

OpenEarthSensing: Large-Scale Fine-Grained Benchmark for Open-World Remote Sensing

Xiang Xiang^{1,2}, Zhuo Xu¹, Yao Deng¹, Qin hao Zhou¹, Yifan Liang¹,
Ke Chen², Qingfang Zheng², Yaowei Wang², Xilin Chen³, Wen Gao²

¹ Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China

² Peng Cheng Laboratory, Shenzhen, China

³ Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

xex@hust.edu.cn

arXiv:2502.20668v1 [cs.CV] 28 Feb 2025




Figure 1. Data structure and example in OpenEarthSensing incorporating common covariate shifts and semantic shifts in the open world. From the perspective of semantic shifts, OpenEarthSensing includes 189 remote sensing categories that encompass a variety of scales and contain diverse semantic information. From the perspective of covariate shifts, OpenEarthSensing includes five data domains with significant covariate shifts (the three most representative data domains—airial RGB, satellite RGB, and infrared images—are shown).

Abstract

In open-world remote sensing, deployed models must continuously adapt to a steady influx of new data, which often exhibits various shifts compared to what the model encountered during the training phase. To effectively handle the new data, models are required to detect semantic shifts, adapt to covariate shifts, and continuously update themselves. These challenges give rise to a variety of open-world tasks. How-

ever, existing open-world remote sensing studies typically train and test within a single dataset to simulate open-world conditions. Currently, there is a lack of large-scale benchmarks capable of evaluating multiple open-world tasks. In this paper, we introduce OpenEarthSensing, a large-scale fine-grained benchmark for open-world remote sensing. OpenEarthSensing includes 189 scene and objects categories, covering the vast majority of potential semantic shifts that may occur in the real world. Additionally, OpenEarthSens-

ing encompasses five data domains with significant covariate shifts, including two RGB satellite domains, one RGB aerial domain, one MS RGB domain, and one infrared domain. The various domains provide a more comprehensive testbed for evaluating the generalization performance of open-world models. We conduct the baseline evaluation of current mainstream open-world tasks and methods on OpenEarthSensing, demonstrating that it serves as a challenging benchmark for open-world remote sensing.

1. Introduction

Remote sensing imagery provides a wealth of physical information about the real world. Interpreting these images can support various downstream applications, including disaster monitoring, resource management, and land use assessment [55]. In recent years, deep learning has made significant strides in the interpretation of remote sensing imagery. However, these methods are often trained and tested in controlled environments with fixed semantic categories, leading to challenges when deploying models in open-world scenarios.

In open-world environments, deployed models encounter distribution shifts during test time, encompassing both semantic shifts and covariate shifts. When faced with samples that exhibit semantic shifts, models must be capable of effectively recognizing the unknown categories—a focus of research in open-set recognition (OSR) [21] and out-of-distribution (OOD) detection [23]. Additionally, when presented with testing samples that display covariate shifts, models need to adapt to these changes. Related tasks include domain adaptation (DA) and domain generalization (DG). Furthermore, as new samples continuously emerge in these environments, models must be able to update continually, an area explored in incremental learning (IL).

Existing works for open-world remote sensing often focus on single and simple classification datasets, such as UCMLandUse [92] and NWPU-RESISC45 [8], to simulate open-world conditions. However, these datasets are limited in both scale and diversity, rendering them inadequate for addressing the complexities inherent in open-world scenarios. For instance, they exhibit minimal variation among instances of the same category across different domains, and during training and testing, the data adheres to an independent and identically distributed pattern. This fails to capture the image distribution differences that may occur in real-world situations due to factors such as shooting angles, height, resolution, and sensor types. Furthermore, most of the latest methods show high accuracy on these datasets for open-world tasks, making their comparisons uninformative. Metadatasets [72] are collections of multiple datasets that offer larger scales, more diverse data distributions, and improved alignment with the requirements of real-world scenarios. Recently, several works have constructed metadatasets for

remote sensing image classification tasks [14, 58]. However, these metadatasets merely consist of a simple aggregation of multiple related subsets serving specific tasks, making them inadequate for the requirement of the open world. Therefore, establishing a more challenging, realistic, and large-scale benchmark for interpreting remote sensing imagery has become a critical priority for advancing research in this field.

In this paper, we introduce OpenEarthSensing (OES), a large-scale, fine-grained benchmark for open-world remote sensing. OES features a metadataset that comprises five subdatasets across five distinct domains and three modalities, as illustrated in Fig. 1. This includes two RGB satellite imagery datasets, one RGB drone aerial imagery dataset, one MSRGB dataset, and one infrared dataset. These five sub-datasets share the same semantic categories but contain different covariate shift conditions, providing a more comprehensive testbed for evaluating the generalization ability of open-world models. In total, OES includes 189 categories and 157,674 images, covering the majority of semantic shift scenarios that may occur in the real world.

Based on the five sub-datasets included in OES, we benchmark multiple mainstream open-world tasks and construct settings for each task that align with practical applications in remote sensing. To evaluate the adaptability of open-world models to semantic shifts, we choose open-set recognition (OSR) and semantic shift OOD detection as representative tasks. For assessing the generalization ability of open-world models to covariate shifts, we select covariate shift generalization and OOD detection as key tasks. To evaluate the model’s capacity for continuous evolution in an open environment, we adopt class-incremental learning, domain-incremental learning (DIL) and coarse-to-fine few-shot incremental learning (C2FSCIL) as representative tasks. Additionally, we test the model’s capabilities in close-set classification and zero-shot recognition on OES, which serve as the performance upper and lower bounds for these open-world tasks. In each open-world setting, we conduct performance evaluations of baseline methods as well as publicly available mainstream approaches, highlighting the significant challenges presented. To further advance the development of the open-world remote sensing field, we will make the proposed benchmark publicly available. For now, we summarize our contributions as follows.

- We introduce the OpenEarthSensing metadataset, a large-scale, fine-grained multi-modal dataset featuring 189 categories across five distinct domains and three modalities for open-world remote sensing.
- We benchmark essential visual tasks that are representative of open-world remote sensing and align with practice.
- A comprehensive analysis of the experimental results led to conclusions that contribute to both the research and development of open-world remote sensing.




Figure 2. Overview of the OpenEarthSensing datasets and the corresponding open-world tasks: (a) Includes five subdatasets across five domains; (b) Evaluation protocol for OOD detection and generalization; (c) Evaluation protocol for incremental learning.

