

# Moderate-length lifted quantum Tanner codes

Virgile Guemard<sup>1,2</sup>      Gilles Zemor<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aix Marseille Université, I2M, UMR 7373, 13453 Marseille, France

<sup>2</sup>Inria Paris, France

<sup>3</sup>Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, UMR 5251

<sup>4</sup>Institut universitaire de France

February 28, 2025

## Abstract

We introduce new families of quantum Tanner codes, a class of quantum codes which first appeared in the work of Leverrier and Zémor [LZ22]. These codes are built from two classical Tanner codes, for which the underlying graphs are extracted from coverings of 2D geometrical complexes, and the local linear codes are tensor-product of cyclic or double-circulant linear codes. We present several explicit families, and identify instances of moderate length quantum codes which are degenerate, have low check weight, and for which the distance surpasses the square root of the code length. Among them, we report the existence of a  $[[96, 2, 12]]$  code, for which half of the checks are of weight 8 and the other half of weight 4.

## 1 Introduction

Quantum error correcting codes are believed to be unavoidable constituents of quantum computing architectures. Among them, quantum low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes are the leading candidates for physical implementations, because their low connectivity translates into a limitation of the error propagation between the hardware constituents. Significant progress has been made recently in the construction of good quantum LDPC codes [PK22a, LZ22, LH22], i.e. codes families with constant rate and relative distance in the asymptotic limit. These families can constitute a valuable source of inspiration to devise new types of codes of moderate length, following the effort in the design of near term implementable codes [PK22b, PSK22, BCG<sup>+</sup>24].

In 2022, Leverrier and Zémor [LZ22] generalized the Sipser-Spielman version [SS96] of classical Tanner codes [Tan81] to devise a new family of asymptotically good Calderbank, Shor, Steane (CSS) codes that they call quantum Tanner codes. The methodology of [Tan81] relies on two components: a family of geometrical complexes and a set of short linear codes. It is instantiated by considering 2D square-complexes called left-right Cayley complexes, first used in the coding context in [DEL<sup>+</sup>21]. Given one of these complexes, its face-vertex incidence structure can generate two graphs, each of which is used to define a classical Tanner code. The local structure of the complex suggests classical tensor-product codes as a natural choice for the local linear codes of these Tanner codes. Although this CSS construction is state of the art in the asymptotic regime, short-length examples based on the same ideas have not yet been developed.

In this article, we introduce new types of quantum Tanner code families, taking inspiration of the Leverrier-Zémor construction. The main novelty of our approach is that we start by defining a quantum Tanner code on a square-complex, which is not necessarily a left-right Cayley complex, or a constant-degree graph as in [MRL24]. We then use it to build larger codes based on the idea of code lifting.

In classical coding theory, lifting [Tho03] is a natural and practical method to generate new codes from old ones. Lifting a code corresponds to taking a covering of its Tanner graph representation. This strategy has proven to be very successful in producing LDPC codes of various blocklengths, and is now also applied to generate LDPC codes used in the 5G New Radio [RK18]. Moreover, the optimal Sipser-Spielman expander code family [SS96] designed in [PK22a] can be generated by a constrained version of the lift, called *lift of Tanner code* in this article.

It is hence natural to consider lifting constructions for quantum LDPC codes. For CSS codes, a notion of lift was defined in [Gue24], based on the idea of covering spaces over a 2D complex associated with a given CSS code.

In the present article, we extend this notion to generate families of large Tanner codes from small ones. Our approach is a generalization of the classical lift of Tanner codes, which was used in [PK22a] to generate

the optimal classical codes involved in their lifted product. Here, instead of using only a graph and a local code, we need a 2-dimensional square-complex over which two classical Tanner codes can be defined, forming an input CSS code. Then a covering of the square-complex allows us to simultaneously define lifts for these two classical Tanner codes. The maximum weight of the rows and columns of the lifted check matrices is equal to that of the input code, making it ideal for LDPC constructions. The weakness of our method is that lifting a CSS code does not always yield a valid CSS code. This is because the symmetries of the local codes must be adapted to the structure of the underlying 2D complex.

We illustrate the lifting of quantum Tanner code on explicit examples. In our previous work [Gue24], new code constructions were introduced using certain 2D geometrical complexes, namely square subdivisions of presentation complexes of finitely presented groups; the CSS codes were built by interpreting vertices, in the subdivision of these complexes, as parity checks and qubits. Here, following [LZ22], we modify our point of view and interpret the faces as qubits. The novelty of our method is to first construct a short length quantum Tanner code on these subdivided complexes by fixing a specific configuration of the local codes. We then obtain our lifted Tanner code by generating coverings of these square-complexes, and by lifting the local code configuration on the covering spaces. In certain cases, the effect of the local code is to suppress the local codewords, causing the support of minimal codewords to be spread out in the complex. In this manner, we are able to obtain instances of LDPC codes for which the square of the distance is greater than the blocklength. Another consequence is that a lifted code inherits the symmetry group of its associated covering space.

It has proven challenging to determine analytically the parameters of our lifted Tanner codes. We hence choose to follow a numerical approach. For some chosen input quantum Tanner codes, we generate all possible lifts of index 1 to 30, producing codes with length less than 800 qubits. An upper bound for the distance is calculated with GAP and the package QDistRnd [PSK22]. We only report the codes with the best parameters and leave a more rigorous analysis for future work.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we set the notation for graphs, square-complexes and covering maps. We also review classical Tanner codes and our preferred method for lifting them.

In Section 3 we present a method to lift a quantum Tanner code. The idea is a generalization of the lift of a classical Tanner code, in which the central object is a covering of a square-complex rather than a graph.

Lastly, Section 4 contains our main contribution. There, we introduce two new constructions of quantum Tanner codes. We study the parameters of lifted codes numerically and report specific instances with the highest distance.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Graphs and square-complexes

In this article, crucial components of the linear and quantum code constructions are graphs and square-complexes. We therefore set some notation and state elementary facts on these objects, which will be used throughout this article.

We denote by  $\mathcal{G} := (V, E)$  an undirected graph with set of vertices  $V$  and edges  $E$ . An *edge* between two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  is an unordered pair  $\{u, v\} = \{v, u\}$ . In this work, graphs have no loops unless otherwise stated, but they can have multi-edges. A *directed edge* is an edge for which the order matters, written  $e = [u, v]$  for an edge with source  $u$  and target  $v$ . The inverse of an edge is defined as  $[u, v]^{-1} := [v, u]$ . A path in the graph is a sequence of directed edges  $\alpha = [u, v].[v, w] \dots$ . The edge-neighborhood of a vertex  $v$ , denoted  $E(v)$ , is the set of edges connected to  $v$ , and its cardinality is the degree of  $v$ .

A square-complex is defined as a graph with a set of preferred subgraphs which are *4-cycles*, namely cycle-graphs with 4 edges. We denote it  $\mathcal{S} := (V, E, F)$ , where  $(V, E)$  is the underlying graph, also called its 1-skeleton, and  $F$  is a set of 2D faces. We say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a *bipartite square-complex* if  $(V, E)$  is a bipartite graph. A face  $f \in F$  can be described by its corresponding cycle graph, or by a circuit such as  $\alpha_f = [v_1, v_2].[v_2, v_3].[v_3, v_4].[v_4, v_1]$ . The face-neighborhood of a vertex  $v$  is the set of faces to which it is incident and is denoted  $F(v)$ . Moreover, we say that two faces are adjacent in  $\mathcal{S}$  if they share a common edge.

For considerations related to covering spaces in Section 2.2, it will be important to introduce the following notions. Define a *circuit* starting at vertex  $v_1$  as a succession of directed edges such as  $e_1.e_2 \dots e_n = [v_1, v_2].[v_2, v_3] \dots [v_n, v_1]$ . An elementary reduction of a circuit consists of either removing two consecutive terms  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  if  $e_i = e_j^{-1}$ , or removing four consecutive terms if the corresponding edges form a face  $f \in F$ . The equivalence relation generated by elementary reductions is called homotopy: it is compatible with concatenation of circuits, and the *fundamental group*  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)$  is the set of homotopy classes of circuits

starting at  $v$ , with concatenation as group operation. It can be shown that the groups  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)$  and  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v')$  are isomorphic for any two choices of vertices  $v$  and  $v'$  if  $(V, E)$  is a connected graph, and therefore we often omit this choice and write it  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ . If  $\mathcal{S}$  has an empty set of faces, i.e.  $\mathcal{S}$  is the connected graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ , the fundamental group is a free group of rank<sup>1</sup>  $|E| - |V| + 1$ . For a general square complex  $\mathcal{S} = (V, E, F)$ , this is the group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})/N$ , where  $N$  is the normal closure of the subgroup generated by the elements of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  corresponding to the 4-cycles of  $F$ .


