frac{3\sqrt{3}-5}{6}$ . For the upper bound, consider a 3-coloring with  $r$  red,  $b$  blue, and  $n - r - b$  green integers. To determine an upper bound on our maximum  $RM_3(n)$ , consider the situation where every possible rainbow triple satisfies  $x + y < z$ . There are  $rb(n - r - b)$  such triples. Maximizing this expression over  $r$  and  $b$  gives the upper bound of the theorem (when  $r = b = \frac{n}{3}$ ).  $\square$

## 6 Open Questions

- Question 1.** Comparing Theorems 3.1 and 3.3, explain why we have equality when  $b$  is odd, even though the number of colors used is different.
- Question 2.** Noting that the upper bound in Theorem 4.3 is independent of  $r$  and that the notion of exact coloring may not be appropriate here, for  $r \geq 3$ , determine bounds on the minimum number of Gallai-Schur triples over all  $k$ -exact  $r$ -colorings of  $[1, n]$  (see Definition 5.6). What conclusion can be drawn when  $k = \delta n$  with  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{r})$ ?
- Question 3.** Determine, as a function of  $\delta$ , the asymptotic minimum number of monochromatic and rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  that can occur over all 3-colorings in  $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ .
- Question 4.** Determine, asymptotically, the minimum number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y = z$  over all  $\delta n$ -exact 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$ .
- Question 5.** Determine, asymptotically, the maximum number of rainbow solutions to  $x + y < z$  over all 3-colorings of  $[1, n]$ . We conjecture that the value in Theorem 5.7 is the correct value.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank Fred Rowley for useful comments on a preprint of this article.

## Funding

Yaping Mao is supported by the JSPS KAKENHI (No. 22F20324). Chenxu Yang is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (No. 12061059) and the Qinghai Key Laboratory of Internet of Things Project (2017-ZJ-Y21). The other authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.

## Data Availability Statement

No datasets were generated for the current study; however, computer output is reported and was generated by the aforementioned GALRAD Maple program, available at <http://math.colgate.edu/~aaron>.

## Declarations

### Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest.

## References

- [1] R. Ageron, P. Casteras, T. Pellerin, and Y. Portella, A. Rimmel, and J. Tomasik, New lower bounds for Schur and weak Schur numbers, [arXiv:2112.03175](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.03175), preprint.
- [2] M. Axenovich and P. Iverson, Edge-colorings avoiding rainbow and monochromatic subgraphs, *Discrete Math.* 308(20) (2008), 4710–4723.
- [3] F.A. Behrend, On sets of integers which contain no three terms in arithmetical progression, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 32 (1946), 331–332.
- [4] E. Berlekamp, A construction for partitions avoiding long arithmetical progression, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 11 (1968), 409–414.
- [5] T.C. Brown, B. Landman, and A. Robertson, Bounds on some van der Waerden numbers, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 115 (2008), 1304–1309.
- [6] M. Budden, Schur numbers involving rainbow colorings, *Ars Math. Contem.* 18(2) (2020), 281–288.
- [7] K. Cameron and J. Edmonds, Lambda composition, *J. Graph Theory* 26(1) (1997), 9–16.
- [8] F.R.K. Chung and R.L. Graham, Edge-colored complete graphs with precisely colored subgraphs, *Combinatorica* 3(3-4) (1983), 315–324.
- [9] J. Cummings, D. Král, F. Pfender, K. Sperfeld, A. Treglown, and M. Young, Monochromatic triangles in three-coloured graphs, *J. Combin. Theory, Series B* 103 (2013), 489-503.
- [10] B. Datskovsky, On the number of monochromatic Schur triples, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 31 (2003), 193–198.
- [11] S. Fujita, C. Magnant, and K. Ozeki, Rainbow generalizations of Ramsey theory—a dynamic survey, *Theo. Appl. Graphs*, 0(1), 2014.

