

Model spaces as constrained Hamiltonian systems: I. Application to $SU(2)$

Glenn Barnich, Thomas Smoes

Physique Théorique et Mathématique

Université libre de Bruxelles and International Solvay Institutes

Campus Plaine C.P. 231, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium

E-mail: Glenn.Barnich@ulb.be, Thomas.Smoes@ulb.be

ABSTRACT. Motivated by group-theoretical questions that arise in the context of asymptotic symmetries in gravity, we study model spaces and their quantization from the viewpoint of constrained Hamiltonian systems. More precisely, we propose a definition of a model space for a generic Lie group G as a suitable second class constrained system associated to the cotangent bundle T^*G . Before turning to the non-compact infinite-dimensional groups relevant in the gravitational setting, we work out all details in the simplest case of $SU(2)$. Besides recovering well-known results on the quantum theory of angular momentum from a unified perspective, the analysis sheds some light on the definition and properties of spin-weighted/monopole spherical harmonics.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Geometric actions as constrained Hamiltonian systems	6
2.1	Notation and generalities	6
2.2	Geometric actions	7
2.3	Coadjoint orbits and Lie algebra conjugacy classes	9
2.4	Phase space and primary constraints	9
2.5	Dirac analysis	10
2.6	Geometric actions for the cotangent bundle	11
2.7	Model spaces	12
2.8	Embedding of the cotangent bundle	13
2.9	Variety of descriptions	13
3	General remarks on quantization	14
3.1	Classical BRST-BFV extension	14
3.2	Integrality condition, prequantization and polarization	15
3.3	Ordering, half-form correction and bi-invariant metric	16
3.4	Operator quantization	17
3.5	Path integral quantization	17
4	Application to $SU(2)$: Classical theory	18
4.1	(Co) adjoint orbits and Hopf fibration	19
4.2	Adapted Euler angles and Borel gauge	19
4.3	Coadjoint orbits: Local description	20
4.4	Coadjoint orbits: Global description and Gribov obstruction	21
4.5	Coadjoint orbits: Noether charges and Hamiltonian vector fields	23
4.6	Geometric action for $T^*SU(2)$	23
4.7	$SU(2)$ model space	25
4.8	$SU(2)$ as a constrained system of nonzero quaternions	26
4.9	$SU(2)$ model space from quaternions. Adapted gauge fixing	28

5	Application to $SU(2)$: Quantum theory	30
5.1	Quantization of a single coadjoint orbit	30
5.2	Quantization of $T^*SU(2)$	33
5.3	Quantum model space from Dirac quantization of $T^*SU(2)$	37
5.4	Quantized coadjoint orbit from Dirac quantization of $T^*SU(2)$	37
5.5	Quantum $SU(2)$ model space from gauge fixed quaternions	38
6	Conclusions	40
	Acknowledgments	41
A	Parametrizations of $SU(2)$	41
A.1	Generalities and notation	41
A.2	Exponential parametrization	42
A.3	Adapted Euler angles	42
A.4	Euler-Rodrigues parametrization	44
A.5	Complex parametrizations	45
B	Nonzero quaternions	47
B.1	Invariant vector fields, Maurer-Cartan forms, (co) adjoint representation	47
B.2	Phase space of nonzero quaternions in complex variables	48
B.3	$SU(2)$ model space from quaternions. Direct gauge fixing	50
C	$SU(2)$ coherent states	51
D	General linear group	51
D.1	$GL(2, \mathbb{R})$	51
D.2	$GL(2, \mathbb{C})$	53
D.3	From $T^*GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ to oscillator representation of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$	53
D.4	$T^*GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a parent theory	54
	References	55

1 Introduction

The solution space of asymptotically anti-de Sitter gravity in three dimensions in Fefferman-Graham gauge is known in closed form [1, 2]. It can be identified with the coadjoint representation of its asymptotic symmetry group given by two copies of the Virasoro group [3]. The same goes for asymptotically flat spacetimes in three dimensions, where the solution space [4] corresponds to the coadjoint representation of the centrally extended BMS3 group [5, 6].

The coadjoint representation admits a partition into orbits. Each of these coadjoint orbits is a symplectic manifold that can in principle be quantized [7–11]. What is needed in the context of three dimensional gravity is not really a quantization of each of the coadjoint orbits separately, but of all of them at once in a consistent fashion. This is where model spaces come in.

The model space of a Lie group G is a classical G -invariant system whose quantization yields a Hilbert space that carries all unitary irreducible representations of G with multiplicity one.

We start here with geometric actions, i.e., Lagrangian particle actions associated to individual coadjoint orbits. When quantized through path integral methods, they have been used in [12, 13] to produce group characters. The study of what the model space should correspond to in the case of the Virasoro group has been initiated in [14]. The case of compact Lie groups has been worked out in detail in terms of Darboux coordinates related to the Gelfand-Zetlin basis. The relation to earlier work in [15] had been left open. Their proposal has been discussed further in [16] from the viewpoint of the Hilbert space and operator quantization.

In order to relate path integral and operator quantization (see e.g. [17]), it is useful to reformulate geometric actions as constrained Hamiltonian systems [18], even if these actions are already of first order. As summarized in the first section below, the geometric action for a fixed coadjoint vector is related to the one of the cotangent bundle T^*G by natural primary constraints. Those associated with the little algebra of the coadjoint vector are first class, while the other ones are second class. From this viewpoint, the heuristic proposal is the following:

The model space is obtained by dropping the first class constraints while keeping those of second class. Equivalently, it is obtained from the geometric action by replacing the components of the coadjoint vector along the little algebra by additional dynamical variables.

When formulated in these terms, one can use the full flexibility of constrained Hamiltonian systems, such as introducing additional spurious degrees of freedom in the form of (generalized) auxiliary fields, and conversion of second into first class systems. While in field theory applications, this allows for a local formulation with manifest Lorentz invariance, the aim here is manifest covariance under the Lie group symmetries.

On the quantum level, in addition to geometric quantization, the whole arsenal of operator and path integral methods for quantization of constrained Hamiltonian systems may be

used to construct the unitary irreducible representations associated to the model space. Again, the advantage of the Batalin-Fradkin-Vilkovisky approach as opposed to reduced phase space quantization is manifest covariance. Furthermore, there is no need to find Darboux coordinates in order to evaluate the path integral.

Conversely, these systems can serve as completely tractable, non-trivial applications of constrained Hamiltonian systems and BRST-BFV quantization methods in a finite-dimensional setting. Even though not strictly necessary in this context of Lie algebras where there are no structure functions, open algebras or reducible constraints, these techniques turn out nevertheless to be quite useful.

After fixing notations and conventions for the description of Lie groups and algebras in Section 2.1, geometric actions together with their global and gauge symmetries as well as their relation to coadjoint orbits are reviewed in Sections 2.2 and 2.3. The analysis [18] of geometric actions as constrained Hamiltonian system of the cotangent bundle T^*G is reviewed in sections 2.4 and 2.5. Generalities on geometric actions associated to the cotangent bundle T^*G are briefly discussed in Section 2.6, while the proposal for the model space is presented in 2.7.

General remarks on quantization that will be useful in the application considered here, and more generally, in subsequent work, are gathered in Section 3: BRST-BFV techniques for constrained systems of Lie algebra type, i.e., with structure constants are briefly described, together with those elements from geometric quantization that will be needed because of global considerations.

The remainder of the current paper is devoted to illustrating the proposal in detail in the simplest non-trivial case, the group SU(2), where all results are perfectly well-known. We start by reviewing the coadjoint orbits, which correspond to the foliation of \mathbb{R}^3 by two-spheres together with the associated Hopf fibration of SU(2), in Section 4.1. Sections 4.2 to 4.4 are devoted to the appropriate global description needed to avoid the Gribov obstruction. The associated model space, with an additional dynamical variable directly related to the radius of the sphere, is described next in Section 4.7. This formulation of the model space is connected to Schwinger's construction in terms of an isotropic two dimensional harmonic oscillator [19] by embedding SU(2) as a subgroup of the group of non-zero quaternions of modulus one in sections 4.8 and 4.9.

In the last part of the paper, we apply the general strategy outlined in Section 3 to the quantization of single coadjoint orbits (Section 5.1), the quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$ (Section 5.2) in terms of Wigner functions, the Dirac quantization of both the model space (Section 5.3) and single orbits (Section 5.4) from the quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$, and the quantization of the second class system in the cotangent bundle of quaternions that leads to Schwinger's description of the quantized model space (Section 5.5). Finally, in the quantized model space, we compute the partition function associated to the Casimir operator.

In Appendix A, left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms and vector fields are computed in the various parametrizations of $SU(2)$ needed in the different applications. Appendix B is devoted to quaternions, while two more appendices, one on $SU(2)$ coherent states and one on the left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms and vector fields for $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ and their connection to the generalized Jordan map complete the technical material.

2 Geometric actions as constrained Hamiltonian systems

2.1 Notation and generalities

The following elements of Lie group and algebra theory (see e.g. [20, 21]) are useful, both for the Hamiltonian formulation of coset spaces [22, 23] and of geometric actions [18].

