AE-driven Zonal Modes Produce Transport Barriers and Heat Thermal Ions by Cross-Scale Interactions

Qinghao Yan^{1,*} and P. H. Diamond²

¹Center for Fusion Sciences, Southwestern Institute of Physics,

Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, People's Republic of China

²Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics and Department of Physics,

University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA

(Dated: March 3, 2025)

In scenarios where a sustained energetic particle source strongly drives toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes (TAE), and phase-space transport is insufficient to saturate TAE, this novel theory of TAE-zonal mode (ZM)-turbulence – self-regulated by cross-scale interactions (including collisionless ZF damping) – merits consideration. Zonal modes are driven by Reynolds and Maxwell stresses, without the onset of modulational instability. TAE evolution in the presence of ZMs conserves energy and closes the system feedback loop. The saturated zonal shears can be sufficient to suppress ambient drift-ITG turbulence, achieving an enhanced core confinement regime. The necessary mechanism is identified. The saturated state is regulated by linear and turbulent zonal flow drag. This regulation leads to bursty TAE spectral oscillations, which overshoot while approaching saturation. Heating by both collisional and collisionless ZM damping deposits alpha particle energy into the thermal plasma, achieving effective alpha channeling. This theory offers a mechanism for EP-induced transport barrier formation, and predicts a novel thermal ion heating mechanism.

Energetic particles (EP) are known to excite instabilities such as toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes (TAE) and energetic particle modes (EPM), potentially leading to significant EP transport and so affecting fusion plasma confinement^[1]. However, recent experiments yielded results that contradict this expectation, showing that EP can be associated with improved thermal plasma confinement, through the formation of internal transport barriers (ITB)[2–6]. These findings highlight discrepancies between experimental results and previous theoretical predictions for EP physics. In addition, conventional turbulent transport models don't account for the effect of EP on thermal confinement. To address these discrepancies, mechanisms have been proposed, such as fast particle dilution^[7, 8], electromagnetic stabilization^[9] and zonal mode (ZM) stabilization [10–13]. ZM can address two key problems: the saturation of EP-driven instabilities via ZM[4, 14] to prevent strong EP transport, and the suppression of turbulence by sheared ZM[15] to form a transport barrier. The TAE/ZM system is an ideal framework for studying these issues. TAE can spontaneously generate ZM via modulational instability, which requires a threshold TAE amplitude [16]. Conversely, the directly driven (forced-driven) process [14, 17–21] does not require a threshold TAE amplitude. The scenario of ZM drive by waves is a well-known paradigm for pattern and structure formation, such as atmospheric jets, Jovian bands and drift wave-zonal flow (DW-ZF) turbulence in tokamaks. Here, the new element is the presence of two wave populations - the TAEs and DWs - which couple via the ZM. The nonlinear cross-transport in fusion plasma has been studied in Refs. [11, 22–26], but these studies lack saturation mechanism for the directly driven process, especially the proper mechanisms for ZM collisionless damping.

As we will show in this paper, with the sustained EP profile and strongly excited TAE, the interactions between TAEs and directly driven ZM lead to an interesting self-organized state of the system, which arises from the feedback loop structure as shown in Figure 1: ZM is directly driven by TAE via Reynolds and Maxwell stresses, and damped by collisional and collisionless drag processes. This, in turn, influences the evolution of the TAE via wave-ZM interaction. ZM damping regulates the TAE saturation level and the oscillations of TAE and ZM as they approach saturation. The geodesic acoustic transference (GAT) is a relevant collisionless damping mechanism, which requires sufficient turbulent mixing to be effective. GAT heats ions nonlinearly, ultimately functioning as an alpha channeling process. EP transport is connected to thermal turbulence by cross-scale interactions. The shearing effect from the saturated ZM can be sufficient to suppress DW turbulence, leading to a transport barrier for thermals.

To investigate the feedback interactions between TAE and ZM, we use $\delta\phi$ and δA_{\parallel} for scalar potential and parallel vector potential respectively, and define $\delta L \equiv$



FIG. 1. A feedback diagram for TAE and ZM with energy deposition from EP to thermals. ZM saturates TAE and suppresses DW turbulence. Thermal plasma damps ZM and so is heated.

 $\delta\phi - (v_{\parallel}\delta A_{\parallel}/c), \ \delta\psi \equiv \delta A_{\parallel}\omega/(ck_{\parallel}).$ Following the notations in Refs.[18, 19], let $\delta\phi = \delta\phi_Z + \delta\phi_T$, where $\delta\phi_Z$ is the zonal component and $\delta\phi_T \equiv \delta\phi_0 + \delta\phi_{0*}$, with $\delta\phi_0$ and $\delta\phi_{0*}$ having the opposite real frequencies. Therefore, a collision of two counter-propagating eigenmodes is considered here. Using the ballooning decomposition in (r, θ, ϕ) , we have

$$\delta\phi_0 = \hat{A}_0 e^{i\int \hat{k}_{r,0} \mathrm{d}r + i(n\phi - m_0\theta - \omega_0 t)} \sum_j e^{-ij\theta} \Phi_0(x-j)$$

$$\delta\phi_Z = \hat{A}_Z e^{i\int \hat{k}_Z \mathrm{d}r - i\omega_Z t} \sum_m \Phi_Z$$

where Φ_0 above accounts for the fine scale structure of TAE, \hat{A}_0 is the envelope amplitude, and $\hat{k}_{r,0}$ stands for the radial envelope wave number. From the gyrokinetic nonlinear vorticity equation[1, 18, 19, 27], one can obtain the nonlinear evolution of TAE $\delta \phi_0$ as equation (1):

$$-k_{\parallel}^{2}\delta\psi_{0} + \frac{\omega_{0}^{2}}{V_{A}^{2}}\delta\phi_{0} = \frac{4\pi\omega_{0}e}{c^{2}k_{\perp,0}^{2}}\langle J_{k_{0}}\omega_{d}\delta H_{k_{0}}\rangle_{\mathcal{E}}$$

$$-i\frac{c}{B_{0}}\frac{k_{Z}k_{\theta,0}}{k_{\perp,0}^{2}}(k_{Z}^{2}-k_{\perp,0}^{2})\frac{\omega_{0}}{V_{A}^{2}}\delta\phi_{0}(\delta\phi_{Z}-\delta\psi_{Z})$$
(1)

where $\mathcal{E} \equiv v^2/2$, $\mathbf{k}\delta\phi = [k_{\parallel}\hat{b} + k_{\theta}\hat{\theta} + (\hat{k}_r - inq'\partial_x \ln \Phi)\hat{r}]\delta\phi$, $V_A^{-2} \equiv 4\pi n_0 e^2 \rho_i^2 / (c^2 T_i)$, $J_k = J_0(k_{\perp}\rho_L)$ is the Bessel function, EP distribution function is $F = F_0 + \delta H$. The ZM contributions are the second term on the R.H.S. The breaking of the ideal MHD condition is expressed as:

$$\delta\phi_0 - \delta\psi_0 = i\frac{c}{B_0}\frac{k_\theta k_Z}{\omega_0}(\delta\psi_Z - \delta\phi_Z)\delta\phi_0 \qquad (2)$$

