

Generating patient cohorts from electronic health records using two-step retrieval-augmented text-to-SQL generation

Angelo Ziletti*

Bayer AG

angelo.ziletti@bayer.com

Leonardo D'Ambrosi

Bayer AG

Abstract

Clinical cohort definition is crucial for patient recruitment and observational studies, yet translating inclusion/exclusion criteria into SQL queries remains challenging and manual. We present an automated system utilizing large language models that combines criteria parsing, two-level retrieval augmented generation with specialized knowledge bases, medical concept standardization, and SQL generation to retrieve patient cohorts with patient funnels. The system achieves 0.75 F1-score in cohort identification on EHR data, effectively capturing complex temporal and logical relationships. These results demonstrate the feasibility of automated cohort generation for epidemiological research.

1 Introduction

Electronic Health Records (EHR) have become essential resources for clinical research, serving as a foundational component across multiple healthcare applications. In clinical trials, accurate cohort identification directly impacts patient recruitment success, study validity, timeline adherence, and cost management (Sherman et al., 2016). For observational studies and epidemiological research, well-defined cohorts enable researchers to investigate disease patterns, treatment effectiveness, and health outcomes across specific patient populations, informing critical healthcare decisions from drug development to regulatory submissions (Casey et al., 2016; U.S. FDA, 2024)

Problem Statement. Converting clinical inclusion/exclusion criteria into accurate database queries requires addressing multiple technical challenges simultaneously: (1) mapping natural language criteria to precise computational logic while leveraging medical domain knowledge, (2) preserving complex temporal and logical relationships across multiple criteria, (3) mapping diverse medical concepts to standardized codes, and (4) gen-

erating queries that conform to established data models.

Contributions. This paper presents an approach for automatically generating patient cohorts from EHRs. Our main contributions are:

- A manually curated dataset of inclusion/exclusion criteria paired with SQL queries that conform to the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership Common Data Model (OMOP-CDM) (OMOP-CDM, 2023).
- A two-level retrieval-augmented generation (RAG) framework that substantially outperforms simple prompting across all tested models, demonstrating robust performance in translating complex clinical criteria into executable SQL queries.
- Open-source release of dataset, source code, and prompt configurations¹.

The system has been deployed and is currently being evaluated at Bayer by epidemiologists and data analysts.

2 Knowledge base generation

We create two complementary knowledge bases (KBs) for our retrieval-augmented approach: *EpiAskKB* and *EpiCohoKB*.

EpiAskKB consists of 111 question-SQL pairs that capture typical analytical questions in observational studies, extending and refining the dataset from Ziletti and D'Ambrosi (2024) to better reflect common epidemiological research questions.

EpiCohoKB contains inclusion/exclusion criteria for observational studies, which we create through structured language model prompting. The generation integrates three key elements: (1) a

¹Upon acceptance of the paper




Figure 1: (a-g) From inclusion/exclusion criteria in natural language to patient cohorts using electronic health record databases: end-to-end workflow. (h) Query decomposition and patient funnel generation through LLM-based processing.

prompt specifying OMOP CDM vocabulary usage and structural constraints for cohort criteria, (2) standard guidelines for study criteria (including temporal relationships, assessment windows, and observable time definitions) Wang et al. (2021), and (3) real observational study protocols from the European Medicines Agency (EMA) catalog (EMA, 2024) (89 samples) as exemplars for in-context learning. This ensures both structural rigor and clinical authenticity. EpiCohoKB covers diverse therapeutic areas and study types, including drug safety analyses, comparative effectiveness research, and healthcare utilization studies, creating a comprehensive benchmark for clinical criteria translation. We generate an initial set of SQL queries through large language model (LLM) prompting,

manually review and validate them for Snowflake SQL compatibility, resulting in 104 samples.

3 Methods

Our approach translates clinical cohort definitions into executable SQL queries through a multi-stage pipeline (Fig. 1). First, we use an LLM to parse input criteria into a semi-structured format, explicitly identifying inclusion criteria, exclusion criteria, and index date definition. We then compile an instruction prompt incorporating domain knowledge about OMOP CDM and SQL query construction, forming our baseline zero-shot (ZS) approach.