Let g^i be (arbitrary) local coordinates on a Lie group G and e_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ be a basis of its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , with $[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma$. The generators of right/left translations are the left/right invariant vector fields

$$\vec{L}_\alpha = L_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i} \quad / \quad \vec{R}_\alpha = R_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i}, \quad (2.1)$$

which satisfy

$$[\vec{L}_\alpha, \vec{L}_\beta] = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \vec{L}_\gamma, \quad [\vec{R}_\alpha, \vec{R}_\beta] = -f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \vec{R}_\gamma, \quad [\vec{L}_\alpha, \vec{R}_\beta] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The left/right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms $L = g^{-1}dg/R = dg g^{-1}$ are given by

$$L = e_\alpha L^\alpha = L_i dg^i = e_\alpha L^\alpha_i dg^i \quad / \quad R = e_\alpha R^\alpha = R_i dg^i = e_\alpha R^\alpha_i dg^i, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$L_\alpha^i L^\alpha_j = \delta_j^i = R_\alpha^i R^\alpha_j, \quad L_\alpha^i L^\beta_i = \delta_\alpha^\beta = R_\alpha^i R^\beta_i. \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$dL^\alpha = -\frac{1}{2} f^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} L^\beta L^\gamma, \quad dR^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} f^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} R^\beta R^\gamma, \quad (2.5)$$

or equivalently, $dL + \frac{1}{2}[L, L] = 0$, $dR - \frac{1}{2}[R, R] = 0$. In these terms, the adjoint and coadjoint actions are given by

$$\text{Ad}_g e_\alpha = e_\beta R^\beta_i L^\alpha^i, \quad \text{Ad}_g^* e_\alpha^\gamma = e_\beta^* L^\gamma_j R^\alpha^j. \quad (2.6)$$

If there is a Lie algebra metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ invariant under the coadjoint representation, the associated bi-invariant metric on the Lie group is

$$g_{ij} = g_{\alpha\beta} R^\alpha_i R^\beta_j = g_{\alpha\beta} L^\alpha_i L^\beta_j. \quad (2.7)$$

It follows from the last of (2.2) that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{L}_\alpha} R^\beta = 0 = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{R}_\alpha} L^\beta, \quad (2.8)$$

so that left and right invariant vector fields are Killing vectors,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\vec{R}_\alpha} g_{ij} = 0 = \mathcal{L}_{\vec{L}_\alpha} g_{ij}. \quad (2.9)$$

Furthermore, the Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\Gamma^i_{jk} = \frac{1}{2} R_\alpha^i f_{\beta\gamma} R^\beta_j R^\gamma_k - R^\alpha_j \partial_k R_\alpha^i = -\frac{1}{2} L_\alpha^i f_{\beta\gamma} L^\beta_j L^\gamma_k - L^\alpha_j \partial_k L_\alpha^i, \quad (2.10)$$

while the scalar Laplacian is given by

$$\Delta_G f = g^{ij} D_i \partial_j f = |g|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_i (|g|^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{ij} \partial_j f) = g^{\alpha\beta} \vec{L}_\alpha \vec{L}_\beta f = g^{\alpha\beta} \vec{R}_\alpha \vec{R}_\beta f. \quad (2.11)$$

2.2 Geometric actions

Let $g(t)$ denote maps from \mathbb{R} to G , or in other words, consider a particle that moves on G . For a fixed nonzero covector, $0 \neq Y \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, let H_Y be its little group,

$$H_Y \ni h, \text{Ad}_h^* Y = Y. \quad (2.12)$$

and consider left translations by elements $h^{-1} \in H_Y$, and also right translations by elements $k \in G$,

$$g(t) \rightarrow h^{-1}(t)g(t), \quad g(t) \rightarrow g(t)k(t), \quad (2.13)$$

with associated infinitesimal transformations

$$\delta_\epsilon g^i = -\epsilon^\alpha(t) R_\alpha^i, \quad \epsilon(t) \in \mathfrak{h}_Y \subset \mathfrak{g}, \quad \delta_X g^i = X^\alpha(t) L_\alpha^i, \quad X(t) \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.14)$$

We consider here geometric actions of the type

$$S^{\mathcal{O}_Y}[g^i; Y_\alpha] = \int dt [\langle Y, \dot{g}g^{-1} \rangle - V] = \int dt [Y_\alpha R_\alpha^i \dot{g}^i - V], \quad (2.15)$$

where $V(g^i)$ is required to be gauge invariant, so that the geometric action is suitably gauge invariant,

$$\delta_\epsilon V = 0 \implies \delta_\epsilon S^{\mathcal{O}_Y} = \int dt \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y, \epsilon \rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

Accordingly, the geometric action is associated with the set of right cosets $H_Y \backslash G$, rather than with G itself, and the little group H_Y is the gauge group of the model¹. In turn, $H_Y \backslash G$ is isomorphic to the coadjoint orbit \mathcal{O}_Y containing Y .

Note that, under finite gauge transformations $g' = hg$ with $h \in H_Y$, the right invariant Maurer-Cartan form and the one form $a_g = \langle Y, dgg^{-1} \rangle$ transform as

$$dg'g'^{-1} = hdgg^{-1}h^{-1} + dh h^{-1}, \quad a_{g'} = a_g + \langle Y, dh h^{-1} \rangle, \quad (2.17)$$

¹At this stage, it has not yet been shown that the model has no other gauge symmetries, which would be the case if H_Y were only part of the gauge group. That there are no other gauge symmetries and that H_Y is indeed the full gauge group follows for instance from the analysis in 2.5.

while the two form $\sigma_g = da_g = \langle Y, dgg^{-1} \wedge dgg^{-1} \rangle$ is invariant

$$\sigma_{g'} = \sigma_g, \quad (2.18)$$

because $d(dhh^{-1}) = \frac{1}{2}[dhh^{-1}, dhh^{-1}]$ and dhh^{-1} belongs to the little algebra \mathfrak{h}_Y . Accordingly, if γ is a closed loop on G , the gauge invariant functional² related to the (kinetic term of the) geometric action is a Wilson loop [25]

$$W_\gamma = P \exp \oint_\gamma a_g, \quad (2.19)$$

which may be expressed in terms of the two form by using the non-abelian Stokes' theorem [26, 27]

$$W_\gamma = \mathcal{P} \exp \int_\Sigma \sigma_g, \quad \partial\Sigma = \gamma. \quad (2.20)$$

Under the infinitesimal transformations δ_X associated to right translations, the kinetic term transforms as

$$\delta_X \langle Y, \dot{g}g^{-1} \rangle = Q_{\dot{X}}, \quad Q_X = \langle Y, \text{Ad}_g X \rangle = Y_\alpha R^\alpha_i L_\beta^i X^\beta. \quad (2.21)$$

We furthermore require here that the time dependence of $X(t)$ may be determined through the equation

$$Q_{\dot{X}} - \delta_X V = 0. \quad (2.22)$$

It then follows that the geometric action is invariant under the associated infinitesimal global symmetries, $\delta_X S^{\text{O}_Y} = 0$ with associated Noether charges given by Q_X .

For instance, if $V = Q_Z$ for some fixed $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$, it is indeed gauge invariant. The corresponding geometric action, on which we concentrate below, is denoted by

$$\boxed{S^{\text{O}_Y}[g^i; Y_\alpha, Z^\beta] = \int dt \langle Y, \dot{g}g^{-1} - \text{Ad}_g Z \rangle = \int dt [Y_\alpha R^\alpha_i \dot{g}^i - Y_\alpha R^\alpha_i L_\beta^i Z^\beta]}. \quad (2.23)$$

In this case, the time-dependence of X may be fixed through $\dot{X} = [X, Z]$. Equivalently, if $Q_\alpha = Y_\beta R^\beta_i L_\alpha^i$ denote generators for the Noether charges at $t = 0$,

$$Q_\alpha(t) = Q_\alpha + t Q_\gamma f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} Z^\beta. \quad (2.24)$$

Similarly, for the associated finite transformations by right translations, the geometric action is invariant provided the time-dependence is suitably fixed,

$$g(t) \rightarrow g(t)k(t), \quad \dot{k}k^{-1} = \text{Ad}_k Z - Z, \quad S^{\text{O}_Y}[gk; Y, Z] = S^{\text{O}_Y}[g; Y, Z]. \quad (2.25)$$

²See [24] in a closely related context with a $U(1)$ gauge group.

2.3 Coadjoint orbits and Lie algebra conjugacy classes

Consider partitions of \mathfrak{g}^* and \mathfrak{g} into (co)-adjoint orbits, $Y' \sim Y \iff Y' = \text{Ad}_{g_1}^* Y$, $Z' \sim Z \iff Z' = \text{Ad}_{g_2} Z$; and let Y^Ξ, Z_Σ denote a set of orbit representatives for these partitions,

$$\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \bigcup_{\Xi} \text{Ad}_G^* Y^\Xi, \quad \mathfrak{g} \simeq \bigcup_{\Sigma} \text{Ad}_G Z_\Sigma. \quad (2.26)$$

Geometric actions associated to Lie algebra covectors or vectors that belong to the same equivalence classes are (quantum)-mechanically equivalent in the sense that they are related by field redefinitions,

$$S^{\mathcal{O}_{Y'}}[g; Y', Z'] = S^{\mathcal{O}_Y}[g'; Y, Z], \quad g'(t) = g_1^{-1} g(t) g_2. \quad (2.27)$$

It follows that it is enough to study the actions $S^{\mathcal{O}_{Y^\Xi}}[g; Y^\Xi, Z_\Sigma]$ associated to the different orbit representatives.

2.4 Phase space and primary constraints

The cotangent bundle T^*G can either be described locally through coordinates (g^i, p_i) with canonical Poisson brackets

$$\{g^i, p_j\} = \delta_j^i, \quad \{g^i, g^j\} = 0 = \{p_i, p_j\}, \quad (2.28)$$

or, in terms of non-Darboux coordinates (g^i, π_α) ,

$$\pi_\alpha = R_\alpha^i p_i, \quad (2.29)$$

for which the fundamental Poisson brackets contain the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau brackets,

$$\{\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta\} = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \pi_\gamma, \quad \{g^i, \pi_\alpha\} = R_\alpha^i, \quad \{g^i, g^j\} = 0. \quad (2.30)$$

In these terms, geometric actions are obtained by imposing the primary constraints that follow directly from the definition of the canonical momenta, $p_i = Y_\alpha R_\alpha^i$, expressed in the new variables,

$$\boxed{S^{\mathcal{O}_Y}[g^i, \pi_\alpha, u^\beta; Y_\gamma, Z^\delta] = \int dt [\pi_\alpha R_\alpha^i \dot{g}^i - \pi_\alpha R_\alpha^i L_\beta^i Z^\beta - u^\alpha \phi_\alpha^Y], \quad \phi_\alpha^Y = \pi_\alpha - Y_\alpha}. \quad (2.31)$$

The Noether charges are described through³.

$$Q_X = \pi_\beta R_\beta^i L_\alpha^i X^\alpha, \quad \{Q_{X_1}, Q_{X_2}\} = -Q_{[X_1, X_2]}. \quad (2.32)$$

They generate the symmetries in the Poisson bracket,

$$\delta_X g^i = \{g^i, Q_X\} = L_\alpha^i X^\alpha, \quad \delta_X \pi_\alpha = \{\pi_\alpha, Q_X\} = 0. \quad (2.33)$$

³There is a sign mistake in equation (3.27) of [18].