2. Related Works

2.1. Remote Sensing Datasets

Recently, EarthNets [87] conduct a review of over 500 publicly available remote sensing datasets. Among these, classification and detection datasets comprise the majority. This provides valuable support for the construction of open-world remote sensing datasets. For classification datasets, some early works focus on patch-level classification of satellite images, such as UCM Land Use [92] and BigEarthNet [64]. Due to issues related to scale and resolution, the application scenarios of these datasets are limited. Many larger-scale remote sensing image classification and detection datasets have also been proposed, with representative datasets including NWPU-RESISC45 [8], FMoW [9], RSD46-WHU [86], millionAID [43], DOTA [85], and FAIR-1M [66]. In addition, there are many datasets composed of globally distributed images, such as GeoLifeCLEF [6], Satlas [4], and RS5M [97]. Although these datasets may lack category labels, the diversity, richness, and scalability of the included images still hold significant value.

2.2. Open-World Classification Benchmark

Currently, a variety of open-world classification benchmarks for natural images, such as OpenOOD [90, 91], Dassl [105], and PyCIL [102], have been established to evaluate and com-

pare the performance of different algorithms, models, and systems. However, in the field of remote sensing images, related research work is relatively limited. DPN-RS [30] and [20] performs OOD detection within datasets such as AID [84], UCM and MLRSNet. [35] propose CLRS, a remote sensing classification dataset containing 30 classes to incrementally learn remote sensing images. SATIN [58] provides a metadataset that includes 27 datasets to classify satellite images with vision-language models. However, these datasets still have limitations due to issues related to their scale and their suitability for specific tasks.

3. OpenEarthSensing Overview

3.1. Datasets Construction

The OpenEarthSensing dataset is a large-scale, fine-grained open-world remote sensing image classification dataset, containing 157,674 images from 189 fine-grained classes across 5 domains and 3 modalities. Each domain corresponds to a subdataset in Fig.2 (a). All the data is filtered and retrieved from publicly available datasets and web data sources.

Merged and selected from public classification datasets. In the compilation of OpenEarthSensing dataset, we first merge several publicly available remote sensing classification datasets, including WHU-RS19 [83], NWPU-RESISC45 [8], RSD46-WHU [86], AID [84], MillionAID

Datasets	Sub Datasets1-RGB				Sub Datasets2-RGB			Sub Datasets3-Aerial				Sub Datasets4-MSRGB				Sub Datasets5-IR				
	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^{all}	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^{id}	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^{ood}	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^{d}	\mathcal{D}_{R2}^{all}	\mathcal{D}_{R2}^{id}	\mathcal{D}_{R2}^{ood}	\mathcal{D}_A^{all}	\mathcal{D}_A^{id}	\mathcal{D}_A^{ood}	\mathcal{D}_A^d	\mathcal{D}_M^{all}	\mathcal{D}_M^{id}	\mathcal{D}_M^{ood}	\mathcal{D}_M^d	\mathcal{D}_I^{all}	\mathcal{D}_I^{id}	\mathcal{D}_I^{ood}	\mathcal{D}_I^d	
Class	189	94	48	47	50	65	43	22	137	71	66	50	56	34	22	50	62	36	26	50
Images	75,707	40,291	15,962	18,454	21,053	26,277	16,699	9,578	11,037	5,553	5,484	3,789	22,153	14,960	7,193	20,121	23,374	15,444	7,930	20,025

Table 1. Statistical information for the different partitions of sub-datasets in OpenEarthSensing.

[43], RSI-CB256 [34], BigEarthNet [65], fMoW [9], TreeSatAI [1], FGSC-23 [38], FGSCR-42 [13], NaSC-TG2 [107], VisDrone [109], MRSSC2.0 [39], USTC SmokeRS [2], MLRSNet [53], UCM LandUse [92] and RSI-CB128 [34]. We filter and merge the overlapping data with the same semantic categories in these datasets to ensure the rationality of the including category.

Cropped from public object detection datasets. Although we have gathered a substantial number of images across various categories from publicly available classification datasets, there remains a shortage of images specifically for object categories. To address this, we are also considering cropping bounding boxes from object detection datasets including FAIR1M [66] and VisDrone to generate classification images. However, the images obtained by directly cropping the bounding boxes are often too tight and lack sufficient background information, leading to issues such as reduced category diversity and lower classification difficulty. Therefore, we use pixel expansion and the merging of similar objects to create more diverse classification data. The specific details are explained in the supplementary materials.

Retrieval from web datasets. Most of the images collected from these public remote sensing classification datasets are satellite images. To expand the domains and diversity included in the dataset, we also consider retrieving aerial images with the same semantics or categories from web datasets, including RS5M [99] and CC3M [60]. We use the GeoRSCLIP model with the ViT-L-14 architecture to compute the similarity between images in these datasets and the labels of the collected satellite data. For each label, we collect the top 100 most similar images, and then further filter them with multi-modal large model and manual selection. It is important to note that all images in this process are checked by human experts.

Through the above methods, we construct two satellite RGB datasets with overlapping semantic categories and domain shifts, along with one aerial dataset, one MSRGB dataset, and one infrared dataset. The descriptive statistics of the data sources, resolution, image sizes, and other information can be found in Tab. ??, ??, ??, ?? of the supp.

3.2. Dataset Analysis

Compared to existing open-world remote sensing datasets, OES exhibits the following characteristics and challenges:

Multiple and diverse domains. OES comprises five distinct data domains, enabling it to serve as a testbed for various

generalization tasks. We randomly select 2,000 images from each domain and utilize the GeoRSCLIP image encoder to extract features. The t-SNE dimensionality reduction visualization is presented in Fig. 3a, with each color representing a different domain. Notably, even though subset1 and subset2 both originate from satellite imagery, there is a significant domain shift due to the varying capturing conditions. Furthermore, satellite imagery, drone imagery, and infrared images display considerable differences as well. These domain shifts highlight the significant challenges posed by OES.




Figure 3. t-SNE visualization on OES.

