

Some Remarks about Modified Eddington Gravity

J. Klusoň ¹

*Department of Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics, Faculty of Science,
Masaryk University, Kotlářská 2, 611 37, Brno, Czech Republic*

Abstract

In this short note we find covariant canonical formulation of modified Eddington gravity action coupled to scalar field. We also discuss limitation of this formulation and suggest its possible generalization.

¹Email addresses: klu@physics.muni.cz

1 Introduction and Summary

The gravitational equations of motion are usually derived from Einstein-Hilbert action when the metric of space-time g_{ab} is considered as fundamental variable. In this case we obtain equations of motion by standard variation procedure even if it is not well defined due to the fact that Einstein-Hilbert action contains second derivative of metric. Of course, this problem can be solved by adding boundary term to the action that however crucially depends on the choice of the boundary surface [1, 2, 3, 4]. Even if these boundary terms do not affect equations of motion they are important for thermodynamic interpretations of gravity [6, 7].

Due to the problems with Einstein-Hilbert action mentioned above there were attempts to find alternative formulations of theory of gravity. Such a proposal was originally suggested by Eddington [5] who introduced gravitational Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|\det R_{ab}|}$ where $R_{ab}(\Gamma)$ is standard Ricci tensor defined with the connection Γ_{bc}^a that is fundamental variable of Eddington gravity. Then performing variation of the action we obtain equations of motion that are equivalent to General Relativity equations of motion with non-zero cosmological constant and hence Eddington gravity is well defined alternative to Einstein-Hilbert action in case of the absence of matter.

In fact, inclusion of matter into Eddington gravity was an unsolved problem so far. The most popular approach is in the extension of original Eddington form of gravity to so called Born-Infeld inspired gravity. First attempt for Born-Infeld gravity, not directly related to Eddington one, was presented in [8] where metric was fundamental degree of freedom however it was shown in the same paper that this formulation is plagued with ghost due to the fact that this action contains higher order derivatives of metric. Then it was recognized in [9, 10, 11] that Born-Infeld gravity should be formulated in the first order formalism with connection as dynamical variable which closely follows Eddington proposal. Variation of Born-Infeld action with respect to metric gives an algebraic equation that allows us to express metric as function of matter degrees of freedom and connection, at least in principle, for review and extensive discussion, see [18]. Then inserting the metric back to the action and performing variation with respect to connection we obtain gravitational equations of motion which however are not fully equivalent to the equations of motions derived from Einstein-Hilbert action but they contain new important modifications.

In [17] new interesting modification of Eddington gravity was proposed

where the action contains matter contribution through specific form of stress energy tensor. The dynamical degrees of freedom are connection and matter. It is important to stress that this action still depends on metric through the stress energy tensor for matter however it was argued in [17] that the metric should be considered as background field which is not varied when we search for equations of motion. In fact, even if we treat this field as non-dynamical without kinetic term the variation of this action with respect to them will lead to inconsistent results. However then it was argued in [17] that despite of the fact that we do not consider metric as dynamical, it should be compatible with connection.

Since proposed inclusion of matter into Eddington action [17] is new and interesting we mean that it deserves to be studied further. In particular, we would like to show that equations of motion of gravity are really derived from this modified Eddington action using covariant canonical formulation of this theory (also known as Weyl-De Donder theory [12, 13]). The key point of Weyl-De Donder theory is that Hamiltonian density depends on conjugate momenta p_M^α which are variables conjugate to $\partial_\alpha \phi^M$. In other words we treat all partial derivatives on the equal footing which clearly preserves diffeomorphism invariance. This approach is also known as multisymplectic field theory, see for example [14, 15, 16]. Covariant canonical formalism is very useful for analysis of complicated covariant systems as for example Born-Infeld gravity and hence also modified Eddington gravity as we will demonstrate in this paper. We perform our analysis with the case when the matter is represented by collection of scalar fields that allows us to find exact form of covariant Hamiltonian. Generally it would be very difficult to find corresponding Hamiltonian due to the complicated form of stress energy tensor for various content of matter. In our case we find covariant Hamiltonian and also determine equations of motion. We show that they can be solved as in case of Born-Infeld inspired gravity when we introduce new symmetric tensor which is compatible with connection. Then we will argue, following [17] that it is natural to identify this tensor with the metric.

Despite of the fact that covariant canonical formalism provides elegant formulation of modified Eddington gravity there is still an open question of its practical application. In case, when the gravity has metric compatible connection, covariant canonical Hamiltonian contains boundary term that has natural thermodynamics interpretation [21, 22]. On the other hand such a term is absent in case of Eddington gravity and hence it is not clear how thermodynamics quantities can be defined.

As the next important issue of modified Eddington gravity is presence of the metric in action which however is defined as background field without its own dynamics. We mean that it would be more natural to vary this field as well but this cannot be performed in the original formulation of modified Eddington gravity. For that reason we propose its form which is based on Born-Infeld formulation of gravity [11, 18, 9, 10] however where main difference from previous attempts how to incorporate matter is that the matter contribution is given by specific combination of stress energy tensor in the same way as in [17]. Then performing variation with respect to metric tensor we find algebraic equations of motion that can be solved for metric and that now contain additional term proportional to the variation of the stress energy tensor with respect to metric. We consider two examples that can be explicitly solved. The first one when the matter is represented by collection of scalar fields has simple solution and resulting equations of motion have the form as general relativity equations of motion with modified potential for scalar field. The second example corresponds to perfect fluid and we again show that the equations of motion for metric has explicit solution. Again we find that resulting equations of motion have formally the same form as in case of Einstein-Hilbert action where however the matter is represented by fluid with stress energy tensor that has the same form as perfect fluid with complicated space-time dependence of energy density and pressure.

