

# Locally connected graphs: metric properties

Martín Matamala<sup>1</sup>, Juan Pablo Peña<sup>1</sup>, José Zamora<sup>2\*</sup>

(1) DIM-CMM, CNRS-IRL 2807, Universidad de Chile, Chile

(2) Depto. de Matemáticas, Universidad Andres Bello, Chile.

August 2024

## Abstract

In this work we show that any connected locally connected graph defines a metric space having at least as many lines as vertices with only three exception: the complete multipartite graphs  $K_{1,2,2}$ ,  $K_{2,2,2}$  and  $K_{2,2,2,2}$ . This proves that this class fulfills a conjecture, proposed by Chen and Chvátal, saying that any metric space on  $n$  points has at least  $n$  lines or a line containing all the points.

## 1 Introduction

A connected graph  $G = (V, E)$  is locally connected if for each  $v \in V$ , the neighborhood of  $v$  induces a connected graph. We denote by  $\mathcal{LC}$  the class of all connected locally connected graphs. This class was introduced in [13], where some interesting properties of these graphs were studied. Among others, any graph  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  is 2-connected and if it has maximum degree at most four, then it is either Hamiltonian or isomorphic to the complete multipartite graph  $K_{1,1,3}$ . Most combinatorial works about locally connected graphs have explored the occurrence of global properties under restrictions on the maximum degree ([18, 11, 15, 14]). Concerning algorithmic properties, in [19] it was proved that there is a linear time algorithm to decide if a locally connected graph has a proper 3-coloring. The class of connected locally connected graphs contains two well-known classes of graphs: near triangulations and 2-connected chordal graphs.

That a near triangulation is locally connected is a consequence of the following simple characterization of plane graphs being locally connected.

**Proposition 1.** *A plane graph  $G$  is locally connected if and only if every vertex belongs to the boundary of at most one face whose boundary is not a triangle.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a plane graph. Assume that  $G$  is locally connected. Let  $v$  be a vertex and let  $f$  be a face whose boundary  $B$  contains  $v$ . If  $B$  is not a triangle,

---

\*Support by Basal program AFB170001 and Doctoral Fellowship grant 21211955, ANID, CHILE

then the two neighbors of  $v$  in  $B$  are connected by a path  $P$  contained in  $N(v)$  and such that  $P+v$  is a cycle leaving  $f$  in one of its faces. Then, any other face containing  $v$  in its boundary has one edge of  $P$  in its boundary, and hence its boundary is a triangle.

Conversely, let  $v$  be a vertex and let  $f$  be a face which is not a triangle containing  $v$  in its boundary. By the assumption, all other boundaries containing  $v$  are triangles. Hence, the neighborhood of  $v$  induces a path in  $G$ . If the boundaries of all the faces containing  $v$  are triangles, then the graph induced by its neighborhood is a cycle.  $\square$

To see that a 2-connected chordal graph  $G$  is locally connected, let  $u$  be a vertex in  $G$  and let  $v$  and  $w$  be two of its neighbors. Since  $G$  is 2-connected, there is a path  $P$  between  $v$  and  $w$  inside  $g-u$ . By choosing  $P$  as an induced path, we get that every vertex in  $P$  is a neighbor of  $u$ , as otherwise,  $G$  contains an induced cycle of length at least four. Hence, the neighborhood of  $u$  induces a connected subgraph of  $G$ .

The purpose of this work is to study the sets of lines defined by connected locally connected graphs. The *line* defined in a graph  $G = (V, E)$  by two distinct vertices  $a$  and  $b$  in  $V$ , is the set of all the vertices  $c$  such that there is a shortest path containing  $a, b$  and  $c$ . It is denoted by  $\overline{ab}$ . From this definition it is obvious that for three distinct vertices  $a, b$  and  $c$ ,

$$c \in \overline{ab} \iff b \in \overline{ac} \iff a \in \overline{bc}.$$

To present our results it is useful to define the betweenness relation defined by  $G$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{B}_G$  (first studied by Menger [20] and, more recently by Chvátal [9]), as the set of all triples  $(a, b, c)$ , for  $a, b$  and  $c$  distinct vertices, such that a shortest path between  $a$  and  $c$  contains  $b$ . In terms of the distance function of  $G$  defined by its shortest paths, denoted by  $d_G$ , we can define  $\mathcal{B}_G$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{B}_G = \{(a, b, c) \in V^3 \mid |\{a, b, c\}| = 3 \text{ and } d_G(a, c) = d_G(a, b) + d_G(b, c)\}.$$

To ease the presentation we denote by  $abc$  the triple  $(a, b, c)$ . Our main result in this work is the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  with  $n$  vertices. Then  $G$  has less than  $n$  lines if and only if it is isomorphic to one of the following complete multipartite graphs:  $K_{1,2,2}, K_{2,2,2}$  or  $K_{2,2,2,2}$ .*

This implies that the metric space defined by  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  satisfies the so called Chen-Chvátal conjecture defined as follows [7].

**Conjecture 1.** *Any metric space on  $n$  points has a line containing the whole space (a universal line) or at least  $n$  lines.*

Chen and Chvátal presented this conjecture as a version for metric spaces of a result known as the De-Bruijn-Erdős theorem [12], which states that if  $E$  is a collection of subsets of a set  $V$  such that the cardinality of each element in  $E$  is

at least two and at most  $|V| - 1$ , and for each 2-set of  $V$  there is exactly one set in  $E$  containing it, then  $|E| \geq n$ . Chen and Chvátal proved that the number of lines in a metric space on  $n$  points with no universal line is at  $\Omega(\log n)$  [7]. This was later improved to  $\Omega(n^{2/7})$  lines in [8], then to  $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$  lines in [2] and, most recently to  $\Omega(n^{2/3})$  lines in [16]. The latter remains the best asymptotic lower bound, up to date. Other results concerning the Chen-Chvátal conjecture for specific types of metric spaces, mostly those defined by graphs, can be found in [1, 3, 5, 6, 10, 17, 22, 21].