Wide span of scales. The evolution of remote sensing technologies has led to a progressive enhancement in the resolution of imagery. Consequently, the demands in recognition have expanded beyond mere scene classification to encompass the identification of objects at finer scales. To accommodate the scale variations present in remote sensing images, the OES dataset has been curated to include a diverse range of data comprising 152 scenes and 37 objects for classification. To delve deeper into the intricacies of scale diversity within the OES dataset, *Qwen-VL-chat* [3], a vision-language model is employed to evaluate the image scales associated with both scene and object categories. The distribution of OES across different scales is visually represented in Fig. 4. The extensive spectrum of scale variations within the OES dataset introduces a novel challenge to the realm of remote sensing recognition.

Multiple coarse categories. OES comprises 10 comprehensive coarse-grained categories, such as Infrastructure and Waterbody & Facilities, which effectively cover the majority of scenarios encountered in remote sensing applications. Each coarse-grained category is further divided into 5 to 27 fine-grained subcategories, culminating in a total of 189 distinct classifications. For instance, the Infrastructure coarse-grained category encompasses 26 fine-grained subcategories,

In each task, only images from one domain are available.

Coarse-to-Fine Few-shot Incremental Learning In C2FSCIL, we provide the model with all training samples accompanied by coarse labels in the base session, consisting of 10 coarse classes. In the subsequent incremental sessions, we introduce training samples with fine-grained labels for each of the 10 classes, supplying only 5 samples per class at each session.

4. Experiments

4.1. Implementation Details

To ensure sufficient training and testing data, we divide the data from the aerial RGB sub-datasets into training and testing sets with a ratio of 6:4. For the other sub-datasets, we use a ratio of 8:2. All experiments were implemented using PyTorch on an NVIDIA RTX 4090 with 24 GB of memory. The code for all single-model OOD detection methods is derived from the OpenOOD benchmark [90, 91]. The code for all vision-language model (VLM) based OOD detection methods, as well as for zero-shot and closed-set classification, is sourced from Dassl [105]. Additionally, the code for incremental learning methods is obtained from PyCIL [102].

4.2. Close-set & Zero-shot Classification

Settings. To test the upper and lower bounds of the open-world model’s performance, we evaluated the closed-set classification and zero-shot classification capabilities on sub-datasets 1, 3, 4, and 5, reporting the top-1 and top-5 classification accuracies for each dataset. For closed-set classification, we evaluate the performance of different architectures of VGG[61], ResNet[22], ViT[16], and CLIP[54]. For zero-shot classification, we evaluate different CLIP architecture.




Figure 5. Performance boundary evaluation on OES.

Results and analysis. (1) Remote sensing pre-training is essential. Compared to aerial data, there is less satellite data available during the pre-training phase of the CLIP model. As can be seen in Fig. 5a, across all sub-datasets of satellite imagery in different modalities, the remote sensing images pre-trained GeoRSCLIP achieve significant zero-shot perfor-

mance superiority. (2) Tuning visual encoder works. Satellite imagery suffers from a significant issue of insufficient pre-training. In this case, fine-tuning more parameters of the image encoder can lead to better alignment. As shown in Fig. 5b, ResNet with all parameters fine-tuned achieves the best performance, while tuning a portion of the visual encoder to optimize visual features brings substantial enhancements to the CLIP series of models.

4.3. OOD Detection & Generalization

Settings. Following the framework of full-spectrum OOD detection [91], we unify OSR, OOD detection, and OOD generalization into a single evaluation task. For semantic shift OOD detection, we use ID-94 as the ID dataset. For the OOD datasets, we utilize 'Easy-OOD', 'Hard-OOD' as Near-OOD data, and SUN as Far-OOD data. For full-spectrum OOD detection, we use the train set of ID-94 as the ID training dataset. For modal-shift scenario, we use the corresponding subsets' ID test sets as ID test data, the OOD test sets as near-OOD data, and SUN as far-OOD data. For the resampling-bias scenario, we additionally use 'Easy-OOD', 'Hard-OOD' as Near-OOD data. For each setting, we report the mean AUROC for both Near-OOD and Far-OOD. To evaluate the model’s OSR capabilities, We also report the top-1 classification accuracy on the ID test set.

Baselines and evaluation methods. We evaluate both the single-modal OOD detection method represented by the ResNet-50 architecture and the VLM-based OOD detection method represented by the CLIP architecture. For single-modal OOD detection, we evaluate the post-hoc methods including OpenMax [5], MSP [23], ODIN [37], MDS [33], GradNorm [29], ReAct [68], MLS [27], KLM [24], VIM [75], KNN [69], ASH [15], DICE [67], EBO [41], Relation [31], FBDB [40], GEN [42], Rankfeat [63], RMDS [57], Gram [59], NNGuide [50], Scale [88], SHE [96] and MDSE [], training-required methods including G-ODIN [28], ConfBranch [12], RotPred [25], APRL [7], VOS [18], LogitNorm [81], CIDER [47] and NPOS [71]. To further evaluate the impact of data augmentation on adapting to covariate shift data, we also test the performance using CutOut [11], RandAugment [10], AugMix [26], Cutmix [93], Mixup [95], RegMixup [52] data augmentation with cross-entropy loss for training. For VLM-based OOD detection, we evaluate MCM [46], GL-MCM [49], Maxlogit [], CLIPN [76], CoOp [106], LoCoOp [48], DPM [98] with ViT-B-32, ViT-B-16, RN-50 image encoder and RSGeoCLIP ViT-B-32 architecture.

Evaluation details. Considering that the ImageNet-1K pre-trained model cannot be directly applied to the testing of post-hoc OOD methods in remote sensing, we first train the model on the ID train set using Cross-Entropy loss with a learning rate of 0.01 for 100 epochs. The trained model is then utilized for testing post-hoc OOD methods. For training-




Figure 6. Full-spectrum OOD detection performances. Subfig(a)-(e) presents the performances of post-hoc single-modal methods. Subfig(f)-(j) presents the training-required and data augmentation single-modal methods. Subfig(k)-(o) presents the VLM-based methods.

required methods and data augmentation approaches, we further fine-tune the model trained with CE loss, with the learning rate of 0.001 for 30 epochs. For VLM-based methods, we utilize the remote sensing pre-trained GeoRSClip, while the performance of other architectures is provided in the supp. In Fig. 6, we report the AUROC for near-OOD and far-OOD, as well as the ID accuracy, for each data domain.