We implement three retrieval augmentation strategies: (1) Criteria-level RAG (RAG+A) retrieves top-5 similar question-SQL pairs from

EpiAskKB per criterion; (2) Cohort-level RAG (RAG+C) retrieves top-5 similar cohort definitions from EpiCohoKB; (3) Combined RAG (RAG+A+C) performs both criteria-level and cohort-level retrieval. This modular design enables query pattern reuse at different granularities - individual criteria can be composed into complex cohort definitions while preserving temporal relationships. The augmented prompt is passed to the LLM to generate SQL with placeholder entities (e.g., condition@hypertension). This approach disentangles the query logic generation from the subsequent medical coding step where placeholders are mapped to specific concept IDs (Ziletti and D'Ambrosi, 2024). The system implements a self-healing mechanism with up to three iterations (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023). The output is a cohort table with patient IDs and index dates.

To provide interpretability and enable criteria refinement, we also implement a patient funnel calculation pipeline (Fig. 1h). The LLM generates separate SQL queries per criterion, which are executed independently and combined through set operations (intersections for inclusions, differences for exclusions), tracking cohort attrition at each step.

4 Evaluation

4.1 Experimental setup

We evaluate several state-of-the-art LLMs: Claude 3.5 Sonnet (hereafter *Claude*) (Anthropic AI, 2024), Gemini 2.0 Flash (*Gemini*) (Gemini Team, 2023), LLAMA 3.1 70B (Grattafiori et al., 2024), and GPT-4o (OpenAI, 2023). We also include reasoning models: o1 (OpenAI, 2024) and DeepSeek R1 (*deepseek*) (DeepSeek-AI et al., 2025). We test these models in ZS settings and with RAG using different configurations: question-based retrieval (RAG+A), cohort-based retrieval (RAG+C), and their combination (RAG+A+C). For RAG, we employ entity masking and the BGE-LARGE-EN-V1.5 embedding model (Zhang et al., 2023b). The same model is used for medical entity normalization (Limsopatham and Collier, 2016; Portelli et al., 2022; Ziletti et al., 2022; Zhang et al., 2022), with GPT-4o verification (Ziletti and D'Ambrosi, 2024). The evaluation uses Optum's de-identified Clinformatics® Data Mart Database (a major US claims database) converted to OMOP CDM. Our methodology is compatible with the OMOP network (over 2.1 billion patient records world-

wide (Reich et al., 2024)), including the freely available DE-SynPUF synthetic dataset (SynPUF, 2010). For successful queries, we compute patient-level metrics (F1, precision, recall) and normalized cohort size differences based on matching patients, with temporal alignment assessed through exact matches and within a ± 30 -day window. Failed queries (invalid SQL or empty results) contribute zero scores to the final cross-sample averages.

4.2 Experimental results

Results are shown in Table 1, and outlined below.

Generated queries have high syntactic validity.

Most models show high SQL compilation rates with RAG augmentation, while also improving data retrieval success. The retrieved data rate increases substantially with RAG.

RAG strongly improves zero-shot performance.

The combined RAG strategy (RAG+A+C) substantially outperforms ZS across all models. RAG+A+C significantly improves patient cohort identification across all metrics. Precision increases (Claude: $\uparrow 14.6$ pp, Gemini $\uparrow 33.3$ pp, GPT-4o $\uparrow 29.6$ pp), while maintaining high recall ($>70\%$). Similar patterns emerge in temporal alignment. The balanced improvement in precision and recall suggests that RAG helps models better understand both inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Complementary RAG strategies enhance performance.

Cohort-level examples (RAG+C) are particularly effective. When compared to criteria-level RAG (RAG+A), cohort-level examples lead to substantially higher F1 scores. The combination of both strategies (RAG+A+C) further improves performance for most models, suggesting complementary benefits from both example types. Interestingly, GPT-4o shows slightly decreased performance with RAG+A+C compared to RAG+C ($\downarrow 6.4$ pp), indicating that longer input contexts may impact its generation capabilities.

Claude and Gemini emerge as top performers.

Claude and Gemini achieve comparable performance when augmented with RAG+A+C. Claude exhibits the strongest ZS performance, while Gemini shows excellent in-context learning capabilities ($\uparrow 33.3$ pp from ZS to RAG+A+C). Reasoning-focused models (o1, DeepSeek R1) struggle with SQL formatting despite their analytical capabilities.