The reader can also see  $\mathcal{S}$  as a 2D cell complex [Hat02], all of whose faces have a boundary which is a 4-cycle, endowed with the topology of a CW complex. In this setting, our notion of fundamental group is equivalent to the general one defined in algebraic topology.

## 2.2 Covering maps

We now review some results about covering maps from [GT77, Hat02, LS01]. While some of them apply to topological spaces in general, we will only consider them in the context of graphs and square-complexes of finite degrees with a single connected component.

We first summarize a graph covering construction which can generate all possible finite graph coverings, as shown in [GT77]. Let  $r > 0$  be an integer and  $S_r$  be the symmetric group on the set  $[r] := \{0, \dots, r-1\}$ . Given a graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ , we first assign a permutation  $\pi_e \in S_r$  to each oriented edge  $e$  of  $\mathcal{G}$ , with the constraint that  $\pi_{e^{-1}} = \pi_e^{-1}$ . We construct a graph  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  with set of vertices in bijection with  $V \times [r]$  and set of edges in bijection with  $E \times [r]$ , where an element  $c$  of  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , vertex or edge, is written  $(c, i)$  for  $i \in [r]$ . An edge  $(e, i)$  in the graph  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ , where  $e = [u, v]$ , connects  $(u, i)$  and  $(v, \pi_e(i))$ . It is then possible to define a *covering map*  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  acting on an element  $(c, i)$ , vertex or edge, by  $p((c, i)) = c$ . The graph  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  is called an *index- $r$  covering* of  $\mathcal{G}$ . A vertex  $(v, i)$  and its projection  $v$  have the same degree. Moreover, an edge  $\tilde{e} = [\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}]$  projects onto an edge  $e = [p(\tilde{u}), p(\tilde{v})]$ .

Given a square complex  $\mathcal{S} = (V, E, F)$ , an index- $r$  covering  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  is a graph covering  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  which does not break the faces, i.e. if  $\alpha$  is a 4-cycle in  $\mathcal{G}$  describing a face of  $F$ , then  $p^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a set of  $r$  disjoint 4-cycles. The set of faces in the covering is in bijection with  $F \times [r]$ , and given a face  $f \in F$  described by the path  $e_1.e_2.e_3.e_4 = [v_1, v_2].[v_2, v_3].[v_3, v_4].[v_4, v_1]$ , then for all  $i \in [r]$ , the face labeled  $(f, i)$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  can be described by the following 4-cycle,



We can then define an index- $r$  covering map  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  acting on an element  $(c, i)$  (vertex, edge or face) by  $p((c, i)) = c$ , and therefore  $p$  maps faces to faces. The inverse image of any vertex, edge or face is a set of  $r$  vertices, edges or faces.

We now consider a square-complex  $\mathcal{S}$ , possibly with an empty set of faces, i.e. a graph. Given a covering  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , a *deck transformation*<sup>2</sup> is an automorphism  $\psi : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  such that  $p \circ \psi = p$ . The set of deck transformations, endowed with the operation of composition of maps, forms a group denoted  $\text{deck}(p)$ . It is called the *group of deck transformations* and acts on the left on  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . A *Galois covering* is a covering enjoying a left, free and transitive action of  $\text{deck}(p)$  on the fiber. For any Galois covering,  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , it can be shown that  $\text{deck}(p) \backslash \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \cong \mathcal{S}$ .

In the rest of this section, by a covering  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  we always mean a *finite connected* covering, i.e. one whose index is finite and for which  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  is also a connected complex. The following crucial results of this section apply in this context.

The theory of connected covering maps over a complex depends on the structure of its fundamental group in the following way. For every index  $r$  subgroup  $H$  of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$  there exists an index  $r$  covering  $p : \mathcal{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , mapping the basepoint  $\tilde{v}$  of  $\mathcal{S}_H$  to  $v$ , and inducing an injective homomorphism  $p_{\#} : \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_H, \tilde{v}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)$ , such that  $p_{\#}\pi_1(\mathcal{S}_H, \tilde{v}) = H$ . A covering  $p : \mathcal{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is called *Galois* or *normal* when  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)$ . All the coverings that we will study in Section 4 are of this form. It can be shown that, for such a covering map, we have the isomorphism  $\text{deck}(p) \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)/H$ . The most

<sup>1</sup>This is the smallest cardinality of a generating set of the group.

<sup>2</sup>This name suggests an analogy to the mixing of a deck of cards, which are called sheets in the context of covering spaces.

important result on coverings is the classification theorem known as the Galois correspondence, which we state in our restricted setting of finite index coverings of complexes with finitely many cells.<sup>3</sup>

**Theorem 2.1** (Galois correspondence). *For all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a bijection between the set of basepoint-preserving isomorphism classes of index- $r$  connected covering spaces of a complex  $\mathcal{S}$  and the set of index- $r$  subgroups of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ . Given such a covering  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , it is obtained by associating the subgroup  $H = p_{\#}\pi_1(\tilde{\mathcal{S}})$  to the covering space  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ . The index of the associated covering is equal to the index  $[\pi_1(\mathcal{S}) : H]$ .*

This theorem motivates our exhaustive searches of all possible Galois coverings in Section 4. Using this correspondence, we also describe a procedure in Appendix A specifically designed to generate the covering of a square complex associated to a given subgroup of its fundamental group.

### 2.3 Tanner codes and their lifts

In this section, we recall some definitions and set up notations related to linear codes. The central components of our quantum codes are classical Tanner codes. We review their construction and a method to lift them into larger codes.

We denote the parameters of a binary linear code  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n$  as  $[n, k, d]$ , where  $n$  its the length,  $k$  its dimension and  $d$  its distance, defined as  $d = \min_{c \in C \setminus \{0\}} |c|$ . If  $k = 0$ , our convention is to set  $d = 0$ . In what

follows, a linear code will be defined by the image of a linear map  $g : \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , or the kernel of a linear map,  $h : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$ , respectively represented in a preferred basis  $B$  by a generator matrix  $G := \text{Mat}_B(g)$ , and a parity-check matrix  $H := \text{Mat}_B(h)$ . Given  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , the vector  $s = Hx$  is called the *syndrome* of  $x$ . The generator matrix of  $C$  is the parity-check matrix of its dual  $C^\perp = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : \forall c \in C, \langle x, c \rangle = 0\}$ . A code is called self-dual when  $C^\perp = C$ .

The central linear code construction of this article is the classical Tanner code of [Tan81], which was made popular by Sipser and Spielman [SS96].

Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be a graph on  $|E| = n$  edges. In the following  $\mathbb{F}_2 E := \bigoplus_{e \in E} \mathbb{F}_2 e$  denote the space of formal linear combination of elements of the set  $E$ , playing the role of basis vectors. Given a vertex,  $v$ , the space  $\mathbb{F}_2 E(v)$ , is the restriction of  $\mathbb{F}_2 E$  to the edge neighborhood of  $v$ . We define a set of binary *local codes*  $C_V := (C_v)_{v \in V}$  on the vertices of  $\mathcal{G}$ , where an element indexed by  $v \in V$  is a code defined on the edge neighborhood of  $v$ , i.e.  $C_v \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2 E(v)$ . For a vector  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2 E$ , we denote  $c|_{E(v)}$  its restriction to the edge-neighborhood of  $v$ .

**Definition 2.2** (Classical Tanner code). Let  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $C_V = (C_v)_{v \in V}$  be a set of binary local codes. We define the Tanner code associated to  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $C_V$  as

$$T(\mathcal{G}, C_V) = \{c \in \mathbb{F}_2 E : c|_{E(v)} \in C_v \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

A Tanner code can also be defined by the kernel of a parity-check matrix. Suppose that  $C_v$  is the kernel of a map  $h_v : \mathbb{F}_2 E(v) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{m_v}$  and let  $i_v : \mathbb{F}_2^{m_v} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in V} \mathbb{F}_2^{m_u}$  be the canonical linear embedding map. The Tanner code  $C = T(\mathcal{G}, C_V)$  is the kernel of the map  $h : \mathbb{F}_2 E \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in V} \mathbb{F}_2^{m_u}$ , defined on an edge between vertex  $v$  and  $w$  by

$$h(e) = i_v \circ h_v(e) + i_w \circ h_w(e), \quad (1)$$

and extended by linearity over  $\mathbb{F}_2 E$ . All vector spaces being given with a preferred basis,  $h_v$  can be represented by a matrix  $H_v$  and  $h$  by a matrix  $H$ . Denoting  $w, w_v$ , the maximum row-weight of  $H$  and  $H_v$ , respectively, and  $q, q_v$  their column-weight, we have

$$w = \max_{v \in V} w_v, \quad q \leq \max_{\{v, w\} \in E} q_v + q_w.$$

For linear codes, lifting is an operation of major interest that produces families of LDPC codes [Tho03], possibly with linear dimension and distance. It is equivalent to a covering of the Tanner graph representation of the code. In this article, we focus on lifts of Tanner codes. In that case, out of the many possible lifts, there exists a preferred choice, that we call the *lift of a Tanner code*, as we will not use other types of lifts. From now on, we consider a graph  $\mathcal{G}$  that has no loops. Then, given a graph covering  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ , the linear extension  $p_*$  of  $p$  on the set of edges induces an isomorphism<sup>4</sup>  $\mathbb{F}_2 \tilde{E}(\tilde{v}) \xrightarrow{p_*} \mathbb{F}_2 E(v)$ , for any  $\tilde{v} \in p^{-1}(v)$ , which by definition sends basis vectors to basis vectors, i.e. edges to edges.

