

A polynomial Ramsey statement for bounded VC-dimension

Tomáš Hons

Computer Science Institute of Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract

A theorem by Ding, Oporowski, Oxley, and Vertigan states that every sufficiently large bipartite graph without twins contains a matching, co-matching, or half-graph of any given size as an induced subgraph. We prove that this Ramsey statement has polynomial dependency assuming bounded VC-dimension of the initial graph, using the recent verification of the Erdős-Hajnal property for graphs of bounded VC-dimension. Since the theorem of Ding et al. plays a role in (finite) model theory, which studies even more restricted structures, we also comment on further refinements of the theorem within this context.

1 Introduction

Ramsey theory is an area of mathematics asserting that each sufficiently large object contains a still large well-structured subobject. The foundational theorem, proved by Frank Ramsey in 1930, states that every sufficiently large graph contains a still large homogeneous subgraph, i.e. a clique or an independent set [27]. It is well known by a theorem of Erdős and Szekeres [16] and a probabilistic construction by Erdős [14] that there is always a logarithmically large homogeneous set, but we cannot hope to find a larger one in general. Finding the exact base of the logarithm is one of the most difficult and important problems in Ramsey theory, which have recently witnessed a major breakthrough by the work of Campos, Griffiths, Morris, and Sahasrabudhe [8], which was subsequently optimized by Gupta, Ndiaye, Norin, and Wei [20].

To obtain a bigger than logarithmically large homogeneous set, it is necessary to put additional assumptions on the given graphs. A class of graphs \mathcal{C} is said to have the Erdős-Hajnal property if there is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that each graph $G \in \mathcal{C}$ on n vertices has a homogeneous subset of size n^ϵ . The celebrated Erdős-Hajnal conjecture states that for each graph H the class of H -free graphs admits the Erdős-Hajnal property [15]. The conjecture is widely open in full, and was only recently resolved for all graphs on 5 vertices [25][9].

A more restrictive property for a graph class than being H -free is having bounded VC-dimension. The Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension, VC-dimension for short, is a measure of complexity of combinatorial structures, originally invented in the context of learning theory [33], which later proved to be fruitful in combinatorics as well. Structures with bounded VC-dimension often possess better Ramsey properties than general graphs [17][21][1]. In particular, Nguyen, Scott, and Seymour recently proved that graphs of bounded VC-dimensions admit the Erdős-Hajnal property [24].

In this paper, we consider the following Ramsey statement by Ding, Oporowski, Oxley, and Vertigan [10], independently proved using a similar method by Gravier, Maffray, Renault, and Trotignon [19] building upon a result of Bauslaugh [2].

Theorem 1 ([10][19]). *There is a monotone unbounded function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Let $G = (U, V, E)$ be a bipartite graph such that the part B has n vertices and no twins. Then it contains an induced subgraph $F = (U', V', E')$ with $|U'| = |V'| \geq f(n)$ of G that is isomorphic to either:*

- (i) a matching,
- (ii) a co-matching,

(iii) a half-graph.

Here the term *twins* refers to a pair of vertices with the same set of neighbors. All the graphs from the conclusion are bipartite with parts u_1, \dots, u_n and v_1, \dots, v_n . The matching is the graph with $u_i \sim v_j$ iff $i = j$. The co-matching is the bipartite complement of a matching, i.e. $u_i \sim v_j$ iff $i \neq j$. The half-graph is the graph with $u_i \sim v_j$ iff $i \leq j$. Note that the binary complement, i.e. $u_i \sim v_j$ iff $i > j$, of the half-graph of order n contains a half-graph of order $n - 1$ as an induced subgraph.

Ding et al. proved Theorem 1 with log-log dependency of the size of H on the size of G , and Gravier et al. showed that we cannot hope for better than logarithmic dependency. Inspired by the recent progress on the Erdős-Hajnal property, Dreier and Toruńczyk asked,¹ whether Theorem 1 holds with a polynomial bound under the additional assumption that the graph G has bounded VC-dimension.