In [5] it was proved that chordal graphs satisfy Conjecture 1. The proof uses three ingredients.

1. If a vertex  $x$  has a unique neighbor  $u$ , then the line  $\overline{xu}$  is universal.
2. In a 2-connected chordal graph, if the lines  $\overline{xu}$  and  $\overline{xv}$  are equal, then the triple  $uxv$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_G$ .
3. If for a simplicial vertex  $x$  of a chordal graph we have that  $\overline{xu} = \overline{xv}$ , then the line  $\overline{uv}$  is universal.

Hence, if a chordal graph has no universal line, then for any simplicial vertex  $x$  the set of lines

$$\{\overline{xu} \mid u \in V, u \neq x\}$$

has exactly  $|V| - 1$  lines and  $x$  has at least two adjacent neighbors  $u$  and  $v$ . Since  $x$  does not belong to the line  $\overline{uv}$  one gets the conclusion that  $G$  has at least  $|V|$  lines. Since in Theorem 2 the existence of a universal line does not show its validity, the first and the third ingredients mentioned above are not meaningful. However, in the proof of Theorem 2 we shall need a generalization of the second ingredient, which we prove in Lemma 4.

It is known that 2-connected chordal graphs with  $n$  vertices have a stronger property: they all have at least  $n$  lines. This was proved as a step in the proof of the following theorem in [4].

**Theorem 3** (Theorem 2.1 in [4]). *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices such that every induced subgraph of  $G$  either is a chordal graph, or has a cut-vertex, or has a nontrivial module. If the numbers of lines in  $G$  plus the number of bridges of  $G$  is less than  $n$ , then  $G$  is one of the following complete multipartite graphs*

$$K_{2,2}, K_{2,3}, K_{1,2,2}, K_{2,2,2}, K_{2,2,2,2}$$

*or the graph  $K'_{1,2,2}$ , obtained from  $K_{1,2,2}$  by deleting one edge incident to its vertex of degree four.*

Since graphs  $K_{2,2}$ ,  $K_{2,3}$  and  $K'_{1,2,2}$  are not locally connected and connected locally connected graphs are 2-connected, and then they do not have bridges, Theorem 2 can be seen as a version of Theorem 3 for connected locally connected graphs.

As a corollary, we get that any 2-connected plane graph with  $n$  vertices, where no two nontriangular faces have a vertex in common has at least  $n$  lines. In the best of the authors' knowledge it is the first class of 2-connected planar graphs for which Conjecture 1 has been validated.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 2. To this goal we consider two vertices  $x$  and  $y$  reaching the diameter of  $G$  and the set of lines  $\mathcal{L}^x$  and  $\mathcal{L}^y$ , where, for a vertex  $z \in V$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}^z = \{\overline{zu} \mid u \in V, u \neq z\}.$$

For  $z \in V$  we define a relation  $R^z$  on  $V \setminus \{z\}$  given by

$$uR^z v \iff \overline{zu} = \overline{zv}.$$

It is clear that  $R^z$  is an equivalence relation and that each equivalent class  $[u]_z$  is associated to a unique line  $\ell$  in  $\mathcal{L}^z$ . We made the abuse of the notation  $[\ell]_z$  to denote the equivalence class of those vertices  $u$  such that  $\overline{zu} = \ell$ . When  $z$  is clear from the context we just use  $[ ]$  instead of  $[ ]_z$ .

We use the standard notation for the most common notions in Graph Theory. By instance, we denote by  $N_G(v)$ , the set of neighbors of the vertex  $v$  and by  $\text{diam}(G)$ , the diameter of the graph  $G$ .

For distinct vertices  $z, a, b \in V$ , from the definition of a line, we have that  $\overline{zb} = \overline{za}$  implies that one of the triples  $azb, zab$  or  $zab$  belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . In the case of a graph  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  we now prove that there is only one possibility:  $azb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . This is a generalization of the second ingredient used in [5] for 2-connected chordal graphs.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  and let  $z, a, b$  three distinct vertices such that  $[b]_z = [a]_z$ . Then,  $azb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ .*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, let us assume, for the sake of a contradiction, that  $zab \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . We shall prove that  $a$  has a neighbor  $a'$  such that  $za'b \in \mathcal{B}_G$  and  $d_G(z, a) = d_G(z, a')$ . This clearly is a contradiction, since  $za'b \in \mathcal{B}_G$  implies that  $a' \in \overline{zb}$ , while  $d_G(z, a) = d_G(z, a')$  and  $d(a, a') = 1$  implies that  $a' \notin \overline{za}$ .

To prove the existence of  $a'$ , we consider two neighbors of  $a$ ,  $z'$  and  $b'$ , such that

$$d_G(z, z') + d_G(z', a) = d_G(z, a)$$

and

$$d_G(a, b') + d_G(b', b) = d_G(a, b),$$

and a path  $P$  between  $z'$  and  $b'$  ( $z'$  may be  $z$  and  $b'$  may be  $b$ ) included in the neighborhood of  $a$ . The path  $P$  exists since  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$ .