Finally in order to gain more physical insight into modified Eddington gravity we consider its formulation with the help of auxiliary metric in the similar way as in Born-Infeld gravity [18]. Then when we express equations of motion with the help of auxiliary metric we find that they have the same form as follow from Einstein-Hilbert action which ensures that modified stress energy tensor is conserved.

This paper can be extended in many directions. It would be interesting to study cosmological solutions when theory is expressed in terms of auxiliary metric. It would be also useful to study in more details relation between fundamental and auxiliary metric in modification Eddington gravity. We hope to return to these problems in future.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section (2) we review modified Eddington gravity and we study its covariant canonical formulation. In section (3) we consider modification of this construction when the metric is dynamical variable. Finally in section (4) we analyze this theory formulated with the help of auxiliary metric.

2 Modified Eddington Gravity

In this section we introduced an action for modified Eddington gravity as was proposed in [17]

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{\det(-(R_{(ab)} - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab}))} , \quad (1)$$

where $x^a, a, b, c, \dots = 0, 1, 2, 3$ label points in four dimensional space-time and where

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab} &= \partial_m \Gamma_{ab}^m - \partial_a \Gamma_{bm}^m + \Gamma_{mn}^m \Gamma_{ab}^n - \Gamma_{an}^m \Gamma_{mb}^n , \\ R_{(ab)} &= \frac{1}{2}(R_{ab} + R_{ba}) , \\ \bar{T}_{ab} &= T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}T g_{ab} , \quad \kappa = \frac{1}{M_p^2} = 8\pi G_N , \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where T_{ab} is stress energy tensor for matter that explicitly depends on metric g_{ab} and where $T \equiv g^{ab}T_{ab}$. We further presume that the connection is symmetric

$$\Gamma_{ab}^c = \Gamma_{ba}^c \quad (3)$$

even if the present construction can be generalized to non-symmetric connection as well [17]. Note that R_{ab} is not symmetric in a, b indices due to the presence of the term $\partial_a \Gamma_{bm}^m$ which is not symmetric unless Γ_{bc}^a is Christoffel connection.

The crucial point of the action (1) is that it depends on metric g_{ab} through the stress energy tensor \bar{T}_{ab} . In [17] the metric was treated as variable which is not varied when we determine corresponding equations of motion. In other words it appears as spectator in the theory however its presence is necessary due to the fact that it is not possible to formulate stress energy tensor for matter that does not depend on metric.

Before we proceed to the covariant canonical formulation of the action (1) we should be more specific about matter part of the action. Generally stress energy tensor is more complicated than corresponding action we start with. This fact implies that covariant canonical formulation will be rather involved. An exceptional case corresponds to the situation when we have a collection of N scalar fields $\phi^A, A = 1, \dots, N$ with the action

$$S_{matt} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (g^{ab} \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} - V(\phi)) . \quad (4)$$

It is important to stress that this action should be considered as useful tool for definition of the stress energy tensor rather than fundamental action for scalar field. In fact, using the canonical definition of the stress energy tensor

$$T_{ab} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{\text{matt}}}{\delta g^{ab}} \quad (5)$$

we obtain from (4) following stress energy tensor for N scalar fields

$$T_{ab} = \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} (g^{cd} \partial_c \phi^A \partial_d \phi^B K_{AB} - V) . \quad (6)$$

Since $T = g^{ab} T_{ab} = 2V - g^{ab} \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B$ we obtain that \bar{T}_{ab} is equal to

$$\bar{T}_{ab} = T_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} T g_{ab} = \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} V g_{ab} . \quad (7)$$

The action (1) with stress energy tensor given in (7) will be starting point for covariant canonical formulation of modified Eddington gravity.

3 Covariant Canonical Formalism of Modified Eddington Gravity

In this section we proceed to the covariant canonical formalism of the action (1) coupled to collection of N scalar fields. Recall that in standard non-covariant canonical formalism canonically conjugate momentum is defined as partial derivative of Lagrangian density with respect to the time derivative of corresponding field. In case of covariant canonical momentum we define it as derivative of Lagrangian density with respect to all partial derivatives of corresponding field. For that reason all canonical conjugate momenta contain upper space-time index. Explicitly, in case of the action (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{abd}{}_c &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c)} = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{ab} \delta_c^d - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A}^{da} \delta_c^b + \mathbf{A}^{db} \delta_c^a)) , \\ p_A^c &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\delta \partial_c \phi^A} = -\kappa \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{cd} \partial_d \phi^B K_{BA} , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{A}_{ab} = R_{(ab)} - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab}$ and $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}$ is inverse matrix $\mathbf{A}_{ab}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{bc} = \delta_a^c$. Now with the help of corresponding conjugate momenta we define Hamiltonian density as

$$\mathcal{H} = \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c + p_A^c \partial_c \phi^A - \mathcal{L} . \quad (9)$$