Results and analysis. (1) Simple baselines perform well. Among all post-hoc single-modal methods, the simple baselines MSP and MLS achieve relatively high Near-OOD and Far-OOD performance across nearly all domains. Notably, MSP outperforms most training-required methods in all domains. (2) Sufficient tuning is essential. VLM-based methods primarily rely on the capabilities provided by pre-training. However, training-free methods that depend solely on pre-trained VLMs, such as MCM, tend to underperform. While methods utilizing outlier OOD labels, like neglabel, show some improvement, they still lag behind tuning methods. Among tuning methods, DPM training several visual encoder parameters, demonstrates greater enhancement compared to methods like LoCoOp that only tuning the prompts, highlighting the importance of sufficient tuning in remote sensing data. (3) Full-spectrum OOD detection remains a significant challenge. Both single-modal and VLM-based methods ex-

hibit performance drops when faced with covariate shift data. In cases of resampling bias, average performance decreases by about 20-30%, while for more challenging modal shifts, it drops by about 30-40%. This suggests that existing OOD detectors are highly sensitive to covariate shifts and often mislabel ID data as OOD. (4) Data augmentation improves generalization abilities in certain cases. When facing specific covariate shifts, certain data augmentation works. For example, LightAug can enhance the performance of IR modal, while Mixup performs well when faced resampling bias data. However, there is still no method that performs well across all settings. Designing specific data augmentation for remote sensing is one of the improvement directions.

4.4. Incremental Learning

Settings. To validate the CIL performance in more realistic remote sensing scenarios, following the previous works, we evaluate the existing methods with proposed \mathcal{D}_{RI}^{all} on three benchmarks: **Random**, **Coarse** and **Scale**. In **Random**, 189 classes are divided equally among 10 sessions (18 classes in the last session). In **Coarse**, classes set in each session belong to a same coarse class, including vegetation, agriculture, aviation, waterbody & facilities, resource acquisition & utilization, land transportation, nature & climate, infrastruc-




Figure 7. CIL performance of all evaluated methods on benchmarks **Coarse** and **Scale**. Subfig (a) and (b) presents the performance of traditional CIL methods, while subfig (c) and (d) presents the performance of PTM based methods.

ture, industrial facilities and residential building. In **Scale**, we set classes to 10 sessions in descending order of scale (as illustrated in Fig. 4), with the same number of classes in each session (18 classes in the last session). Besides, we evaluate the domain incremental learning (DIL) performance with sub datasets containing the same semantic classes from RGB satellite, RGB aerial, IR and MSRGB images, denoted as \mathcal{D}_{R1}^d , \mathcal{D}_A^d , \mathcal{D}_M^d and \mathcal{D}_I^d .

Baselines and evaluation methods. In CIL benchmarks, We evaluate both traditional CIL methods with ResNet-18 architecture and pre-trained model (PTM) based methods with ViT-B/16, which is pre-trained on ImageNet21K and additionally finetuned on ImageNet1K. For traditional CIL methods, we evaluate LwF [36], EwC [32], GEM [44], iCaRL [56], BiC [82], WA [100], PODNet [17], DER [89], PASS [108], FOSTER [74], FeTrIL [51], MEMO [101] and BEEF [73]. For PTM based methods, we evaluate ADAM [103], L2P [80], DualPrompt [79], CODA-Prompt [62], RanPAC [45], LAE [19], SLCA [94], Ease [104] and SSIAT [70]. We also evaluate sequential fine-tuning as the lower bound performance and joint training as the upper bound performance.

Results and analysis. (1) Catastrophic forgetting remains serious. In the analysis of remotely sensed data obtained from OES, the evaluated methods prove successful in alleviating catastrophic forgetting. Nevertheless, in relation to the upper bound performance, the majority of the methods exhibit varying degrees of forgetting, showcasing a performance decline by 10-20%. Notably, the most effective method, RanPAC, shows a comparatively performance decline by 5-10%. (2) Benchmarks closer to real-world environments show poorer performance. In the settings of **Coarse** and **Scale**, it is observed that CIL performance typically falls short when compared to performance in **Random**. This observation underscores the heightened complexity of CIL within practical environments, wherein models leverage diverse coarse class data acquired from distinct specialized satellites, along with scale data gathered by satellites operating on varied orbits and possessing different resolution capabilities. (3) Limited performance gains from pre-trained models. In contrast to

Methods	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^d	\mathcal{D}_A^d	\mathcal{D}_M^d	\mathcal{D}_I^d	\mathcal{D}_I^d	\mathcal{D}_M^d	\mathcal{D}_A^d	\mathcal{D}_{R1}^d
Joint	47.68				68.39			
Finetune	3.85	32.00	20.60	8.90	45.38	43.41	26.33	20.66
LwF [36]	3.75	30.69	18.84	13.04	45.71	36.16	28.28	20.96
EWC[32]	3.75	32.02	21.30	8.23	71.99	40.6	33.04	31.88
BEEF [73]	3.80	38.56	29.41	32.72	46.23	49.38	44.84	44.91
DS-AL [110]	3.16	27.60	34.40	35.13	44.60	23.18	29.14	29.89
S-Prompt [78]	95.47	65.14	45.15	28.72	95.02	64.19	44.22	28.16

Table 2. The experimental results of DIL.

the significant performance enhancement in CIL achieved by the PTM when applied to natural images, the performance improvements are constrained when the PTM is utilized on remotely sensed images. This limitation could be attributed to the inadequate generalization capacity of the PTM, which is hindered by the domain gap of natural images and remotely sensed images.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce OpenEarthSensing, a novel large-scale, fine-grained benchmark designed to evaluate diverse domain distribution shifts in open-world scenarios. By integrating five distinct subdatasets across five domains and three modalities, OES offers a comprehensive testbed for assessing model performance under various semantic and covariate shifts. This addresses the shortcomings of existing datasets, which often lack the scale and diversity necessary to reflect real-world challenges. We benchmark representative open-world tasks on OES and conduct extensive evaluations, revealing the significant difficulties that open-world models may encounter, particularly in recognizing new semantic-shift categories and adapting to distributional changes. Results demonstrate that OES poses considerable challenges.