In equation (1), the integral $\langle \cdots \rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \equiv \int \sqrt{\mathcal{E}} d\mathcal{E}$ of the fast particle distribution δH appears. This is obtained from the nonlinear gyrokinetic equation[28]:

$$(-i\omega + v_{\parallel}\partial_l + i\omega_d + \Omega_Z) \,\delta H_k = - i \frac{e_s}{m} Q_0 F_0 J_k \delta L_k - \frac{c}{B_0} \Lambda_k J_{k'} \delta L_{k'} \delta H_{k''}$$
(3)

where $\Lambda_k \equiv \sum_{k=k'+k''} \hat{b} \cdot \mathbf{k}'' \times \mathbf{k}'$. Then the "linear" response to the TAE mode $\delta \phi_0$ is written as[29, 30]:

$$\delta H_0^L = -\frac{e}{m} Q_0 F_0 e^{i\lambda_{d0}} J_{k_0} \delta L_0 \sum_l \frac{(-1)^l J_l(\hat{\lambda}_{d0}) e^{il(\theta - \theta_0)}}{\omega_0 - k_{\parallel,0} v_{\parallel} - l\omega_t - i\Omega_Z}$$
(A)

where $\omega_d = \hat{v}_{d,\mathcal{E}}(k_r \sin\theta + k_\theta \cos\theta), \ \hat{v}_{d,\mathcal{E}} = (v_{\perp}^2 + 2v_{\parallel}^2)/(2\Omega_i R_0), \ Q_0 F_0 \equiv (\omega_0 \partial_{\mathcal{E}} - \omega_*)F_0, \ \omega_* F_0 = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{b} \times \nabla F_0/\Omega_i, \ L_{n0E}^{-1} \equiv -\mathrm{d} \ln n_{0E}/\mathrm{d} r, \ \Omega_i \text{ is the thermal ion cyclotron frequency, } \Omega_Z \equiv ck_{\theta,0}k_Z J_Z \delta L_Z/B_0 \simeq k_{\theta,0}V_{E\times B}$ is the nonlinear phase shift from ZM, $\lambda_{d0} = \hat{\lambda}_{d0} \sin(\theta - \theta_0), \ \hat{\lambda}_{d0} = k_{\perp,0}\hat{\rho}_{d,\mathcal{E}}, \ \hat{\rho}_{d,\mathcal{E}} = qR_0\hat{v}_{d,\mathcal{E}}/v_{\parallel}, \ \tan\theta_0 = k_r/k_{\theta}, \ J_l(\hat{\lambda}_{d0}) \text{ is the } l\text{-th order Bessel function of } \hat{\lambda}_{d0}.$ For large parallel velocity, there is $\omega_t = v_{\parallel}/qR_0.$

Multiplying equation (1) by $\delta \phi_{0*}$, utilizing equations (2) and (4), and assuming a slowing down distribution

function $F_{0E} = n_{0E} \mathcal{E}^{-3/2} / \ln(\mathcal{E}_f/\mathcal{E}_c)$ with $\mathcal{E}_c \leq \mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{E}_f$ and $\mathcal{E}_f = v_f^2/2$, we have the evolution equation for $|\delta\phi_0|^2$ or, equivalently, a wave kinetic equation. This is (see Supplemental Material for more details):

$$\left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel,0}^2 V_A^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \partial_t |\delta\phi_0|^2 = \left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel,0} V_A}{\omega_0}\right) \frac{\omega_A \pi}{2\sigma} q^3 k_{\theta,0} \rho_A \beta_E f_r \frac{R_0}{L_{n0E}} |\delta\phi_0|^2 \quad (5) - \left(1 - \frac{k_Z^2}{k_{\perp,0}^2} - \frac{k_{\parallel,0}^2 V_A^2}{\omega_0^2}\right) \frac{2c}{B_0} k_{\theta,0} k_Z |\delta\phi_0|^2 \delta\phi_Z$$

Here we have only considered $l = \pm 1$ transit resonances, $\omega_A \equiv V_A/(qR_0), \ \rho_A \equiv V_A/\Omega_i, \ \beta_E \equiv 8\pi n_{0E} m_i \mathcal{E}_f/B_0^2,$ $\sigma \equiv \ln(\mathcal{E}_f/\mathcal{E}_c)\mathcal{E}_f/V_A^2, \ f_r$ is a factor for the fraction of resonant particles. We neglected zonal current (ZC) here, since ZC primarily brings additional magnetic shear to the system, which should have small effect on TAE evolution[10, 16, 19, 20]. Equation (5) consists of linear growth from the wave-particle resonance (R.H.S. term $\propto |\delta\phi_0|^2)[29, 30]$, and damping from the nonlinear interaction between TAE and ZM (R.H.S. term $\propto |\delta\phi_0|^2 \delta\phi_Z$). Therefore, equation (5) can be cast into the form of equation (11), discussed later.

The evolution equation for ZM is [1, 18, 19, 27]:

$$\frac{e^2}{T_i} \left\langle (1 - J_Z^2) F_{0,i} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E}} \partial_t \delta \phi_Z = -\sum_s \left\langle e_s J_Z i \omega_d \delta H_0 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{E},Z} + (\text{RS-MX})_Z$$
(6)