Here we confirm that this is indeed true. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $c > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $G = (U, V, E)$ be a bipartite graph of VC-dimension at most d such that the part V has n vertices and no twins. Then it contains an induced subgraph $F = (U', V', E')$ with $|U'| = |V'| \in \Omega_d(n^c)$ that is isomorphic to either:*

(i) a matching,

(ii) a co-matching,

(iii) a half-graph.

Moreover, since Theorem 1 plays a role in model theory, where it is often considered to be a folklore result [4][18], we comment on further improvements of Theorem 2 within model-theoretic context in Section 4. In fact, the applications in model theory was the original motivation of this paper.

2 Preliminaries

All our graphs are simple and finite. All our matrices are binary. Following the paper of Ding et al. [10], we mostly work in the language of biadjacency matrices. The biadjacency matrix of a bipartite graph $G = (U, V, E)$ is the matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{|U| \times |V|}$ with $A_{i,j} = 1$ iff $\{u_i, v_j\} \in E$. Natural operations for biadjacency matrices include permutation and deletion of rows/columns. Note that a matrix B that is obtained from A by these operations is the biadjacency matrix of an induced subgraph of G . We call such a matrix B a *submatrix* of A .

Given values $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{0, 1\}$, we say that a matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$ is a (α, β, γ) -matrix if it is of the form:

$$A_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } i < j, \\ \beta & \text{if } i = j, \\ \gamma & \text{if } i > j. \end{cases}$$

Observe that the square (α, β, γ) -matrices with $\alpha \neq \beta$ correspond to the biadjacency matrices of the graphs from the conclusion of Theorem 2 (and the binary complement of a half-graph). Consequently, our result can be stated in the matrix language as follows.

Theorem 3. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $c > 0$ such that the following holds. Let A be a binary matrix of VC-dimension at most d with at least n columns, no two of which are identical. Then it contains a square (α, β, γ) -submatrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of size $n^c \times n^c$.*

The VC-dimension of a matrix is defined in the next section.

¹In an open problem session within Algomonet Workshop in September 2024, Warsaw.

2.1 VC-dimension

The Vapnik–Chervonenkis dimension is a numeric parameter capturing the complexity of a set system [33].

Definition 1 (VC-dimension of a set system). Consider a set system $\mathcal{S} = (U, \mathcal{F})$, where U is a finite set and \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of U . We say that $X \subseteq U$ is *shattered* if

$$|\{X \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}| = 2^{|X|}.$$

The *VC-dimension of the set system* \mathcal{S} , denoted by $\text{VC-dim}(\mathcal{S})$, is the cardinality of the largest shattered subset of U .

There are several natural set systems, whose VC-dimension is of our interest. For a graph G , we have the system $(V, \{N(v) : v \in V\})$ of neighborhoods of G . More generally, for a matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$, we consider the system of columns $([m], \{A_{*,j} : j \in [n]\})$, where the column $A_{*,j}$ stands for the set $\{i \in [m] : A_{i,j} = 1\}$. Similarly, the matrix A has an associated system of rows $([n], \{A_{i,*} : i \in [m]\})$. Note that if A is the adjacency matrix of a graph G , then the column and row systems of A are the same (as A is symmetric), and they agree with the system of neighborhoods of G .

We use these systems to define the VC-dimension of graphs and matrices.

Definition 2 (VC-dimension of a graph). The *VC-dimension of a graph* $G = (V, E)$, $\text{VC-dim}(G)$, is defined as the VC-dimension of the system $(V, \{N(v) : v \in V\})$ of neighborhoods of G .

Definition 3 (VC-dimension of a matrix). The *VC-dimension of a matrix* $A \in \{0, 1\}^{m \times n}$, $\text{VC-dim}(A)$, is defined as the maximum of the VC-dimensions the system of its columns $([m], \{A_{*,j} : j \in [n]\})$ and the system of its rows $([n], \{A_{i,*} : i \in [m]\})$.

As hinted, the definition of the VC-dimension is consistent for a graph and its adjacency matrix. Furthermore, the definitions also agree for a bipartite graph and its biadjacency matrix.