On the one hand, for any two consecutive vertices  $w, w' \in P$ , we have that

$$|d_G(z, w) - d_G(z, w')| \leq 1 \tag{1}$$

On the other hand, for each  $w \in P$ , we have that

$$d_G(z, z') = d_G(z, a) - 1 \leq d_G(z, w) \leq d_G(z, a) + 1 = d_G(z, b) - d_G(b', b).$$

Since  $z', b' \in P$  with  $d_G(z, z') = d_G(z, a) - 1$  and  $d_G(z, b') = d_G(z, a) + 1$ , from Inequality 1, we get that there is  $w \in P$  such that  $d_G(z, w) = d_G(z, a)$ . Let  $a'$  be the last vertex in  $P$  (from  $z'$  to  $b'$ ) whose distance to  $z$  is  $d(z, a)$ . Then, the neighbor  $w$  of  $a'$  in the subpath of  $P$  from  $a'$  to  $b$  has distance to  $z$  equal to  $d_G(z, a) + 1$ . Since it is also a neighbor of  $a$  we have that it belongs to  $\overline{za}$  and thus to  $\overline{zb}$ . Hence,  $d_G(z, b) = d_G(z, w) + d_G(w, b)$  which shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d_G(a', b) &\leq 1 + d_G(z, b) - d_G(z, w) \\ &= d_G(z, b) - d_G(z, a) \\ &= d_G(a, b). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $d(z, a') = d(z, a)$  and  $zab \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , we conclude that  $za'b \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . □

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $a$  be such that  $|[a]_z| \geq 2$  such that there is a vertex  $v \in V$ ,  $v \neq z, a$  with  $zav \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . Then, there is a vertex  $u \in N(a)$  such that  $[a]_z \subseteq N(u) \cap N(z)$ , and for each  $b \in [a]_z$ ,  $zbu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V$ ,  $v \neq z, a$  with  $zav \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . We take  $v'$  (resp.  $z'$ ) as the neighbor of  $a$  in a shortest path between  $a$  and  $v$  (resp.  $z$ ). Let  $P$  be a path contained in the neighborhood of  $a$  between  $z'$  and  $v'$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$  such a path  $P$  exists.

Let  $w$  be the last vertex in  $P$  such that  $d_G(z, w) = d_G(z, a)$  and let denote by  $u$  the neighbor of  $w$  in the subpath of  $P$  between  $w$  and  $v'$ . Then,

$$d_G(z, u) = d_G(z, a) + 1 = d_G(z, w) + 1,$$

which implies that  $zwu \in \mathcal{B}_G$  and that  $zau \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , since  $u$  is a neighbor of  $a$ .

We prove that  $zbu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , for each  $b \in [a]_z$ . We know that  $zau \in \mathcal{B}_G$  which implies that  $u \in \overline{za}$ . Then,  $u \in \overline{zb}$ . Hence, if  $zbu \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ , then we must have that  $uzb$  or  $zub$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . We prove that both cases lead to a contradiction.

1.  $zub \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . By Lemma 4 we get that  $azb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . When  $azb$  and  $zub$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}_G$  one gets that  $azu$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{B}_G$ , which is a contradiction with  $zau \in \mathcal{B}_G$ .
2.  $uzb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . We already know that  $uwz \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . Together with the fact that  $uzb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , we get that  $wzb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , which implies that  $w \in \overline{zb}$ . But, since  $d_G(z, a) = d_G(z, w)$  and  $d_G(a, w) = 1$  we get that  $w \notin \overline{za}$ , which is a contradiction with the assumption  $b \in [a]_z$ .

So far, we have proved that under the assumption that there is  $v \in V$  such that  $zav \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , then there is  $u \in N(a)$  such that  $zbu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , for each  $b \in [a]_z$ , from which we get that

$$d_G(u, b) + d_G(b, z) = d_G(u, z) = d_G(z, a) + 1,$$

for each  $b \in [a]_z$ . By applying the same argument to  $zbu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , we get that there is a vertex  $s \in N(b)$  such that

$$d_G(s, a) + d_G(a, z) = d_G(s, z) = d_G(z, b) + 1.$$

By summing the last two equalities we get that  $d_G(u, b) + d_G(s, a) = 2$  which implies that  $d_G(u, b) = d_G(s, a) = 1$  and thus,  $u \in N(b) \cap N(a)$  and  $d_G(a, b) = 2$ . Hence,  $[a]_z \subseteq N(z) \cap N(u)$ , as  $azb \in \mathcal{B}_G$ .  $\square$

We now split the proof of Theorem 2 in two parts. In the first part, we deal with graphs with diameter at least three; in the second part, we consider graphs of diameter two.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $G$  be a connected locally connected graph of diameter at least three and  $n$  vertices. Then,  $G$  has at least  $n$  lines.*

*Proof.*

**Claim 6.1.** *Let  $a$  be such that  $|[a]_z| \geq 2$  and  $[a]_z$  not included in  $N(z)$ . Then, for each  $u, v \in [a]_z$  the line  $\overline{uv}$  is given by*

$$\overline{uv} = \{w \in V \mid d_G(u, w) + d_G(w, v) = d_G(u, v)\},$$

*it does contains  $z$  and does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}^z$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 4 we know that  $uzv \in \mathcal{B}_G$  and thus  $z \in \overline{uv}$ . Let  $w \in V$ . If  $wvu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , then  $wuz \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , which is not possible from Lemma 5. Similarly, the triple  $vuw$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{B}_G$ . Hence,  $w \in \overline{uv}$  if and only if  $uwv \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , proving the first statement.

Let us assume that  $\overline{uv} = \overline{zw}$ , for some  $w \in V$ ,  $w \neq z$ . Without loss of generality let us assume that  $d_G(u, w) \leq d_G(u, z)$ . Then,  $uzw \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ . Since  $[a]_z$  is not included in  $N(z)$ , from Lemma 5, we get that  $zuw \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ . Thus,  $u \in \overline{zw}$  if and only if  $zwu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . Let  $w' \in N(z)$  such that  $zw'w \in \mathcal{B}_G$  and let  $v' \in N(z)$  such that  $v'v'z \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . Since  $G \in \ell\mathcal{C}$ , we know that there is a path  $P$  in  $N(z)$  between  $v'$  and  $w'$ . Hence, there are  $z', z''$  in  $P$  such that  $wz'z' \notin \mathcal{B}_G$  and  $wz'z'' \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . As we did in the proof of Lemma 4 we now show that  $wz'v \in \mathcal{B}_G$  and that  $z' \notin \overline{zw}$ .