To evaluate the patient funnel creation, for the best performing model (Claude RAG+A+C), we measure a 90% normalized cohort size similarity

Model name	Settings	General metrics		Patient-level metrics				Date-level metrics	
		Valid SQL	Retrieved	F1	Prec.	Recall	Size sim.	Date overlap	Within 30d
Claude 3.5 Sonnet	ZS	100.0	78.1	57.8	61.0	63.1	62.8	75.9	74.9
Claude 3.5 Sonnet	RAG+A	<u>99.0</u>	82.6	58.4	59.5	68.6	62.6	80.8	77.5
Claude 3.5 Sonnet	RAG+C	<u>99.0</u>	<u>91.4</u>	69.5	72.0	74.7	74.5	88.2	87.4
Claude 3.5 Sonnet	RAG+A+C	<u>99.0</u>	93.3	<u>72.8</u>	<u>75.6</u>	<u>79.0</u>	<u>77.6</u>	91.7	90.5
Gemini 2.0 Flash	ZS	96.2	55.2	42.4	43.3	44.5	46.6	51.7	50.9
Gemini 2.0 Flash	RAG+A	<u>99.0</u>	62.9	41.6	42.1	48.6	46.0	58.7	58.4
Gemini 2.0 Flash	RAG+C	<u>99.0</u>	89.5	68.0	68.9	75.2	73.5	85.4	84.6
Gemini 2.0 Flash	RAG+A+C	<u>99.0</u>	91.3	75.4	76.6	79.7	79.8	<u>89.1</u>	<u>89.1</u>
GPT-4o	ZS	84.8	61.0	35.4	38.1	39.7	42.1	52.9	52.5
GPT-4o	RAG+A	86.7	50.5	31.7	32.8	34.7	37.4	44.9	44.6
GPT-4o	RAG+C	97.1	91.4	72.1	73.1	76.8	77.7	87.0	87.9
GPT-4o	RAG+A+C	98.1	84.8	65.7	67.7	71.1	70.5	80.9	82.3
o1	ZS	9.5	5.7	4.3	5.2	4.2	4.5	5.4	5.0
o1	RAG+A+C	78.1	73.3	60.0	62.0	62.2	63.0	71.5	70.0
DeepSeek R1	ZS	35.2	28.6	22.2	22.6	23.1	23.6	25.9	24.8
DeepSeek R1	RAG+A+C	36.2	31.4	23.6	25.0	25.4	25.4	29.6	30.2
LLAMA 3.1 70B	ZS	37.1	17.1	8.5	9.6	9.0	11.0	16.2	14.5
LLAMA 3.1 70B	RAG+A+C	79.1	69.5	47.0	51.2	52.0	52.7	66.6	65.3

Table 1: Performance evaluation of text-to-SQL generation for patient cohort identification. *Valid SQL* indicates syntactically correct queries, *Retrieved* indicates queries that successfully retrieved patient data. Patient-level metrics evaluate cohort membership accuracy, while date-level metrics assess the temporal alignment of cohort index dates. Higher values are better, all values in percentage. Bold indicates best results, underlined shows second best.

compared to the single-query approach, validating the funnel decomposition strategy.

5 Related Work

Cohort Generation from EHR Data. Rule-based systems achieve high precision but require substantial expert curation (Banda et al., 2017; Hripsak et al., 2019; OHDSI Collaborative, 2021; Privitera and Hartenstein, 2024). While early work combined rules and machine learning (Yuan et al., 2019), recent approaches leverage LLMs: Park et al. (2024) for OMOP-CDM clinical trial queries, Melnichenko (2023) for structured criteria extraction, Dobbins et al. (2023) for cross-schema templated queries, Yan et al. (2024) for phenotyping, and Wong et al. (2023) for eligibility criteria structuring. Ziletti and D’Ambrosi (2024) introduced RAG-enhanced epidemiological text-to-SQL. Several EHR text-to-SQL datasets exist (Wang et al., 2020; Raghavan et al., 2021; Lee et al., 2022; Tarbell et al., 2023; Ziletti and D’Ambrosi, 2024), though none maps observational study criteria to OMOP-SQL.