<sup>3</sup>This theorem applies in a much broader context, but this is sufficient for our applications.

<sup>4</sup>In the context of coverings of cells complexes,  $p_*$  acts on chains of  $\mathbb{F}_2 \tilde{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2 \tilde{V}$  in the cellular chain complex and is a chain map.

**Definition 2.3** (Lift of Tanner code). Let  $C = T(\mathcal{G}, C_V)$  and  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  be a graph covering, with  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E})$ . For each vertex  $\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}$ , we associate the code  $C_{\tilde{v}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2 E(\tilde{v})$ , such that  $C_{\tilde{v}} \stackrel{D^*}{\cong} C_{p(\tilde{v})}$ . The lifted Tanner code associated to  $p$  is the code  $\tilde{C} = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, C_{\tilde{V}})$ , where  $C_{\tilde{V}} = (C_{\tilde{v}})_{\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}}$ . In other words,

$$T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, C_{\tilde{V}}) = \{c \in \mathbb{F}_2 \tilde{E} : p_*(c|_{\tilde{E}(\tilde{v})}) \in C_{p(\tilde{v})}, \text{ for all } \tilde{v} \in \tilde{V}\}$$

Using the notations of the previous definitions, suppose that for each  $v \in V$ ,  $C_v$  is the kernel of a map  $h_v$  represented by a matrix  $H_v$ , as described below Equation (1). Given a complete parity-check matrix  $H$  of the Tanner code  $C$ , we can construct a Tanner graph of  $C$ . In this context, by lifting we always mean that for any  $\tilde{v} \in p^{-1}(v)$ , we define the code  $C_{\tilde{v}}$  as the kernel of a map  $h_{\tilde{v}}$  represented by the matrix  $H_{\tilde{v}} = H_v$ . In this way, fixing a matrix representation  $H$  induces a matrix representation  $\tilde{H}$ , the parity-check matrix of  $\tilde{C}$ , up to permutation of the rows. Denoting  $\tilde{w}$ ,  $\tilde{q}$  the maximum row and column-weight of  $\tilde{H}$ , we have that

$$\tilde{q} = q, \quad \tilde{w} = w. \quad (2)$$

This can also be deduced from the fact that the lift of a Tanner code is an example of lift of a classical code associated to a certain covering, not necessarily connected, over the Tanner graph associated to  $H$ .

An automorphism of a binary linear code  $C$  is a permutation of the coordinates mapping  $C$  to itself. The set of automorphisms of  $C$  forms the group  $\text{Aut}(C)$ . In the case of a lifted Tanner code  $\tilde{C}$ , notice that the group of deck transformation of  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  is a symmetry group of the Tanner graph associated to the parity-check matrix  $\tilde{H}$  described above. Therefore, given an element  $\psi \in \text{deck}(p)$ , a vector  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2 \tilde{E}$  with syndrome  $\tilde{H}x = s$ , there exists a permutation  $\sigma$  of the syndrome coordinates such that  $\tilde{H}\psi(x) = \sigma(s)$ . As so,  $\text{deck}(p)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{C})$ , with the property that each element in this subgroup is equivalent to a certain permutation of the checks.

## 2.4 Local codes

Throughout this article, for an integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , we denote  $[\ell] = \{0, \dots, \ell - 1\}$ . The classical local codes that will constitute our Tanner codes are built as dual of tensor-product codes. The tensor-product of linear codes  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_C}$  and  $D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_D}$ , is the linear code denoted  $\Pi = C \otimes D \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_C} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_D}$ . The canonical bases of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_C}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_D}$  are indexed by the elements of  $[\ell_C]$  and  $[\ell_D]$ , respectively. These bases naturally induce the canonical product basis for  $\mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_C} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_D}$ , whose elements are indexed by  $[\ell_C] \times [\ell_D]$ . In this basis, the codewords of the product code can be seen as the matrices all of whose rows and columns are, respectively, codewords of  $C$  and  $D$ . The dual of the tensor-product is

$$\Pi^\perp = C^\perp \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_D} + \mathbb{F}_2^{\ell_C} \otimes D^\perp.$$

In the tensor codes considered later, one of the factor is a repetition code of length 2 and the other one is either a cyclic or a double-circulant code. We therefore recall some essential facts about these two types of codes, taken from [MS78].

A binary cyclic code  $C \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  is a code that is stable under the action of the automorphism  $\rho : \mathbb{F}_2^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$ ,  $(c_0, \dots, c_{\ell-1}) \mapsto (c_{\ell-1}, c_0, \dots, c_{\ell-2})$ .

A practical way to define a cyclic code is to identify  $\mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  and the polynomial ring  $R_\ell := \mathbb{F}_2[X]/(X^\ell - 1)$ , using the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear isomorphism

$$\varphi : R_\ell \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^\ell, \quad g_0 + g_1X + \dots + g_{\ell-1}X^{\ell-1} \longmapsto (g_0, \dots, g_{\ell-1}).$$

In  $R_\ell$ , the transformation corresponding to the rotation  $\rho$  is multiplication by  $X$ . A code  $C \subseteq R_\ell$  is cyclic if it is stable under this operation, and by linearity it is an ideal of  $R_\ell$ . These ideals are in one-to-one correspondence with the divisors of  $X^\ell - 1$ . Given  $g \in \mathbb{F}_2[X]$  such that  $g \mid X^\ell - 1$ , the code  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$  is defined as the code equal to the ideal generated by  $g$ , and  $g$  is referred the *generating polynomial* of the code. It is well-known that  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$  has dimension  $\ell - \deg(g)$ .

The dual of a cyclic code is cyclic and its generating polynomial can be obtained as follows. Given a polynomial  $h \in R_\ell$ , let  $\bar{h} := X^{\deg h} h(1/X)$  denote the reciprocal polynomial of  $h$ . Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ ,  $X^\ell - 1$  is equal to its reciprocal polynomial so, if  $h \mid X^\ell - 1$ , then  $\bar{h} \mid X^\ell - 1$ . Letting  $h$  be the polynomial such that  $gh = X^\ell - 1$ , also called the check-polynomial, we have  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)^\perp = \mathcal{C}_\ell(\bar{h})$ .

Given any polynomial  $f \in R_\ell$ , we define  $\mathbb{G}(f)$  as the circulant matrix whose first row is  $\varphi(f)$ . Note that  $G = \mathbb{G}(g)$  is a square generator matrix of the code. The row-weight of  $G$  is hence directly given by the weight of  $g$ , i.e. its number of non-zero coefficients.

The second type of linear codes considered in the tensor-product are double-circulant codes. They are a subclass of quasi-cyclic codes, built from any polynomial  $f \in R_\ell$ . The double-circulant code  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$  is defined as the image of the generator matrix

$$G = [ \mathbb{G}(1) \mid \mathbb{G}(f) ],$$

where  $\mathbb{G}(1)$  corresponds to the  $\ell \times \ell$  identity matrix. In Section 4.3, the ambient space  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$  of a double-circulant code  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)$  is always understood to be given with the canonical basis indexed by the columns of the generating matrix given in the above form. It is important to note that the code generated by  $G$  is invariant under simultaneous cyclic permutation within the left and right blocks. That is,  $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$  is a subgroup of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f))$ . The dual of  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)$  is generated by the matrix

$$H = [ \mathbb{G}(\bar{f}) \mid \mathbb{G}(1) ],$$

and is also invariant under simultaneous cyclic permutation within the left and right blocks.