Observation 1. *Let G be a graph and A its adjacency matrix, then $\text{VC-dim}(G) = \text{VC-dim}(A)$. Moreover, if G is bipartite and B is its biadjacency matrix, then $\text{VC-dim}(G) = \text{VC-dim}(B)$.*

VC-dimension is monotone with respect to taking submatrices (i.e. induced subgraphs) and their complements.

Observation 2. *If B is (the binary complement of) a submatrix of A (or its transposition), then $\text{VC-dim}(B) \leq \text{VC-dim}(A)$.*

2.2 Growth function

A closely related notion to VC-density is the *growth function* of a system.

Definition 4 (Growth function of a set system). Let $\mathcal{S} = (U, \mathcal{F})$, $|U| = N$, be a set system. The growth function $\pi_{\mathcal{S}} : [N] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined as

$$\pi_{\mathcal{S}}(n) = \max_{X \subseteq U, |X|=n} |\{X \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}|.$$

The classical Sauer-Shelah lemma states that systems of bounded VC-dimension have the growth function bounded by a polynomial [28][29].

Theorem 4 (Sauer-Shelah lemma [28][29]). *Let $\mathcal{S} = (U, \mathcal{F})$ be a set system of VC-dimension at most d . Then for any set $X \subseteq U$ of size n , we have*

$$|\{X \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}| \leq \Phi_d(n) := \sum_{i=0}^d \binom{n}{i}.$$

2.3 Erdős-Hajnal property

As stated in the introduction, we say that a class of graphs \mathcal{C} has the Erdős-Hajnal property if the graphs from \mathcal{C} admit polynomially large homogeneous sets. A recent theorem of Nguyen, Scott, and Seymour that verifies the Erdős-Hajnal property for graphs of bounded VC-dimension [24] plays a crucial part in our proof.

Theorem 5 ([24]). *For every $d \geq 1$, there exists $e(d) > 0$ such that every graph G on n vertices of VC-dimension at most d contains a homogeneous set of size at least $n^{e(d)}$.*

3 Proof

Given a matrix of VC-dimension at most d with at least n columns, no two of which are identical, our goal is to find a square (α, β, γ) -submatrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of polynomial size. The original proof of Ding et al. is carried out in two steps. First, they obtain a square $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -submatrix of the given matrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$, where $*$ is a Joker (i.e. no restriction for entries below the diagonal). As the second step, they apply the Ramsey theorem to an auxiliary graph to homogenize the lower triangular matrix. Both steps shrink the matrix logarithmically.

In our case, we prove that the auxiliary graph constructed from a matrix of bounded VC-dimension has bounded VC-dimension as well (Lemma 2). This allows us to perform the second step from the $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -submatrix to the (α, β, γ) -submatrix with polynomial dependency by Theorem 5.

Moreover, we show that after a convenient preparatory step, it is possible to use the Ramsey theorem, i.e. in our case Theorem 5, in the first step to obtain the $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -submatrix. Indeed, we initially produce a *switch submatrix* (Lemma 1), which allows us to choose the value β on the diagonal *after* we obtain the value α above the diagonal from the Ramsey theorem (Lemma 3). Then it remains to homogenize the values below the diagonal as mentioned above (Lemma 4).

3.1 Switch submatrix

We begin by defining the notion of a *switch matrix*. Then we show that under the assumptions of Theorem 3, the given matrix contains a polynomially large switch submatrix.

Definition 5. A matrix $A \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times 2n}$ is a *switch matrix of size n* if it is of the following form:

- (i) for all $i \in [n]$, we have $A_{i,2i-1} = 0$ and $A_{i,2i} = 1$,
- (ii) for all $i, j \in [n], i < j$, we have $A_{i,2j-1} = A_{i,2j}$.

The following important technical lemma says that in our setting of bounded VC-dimensions we have polynomially large switch submatrices. We present the lemma in greater generality for matrices whose column systems have the growth function bounded by a polynomial.