Text-to-SQL with In-Context Learning. Re-

cent work shows LLMs excel at text-to-SQL tasks when enhanced with in-context learning (Rajkumar et al., 2022), particularly through optimized example selection (Nan et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2023), query decomposition (Pourreza and Rafiei, 2023), diverse demonstrations (Chang and Fosler-Lussier, 2023a,b), ensemble approaches (Gao et al., 2025), and chain-of-thought prompting (Zhang et al., 2023a).

6 Conclusions

This work shows that LLMs with RAG can effectively identify patient cohorts from EHR data. The pipeline combines medical concept standardization, criteria parsing, and two-level retrieval to handle complex temporal relationships, while the patient funnel provides interpretable intermediate metrics. Future applications to clinical trial recruitment could help bridge the gap between observational and interventional research.

7 Limitations

Our work has several limitations. The modest size of our dataset may not capture the full complex-

ity and variety of real-world observational studies. The current system lacks formal uncertainty estimates for its predictions, making it difficult to identify cases where human review is particularly important. While the patient funnel provides some transparency, the model remains largely a black box for users unfamiliar with SQL, potentially limiting adoption among clinical researchers. The current methodology relies on OMOP-CDM, and although it could be in principle adapted to other data models through in-context learning, this was not tested.

References

- Anthropic AI. 2024. Model card for claude 3 models. <https://docs.anthropic.com/en/docs/resources/model-card>. Accessed: February 15, 2024.
- Juan M Banda, Yoni Halpern, David Sontag, and Nigam H Shah. 2017. Electronic phenotyping with APHRODITE and the Observational Health Sciences and Informatics (OHDSI) data network. *AMIA Joint Summits on Translational Science proceedings*, 2017:48–57.
- Joan A. Casey, Brian S. Schwartz, Walter F. Stewart, and Nancy E. Adler. 2016. Using electronic health records for population health research: A review of methods and applications. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 37(Volume 37, 2016):61–81.
- Shuaichen Chang and Eric Fosler-Lussier. 2023a. How to prompt llms for text-to-sql: A study in zero-shot, single-domain, and cross-domain settings. *Preprint*, arXiv:2305.11853.
- Shuaichen Chang and Eric Fosler-Lussier. 2023b. Selective demonstrations for cross-domain text-to-SQL. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 14174–14189, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- DeepSeek-AI, Daya Guo, Dejian Yang, Haowei Zhang, Junxiao Song, Ruoyu Zhang, Runxin Xu, Qihao Zhu, Shirong Ma, Peiyi Wang, Xiao Bi, Xiaokang Zhang, Xingkai Yu, Yu Wu, Z. F. Wu, Zhibin Gou, Zhihong Shao, Zhuoshu Li, Ziyi Gao, and 181 others. 2025. Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning. *Preprint*, arXiv:2501.12948.
- Nicholas J Dobbins, Bin Han, Weipeng Zhou, Kristine F Lan, H Nina Kim, Robert Harrington, Özlem Uzuner, and Meliha Yetisgen. 2023. Leafai: query generator for clinical cohort discovery rivaling a human programmer. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 30(12):1954–1964.
- EMA. 2024. Hma-ema catalogues of real-world data sources and studies. Accessed: March 3, 2025.
- Dawei Gao, Haibin Wang, Yaliang Li, Xiuyu Sun, Yichen Qian, Bolin Ding, and Jingren Zhou. 2023. Text-to-sql empowered by large language models: A benchmark evaluation. *Preprint*, arXiv:2308.15363.
- Yingqi Gao, Yifu Liu, Xiaoxia Li, Xiaorong Shi, Yin Zhu, Yiming Wang, Shiqi Li, Wei Li, Yuntao Hong, Zhiling Luo, Jinyang Gao, Liyu Mou, and Yu Li. 2025. A preview of xiyan-sql: A multi-generator ensemble framework for text-to-sql. *Preprint*, arXiv:2411.08599.
- Gemini Team. 2023. Gemini: A family of highly capable multimodal models. Technical report, Google. Accessed: February 15, 2024.
- Aaron Grattafiori, Abhimanyu Dubey, Abhinav Jauhri, Abhinav Pandey, Abhishek Kadian, Ahmad Al-Dahle, Aiesha Letman, Akhil Mathur, Alan Schelten, Alex Vaughan, Amy Yang, Angela Fan, Anirudh Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Aobo Yang, Archi Mitra, Archie Sravankumar, Artem Korenev, Arthur Hinsvark, and 542 others. 2024. The llama 3 herd of models. *Preprint*, arXiv:2407.21783.
- George Hripcsak, Ning Shang, Peggy L. Peissig, Luke V. Rasmussen, Cong Liu, Barbara Benoit, Robert J. Carroll, David S. Carrell, Joshua C. Denny, Ozan Dikilitas, Vivian S. Gainer, Kayla Marie Howell, Jeffrey G. Klann, Iftikhar J. Kullo, Todd Lingren, Frank D. Mentch, Shawn N. Murphy, Karthik Nataraajan, Jennifer A. Pacheco, and 3 others. 2019. Facilitating phenotype transfer using a common data model. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 96:103253.
- Gyubok Lee, Hyeonji Hwang, Seongsu Bae, Yeonsu Kwon, Woncheol Shin, Seongjun Yang, Minjoon Seo, Jong-Yeup Kim, and Edward Choi. 2022. Ehrsql: A practical text-to-sql benchmark for electronic health records. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 35, pages 15589–15601. Curran Associates, Inc.
- Nut Limsopatham and Nigel Collier. 2016. Normalising medical concepts in social media texts by learning semantic representation. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1014–1023, Berlin, Germany. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Olesya Melnichenko. 2023. Designing multi-step cohort creation flow for biomedical datasets. *Microsoft Technical Community Blog*.
- Linyong Nan, Yilun Zhao, Weijin Zou, Narutatsu Ri, Jaesung Tae, Ellen Zhang, Arman Cohan, and Dragomir Radev. 2023. Enhancing text-to-SQL capabilities of large language models: A study on prompt design strategies. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 14935–14956, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- OHDSI Collaborative. 2021. The book of OHDSI. In *The Book of OHDSI*, chapter 10. Observational Health Data Sciences and Informatics.