### 3 Quantum Tanner codes and their lifts

#### 3.1 Quantum Tanner codes

CSS codes are instances of stabilizer quantum error correcting codes, which first appeared in the seminal work of Calderbank, Shor and Steane [CS96, Ste96a, Ste96b]. Let  $C_X$  and  $C_Z$  be two linear codes with parity-check matrices  $H_X$  and  $H_Z$ , such that  $C_X^\perp \subseteq C_Z$ , referred to as the *orthogonality condition*. The CSS code  $\text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$  is the subspace

$$\text{Span} \left\{ \sum_{z \in C_Z^\perp} |x+z\rangle \quad : \quad x \in C_X \right\}$$

of  $(\mathbb{C}^2)^{\otimes n}$ . Its parameters, denoted  $[[n, k, d]]$ , are *its length*  $n$ , *dimension*  $k = \dim(C_X/C_Z^\perp)$  and *distance*  $d$ . The latter is defined as  $d := \min(d_X, d_Z)$ , with

$$d_X = \min_{c \in C_Z \setminus C_X^\perp} |c|, \quad d_Z = \min_{c \in C_X \setminus C_Z^\perp} |c|.$$

The maximum row weight and column weight in the parity check matrix  $H_X$ , respectively  $H_Z$ , are denoted  $w_X, q_X$ , respectively  $w_Z, q_Z$ . We denote  $W := \max(w_X, q_X, w_Z, q_Z)$ . A family of codes is called *Low Density Parity Check* (LDPC) if  $W$  is upper bounded by a constant. If  $k = 0$ , our convention is to set  $d = 0$ .

The only CSS codes that we consider in this article are *quantum Tanner codes*, a type of codes introduced by Leverrier and Zémor [LZ22], in which  $C_X, C_Z$  is a pair of classical Tanner codes.

A practical way to build two Tanner codes  $C_X$  and  $C_Z$  satisfying the orthogonality condition is to generate them simultaneously by considering two specific graphs embedded in a square-complex. Let  $\mathcal{S} := (V, E, F)$  be a bipartite square-complex and denote the partition  $V = V_X \sqcup V_Z$ . As remarked in [LZ22], if we restrict the vertex set to  $V_X$ , each square face is now incident to only two vertices, in its opposite corners. The set of squares  $F$  can now be seen as a set of edges on  $V_X$ , and therefore it defines a graph that we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square = (V_X, F)$  and call the *diagonal graph* on  $V_X$ . Similarly, restricting the vertex set to those of  $V_Z$ , we obtain the diagonal graph  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square = (V_Z, F)$ . These graphs can then be treated as the graph components of two classical Tanner codes whose set of bits are identified with  $F$ , and as so we can identify the ambient space of these codes as  $\mathbb{F}_2 F$ . However, to fully define these codes, it remains to coherently assign local codes  $C_{V_X}, C_{V_Z}$  to the vertices of the graphs  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square, \mathcal{G}_Z^\square$ , in order to obtain the Tanner codes

$$C_X = T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X}), \quad C_Z = T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z}),$$

such that  $C_X^\perp \subseteq C_Z$ . This procedure is better carried out case by case, and will be undertaken in Section 4 on explicit square-complexes.

#### 3.2 Lifting quantum Tanner codes

We now describe a lifting procedure for quantum Tanner codes, which generalizes the lift of [Gue24]. The approach is an extension of the method applied in [PK22a] to design a family of Sipser-Spielman codes [SS96], with optimal parameters. Here, we use a covering of a bipartite square-complex in order to create one for each of its two diagonal graphs.

Suppose that  $\text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$  is a quantum Tanner code, built over a bipartite square-complex  $\mathcal{S} = (V = V_X \sqcup V_Z, E, F)$ , with  $C_X = T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X})$  and  $C_Z = T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z})$ . Given a covering map  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , the 1-skeleton of  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{F})$  is also bipartite with bipartition  $\tilde{V} = \tilde{V}_X \sqcup \tilde{V}_Z$ , where  $\tilde{V}_X = p^{-1}(V_X)$  and  $\tilde{V}_Z = p^{-1}(V_Z)$ . We can hence consider the diagonal graphs  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square = (\tilde{V}_X, \tilde{F})$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square = (\tilde{V}_Z, \tilde{F})$ .

Using the notation above, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The covering map  $p$  induces two covering maps  $p_X : \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_X^\square$  and  $p_Z : \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_Z^\square$ . If  $p$  is a Galois covering map, then  $p_X$  and  $p_Z$  are also Galois.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is an index- $r$  covering defined by an assignment of permutations to all the oriented edges of  $\mathcal{S}$ , i.e  $\pi_e \in S_r$  for  $r > 0$  such that  $\pi_{e^{-1}} = \pi_e^{-1}$ . It is essential to note that  $\tilde{V}_X = p^{-1}(V_X)$ ,  $\tilde{V}_Z = p^{-1}(V_Z)$ , and  $\tilde{F} = p^{-1}(F)$ , so that we have  $r$  vertices or faces in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square$  projected by  $p$  onto each vertex or face in  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$ , and similarly for the diagonal graph on  $V_Z$ . We now need to show that these cells are assembled into coverings of the diagonal graphs.

Given a face  $f \in F$  described by the path  $e_1.e_2.e_3.e_4 = [v_1, v_2].[v_2, v_3].[v_3, v_4].[v_4, v_1]$ , then for all  $i \in [r]$ , the face labeled  $(f, i)$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  can be defined by the 4-cycle as described in Section 2.2. Therefore, if  $e = [v_1, v_3]$  is an edge in  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$ , then an edge  $(e, i)$  in the covering  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square$ , projected onto  $e$  by  $p$ , is written  $[(v_1, i), (v_3, \pi_{e_2} \circ \pi_{e_1}(i))]$ . Let us assign the permutation  $\pi_{e_2} \circ \pi_{e_1} \in S_r$  to  $e$ . We can similarly assign a composition of two permutations to each diagonal edge of  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$ , according to the corresponding square in  $\mathcal{S}$ . This defines a covering map  $p_X : \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_X^\square$ . A similar procedure on  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square$  defines a covering map  $p_Z : \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_Z^\square$ .

If  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a Galois covering, then  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square$  inherits the free transitive action of the group  $\text{deck}(p)$ , since we can see  $p_X$  as a restriction of  $p$  on the vertices and on the diagonal of the faces in  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square$ . Therefore,  $p_X : \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_X^\square$  is also a Galois covering with a group of deck transformations  $\text{deck}(p)$ , and similarly for  $p_Z$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.2.** If  $p$  is a connected covering, then  $p_X$  and  $p_Z$  are also connected coverings. Indeed, if two faces are adjacent in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , then their induced edges in any of the two diagonal graphs must also be adjacent, and using this argument for any two distant faces in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$  shows that any two edges in the diagonal graphs are connected by a path.

We now have all the elements to define the lift of a quantum Tanner code, using the lift of classical Tanner codes of Definition 2.3.

**Definition 3.3** (Lift of quantum Tanner code). Let  $\tilde{C}_X = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_X})$  and  $\tilde{C}_Z = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_Z})$  be lifted Tanner codes associated to  $p_X$  and  $p_Z$ , as above, of  $C_X = T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X})$  and  $C_Z = T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z})$ , and satisfying  $\tilde{C}_X^\perp \subseteq \tilde{C}_Z$ . We define the lifted quantum Tanner code associated to  $p$  as the code  $\text{CSS}(\tilde{C}_X, \tilde{C}_Z)$ .

This definition suggests that the lifted classical Tanner codes associated to  $p_X$  and  $p_Z$  do not always satisfy the orthogonality condition. This depends on certain properties of the complex  $\mathcal{S}$ , and on the choice of local codes. However, there are cases where they always do, as shown below.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $\text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$  denote a quantum Tanner code over a bipartite square-complex  $\mathcal{S}$ , for which the star of every vertex has a simply connected closure. Then the lifting procedure above, applied to every finite covering space,  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ , defines a valid CSS code.*

*Proof.* The star of every vertex having a simply connected closure, the support intersection between a check of  $\tilde{C}_X$  and one of  $\tilde{C}_Z$ , associated to two incident vertices of  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ , is isomorphic to the support intersection of their projection in  $\mathcal{S}$  by the covering map.  $\square$

Hereafter, we define quantum Tanner code families beyond this restriction on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

In this work, an automorphism of a quantum code  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$  is defined as a permutation of the coordinates of the qubits which is equivalent to a permutation of the parity checks of both  $H_X$  and  $H_Z$ . The set of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{Q}$  forms the group  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{Q})$ . The automorphism group of a quantum code is known to be related to the set of logical Clifford operations implementable on the code in a fault-tolerant way [BB24].

In the case of a lifted quantum Tanner code  $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}$ , notice that the group of deck transformation of  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  is a symmetry group of the Tanner graph of the quantum code. It is also a symmetry group of each of the Tanner graphs associated to  $\tilde{H}_X$  and  $\tilde{H}_Z$ . Thus, given an element<sup>5</sup>  $\psi \in \text{deck}(p)$ , a vector  $c \in \mathbb{F}_2\tilde{F}$  with syndrome  $\tilde{H}_X c = s_X$  and  $\tilde{H}_Z c = s_Z$ , there exist two permutations  $\sigma_X$  and  $\sigma_Z$  of the syndrome coordinates such that  $\tilde{H}_X \psi(c) = \sigma_X(s_X)$  and  $\tilde{H}_Z \psi(c) = \sigma_Z(s_Z)$ . Therefore,  $\text{deck}(p)$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}})$ .