If the Hamiltonian vector fields associated to a phase space function f are defined as $X_f = -\{f, \cdot\}$, with $[X_f, X_g] = -X_{\{f,g\}}$, those associated to the Noether charges Q_α and to the π_α are

$$X_{Q_\alpha} = \vec{L}_\alpha, \quad X_{\pi_\alpha} = \vec{R}_\alpha + f^\gamma_{\beta\alpha} \pi_\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial \pi_\beta}. \quad (2.34)$$

2.5 Dirac analysis

Applying the algorithm by Dirac to this system is straightforward. There are no secondary constraints. In particular, it then follows from $\{Q_X, \phi_\alpha^Y\} = 0$, that the Noether charges are first class functions and thus also gauge invariant.

The nature of the primary constraints is determined by the matrix

$$C_{\alpha\beta} = Y_\gamma f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (2.35)$$

Its eigenvectors with eigenvalue zero correspond to the little algebra \mathfrak{h}_Y of \mathfrak{g} . The associated constraints are first class. The constraints associated to the supplementary space in \mathfrak{g} are second class. More explicitly, consider an adapted basis described by

$$e_a^\alpha, \quad e_A^\alpha, \quad e^\alpha_\alpha, \quad e^A_\alpha, \quad (2.36)$$

where e_a^α is a basis of \mathfrak{h}_Y and e_A^α a basis of the supplementary space such that

$$e_a^\alpha e^b_\alpha = \delta^a_b, \quad e_A^\alpha e^B_\alpha = \delta^A_B, \quad e_a^\alpha e^a_\beta + e_A^\alpha e^A_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta. \quad (2.37)$$

When using these matrices to convert indices in the usual way, it follows that

$$f^C_{ab} = 0, \quad C_{ab} = 0 = C_{Ab}, \quad C_{AB} = Y_c f^c_{AB} + Y_C f^C_{AB} \text{ invertible}. \quad (2.38)$$

The first and second class constraints are then, respectively,

$$\phi_a^Y = 0, \quad \phi_A^Y = 0, \quad (2.39)$$

while the Dirac brackets are

$$\{g^i, g^j\}^* = R_A^i C^{-1AB} R_B^j, \quad \{g^i, \pi_a\}^* = R_a^i, \quad \{\pi_a, \pi_b\}^* = f^c_{ab} \pi_c \approx 0, \quad \{\pi_A, \cdot\}^* = 0, \quad (2.40)$$

where ≈ 0 means here ‘‘vanishing on the first class constraint surface’’, and

$$\{\phi_a^Y, \phi_b^Y\}^* = f^c_{ab} \phi_c^Y. \quad (2.41)$$

As usual for second class constraints, a more economic, but less covariant, description is achieved by using the fact that the coordinates associated to the second class constraints, here π_A , and the associated Lagrange multipliers u^A are auxiliary fields whose equations of motion,

$$u^A = R^A_i \dot{g}^i + R^A_i L^i_\beta Z^\beta, \quad \pi_A = Y_A, \quad (2.42)$$

may be solved in the action (2.31). In this reduced description, the geometric action becomes

$$S_R^{\mathcal{O}^Y}[g^i, \pi_a, u^a; Y_\alpha, Z^\beta] = \int dt [\pi_a R^a_i \dot{g}^i + Y_A R^A_i \dot{g}^i - \pi_a R^a_i L_\beta^i Z^\beta - Y_A R^A_i L_\beta^i Z^\beta - u^a \phi_a^Y], \quad (2.43)$$

and only involves the first class constraints.

Elimination of the first class constraints by the Lagrange multiplier method then gives back the starting point (2.15). In that case, the potential 1-form is pre-symplectic,

$$a^Y = Y_\alpha R^\alpha_i dg^i, \quad \sigma^Y = da^Y = \frac{1}{2} C_{AB} R^A_i R^B_j dg^i \wedge dg^j, \quad (2.44)$$

with null vectors of the associated 2-form given by $R_\alpha^i \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i}$, whereas by construction, the potential one-form associated to (2.43) gives rise to a symplectic two-form,

$$a^R = \pi_a R^a_i dg^i + Y_A R^A_i dg^i, \quad \sigma^R = da^R = \frac{1}{2} C_{AB} R^A_i R^B_j dg^i \wedge dg^j - R^b_i dg^i \wedge d\pi_b, \quad (2.45)$$

and, on the variables of the reduced theory, the Poisson structure determined by the inverse matrix coincides with the Dirac brackets in (2.40).

2.6 Geometric actions for the cotangent bundle

When dropping all constraints ϕ_α^Y , one gets an action associated to T^*G ,

$$\boxed{S^{T^*G}[g, \pi; Z] = \int dt [\pi_\alpha R^\alpha_i \dot{g}^i - \pi_\alpha R^\alpha_i L_\beta^i Z^\beta]}, \quad (2.46)$$

with equations of motion

$$\dot{g}^i = \{g^i, Q_Z\} = L_\alpha^i Z^\alpha, \quad \dot{\pi}_\alpha = \{\pi_\alpha, Q_Z\} = 0. \quad (2.47)$$

It follows that, besides the Q_X , the π_α are also constants of the motion. The associated global symmetries correspond to invariance of the action under global left translations, $g' = hg$, $\pi' = h\pi h^{-1}$, with $\pi = \pi_\alpha e_\alpha^*$ and h constant.

Studying Hamiltonian reductions on the level sets of π_α amounts to performing the previous analysis in reverse. The associated potential one-form gives rise to a symplectic two-form,

$$a = \pi_\alpha R^\alpha_i dg^i, \quad \sigma = da = \frac{1}{2} \pi_\alpha f^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} R^\beta_i R^\gamma_j dg^i \wedge dg^j - R^\beta_i dg^i \wedge d\pi_\beta, \quad (2.48)$$

whose inverse matrix determines the Poisson brackets (2.30).

On T^*G , consider the kinetic term alone,

$$\int dt p_i \dot{g}^i = \int dt \pi_\alpha R^\alpha_i \dot{g}^i = \int dt \psi_\alpha L^\alpha_i \dot{g}^i, \quad (2.49)$$

where $\psi_\alpha = L_\alpha^i p_i$. Its global symmetries include both right and left translations: in Darboux coordinates (g^i, p_j) , they act as

$$\delta_\alpha^L g^i = L_\alpha^i, \quad \delta_\alpha^L p_i = -p_k \partial_i L_\alpha^k, \quad \delta_\alpha^R g^i = R_\alpha^i, \quad \delta_\alpha^R p_i = -p_k \partial_i R_\alpha^k, \quad (2.50)$$

with associated Noether charges

$$Q_\alpha^L = p_i L_\alpha^i, \quad Q_\alpha^R = p_i R_\alpha^i, \quad (2.51)$$

while in the $(g^i, \pi_\alpha)/(g^i, \psi_\alpha)$ parametrizations of phase space, they act as

$$\delta_\alpha^L \pi_\beta = 0, \quad \delta_\alpha^R \pi_\beta = -f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \pi_\gamma / \delta_\alpha^L \psi_\beta = f^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\gamma, \quad \delta_\alpha^R \psi_\beta = 0, \quad (2.52)$$

with associated Noether charges

$$Q_\alpha^L = \pi_\beta R^\beta_i L_\alpha^i, \quad Q_\alpha^R = \pi_\alpha, \quad / \quad Q_\alpha^L = \psi_\alpha, \quad Q_\alpha^R = \psi_\beta R^\beta_i L_\alpha^i. \quad (2.53)$$

In the case where there is an invariant metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$ on the Lie algebra (giving rise to a bi-invariant metric g_{ij} on the group), the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} p_i p_j = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \pi_\alpha \pi_\beta = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\alpha \psi_\beta, \quad (2.54)$$

preserves all these symmetries. When eliminating the momenta by their own equations of motion from

$$S^{T^*G}[g; \pi; H] = \int dt [\pi_\alpha R^\alpha_i \dot{g}^i - H], \quad (2.55)$$

one finds the action that gives rise to geodesic motion on G ,

$$S[g] = \frac{1}{2} \int dt g_{ij} \dot{g}^i \dot{g}^j. \quad (2.56)$$

Less symmetric choices are also interesting in the context of integrable systems. When there is a metric $g'_{\alpha\beta}$ on the Lie algebra that is not necessarily invariant under the coadjoint representation, it may be extended to a right invariant metric $g'_{ij} = g'_{\alpha\beta} R^\alpha_i R^\beta_j$ on the group. In this case, the associated geodesic flow is still invariant under right translations because the left invariant vector fields are still Killing vectors of this metric.