- OMOP-CDM. 2023. Omop cdm common data model. <https://ohdsi.github.io/CommonDataModel/>. Accessed: January 23, 2024.
- OpenAI. 2023. Gpt-4 technical report. *Preprint*, arXiv:2303.08774.
- OpenAI. 2024. Learning to reason with llms. Accessed: September 2024.
- Jimyung Park, Yilu Fang, Casey Ta, Gongbo Zhang, Betina Idnay, Fangyi Chen, David Feng, Rebecca Shyu, Emily R. Gordon, Matthew Spotnitz, and Chunhua Weng. 2024. Criteria2query 3.0: Leveraging generative large language models for clinical trial eligibility query generation. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 154:104649.
- Beatrice Portelli, Simone Scaboro, Enrico Santus, Hooman Sedghamiz, Emmanuele Chersoni, and Giuseppe Serra. 2022. Generalizing over long tail concepts for medical term normalization. In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 8580–8591, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mohammadreza Pourreza and Davood Rafiei. 2023. DIN-SQL: Decomposed in-context learning of text-to-SQL with self-correction. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*.
- S. Privitera and A. Hartenstein. 2024. Phenex: Automatic phenotype extractor. <https://github.com/Bayer-Group/PhenEx>. Accessed: March 3, 2025.
- Preethi Raghavan, Jennifer J Liang, Diwakar Mahajan, Rachita Chandra, and Peter Szolovits. 2021. emrK-BQA: A clinical knowledge-base question answering dataset. In *Proceedings of the 20th Workshop on Biomedical Language Processing*, pages 64–73, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nitarshan Rajkumar, Raymond Li, and Dzmitry Bahdanau. 2022. Evaluating the text-to-sql capabilities of large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2204.00498.
- Christian Reich, Anna Ostroplets, Patrick Ryan, Peter Rijnbeek, Martijn Schuemie, Alexander Davydov, Dmitry Dymshyts, and George Hripcsak. 2024. OHDSI Standardized Vocabularies—a large-scale centralized reference ontology for international data harmonization. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, page ocad247.
- Rachel E. Sherman, Steven A. Anderson, Gerald J. Dal Pan, Gerry W. Gray, Thomas Gross, Nina L. Hunter, Lisa LaVange, Danica Marinac-Dabic, Peter W. Marks, Melissa A. Robb, Jeffrey Shuren, Robert Temple, Janet Woodcock, Lilly Q. Yue, and Robert M. Califf. 2016. Real-world evidence — what is it and what can it tell us? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 375(23):2293–2297.
- SynPUF. 2010. Medicare claims synthetic public use files (synpufs). <https://www.cms.gov/data-research/statistics-trends-and-reports/medicare-claims-synthetic-public-use-files>. Accessed: January 23, 2024.
- Richard Tarbell, Kim-Kwang Raymond Choo, Glenn Dietrich, and Anthony Rios. 2023. Towards understanding the generalization of medical text-to-sql models and datasets. *AMIA ... Annual Symposium proceedings. AMIA Symposium, 2023*:669–678.
- U.S. FDA. 2024. Real-world data: Assessing electronic health records and medical claims data to support regulatory decision-making for drug and biological products. Guidance for Industry FDA-2020-D-2307, U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Final Level 1 Guidance.