Lemma 1. *Let A be a matrix whose system of columns has the growth function $\pi(n) \leq bn^a$ with $a \geq 1, b > 0$. Suppose A has n columns, no two of which are identical. Then A contains a switch submatrix B of size at least $zn^{1/a}$ for any $z < 1/(2+b)$.*

Proof. Starting from the empty submatrix B_0 , we greedily construct switch submatrices B_1, B_2, \dots , with B_i having size i . Each B_{i+1} is obtained by extending B_i by a new row and two columns. We only need to prove that we can run the process for at least $zn^{1/a}$ steps.

Suppose we have a switch submatrix B_i of A of size i that uses rows \mathcal{R} and columns \mathcal{C} from the original matrix (likely in different order). For $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{R}}$, let $\Gamma(x)$ be the set of columns of A that have the pattern x at rows \mathcal{R} . To extend the submatrix B_i , we want to find a vector $x^* \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{R}}$ such that $|\Gamma(x^*) \setminus \mathcal{C}| \geq 2$. This is indeed sufficient: take distinct columns $C, C' \in \Gamma(x^*) \setminus \mathcal{C}$. By assumption, these columns differ at some row R , which certainly lies outside of \mathcal{R} . Thus, we can extend B_i by the row R and columns C, C' to form the submatrix B_{i+1} . To be precise, the last column is the one of C or C' that has the value 1 in the row R . Clearly, the resulting submatrix B_{i+1} is then a switch matrix of size $i + 1$.

It remains to show that such a vector $x^* \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{R}}$ exists when extending the submatrix B_i with $i < zn^{1/a}$. By the assumption on the growth function, we have at most bi^a vectors $x \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{R}}$ for which the set $\Gamma(x)$ is non-empty. Therefore, the average size of a non-empty $\Gamma(x) \setminus \mathcal{C}$ is at least

$$\frac{n - |\mathcal{C}|}{bi^a} = \frac{n - 2i}{bi^a} > \frac{n - 2zn^{1/a}}{b(zn^{1/a})^a} = \frac{1}{bz^a} \left(1 - \frac{2z}{n^{1-1/a}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{bz} (1 - 2z) > 1$$

as we need. Note that the last inequality is equivalent with the assumption $z < 1/(2+b)$. \square

We get an immediate corollary for matrices of bounded VC-dimension.

Corollary 1. *Let A be a matrix of VC-dimension at most d with n columns, no two of which are identical. Then A contains a switch submatrix B of size at least $\Omega_d(n^{1/d})$.*

Proof. By Theorem 4, the class of matrices of VC-dimension at most d has the growth function bounded by $\Phi_d(n) \in \mathcal{O}_d(n^d)$. The conclusion then follows from Lemma 1. \square

3.2 Upper graph and its VC-dimension

Here we define the notion of an auxiliary graph called *upper graph* associated to a square matrix A . We prove that if A has bounded VC-dimension, the corresponding upper graph has bounded VC-dimension as well.

Definition 6. Let A be a square matrix of size n . We call the graph $G = ([n], \{\{i, j\} : i < j, A_{i,j} = 1\})$ the *upper graph* of the matrix A .

In other words, the upper graph of the matrix A is the graph G whose adjacency matrix agrees with A in the entries above the diagonal.

Lemma 2. *Let $A \in \{0, 1\}^{n \times n}$ be a square $(*, 0, *)$ -matrix and G be the upper graph of A . For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\text{VC-dim}(G) \geq 2d$ implies $\text{VC-dim}(A) \geq d/2$.*

Conversely, for any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\text{VC-dim}(A) \leq d$, then $\text{VC-dim}(G) \leq 4d + 1$.

Proof. Let B be the adjacency matrix of G . The VC-dimension of G is at least $2d$, which is witnessed by a shattered set $X = \{v_1 < \dots < v_{2d}\} \subseteq [n]$ of size d . Let $Y = \{u_1 < \dots < u_{2d}\} \subseteq [n]$ be the set of vertices whose neighborhoods witness that X is shattered.