2.7 Model spaces

Suppose that a complete set of coadjoint orbit representatives Y^Ξ have been identified and that the rank of H_{Y^Ξ} is constant over some range of Ξ . The proposal is that the associated model space MG_Ξ is obtained from T^*G and the constraints $\phi_\alpha^{Y^\Xi} = \pi_\alpha - Y_\alpha^\Xi$, that is to say

from $S^{\mathcal{O}_{Y^\Xi}}$ in (2.31), by dropping the first class constraints and keeping the second class ones. More explicitly,

$$\boxed{S^{MG^\Xi}[g^i, \pi_\alpha, u^A, Y_A^\Xi, Z^\beta] = \int dt [\pi_\alpha R^\alpha{}_i \dot{g}^i - \pi_\alpha R^\alpha{}_i L_\beta{}^i Z^\beta - u^A (\pi_A - Y_A^\Xi)].} \quad (2.57)$$

A reduced description, in this case without any constraints, is again achieved by solving the second class constraints in the action, which yields

$$S_R^{MG^\Xi}[g^i, \pi_a, Y_A^\Xi, Z^\beta] = \int dt [\pi_a R^a{}_i \dot{g}^i + Y_A^\Xi R^A{}_i \dot{g}^i - \pi_a R^a{}_i L_\beta{}^i Z^\beta - Y_A^\Xi R^A{}_i L_\beta{}^i Z^\beta]. \quad (2.58)$$

This description may also be directly obtained from the starting point description of the geometric action for \mathcal{O}_{Y^Ξ} in (2.23) by replacing the components along the little algebra of the fixed coadjoint vector Y^Ξ by new dynamical variables, $Y_a^\Xi \rightarrow \pi_a$. The associated symplectic and bracket structures are given in (2.45) and (2.40).

2.8 Embedding of the cotangent bundle

It might be useful to describe the system associated to T^*G itself as a constrained system of a suitable “embedding” phase space ET^*G , even before discussing additional constraints that bring one down successively to the model space and the individual coadjoint orbits. This will in particular be the case for SU(2) below: as a manifold SU(2) corresponds to a three-sphere S^3 , which is best understood as a submanifold of the embedding space \mathbb{R}^4 . On the level of the group, this corresponds to going from uni-modular to general (nonzero) quaternions.

2.9 Variety of descriptions

First class constraints $\gamma_a = 0$ may be turned into second class ones through gauge fixing conditions $\chi_b = 0$, which should be reachable, and fix the gauge completely: $\{\gamma_a, \chi_b\}$ should be invertible on the constraint surface. Conversely, second class constraints may be converted into half their number of first class ones. Depending on the starting point, a variety of descriptions are thus possible. For instance,

- the cotangent bundle T^*G is described as first or second class constrained system of ET^*G ,
- the model space MG is described as first or a second class constrained system of ET^*G or T^*G ,
- individual coadjoint orbits $\text{Ad}_G^* Y^\Xi$ are described as first or second class constrained systems of ET^*G , T^*G , or MG .

Furthermore, one may perform the various reductions before or after quantization.

3 General remarks on quantization

In order to prepare the system for quantization, that is to say, before constructing the actual Hilbert space, several preliminary steps may be implemented.

3.1 Classical BRST-BFV extension

The classical counterpart of the BRST-BFV construction allows one to consistently deal with first class constraints prior to quantization. We briefly review the parts of the construction relevant to us here following [28].

Consider a classical phase space described by coordinates z^A , with first class constraints $\gamma_a(z) = 0$, which close with structure constants in the case of interest to us here, $\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = f^c_{ab} \gamma_c$. Note that the Poisson brackets here may also be Dirac brackets in case one has had to eliminate second class constraints at an earlier stage. The phase space is extended by fermionic coordinates $\eta^a, \mathcal{P}_b, \eta^{a*} = \eta^a, \mathcal{P}_a^* = -\mathcal{P}_a$. One may also add a non-minimal sector consisting of the Lagrange multipliers and their momenta u^a, b_b , where the latter are constrained to vanish, $b_b = 0$. Associated ghost variables are $\bar{C}_a, \rho^b, \bar{C}_a^* = \bar{C}_a, \rho^{*b} = -\rho^b$. The additional non-vanishing brackets in the extended phase space are

$$\{\mathcal{P}_a, \eta^b\} = -\delta_a^b = \{b_a, u^b\} = \{\bar{C}_a, \rho^b\}. \quad (3.1)$$

The classical BRST charge is

$$\Omega = \gamma_a \eta^a + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{P}_a f^a_{bc} \eta^b \eta^c - i b_a \rho^a. \quad (3.2)$$

It satisfies $\{\Omega, \Omega\} = 0$ and is real $\Omega^* = \Omega$ when using the convention that $(AB)^* = B^* A^*$ for polynomials of the extended phase space. Classical observables, i.e., gauge invariant functions defined on the constraint surface in the original phase space, are replaced by BRST cohomology classes in the extended phase space.

The gauge fixing fermion may be chosen as

$$K_\xi = i \bar{C}_a \chi^a - \mathcal{P}_a \lambda^a + \frac{i\xi}{2} \bar{C}_a m^{ab} b_b, \quad (3.3)$$

with $\chi^a = \chi^a(z)$ canonical gauge conditions, ξ a parameter, and m^{ab} invertible with inverse denoted by m_{ab} , so that

$$\{K_\xi, \Omega\} = i \bar{C}_a \{\chi^a, \gamma_b\} \eta^b - \chi^a b_a - \frac{1}{2} \xi b_a m^{ab} b_b + u^a (\gamma_a - \mathcal{P}_b f^b_{ac} \eta^c) + i \mathcal{P}_a \rho^a. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $a = a_A(z) dz^A$ denote the symplectic potential giving rise to the Poisson brackets and H the BRST invariant Hamiltonian H . The BFV action is given by

$$S^{\text{BFV}} = \int dt [a_A \dot{z}^A + \dot{\eta}^a \mathcal{P}_a + \dot{\lambda}^a b_a + \dot{\bar{C}}_a \rho^a - H - \{K_\xi, \Omega\}], \quad (3.5)$$

When $\xi \neq 0$, the elimination of the auxiliary fields $b_a, \mathcal{P}_a, \rho^a$ by their own equations of motion gives rise to the analog of the Fadeev-Popov action in Yang-Mills theories.

In this formulation, classical observables (such as the Noether charges), i.e., equivalence classes of weakly gauge invariant functions, where two such functions are equivalent if they coincide on the constraint surface, become BRST observables, that is to say equivalence classes of functions A in the extended phase space that are of ghost number zero and BRST invariant, $\{A, \Omega\} = 0$, where two such functions are identified if they differ by a BRST exact function, $A \sim A + \{T, \Omega\}$, with T of ghost number -1 .

3.2 Integrality condition, prequantization and polarization

Details and an extensive commented list of references on geometric quantization can be found for instance in [29]. Constrained systems in this context are discussed in particular in [30–34].

Consider a symplectic manifold M with symplectic two-form $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{AB}dz^A \wedge dz^B$. The action appears as $\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}S)$ in a path integral representation. The integrality condition restricts the parameters appearing in the action by the requirement that, for any closed, oriented surface Σ in M ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma = 2\pi\hbar n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (3.6)$$

For (locally defined) potential one-form $a = a_A dz^A$, $\sigma = da$, let $X_f = \{\cdot, f\} = \sigma^{AB}\partial_B f \partial_A$ denote the Hamiltonian vector field associated to f . The Poisson algebra of functions may be represented through the commutator algebra of prequantum operators,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f} &= -i\hbar X_f + f - i_{X_f} a = -i\hbar\{\cdot, f\} + f - a_A\{z^A, f\}, \\ [\hat{f}, \hat{g}] &= i\hbar\widehat{\{f, g\}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

In the case of Darboux coordinates with $a = p_i dq^i$ and functions that are linear and homogeneous in the momenta, $f = p_i f^i(q)$, the last two terms cancel and the prequantum operator is proportional to the Hamiltonian vector field.

On the associated prequantum line bundle with $U(1)$ gauge covariant derivative, the prequantum operators act as

$$\hat{f}\psi = (-i\hbar D_{X_f} + f)\psi, \quad D = d - \frac{i}{\hbar}a, \quad (3.8)$$

with a $U(1)$ gauge transformation parametrized by an arbitrary function λ acting as,

$$a' = a + d\lambda, \quad \psi' = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\lambda}\psi, \quad D'\psi' = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\lambda}D\psi. \quad (3.9)$$

A polarization P consists of an integrable Lagrangian subbundle of the complexified tangent bundle TM^c . A section ψ is polarized with respect to P if $D_X\psi = 0$ for all $X \in P$. A prequantum operator is quantizable if \hat{f} preserves the polarization defined by \bar{P} , which is the case if $[X, X_f]$ belongs to \bar{P} for all $X \in \bar{P}$.

3.3 Ordering, half-form correction and bi-invariant metric

The quantization of T^*G should be such that the classical real observables

$$\pi_\alpha = R_\alpha^i p_i, \quad Q_\alpha = L_\alpha^i p_i, \quad (3.10)$$

are represented by hermitian quantum operators. This involves ordering ambiguities. Some ingredients needed to resolve them are briefly discussed below.