- Ping Wang, Tian Shi, and Chandan K. Reddy. 2020. Text-to-sql generation for question answering on electronic medical records. In *Proceedings of The Web Conference 2020, WWW '20*, page 350–361, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Shirley V Wang, Simone Pinheiro, Wei Hua, Peter Arlett, Yoshiaki Uyama, Jesse A Berlin, Dorothee B Bartels, Kristijan H Kahler, Lily G Bessette, and Sebastian Schneeweiss. 2021. Start-rwe: structured template for planning and reporting on the implementation of real world evidence studies. *BMJ*, 372.
- Cliff Wong, Sheng Zhang, Yu Gu, Christine Mung, Jacob Abel, Naoto Usuyama, Roshanthi Weerasinghe, Brian Piening, Tristan Naumann, Carlo Bifulco, and Hoifung Poon. 2023. Scaling clinical trial matching using large language models: A case study in oncology. In *Proceedings of the 8th Machine Learning for Healthcare Conference*, volume 219 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 846–862. PMLR.
- Chao Yan, Henry H Ong, Monika E Grabowska, Matthew S Krantz, Wu-Chen Su, Alyson L Dickson, Josh F Peterson, QiPing Feng, Dan M Roden, C Michael Stein, V Eric Kerchberger, Bradley A Malin, and Wei-Qi Wei. 2024. Large language models facilitate the generation of electronic health record phenotyping algorithms. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 31(9):1994–2001.
- Chi Yuan, Patrick B Ryan, Casey Ta, Yixuan Guo, Ziran Li, Jill Hardin, Rupa Makadia, Peng Jin, Ning Shang, Tian Kang, and Chunhua Weng. 2019. Criteria2Query: a natural language interface to clinical databases for cohort definition. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 26(4):294–305.
- Hanchong Zhang, Ruisheng Cao, Lu Chen, Hongshen Xu, and Kai Yu. 2023a. ACT-SQL: In-context learning for text-to-SQL with automatically-generated chain-of-thought. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 3501–3532, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Peitian Zhang, Shitao Xiao, Zheng Liu, Zhicheng Dou, and Jian-Yun Nie. 2023b. [Retrieve anything to augment large language models](#). *Preprint*, arXiv:2310.07554.

Sheng Zhang, Hao Cheng, Shikhar Vashishth, Cliff Wong, Jinfeng Xiao, Xiaodong Liu, Tristan Naumann, Jianfeng Gao, and Hoifung Poon. 2022. [Knowledge-rich self-supervision for biomedical entity linking](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2022*, pages 868–880, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Angelo Ziletti, Alan Akbik, Christoph Berns, Thomas Herold, Marion Legler, and Martina Viell. 2022. [Medical coding with biomedical transformer ensembles and zero/few-shot learning](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies: Industry Track*, pages 176–187, Hybrid: Seattle, Washington + Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Angelo Ziletti and Leonardo D'Ambrosi. 2024. [Retrieval augmented text-to-SQL generation for epidemiological question answering using electronic health records](#). In *Proceedings of the 6th Clinical Natural Language Processing Workshop*, pages 47–53, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.