References

- [1] Steve Ahlswede, Christian Schulz, Christiano Gava, Patrick Helber, Benjamin Bischke, Michael Förster, Florencia Arias, Jörn Hees, Begüm Demir, and Birgit Kleinschmit. Treesatai benchmark archive: A multi-sensor, multi-label dataset for tree species classification in remote sensing. *Earth System Science Data Discussions*, 2022:1–22, 2022. 4
- [2] Rui Ba, Chen Chen, Jing Yuan, Weiguo Song, and Siuming Lo. Smokenet: Satellite smoke scene detection using convolutional neural network with spatial and channel-wise attention. *Remote Sensing*, 11(14):1702, 2019. 4
- [3] Jinze Bai, Shuai Bai, Yunfei Chu, Zeyu Cui, Kai Dang, Xiaodong Deng, Yang Fan, Wenbin Ge, Yu Han, Fei Huang, et al. Qwen technical report. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.16609*, 2023. 4
- [4] Favyen Bastani, Piper Wolters, Ritwik Gupta, Joe Ferdinando, and Aniruddha Kembhavi. Satlaspretrain: A large-scale dataset for remote sensing image understanding. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 16772–16782, 2023. 3
- [5] Abhijit Bendale and Terrance E Boult. Towards open set deep networks. In *CVPR*, 2016. 6
- [6] Christophe Botella, Benjamin Deneu, Diego Marcos, Maximilien Servajean, Joaquim Estopinan, Théo Larcher, César Leblanc, Pierre Bonnet, and Alexis Joly. The geolifeclef 2023 dataset to evaluate plant species distribution models at high spatial resolution across europe. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.05121*, 2023. 3
- [7] Guangyao Chen, Peixi Peng, Xiangqian Wang, and Yonghong Tian. Adversarial reciprocal points learning for open set recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00953*, 2021. 6
- [8] Gong Cheng, Junwei Han, and Xiaoqiang Lu. Remote sensing image scene classification: Benchmark and state of the art. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 105(10):1865–1883, 2017. 2, 3
- [9] Gordon Christie, Neil Fendley, James Wilson, and Ryan Mukherjee. Functional map of the world. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 6172–6180, 2018. 3, 4
- [10] Ekin D. Cubuk, Barret Zoph, Jonathon Shlens, and Quoc V. Le. Randaugment: Practical automated data augmentation with a reduced search space. In *2020 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops (CVPRW)*, pages 3008–3017, 2020. 6
- [11] Terrance DeVries and Graham W Taylor. Improved regularization of convolutional neural networks with cutout. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.04552*, 2017. 6
- [12] Terrance DeVries and Graham W Taylor. Learning confidence for out-of-distribution detection in neural networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.04865*, 2018. 6
- [13] Yanghua Di, Zhiguo Jiang, Haopeng Zhang, and Gang Meng. A public dataset for ship classification in remote sensing images. In *Image and Signal Processing for Remote Sensing XXV*, pages 515–521. SPIE, 2019. 4
- [14] Ivica Dimitrovski, Ivan Kitanovski, Dragi Kocev, and Nikola Simidjievski. Current trends in deep learning for earth observation: An open-source benchmark arena for image classification. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 197:18–35, 2023. 2
- [15] Andrija Djurisic, Nebojsa Bozanic, Arjun Ashok, and Rosanne Liu. Extremely simple activation shaping for out-of-distribution detection. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 6
- [16] Alexey Dosovitskiy, Lucas Beyer, Alexander Kolesnikov, Dirk Weissenborn, Xiaohua Zhai, Thomas Unterthiner, Mostafa Dehghani, Matthias Minderer, Georg Heigold, Sylvain Gelly, et al. An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.11929*, 2020. 6
- [17] Arthur Douillard, Matthieu Cord, Charles Ollion, Thomas Robert, and Eduardo Valle. Podnet: Pooled outputs distillation for small-tasks incremental learning. In *Computer vision—ECCV 2020: 16th European conference, Glasgow, UK, August 23–28, 2020, proceedings, part XX 16*, pages 86–102. Springer, 2020. 8
- [18] Xuefeng Du, Zhaoning Wang, Mu Cai, and Yixuan Li. Vos: Learning what you don’t know by virtual outlier synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.01197*, 2022. 6
- [19] Qiankun Gao, Chen Zhao, Yifan Sun, Teng Xi, Gang Zhang, Bernard Ghanem, and Jian Zhang. A unified continual learning framework with general parameter-efficient tuning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 11483–11493, 2023. 8
- [20] Jakob Gawlikowski, Sudipan Saha, Anna Kruspe, and Xiao Xiang Zhu. An advanced dirichlet prior network for out-of-distribution detection in remote sensing. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 60:1–19, 2022. 3
- [21] Chuanxing Geng, Sheng-jun Huang, and Songcan Chen. Recent advances in open set recognition: A survey. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 43(10):3614–3631, 2020. 2
- [22] Kaiming He, Xiangyu Zhang, Shaoqing Ren, and Jian Sun. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 770–778, 2016. 6
- [23] Dan Hendrycks and Kevin Gimpel. A baseline for detecting misclassified and out-of-distribution examples in neural networks. *Proceedings of International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2017. 2, 6
- [24] Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Mantas Mazeika, Mohammadreza Mostajabi, Jacob Steinhardt, and Dawn Song. Scaling out-of-distribution detection for real-world settings. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.11132*, 2019. 6
- [25] Dan Hendrycks, Mantas Mazeika, Saurav Kadavath, and Dawn Song. Using self-supervised learning can improve model robustness and uncertainty. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. Curran Associates, Inc., 2019. 6
- [26] Dan Hendrycks, Norman Mu, Ekin D. Cubuk, Barret Zoph, Justin Gilmer, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. AugMix: A simple data processing method to improve robustness and uncertainty. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*, 2020. 6