The prequantization formula (3.7) applied to the observables π_α, Q_α , which are linear in the π 's reduces to the first term determined by the Hamiltonian vector fields given in (2.34),

$$\hat{Q}_\alpha = -i\hbar\vec{L}_\alpha, \quad \hat{\pi}_\alpha = -i\hbar(\vec{R}_\alpha + f^\gamma_{\beta\alpha}\pi_\gamma\frac{\partial}{\partial\pi_\beta}). \quad (3.11)$$

When the Hilbert space consists of scalar densities on G of weight $1/2$,

$$\tilde{\Psi}'(g') = \left|\frac{\partial g^i}{\partial g'^j}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\tilde{\Psi}(g), \quad \langle\Phi, \Psi\rangle = \int_G d^n g \tilde{\Phi}^*(g)\tilde{\Psi}(g). \quad (3.12)$$

the associated operators are represented by

$$\hat{\pi}_\alpha\tilde{\Psi}(g) = -i\hbar[\vec{R}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i R_\alpha^i]\tilde{\Psi}(g), \quad \hat{Q}_\alpha\tilde{\Psi}(g) = -i\hbar[\vec{L}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i L_\alpha^i]\tilde{\Psi}(g). \quad (3.13)$$

and are hermitian,

$$\hat{\pi}_\alpha^\dagger = \hat{\pi}_\alpha, \quad \hat{Q}_\alpha^\dagger = \hat{Q}_\alpha. \quad (3.14)$$

Furthermore, if there is a bi-invariant metric on the group, let $\mathbf{g} = \det g_{ij}$. One may then replace scalar densities by scalar fields

$$\tilde{\Psi} = |\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{4}}\Psi, \quad \Psi'(g') = \Psi(g), \quad \langle\Phi, \Psi\rangle = \int_G d^n g |\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\Phi^*(g)\Psi(g). \quad (3.15)$$

In this case, the hermitian operators simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\pi}_\alpha\Psi(g) &= -i\hbar[\vec{R}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{g}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_i(|\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}R_\alpha^i)]\Psi(g) = -i\hbar\vec{R}_\alpha\Psi(g), \\ \hat{Q}_\alpha\Psi(g) &= -i\hbar[\vec{L}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{g}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_i(|\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}L_\alpha^i)]\Psi(g) = -i\hbar\vec{L}_\alpha\Psi(g), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

because

$$\partial_i(|\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}R_\alpha^i) = 0 = \partial_i(|\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}L_\alpha^i), \quad (3.17)$$

when using that left and right invariant vector fields commute. Alternatively, if D_i denotes the Christoffel connection associated with g_{ij} , this may also be seen from $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ij} = D_i\xi_j + D_j\xi_i$, $|\mathbf{g}|^{-\frac{1}{2}}\partial_i(|\mathbf{g}|^{\frac{1}{2}}\xi^i) = D_i\xi^i$ and (2.9).

3.4 Operator quantization

3.4.1 Reduced phase space quantization

The most direct, but not necessarily the most transparent, method is to transform first class into second class constraints by a choice of canonical gauge fixing conditions. One then solves the second class constraints $\chi_A(q, p) = 0$ in terms of independent coordinates y^i and quantizes the associated Dirac brackets $\{y^i, y^j\}^*$ in a suitable Hilbert space. In this approach, when the geometry of the constraint surface is such that there does not exist a global gauge condition that intersects the gauge orbits once and only once, one has to deal with what is commonly called the Gribov obstruction. Furthermore, the polarization that one chooses should be G -invariant so that the Hilbert space carries a unitary representation of G .

3.4.2 Dirac quantization of first class constraints

First class constraints, which may have been obtained after conversion of a second class constrained system into a first class one, may be imposed after quantization on the Hilbert space of the unconstrained system. In the compact case that we consider below, there are no issues with a divergent inner product and no need for additional delta functions in the measure.

3.4.3 BFV-BRST operator quantization

Alternatively, one can choose not to gauge fix the first class constraints, or to convert second class constraints into first class ones. One tries to quantize all variables $z^A, \eta^a, \mathcal{P}_b$ in a Hilbert space with an inner product that is not positive definite in such a way $[\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\Omega}] = 0$, and $\hat{\Omega}^\dagger = \hat{\Omega}$. Physical states and operators are defined in terms of BRST state and operator cohomology classes.

3.5 Path integral quantization

We collect here some results on path integrals for symplectic manifolds that we will use below by following chapter 15 of [28] (and in particular, Exercises 15.5 and 15.8).

Let the symplectic potential and two form be denoted by

$$a = a_\Delta dz^\Delta, \quad \sigma = da = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\Delta\Gamma} dz^\Delta dz^\Gamma, \quad (3.18)$$

with associated first order action

$$S_H = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [a_\Delta \dot{z}^\Delta - H]. \quad (3.19)$$

The Liouville measure to be used in the Hamiltonian path integral in non-Darboux coordinates is

$$\prod_t \sqrt{\det \sigma_{\Delta\Gamma}} \frac{d^{2n}z}{(2\pi\hbar)^n}, \quad d^{2n}z = \frac{1}{(2n)!} \epsilon_{\Delta_1 \dots \Delta_{2n}} dz^{\Delta_1} \dots dz^{\Delta_{2n}}. \quad (3.20)$$

For instance, in the case of T^*G in non-Darboux coordinates $z^\Delta = (g^i, \pi_\alpha)$, explicit expressions are given in (2.48),

$$\sigma_{\Delta\Gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_\delta f_{\rho\sigma} R^\rho_i R^\sigma_j & -R^\beta_j \\ R^\alpha_j & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sqrt{\det \sigma_{\Delta\Gamma}} = |\det R^\alpha_i|, \quad (3.21)$$

If the Lie algebra basis is taken as $e_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial g^j}|_{g^k=0}$, with $g^k = 0$ corresponding to the identity element in the group, $R^j_i(g^k = 0) = \delta^j_i$, so that $\det R^j_i > 0$ by continuity. It follows that $\det R^\alpha_i > 0$ if e_α is a Lie algebra basis with the same orientation than e_j .

The phase space dependent measure factor $\sqrt{\det \sigma_{\Delta\Gamma}}$ may be exponentiated into the path integral action to yield

$$W = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt [a_\Delta \dot{z}^\Delta - H - \frac{\hbar}{2i} \delta(0) \text{Tr} \ln \sigma_{\Delta\Gamma}], \quad (3.22)$$

Consider

$$\langle F \rangle \equiv \langle z_{t_f}, T\hat{F} z_{t_i} \rangle = \int \prod_t \frac{d^{2n}z}{(2\pi\hbar)^n} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}W}. \quad (3.23)$$

When using the Schwinger-Dyson equations in the form

$$0 = \langle \sigma^{\Delta\Gamma}(t) \frac{\delta W}{\delta z^\Gamma(t)} \rangle + \frac{\hbar}{i} \langle \frac{\partial \sigma^{\Delta\Gamma}}{\partial z^\Gamma}(t) \rangle \delta(0), \quad (3.24)$$

and the closure of the symplectic form, $\partial_{[\Delta_1} \sigma_{\Delta_2 \Delta_3]} = 0$, the singular terms proportional to $\delta(0)$ cancel out. In summary, in path integral computations, one may use the Hamiltonian equations of motion

$$\dot{z}^\Gamma = \sigma^{\Gamma\Delta} \frac{\partial H}{\partial z^\Delta}, \quad (3.25)$$

while disregarding the singular term in (3.22). Note however that one has to use both (i) the correct symbol for the Hamiltonian adapted to the ordering at hand, and (ii) the improved kinetic term with suitable boundary terms that guarantee that the action has a true extremum for solutions of the equations of motion (3.25) satisfying the boundary conditions adapted to the external states. Finally, if the symbol for the Hamiltonian is such that the right hand sides of the equations of motion in (3.25) are at most linear in z^Δ , one may expect the result to be simply given by the value of the improved action at the extremum.

4 Application to $SU(2)$: Classical theory

Our notations and conventions for $SU(2)$ can be found in Appendix A.1.

4.1 (Co) adjoint orbits and Hopf fibration

The adjoint and coadjoint representations of SU(2) are isomorphic to the vector representation of SO(3). Indeed, when using that $g^{-1} = g^\dagger$, it follows that the matrix of the adjoint representation can be written as

$$\mathcal{R}^\alpha{}_\beta \equiv R^\alpha{}_i L_\beta{}^i = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\sigma^\alpha g \sigma_\beta g^\dagger), \quad (4.1)$$

which coincides with the standard 2 to 1 homomorphism of SU(2) into SO(3).

For fixed (co) vector $Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{su}^{(*)}(2)$, the subgroup of rotations that leave this vector invariant is given by $\mathcal{R}(\hat{Y}, \psi)$. The (co) adjoint orbits are spheres \mathbb{S}_Y^2 of radius $Y = \sqrt{Y_\alpha Y^\alpha} \geq 0$. On the level of SU(2), the little group H_Y corresponds to the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$h = e^{-\psi \frac{\hat{Y}^\alpha}{2} \iota \sigma_\alpha}, \quad 0 \leq \psi < 4\pi, \quad (4.2)$$

and is isomorphic to U(1).

Representatives for the (co) adjoint orbits may be chosen along the z -axis,

$$Y_\alpha^{\epsilon Y} = \epsilon Y \delta_\alpha^3, \quad Z_Z^\alpha = Z \delta_3^\alpha, \quad (4.3)$$

where $\epsilon = \pm 1$ is introduced for later convenience and keeps track of whether the coadjoint representative is chosen along the positive or negative z -axis.

$$\mathfrak{su}^{(*)}(2) \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 = \bigcup_Y \mathcal{R}_{SO(3)} Y^{\epsilon Y}. \quad (4.4)$$

In this case, the little group $H_{\epsilon Y}$ is explicitly described by

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\iota \epsilon \frac{\psi}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\iota \epsilon \frac{\psi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq \psi < 4\pi. \quad (4.5)$$

The set of right cosets, $H_{\epsilon Y} \backslash \text{SU}(2) \simeq \mathbb{S}^2$, is directly related to the Hopf fibration. For completeness, explicit expressions for the relation between SU(2) and SO(3) in exponential parametrization are provided in Appendix A.2.

4.2 Adapted Euler angles and Borel gauge

In order to explicitly describe $H_{\epsilon Y} \backslash \text{SU}(2)$, it is useful to choose the following parametrization of SU(2) in terms of Euler angles,

$$g = e^{-\frac{\psi}{2} \iota \sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2} \iota \sigma_2} e^{-\frac{\phi}{2} \iota \sigma_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\iota \frac{\psi+\phi}{2}} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\iota \frac{\psi-\phi}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\iota \frac{\psi-\phi}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\iota \frac{\psi+\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

with $0 \leq \psi < 4\pi$, $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$, $0 < \theta < \pi$. Explicit expressions for the left and right invariant vector fields and Maurer-Cartan forms, as well the adjoint and coadjoint representations are provided in Appendix A.3.