We divide the set X into $X_1 = \{v_1 < \dots < v_d\}$ and $X_2 = \{v_{d+1} < \dots < v_{2d}\}$. Moreover, we split the set Y into $Y_1 = \{u_i \in Y : u_i < v_d\}$ and $Y_2 = \{u_i \in Y : u_i \geq v_d\}$. Certainly, one of the sets Y_1 or Y_2 has size at least 2^{2d-1} . If $|Y_2| \geq 2^{2d-1}$, the submatrix C of B induced by the rows X_1 and columns Y_2 lies entirely in the upper triangle of B , so it is a submatrix of A as well. In the other case, if $|Y_1| \geq 2^{2d-1}$, the submatrix D of B induced by $X_2 \times Y_1$ lies in the bottom triangle of B . By symmetry of B , the transposition of D appears in the upper triangle of B and hence also in A . The rest of the proof is then the same with the submatrix D^\top instead of C and with the role of rows and columns reversed.

Suppose that $|Y_2| \geq 2^{2d-1}$. As we argued in the previous paragraph, the submatrix C of B induced by the rows X_1 and columns Y_2 is a submatrix of A as well. We claim that the rows X_1 of A , in particular the submatrix C , witness that $\text{VC-dim}(A) \geq d/2$. The matrix C contains at least $2^{2d-1}/2^d = 2^{d-1}$ distinct columns since it has at least 2^{2d-1} columns in total and any pattern may occur in at most $2^{|X_2|} = 2^d$ of them. From Theorem 4, we obtain the inequality

$$2^{d-1} \leq \#\text{distinct patterns on } X_1 \leq \Phi_{\text{VC-dim}(A)}(d) = \sum_{i=0}^{\text{VC-dim}(A)} \binom{d}{i},$$

which implies that $\text{VC-dim}(A) \geq d/2$.

The part ‘‘Conversely’’ is the contraposition with correct rounding that includes odd values of $\text{VC-dim}(G)$. \square

3.3 Homogenization

In this part, we utilize Theorem 5 to first extract an $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -matrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ from a switch matrix and then an (α, β, γ) -matrix from the $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -matrix. The polynomial dependency in Theorem 5 ensures polynomial dependency in our statements.

Lemma 3. *Let A be a switch matrix of size n of VC-dimension at most d . Then it contains a square $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -matrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of size at least $n^{e(4d+1)}$, where $e(\cdot)$ is the function from Theorem 5.*

Proof. First, we consider the square $(*, 0, *)$ -submatrix B of A obtained by deleting all even columns of A . Let G be the upper graph of B . By Observation 2 and Lemma 2, the VC-dimension of G is at most $4d + 1$. Theorem 5 then implies that G contains a homogeneous subset of vertices X of size at least $n^{e(4d+1)}$. We consider the submatrix C of A with rows $\mathcal{R} = X$, and columns $\mathcal{C} = \{2i - 1 : i \in X\}$ if $G[X]$ is a clique, resp. $\mathcal{C} = \{2i : i \in X\}$ if $G[X]$ is an independent set. Clearly, C is a square matrix of size at least $n^{e(4d+1)}$.

We claim that the matrix C is an $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -matrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$. If $G[X]$ is a clique, then for each $i, j \in X, i < j$, we have that $A_{i, 2j-1} = 1$. Moreover, by definition of a switch matrix, we have for all $i \in X$ that $A_{i, 2i-1} = 0$. Therefore, the matrix C is a $(1, 0, *)$ -matrix.

If $G[X]$ is an independent set, then for each $i, j \in X, i < j$, we have that $A_{i, 2j-1} = 0$. Thus, also $A_{i, 2j} = 0$ by definition of a switch matrix. Moreover, we have for all $i \in X$ that $A_{i, 2i} = 1$. Therefore, the matrix C is a $(0, 1, *)$ -matrix. \square

Lemma 4. *Let A be a square $(\alpha, \beta, *)$ -matrix of size n of VC-dimension at most d . Then it contains a square (α, β, γ) -matrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of size at least $n^{e(4d+1)}$, where $e(\cdot)$ is the function from Theorem 5.*

Proof. We proceed similarly as in the proof of Lemma 3, except that we construct the upper graph from the transposition of the matrix A , resp. from the binary complement of the transposition if A is a $(0, 1, *)$ -matrix (to formally complain with Lemma 2 by having 0 on the diagonal). By applying Theorem 5, we obtain a square (α, β, γ) -submatrix of A with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of size at least $n^{e(4d+1)}$. \square