On the R.H.S., the first term is the curvature coupling term (CCT), where the bracket denotes an integral in velocity space and only the nonlinear portion of the distribution can contribute[18]. The second term accounts for Reynolds and Maxwell stresses (RS-MX), which are primarily thermal contributions and can be included following Refs.[16, 18, 19, 31]. Considering $k_{\perp}\rho_i \ll 1$ and $k_{\perp}\hat{\rho}_{d,\mathcal{E}} \ll 1$, we have the evolution of zonal potential as (see details in Supplemental Material):

$$\partial_t \delta \phi_Z = \frac{ck_{\theta,0}\hat{F}}{\hat{\chi}_{iZ}B_0} \left[\frac{n_{0E}\hat{\rho}_{d,f}^2}{n_0\rho_i^2} \hat{G} + \left(1 - \frac{k_{\parallel,0}^2 V_A^2}{\omega_0^2} \right) \right] |\delta\phi_0|^2$$
(7)

where $\hat{\chi}_{iZ} \equiv \chi_{iZ}/(k_Z^2 \rho_i^2) \simeq 1.6q^2/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ is the neoclassical polarizability of ZM[32], $\hat{\rho}_{d,f} \equiv qv_f/\Omega_i$. The two parts in the bracket on the R.H.S. come from CCT and RS-MX, respectively. CCT is equivalent to a turbulent stress like RS-MX. Both are proportional to the TAE amplitude $|\delta\phi_0|^2$ and $\hat{F} \equiv i(k_{r,0} - k_{r,0*})$, indicating that the collision of two TAEs and the asymmetry of mode structure are necessary for ZM generation. Both exhibit polarization effects, either from gyro-motion or EP drift. Both require the breaking of ideal MHD condition $1 - (k_{\parallel,0}^2 V_A^2/\omega_0^2) \sim \varepsilon \neq 0$ (for CCT from \hat{G}). Because $\hat{G} \propto \varepsilon f_r |\Omega_{*E}/\omega_0|$ and $f_r < 1$, we notice that:

$$\frac{\text{CCT}}{\text{RS-MX}} \sim \frac{f_r n_{0E}}{n_0} \frac{\hat{\rho}_{d,f}^2}{\rho_i^2} \left| \frac{\Omega_{*E}}{\omega_0} \right| \sim f_r q^2 \frac{P_E}{P_i} \left| \frac{\Omega_{*E}}{\omega_0} \right| \ll 1 \quad (8)$$

for the strongly driven scenario $f_{\beta} \equiv P_E/P_i \sim 1$, where $P_E = n_{0E}T_E$, $P_i = n_0T_i$, $T_E \equiv m_i\mathcal{E}_f$, $\Omega_{*E} \equiv k_{\theta}L_{n_{0E}}^{-1}cT_i/eB$. Notably, with $\hat{\omega}_{*E} \equiv \Omega_{*E}T_E/T_i$, the ratio can be written as $\mathcal{O}(n_{E,R}\hat{\omega}_{*E}q^2/(n_0\omega_0))$, which is different from Ref.[19] by a ε factor from \hat{G} . Although $|\hat{\omega}_{*E}/\omega_0| \gg 1$, the (resonant) particle fraction of EP is small when $f_{\beta} \sim 1$. Consequently, RS-MX predominantly drives the generation of ZM. Equation (7) will later be cast in the form of equation (12).

Using a quasi-linear approach, we can estimate the effects of TAE on the EP distribution[33, 34]. Then the evolution equation of the EP profile, accounting for external EP sources such as neutral beam heating or ion cyclotron resonance heating, is formally written as below (see Supplemental Material):

$$\partial_t n_{0E} = -D_{\rm res} \hat{F} L_{n_{0E}}^{-1} n_{0E} + \text{Source} + \cdots$$
(9)

where the TAE transport coefficient is:

$$D_{\rm res} \simeq \frac{\pi}{2\sigma} \frac{k_Z^2 \hat{\rho}_{d,f}^2 V_A f_r}{k_{\parallel,0}} \left(\frac{\delta B_r}{B_0}\right)^2 \tag{10}$$

Now we can simplify the evolution of TAE and ZM, neglecting CCT, and rewriting equations (5) and (7) as equations (11)-(12):

$$\partial_t |\delta\phi_0|^2 = \gamma_1 |\delta\phi_0|^2 - \gamma_d |\delta\phi_0|^2 \delta\phi_Z \tag{11}$$

$$\hat{\chi}_{iz}\partial_{t}\delta\phi_{Z} = \gamma_{2}|\delta\phi_{0}|^{2} - \nu\delta\phi_{Z} \tag{12}$$

where,

$$\begin{split} \gamma_1 &\equiv \frac{\pi \omega_A}{2\sigma} q^3 k_{\theta,0} \rho_A \beta_E f_r \frac{R_0}{L_{n0E}} \\ \gamma_d &\equiv \frac{2c}{B_0} k_{\theta,0} k_Z, \quad \gamma_2 \equiv \frac{c}{B_0} k_{\theta,0} \hat{F} \varepsilon \end{split}$$

 $\nu = (\nu_{ii} + \nu_G)$ is the damping of ZM. ν_{ii} is the ionion collisional damping [32, 35] and ν_G stands for possible collisionless damping. Here, ν is caused by interactions in thermal plasma, therefore, it will not affect the calculation of EP-driven TAEs. Equations (9)-(12) constitute a system describing the dynamics of EP, TAE and ZM. Note that for $\varepsilon \hat{F}/\hat{\chi}_{iZ} = k_Z$, the RS-MX terms cancel perfectly upon addition of the evolution equation for TAE and ZM amplitude! This reflects energy conservation. This system is similar to the well-known "Predator-Prey" system [15, 17, 35], as shown in Figure 1. Here we are focusing on the cases where EP profile is sustained by external sources, continuum damping is negligible and wave-particle trapping is unable to saturate the TAE $(\gamma_1/\omega_0 > 10^{-2})$, see equation (4.176) in Ref.[1]). In essence, this system is not near marginal. Hence, we further simplify the system by hereafter ignoring the evolution equation (9) for EP. This simplified system manifests a closed feedback loop, featuring a robust EP source, strongly excited TAEs, and ZM generation through RS-MX. Subsequently, the ZM is damped and feeds back to



FIG. 2. (a), (c), (e): TAE $\delta\phi_0$ and zonal potential $\delta\phi_Z$ evolve to the fixed points in: (b), (d), (f). ZM collisional damping rate $\hat{\nu}_{ii} \equiv \nu_{ii}/(\hat{\chi}_{iZ}\omega_A)$ is decreased from top to bottom. γ_1 , γ_2 and γ_d are the same as in Figure 3.

the evolution of TAE through the nonlinear wave-ZM interaction, leading to the saturation in both TAE and ZM. Assuming γ_1 , γ_2 , γ_d and ν are constants, the saturation levels are straightforwardly obtained as below, showing that the saturation of TAE is controlled by the damping of ZM.

$$(\delta\phi_Z)_S = \gamma_1/\gamma_d \tag{13}$$

$$(|\delta\phi_0|^2)_S = \gamma_1 \nu / (\gamma_d \gamma_2) \tag{14}$$

When only collisional damping is present and as $\nu = \nu_{ii} \rightarrow 0$, the TAE saturation level decreases, accompanied by intense oscillations in both TAE and ZM ($f_{\rm osc} \sim \sqrt{\nu\gamma_1}/\omega_A$ when $\nu \leq 4\gamma_1$), as shown in Figure 2. This can appear as an intermittent (bursty) signal of TAE prior to saturation, and differs fundamentally from the quasiperiodic chirping caused by profile relaxation[11, 36, 37]. Furthermore, this property emphasizes the crucial role of collisionless ZM damping.