From  $wz'z'' \in \mathcal{B}_G$  we get that  $d_G(w, z'') = d_G(w, z) + 1 = d_G(w, v')$ . Moreover,  $d_G(z', v) \leq d_G(z'', v) + 1 = d_G(z, v)$  and  $d_G(w, z') \leq d_G(w, z)$ , since  $wz'z' \notin \mathcal{B}_G$  and  $z' \in N(z)$ . Therefore,

$$d_G(w, v) \leq d_G(w, z') + d_G(z', v) \leq d_G(w, z) + d_G(z, v) = d_G(w, v),$$

where the last equality is due to  $wzv \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . We conclude that  $wz'v \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , which implies that  $z' \in \overline{uv}$ , and thus  $d_G(w, z') = d_G(w, z)$ . Hence, we get that  $z' \notin \overline{zw}$ , and thus the contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $x$  and  $y$  vertices such that  $d_G(x, y) = \text{diam}(G)$ . By using previous results we can partition  $\mathcal{L}^x$  into the following sets.

$$\mathcal{L}_0^x := \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^x \mid |[\ell]_x| = 1\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1^x := \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^x \mid |[\ell]_x| \geq 2 \text{ and } [\ell]_x \subseteq N(x)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}_2^x := \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}^x \mid |\ell]_x| \geq 2 \text{ and } \ell]_x \not\subseteq N(x)\}.$$

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  the sets of vertices defined by

$$V_1 = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_1^x} \ell]_x$$

and

$$V_2 = \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_2^x} \ell]_x \cap \{u \in V \mid d_G(x, u) \leq \text{diam}(G)/2\}.$$

We also define  $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y$  by

$$\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y = \{\overline{yu} \mid u \in V_1 \cup V_2\}.$$

**Claim 6.2.** *For two distinct vertices  $u, v \in V_1 \cup V_2$  we have that  $\overline{yu} \neq \overline{yv}$ .*

*Proof.* Let us assume that  $\overline{yu} = \overline{yv}$ . From Lemma 4 applied to  $y$ , we have that  $uyv \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . If  $u \in V_1$ , then  $d_G(x, u) = 1$  and then  $d_G(y, u) \geq \text{diam}(G) - 1$ . Hence,  $d_G(u, y) = \text{diam}(G) - 1$  and  $d_G(y, v) = 1$  which implies that  $d_G(x, v) \geq \text{diam}(G) - 1$ . As  $\text{diam}(G) \geq 3$  we get that  $d_G(x, v) \geq \text{diam}(G)/2 > 1$  which implies that  $v \notin V_1 \cup V_2$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $u, v \in V_2$ . In this case, we obtain the following contradiction:

$$\text{diam}(G) \geq d_G(u, v) = d_G(u, y) + d_G(y, v) > \text{diam}(G),$$

since when  $u, v \in V_2$  we get that  $d_G(x, u), d_G(x, v) \leq \text{diam}(G)/2$  and  $xvy, xuy \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ , which implies that  $d_G(y, u), d_G(y, v) > \text{diam}(G)/2$ .  $\square$

Therefore, the set  $\mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y$  has exactly  $|V_1| + |V_2|$  lines.

**Claim 6.3.**  *$\mathcal{L}^x \cap \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y$  is empty.*

*Proof.* Notice that for  $v \in V_2$  we have that  $xvy \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ , by Lemma 5, which implies that  $x \notin \overline{yv}$ , and thus  $\overline{yv} \notin \mathcal{L}^x$ , when  $v \in V_2$ .

Let  $u \in V$  and  $v \in V_1$  such that  $\overline{xu} = \overline{yv}$ . Since  $d_G(x, y) = \text{diam}(G)$  we have that  $xuy, xvy \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . As  $v \in V_1$ , again from Lemma 5, we have that  $d_G(x, v) = 1$  and that  $[v]_x \subseteq N(x)$ . Then  $x \in \overline{yv}$  if and only if  $d_G(v, y) = \text{diam}(G) - 1$ . This implies that  $\overline{yv} \cap N(x) = \{v\}$ .

As  $\overline{xu} = \overline{yv}$  we have that  $N(x) \cap \overline{xu} = \{v\}$  and hence,  $u = v$  or  $xvu \in \mathcal{B}_G$ . Since  $[v]_x \subseteq \overline{xu}$  and  $|[v]_x| \geq 2$ , we get that  $u \neq v$ . But, when  $xvu \in \mathcal{B}_G$  we have that  $u \in \overline{xv}$  which in turns implies that  $[v]_x \subseteq \overline{xu}$  leading to a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 6.4.**  *$G$  has at least  $n$  lines.*

*Proof.* From the definitions of  $\mathcal{L}^x$ ,  $[\ell]_x$ ,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , we have that

$$|\mathcal{L}^x| = |V| - 1 - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_1^x \cup \mathcal{C}_2^x} (|\ell]_x| - 1),$$

$$|V_1| = \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_1^x} |[\ell]_x|$$

and

$$|V_2| \geq \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_2^x} (|[\ell]_x| - 1).$$

The later inequality comes from the fact that at most one vertex in  $[\ell]_x$  has  $d_G(x, u) > \text{diam}(G)/2$ , by Lemma 4.