In this case, a gauge transformation by left multiplication with $h^{-\epsilon}(t)$ may be used to reach the Borel gauge,

$$\psi = 0, \quad g_B = e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}\iota\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}\iota\sigma_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\iota\frac{\phi}{2}} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\iota\frac{\phi}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\iota\frac{\phi}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{\iota\frac{\phi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

As discussed in more details below, there is a Gribov obstruction: the geometry of the constraint surface and the gauge orbits is such that it forbids the existence of global gauge conditions. In other words, neither the Borel, nor any other canonical gauge condition, is globally valid because the Hopf bundle is non-trivial.

4.3 Coadjoint orbits: Local description

With the above choice of nonzero covector representative $\mathbf{Y} \neq 0$, the nature of the constraints is determined by $C_{AB} = \epsilon \mathbf{Y} \epsilon_{AB}$, with $A, B, \dots = 1, 2$, $\epsilon_{AB}, \epsilon^{AB}$ completely skew-symmetric and $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^{21} = 1$, so that $(C^{-1})^{AB} = \frac{1}{\epsilon \mathbf{Y}} \epsilon^{AB}$. For convenience, let us define

$$q = -\epsilon \mathbf{Y}. \quad (4.8)$$

The second class constraints are thus $\pi_1 = 0 = \pi_2$, while the first class constraint is $\gamma = \pi_3 + q = 0$, which generates arbitrary shifts in ψ .

Locally, a reduced phase space description is achieved by turning this first class constraint into two second class ones by a suitable gauge fixing condition. This is done in the parametrization in terms of adapted Euler angles through the Borel gauge, $\chi = \psi$ which is reachable. It also follows from (A.15) that $\{\chi, \gamma\} = R_3^1 = 1$, so that the gauge is fixed completely.

The non-vanishing Dirac brackets are given by

$$\{\theta, \phi\}^* = R_A^2 (C^{-1})^{AB} R_B^3 = -\frac{1}{q \sin \theta}. \quad (4.9)$$

When using in addition (A.17), a local expression for the completely reduced action is

$$\boxed{S^{\mathbb{S}_Y^2}[\theta, \phi; Y, Z] = - \int dt q \left[\cos \theta \frac{d\phi}{dt} - \cos \theta \mathbf{Z} \right]}. \quad (4.10)$$

Local Darboux coordinates are obtained by introducing $\mu = \cos \theta$, $1 > \mu > -1$. The associated symplectic potential 1-form and 2-forms are

$$a = -q\mu d\phi, \quad \sigma = da = -qd\mu \wedge d\phi = q \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi, \quad \{\mu, \phi\}^* = \frac{1}{q}. \quad (4.11)$$

Whereas $\sigma = da$ locally when $0 < \theta < \pi$, this cannot be true globally on \mathbb{S}^2 with a smooth a . Indeed, if it were, one would have $\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma = \int_{\partial\mathbb{S}^2} a = 0$, but instead

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma = 4\pi q. \quad (4.12)$$

4.4 Coadjoints orbits: Global description and Gribov obstruction

A standard way to get a globally well-defined description⁴ is to consider two overlapping coordinate neighborhoods of \mathbb{S}^2 , the first one containing the north pole $U_+^\delta : \{0 \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta\}$ with $0 < \delta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and the second one containing the south pole, $U_-^\delta : \{\frac{\pi}{2} - \delta < \theta \leq \pi\}$. The associated potentials in the respective patches are given by

$$a_+ = q[1 - \cos \theta]d\phi, \quad a_- = q[-1 - \cos \theta]d\phi, \quad (4.13)$$

while their difference on the overlap $U_{+-}^\delta = U_+^\delta \cap U_-^\delta : \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} + \delta$ is

$$a_+ - a_- = d\Phi, \quad \Phi = 2q\phi. \quad (4.14)$$

If \mathbb{S}^+ , \mathbb{S}^- , E denote the upper hemisphere, lower hemisphere and the equator, respectively,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma = \int_{\mathbb{S}^+} da_+ + \int_{\mathbb{S}^-} da_- = \oint_E (a_+ - a_-) = 4\pi q, \quad (4.15)$$

as it should.

In order to deal with the Gribov obstruction explicitly, one may use the implicit Euler-Rodrigues parametrization of SU(2) (see e.g. [38] section 2.5) that consists in describing SU(2) $\simeq \mathbb{S}^3$ in terms of coordinates on \mathbb{R}^4 by using four real variables or two complex variables,

$$a = \alpha^0 + i\alpha^3, \quad b = i(\alpha^1 + i\alpha^2), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \bar{b} \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} = \alpha^0 \sigma_0 + \alpha^\beta (-i\sigma_\beta). \quad (4.16)$$

with parameters constrained to lie on the unit sphere,

$$(\alpha^0)^2 + (\alpha^1)^2 + (\alpha^2)^2 + (\alpha^3)^2 = \alpha_A \alpha^A = 1 = |a|^2 + |b|^2. \quad (4.17)$$

In terms of adapted Euler-angles

$$(a, b) = \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\psi+\phi}{2}}, -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\psi-\phi}{2}} \right). \quad (4.18)$$

In the overlap U_{+-}^δ , the gauge condition $\psi = 0$ intersects each orbit once: $(\cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}, -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\phi}{2}})$ is associated with a single element of (4.18). This is no longer the case in the neighborhoods

⁴This approach has been used in the case of Dirac's monopole [35] in [36, 37], which is directly related to the current problem when reducing from \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{S}^2 .

U_{\pm}^{δ} . For U_{+}^{δ} , at $\theta = 0$, $(a, b) = (e^{i\frac{\psi+\phi}{2}}, 0)$, which are all in the same orbit. The condition $\psi = 0$ gives $(e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}, 0)$ and does not fix a single element of this orbit but leaves an \mathbb{S}^1 worth of group elements that belong to the same orbit⁵. The only gauge choice that fixes a single group element, $(a, b) = (1, 0)$ is $\chi_{+} = \psi + \phi = 0$. In the other neighborhood, U_{-}^{δ} , a similar reasoning shows that the appropriate gauge choice is $\chi_{-} = \psi - \phi$.

For later use, instead of spherical coordinates, one may also consider the complex coordinates on $U_{-} = U_{-}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ provided by stereographic projection from the north pole on the complex plane through the origin, and on $U_{+} = U_{+}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ those provided by the complex conjugate of the stereographic projection from the south pole on the complex plane through the origin,

$$\zeta_{-} = \frac{x + iy}{1 - z} = e^{i\phi} \cot \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \zeta_{+} = \frac{x - iy}{1 + z} = e^{-i\phi} \tan \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad \zeta_{+}\zeta_{-} = 1 \quad \text{on } U_{+-}. \quad (4.19)$$

In these terms, if $\tilde{\epsilon} = \pm 1$, the metric of the unit sphere \mathbb{S}^2 is

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 = 4P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-2} d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad P_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = (1 + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}). \quad (4.20)$$

If the Kähler potential is defined by

$$K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q = 2q \ln P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (4.21)$$

and $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$ with $\partial = d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}$, $\bar{\partial} = d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\tilde{\epsilon}} &= \partial(\frac{\iota}{2} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) - \bar{\partial}(\frac{\iota}{2} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) = -d(\frac{\iota}{2} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) + \partial(\iota K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) = d(\frac{\iota}{2} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) - \bar{\partial}(\iota K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q), \\ \sigma_{\tilde{\epsilon}} &= \bar{\partial} \partial(\iota K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^q) = -2\iota q P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-2} d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

The associated Dirac brackets are given by

$$\{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\}^* = \frac{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2}{2\iota q}. \quad (4.23)$$

For integration on the sphere in these coordinates, note that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\iota} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2} = 1, \quad (4.24)$$

which can be explicitly checked in this context by (i) integrating over $U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}$, that is to say \mathbb{S}^2 minus a small cap $D_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\epsilon}$ surrounding the point at infinity, which is not covered in the coordinate neighborhood $U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$, (ii) using that the integrand may be written as $-d \wedge \partial \ln(1 + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})$ and applying Stokes' theorem, (iii) using that $\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \frac{2}{\epsilon} e^{-i\tilde{\epsilon}\phi}$ on the boundary, see (A.39) for more details.

⁵It thus follows that the Gribov obstruction here is directly related to ‘‘gimbal lock’’.

4.5 Coadjoint orbits: Noether charges and Hamiltonian vector fields

The Noether charges are gauge invariant and given by

$$Q_{\pm} = q\sqrt{1-\mu^2}e^{\mp i\phi} = 2qP_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{-1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}, \quad Q_3 = -q\mu = -\tilde{\epsilon}qP_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1}(1-\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}), \quad (4.25)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} -\{Q_{\pm}, \cdot\}^* &= \mp i\sqrt{1-\mu^2}e^{\mp i\phi}\partial_{\mu} + \frac{\mu e^{\mp i\phi}}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}\partial_{\phi} = \pm i\tilde{\epsilon}[\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1\pm\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1\mp\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}], \\ -\{Q_3, \cdot\}^* &= \partial_{\phi} = -i\tilde{\epsilon}[\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}], \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where we have used (A.38).