To proceed further we use the fact that

$$\Pi^{abd}{}_c (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bd}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ad}^m) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}^{ab} [\Gamma_{am}^d \Gamma_{bd}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{mn}^d] \quad (10)$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{abd}{}_c \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{md}^c &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}^{ab} [\Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{mc}^c - \Gamma_{an}^m \Gamma_{mb}^n] , \\ \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c &= \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}^{ab} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^d + \partial_d^d \Gamma_{ba}) - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_b \Gamma_{ma}^m + \partial_a \Gamma_{mb}^m) \right] , \\ p_A^c \partial_c \phi^A &= -\kappa \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} (\bar{T}_{ba} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ba} V) . \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c + p_A^c \partial_c \phi^A &= 2 \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{abd}{}_c (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bd}^m + \\ &+ \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ad}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{md}^c) - \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} (\bar{T}_{ab} + g_{ab} V) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and hence Hamiltonian density is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c + p_A^c \partial_c \phi^A - \mathcal{L} = \\ &= \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{abd}{}_c (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bd}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ad}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{md}^c) - \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \kappa \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} (K_{ab} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} V) , K_{ab} = \partial_a \phi^A K_{AB} \partial_b \phi^B . \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

However this is not final form of the Hamiltonian density since it is not function of canonical variables. In fact, following [20] we use the fact that

$$\Pi^{abc}{}_c = \frac{3}{2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} \quad (14)$$

so that

$$\det \Pi^{abc}{}_c = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^4 \det \mathbf{A} . \quad (15)$$

Let us further introduce matrix $\hat{\Pi}_{ab}$ as matrix inverse to $\Pi^{abc}{}_c$

$$\Pi^{abc}{}_c \hat{\Pi}_{bd} = \delta_d^a . \quad (16)$$

Then we obtain that the Hamiltonian is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \frac{4}{9} \sqrt{-\det \Pi^{abc}{}_c} + \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{abd}{}_c (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bd}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ad}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{md}^c) - \\ & - \frac{\kappa}{6} \Pi^{abc}{}_c g_{ab} V - \frac{3}{4\kappa} p_A^a \hat{\Pi}_{ab} p_B^b K^{AB} . \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Note that the boundary term is absent in this Hamiltonian density which is a consequence of the fact that fundamental degrees of freedom is connection and hence an action function of first order derivatives of connection only.

With the help of this Hamiltonian density (17) we obtain canonical form of the action

$$S_{can} = \int d^4x (p_A^a \partial_a \phi^A + \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c - \mathcal{H}) \quad (18)$$

and canonical equations of motion are derived by variation of this action

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_{can} = & \int d^4x (\delta p_A^a \partial_a \phi^A - \partial_a p_A^a \delta \phi^A + \delta \Pi^{abd}{}_c \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c - \partial_d \Pi^{abd}{}_c \delta \Gamma_{ab}^c - \\ & - \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta p_A^a} \delta p_A^a - \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \phi^A} \delta \phi^A - \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \Pi^{abd}{}_c} \delta \Pi^{abd}{}_c - \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \Gamma_{ab}^c} \delta \Gamma_{ab}^c) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

so that we obtain following set of equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_a \phi^A + \frac{3}{2\kappa} \hat{\Pi}_{ab} p_B^b K^{AB} = 0 , \\
& -\partial_a p_A^a + \frac{\kappa}{6} \Pi^{abc} g_{ab} \frac{\delta V}{\delta \phi^A} = 0 , \\
& \partial_d \Gamma_{ab}^c - \frac{2}{9} \sqrt{-\det \Pi^{abc}} \hat{\Pi}_{ab} \delta_d^c - \\
& -\frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bd}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ad}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{md}^c) + \frac{\kappa}{6} \delta_d^c g_{ab} V - \frac{3}{4\kappa} p_A^m \hat{\Pi}_{ma} \hat{\Pi}_{nb} p_B^n K^{AB} = 0 , \\
& -\partial_d \Pi^{abd}{}_c - \frac{1}{2} (\Pi^{amn}{}_c \Gamma_{mn}^b + \Pi^{bmn}{}_c \Gamma_{mn}^a + \Pi^{amb}{}_b \Gamma_{mc}^n + \Pi^{bma}{}_n \Gamma_{mc}^n - \\
& -\Pi^{abm}{}_n \Gamma_{mc}^n - \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{mnb}{}_c \Gamma_{mn}^a - \frac{1}{2} \Pi^{mna}{}_c \Gamma_{mn}^b) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

using the fact that

$$\frac{\delta \hat{\Pi}_{mn}}{\delta \Pi^{abd}{}_c} = -\frac{1}{2} (\hat{\Pi}_{ma} \hat{\Pi}_{bn} + \hat{\Pi}_{mb} \hat{\Pi}_{an}) \delta_c^d . \tag{21}$$

Let us analyze these equations in more details. The first two determine dynamics of scalar fields ϕ^A . The last two describes evolution of connection and conjugate momenta where the metric is still non-dynamical field and there is no relation between connection and metric. On the other hand the equation (20) should be equivalent to equations of motion derived from Einstein-Hilbert action. To see this we will follow analysis presented in [20] and deduce that $\Pi^{abd}{}_c$ can be written as

$$\Pi^{abd}{}_c = \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{C}} (K \mathbf{C}^{ab} \delta_c^d + L (\mathbf{C}^{ad} \delta_c^b + \mathbf{C}^{bd} \delta_c^a)) , \tag{22}$$

where we introduced new independent tensor \mathbf{C}^{ab} with its inverse \mathbf{C}_{ab} . Further, K, L are unknown constants that will be determined below. From (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_d \Pi^{abd}{}_c &= \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{C}} (K \partial_d \mathbf{C}^{ab} \delta_c^d + \partial_d \mathbf{C}^{ad} \delta_c^b + \partial_d \mathbf{C}^{bd} \delta_c^a) + \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{C}} \partial_d \mathbf{C}_{mn} \mathbf{C}^{mn} (K \mathbf{C}^{ab} \delta_c^d + L (\mathbf{C}^{ad} \delta_c^b + \mathbf{C}^{bd} \delta_c^a))
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