It remains to prove the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. By composing Corollary 1 with Lemmas 3 and 4, we obtain an (α, β, γ) -diagonal submatrix with $\alpha \neq \beta$ of polynomial size with the exponent

$$\frac{1}{d} \cdot e(4d + 1) \cdot e(4d + 1),$$

where $e(\cdot)$ is the function from Theorem 5. \square

4 Remarks on tame classes

In recent years, much effort has been invested into combinatorial understanding of classical model-theoretic notions such as stability and dependence with the aim to establish dividing lines between tame and wild classes of finite structures [18][32][13]. These include the model-theoretic notions of (monadic) stability, (monadic) dependence and combinatorial notion of bounded twin-width. For their definitions please see [31][30][13][6], Figure 4 shows their inclusions. Considered folklore, Theorem 1 plays an important role in this area. For example, it was one of the tools that allowed Dreier, Mählmann, and Toruńczyk to characterize the forbidden subgraphs of monadically dependent graph classes, yielding the first purely combinatorial definition of monadic dependence [13].

The coarsest of these notions, dependence, implies bounded VC-dimension. Therefore, our improvement in form of Theorem 2 applies for dependent classes of graphs and for all classes from Figure 4. However, the more restricted classes allow for further improvements of Theorem 2 and better bounds.




Figure 1: A diagram of various tameness notions and their inclusions.

In Corollary 1, we used Theorem 4 to bound the growth function of classes of bounded VC-dimension. While Theorem 4 gives a polynomial bound on the growth function of the neighborhood systems, also called neighborhood complexity, it is conjectured that the monadically dependent classes admit an *almost linear* bound [12][13]. That is, that for graphs from the class \mathcal{C} , the growth function is bounded by $bn^{1+\varepsilon}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$ so some constant $b = b(\varepsilon, \mathcal{C})$. This is known to be true for monadically stable classes [11]. For classes of bounded twin-width, the situation is even more pleasant as the neighborhood complexity is linear as proved independently by [5] and [26], with an essentially tight bound by [3]. Using these exponents in Lemma 1, we conclude that graphs from classes of bounded twin-width admit a switch submatrix of a linear size, while monadically stable classes admit a polynomial switch submatrix with the exponent $1 - \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Moreover, if the upper graph of the given matrix belongs to a tame class, we can reach better bounds by substituting Theorem 5 by a more specific statement. It was implicitly proved by Malliaris and Shelah [22, Theorem 3.5] that stable graph classes possess the Erdős-Hajnal property. The bound was further improved in [23, Corollary 4.9] using only the milder assumption that the graph class is *edge-stable* (that is, only the edge relation is required to be stable; not necessarily all the definable relations). Furthermore, for the more restricted monadically stable graph classes, it was recently proved that they possess the Erdős-Hajnal property with the exponent $1/2 - \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ [7, Corollary 62]. Notably, this says that there is a uniform Erdős-Hajnal exponent for all monadically stable graph classes.

Speaking about stable classes, we should point out that we can further simplify the conclusion of Theorem 2. Indeed, for each stable graph class there is a bound on the size of half-graphs that can appear as subgraphs, which allows us to narrow the conclusion of Theorem 2 that the target subgraph is either a matching or a co-matching.

5 Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank Patrice Ossona de Mendez for his many suggestions concerning Section 4.

The author is partially supported by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC Synergy Grant DYNASNET, grant agreement No 810115), and by Project 24-12591M of the Czech Science Foundation (GAČR).