From Claim 6.3 we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{L}^x \cup \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y| &\geq |V| - 1 - \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_1^x \cup \mathcal{C}_2^x} (|[\ell]_x| - 1) + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_1^x} |[\ell]_x| + \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{C}_2^x} (|[\ell]_x| - 1) \\ &= |V| - 1 + |\mathcal{C}_1^x|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can continue under the assumption that  $\mathcal{C}_1^x$  is empty. If  $\mathcal{C}_2^x$  is empty we have that  $|\mathcal{L}^x| = |V| - 1$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{LC}$ , there is an edge  $uv$ , with  $u, v \in N(x)$ . Hence,  $x \notin \overline{uv}$  and thus  $\overline{uv} \notin \mathcal{L}^x$  and we get the conclusion.

If  $\mathcal{C}_2^x$  is non-empty, then for  $\ell \in \mathcal{C}_2^x$  and  $u, v \in [\ell]_x$  we have that  $uxv \in \mathcal{B}_G$ , by Lemma 4, and  $xuy, xvy \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ , by Lemma 5. Hence,  $uvy, yuv \notin \mathcal{B}_G$ .

Moreover,

$$d_G(u, y) + d_G(y, v) + \text{diam}(G) = d_G(u, y) + d_G(y, v) + d_G(x, u) + d_G(v, x) > 2\text{diam}(G)$$

which proves that  $uyv \notin \mathcal{B}_G$  either. Therefore,  $y \notin \overline{uv}$  which implies that  $\overline{uv} \notin \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^y$ . To obtain the conclusion, we use Claim 6.1, which shows that  $\overline{uv} \notin \mathcal{L}^x$ .  $\square$

$\square$

We now extend our result to connected locally connected graphs of diameter two. In this case we characterize those graphs in that class which have fewer lines than vertices.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected locally connected graph of diameter two with  $n$  vertices and fewer than  $n$  lines. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_{1,2,2}$ ,  $K_{2,2,2}$  or  $K_{2,2,2,2}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N^2(x)$  be the set of all vertices at distance two from  $x$ . Let

$$\mathcal{L}_1^x = \{\overline{xu} \mid u \in N(x)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_2^x = \{\overline{xu} \mid u \in N^2(x)\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{L}^x = \mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$ , as  $V = N(x) \cup N^2(x) \cup \{x\}$ , since  $G$  has diameter two. This latter fact and Lemma 4 imply that  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cap \mathcal{L}_2^x$  is empty. Moreover, if  $b \in [a]_x$ ,  $b \neq a$ , then  $bxa \in \mathcal{B}_G$  which shows that  $a, b \in N(x)$ , since  $d_G(a, b) \leq 2$ . Hence,  $|\mathcal{L}_2^x| = |N^2(x)|$ .

We also have that:



**Claim 7.1.**  $|\mathcal{L}_1^x| < |N(x)|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $|N^2(x)| = |\mathcal{L}_2^x|$  and  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cap \mathcal{L}_2^x$  is empty, it is enough to prove that

$$|\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x| < |N(x)| + |N^2(x)|.$$

Let us assume that  $|\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x| = |N(x)| + |N^2(x)| = n - 1$ . Then, we get that  $\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$ , since  $|\mathcal{L}(G)| \leq n - 1$ .

Since  $G$  is locally connected, the neighbors of  $x$  induce a connected subgraph of  $G$ . Hence, each neighbor  $u$  of  $x$  has a common neighbor  $u'$  with  $x$  which implies that the line  $\ell = \overline{uu'}$  does not contain  $x$ . But this shows that  $\ell$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x = \mathcal{L}(G)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 7.2.** For each  $u \in N(x)$ ,  $[u]_x$  is an independent set which is also a module of  $G$ .

*Proof.* By definition of the relation  $R^x$ , we know that for each  $v \in [u]_x$ ,  $\overline{xv} = \overline{uv}$ . Since  $u, v \in N(x)$  this implies that  $u$  and  $v$  can not be adjacent. Therefore, each equivalence class of  $R^x$  is an independent set.

To see that  $[u]_x$  is a module, we have to prove that  $zu \in E \iff zu' \in E$ , for each  $u' \in [u]_x$ . If  $z \in N(x)$ , then  $zu \in E$  if and only if  $z \notin \overline{xu}$ . This happens if and only if  $z \notin \overline{xu'}$ , for each  $u' \in [u]_x$ . In turns, this latter fact holds if and only if  $zu' \in E$ , for each  $u' \in [u]_x$ . Similarly, if  $z \in N^2(x)$ ,  $zu \in E$  if and only if  $z \in \overline{xu}$  if and only if  $zu' \in E$ , for each  $u' \in [u]_x$ .  $\square$

**Claim 7.3.** Let  $\ell = \overline{vw}$  any line in  $G$ . Then, for each  $u \in N(x) \setminus \{v, w\}$ ,  $[u]_x \subseteq \ell$  or  $[u]_x \cap \ell$  is empty. Moreover, for  $v, w \in N(x)$ , when  $vw \in E$ , we have that  $[v]_x \cup [w]_x \subseteq \ell$  and when  $vw \notin E$  we have that  $([v]_x \cup [w]_x) \cap \ell = \{v, w\}$ .

*Proof.* When  $vw \in E$  we know that for each  $u \in N(x) \setminus \{v, w\}$ ,  $u \in \ell$  if and only if  $u \in (N(v) \cup N(w)) \setminus (N(v) \cap N(w))$ .

If  $[u]_x \cap \ell$  is not empty we prove that  $[u]_x \subseteq \ell$ . Let  $v \in [u]_x \cap \ell$ . Since  $[v]_x = [u]_x$  is a module, when  $v \in \ell$  we get that  $[v]_x = [u]_x \subseteq N(v) \setminus N(w)$  or  $[v]_x = [u]_x \subseteq N(w) \setminus N(v)$ . Hence, if  $\ell \cap [u]_x$  is non-empty, then  $[u]_x \subseteq \ell$ .