- [27] Dan Hendrycks, Steven Basart, Mantas Mazeika, Mohamadreza Mostajabi, Jacob Steinhardt, and Dawn Song. Scaling out-of-distribution detection for real-world settings. In *ICML*, 2022. 6
- [28] Yen-Chang Hsu, Yilin Shen, Hongxia Jin, and Zsolt Kira. Generalized odin: Detecting out-of-distribution image without learning from out-of-distribution data. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 10951–10960, 2020. 6
- [29] Rui Huang, Andrew Geng, and Yixuan Li. On the importance of gradients for detecting distributional shifts in the wild. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:677–689, 2021. 6
- [30] Nathan Inkawhich, Jingyang Zhang, Eric K Davis, Ryan Luley, and Yiran Chen. Improving out-of-distribution detection by learning from the deployment environment. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 15:2070–2086, 2022. 3
- [31] Jang-Hyun Kim, Sangdoon Yun, and Hyun Oh Song. Neural relation graph: A unified framework for identifying label noise and outlier data. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. 6
- [32] James Kirkpatrick, Razvan Pascanu, Neil Rabinowitz, Joel Veness, Guillaume Desjardins, Andrei A Rusu, Kieran Milan, John Quan, Tiago Ramalho, Agnieszka Grabska-Barwinska, et al. Overcoming catastrophic forgetting in neural networks. *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences*, 114(13):3521–3526, 2017. 8
- [33] Kimin Lee, Kibok Lee, Honglak Lee, and Jinwoo Shin. A simple unified framework for detecting out-of-distribution samples and adversarial attacks. In *NeurIPS*, 2018. 6
- [34] Haifeng Li, Xin Dou, Chao Tao, Zhixiang Wu, Jie Chen, Jian Peng, Min Deng, and Ling Zhao. Rsi-cb: A large-scale remote sensing image classification benchmark using crowdsourced data. *Sensors*, 20(6):1594, 2020. 4
- [35] Haifeng Li, Hao Jiang, Xin Gu, Jian Peng, Wenbo Li, Liang Hong, and Chao Tao. Clrs: Continual learning benchmark for remote sensing image scene classification. *Sensors*, 20(4):1226, 2020. 3
- [36] Zhizhong Li and Derek Hoiem. Learning without forgetting. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 40(12):2935–2947, 2017. 8
- [37] Shiyu Liang, Yixuan Li, and Rayadurgam Srikant. Enhancing the reliability of out-of-distribution image detection in neural networks. In *ICLR*, 2018. 6
- [38] Yao Libo, Xiaohan Zhang, Lyu Yafei, Weiwei Sun, and Mengyang Li. Fgsc-23: a large-scale dataset of high-resolution optical remote sensing image for deep learning-based fine-grained ship recognition. *Journal of Image and Graphics*, 2021. 4
- [39] Kang Liu, Jian Yang, and Shengyang Li. Remote-sensing cross-domain scene classification: A dataset and benchmark. *Remote Sensing*, 14(18):4635, 2022. 4
- [40] Litian Liu and Yao Qin. Fast decision boundary based out-of-distribution detector. *ICML*, 2024. 6
- [41] Weitang Liu, Xiaoyun Wang, John Owens, and Yixuan Li. Energy-based out-of-distribution detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33:21464–21475, 2020. 6
- [42] Xixi Liu, Yaroslava Lochman, and Christopher Zach. Gen: Pushing the limits of softmax-based out-of-distribution detection. In *2023 IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, pages 23946–23955, 2023. 6
- [43] Yang Long, Gui-Song Xia, Shengyang Li, Wen Yang, Michael Ying Yang, Xiao Xiang Zhu, Liangpei Zhang, and Deren Li. On creating benchmark dataset for aerial image interpretation: Reviews, guidances, and million-aid. *IEEE Journal of selected topics in applied earth observations and remote sensing*, 14:4205–4230, 2021. 3, 4
- [44] David Lopez-Paz and Marc’Aurelio Ranzato. Gradient episodic memory for continual learning. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30, 2017. 8
- [45] Mark D McDonnell, Dong Gong, Amin Parvaneh, Ehsan Abbasnejad, and Anton Van den Hengel. Ranpac: Random projections and pre-trained models for continual learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 36:12022–12053, 2023. 8
- [46] Yifei Ming, Ziyang Cai, Jiuxiang Gu, Yiyun Sun, Wei Li, and Yixuan Li. Delving into out-of-distribution detection with vision-language representations. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:35087–35102, 2022. 6
- [47] Yifei Ming, Yiyun Sun, Ousmane Dia, and Yixuan Li. How to exploit hyperspherical embeddings for out-of-distribution detection? In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2023. 6
- [48] Atsuyuki Miyai, Qing Yu, Go Irie, and Kiyoharu Aizawa. Locoop: Few-shot out-of-distribution detection via prompt learning. In *Thirty-Seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023. 6
- [49] Atsuyuki Miyai, Qing Yu, Go Irie, and Kiyoharu Aizawa. Zero-shot in-distribution detection in multi-object settings using vision-language foundation models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04521*, 2023. 6
- [50] Jaewoo Park, Yoon Gyo Jung, and Andrew Beng Jin Teoh. Nearest neighbor guidance for out-of-distribution detection. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1686–1695, 2023. 6
- [51] Grégoire Petit, Adrian Popescu, Hugo Schindler, David Picard, and Bertrand Delezoide. Fetril: Feature translation for exemplar-free class-incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF winter conference on applications of computer vision*, pages 3911–3920, 2023. 8
- [52] Francesco Pinto, Harry Yang, Ser-Nam Lim, Philip Torr, and Puneet K. Dokania. Regmixup: Mixup as a regularizer can surprisingly improve accuracy and out distribution robustness. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. 6
- [53] Xiaoman Qi, Panpan Zhu, Yuebin Wang, Liqiang Zhang, Junhuan Peng, Mengfan Wu, Jialong Chen, Xudong Zhao, Ning Zang, and P Takis Mathiopoulos. Mlrsnet: A multi-label high spatial resolution remote sensing dataset for semantic scene understanding. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 169:337–350, 2020. 4