<sup>5</sup>The action of  $\psi$  is naturally extended to the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -space of the lifted codes




FIG. 1: Left: space homotopy equivalent to the presentation complex of  $L(3)$ . Right: its subdivision into a square-complex and the indexing of the faces by  $(i, j) \in [2] \times [\ell]$ .

## 4 New constructions of quantum Tanner codes

### 4.1 General procedure

In this section and the next, we introduce new codes constructed by lifting short quantum Tanner codes. As described previously, to define a short code, we need a square-complex and a set of local codes associated to its vertices. The possibility of lifting a code is governed by Theorem 2.1, and for the purpose of generating families of lifted codes, the square complex must have a fundamental group with infinitely many finite-index subgroups. Our strategy is to select a group  $G$  and construct a bipartite square-complex  $\mathcal{S}$  which has  $G$  for fundamental group, and has a local product structure. In Section 4.2 and Section 4.3, we obtain  $\mathcal{S}$  by cellulating the presentation complex of a finitely-presented group, or a homotopy equivalent space.

We first describe the construction of a presentation complex, as exposed in [Hat02]. Let  $G = \langle S|R \rangle$  be a group presentation, where  $S$  is the set of generators denoted  $g_i$  and  $R$  the set of relations denoted  $r_j$ .  $G$  is the quotient of a free group  $F_{|S|}$  on the generators of  $S$  by the normal closure of the group generated by  $R$ . The relations of  $R$  are the generators of the kernel of the map  $F_{|S|} \rightarrow G$ . For any group presentation, we can utilize this quotient structure to construct a two-dimensional cell complex  $M_G$ , called the *presentation complex* of  $G$ , having 1 0-cell,  $|S|$  1-cells and  $|R|$  2-cells, and such that  $\pi_1(M_G) = G$ . To construct it, we start from its 1-skeleton: the wedge of circles  $\vee_i S_i^1$  attached to a vertex  $v$  and consists of edges  $e_{g_i}$  that are directed loops. This gives a space with fundamental group  $F_{|S|}$ . A relation is a product of the generators and it specifies a circuit in the graph. For each relation  $r_j$ , we attach one 2-cell, labeled  $f_j$ , along the circuit specified by  $r_j$ . For example, if  $r_j = g_i g_j \dots g_k$ , then we attach a face along the circuit  $e_{g_i} \cdot e_{g_j} \dots e_{g_k}$ . The effect is to trivialize the element of  $F_{|S|}$  corresponding to  $r_j$ .

We will focus on group presentations with 2 generators and 1 relation. As such, all of their associated presentation complexes have 2 edges and 1 face. It is, however, important to note that these 2D spaces are not square-complexes in general. Given one of these groups,  $G$ , by subdividing the face of its presentation complexes, or a homotopy equivalent space, into squares, we will be able to obtain a bipartite square-complexes  $\mathcal{S} = (V = V_X \sqcup V_Z, E, F)$  that has locally the structure of a product space.

From the diagonal graphs of  $\mathcal{S}$ , and a choice of local linear codes, it is possible to generate Tanner codes  $C_X = T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X})$  and  $C_Z = T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z})$ , satisfying  $C_X^\perp \subseteq C_Z$ . They will be chosen to have a symmetry group adapted to the local product structure of  $\mathcal{S}$  and to its periodic boundary conditions. These will be dual of tensor-product of cyclic or double-circulant codes.

Lastly, new square-complexes will be obtained by considering connected Galois covering spaces, such as  $p: \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ . By restricting  $p$  to  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$  and  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square$ , we define the covering maps  $p_X: \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_X^\square$  and  $p_Z: \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_Z^\square$ , which are also connected and Galois, as discussed in Section 3.2. We then use the procedure of Definition 3.3 to lift  $\text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$ . Recall that for each lift, the group of deck transformation is a subgroup of the automorphism group of the corresponding code.

To compute subgroups and quotient groups of  $G$ , needed for the Galois covering-space constructions, we use the GAP package LINS [GAP24]. As we are interested in short codes, we only compute normal subgroups up to index 30. To compute an upper bound on the distances  $d_X$  and  $d_Z$  of  $\text{CSS}(\tilde{C}_X, \tilde{C}_Z)$ , we use the GAP package QDistRnd [PSK22].

### 4.2 Quantum Tanner code with cyclic local code

Our first example of quantum Tanner code is obtained by considering a space homotopy equivalent to the presentation complex of the group

$$L(\ell) = \langle a, b | a^\ell b^{-\ell} \rangle,$$



combined with a set of local tensor-product codes of length  $2\ell$ , and their dual.

This space is shown in Figure 1 for  $\ell = 3$ . We build a square-complex by subdividing each horizontal edge into 2 edges. We also connect the new vertices by additional vertical edges, which may be multi-edges, hence subdividing the unique face. This forms the bipartite square-complex  $\mathcal{S}_\ell = (V = V_X \sqcup V_Z, E, F)$  which has  $|V| = 4$ ,  $|E| = 2\ell + 4$ , and  $|F| = 2\ell$ . The subdivision for  $\ell = 3$  is also shown in Figure 1. Notice that the 1-skeleton of this complex is a bipartite multi-graph, which is sufficient to use it for a quantum Tanner code construction.

We index the faces of this complex by the product set  $[2] \times [\ell]$ , where the index  $(i, j)$  corresponds to the face in the  $(i + 1) \cdot (j + 1)$ -th position, when counting from left to right in Figure 1. We can see that each vertex is incident to all the  $2\ell$  faces. The associated  $2\ell$  edges of the diagonal graphs  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$  and  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square$  inherits the indexing of the faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ .

To construct the local code, we first consider  $\mathcal{C}_2(1 + X)$ , the repetition code of length 2, and  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$ , the cyclic code of length  $\ell$  generated by  $g$ . We define the tensor code  $\Pi_X, \Pi_Z \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_X &= \mathcal{C}_2(1 + X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_\ell(g), \\ \Pi_Z &= \mathcal{C}_2(1 + X) \otimes \mathcal{C}_\ell(g)^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  is endowed with the canonical product basis indexed by  $[2] \times [\ell]$ , as described in Section 2.4.

We start by defining a classical Tanner code associated to  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$ . The graph  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square = (V_X, F)$  has only two vertices,  $V_X = \{x_0, x_1\}$ , as shown in Figure 1. The edge-neighborhood of these vertices, in the graph, is equal to their face-neighborhood in the complex  $\mathcal{S}$ , and  $F(x_0) = F(x_1) = F$ . Each local code associated to these vertices is set to be isomorphic to the dual of the tensor code  $C_{x_t} \cong \Pi_X^\perp$ ,  $t = 0, 1$ . For  $t = 0$ , the isomorphism is induced by the isomorphism  $\phi_{x_0} : \mathbb{F}_2 F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  sending edges to the canonical basis vectors. For simplicity, we describe this map by its action on the indexing of the basis elements, i.e. the faces, which is given by

$$(i, j) \mapsto (i, i + j \bmod \ell),$$

where our choices of indexing for basis elements in the case of product codes and cyclic codes are described in Section 2.4. The bijection  $\phi_{x_1} : \mathbb{F}_2 F \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^\ell$  is determined by the identity mapping on this indexing

$$(i, j) \mapsto (i, j).$$

This completes the characterization of the local codes  $C_{V_X} = (C_{x_i})_{i \in \{0,1\}}$  and of the classical Tanner code  $C_X := T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X})$ .

The classical Tanner code associated to  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square$  is defined similarly. The graph  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square = (V_Z, F)$  also has two vertices,  $V_Z = \{z_0, z_1\}$ , which are incident to all the faces, i.e.  $F(z_0) = F(z_1) = F$ . Each local code associated to these vertices is set to be isomorphic to the dual of the same tensor code,  $C_{z_t} \cong \Pi_Z^\perp$  where each isomorphism is induced by  $\phi_{z_t} = \phi_{x_t}$ , for  $t = 0, 1$ . This completes the characterization of  $C_{V_Z} = (C_{z_i})_{i \in \{0,1\}}$  and  $C_Z := T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z})$ .

We now explain in words why the code  $\mathcal{Q} = \text{CSS}(C_X, C_Z)$  is well-defined. The first factor of each product code  $\Pi_X, \Pi_Z$  is a repetition code to ensure that the checks associated with  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  commute with the checks associated to the vertices  $z_1$  and  $x_0$ , respectively. Moreover, due to the identification of the left and right edges of the presentation complex, the linear codes need to have a cyclic symmetry, in order for a check associated to  $x_0$  to commute with one associated to  $z_1$ . This is why the second factor in  $\Pi_X$  and  $\Pi_Z$  can be, respectively, a cyclic code and its dual to ensure  $C_X^\perp \subseteq C_Z$ . In fact, this constraint is too strong for commutativity here, but it becomes necessary when the code is lifted.