For the second statement, since  $vw \in E$ , we have that  $[v]_x \subseteq N(w) \setminus N(v)$  and  $[w]_x \subseteq N(v) \setminus N(w)$ , because  $[v]_x$  and  $[w]_x$  are independent modules of  $G$ . Hence  $[v]_x \cup [w]_x \subseteq \ell = \overline{vw}$ .

When  $vw \notin E$  we have that for each  $u \in N(x) \setminus \{v, w\}$ ,  $u \in \ell$  implies that  $u \in N(v) \cap N(w)$  which in turns implies that  $[u]_x \subseteq N(v) \cap N(w)$  and thus  $[u]_x \subseteq \ell$ , since  $[u]_x$  is a module of  $G$ . Since  $vw \notin E$ , we have  $[v]_x \cup [w]_x$  is an independent set which implies that  $([v]_x \cup [w]_x) \cap \ell = \{v, w\}$   $\square$

We partition  $N(x)$  in the following three sets  $A_i$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

$$A_1 = \{u \in N(x) \mid |[u]_x| = 1\},$$

$$A_2 = \{u \in N(x) \mid |[u]_x| = 2\}$$

and

$$A_3 = \{u \in N(x) \mid |[u]_x| \geq 3\}.$$

Then,

$$|N(x)| = |A_1| + |A_2| + |A_3|.$$

From Claim 7.1 we know that  $|N(x)| > |\mathcal{L}_1^x|$  implying that  $A_2 \cup A_3$  is non-empty. Let  $a_3$  be the number of lines  $\overline{xu}$  in  $\mathcal{L}_1^x$  with  $u \in A_3$ . Then, the set  $\mathcal{L}_1^x$  contains  $|A_1| + |A_2|/2 + a_3$  lines. Let

$$A'_2 = \{u \in A_2 \mid \exists v \in N(x) \setminus [u]_x, uv \notin E\}$$

and  $A''_2 = A_2 \setminus A'_2$ .

We shall prove that the set  $A''_2$  is non-empty. For this purpose, in the following claims, we define two sets of lines,  $\mathcal{A}_3$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_2$ , having at least  $|A'_2|$  and  $|A_3|$  lines, respectively, such that  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_3$  and  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  are pairwise disjoint.

**Claim 7.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}_3$  given by*

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = \bigcup_{u \in A_3} \mathcal{A}_u,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_u = \{\overline{u'u''} \mid u', u'' \in [u]_x\},$$

for  $u \in A_3$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap (\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x)$  is empty and  $|\mathcal{A}_3| \geq |A_3|$ .

*Proof.* From Claim 7.3 we know that each line  $\overline{u'u''}$  in  $\mathcal{A}_u$  has exactly two vertices in  $[u]_x$ :  $u', u''$ . Thus,  $|\mathcal{A}_u| = \binom{|[u]_x|}{2} \geq |[u]_x|$ . From the same claim we have that each line in  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$  either contains  $[v]_x$  or has not vertex from  $[v]_x$ , for each  $v \in A_3$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{A}_3 \cap (\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x)$  is empty.

Let  $u, u', v \in A_3$  with  $v \notin [u]_x$  and  $u' \in [u]_x$ . Then,  $[v]_x \cap \overline{u'u'} \in \{\emptyset, [v]_x\}$  which implies that  $\mathcal{A}_u \cap \mathcal{A}_v$  is empty, and then  $|\mathcal{A}_3| \geq |A_3|$ .  $\square$

**Claim 7.5.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  be given by*

$$\mathcal{A}'_2 = \bigcup_{u \in A'_2} \bigcup_{v \in N(x) \setminus [u]_x, uv \notin E} \mathcal{A}_{u,v},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{u,v} = \{\overline{st} \mid s \in [u]_x, t \in [v]_x\}.$$

Then,  $\mathcal{A}'_2 \cap (\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_3)$  is empty and  $|\mathcal{A}'_2| \geq |A'_2|$ .

*Proof.* From Claim 7.3 we know that for each  $u \in A'_2$  and  $v \in N(x) \setminus [u]_x$  such that  $uv \notin E$ , each line  $\ell \in \mathcal{A}_{u,v}$  satisfies  $\ell \cap ([u]_x \cup [v]_x) = \{u, v\}$  and  $\ell \cap [w]_x \in \{\emptyset, [w]_x\}$ , for each  $w \in N(x) \setminus \{u, v\}$ . Then, for  $u' \in A'_2 \setminus [u]_x, v' \in N(x) \setminus ([u'] \cup [v]_x)$  the sets  $\mathcal{A}_{u,v}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{u',v'}$  are disjoint. The same claim shows that  $\mathcal{A}_{u,v} \cap (\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{A}_w)$  is empty, for each  $w \in A_3$ . In fact, a line in  $\mathcal{A}_{u,v}$  has exactly one vertex in  $[u]_x$ , the vertex  $u$ , and one vertex in  $[v]_x$ , the vertex  $v$ , and  $[u]_x \neq [v]_x$ , while a line in  $\mathcal{A}_w$  contains exactly two vertices in  $[w]_x$ , and do not overlap with neither  $[u]_x$  nor  $[v]_x$ . We also know that  $|\mathcal{A}_{u,v}| = |[u]_x||[v]_x| \geq |[u]_x| = 2$  from which we get that  $\mathcal{A}'_2$  contains at least  $|A'_2|$  lines.  $\square$