Considering the significant oscillation of ZM when $\nu_{ii} \rightarrow 0$, which can drive thermal ion and electron oscillations in space, we introduce a simple mechanism for collisionless ZM damping, namely the geodesic acoustic transference[38–40]. According to Refs.[38–40], ZF couples with a pressure sideband through the geodesic curvature coupling:

$$\partial_t \langle \tilde{u}^y \rangle = \dots - \omega_B \langle p_s \sin \vartheta \rangle \tag{15}$$

where $\omega_B \equiv 2L_{\perp}/R$, $L_{\perp} = (L_T, L_n)$ is the perpendicular scale of thermal profile, $\langle \tilde{u}^y \rangle$ is ZF, ϑ is the poloidal angle,

 p_s is the pressure sideband (m = 1, n = 0). The evolution of electron pressure sideband can be expressed as[40]:

$$\partial_t \langle p_{e,s} \sin \vartheta \rangle = -\tau_{\text{turb}}^{-1} \langle p_{e,s} \sin \vartheta \rangle + \frac{\omega_B}{2} \langle \tilde{u}^y \rangle \qquad (16)$$

Here, magnetic flutter effects and ion flow sideband are neglected, defining $\tau_{turb}^{-1} \equiv D_{turb}/L_{\perp}^2$. A quasilinear turbulent coefficient $D_{turb} \simeq \operatorname{Re} \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}} i \frac{c^2}{B_0^2} |\phi_{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}|^2/(\omega - \tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{v}_E)$ is assumed, with $\tilde{\mathbf{k}}_{\perp}$ representing the wave number of the thermal turbulence and \mathbf{v}_E denotes $E \times B$ advection. The energy in the pressure sideband couples to thermal particles, mostly via turbulent mixing and dissipation of parallel current[40]. The resonance of the thermal particle turbulence with zonal $E \times B$ flows gives the irreversibility which underpins the turbulent mixing. In the collisionless regime, resistivity should be weak, making turbulent mixing the dominant process.

Dedimensionalizing equations (11), (12) and (16) yields equation (17)-(19), respectively. Geodesic acoustic coupling appears as the third term on the R.H.S. of equation (18) (see Supplemental Material for detials).

$$\partial_{\tau}x = \hat{\gamma}_1 x - \hat{\gamma}_d x y \tag{17}$$

$$\partial_{\tau} y = \hat{\gamma}_2 x - \hat{\nu}_{ii} y - \hat{\gamma}_{G1} z \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_{\tau} z = \hat{\gamma}_{G2} y - (\omega_A \tau_{\text{turb}})^{-1} z \tag{19}$$

Here $\tau \equiv \omega_A t$, $x \equiv |e\delta\phi_0/T_i|^2$, $y \equiv e\delta\phi_Z/T_i$, $z \equiv (1 + \tau_i)\langle \hat{p}_{e,s}\sin\vartheta\rangle$, $\tau_i \equiv T_i/T_e$, $\hat{p}_{e,s}$ is the electron pressure sideband normalized to equilibrium pressure. Coefficients are dedimensionlized:

$$\hat{\gamma}_1 \equiv \frac{\gamma_1}{\omega_A}, \quad \hat{\gamma}_d \equiv \frac{2D_B k_{\theta,0} k_Z}{\omega_A}, \quad \hat{\gamma}_2 = \frac{\hat{\gamma}_d}{2}, \quad \hat{\nu}_{ii} \equiv \frac{\nu_{ii}}{\hat{\chi}_{iZ}\omega_A}$$
$$\hat{\gamma}_{G1} \equiv \frac{C_S^2 q R_0 \omega_B}{i k_Z D_B \hat{\chi}_{iZ} \omega_A L_\perp^2}, \quad \hat{\gamma}_{G2} \equiv (1+\tau_i) \frac{i k_Z D_B}{V_A} \frac{\omega_B}{2}$$

where $D_B \equiv cT_i/(eB_0)$ and $\varepsilon \hat{F}/\hat{\chi}_{iZ} = k_Z$ is applied. It's easy to find the geodesic acoustic oscillation from $\hat{\gamma}_{G1}\hat{\gamma}_{G2} = \omega_{GAM}^2/(\hat{\chi}_{iZ}\omega_A^2)$, where $\omega_{GAM}^2 \equiv 2(1 + \tau_i)C_S^2/R^2$ is the geodesic acoustic mode (GAM) frequency, $C_S^2 \equiv T_e/M_i$. The GAT can provide extra damping of the ZM, which is obtained from the static sideband $z_{\text{static}} = \hat{\gamma}_{G2}\omega_A \tau_{\text{turb}} y$ and $\hat{\gamma}_{G1} z_{\text{static}}$ as:

$$\hat{\nu}_G = \frac{1}{\hat{\chi}_{iZ}} \frac{\omega_{\text{GAM}}^2}{\omega_A \tau_{\text{turb}}^{-1}} \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2}) - \mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$$
(20)

Note that turbulent mixing is necessary for collisionless ZM damping here. When compared to $\hat{\nu}_{ii} \leq \mathcal{O}(10^{-4})$, collisionless damping from GAT will be dominant.

The saturation of TAE. Specifically, using $k_Z^2 \rho_i^2 \lesssim k_\perp^2 \rho_i^2 \sim \varepsilon^2$ and $k_{\parallel} = 1/(2qR_0)$, we obtain the saturation level of TAE from equation (14) as:

$$\left(\frac{\delta B_r}{B_0}\right)_S^2 \sim \frac{\pi q^2}{4\sigma \hat{\chi}_{iZ} \varepsilon^2} \frac{\beta_E f_r k_{\theta,0}}{L_{n0E}} \frac{\nu \rho_i^2}{\Omega_i} \tag{21}$$



FIG. 3. The evolution of TAE-ZM system with the geodesic acoustic transference as collisionless damping. The collisional damping rate is $\hat{\nu}_{ii} = 10^{-5}$, the effective collisionless damping rate is $\hat{\nu}_G = 10^{-1}$. Oscillations with frequency of ω_{GAM} are observed when $\tau_Z \sim \tau_{\text{turb}}$.