In this case,

$$Q^2 = \delta^{\alpha\beta}Q_{\alpha}Q_{\beta} = q^2 = \mathbf{Y}^2, \quad (4.27)$$

and, if $\mathcal{L}_{\alpha} = -i\{Q_{\alpha}, \cdot\}^*$, the Casimir is minus the Laplacian on the sphere,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^2 = \delta^{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\mathcal{L}_{\beta} &= -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} = -[(1-\mu^2)\partial_{\mu^2} - 2\mu\partial_{\mu} + \frac{1}{1-\mu^2}\partial_{\phi}^2] \\ &= -[\partial_{\theta}^2 + \cot\theta\partial_{\theta} + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}\partial_{\phi}^2] = -P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2\partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

4.6 Geometric action for $T^*\text{SU}(2)$

In the parametrization in terms of the adapted Euler angles (4.6), explicit expressions for left and right invariant vector fields and Maurer-Cartan forms as well as the adjoint and coadjoint representations are given in Appendix A.3. If $\pi_{\pm} = \pi_1 \pm i\pi_2$ and $Q_{\pm} = Q_1 \pm iQ_2$, the non-vanishing Poisson brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} &= -2i\pi_3, \quad \{\pi_3, \pi_{\pm}\} = \mp i\pi_{\pm}, \\ \{\psi, \pi_3\} &= 1, \quad \{\psi, \pi_{\pm}\} = -e^{\pm i\psi}\cot\theta, \quad \{\theta, \pi_{\pm}\} = \pm ie^{\pm i\psi}, \quad \{\phi, \pi_{\pm}\} = \frac{e^{\pm i\psi}}{\sin\theta}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

The geometric action is

$$\boxed{S^{T^*\text{SU}(2)}[\psi, \theta, \phi, \pi_3, \pi_{\pm}; Z] = \int dt [\pi_3(\dot{\psi} + \cos\theta\dot{\phi}) + \frac{1}{2}\pi_+e^{-i\psi}(-i\dot{\theta} + \sin\theta\dot{\phi})} \\ \boxed{+ \frac{1}{2}\pi_-e^{i\psi}(i\dot{\theta} + \sin\theta\dot{\phi}) - \mathbf{Z}Q_3}], \quad (4.30)$$

where the Noether charges are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(\pi_+e^{-i\psi} + \pi_-e^{i\psi})\sin\theta + \pi_3\cos\theta, \\ Q_{\pm} &= \frac{1}{2}[\pi_+e^{-i\psi}(\cos\theta \pm 1)e^{\mp i\phi} + \pi_-e^{i\psi}(\cos\theta \mp 1)e^{\mp i\phi}] - \pi_3\sin\theta e^{\mp i\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.31)$$

with

$$\{Q_+, Q_-\} = 2\iota Q_3, \quad \{Q_3, Q_\pm\} = \pm\iota Q_\pm, \quad (4.32)$$

and

$$Q^2 = \pi_+ \pi_- + \pi_3^2 + \pi_3 \cos \theta \left[\pi_+ e^{-\nu\psi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta\right) + \pi_- e^{\nu\psi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sin \theta\right) \right]. \quad (4.33)$$

Explicit expressions for the left and right invariant vector fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \iota \partial_\phi, & \mathcal{L}_\pm &= \mp e^{\mp \nu\psi} \left(\partial_\theta \pm \iota \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\psi \mp \iota \cot \theta \partial_\phi \right), \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= -\iota \partial_\psi, & \mathcal{R}_\pm &= \pm e^{\pm \nu\psi} \left(\partial_\theta \mp \iota \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi \pm \iota \cot \theta \partial_\psi \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = \iota \vec{L}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{R}_\alpha = -\iota \vec{R}_\alpha. \quad (4.35)$$

In the complex parametrizations (A.33) adapted to the coordinate patches $U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$, we have instead from Appendix A.5 and A.4 that the non-vanishing Poisson brackets involving the coordinates become

$$\begin{aligned} \{\psi, \pi_3\} &= 1, & \{\psi, \pi_+\} &= \tilde{\epsilon} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} e^{\nu\psi}, & \{\psi, \pi_-\} &= \tilde{\epsilon} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} e^{-\nu\psi}, \\ \{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \pi_-\} &= -\iota \tilde{\epsilon} e^{-\nu\psi} P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, & \{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \pi_+\} &= \iota \tilde{\epsilon} e^{\nu\psi} P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

while the geometric action becomes

$$\boxed{S^{T^*\text{SU}(2)}[\psi, \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \pi_3, \pi_\pm; Z] = \int dt \left(\pi_3 \left[\frac{d\psi}{dt} + \iota P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \left(\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} \right) \right] \right.} \\ \left. - \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \pi_+ e^{-\nu\psi} P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} + \iota \tilde{\epsilon} \pi_- e^{\nu\psi} P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} - \mathbf{Z} Q_3 \right), \quad (4.37)$$

where the Noether charges are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &= P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \left[\pi_+ e^{-\nu\psi} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \pi_- e^{\nu\psi} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \pi_3 \tilde{\epsilon} (1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) \right], \\ Q_\pm &= P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \left[\pm \pi_+ e^{-\nu\psi} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}} \mp \pi_- e^{\nu\psi} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}} - 2\pi_3 \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.38)$$

with

$$Q^2 = \pi_+ \pi_- + \pi_3^2. \quad (4.39)$$

Explicit expressions for the left and right invariant vector fields are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_3 &= \tilde{\epsilon} [\iota \partial_\psi + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}], & \mathcal{L}_\pm &= -\iota \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \partial_\psi \mp \tilde{\epsilon} [\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}], \\ \mathcal{R}_3 &= -\iota \partial_\psi, & \mathcal{R}_+ &= \tilde{\epsilon} e^{\nu\psi} (-\iota \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_\psi + P_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}) = -\overline{\mathcal{R}_-}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

By construction, when evaluating the observables Q_α, Q^2 on the constraint surface $\pi_\pm = 0, \pi_3 = -q = \epsilon \mathbf{Y}$, one gets those associated to a single coadjoint orbit discussed in the previous section.

4.7 SU(2) model space

The model space for $SU(2)$ is obtained from the previous section by assuming that $\pi_3 \neq 0$ and imposing the second class constraints $\pi_+ = 0 = \pi_-$. Dirac brackets are given by

$$\{f, g\}^* = \{f, g\} + \frac{1}{2\nu\pi_3} [\{f, \pi_+\}\{g, \pi_-\} - \{f, \pi_-\}\{g, \pi_+\}]. \quad (4.41)$$

More explicitly, on the second class constraint surface, the non-vanishing brackets are given by

$$\{\psi, \pi_3\}^* = 1, \quad \{\psi, \theta\}^* = \frac{\cot \theta}{\pi_3}, \quad \{\theta, \phi\}^* = \frac{1}{\pi_3 \sin \theta}, \quad (4.42)$$

respectively by

$$\{\psi, \pi_3\}^* = 1, \quad \{\psi, \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\}^* = -\frac{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{2\pi_3} = \overline{\{\psi, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\}^*}, \quad \{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\}^* = \frac{\nu P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2}{2\pi_3}. \quad (4.43)$$

The geometric action becomes

$$\boxed{S^{MSU(2)}[\psi, \theta, \phi, \pi_3; Z] = \int dt [\pi_3(\dot{\psi} + \cos \theta \dot{\phi}) - \mathbf{Z}\pi_3 \cos \theta]}, \quad (4.44)$$

or,

$$\boxed{S^{MSU(2)}[\psi, \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \pi_3; Z] = \int dt \left(\pi_3 \left[\frac{d\psi}{dt} + \nu P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} (\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt}) \right] - \mathbf{Z}Q_3 \right)}, \quad (4.45)$$

with associated symplectic 2-form,

$$\sigma = d\pi_3 \wedge (d\psi + \cos \theta d\phi) - \pi_3 \sin \theta d\theta \wedge d\phi, \quad (4.46)$$

respectively

$$\sigma_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = d\pi_3 \wedge [d\psi + \nu P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} (\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}})] + \pi_3 2\nu P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-2} d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (4.47)$$

while the Noether charges reduce to

$$Q_3 = \pi_3 \cos \theta, \quad Q_{\pm} = -\pi_3 \sin \theta e^{\mp \nu \phi}, \quad (4.48)$$

respectively to

$$Q_3 = \pi_3 \tilde{\epsilon} P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} (1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}), \quad Q_{\pm} = -2\pi_3 P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}, \quad (4.49)$$

with

$$\{Q_+, Q_-\}^* = 2\nu Q_3, \quad \{Q_3, Q_{\pm}\}^* = \pm \nu Q_{\pm}, \quad Q^2 = \pi_3^2. \quad (4.50)$$

Finally, the left invariant vector fields are generated by the Noether charges in the Dirac bracket $X_{Q_\alpha} = -\{Q_\alpha, \cdot\}^*$ and given by (4.34) multiplied by $-\nu$, respectively (4.40) multiplied by

$-i$, where the explicit computation uses (A.38). From the right invariant vector fields, only $X_{\pi_3} = -\{\pi_3, \cdot\}^* = \hat{\partial}_\psi$ remains.

Alternatively, the model space may be considered as a first class constrained system associated to $T^*SU(2)$ by dropping either the constraint $\pi_+ = 0$ and keeping the constraint $\pi_- = 0$, or by dropping the latter and keeping the former. The associated generator of (abelian) gauge transformations is then $\gamma = \pi_- \varepsilon^-$ or $\gamma = \pi_+ \varepsilon^+$.