and hence the third equation in (20) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sqrt{-\mathbf{C}}K(\partial_d\mathbf{C}^{ab} + \mathbf{C}^{am}\Gamma_{md}^b + \Gamma_{md}^a\mathbf{C}^{mb}) + \\
& + \sqrt{-\det\mathbf{C}}\mathbf{C}^{ab}\left(\frac{1}{2}K\partial_d\mathbf{C}_{mn}\mathbf{C}^{mn} + \left(L - \frac{K}{2}\right)\Gamma_{md}^m\right) + \\
& + \sqrt{-\mathbf{C}}\left(L\partial_c\mathbf{C}^{ac} - L\frac{1}{2}\partial_c\mathbf{C}^{mn}\mathbf{C}_{mn}\mathbf{C}^{ac} + \frac{1}{2}\left(L - \frac{1}{2}K\right)\mathbf{C}^{mn}\Gamma_{mn}^a\right)\delta_d^b + \\
& + \sqrt{-\mathbf{C}}\left(L\partial_c\mathbf{C}^{bc} - L\frac{1}{2}\partial_c\mathbf{C}^{mn}\mathbf{C}_{mn}\mathbf{C}^{bc} + \frac{1}{2}\left(L - \frac{1}{2}K\right)\mathbf{C}^{mn}\Gamma_{mn}^a\right)\delta_d^a = 0 .
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The equation (24) can be solved when we impose compatibility condition between the matrix \mathbf{C}_{ab} and connection Γ_{ab}^c

$$\partial_d\mathbf{C}^{ab} + \mathbf{C}^{am}\Gamma_{md}^b + \Gamma_{md}^a\mathbf{C}^{mb} = 0 \tag{25}$$

that firstly implies that an expression on the first line in (24) vanishes. Then if we multiply (25) with \mathbf{C}_{ab} and sum over a and b we get

$$\partial_d\mathbf{C}^{mn}\mathbf{C}_{mn} = -2\Gamma_{md}^m \tag{26}$$

that also implies

$$\partial_d\mathbf{C}_{mn}\mathbf{C}^{mn} = 2\Gamma_{md}^m \tag{27}$$

as follows from the fact that $\partial_d\mathbf{C}_{mn}\mathbf{C}^{nm} + \mathbf{C}_{nm}\partial_d\mathbf{C}^{nm} = 0$. If we use this formula on the second line in (24) and demand that it vanishes separately we obtain the result

$$L = -\frac{K}{2} . \tag{28}$$

Finally expressions on the third and fourth lines in (24) identically vanish using (25) and (28). In other words we showed that equations of motion for Π^{abc}_d are solved by introducing new geometrical object \mathbf{C}_{ab} that is compatible with connection Γ_{bc}^a (25).

Finally we should consider equations of motion for Π^{abd}_c that we rewrite into the form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_d\Gamma_{ab}^c - \frac{2}{9}\sqrt{-\det\Pi^{abc}_c}\hat{\Pi}_{ab}\delta_d^c - \\
& - \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{am}^c\Gamma_{bd}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c\Gamma_{ad}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m\Gamma_{md}^c) + \frac{\kappa}{6}\delta_d^c g_{ab}V - \frac{3}{4\kappa}p_A^m\hat{\Pi}_{ma}\hat{\Pi}_{nb}p_B^n K^{AB} = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Performing contraction over d and c in (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_c \Gamma_{ab}^c - \frac{8}{9} \sqrt{-\det \Pi^{abc}} \hat{\Pi}_{ab} - \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\Gamma_{am}^c \Gamma_{bc}^m + \Gamma_{bm}^c \Gamma_{ac}^m - \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{mc}^c) + \frac{4\kappa}{6} g_{ab} V - \frac{12}{4\kappa} p_A^m \hat{\Pi}_{ma} \hat{\Pi}_{nb} p_B^n K^{AB} = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

If we combine this equation with (29) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_c \Gamma_{ab}^c - \partial_a \Gamma_{bm}^m + \Gamma_{ab}^m \Gamma_{mn}^n - \Gamma_{am}^n \Gamma_{nb}^m - \frac{2}{3} \sqrt{-\det \Pi^{abc}} \hat{\Pi}_{ab} + \\ & + \kappa g_{ab} V - \frac{9}{4\kappa} p_A^m \hat{\Pi}_{ma} \hat{\Pi}_{nb} p_B^n K^{AB} = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Now since

$$\Pi^{abd}{}_d = 3K \mathbf{C}^{ab} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{C}} , \quad \hat{\Pi}_{ab} = \frac{1}{3K \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{C}}} \mathbf{C}_{ab} \quad (32)$$

we finally get that (31) has the form

$$R_{ab} - 2K \mathbf{C}_{ab} + \frac{\kappa}{2} g_{ab} V - \kappa \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi = 0 \quad (33)$$

At this point we see a striking property of modified Eddington gravity which is an existence of two metric tensors \mathbf{C}_{ab} and g_{ab} which are appriory independent. On the other hand it was argued in [17] that \mathbf{C}_{ab} should be proportional to g_{ab} . These arguments follow from Einstein equivalence principle which says that locally we can eliminate effect of gravity by transformation into inertial frame by suitable coordinate transformations. Clearly it is not possible to eliminate both \mathbf{C}_{ab} and g_{ab} by four coordinate transformations and hence it is natural to demand that \mathbf{C}_{ab} is proportional to g_{ab} . Alternatively, we see that at the point where potential vanishes the equations of motion do not depend on the metric g_{ab} at all so that it is natural to say that \mathbf{C}_{ab} corresponds to the space-time metric and our result naturally reproduces spirit of Eddington gravity.