- [12] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar* 18 (1967), 25–66.
- [13] R. Graham, V. Rödl, and A. Ruciński, On Schur properties of random subsets of integers, *J. Number Theory* 61 (1996), 388–408.
- [14] A. Gyárfás, G. Sárközy, A. Sebő, and S. Selkow, Edge colorings of complete graphs without tricolored triangles, *J. Graph Theory* 64(3) (2010), 233–243.
- [15] A. Gyárfás and G. Simonyi, Edge colorings of complete graphs without tricolored triangles, *J. Graph Theory* 46(3) (2004), 211–216.
- [16] M.J.H. Heule, Schur number five, Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence **32** (2018), 6598-6606.
- [17] W. Kosek, A. Robertson, D. Sabo, and D. Schaal, Multiplicity of monochromatic solutions to  $x+y < z$ , *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 117 (2010), 1127–1135.
- [18] W. Kosek and D. Schaal, A note on disjunctive Rado number, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 31 (2003), 433–439.
- [19] W. Kosek and D. Schaal, Rado numbers for the equation  $\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} x_i + c = x_m$ , for negative values of  $c$ , *Adv. Appl. Math.* 27(4) (2001), 805–815.
- [20] B. M. Landman and A. Robertson, *Ramsey Theory on the Integers*, American Mathematical Society, second edition, Providence, 2014.
- [21] Y. Mao, K. Ozeki, A. Robertson, and Z. Wang, Arithmetic progressions, quasi progressions, and Gallai-Ramsey colorings, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* 193 (2023), 105672.
- [22] S.P. Radziszowski, Small Ramsey numbers, *Electron. J. Combin.*, 1:Dynamic Survey 1, 30 pp. (electronic), 1994.
- [23] A. Robertson, *Fundamentals of Ramsey Theory*, CRC Press, Discrete Mathematics and Its Applications, Boca Raton, Florida, 2021.
- [24] A. Robertson and D. Schaal, Off-diagonal generalized Schur numbers, *Adv. Appl. Math.* 26 (2001), 252–257.
- [25] A. Robertson and D. Zeilberger, A 2-coloring of  $[1, n]$  can have  $(1/22)N^2 + O(N)$  monochromatic Schur triples, but not less!, *Electron. J. Combin.* 5 (1998), R19.
- [26] F. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proceedings London Math. Society* **30** (1930), 264-286.
- [27] F. Rowley, A generalised linear Ramsey graph construction, *Australas. J. Combin.* 81 (2020), 245-256.
- [28] D. Schaal, On generalized Schur numbers, *Congr. Numer.* 98 (1993), 178-187.
- [29] D. Schaal, A family of 3-color Rado numbers, *Congr. Numer.* 111 (1995), 150-160.
- [30] D. Schall and M. Zinter, Continuous Rado number for the equation  $a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \dots + a_{m-1}x_{m-1} + c = x_m$ , *Congr. Numer.* 207 (2011), 97–104.
- [31] T. Schoen, The number of monochromatic Schur triples, *European J. Combin.* 20 (1999), 855–866.
- [32] T. Thanatipanonda, On the monochromatic Schur Triples type problem, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (2009), #R14.
- [33] B.L. van der Waerden, Beweis einer Baudetschen Vermutung, *Nieuw Arch. Wisk.* 15 (1927), 212–216.

## A. Appendix

In this appendix we provide a proof of Lemma 2.4. In order to do so, we will rely on the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $n, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $r \geq 2$ . If  $\chi$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , then  $\psi = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$  is a Gallai-Schur  $(r+2)$ -coloring of  $[1, 4n+3]$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 6.1.* Suppose, for a contradiction, that there is a Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x \leq y < z$  under  $\psi$ .

**Case 1.**  $z \leq 3n+2$ . Let  $\gamma = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$  so that  $\gamma(i) = \psi(i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3n+2$ . Suppose, for a contradiction, that there is a Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x \leq y < z$  under  $\gamma$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have  $z \geq 2n+2$ ; otherwise  $(x, y, z)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\chi^*$ , contradicting the fact that  $\chi^*$  is Gallai-Schur coloring. If  $z = 2n+2$ , since  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is a palindromic coloring, it follows that  $\gamma(x) = \gamma(2n+2-x) = \gamma(y) \neq r+2 = \gamma(z)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple. Thus  $z \in [2n+3, 3n+2]$  and  $x \leq 2n+1$ .

If  $y \in [2n+3, 3n+2]$ , then setting  $y' = y - n - 1$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$  gives  $\gamma(y') = \gamma(y)$  and  $\gamma(z') = \gamma(z)$ , where  $y', z' \leq 2n+1$ . It follows that  $(x, y', z')$  is a Gallai-Schur triple under  $\gamma$ , a contradiction. If  $y = 2n+2$ , then it is easy to see that  $\gamma(x) = \gamma(x+2n+2) \neq \gamma(2n+2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $y \leq 2n+1$ .

Now let  $x' = 2n+2-x$  and  $z' = z-2n-2$  so that  $x', z' \leq 2n+1$ . Since  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is a palindromic Gallai-Schur coloring, we see that  $\gamma(x') = \gamma(x)$  and  $\gamma(z') = \gamma(z)$ . Moreover,  $x' + z' = z - x = y$  implies that  $(x', z', y)$  or  $(z', x', y)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple, the final contradiction that finishes this case.