References

- [1] József Balogh, Anton Bernshteyn, Michelle Delcourt, Asaf Ferber, and Huy Tuan Pham. *Sunflowers in set systems with small VC-dimension*. 2024. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2408.04165.
- [2] Bruce L. Bauslaugh. “List-Compactness of Infinite Directed Graphs”. In: *Graphs and Combinatorics* 17.1 (Mar. 2001), pp. 17–38. ISSN: 1435-5914. DOI: 10.1007/s003730170052.
- [3] Édouard Bonnet, Florent Foucaud, Tuomo Lehtilä, and Aline Parreau. “Neighbourhood complexity of graphs of bounded twin-width”. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 115 (Jan. 2024), p. 103772. ISSN: 0195-6698. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejc.2023.103772.
- [4] Édouard Bonnet, Ugo Giocanti, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, Pierre Simon, Stéphan Thomassé, and Szymon Toruńczyk. “Twin-Width IV: Ordered Graphs and Matrices”. In: *Journal of the ACM* 71.3 (June 2024), pp. 1–45. ISSN: 1557-735X. DOI: 10.1145/3651151.
- [5] Édouard Bonnet, Eun Jung Kim, Amadeus Reinald, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant. “Twin-width and Polynomial Kernels”. In: *Algorithmica* 84.11 (Apr. 2022), pp. 3300–3337. ISSN: 1432-0541. DOI: 10.1007/s00453-022-00965-5.
- [6] Édouard Bonnet, Eun Jung Kim, Stéphan Thomassé, and Rémi Watrigant. “Twin-width I: Tractable FO Model Checking”. In: *Journal of the ACM* 69.1 (Nov. 2021), pp. 1–46. ISSN: 1557-735X. DOI: 10.1145/3486655.
- [7] Samuel Braulfeld, Jaroslav Nešetřil, Patrice Ossona de Mendez, and Sebastian Siebertz. “Decomposition horizons and a characterization of stable hereditary classes of graphs”. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* (Feb. 2025), p. 104130. ISSN: 0195-6698. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejc.2025.104130.
- [8] Marcelo Campos, Simon Griffiths, Robert Morris, and Julian Sahasrabudhe. *An exponential improvement for diagonal Ramsey*. 2023. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2303.09521.
- [9] Maria Chudnovsky. “The Erdős–Hajnal Conjecture—A Survey”. In: *Journal of Graph Theory* 75.2 (Jan. 2013), pp. 178–190. ISSN: 1097-0118. DOI: 10.1002/jgt.21730.
- [10] Guoli Ding, Bogdan Oporowski, James Oxley, and Dirk Vertigan. “Unavoidable Minors of Large 3-Connected Binary Matroids”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 66.2 (Mar. 1996), pp. 334–360. ISSN: 0095-8956. DOI: 10.1006/jctb.1996.0026.
- [11] Jan Dreier, Ioannis Eleftheriadis, Nikolas Mählmann, Rose McCarty, Michał Pilipczuk, and Szymon Toruńczyk. “First-Order Model Checking on Monadically Stable Graph Classes”. In: *2024 IEEE 65th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*. IEEE, Oct. 2024, pp. 21–30. DOI: 10.1109/focs61266.2024.00012.
- [12] Jan Dreier, Nikolas Mählmann, and Sebastian Siebertz. “First-Order Model Checking on Structurally Sparse Graph Classes”. In: *Proceedings of the 55th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. STOC ’23. ACM, June 2023, pp. 567–580. DOI: 10.1145/3564246.3585186.
- [13] Jan Dreier, Nikolas Mählmann, and Szymon Toruńczyk. “Flip-Breakability: A Combinatorial Dichotomy for Monadically Dependent Graph Classes”. In: *Proceedings of the 56th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing*. STOC ’24. ACM, June 2024, pp. 1550–1560. DOI: 10.1145/3618260.3649739.
- [14] Paul Erdős. “Some remarks on the theory of graphs”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 53 (1947), pp. 292–294.
- [15] Paul Erdős and András Hajnal. “On spanned subgraphs of graphs”. In: *Beiträge zur Graphentheorie und deren Anwendungen, Kolloq. Oberhof (DDR)* (Jan. 1977).
- [16] Paul Erdős and George Szekeres. “A Combinatorial Problem in Geometry”. In: *Compositio Mathematica* 2 (1935), pp. 463–470. DOI: 10.1007/978-0-8176-4842-8_3.
- [17] Jacob Fox, János Pach, and Andrew Suk. “Bounded VC-Dimension Implies the Schur-Erdős Conjecture”. In: *Combinatorica* 41.6 (Nov. 2021), pp. 803–813. ISSN: 1439-6912. DOI: 10.1007/s00493-021-4530-9.