On the other hand we feel that it is not satisfactory that the action of modified Eddington gravity depends on metric which is background field

without its own dynamics. For that reason we try to improve original form of modified Eddington gravity to make metric tensor dynamical in a sense that we perform variation of action with respect to metric too. We propose such a form of action in the next section.

4 Metric in Modified Eddington Gravity as Dynamical Field

In the original formulation of modified Eddington gravity the metric g_{ab} was fixed without corresponding variation. In fact, if we consider original modified Eddington model and treat g_{ab} as dynamical variable and perform variation with respect to g_{ab} we will get the equation

$$\frac{\delta \bar{T}_{ab}}{\delta g_{mn}} = 0 \quad (34)$$

which certainly is very strong condition. For that reason we should consider more general form of modified Eddington gravity and it turns out that the natural generalization corresponds to Born-Infeld inspired action

$$S = M_p^2 M_{BI}^2 \int d^4x \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}_{ab}} - \lambda \sqrt{-\det g} \right) ,$$

$$\mathbf{A}_{ab} = g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2} (R_{(ab)} - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab}) . \quad (35)$$

where from dimensional reason we introduced scale M_{BI} that could be equal to M_p but we keep it arbitrary. Note that (35) has the form of Born-Infeld modified action where however matter contribution is given by \bar{T}_{ab} included into the determinant of matrix that defines Born-Infeld gravity [18]. It is also important to introduce term $\sqrt{-g}$ into action multiplied by non-zero parameter λ since it is crucial for consistency of theory. We should also stress that Born-Infeld gravity with the matter part included into determinant was previously introduced in [10] but our approach differs from the form of matter contribution.

Since g_{ab} is now dynamical we obtain from (35) corresponding equations of motion

$$\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}_{ab}} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{mn} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\delta_m^a \delta_n^b + \delta_m^b \delta_n^a) - \frac{\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \frac{\delta \bar{T}_{mn}}{\delta g_{ab}} \right) - \lambda \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} = 0 \quad (36)$$

while variation with respect to Γ_{ab}^c gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_c(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}) + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_m(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ma}\delta_c^b) + \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_m(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{mb}\delta_c^a) = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Performing contraction between c and a in (37) we obtain

$$\nabla_m(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}\mathbf{A}^{mb}) = 0 \tag{38}$$

that inserting back to (37) gives

$$\nabla_c(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}) = 0 . \tag{39}$$

Since \mathbf{A}_{ab} is non-singular matrix the previous equation is equivalent to the condition

$$\nabla_c(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} = 0 . \tag{40}$$

In other words we can express Γ_{ab}^c as

$$\Gamma_{ab}^c = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{cd}(\partial_a\mathbf{A}_{db} + \partial_b\mathbf{A}_{da} - \partial_d\mathbf{A}_{ab}) , \tag{41}$$

where however \mathbf{A}_{ab} should be determined from (36). We also see that it is crucial that λ is non-zero which ensures that \mathbf{A}_{ab} is non-singular matrix on-shell. Note that in the absence of matter or when stress energy tensor does not depend on g_{ab} the equation (36) shows that \mathbf{A}_{ab} is proportional to g_{ab} and we recovery standard Eddington gravity. On the other hand in case when $\frac{\delta\bar{T}_{ab}}{\delta g_{mn}} \neq 0$ the situation is more involved. Let us now study two examples where the equation (36) can be explicitly solved.

The first example corresponds to the collection of N scalar fields which was studied in previous section where the tensor \bar{T}_{ab} is equal to

$$\bar{T}_{ab} = \partial_a\phi^A\partial_b\phi^B K_{AB} - \frac{1}{2}V(\phi)g_{ab} \tag{42}$$

and hence

$$\frac{\delta\bar{T}_{mn}}{\delta g_{ab}} = -\frac{1}{4}V(\delta_m^a\delta_n^b + \delta_m^b\delta_n^a) \tag{43}$$

so that (36) has the form

$$\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V\right) - \lambda\sqrt{-g}g^{ab} = 0 . \quad (44)$$

If we calculate determinant of this expression we obtain

$$\det \mathbf{A} = \frac{\lambda^4}{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V\right)^4} \det g \quad (45)$$

and hence we find that

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} = \frac{1}{\lambda}\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V\right)g^{ab} , \quad \mathbf{A}_{ab} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V}g_{ab} . \quad (46)$$

This is very important result that says that the matrix \mathbf{A}_{ab} is related to g_{ab} by Weyl rescaling with the factor

$$\Omega = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V} . \quad (47)$$

On the other hand since $\mathbf{A}_{ab} = g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2}(R_{(ab)} - \overline{T}_{ab})$ we find equation of motion in the form

$$g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2}(R_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) - \kappa\overline{T}_{ab}) = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V}g_{ab} , \quad (48)$$

where $R_{(ab)} = R_{ab}$ due to the fact that Γ is Christoffel connection for matrix \mathbf{A}_{ab} . Note that the equation (48) can be rewritten into the form

$$R_{ab}(\Omega g) - \kappa\overline{T}_{ab} = g_{ab}M_{BI}^2\left(\frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}V} - 1\right) . \quad (49)$$