This is directly proportional to the damping of ZM, akin to the case in the DW-ZF system[15, 35]. Equation (20) demonstrates that turbulence can regulate the saturation of TAE through the ZM damping. In addition, if EP transport is governed by TAE, since $\hat{\nu}_G \propto \tau_{\rm turb}$, then as turbulence increases (indicated by the decrease in $\tau_{\rm turb}$), the EP transport coefficient will decrease. However, as we will discuss latter, the shearing feedback of ZM on turbulence will modify this straightforward conclusion.

Introducing $\tau_{\rm Z}^{-1} \equiv D_B k_{\theta,0} k_Z$, we notice that when $3\hat{\gamma}_d\hat{\gamma}_2 \sim (\omega_A \tau_{\rm turb})^{-2}$ or $\tau_Z \sim \tau_{\rm turb}$, the system will oscillate at the frequency of $\omega_{\rm GAM}$ rather than $f_{\rm osc}$, which is similar to the results in Ref.[20]. This condition indicates that the energy drained by ZM from the TAE equals to the energy dissipated by the pressure sideband. As a result, the energy transfer will be controlled by GAT, leading to oscillations at $\omega_{\rm GAM}$. To generate such oscillations, we take the Bohm coefficient $D_{\rm turb} = D_B/16$ and $(\rho_i/L_{\perp})^2 \sim 0.02$ in the subsequent analyses, ensuring $\tau_Z \sim \tau_{\rm turb}$ and $1/(\omega_A \tau_{\rm turb}) \ll 1$. For typical parameters in tokamaks like DIII-D with q = 1.5, $\sigma \sim 2.5$, $\beta_E = 1\%$, $f_r = 0.25$, $k_{\theta,0} = nq/a \sim 10$, $L_{n0E} = 0.5a$, we have $\hat{\gamma}_1 \sim 0.12$, $\hat{\gamma}_d \sim 0.1$, $\hat{\gamma}_2 \sim 0.05$, $\hat{\nu}_G \sim 0.1$, $\hat{\gamma}_{G1} \sim -3.9i$, $\hat{\gamma}_{G2} \sim 0.0012i$, $1/(\omega_A \tau_{\rm turb}) \sim 0.045$ (see Supplementary

materials for more details), then the evolution of the system is shown in Figure 3. The saturated TAE amplitude is $(\delta B_r/B_0)_S^2 \sim 10^{-7}$. Comparing this to the threshold for spontaneous generation $\rho_i^2/(4\varepsilon(qR_0)^2)$ [16], yields a ratio of TAE_{sat/th} ~ $\mathcal{O}(10^{-1}) - \mathcal{O}(1)$. This suggests that spontaneous generation is possible after the directly driven process saturates. A similar process is found for ITG turbulence simulation [41-43], where eigenmode selfinteraction^[44] dominates ZF generation, and modulation of sidebands^[45] becomes important only near nonlinear saturation. The estimated EP transport coefficient using equation (10) is around $D_{\rm res} \sim \mathcal{O}(10) {\rm m}^2/{\rm s}$, which exceeds the neoclassical value but is limited, and so does not cause severe EP transport. This also facilitates the maintenance of a sustained EP profile through modulated heating, to align with the assumption of a fixed linear TAE growth rate.

The saturation of ZM. The saturation level of zonal potential can be estimated from equation (13) as:

$$(\delta\phi_Z)_S \sim \frac{\pi}{2\sigma} \frac{T_i}{e} \frac{f_\beta f_r q^2}{k_Z L_{n0E}}$$
(22)

Then the ZF shear $\omega_{E\times B} \equiv ck_Z^2 \delta \phi_Z / B_0$ is:

$$\omega_{E\times B} = \frac{\pi}{2\sigma} q^2 D_B f_\beta f_r \frac{k_Z}{L_{n_{0E}}} \sim \frac{\pi}{2\sigma} q^2 \varepsilon f_\beta f_r \frac{C_S}{L_{n_{0E}}}$$
(23)

The radial scale of ZM k_Z is crucial in determining the saturation levels. Factors such as the fraction of EP pressure f_{β} , the fraction of resonant particles f_r and the EP gradient $L_{n_{0E}}^{-1}$ also influence the strength of ZF[12]. For the typical parameters mentioned previously, the ZF shear reaches around $0.3C_S/a$ in regions where TAEs are excited, a magnitude comparable to the ITG linear growth rate with dilution effect $\gamma_{\rm ITG} \sim 0.1 C_S/a$ [46, 47]. Therefore, the suppression of ITG turbulent transport can be anticipated. The threshold condition for shear suppression, $\omega_{E \times B} > \gamma_{\text{ITG}}$, translates into a criterion for the TAE linear growth rate $\gamma_{\text{TAE}} = \gamma_1/2 > \gamma_{\text{ITG}} k_{\theta,0}/k_Z$. This criterion further implies a threshold for the strength of the EP source (e.g., from NBI heating). There may appear to be a conflict concerning strong ZF shearing and necessary turbulence for ZM damping. However, since ZM damping occurs via a pressure sideband, in a strongly (weakly) turbulent state, the pressure sideband weakens (strengthens), resulting in strong (weak) ZM and shearing, and consequently facilitating reduction (enhancement) of turbulence. Moreover, when $\tau_{\rm Z} \sim \tau_{\rm turb}$, the GAM plays a role in dissipating EP energy in this system. In general, zonal shears do affect DW and consequently regulate TAE, a process not explored in this paper. This interaction could result in a dynamical $\hat{\nu}_G$ and thereby influence EP transport.

The $E\times B$ velocity caused by ZF can be estimated as:

$$V_{E\times B} \sim \frac{\pi}{2\sigma} q^2 f_\beta f_r \frac{C_S \rho_i}{L_{n_{0E}}} \tag{24}$$

The heating power transferred from EP to thermals, estimated from equation (12) as $\nu_G V_{E\times B}^2$ in steady state, can be distributed to both ions and electrons ($\tau_i \sim 1$) or predominately into electrons ($\tau_i \ll 1$). The ZF phase shift (Ω_Z) in equation (4) is smaller than the transit frequency (ω_t) by roughly two orders of magnitude. Thus, Ω_Z affects near marginal transit resonance or trapped particle dynamics[14, 28]. Modulation of wave-particle resonance by ZF could lead mesoscopic structure such as the $E \times B$ staircase[48, 49]. Additionally, a thermal ion ITB produced by ZF shearing will likely drive substantial bulk ion intrinsic rotation[50–53].