- [54] Alec Radford, Jong Wook Kim, Chris Hallacy, Aditya Ramesh, Gabriel Goh, Sandhini Agarwal, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, Pamela Mishkin, Jack Clark, et al. Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. In *International conference on machine learning*, pages 8748–8763. PMLR, 2021. 6
- [55] Maryam Rahnemoonfar, Tashnim Chowdhury, Argho Sarkar, Debvrat Varshney, Masoud Yari, and Robin Roberson Murphy. Floodnet: A high resolution aerial imagery dataset for post flood scene understanding. *IEEE Access*, 9:89644–89654, 2021. 2
- [56] Sylvestre-Alvise Rebuffi, Alexander Kolesnikov, Georg Sperl, and Christoph H Lampert. icarl: Incremental classifier and representation learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2001–2010, 2017. 8
- [57] Jie Ren, Stanislav Fort, Jeremiah Liu, Abhijit Guha Roy, Shreyas Padhy, and Balaji Lakshminarayanan. A simple fix to mahalanobis distance for improving near-ood detection. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.09022*, 2021. 6
- [58] Jonathan Roberts, Kai Han, and Samuel Albanie. Satin: A multi-task metadataset for classifying satellite imagery using vision-language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.11619*, 2023. 2, 3
- [59] Chandramouli Shama Sastry and Sageev Oore. Detecting out-of-distribution examples with gram matrices. In *ICML*, 2020. 6
- [60] Piyush Sharma, Nan Ding, Sebastian Goodman, and Radu Soricut. Conceptual captions: A cleaned, hypernymed, image alt-text dataset for automatic image captioning. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 2556–2565, 2018. 4
- [61] Karen Simonyan and Andrew Zisserman. Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556*, 2014. 6
- [62] James Seale Smith, Leonid Karlinsky, Vyshnavi Gutta, Paola Cascante-Bonilla, Donghyun Kim, Assaf Arbelle, Rameswar Panda, Rogerio Feris, and Zsolt Kira. Coda-prompt: Continual decomposed attention-based prompting for rehearsal-free continual learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 11909–11919, 2023. 8
- [63] Yue Song, Nicu Sebe, and Wei Wang. Rankfeat: Rank-1 feature removal for out-of-distribution detection. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2022. 6
- [64] Gencer Sumbul, Marcela Charfuelan, Begüm Demir, and Volker Markl. Bigearthnet: A large-scale benchmark archive for remote sensing image understanding. In *IGARSS 2019-2019 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium*, pages 5901–5904. IEEE, 2019. 3
- [65] Gencer Sumbul, Jian Kang, Tristan Kreuziger, Filipe Marcelino, Hugo Costa, Pedro Benevides, Mario Caetano, and Begüm Demir. Bigearthnet dataset with a new nomenclature for remote sensing image understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.06372*, 2020. 4
- [66] Xian Sun, Peijin Wang, Zhiyuan Yan, Feng Xu, Ruiping Wang, Wenhui Diao, Jin Chen, Jihao Li, Yingchao Feng, Tao Xu, et al. FairIm: A benchmark dataset for fine-grained object recognition in high-resolution remote sensing imagery. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 184: 116–130, 2022. 3, 4
- [67] Yiyu Sun and Sharon Li. Dice: Leveraging sparsification for out-of-distribution detection. In *ECCV*, 2022. 6
- [68] Yiyu Sun, Chuan Guo, and Yixuan Li. React: Out-of-distribution detection with rectified activations. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 34:144–157, 2021. 6
- [69] Yiyu Sun, Yifei Ming, Xiaojin Zhu, and Yixuan Li. Out-of-distribution detection with deep nearest neighbors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.06507*, 2022. 6
- [70] Yuwen Tan, Qin hao Zhou, Xiang Xiang, Ke Wang, Yuchuan Wu, and Yongbin Li. Semantically-shifted incremental adapter-tuning is a continual vitransformer. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 23252–23262, 2024. 8
- [71] Leitian Tao, Xuefeng Du, Xiaojin Zhu, and Yixuan Li. Non-parametric outlier synthesis. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.02966*, 2023. 6
- [72] Eleni Triantafyllou, Tyler Zhu, Vincent Dumoulin, Pascal Lamblin, Utku Evci, Kelvin Xu, Ross Goroshin, Carles Gelada, Kevin Swersky, Pierre-Antoine Manzagol, et al. Meta-dataset: A dataset of datasets for learning to learn from few examples. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.03096*, 2019. 2
- [73] Fu-Yun Wang, Da-Wei Zhou, Liu Liu, Han-Jia Ye, Yatao Bian, De-Chuan Zhan, and Peilin Zhao. Beef: Bi-compatible class-incremental learning via energy-based expansion and fusion. In *The eleventh international conference on learning representations*, 2022. 8
- [74] Fu-Yun Wang, Da-Wei Zhou, Han-Jia Ye, and De-Chuan Zhan. Foster: Feature boosting and compression for class-incremental learning. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 398–414. Springer, 2022. 8
- [75] Haoqi Wang, Zhizhong Li, Litong Feng, and Wayne Zhang. Vim: Out-of-distribution with virtual-logit matching. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 4921–4930, 2022. 6
- [76] Hualiang Wang, Yi Li, Huifeng Yao, and Xiaomeng Li. Clipn for zero-shot ood detection: Teaching clip to say no. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 1802–1812, 2023. 6
- [77] Hongjun Wang, Sagar Vaze, and Kai Han. Dissecting out-of-distribution detection and open-set recognition: A critical analysis of methods and benchmarks. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–26, 2024. 5
- [78] Yabin Wang, Zhiwu Huang, and Xiaopeng Hong. S-prompts learning with pre-trained transformers: An occam’s razor for domain incremental learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:5682–5695, 2022. 8
- [79] Zifeng Wang, Zizhao Zhang, Sayna Ebrahimi, Ruoxi Sun, Han Zhang, Chen-Yu Lee, Xiaoqi Ren, Guolong Su, Vincent Perot, Jennifer Dy, et al. Dualprompt: Complementary prompting for rehearsal-free continual learning. In *European conference on computer vision*, pages 631–648. Springer, 2022. 8