At this formulation the fundamental gravitational degrees of freedom correspond to metric g_{ab} . In fact, we can rewrite $R_{ab}(\Omega g)$ as function of $R_{ab}(g)$ and conformal factor Ω and their partial derivatives using generalized Weyl rescaling of metric. However there is another interesting possibility when we express g_{ab} with the help of the matrix \mathbf{A}_{ab}

$$g_{ab} = \mathbf{A}_{ab}\Omega^{-1} \quad (50)$$

so that the equations of motion have the form

$$R_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) - \kappa \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} + M_{BI}^2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} V \right)^2 - 1 \right) \mathbf{A}_{ab} = 0 \quad (51)$$

that can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) - \frac{1}{2} R(\mathbf{A}) \mathbf{A}_{ab} - \kappa \tilde{T}_{ab} &= 0 , \\ \tilde{T}_{ab} &= \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} + \frac{M_{BI}^2}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} V \right)^2 - 1 \right) \mathbf{A}_{ab} - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{A}_{ab} \mathbf{A}^{mn} \partial_m \phi^A \partial_n \phi^B K_{AB} . \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

It is important to stress that the equations on the first line in (52) are standard general relativity equations of motion when the fundamental metric is \mathbf{A}_{ab} . Note also using the fact that Einstein tensor $R_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) - \frac{1}{2} R \mathbf{A}_{ab}$ obeys an identity

$$\nabla_a (R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} R) = 0 \quad (53)$$

we immediately obtain that the stress energy tensor is conserved

$$\nabla_a \tilde{T}^{ab} = 0 . \quad (54)$$

This fact also implies that the dynamics of the scalar field is governed by the metric \mathbf{A}_{ab} that is related to the metric g_{ab} by Weyl rescaling.

As the second example we consider stress energy tensor for perfect fluid

$$T^{ab} = (\rho + p) u^a u^b + p g^{ab} , u^a u^b g_{ab} = -1 , \quad (55)$$

where ρ and p are energy density and pressure of the fluid and u^a is corresponding four-velocity. The trace of the stress energy tensor is equal to

$$T = g_{ab} T^{ab} = -\rho + 3p \quad (56)$$

so that

$$\bar{T}_{ab} = (\rho + p) g_{ac} u^c u^d g_{db} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho - p) g_{ab} \quad (57)$$

and hence

$$\frac{\delta \bar{T}_{mn}}{\delta g_{ab}} = \frac{1}{4}(\rho - p)(\delta_m^a \delta_n^b + \delta_m^b \delta_n^a) + \frac{1}{2}(\rho + p)((\delta_m^a u^b + \delta_m^b u^a)u_n + u_m(\delta_n^a u^b + \delta_n^b u^a)) \quad (58)$$

so that the equations of motions (36) have the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} \mathbf{A}^{ab} - \\ & - \frac{\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho - p)(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} + (\rho + p)(u^c g_{cm}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ma} u^b + u^a u^c g_{cm}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{mb}) \right) = \\ & = \lambda \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} . \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Let us presume that solution of this equation is given by following ansatz

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} = X g^{ab} + Y u^a u^b , \quad (60)$$

where X and Y will be determined below. Inserting (60) into the left side of (59) we obtain that it is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{-\det g}}{X^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \frac{(\rho+p)}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} (3\rho+5p)}}} \times \\ & \times \left(X g^{ab} + Y u^a u^b - \frac{\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}(\rho - p)(X g^{ab} + Y u^a u^b) + 2(\rho + p)(X - Y)u^a u^b \right) \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

where we used the fact that

$$\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{A}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{A}^{-1})}} = \frac{\sqrt{-\det g}}{X^2 \sqrt{1 - \frac{2\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \frac{(\rho+p)}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} (3\rho+5p)}}} . \quad (62)$$

Since the right side of the equation (59) does not depend on u^a it is natural to demand that terms proportional to $u^a u^b$ in (61) vanish so that we can express Y as

$$Y = \frac{2\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} (\rho + p) X \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} (3\rho + 5p)} . \quad (63)$$

Then finally (61) gives

$$X = \frac{(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}(\rho - p))}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \frac{(\rho+p)}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}(3\rho+5p)}}} = \sqrt{(1 - \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}(\rho - p))(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}(3\rho + 5p))} , \quad (64)$$

so that we find that (\mathbf{A}^{-1}) can be fully expressed with the help of original metric, and four velocity, energy density and pressure of fluid. It is also clear that connection is still compatible with the matrix (\mathbf{A}^{-1}) . However it is clear from the form of the relation between $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}$ and g^{ab} that is more natural to express metric g^{ab} as function of $(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab}$ and four velocity

$$g^{ab} = \frac{1}{X}((\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{ab} - Y u^a u^b) \quad (65)$$

and hence

$$\det g = \frac{1}{\det g^{ab}} = X^4 \frac{\det \mathbf{A}}{1 - Y \tilde{u}_a u^a} , \quad \tilde{u}_a = \mathbf{A}_{ab} u^b . \quad (66)$$