**Claim 7.6.** *The set  $A_2''$  is non-empty.*

*Proof.* From previous claims we have that the set  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}'_2$  contains at least

$$|N^2(x)| + |A_1| + |A_2|/2 + a_3 + |A_3| + |A'_2| = |N^2(x)| + |N(x)| + |A'_2|/2 - |A''_2|/2 + a_3$$

lines. Since  $|\mathcal{L}(G)| \leq n - 1 = |N(x)| + |N^2(x)|$  we get that  $|A'_2|/2 + a_3 \leq |A''_2|/2$  which implies that  $A''_2$  is non-empty as, from Claim 7.1, we know that  $A_2 \cup A_3$  is non-empty.  $\square$

**Claim 7.7.** *If  $N(x) \neq A''_2$ , then  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_{1,2,2}$ .*

*Proof.* By definition of  $A''_2$  we know that, for each  $u \in A''_2$  and  $v \in N(x) \setminus [u]_x$  the edge  $uv$  belongs to  $E$ . Then, for each  $w \in N(x) \setminus ([u]_x \cup [v]_x)$  we have that  $wu$  and  $wv$  belong to  $E$ . From this and the fact that  $[u]_x$  and  $[v]_x$  are independent sets we get that

$$\overline{uv} \cap N(x) = [u]_x \cup [v]_x.$$

Therefore, the set of lines

$$\mathcal{A}''_2 = \{\overline{uv} \mid u, v \in A''_2, u \notin [v]_x\}$$

has exactly  $\binom{|A''_2|/2}{2}$  lines and the set of lines

$$\mathcal{A}'''_2 = \{\overline{uv} \mid u \in N(x) \setminus A''_2, v \in A''_2\}$$

has at least  $|A''_2|/2$  lines, since  $N(x) \setminus A''_2$  is non-empty. Moreover,  $\mathcal{A}''_2 \cap \mathcal{A}'''_2$  is empty.

Therefore the set

$$\mathcal{L}^x \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}'_2 \cup \mathcal{A}''_2 \cup \mathcal{A}'''_2$$

contains at least

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{L}_2^x| + |\mathcal{L}_1^x| + |A_3| + |A'_2| + \binom{|A''_2|/2}{2} + |A''_2|/2 \\ &= |\mathcal{L}_2^x| + |A_1| + |A_2|/2 + a_3 + |A_3| + |A'_2| + \binom{|A''_2|/2}{2} + |A''_2|/2 \\ &= n - 1 + a_3 + |A'_2|/2 + \binom{|A''_2|/2}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality comes from the fact that

$$n - 1 = |N^2(x)| + |N(x)| = |\mathcal{L}_2^x| + |A_1| + |A'_2| + |A''_2| + |A_3|.$$

Since we have that  $n - 1 \geq |\mathcal{L}(G)|$  and  $A''_2$  is non-empty, we get that  $a_3 = |A'_2| = 0$  and  $|A''_2| = 2$ . Thus  $N(x) = A_1 \cup \{u, v\}$ , with  $A''_2 = \{u, v\}$  and

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}^x \cup \mathcal{A}_3 \cup \mathcal{A}'_2 \cup \mathcal{A}''_2 \cup \mathcal{A}'''_2 = \mathcal{L}^x \cup \mathcal{A}'''_2.$$

This shows that for each  $b \in N^2(x)$  we have that  $bu, bv \in E$ . Otherwise, the line  $\overline{bu}$  does not contain  $x$  and  $\overline{bu} \cap [u]_x = \{u\}$  which proves that it does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2''$ .

From this we get that the line  $\overline{uv}$  contains all the vertices, showing that it belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$ . But no line in  $\mathcal{L}_1^x$  can contain  $N(x)$ , since  $G$  is locally connected. From this we get that  $\overline{uv}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}_2^x$  and thus  $N^2(x) = \{b\}$ .

Assume that  $A_1$  has at least two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $u'$ . Then,  $\overline{xu} \neq \overline{xu'}$  which implies, w.l.o.g., that  $u$  has a neighbor  $u''$  in  $A_1$  which is not a neighbor of  $u'$  (it could be  $u'$ ). Hence, the line  $\overline{uu''}$  does not intersect  $A_2'' \cup \{x\}$  which implies that it does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2''$ . Thus,  $A_1$  is a singleton. Since  $G$  is locally connected we have that the set of neighbors of  $b$  induces a connected subgraph. Then,  $b$  is adjacent to the vertex in  $A_1$ , which shows that  $G$  is isomorphic to  $K_{1,2,2}$ .  $\square$

Now consider the case when  $N(x) = A_2''$ . Thus, since  $G$  is locally connected we have that  $|A_2''| \geq 4$ . In this case, the set  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2''$  has

$$|N^2(x)| + |A_2''|/2 + \binom{|A_2''|/2}{2} = n - 1 + \binom{|A_2''|/2}{2} - |A_2''|/2$$

lines. Thus,  $\binom{|A_2''|/2}{2} - |A_2''|/2 \leq 0$ . This implies that  $|A_2''| \in \{4, 6\}$  which shows that  $\binom{|A_2''|/2}{2} - |A_2''|/2 \in \{-1, 0\}$ .

As before, if for some  $b \in N^2(x)$  and  $u \in A_2''$  we have that  $bu \notin E$ , then the lines  $\overline{ub}$  and  $\overline{vb}$ , for  $[u]_x = \{u, v\}$ , are different, they do not contain  $x$  and contain exactly one vertex in  $[u]_x$ . Thus, they do not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2''$  which is not possible since the set  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2''$  has already at least  $n - 2$  lines.

Therefore, for each  $b \in N^2(x)$  and  $u \in A_2''$  we have that  $ub \in E$  and we get that the line  $\ell = \overline{uv}$ , with  $u \in A_2''$  and  $v \in [u]_x$ , contains every vertex of  $G$ .