- [18] Jakub Gajarský, Nikolas Mählmann, Rose McCarty, Pierre Ohlmann, Michał Pilipczuk, Wojciech Przybyszewski, Sebastian Siebertz, Marek Sokołowski, and Szymon Toruńczyk. “Flipper Games for Monadically Stable Graph Classes”. en. In: Schloss Dagstuhl – Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2023. DOI: 10.4230/LIPICS.ICALP.2023.128.
- [19] Sylvain Gravier, Frédéric Maffray, Jérôme Renault, and Nicolas Trotignon. “Ramsey-type results on singletons, co-singletons and monotone sequences in large collections of sets”. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 25.5 (July 2004), pp. 719–734. ISSN: 0195-6698. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejc.2003.10.004.
- [20] Parth Gupta, Ndiame Ndiaye, Sergey Norin, and Louis Wei. *Optimizing the CGMS upper bound on Ramsey numbers*. 2024. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2407.19026.
- [21] Oliver Janzer and Cosmin Pohoata. “On the Zarankiewicz Problem for Graphs with Bounded VC-Dimension”. In: *Combinatorica* 44.4 (May 2024), pp. 839–848. ISSN: 1439-6912. DOI: 10.1007/s00493-024-00095-2.
- [22] Maryanthe Malliaris and Saharon Shelah. “Regularity lemmas for stable graphs”. In: *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 366.3 (Aug. 2013), pp. 1551–1585. ISSN: 1088-6850. DOI: 10.1090/s0002-9947-2013-05820-5.
- [23] Maryanthe Malliaris and Caroline Terry. “On unavoidable-induced subgraphs in large prime graphs”. In: *Journal of Graph Theory* 88.2 (Nov. 2017), pp. 255–270. ISSN: 1097-0118. DOI: 10.1002/jgt.22209.
- [24] Tung Nguyen, Alex Scott, and Paul Seymour. “Induced subgraph density. VI. Bounded VC-dimension”. In: (2023). DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2312.15572.
- [25] Tung Nguyen, Alex Scott, and Paul Seymour. *Induced subgraph density. VII. The five-vertex path*. 2023. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2312.15333.
- [26] Wojciech Przybyszewski. “Distal Combinatorial Tools for Graphs of Bounded Twin-Width”. In: *2023 38th Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science (LICS)*. IEEE, June 2023, pp. 1–13. DOI: 10.1109/lics56636.2023.10175719.
- [27] Frank P. Ramsey. “On a Problem of Formal Logic”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* s2-30.1 (1930), pp. 264–286. ISSN: 0024-6115. DOI: 10.1112/plms/s2-30.1.264.
- [28] Norbert Sauer. “On the density of families of sets”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 13.1 (July 1972), pp. 145–147. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: 10.1016/0097-3165(72)90019-2.
- [29] Saharon Shelah. “A combinatorial problem; stability and order for models and theories in infinitary languages”. In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 41.1 (Apr. 1972), pp. 247–261. ISSN: 0030-8730. DOI: 10.2140/pjm.1972.41.247.
- [30] Pierre Simon. *A Guide to NIP Theories*. Cambridge University Press, July 2015. ISBN: 9781107415133. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9781107415133.
- [31] Katrin Tent and Martin Ziegler. *A Course in Model Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Mar. 2012. ISBN: 9781139015417. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9781139015417.
- [32] Szymon Toruńczyk. “Flip-width: Cops and Robber on dense graphs”. In: *2023 IEEE 64th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*. IEEE, Nov. 2023, pp. 663–700. DOI: 10.1109/focs57990.2023.00045.
- [33] Vladimir Naumovich Vapnik and Alexey Yakovlevich Chervonenkis. “On the Uniform Convergence of Relative Frequencies of Events to Their Probabilities”. In: *Theory of Probability & Its Applications* 16.2 (Jan. 1971), pp. 264–280. ISSN: 1095-7219. DOI: 10.1137/1116025.