This work introduces a novel Predator-Prey type theory for the collective dynamics of EP, TAE, turbulence and ZM, which highlights the crucial role of collisionless ZM damping and predicts a novel alpha channeling mechanism. This work presents a theoretical explanation for ITB formation driven by EPs through directly driven ZM, and a new explanation of bursty TAE phenomena. These results may contribute to the development of a novel operational scenario in future fusion devices like ITER. Other collisionless ZM damping mechanisms, such as the resonant diffusion of zonal vorticity itself[54], are also noteworthy. The effective Richardson number is Ri ~ $(\gamma_1/\omega_{E\times B})^2 \sim (k_{\theta,0}/k_Z)^2$ and 0.25 < Ri ≤ 0.5 , suggests that the K-H instability is unlikely to damp ZM for the parameters considered here[55, 56].

We assumed a sustained EP drive to eliminate EP profile relaxation, which is a reasonable strategy for isolating the key new physics – the saturation of AE via collisionless ZM damping. When the system is nearmarginal, the interplay between the ZF shift, waveparticle trapping [57-59] and stochastic scattering [60-63]is also interesting. This model is relevant to scenarios without significant phase-space transport, characterized by the absence of complex mode chirping and overlap in the spectrum. Without ZM, our model would lead to an unbounded TAE growth. The most direct correction is to consider the growth rate feedback from profile flattening or by phase-space relaxation in future. The effective EP phase space gradient reconstruction rate $\nu_{\rm eff} \approx \nu_{\perp} \omega_A / \omega_b$ [36] with wave trapping frequency $\omega_b \propto \sqrt{\phi_{\rm AE}}$, increases as AE saturation amplitude decreases in the presence of ZM [20, 21, 24, 25]. In addition, turbulence may also have effects on decorrelating EP phase-space clumps and holes [64]. Our model can serve as a basis for understanding these issues in the future. Finally, this work explores novel cross-scale interactions in fusion plasmas, introducing a compelling mechanism for transferring EP energy to thermal ions via ZM, while facilitating the formation of a TAE-driven ITB to enhance thermal confinement.

We thank Ruirui Ma, Michael Fitzgerald, T. S. Hahm, Gyungjin Choi, Xiaodi Du and Wei Chen for useful discussions. This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFE03100004 and National Science Foundation of China Grant No.12175053, U.S. DOE, Office of Science, under Award Number DE-FG02-04ER54738. The authors would like to thank the Isaac Newton Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Cambridge, for support and hospitality during the Program "Anti-diffusive Dynamics: from Sub-Cellular to Astrophysical Scales", where part of the work on this paper was undertaken. This work was supported by EPSRC grant EP/R014604/1.

* qinghaoyan@outlook.com

- Liu Chen and Fulvio Zonca. Physics of Alfvén waves and energetic particles in burning plasmas. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 88(1):015008, March 2016.
- [2] A. Di Siena, R. Bilato, T. Görler, A. Bañón Navarro, E. Poli, V. Bobkov, D. Jarema, E. Fable, C. Angioni, Ye. O. Kazakov, R. Ochoukov, P. Schneider, M. Weiland, F. Jenko, and the ASDEX Upgrade Team. New highconfinement regime with fast ions in the core of fusion plasmas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 127(2):025002, July 2021.
- [3] H. Han, S. J. Park, C. Sung, J. Kang, Y. H. Lee, J. Chung, T. S. Hahm, B. Kim, J.-K. Park, J. G. Bak, M. S. Cha, G. J. Choi, M. J. Choi, J. Gwak, S. H. Hahn, J. Jang, K. C. Lee, J. H. Kim, S. K. Kim, W. C. Kim, J. Ko, W. H. Ko, C. Y. Lee, J. H. Lee, J. H. Lee, J. K. Lee, J. P. Lee, K. D. Lee, Y. S. Park, J. Seo, S. M. Yang, S. W. Yoon, and Y.-S. Na. A sustained high-temperature fusion plasma regime facilitated by fast ions. *Nature*, 609(7926):269–275, September 2022.
- [4] S. Mazzi, J. Garcia, D. Zarzoso, et al., and JET Contributors. Enhanced performance in fusion plasmas through turbulence suppression by megaelectronvolt ions. *Nature Physics*, 18(7):776–782, July 2022.
- [5] J Citrin and P Mantica. Overview of tokamak turbulence stabilization by fast ions. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 65(3):033001, March 2023.
- [6] Jeronimo Garcia, Yevgen Kazakov, Rui Coelho, Mykola Dreval, Elena De La Luna, Emilia R. Solano, Žiga Štancar, Jacobo Varela, Matteo Baruzzo, Emily Belli, Phillip J. Bonofiglo, Jeff Candy, Costanza F. Maggi, Joelle Mailloux, Samuele Mazzi, Jef Ongena, Juan R. Ruiz, Michal Poradzinski, Sergei Sharapov, David Zarzoso, and JET contributors. Stable Deuterium-Tritium plasmas with improved confinement in the presence of energetic-ion instabilities. Nat Commun, 15(1):7846, September 2024.
- [7] T. S. Hahm, G. J. Choi, S. J. Park, and Y.-S. Na. Fast ion effects on zonal flow generation: A simple model. *Phys. Plasmas*, 30(7):072501, July 2023.
- [8] G. J. Choi, P. H. Diamond, and T. S. Hahm. On how fast ions enhance the regulation of drift wave turbulence by zonal flows. *Nucl. Fusion*, 64(1):016029, December 2023.
- [9] M. Romanelli, A. Zocco, F. Crisanti, and JET-EFDA Contributors. Fast ion stabilization of the ion temperature gradient driven modes in the Joint European Torus hybrid-scenario plasmas: a trigger mechanism for internal transport barrier formation. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 52(4):045007, March 2010.
- [10] Huasen Zhang and Zhihong Lin. Nonlinear Generation of Zonal Fields by the Beta-Induced Alfvén Eigenmode

in Tokamak. *Plasma Sci. Technol.*, 15(10):969–973, October 2013.