Another way to describe  $C_X$  and  $C_Z$  is by the kernel of a parity-check matrix  $H_X$  and  $H_Z$ , as explained in Section 2.3. We denote  $h$  the check polynomial of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$ . In a certain basis, the parity check matrix can be written

$$H_X = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{G}(Xg(X)) & \mathbb{G}(g(X)) \\ \hline \mathbb{G}(g(X)) & \mathbb{G}(g(X)) \end{array} \right], \quad H_Z = \left[ \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbb{G}(\bar{h}(X)) & \mathbb{G}(\bar{h}(X)) \\ \hline \mathbb{G}(Xh(X)) & \mathbb{G}(h(X)) \end{array} \right].$$

It is direct to verify that  $H_X \cdot H_Z^T = 0$ , using that  $\mathbb{G}(Xg(X))$  is a cyclic permutation of  $\mathbb{G}(g(X))$ .

Now that we have described quantum Tanner codes constructed on the square-complex  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ , we can consider their lifts, as in Definition 3.3. Our first objective is to prove that our construction behaves well under lifting.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell$  be a covering map and  $\tilde{C}_X = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_X})$ ,  $\tilde{C}_Z = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_Z})$ , the lifted classical Tanner codes associated to  $p$ . Then  $\tilde{C}_X^\perp \subseteq \tilde{C}_Z$ .*

$\ell$	$W$	lift index	deck( $p$ )	$[[n, k, d]]$	$d^2/n$
10	4	1	1	$[[20, 2, 2]]$	0.2
		20	$D_{20}, \mathbb{Z}_5 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$	$[[400, 2, 20]]$	1
14	12	1	1	$[[28, 2, 6]]$	1.25
		4	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_4$	$[[112, 2, 12]]$	1.25
		7	$\mathbb{Z}_7$	$[[196, 2, 18]]$	1.65
		16	$\mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_8 \times \mathbb{Z}_2, Q_{16}$	$[[448, 2, 24]]$	1.25
		28	$\mathbb{Z}_{28}$	$[[784, 2, 36]]$	1.65

Table 1: Parameters of selected lifted quantum Tanner codes built from the space of Figure 1, with homotopy group  $L(\ell)$ . Different groups in an entry of the 4th column correspond to different lifted codes with the same parameters. Here,  $W$  denotes the maximum row or column-weight of the parity-check matrices. The value of  $d$  is an upper bound found with the GAP package [PSK22], and in all these cases  $d_X = d_Z$ . The specific local codes involved in these constructions are described in Examples 4.2 and 4.3.

*Proof.* The idea is to determine the intersection of the face neighborhood  $F(\tilde{x}_s) \cap F(\tilde{z}_t)$ , for any pair of vertices  $\tilde{x}_s \in p^{-1}(x_s)$  and  $\tilde{z}_t \in p^{-1}(z_t)$ ,  $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$ , and show that the support intersection of any  $X$  and  $Z$  checks associated to these vertices is of even size. By symmetry of the code, it is sufficient to verify this condition for pairs  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_0)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_1)$  in  $\tilde{S}_\ell$ .

It is clear that any adjacent vertices  $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_0$  share at least 2 faces, which are attached to an edge  $\tilde{e} = \{\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_0\}$ , drawn vertically on Figure 1. Since a  $X$ -check is a codeword of the product code  $\Pi_X$ , if it acts on the qubit associated to one of the two faces, then it must also act on the other, and similarly for a  $Z$ -check. Therefore, the support of an  $X$  and  $Z$  check associated to the vertices  $\tilde{x}_0$  and  $\tilde{z}_0$ , respectively, must intersect on an even number of qubits.

A pair of adjacent vertices of the form  $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_1$  are connected by an edge incident to  $\ell$  faces in  $\tilde{S}_\ell$ . The support vector of an  $X$ -check associated  $\tilde{x}_0$  is a codeword of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$ , while the support vector of a  $Z$ -check associated  $\tilde{z}_1$  is a codeword of  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)^\perp$ . These vectors being orthogonal, their support intersection is even.  $\square$

To illustrate this construction, we instantiate the case  $\ell = 10$  and  $\ell = 14$  in the examples below, and we summarize the parameters of selected lifted codes in Table 1.

**Example 4.2.** The instances for  $\ell = 10$  in Table 1 are obtained when the cyclic code  $\mathcal{C}_\ell(g)$  has generating polynomial  $g(X) = 1 + X^5$ , and check polynomial  $h(X) = g(X)$ . Used as a local code, this produces Tanner codes with check-weight 4. Similarly to the Tanner version of the toric code, they could be seen as rotated versions of certain topological codes.

**Example 4.3.** The instances for  $\ell = 14$ , in Table 1, are obtained by using the shortest example of non-trivial cyclic self-dual binary code [ST83]. This has parameters  $[14, 7, 4]$ , and is generated by the polynomial

$$g(X) = (X + 1)(X^3 + X + 1)^2 = 1 + X^1 + X^2 + X^3 + X^6 + X^7.$$

The generator matrix associated to  $g$  has row-weight 6, but by elementary operations, we can make it into a full-rank generator matrix with 6 rows of weight 4, and 1 row of weight 6. This means that for the associated quantum Tanner code and its lifts,  $1/7$  of the checks are of weight 12 and the others are of weight 8. We emphasize that the four last lines of Table 1 represent degenerate codes with distance  $d > \sqrt{n}$ .

### 4.3 Quantum Tanner code with double-circulant local code

Our second construction is based on the presentation complex  $M_\ell$  of the Baumslag-Solitar group,

$$\text{BS}(\ell, \ell) = \langle a, b | ab^\ell a^{-1} b^{-\ell} \rangle,$$

where  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ , combined with a set of local tensor-product codes.

The presentation complex, shown for  $\ell = 3$  in Figure 2 has two directed edges,  $e_a$  and  $e_b$ , associated, respectively, to the generator  $a$  and  $b$  of the group presentation. It is modified into a bipartite square-complex by subdividing the unique face into 2 rows of  $4\ell$  square faces, thus also subdividing the horizontal edge  $e_b$  into 4 edges indexed by  $[4]$ , and the vertical edge  $e_a$  into 2 edges indexed by  $[2]$ , and by adding new horizontal and vertical edges. This forms the bipartite square-complex  $\mathcal{S}_\ell = (V = V_X \sqcup V_Z, E, F)$  having  $|V| = 4\ell + 4$ ,  $|E| = 8\ell + 4$ , and  $|F| = 8\ell$ , each new vertex of the subdivided edge  $e_b$  being incident to  $4\ell$  faces, and those of the middle cycle of edges to 4 faces.




FIG. 2: Left: presentation complex  $M_\ell$  of  $\text{BS}(\ell, \ell)$  for  $\ell = 3$ . Right: its subdivision into a bipartite square-complex, and the indexing of the faces by  $(i, j, \kappa) \in [4] \times [\ell] \times [2]$ .

We index the faces of this complex by the set  $[4] \times [\ell] \times [2]$ , where  $(i, j, \kappa)$  represents the face in the upper row if  $\kappa = 0$ , and in the  $(i + 1) \cdot (j + 1)$ -th position when counting from left to right in Figure 2. The face  $(i, j, \kappa)$  is therefore adjacent to the edge indexed  $i$  in the subdivision of  $e_b$ . The  $8\ell$  edges of the diagonal graphs  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square, \mathcal{G}_Z^\square$  inherits the indexing of the faces of  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ .

To construct the local code, we consider  $\mathcal{C}_2(1 + X)$ , the repetition code of length 2, and the double-circulant code  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)$ , with polynomial  $f \in R_\ell$ . We define the tensor codes  $\Pi_X, \Pi_Z \subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}\Pi_X &= \mathcal{C}_2(1 + X) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f) \\ \Pi_Z &= \mathcal{C}_2(1 + X) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)^\perp,\end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$  endowed with the product basis indexed by the set  $[2] \times [2\ell]$  as described in Section 2.4.