In order to conclude, we need to show that  $N^2(x) = \{b\}$ . For the sake of a contradiction, let us assume that there is  $b' \in N^2(x)$ , with  $b' \neq b$ . Then, the line  $\ell$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x$  which implies that

$$\mathcal{L}(G) = \mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \mathcal{A}_2'' \cup \{\ell\}.$$

But, the line  $\overline{bb'}$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{L}_1^x \cup \mathcal{L}_2^x \cup \{\ell\}$ , since it does not contain  $x$ , and it does not belong to  $\mathcal{A}_2''$  either, as no line in  $\mathcal{A}_2''$  has a vertex in  $N^2(x)$ . This shows the contradiction  $\overline{bb'} \notin \mathcal{L}(G)$ .

Therefore,  $N^2(x) = \{b\}$  and then the graph  $G$  is isomorphic to either  $K_{2,2,2}$  or to  $K_{2,2,2,2}$ .  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

One may wonder whether Proposition 7 is valid for any 2-connected graph. We know that it is not true. For an integer  $k \geq 3$ , consider the graph  $H_{2k}$  obtained from two complete graphs with  $k$  vertices each, joined by a perfect matching.

This graph has diameter two and  $\binom{k}{2} + 1$  lines. Then, the graphs  $H_6$  and  $H_8$  have less lines than vertices. The same is valid for the induced subgraph of  $H_6$  with five vertices,  $H_5$ , usually called the *house*. So far, these together with the graph appearing in Theorem 3 are the only 2-connected graphs of diameter two with less lines than vertices known to us and we believe that there are no others.

Proposition 6 is also not valid for arbitrary 2-connected graphs of diameter at least three. Indeed, by deleting an edge from the perfect matching used in the definition of the graph  $H_6$ , we get a 2-connected graph  $H'_6$  of diameter three with only four lines. The same holds true for the graph  $H'_8$  obtained when applying previous operation to the graph  $H_8$ . In this latter case, the resulting graph has seven lines and eight vertices. A third example is the graph  $H''_8$  obtained from a complete graph with four vertices joined by a perfect matching to two vertex disjoint edges. This graph is 2-connected, has eight vertices, diameter three and only seven lines.

## References

- [1] P. Aboulker, L. Beaudou, M. Matamala, and J. Zamora. Graphs with no induced house nor induced hole have the de Bruijn–Erdős property. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 100(4):638–652, 2022.
- [2] P. Aboulker, X. Chen, G. Huzhang, R. Kapadia, and C. Supko. Lines, betweenness and metric spaces. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 56:427–448, 2014.
- [3] P. Aboulker and R. Kapadia. The Chen–Chvátal conjecture for metric spaces induced by distance-hereditary graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 43:1–7, 2015.
- [4] P. Aboulker, M. Matamala, P. Rochet, and J. Zamora. A new class of graphs that satisfies the chen-chvátal conjecture. *J. Graph Theory*, 87(1):77–88, 2018.
- [5] L. Beaudou, A. Bondy, X. Chen, E. Chiniforooshan, M. Chudnovsky, V. Chvátal, N. Fraïman, and Y. Zwols. A De Bruijn–Erdős theorem for chordal graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 22(1):1.70, March 2015.
- [6] L. Beaudou, G. Kahn, and M. Rosenfeld. Bisplit graphs satisfy the Chen–Chvátal conjecture. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 21 no. 1, ICGT 2018, May 2019.
- [7] X. Chen and V. Chvátal. Problems related to a de Bruijn–Erdős theorem. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 156(11):2101–2108, 2008. In Memory of Leonid Khachiyan (1952 - 2005 ).
- [8] E. Chiniforooshan and V. Chvátal. A de Bruijn–Erdős theorem and metric spaces. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, 13(1):67–74, 2011.

- [9] V. Chvátal. Sylvester-Gallai theorem and metric betweenness. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 31(2):175–195, 2004.
- [10] V. Chvátal. A de Bruijn-Erdős theorem for 1-2 metric spaces. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 64(1):45–51, 2014.
- [11] L. Clark. Hamiltonian properties of connected locally connected graphs. *Congr. Numer.*, 32:199–204, 1981.
- [12] N.G. de Bruijn and P. Erdős. On a combinatorial problem. *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc.*, 51:1277–1279 = *Indagationes Math.* **10**, 421–423, 1948.
- [13] G. G. Chartrand and R.E. Pippert. Locally connected graphs. *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, 99, 1974.
- [14] V. S. Gordon, Yury L. Orlovich, Chris N. Potts, and Vitaly A. Strusevich. Hamiltonian properties of locally connected graphs with bounded vertex degree. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(16):1759–1774, 2011. 8th Cologne/Twente Workshop on Graphs and Combinatorial Optimization (CTW 2009).
- [15] G. R. T. Hendry. A strengthening of Kikustapós's theorem. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 13(2):257–260, 1989.
- [16] C. Huang. Improved lower bound towards Chen-Chvátal conjecture. ARXIV: 2310.15058 [MATH.CO], 2023.
- [17] I. Kantor. Lines in the Plane with the  $\ell_1$  Metric. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 70(3):960–974, Oct 2022.
- [18] P.B. Kikust. The existence of the hamiltonian circuit in a regular graph of degree 5. *Latv. Mat. Ezheg.(in Russian)*, 16:33–38, 1975.
- [19] Martin Kochol. 3-coloring and 3-clique-ordering of locally connected graphs. *J. Algorithms*, 54(1):122–125, 2005.
- [20] K. Menger. Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik. *Mathematische Annalen*, 100:75–163, 1928.
- [21] L. P. Montejano. Chen-chvátal's conjecture for graphs with restricted girth. *Quaest. Math.*, 47:1827–1850, 2024.
- [22] J.A. Rodríguez-Velázquez. Solution of the Chen-Chvátal conjecture for specific classes of metric spaces. *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(7):7766–7781, 2021.