- [11] D.A. Spong, M.A. Van Zeeland, W.W. Heidbrink, X. Du, J. Varela, L. Garcia, and Y. Ghai. Nonlinear dynamics and transport driven by energetic particle instabilities using a gyro-Landau closure model *. Nucl. Fusion, 61(11):116061, November 2021.
- [12] S. Mazzi, J. Garcia, D. Zarzoso, Ye O. Kazakov, J. Ongena, M. Dreval, M. Nocente, Ž Štancar, G. Szepesi, and J. E. T. Contributors. Gyrokinetic study of transport suppression in JET plasmas with MeV-ions and toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fu*sion, 64(11):114001, September 2022.
- [13] A. Di Siena, T. Görler, E. Poli, A. Bañón Navarro, A. Biancalani, and F. Jenko. Electromagnetic turbulence suppression by energetic particle driven modes. *Nucl. Fu*sion, 59(12):124001, December 2019.
- [14] G. Brochard, C. Liu, X. Wei, W. Heidbrink, Z. Lin, N. Gorelenkov, C. Chrystal, X. Du, J. Bao, A. R. Polevoi, M. Schneider, S. H. Kim, S. D. Pinches, P. Liu, J. H. Nicolau, and H. Lütjens. Saturation of Fishbone Instability by Self-Generated Zonal Flows in Tokamak Plasmas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 132(7):075101, February 2024.
- [15] P. H. Diamond, S. I. Itoh, K. Itoh, and T. S. Hahm. Zonal flows in plasma - a review. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 47(5):R35–R161, May 2005.
- [16] Liu Chen and Fulvio Zonca. Nonlinear Excitations of Zonal Structures by Toroidal Alfvén Eigenmodes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109(14):145002, October 2012.
- [17] P. H. Diamond and Y.-B. Kim. Theory of mean poloidal flow generation by turbulence. *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics*, 3(7):1626–1633, July 1991.
- [18] Z. Qiu, L. Chen, and F. Zonca. Effects of energetic particles on zonal flow generation by toroidal Alfvén eigenmode. *Phys. Plasmas*, 23(9):090702, September 2016.
- [19] Zhiyong Qiu, Liu Chen, and Fulvio Zonca. Nonlinear excitation of finite-radial-scale zonal structures by toroidal Alfvén eigenmode. *Nucl. Fusion*, 57(5):056017, March 2017.
- [20] Y. Todo, H.L. Berk, and B.N. Breizman. Nonlinear magnetohydrodynamic effects on Alfvén eigenmode evolution and zonal flow generation. *Nucl. Fusion*, 50(8):084016, August 2010.
- [21] Y. Chen, G. Y. Fu, C. Collins, S. Taimourzadeh, and S. E. Parker. Zonal structure effect on the nonlinear saturation of reverse shear Alfven eigenmodes. *Phys. Plasmas*, 25(3):032304, March 2018.
- [22] Zhiyong Qiu, Liu Chen, and Fulvio Zonca. Gyrokinetic theory of toroidal Alfvén eigenmode saturation via nonlinear wave-wave coupling. *Rev. Mod. Plasma Phys.*, 7(1):28, September 2023.
- [23] Matteo Valerio Falessi, Liu Chen, Zhiyong Qiu, and Fulvio Zonca. Nonlinear equilibria and transport processes in burning plasmas. New J. Phys., 25(12):123035, December 2023.
- [24] A. Biancalani, A. Bottino, P. Lauber, A. Mishchenko, and F. Vannini. Effect of the electron redistribution on the nonlinear saturation of Alfvén eigenmodes and the excitation of zonal flows. *Journal of Plasma Physics*, 86(3):825860301, June 2020. Publisher: Cambridge University Press.
- [25] A Biancalani, A Bottino, A Di Siena, Ö Gürcan, T Hayward-Schneider, F Jenko, P Lauber, A Mishchenko, P Morel, I Novikau, F Vannini,

L Villard, and A Zocco. Gyrokinetic investigation of Alfvén instabilities in the presence of turbulence. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 63(6):065009, June 2021.

- [26] Zhaoyang Liu and Guoyong Fu. A simple model for internal transport barrier induced by fishbone in tokamak plasmas. J. Plasma Phys., 89(6):905890612, December 2023.
- [27] Fulvio Zonca and Liu Chen. Theory on excitations of drift Alfvén waves by energetic particles. I. Variational formulation. *Physics of Plasmas*, 21(7):072120, jul 2014.
- [28] F. Zonca, L. Chen, S. Briguglio, G. Fogaccia, G. Vlad, and X. Wang. Nonlinear dynamics of phase space zonal structures and energetic particle physics in fusion plasmas. *New J. Phys.*, 17(1):013052, January 2015.
- [29] G. Y. Fu and C. Z. Cheng. Excitation of high-n toroidicity-induced shear Alfvén eigenmodes by energetic particles and fusion alpha particles in tokamaks. *Physics* of Fluids B: Plasma Physics, 4(11):3722–3734, November 1992.
- [30] H. Biglari, F. Zonca, and L. Chen. On resonant destabilization of toroidal Alfvén eigenmodes by circulating and trapped energetic ions/alpha particles in tokamaks. *Physics of Fluids B: Plasma Physics*, 4(8):2385–2388, August 1992.
- [31] L. Chen, Z. Lin, R. B. White, and F. Zonca. Non-linear zonal dynamics of drift and drift-Alfvén turbulence in tokamak plasmas. *Nucl. Fusion*, 41(6):747, June 2001.
- [32] M. N. Rosenbluth and F. L. Hinton. Poloidal Flow Driven by Ion-Temperature-Gradient Turbulence in Tokamaks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 80(4):724–727, January 1998.
- [33] Zhiyong Qiu, Liu Chen, and Fulvio Zonca. Gyrokinetic theory of the nonlinear saturation of a toroidal Alfvén eigenmode. *Nucl. Fusion*, 59(6):066024, May 2019.
- [34] Matteo Valerio Falessi and Fulvio Zonca. Transport theory of phase space zonal structures. *Phys. Plasmas*, 26(2):022305, February 2019.
- [35] P. H. Diamond, Y.-M. Liang, B. A. Carreras, and P. W. Terry. Self-Regulating Shear Flow Turbulence: A Paradigm for the L to H Transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 72(16):2565–2568, April 1994.
- [36] H. L. Berk, B. N. Breizman, and Huanchun Ye. Scenarios for the Nonlinear Evolution of Alpha-Particle-Induced Alfvén Wave Instability. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 68(24):3563– 3566, 1992.
- [37] Maxime Lesur. The Berk-Breizman Model as a Paradigm for Energetic Particle-driven Alfvén Eigenmodes. PhD thesis, December 2010.
- [38] B. Scott. Three-dimensional computation of drift Alfvén turbulence. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion*, 39(10):1635– 1668, October 1997.
- [39] B. Scott. The geodesic transfer effect on zonal flows in tokamak edge turbulence. *Phys. Lett. A*, 320(1):53–62, December 2003.
- [40] B. Scott. Energetics of the interaction between electromagnetic ExB turbulence and zonal flows. New J. Phys., 7:92–92, April 2005.
- [41] Shaojie Wang. Zonal flows driven by the turbulent energy flux and the turbulent toroidal Reynolds stress in a magnetic fusion torus. *Phys. Plasmas*, 24(10):102508, September 2017.
- [42] Zihao Wang, Zongliang Dai, and Shaojie Wang. Nonlinear excitation of zonal flows by turbulent energy flux. *Phys. Rev. E*, 106(3):035205, September 2022.
- [43] Liu Chen, Zhiyong Qiu, and Fulvio Zonca. On beat-

driven and spontaneous excitations of zonal flows by drift waves. *Physics of Plasmas*, 31(4):040701, April 2024.