Further, from (65) we easily obtain inverse matrix g_{ab} to be equal to

$$g_{ab} = X(\mathbf{A}_{ab} + \frac{Y}{1 - Y u^a \mathbf{A}_{ab} u^b} \tilde{u}_a \tilde{u}_b) , \quad (67)$$

where Y and X are given in (63) and (64) respectively. Then finally using the fact that $\mathbf{A}_{ab} = g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2}(R_{ab} - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab})$ we obtain equations of motion

$$\begin{aligned} R_{ab}(\Gamma) - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) &= M_{BI}^2(\mathbf{A}_{ab} - g_{ab}) = \\ M_{BI}^2(1 - X)\mathbf{A}_{ab} - M_{BI}^2 \frac{Y}{1 - Y u^a \mathbf{A}_{ab} u^b} \tilde{u}_a \tilde{u}_b \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

that can be rewritten into the form

$$R_{ab}(\mathbf{A}) - \kappa \bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ab} = 0 , \quad (69)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{T}}_{ab} &= \left[\frac{M_{BI}^2}{\kappa} (1 - X) + \frac{1}{2} (\rho - p) X \right] \mathbf{A}_{ab} + \\
&+ \left[(\rho + p) \frac{X^2}{(1 - Y u^m \tilde{u}_m)^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{(\rho - p) XY}{(1 - Y u^m \tilde{u}_m)} - \frac{M_{BI}^2}{\kappa} \frac{XY}{1 - Y u^m \tilde{u}_m} \right] \tilde{u}_a \tilde{u}_b = \\
&= (\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{p}) \tilde{u}_a \tilde{u}_b + \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{p}) \mathbf{A}_{ab} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

where in the final step we introduced $\tilde{\rho}$ and \tilde{p} that are unequally determined by X, Y and ρ, p and u^a . We see that the equations of motion for \mathbf{A}_{ab} have the same form as in the case of standard equations of motion for gravity that interacts with ideal fluid that however has rather complicated equation of state. We mean that this is an interesting result which deserves to be elaborated further. For example, it would be nice to analyze cosmological solutions of this theory for standard matter components in the Universe with well known relation between ρ and p .

5 Auxiliary Field Representation

In order to gain more insight into modified Eddington action (35) it is instructive to rewrite it with the help of auxiliary symmetric tensor \hat{g}_{ab} into the form

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= M_p^2 M_{BI}^2 \int d^4x \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} (\hat{g}^{ab} \mathbf{A}_{ba} - 2) - \lambda \sqrt{-g} \right) , \\
\mathbf{A}_{ab} &= g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2} (R_{(ab)}(\Gamma) - \kappa \bar{T}_{ab}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

To see an equivalence between (71) and (35) let us consider equations of motion for \hat{g} that follow from (71)

$$-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} \hat{g}_{ab} (\hat{g}^{cd} \mathbf{A}_{cd} - 2) + \sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} \mathbf{A}_{ab} = 0 . \tag{72}$$

This equation has solution $\hat{g}_{ab} = \mathbf{A}_{ab}$. Then inserting this result into (71) we recover the action (35) that proves an equivalence of these two formulations.

Performing variation of (71) with respect to independent connection again leads to compatibility condition

$$\nabla_c[\sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ab}] = 0 \quad (73)$$

that implies that Γ_{ab}^c has the form of Levi-Civita connection for auxiliary metric \hat{g}_{ab}

$$\Gamma_{ab}^c = \frac{1}{2} \hat{g}^{cr} (\partial_a \hat{g}_{rb} + \partial_b \hat{g}_{ra} - \partial_r \hat{g}_{ab}) . \quad (74)$$

Further, variation of the action (71) with respect to g_{ab} leads to the equations of motion for g_{ab}

$$\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} \hat{g}^{mn} \left(\frac{1}{2} (\delta_m^a \delta_n^b + \delta_m^b \delta_n^a) - \frac{\kappa}{M_{BI}^2} \frac{\delta \bar{T}_{mn}}{\delta g_{ab}} \right) - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} = 0 . \quad (75)$$

And finally variation of action (71) with respect to \hat{g}_{ab} gives equation (72) that has solution

$$\hat{g}_{ab} = g_{ab} + \frac{1}{M_{BI}^2} (R_{(ab)}(\Gamma) - \bar{T}_{ab}) . \quad (76)$$

In the simplest case of absence of mater we obtain that

$$\sqrt{-\det \hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ab} = \lambda \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \quad (77)$$

that implies $\hat{g}^{ab} = \frac{1}{\lambda} g^{ab}$ and hence equations above has the form

$$R_{ab} = (\lambda - 1) M_{BI}^2 g_{ab} \quad (78)$$

and we recover the standard result of Eddington gravity.

In case of the scalar field we again have

$$\bar{T}_{ab} = \partial_a \phi^A \partial_b \phi^B K_{AB} - \frac{1}{2} V g_{ab} \quad (79)$$

and we get

$$\sqrt{-\hat{g}} \hat{g}^{ab} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} V \right) = \lambda \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \quad (80)$$

that has solution

$$\hat{g}^{ab} = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} V \right)}{\lambda} g^{ab} , \quad \hat{g}_{ab} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2} V} g_{ab} \quad (81)$$

In term of metric \hat{g} the equations of motion have the form

$$R_{ab}(\hat{g}) - \kappa \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi + \frac{M_{BI}^2}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{\kappa}{2M_{BI}^2}\right)^2 \hat{g}_{ab} - M_{BI}^2 \hat{g}_{ab} = 0 \quad (82)$$

that have the same form as equations of motion derived in previous section. We see that it is natural to interpret \hat{g}_{ab} as dynamical metric since their equations of motion are equivalent to equations of motion derived from Einstein-Hilbert action that interacts with scalar field with new potential term. Then g_{ab} can be considered as an auxiliary tensor that is necessary for definition of stress energy tensor and also for consistency of the action (71).