- [80] Zifeng Wang, Zizhao Zhang, Chen-Yu Lee, Han Zhang, Ruoxi Sun, Xiaoqi Ren, Guolong Su, Vincent Perot, Jennifer Dy, and Tomas Pfister. Learning to prompt for continual learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 139–149, 2022. 8
- [81] Hongxin Wei, Renchunzi Xie, Hao Cheng, Lei Feng, Bo An, and Yixuan Li. Mitigating neural network overconfidence with logit normalization. 2022. 6
- [82] Yue Wu, Yinpeng Chen, Lijuan Wang, Yuancheng Ye, Zicheng Liu, Yandong Guo, and Yun Fu. Large scale incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 374–382, 2019. 8
- [83] Gui-Song Xia, Wen Yang, Julie Delon, Yann Gousseau, Hong Sun, and Henri Maître. Structural high-resolution satellite image indexing. In *ISPRS TC VII Symposium-100 Years ISPRS*, pages 298–303, 2010. 3
- [84] Gui-Song Xia, Jingwen Hu, Fan Hu, Baoguang Shi, Xiang Bai, Yanfei Zhong, Liangpei Zhang, and Xiaoqiang Lu. Aid: A benchmark data set for performance evaluation of aerial scene classification. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 55(7):3965–3981, 2017. 3
- [85] Gui-Song Xia, Xiang Bai, Jian Ding, Zhen Zhu, Serge Belongie, Jiebo Luo, Mihai Datcu, Marcello Pelillo, and Liangpei Zhang. Dota: A large-scale dataset for object detection in aerial images. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3974–3983, 2018. 3
- [86] Zhifeng Xiao, Yang Long, Deren Li, Chunshan Wei, Gefu Tang, and Junyi Liu. High-resolution remote sensing image retrieval based on cnns from a dimensional perspective. *Remote Sensing*, 9(7):725, 2017. 3
- [87] Zhitong Xiong, Fahong Zhang, Yi Wang, Yilei Shi, and Xiao Xiang Zhu. Earthnets: Empowering artificial intelligence for earth observation. *IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Magazine*, 2024. 3
- [88] Kai Xu, Rongyu Chen, Gianni Franchi, and Angela Yao. Scaling for training time and post-hoc out-of-distribution detection enhancement. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2024, Vienna, Austria, May 7-11, 2024*. OpenReview.net, 2024. 6
- [89] Shipeng Yan, Jiangwei Xie, and Xuming He. Der: Dynamically expandable representation for class incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3014–3023, 2021. 8
- [90] Jingkang Yang, Pengyun Wang, Dejian Zou, Zitang Zhou, Kunyuan Ding, Wenxuan Peng, Haoqi Wang, Guangyao Chen, Bo Li, Yiyun Sun, et al. Openood: Benchmarking generalized out-of-distribution detection. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 35:32598–32611, 2022. 3, 6
- [91] Jingkang Yang, Kaiyang Zhou, and Ziwei Liu. Full-spectrum out-of-distribution detection. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 131(10):2607–2622, 2023. 3, 6
- [92] Yi Yang and Shawn Newsam. Bag-of-visual-words and spatial extensions for land-use classification. In *Proceedings of the 18th SIGSPATIAL international conference on advances in geographic information systems*, pages 270–279, 2010. 2, 3, 4
- [93] Sangdoon Yun, Dongyoon Han, Sanghyuk Chun, Seong Joon Oh, Youngjoon Yoo, and Junsuk Choe. Cutmix: Regularization strategy to train strong classifiers with localizable features. In *2019 IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, pages 6022–6031, 2019. 6
- [94] Gengwei Zhang, Liyuan Wang, Guoliang Kang, Ling Chen, and Yunchao Wei. Slca: Slow learner with classifier alignment for continual learning on a pre-trained model. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF International Conference on Computer Vision*, pages 19148–19158, 2023. 8
- [95] Hongyi Zhang, Moustapha Cissé, Yann N. Dauphin, and David Lopez-Paz. mixup: Beyond empirical risk minimization. In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net, 2018. 6
- [96] Jinsong Zhang, Qiang Fu, Xu Chen, Lun Du, Zelin Li, Gang Wang, Shi Han, Dongmei Zhang, et al. Out-of-distribution detection based on in-distribution data patterns memorization with modern hopfield energy. In *The Eleventh International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2022. 6
- [97] Zilun Zhang, Tiancheng Zhao, Yulong Guo, and Jianwei Yin. Rs5m: A large scale vision-language dataset for remote sensing vision-language foundation model. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.11300*, 2023. 3
- [98] Zihan Zhang, Zhuo Xu, and Xiang Xiang. Vision-language dual-pattern matching for out-of-distribution detection. In *European Conference on Computer Vision*. Springer, 2024. 6
- [99] Zilun Zhang, Tiancheng Zhao, Yulong Guo, and Jianwei Yin. Rs5m and georsclip: A large scale vision-language dataset and a large vision-language model for remote sensing. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 2024. 4
- [100] Bowen Zhao, Xi Xiao, Guojun Gan, Bin Zhang, and Shu-Tao Xia. Maintaining discrimination and fairness in class incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 13208–13217, 2020. 8
- [101] Da-Wei Zhou, Qi-Wei Wang, Han-Jia Ye, and De-Chuan Zhan. A model or 603 exemplars: Towards memory-efficient class-incremental learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.13218*, 2022. 8
- [102] Da-Wei Zhou, Fu-Yun Wang, Han-Jia Ye, and De-Chuan Zhan. Pycil: A python toolbox for class-incremental learning, 2023. 3, 6
- [103] Da-Wei Zhou, Zi-Wen Cai, Han-Jia Ye, De-Chuan Zhan, and Ziwei Liu. Revisiting class-incremental learning with pre-trained models: Generalizability and adaptivity are all you need. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, pages 1–21, 2024. 8
- [104] Da-Wei Zhou, Hai-Long Sun, Han-Jia Ye, and De-Chuan Zhan. Expandable subspace ensemble for pre-trained model-based class-incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 23554–23564, 2024. 8

- [105] Kaiyang Zhou, Ziwei Liu, Yu Qiao, Tao Xiang, and Chen Change Loy. Domain generalization: A survey. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 45(4):4396–4415, 2022. [3](#), [6](#)
- [106] Kaiyang Zhou, Jingkang Yang, Chen Change Loy, and Ziwei Liu. Learning to prompt for vision-language models. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(9):2337–2348, 2022. [6](#)
- [107] Zhuang Zhou, Shengyang Li, Wei Wu, Weilong Guo, Xuan Li, Guisong Xia, and Zifei Zhao. Nasc-tg2: Natural scene classification with tiangong-2 remotely sensed imagery. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 14:3228–3242, 2021. [4](#)
- [108] Fei Zhu, Xu-Yao Zhang, Chuang Wang, Fei Yin, and Cheng-Lin Liu. Prototype augmentation and self-supervision for incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 5871–5880, 2021. [8](#)
- [109] Pengfei Zhu, Longyin Wen, Dawei Du, Xiao Bian, Heng Fan, Qinghua Hu, and Haibin Ling. Detection and tracking meet drones challenge. *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 44(11):7380–7399, 2021. [4](#)
- [110] Huiping Zhuang, Run He, Kai Tong, Ziqian Zeng, Cen Chen, and Zhiping Lin. Ds-al: A dual-stream analytic learning for exemplar-free class-incremental learning. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 17237–17244, 2024. [8](#)