We first define a classical Tanner code on  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square = (V_X, F)$ , where  $V_X = \{x_i, i \in [2\ell + 2]\}$ . This graph has 2-vertices of degree  $4\ell$ , labeled  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ , coming from the subdivision of the edge  $e_b$ . Their edge neighborhood in  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$  is equal to their face neighborhood in  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ , and they satisfy  $F(x_0) \cap F(x_1) = \emptyset$ ,  $F(x_0) \cup F(x_1) = F$ . Each local code associated to these vertices is set to be isomorphic to the dual of the tensor code  $C_{x_t} \cong \Pi_X^\perp$ , where the isomorphism is induced by  $\phi_{x_t} : \mathbb{F}_2 F(x_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$  for  $t = 0, 1$ , sending edges to the canonical basis vectors. For simplicity, we describe these maps by their action on the indexing of basis elements (the faces). For  $t = 0$ , the action of  $\phi_{x_0}$  is given as follows on the indexing of elements of  $F(x_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(0, j, \kappa) &\mapsto (0, \kappa\ell + j) \\ (3, j, \kappa) &\mapsto (1, \kappa\ell + (j + 1 \bmod \ell)).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for  $t = 1$ , the bijection  $\phi_{x_1}$  is determined by the following action on the indexing of the faces of  $F(x_1)$ ,

$$(i, j, \kappa) \mapsto (i \bmod 2, \kappa\ell + j).$$

The graph  $\mathcal{G}_X^\square$  has also  $2\ell$  vertices of degree 4, labeled  $x_t$ ,  $t = 2, \dots, 2\ell + 1$ , from the central horizontal circuit, see Figure 2. For all of them, we set  $C_{x_t}$  to be the parity code of length 4, i.e. the single parity-check assigned to vertex  $x_t$  has full support over its face neighborhood  $F(x_t)$  in  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ . This completes the characterization of  $C_{V_X} = (C_{x_i})_{i \in [2\ell + 1]}$  of the classical Tanner code  $C_X := T(\mathcal{G}_X^\square, C_{V_X})$ .

The classical Tanner code associated to  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square$  is defined similarly. The graph  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square = (V_Z, F)$ , where  $V_Z = \{z_i, i \in [2\ell + 2]\}$ , has 2-vertices of degree  $4\ell$  labeled  $z_0$  and  $z_1$ . They satisfy  $F(z_0) \cap F(z_1) = \emptyset$ ,  $F(z_0) \cup F(z_1) = F$ . For each of these vertices, we define a local codes  $C_{z_t} \cong \Pi_Z^\perp$ , where this isomorphism is induced by the isomorphism  $\phi_{z_t} : \mathbb{F}_2 F(z_t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2 \otimes \mathbb{F}_2^{2\ell}$ . As previously, we describe each map  $\phi_{z_t}$  by its action on the index set of the faces, which for  $t = 0, 1$  is given by

$$(i, j, \kappa) \mapsto (i \bmod 2, \kappa\ell + j).$$

The graph  $\mathcal{G}_Z^\square$  has also  $2\ell$  vertices of degree 4, labeled  $z_t$ ,  $t = 2, \dots, 2\ell + 1$ , from the central horizontal circuit, see Figure 2. For all of them, we also set  $C_{z_t}$  to be the parity code of length 4. This completes the characterization of  $C_{V_Z} = (C_{z_i})_{i \in [2\ell + 1]}$  and  $C_Z := T(\mathcal{G}_Z^\square, C_{V_Z})$ .

In words, the first factor of the product codes  $\Pi_X, \Pi_Z$  is a repetition code to ensure that the checks associated with  $x_t, z_t, t = 0, 1$  commute with the middle-checks, those for  $t = 2, \dots, 2\ell + 1$ . Moreover, due to the identification of the left and right edges of the presentation complex, the linear code needs to have a simultaneous cyclic symmetry for  $\kappa = 0, 1$  in order for a check associated to  $x_0$  to commute with

$\ell$	$W$	lift index	deck( $p$ )	$[[n, k, d]]$	$d^2/n$
3	6	1	1	$[[24, 0, 0]]$	0
		12	$\mathbb{Z}_{12}, D_{12}, \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$	$[[288, 4, 6]]$	0.125
		24	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \times (\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4),$ $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_8, \mathbb{Z}_4 \times S_3$	$[[576, 4, 24]]$	1
4	8	1	$\{e\}$	$[[32, 2, 4]]$	0.5
		3	$\mathbb{Z}_3$	$[[96, 2, 12]]$	1.5
		5	$\mathbb{Z}_5$	$[[160, 2, 16]]$	1.6

Table 2: Parameters of selected lifted quantum Tanner codes built over the presentation complex of  $BS(\ell, \ell)$ . Different groups in an entry of the 4th column correspond to different lifted codes with the same parameters. Here  $W$  denotes the maximum row or column-weight of the parity-check matrices. The value of  $d$  is an upper bound found with the GAP package [PSK22], and in all these cases  $d_X = d_Z$ . The specific local codes involved in these constructions are described in Examples 4.5 and 4.6.

one associated to  $z_1$ . This is why the second factor in  $\Pi_X$  and  $\Pi_Z$  must necessarily be, respectively, a double-circulant code and its dual.

Now that we have described quantum Tanner codes constructed over the square-complex  $\mathcal{S}_\ell$ , we can consider their lifts, as in Definition 3.3. Our first objective is to prove that our construction behaves well under the operation of lift.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $p : \tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_\ell$  be a covering map and  $\tilde{C}_X = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_X^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_X})$ ,  $\tilde{C}_Z = T(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_Z^\square, C_{\tilde{V}_Z})$  be the lifted classical Tanner codes associated to  $p$ . Then  $\tilde{C}_X^\perp \subseteq \tilde{C}_Z$ .*

*Proof.* The objective is to determine the intersection of the face neighborhood  $F(\tilde{x}_s) \cap F(\tilde{z}_t)$ , for any pair of vertices  $\tilde{x}_s \in p^{-1}(x_s)$  and  $\tilde{z}_t \in p^{-1}(z_t)$ ,  $s, t \in \{0 \dots 2\ell + 1\}$ , and show that the support intersection of any  $X$  and  $Z$  checks associated to these vertices is of even size. By symmetry, of the code, it is sufficient to check for pairs  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_0)$  and  $(\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_2)$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\ell$ .

It is clear that any adjacent vertices  $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_2$  are incident to either 2 or 4 common faces. It is possible to partition this set of faces into pairs of adjacent faces and sharing an edge  $\tilde{e}$  whose image by  $p$  is a vertical edge  $\{x_0, z_2\}$  on Figure 2). Since a  $X$ -check is a codeword of the product code  $\Pi_X = \mathcal{C}_2(1 + X) \otimes \mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)$ , if it acts on the qubit associated to one of the two faces of a given pair, then it must also act on the other. Moreover,  $Z$  check has full support on the qubits associated to the face neighborhood of  $\tilde{z}_2$ . Therefore, the support of an  $X$  and  $Z$  check associated to the vertices  $\tilde{x}_0$  and  $\tilde{z}_0$ , respectively, must intersect on an even number of qubits.

A pair of adjacent vertices of the form  $\tilde{x}_0, \tilde{z}_1$  are connected by an edge incident to  $2\ell$  faces in  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_\ell$ . The support vector of an  $X$ -check associated  $\tilde{x}_0$  is a codeword of  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)$  on these  $2\ell$  faces, while the support vector of a  $Z$ -check associated  $\tilde{z}_1$  is a codeword of  $\mathcal{D}_{2\ell}(f)^\perp$ . These vectors being orthogonal, their support intersection is even.  $\square$

To illustrate this construction, we consider two instances and their lifts in the examples below, for  $\ell = 3$  or  $\ell = 4$ , and we summarize the parameters of selected lifted codes in Table 2.

**Example 4.5.** The shortest example of non-trivial quantum Tanner code is defined for  $\ell = 3$ . In Table 2, the double-circulant code used in the tensor product is either the  $[6, 3, 3]$  code  $\mathcal{D}_6(f)$  with  $f(X) = X + X^2$ , or its dual. In this case, we notice that the quantum Tanner code encodes no logical qubits. However, by lifting it, we find codes of check weight 6 with positive dimension.

**Example 4.6.** The case  $\ell = 4$  in Table 2 is obtained by using the shortest example of non-trivial double circulant self-dual binary code. This is the  $[8, 4, 4]$  extended Hamming code  $\mathcal{D}_8(f)$  with  $f(X) = X + X^2 + X^3$ . The generator matrix of  $\mathcal{D}_8(f)$  has row-weight 4, meaning that each of the classical Tanner code  $C_X$  and  $C_Z$  has 16 parity checks, 8 of which being of weight 8. The 8 others are defined on vertices indexed by  $t = 2, \dots, 9$  and are parity-checks of parity codes of weight 4. The weights of the parity-checks are found in the same proportions in the lifted codes. We emphasize that in the two last lines of Table 2, the codes are obtained by cyclic lifts, and their distances satisfy  $d > \sqrt{n}$ .

## Acknowledgement

The first author would like to thank Benjamin Audoux and Anthony Leverrier for valuable discussions throughout this work. We acknowledge the Plan France 2030 through the project NISQ2LSQ ANR-22-PETQ-0006.