**Case 2.**  $z \geq 3n+3$ . If  $z = 3n+3$ , since  $(n+1, 2n+2, 3n+3)$  is neither monochromatic nor rainbow under  $\psi$ , it follows that  $x \neq n+1$ . Since  $\chi$  is a palindromic coloring, it follows that  $\psi(x) = \psi(3n+3-x) = \psi(y) \neq r+2 = \psi(z)$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is monochromatic or rainbow. Hence  $z \in [3n+4, 4n+3]$  and  $x \leq 2n+1$ .

If  $y \in [3n+4, 4n+3]$ , then setting  $y' = y - n - 1$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$  yields  $\psi(y') = \psi(y)$  and  $\psi(z') = \psi(z)$ , where  $y', z' \leq 3n+2$ . It follows that  $(x, y', z')$  is a monochromatic or rainbow Schur triple under  $\psi$ , contradicting the fact that  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring (see Case 1). If  $y = 3n+3$ , then  $x \leq n$  and it is easy to see that  $\psi(x) = \psi(x+3n+3) \neq \psi(3n+3)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $y \leq 3n+2$ . Now let  $x' = 3n+3-x$  and  $z' = z-3n-3$ . Clearly, we have  $\psi(z') = \psi(z)$ . If  $x \neq n+1$ , then  $\psi(x') = \psi(x)$ . Then  $x' + z' = z - x = y$  implies that either  $(x', z', y)$  or  $(z', x', y)$  is a Gallai-Schur triple, which contradicts the fact that  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring. If  $x = n+1$ , then  $\psi(z) = \psi(z-n-1) = \psi(y) \neq \psi(x) = r+1$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is monochromatic or rainbow.  $\square$

We can now present our proof of Lemma 2.4. As a reminder of the notation used, if  $\chi$  is an  $r$ -coloring of  $[1, n]$ , then  $\chi^* = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is an  $(r+1)$ -coloring of  $[1, 2n+1]$  and  $\chi^{**} = \langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+1, \chi \rangle$  is an  $(r+2)$ -coloring of  $[5n+4]$ .

**Remark 6.2.** Note that for all  $i \in [1, n]$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , we have

$$\chi^{**}(i + (j - 1)(n + 1)) = \chi^{**}(i + j(n + 1)).$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.4.* Clearly,  $\chi^{**}$  is a palindromic coloring. Suppose to the contrary that there is a Gallai-Schur triple  $(x, y, z)$  with  $x \leq y < z$  under  $\chi^{**}$ . By Lemma 6.1 we have  $z \geq 4n + 4$ , for otherwise  $(x, y, z)$  is a monochromatic or rainbow Schur triple under  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$ , contradicting the fact that  $\langle \chi, r+1, \chi, r+2, \chi, r+2, \chi \rangle$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring. If  $z = 4n + 4$ , since both  $(n + 1, 3n + 3, 4n + 4)$  and  $(2n+2, 2n+2, 4n+4)$  are neither monochromatic nor rainbow, we have  $x \neq n+1, 2n+2$ . But then  $\chi^{**}(x) = \chi^{**}(4n + 4 - x) = \chi^{**}(y) \neq r + 1 = \chi^{**}(z)$ , a contradiction. Thus  $z \in [4n + 5, 5n + 4]$ .

If  $y \in [4n + 5, 5n + 4]$ , let  $y' = y - n - 1$  and  $z' = z - n - 1$ , then we have  $\chi^{**}(y') = \chi^{**}(y)$  and  $\chi^{**}(z') = \chi^{**}(z)$ . It follows that  $(x, y', z')$  is a monochromatic or rainbow Schur triple under  $\chi^{**}$ , contradicting Lemma 6.1. If  $y = 4n + 4$ , then  $x \leq n$  and, by Remark 6.2, we have  $\chi^{**}(x) = \chi^{**}(x + 4n + 4) \neq \chi^{**}(4n + 4)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $y \leq 4n + 3$ . Now let  $x' = 4n + 4 - x$  and  $z' = z - 4n - 4$ . Clearly, we have  $\chi^{**}(z') = \chi^{**}(z)$ . If  $x \neq n + 1, 2n + 2$ , then  $\chi^{**}(x') = \chi^{**}(x)$ . Then  $x' + z' = z - x = y$  implies that  $(x', z', y)$  or  $(z', x', y)$  is a monochromatic or rainbow Schur triple, which contradicts Lemma 6.1. If  $x = n + 1$ , then  $\chi^{**}(z) = \chi^{**}(z - n - 1) = \chi^{**}(y) \neq \chi^{**}(x) = r + 1$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is monochromatic or rainbow. If  $x = 2n + 2$ , then  $\chi^{**}(z) = \chi^{**}(z - 2n - 2) = \chi^{**}(y) \neq \chi^{**}(x) = r + 2$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $(x, y, z)$  is monochromatic or rainbow. Thus,  $\chi^{**}$  is a Gallai-Schur coloring.  $\square$