- [44] Ajay C. J., Stephan Brunner, Ben McMillan, Justin Ball, Julien Dominski, and Gabriele Merlo. How eigenmode self-interaction affects zonal flows and convergence of tokamak core turbulence with toroidal system size. J. Plasma Phys., 86(5):905860504, September 2020.
- [45] P. H. Diamond, S. Champeaux, M. Malkov, A. Das, I. Gruzinov, M. N. Rosenbluth, C. Holland, B. Wecht, A. I. Smolyakov, F. L. Hinton, Z. Lin, and T. S. Hahm. Secondary instability in drift wave turbulence as a mechanism for zonal flow and avalanche formation. *Nucl. Fusion*, 41(8):1067, August 2001.
- [46] W. H. Lin, J. Garcia, J. Q. Li, S. Mazzi, Z. J. Li, X. X. He, and X. Yu. A self-sustaining mechanism for internal transport barrier formation in HL-2A tokamak plasmas. *Nucl. Fusion*, 63(12):126048, October 2023.
- [47] D. Kim, S. J. Park, G. J. Choi, Y. W. Cho, J. Kang, H. Han, J. Candy, E. A. Belli, T. S. Hahm, Y.-S. Na, and C. Sung. Turbulence stabilization in tokamak plasmas with high population of fast ions. *Nucl. Fusion*, 63(12):124001, October 2023.
- [48] G. Dif-Pradalier, G. Hornung, Ph. Ghendrih, Y. Sarazin, F. Clairet, L. Vermare, P. H. Diamond, J. Abiteboul, T. Cartier-Michaud, C. Ehrlacher, D. Esteve, X. Garbet, V. Grandgirard, O. D. Guercan, P. Hennequin, Y. Kosuga, G. Latu, P. Maget, P. Morel, C. Norscini, R. Sabot, and A. Storelli. Finding the Elusive E×B Staircase in Magnetized Plasmas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 114(8), February 2015.
- [49] Qinghao Yan and P. H. Diamond. Staircase formation by resonant and non-resonant transport of potential vorticity. Nucl. Fusion, 62(12):126032, October 2022.
- [50] Y. Kosuga, P. H. Diamond, and Ö. D. Gürcan. On the efficiency of intrinsic rotation generation in tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas*, 17(10):102313, October 2010.
- [51] K. Ida, M. Yoshinuma, K. Nagaoka, M. Osakabe, S. Morita, M. Goto, M. Yokoyama, H. Funaba, S. Murakami, K. Ikeda, H. Nakano, K. Tsumori, Y. Takeiri, and O. Kaneko. Spontaneous toroidal rotation driven by the off-diagonal term of momentum and heat transport in the plasma with the ion internal transport barrier in LHD. Nucl. Fusion, 50(6):064007, June 2010.
- [52] C. L. Fiore, D. R. Ernst, Y. A. Podpaly, D. Mikkelsen, N. T. Howard, Jungpyo Lee, M. L. Reinke, J. E. Rice, J. W. Hughes, Y. Ma, W. L. Rowan, and I. Bespamyatnov. Production of internal transport barriers via selfgenerated mean flows in Alcator C-Moda). *Physics of Plasmas*, 19(5):056113, April 2012.
- [53] P.H. Diamond, Y. Kosuga, Ö.D. Gürcan, C.J. McDevitt, T.S. Hahm, N. Fedorczak, J.E. Rice, W.X. Wang, S. Ku, J.M. Kwon, G. Dif-Pradalier, J. Abiteboul, L. Wang, W.H. Ko, Y.J. Shi, K. Ida, W. Solomon, H. Jhang, S.S. Kim, S. Yi, S.H. Ko, Y. Sarazin, R. Singh, and C.S. Chang. An overview of intrinsic torque and momentum transport bifurcations in toroidal plasmas. *Nucl. Fusion*, 53(10):104019, October 2013.
- [54] J. C. Li and P. H. Diamond. Another look at zonal flows: resonance, shearing, and frictionless saturation. *Phys. Plasmas*, 25(4):042113, April 2018.
- [55] John W. Miles. On the stability of heterogeneous shear flows. J. Fluid Mech., 10(4):496–508, 1961.
- [56] Louis N. Howard. Note on a paper of john W. Miles. J.

Fluid Mech., 10(4):509-512, 1961.

- [57] G. Y. Fu and W. Park. Nonlinear Hybrid Simulation of the Toroidicity-Induced Alfvén Eigenmode. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 74(9):1594–1596, February 1995.
- [58] Y. Todo, T. Sato, K. Watanabe, T. H. Watanabe, and R. Horiuchi. Magnetohydrodynamic Vlasov simulation of the toroidal Alfvén eigenmode. *Phys. Plasmas*, 2(7):2711–2716, July 1995.
- [59] S. Briguglio, F. Zonca, and G. Vlad. Hybrid magnetohydrodynamic-particle simulation of linear and nonlinear evolution of Alfvén modes in tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas*, 5(9):3287–3301, September 1998.
- [60] Jianying Lang and Guo-Yong Fu. Nonlinear simulation of toroidal Alfvén eigenmode with microturbulence-induced radial diffusion. *Physics of Plasmas*, 18(5):055902, May 2011.
- [61] M. K. Lilley, B. N. Breizman, and S. E. Sharapov. Ef-

fect of dynamical friction on nonlinear energetic particle modes. *Physics of Plasmas*, 17(9):092305, September 2010.

- [62] V. N. Duarte, H. L. Berk, N. N. Gorelenkov, W. W. Heidbrink, G. J. Kramer, R. Nazikian, D. C. Pace, M. Podestà, and M. A. Van Zeeland. Theory and observation of the onset of nonlinear structures due to eigenmode destabilization by fast ions in tokamaks. *Physics* of *Plasmas*, 24(12):122508, December 2017.
- [63] Liu Chen, Zhiyong Qiu, and Fulvio Zonca. On scattering and damping of toroidal Alfvén eigenmode by drift wave turbulence. *Nucl. Fusion*, 62(9):094001, July 2022.
- [64] P. Liu, X. Wei, Z. Lin, W.W Heidbrink, G. Brochard, G.J. Choi, J.H. Nicolau, and W. Zhang. Cross-scale interaction between microturbulence and meso-scale reversed shear Alfvén eigenmodes in DIII-D plasmas. *Nucl. Fusion*, 64(7):076007, July 2024.