## References

- [BB24] Nikolas P. Breuckmann and Simon Burton. Fold-Transversal Clifford Gates for Quantum Codes. *Quantum*, 8:1372, June 2024.
- [BCG<sup>+</sup>24] Sergey Bravyi, Andrew W. Cross, Jay M. Gambetta, Dmitri Maslov, Patrick Rall, and Theodore J. Yoder. High-threshold and low-overhead fault-tolerant quantum memory. *Nature*, 627(8005):778–782, March 2024.
- [CS96] A. R. Calderbank and Peter W. Shor. Good quantum error-correcting codes exist. *Physical Review A*, 54(2):1098–1105, August 1996.
- [DEL<sup>+</sup>21] Irit Dinur, Shai Evra, Ron Livne, Alexander Lubotzky, and Shahar Mozes. Locally Testable Codes with constant rate, distance, and locality, 2021.
- [GAP24] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.13.0*, 2024.
- [GT77] Jonathan L. Gross and Thomas W. Tucker. Generating all graph coverings by permutation voltage assignments. *Discrete Mathematics*, 18(3):273–283, 1977.
- [Gue24] Virgile Guemard. Lifts of quantum CSS codes, 2024.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [LH22] Ting-Chun Lin and Min-Hsiu Hsieh. Good quantum LDPC codes with linear time decoder from lossless expanders, 2022.
- [LS01] Roger C. Lyndon and Paul E. Schupp. *Combinatorial Group Theory*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2001.
- [LZ22] Anthony Leverrier and Gilles Zémor. Quantum Tanner codes. In *FOCS 2022 - IEEE 63rd Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 872–883, Denver, United States, October 2022. IEEE.
- [MRL24] Olai Å. Mostad, Eirik Rosnes, and Hsuan-Yin Lin. Generalizing quantum tanner codes. In *2024 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Workshops (ISIT-W)*, pages 1–6, 2024.
- [MS78] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J.A. Sloane. *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*. North-holland Publishing Company, 2nd edition, 1978.
- [PK22a] Pavel Panteleev and Gleb Kalachev. Asymptotically good Quantum and locally testable classical LDPC codes. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, STOC '22*. ACM, June 2022.
- [PK22b] Pavel Panteleev and Gleb Kalachev. Quantum ldpc codes with almost linear minimum distance. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(1):213–229, 2022.
- [PSK22] Leonid P. Pryadko, Vadim A. Shabashov, and Valerii K. Kozin. QDistRnd: A GAP package for computing the distance of quantum error-correcting codes. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 7(71):4120, 2022.
- [RK18] Tom Richardson and Shrinivas Kudekar. Design of low-density parity check codes for 5g new radio. *IEEE Communications Magazine*, 56(3):28–34, 2018.
- [SS96] M. Sipser and D.A. Spielman. Expander codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 42(6):1710–1722, 1996.
- [ST83] N. Sloane and J. Thompson. Cyclic self-dual codes. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 29(3):364–366, 1983.
- [Ste96a] A. M. Steane. Error Correcting Codes in Quantum Theory. *Physical Review Letters*, 77(5):793–797, July 1996.
- [Ste96b] A. M. Steane. Multiple-particle interference and quantum error correction. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 452(1954):2551–2577, November 1996.

- [Tan81] Robert Michael Tanner. A recursive approach to low complexity codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 27:533–547, 1981.
- [Tho03] J. Thorpe. Low-Density Parity-Check (LDPC) Codes Constructed from Protographs. *Interplanetary Network Progress Report*, 42-154:1–7, August 2003.

## A Connected covering of a square-complex

In this section, we describe a procedure to explicitly construct a connected covering of a square-complex, which was introduced in [Gue24]. It is based on the voltage assignment technique of [GT77, PK22a] and adapted from [LS01, Hat02] to generate connected covering maps.

We consider a connected square-complex  $\mathcal{S} = (V, E, F)$  which has finitely many cells. Recall that the graph  $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ , can be seen as the 1-skeleton of  $\mathcal{S}$ . The fundamental group of a square-complex is defined in Section 2.1.

From the Galois correspondence, Theorem 2.1, connected coverings of  $\mathcal{S}$  are in one-to-one correspondence with subgroups of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, v)$ , where  $v$  is an arbitrary choice of basepoint that we later omit. Let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ . There exists a unique covering map,

$$p : \mathcal{S}_H \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad (3)$$

associated to  $H$ , and it has index  $r = [\pi_1(\mathcal{S}) : H]$ . To construct the covering above we will construct one for  $\mathcal{G}$ . For that, we have to consider the following subgroups and homomorphisms,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(\mathcal{S}) & \geq & H \\ \phi \uparrow & & \downarrow \phi^{-1}, \\ \pi_1(\mathcal{G}) & \geq & H^1 \end{array}$$

where  $\phi$  is the natural homomorphism taking the quotient of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  by the normal closure of the subgroup generated by relations coming from 4-cycles in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover,  $H^1$  is the preimage of  $H$  in  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  by this homomorphism. We now denote  $\Gamma := \pi_1(\mathcal{S})/H$  and  $\Gamma^1 := \pi_1(\mathcal{G})/H^1$ . When  $H$  is normal,  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma^1$  are isomorphic, otherwise this is a bijection between the cosets (here, all cosets are taken on the right).

To construct the covering map of Equation (3), it is shown in [LS01] that we only need to construct the following covering,

$$p_1 : \mathcal{G}_{H^1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}, \quad (4)$$

which is unique by Theorem 2.1 .

We explain the steps to create the components of Equation (4), and we detail a combinatorial technique specifically designed to produce connected coverings. The graph  $\mathcal{G}_{H^1}$  has a set of vertices  $V \times \Gamma^1$ , and set of edges in bijection with  $E \times \Gamma^1$ . We now describe how to connect the edges. Since  $\mathcal{G}$  is a connected graph, it admits a maximal spanning tree  $T$  with basepoint  $v$ , and by definition a unique reduced path from vertex to vertex. We denote  $E^*$  the set of oriented edges (its cardinality is twice the one of  $E$ ).

We define a map called *voltage assignment* on the set of oriented edges,

$$\nu^T : E^* \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{G}), \quad (5)$$

mapping an edge  $e = [v_1, v_2]$  to an element of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  in the following manner. Let a path  $\alpha$  from vertex  $a$  to  $b$  be denoted as a sequence of oriented edges, for example  $\alpha = [a, u_1].[u_1, u_2] \dots [u_j, b]$ . Moreover, let  $((a, b))$  denote the path from  $a$  to  $b$  in the tree  $T$ . Then the equivalence class of circuits obtained by adding  $e$  to  $T$  is  $\nu^T(e) := [((v, v_1)).e.((v_2, v))]$ , where  $[\alpha]$  is the standard notation for the homotopy class of a circuit  $\alpha$  based at  $v$ .

We define a connected lift of the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  associated to a certain subgroup of its fundamental group, using the notation above.

**Definition A.1** (Connected lift of a graph). The lift of  $\mathcal{G}$  associated to the subgroup  $H^1 \leq \pi_1(\mathcal{G})$  is the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{H^1}$  with set of vertices  $V \times \Gamma^1$  and set of edges in bijection with  $E \times \Gamma^1$ , so that a vertex or edge is written  $(c, gH^1)$  with  $c \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $g \in \pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ . An oriented edge  $\tilde{e} = (e, gH^1)$  in the graph  $\mathcal{G}_{H^1}$ , with  $e = [u, v]$ , connects  $(u, gH^1)$  and  $(v, g\nu^T(e)H^1)$ , where the multiplication by  $\nu^T(e)$  is on the right.

When  $H^1$  is a normal subgroup of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ , the resulting covering is Galois.

In general, a graph admits more than one spanning tree. Following this procedure with another choice of spanning tree will modify the parametrization of the vertices and edges, but the graphs will be isomorphic, by the Galois correspondence. If  $H^1$  is chosen as an arbitrary subgroup of  $\pi_1(\mathcal{G})$ , it can be shown [Gue24, LS01] that Definition A.1 can generate all connected regular and non-regular covers of  $\mathcal{G}$ . We define  $p_1$  as the map projecting any cell of  $\mathcal{G}_{H^1}$ , vertex or edge, to its first coordinate. This is a valid covering map, equivalent to what we have done in Section 2.2.

Here  $H^1$  is not arbitrary; it is the preimage of  $H$  by  $\phi$ . It is also possible to prove that  $p_1$  induces an isomorphism  $p_{1\#} : \pi_1(\mathcal{G}_{H^1}, \tilde{v}) \rightarrow H^1$ , for any choice of basepoint  $\tilde{v}$  in the preimage of  $v$ , see [LS01], Chapter

III. 3. Moreover, for a 4-cycle  $f \in F$ , we can show that  $p_1^{-1}(f)$  is a set of  $r$  4-cycles, and hence  $p_1$  can be extended into a covering of the square-complex  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} := (p_1^{-1}(V), p_1^{-1}(E), p_1^{-1}(F))$ . It is finally proven in [LS01] that  $p_1$  induces an isomorphism  $p_{1\#} : \pi_1(\mathcal{S}_H, v') \rightarrow H$  so that  $p_H = p_1$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{S}_H$ .