It is also clear that we could proceed in the same way with the stress energy tensor for ideal fluid but we will not repeat this analysis here since the result is obvious: The dynamics of modified Eddington gravity interacting with fluid is more naturally formulated win terms of the new metric field \hat{g}_{ab} and new stress energy tensor for fluid. It would be interesting to elaborate this idea further for example in case of more general form of matter.

Acknowledgment:

This work is supported by the grant “Dualities and higher order derivatives” (GA23-06498S) from the Czech Science Foundation (GACR).

References

- [1] E. Dyer and K. Hinterbichler, “*Boundary Terms, Variational Principles and Higher Derivative Modified Gravity*,” Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009), 024028 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.79.024028 [arXiv:0809.4033 [gr-qc]].
- [2] K. Parattu, S. Chakraborty, B. R. Majhi and T. Padmanabhan, “*A Boundary Term for the Gravitational Action with Null Boundaries*,” Gen. Rel. Grav. **48** (2016) no.7, 94 doi:10.1007/s10714-016-2093-7 [arXiv:1501.01053 [gr-qc]].
- [3] S. Chakraborty, “*Boundary Terms of the Einstein–Hilbert Action*,” Fundam. Theor. Phys. **187** (2017), 43-59 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-51700-1_5 [arXiv:1607.05986 [gr-qc]].
- [4] I. Jubb, J. Samuel, R. Sorkin and S. Surya, “*Boundary and Corner Terms in the Action for General Relativity*,” Class. Quant. Grav. **34**

- (2017) no.6, 065006 doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aa6014 [arXiv:1612.00149 [gr-qc]].
- [5] A. Eddington, "*The Mathematical Theory of Relativity*," Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2 ed., 1924.
- [6] S. Chakraborty and T. Padmanabhan, "*Boundary term in the gravitational action is the heat content of the null surfaces*," Phys. Rev. D **101** (2020) no.6, 064023 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.101.064023 [arXiv:1909.00096 [gr-qc]].
- [7] T. Padmanabhan, "*General Relativity from a Thermodynamic Perspective*," Gen. Rel. Grav. **46** (2014), 1673 doi:10.1007/s10714-014-1673-7 [arXiv:1312.3253 [gr-qc]].
- [8] S. Deser and G. W. Gibbons, "*Born-Infeld-Einstein actions?*," Class. Quant. Grav. **15** (1998), L35-L39 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/15/5/001 [arXiv:hep-th/9803049 [hep-th]].
- [9] D. N. Vollick, "*Palatini approach to Born-Infeld-Einstein theory and a geometric description of electrodynamics*," Phys. Rev. D **69** (2004), 064030 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.69.064030 [arXiv:gr-qc/0309101 [gr-qc]].
- [10] D. N. Vollick, "*Born-Infeld-Einstein theory with matter*," Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005), 084026 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.084026 [arXiv:gr-qc/0506091 [gr-qc]].
- [11] M. Banados and P. G. Ferreira, "*Eddington's theory of gravity and its progeny*," Phys. Rev. Lett. **105** (2010), 011101 [erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett. **113** (2014) no.11, 119901] doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.011101 [arXiv:1006.1769 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [12] Th. De Donder, "*Théorie Invariantive Du Calcul des Variations*," (Gauthier-Villars and Cie., Paris, 1930)
- [13] H. Weyl, "*Geodesic Fields in the Calculus of Variation for Multiple Integrals*" Annals of Mathematics, **36** , p.607
- [14] J. Struckmeier and A. Redelbach, "*Covariant Hamiltonian field theory*," Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **17** (2008), 435-491 doi:10.1142/S0218301308009458 [arXiv:0811.0508 [math-ph]].

- [15] I. V. Kanatchikov, “*Canonical structure of classical field theory in the polymomentum phase space,*” Rept. Math. Phys. **41** (1998), 49-90 doi:10.1016/S0034-4877(98)80182-1 [arXiv:hep-th/9709229 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. Forger, C. Paufler and H. Roemer, “*The Poisson bracket for Poisson forms in multisymplectic field theory,*” Rev. Math. Phys. **15** (2003), 705-744 doi:10.1142/S0129055X03001734 [arXiv:math-ph/0202043 [math-ph]].
- [17] S. Chakraborty and T. Padmanabhan, “*Eddington gravity with matter: An emergent perspective,*” Phys. Rev. D **103** (2021) no.6, 064033 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.064033 [arXiv:2012.08542 [gr-qc]].
- [18] J. Beltran Jimenez, L. Heisenberg, G. J. Olmo and D. Rubiera-Garcia, “*Born–Infeld inspired modifications of gravity,*” Phys. Rept. **727** (2018), 1-129 doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2017.11.001 [arXiv:1704.03351 [gr-qc]].
- [19] J. Kluson, “*Covariant Canonical Formalism For Born-Infeld Inspired Gravity in Palatini Formulation,*” [arXiv:2412.17294 [gr-qc]].
- [20] J. Kluson, “*Covariant Canonical Formalism For Born-Infeld Inspired Gravity in Palatini Formulation,*” [arXiv:2412.17294 [gr-qc]].
- [21] J. Kluson and B. Matous, “*Covariant Hamiltonian formalism for $F(R)$ -gravity,*” Gen. Rel. Grav. **53** (2021) no.11, 100 doi:10.1007/s10714-021-02868-2 [arXiv:2008.00659 [gr-qc]].
- [22] K. Parattu, B. R. Majhi and T. Padmanabhan, “*Structure of the gravitational action and its relation with horizon thermodynamics and emergent gravity paradigm,*” Phys. Rev. D **87** (2013) no.12, 124011 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.87.124011 [arXiv:1303.1535 [gr-qc]].