Similarly, the theory associated to a single coadjoint orbit can be understood as a non-abelian first class constrained system associated to $T^*SU(2)$ by imposing in addition $\pi_3 + q = 0$, so that the generator of gauge transformation becomes

$$\gamma = (\pi_3 + q)\varepsilon^3 + \pi_- \varepsilon^-, \quad \text{or} \quad \gamma = (\pi_3 + q)\varepsilon^3 + \pi_+ \varepsilon^+. \quad (4.51)$$

4.8 $SU(2)$ as a constrained system of nonzero quaternions

We now consider the implicit Euler-Rodrigues parametrization of $SU(2)$ as discussed in equations (4.16), (4.17). Associated expressions for left and right invariant vector fields and Maurer-Cartan forms as well as the coadjoint representation are provided in Appendix A.4. In these terms, the geometric action for $T^*SU(2)$ becomes

$$S^{T^*SU(2)}[\alpha^A, \pi_\alpha; Z] = \int dt \pi_\alpha R^\alpha_A (\dot{\alpha}^A - \mathbf{Z}L_3^A), \quad (4.52)$$

with Noether charges given by

$$Q_\alpha = \pi_\beta R^\beta_A L_\alpha^A. \quad (4.53)$$

Dropping the constraint (4.17) amounts to considering the Lie group of (non-unimodular) nonzero quaternions \mathbb{H}^* which can be represented by

$$z_1 = x^0 + ix^3, \quad z_2 = i(x^1 + ix^2), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{z}_1 & \bar{z}_2 \\ -z_2 & z_1 \end{pmatrix} = x^0 \sigma_0 + x^\beta (-i\sigma_\beta). \quad (4.54)$$

This corresponds to replacing a, b and $\alpha^A = (\alpha^0, \alpha^\beta)$ in the Euler-Rodrigues parametrization by z_1, z_2 and $x^A = (x^0, x^\beta)$ in order to emphasize that these coordinates are now unconstrained coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^4 - 0$. Associated expressions for left and right invariant vector fields \vec{L}_A, \vec{R}_A and Maurer-Cartan forms L^A, R^A as well as the coadjoint representation for the Lie group of nonzero quaternions are provided in Appendix B.

The geometric action for $T^*\mathbb{H}^*$ is

$$\boxed{S^{T^*\mathbb{H}^*}[x^B, \pi_A; Z] = \int dt \pi_A R^A_B [\dot{x}^B - L_C^B Z^C]}, \quad (4.55)$$

with

$$\{x^A, x^B\} = 0 = \{\pi_0, \pi_A\}, \quad \{\pi_\alpha, \pi_\beta\} = \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \pi_\gamma, \quad \{x^A, \pi_B\} = R_B^A, \quad (4.56)$$

$$\{Q_0, Q_A\}, \quad \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = -\epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} Q_\gamma, \quad (4.57)$$

and

$$\{Q_A, \pi_B\} = 0. \quad (4.58)$$

Furthermore, if δ_{AB} is the non-degenerate invariant metric on the reductive Lie algebra described by the structure constants (B.2), used together with its inverse to lower and raise Lie algebra indices,

$$\pi_A \pi^A = Q_A Q^A. \quad (4.59)$$

Equivalently, in terms of Darboux coordinates,

$$S^{T^*\mathbb{H}^*}[x^B, p_A; Z] = \int dt p_B [\dot{x}^B - L_C^B Z^C], \quad (4.60)$$

and, when using the explicit expressions in Appendix B,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_0 &= \frac{1}{2} x^A p_A, & \pi_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (x_0 p_\alpha - x_\alpha p_0 + \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\beta p^\gamma), \\ Q_0 &= \frac{1}{2} x^A p_A, & Q_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (x_0 p_\alpha - x_\alpha p_0 - \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma} x^\beta p^\gamma), \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

with

$$\pi_A \pi^A = \frac{1}{4} R^2 S^2 = Q_A Q^A, \quad R^2 = x^A x_A, \quad S^2 = p_A p^A. \quad (4.62)$$

Consider the second class constraints $\mathcal{L} = 0 = \mathcal{S}$,

$$\mathcal{L} = R - 1, \quad \mathcal{S} = \frac{\pi_0}{R} = \frac{x^A \pi_B R^B_A}{R} = \frac{x^A p_A}{2R}, \quad \{\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{S}\} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (4.63)$$

The geometric action for $T^*\text{SU}(2)$ in Euler-Rodrigues parametrization in (4.52) is obtained from the one for quaternions in (4.55) by imposing the second class constraints

$$S^{T^*SU(2)}[x^B, \pi_A, \lambda, \mu; Z] = \int dt [\pi_A R^A_B (\dot{x}^B - L_C^B Z^C) - \lambda \mathcal{L} - \mu \mathcal{S}], \quad (4.64)$$

where $\lambda(t), \mu(t)$ are Lagrange multipliers, as can directly be seen by solving the constraints in the action.

One may also convert this set of second class constraints into the single first class constraint given by $\mathcal{S} = 0$,

$$S^{T^*SU(2)}[x^B, \pi_A, \mu; Z] = \int dt [\pi_A R^A_B (\dot{x}^B - L_C^B Z^C) - \mu \mathcal{S}]. \quad (4.65)$$

This first class constraint generates the gauge symmetry, $\delta_\epsilon \cdot = \{\cdot, \mathcal{S}\} \epsilon$,

$$\delta_\epsilon x^A = \epsilon \frac{x^A}{2R}, \quad \delta_\epsilon \pi_0 = \epsilon \frac{\pi_0}{2R}, \quad \delta_\epsilon \pi_\alpha = 0 \iff \delta_\epsilon p_A = \epsilon \frac{x_A x^B p_B - R^2 p_A}{2R^3}. \quad (4.66)$$

Instead of the real variables x^A , one may also use the complex variables z_1, z_2 and instead of $\pi_A = (\pi_0, \pi_\alpha)$, ρ_\pm, π_\pm , where $\pi_\pm = \pi_1 \pm i\pi_2$, $\rho_\pm = \pi_0 \pm i\pi_3$. The relevant expressions in this parametrization are provided in Appendix B.2.

4.9 $SU(2)$ model space from quaternions. Adapted gauge fixing

Consider the phase space (x^A, π_B) of dimension 8 corresponding to the 4 canonical pairs (x^A, p_B) . The model space corresponds to imposing the 4 second class constraints

$$\chi_A = \left(R - 1, \frac{\pi_0}{R}, \frac{\pi_+}{R}, \frac{\pi_-}{R}\right), \quad (4.67)$$

on the theory described by the geometric action for \mathbb{H}^* . One remains with the coordinates z_1, z_2 constrained by $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$ and π_3 . The associated reduced phase space is described in Appendix 4.9.

A better way to prepare the system for quantization is the following. Starting from the description of $T^*SU(2)$ as a first class constraint system of $T^*\mathbb{H}^*$ in (4.65), one may choose a more convenient gauge fixing condition than $R = 1$. For instance one may also choose $\sqrt{\pi_A \pi^A} = R$ or the symmetric condition $\sqrt{\pi_A \pi^A} = R^2$. When one imposes in addition $\pi_+ = 0 = \pi_-$, the latter reduces to $|\pi_3| = R^2$, so that

$$\left\{R - \frac{|\pi_3|}{R}, \frac{\pi_0}{R}\right\} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{|\pi_3|}{2R^2} \approx 1. \quad (4.68)$$

In this case, the model space is obtained by using the following set of second class constraints,

$$\chi_A = \left(\frac{R^2 - |\pi_3|}{R}, \frac{\pi_0}{R}, \frac{\pi_+}{R}, \frac{\pi_-}{R}\right). \quad (4.69)$$

In the following we assume that the second class constraints are imposed strongly. This implies that $\pi_0 = 0 = \pi_{\pm}$, $|\pi_3| = R^2$ and that the model space is described by the unconstrained variables $z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2$ (except for the condition that $R \neq 0$).

The observables defined on the constraint surface by

$$q_+ = 2\epsilon' \bar{z}_1 z_2, \quad q_- = 2\epsilon' z_1 \bar{z}_2, \quad q_3 = \epsilon'(z_1 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2), \quad \epsilon' = \text{sgn}(\pi_3), \quad (4.70)$$

satisfy

$$R^4 = q_+ q_- + q_3^2. \quad (4.71)$$

Since the Dirac brackets of first class functions agree with their Poisson brackets on the constraint surface, it follows from (4.58) and (B.13) that

$$\{R^2, q_3\}^* = 0 = \{R^2, q_{\pm}\}^*, \quad \{q_+, q_-\}^* = 2i q_3, \quad \{q_{\pm}, q_3\}^* = \mp i q_{\pm}. \quad (4.72)$$

The explicit expressions for the Dirac brackets are obtained from

$$C_{AB} \equiv \{\chi_A, \chi_B\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -2i\epsilon' \\ 0 & 0 & 2i\epsilon' & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.73)$$

$$C^{-1AB} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.74)$$

As a consequence, the Dirac brackets of functions of $z_1, z_2, \bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2$ are given by

$$\{f, g\}^* = \frac{\epsilon'}{R^2} [\{f, \pi_3\}\{g, \pi_0\} - \{f, \pi_0\}\{g, \pi_3\} - \frac{\nu}{2}\{f, \pi_+\}\{g, \pi_-\} + \frac{\nu}{2}\{f, \pi_-\}\{g, \pi_+\}], \quad (4.75)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\{z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^* = \frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2}, \quad \{z_2, \bar{z}_2\}^* = \frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2}, \quad \{z_1, z_2\}^* = 0, \quad \{z_1, \bar{z}_2\}^* = 0. \quad (4.76)$$

It is this simple representation of the Dirac brackets of the fundamental variables that the adapted gauge fixing allows one to achieve. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_1, q_+\}^* &= \nu\epsilon' z_2, & \{z_1, q_-\}^* &= 0, & \{z_1, q_3\}^* &= \frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2} z_1, \\ \{z_2, q_+\}^* &= 0, & \{z_2, q_-\}^* &= \nu\epsilon' z_1, & \{z_2, q_3\}^* &= -\frac{\nu\epsilon'}{2} z_2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.77)$$

Let $\Xi = (1, 2)$. The additional change of variables,

$$a_1 = \frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\hbar}} \bar{z}_1 + \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\hbar}} z_1, \quad a_2 = \frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\hbar}} \bar{z}_2 + \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\hbar}} z_2, \quad (4.78)$$

allows one to write the Dirac brackets (4.76) as⁶

$$\{a_\Xi, a_{\Xi'}^*\}^* = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \delta_{\Xi, \Xi'}, \quad \{a_\Xi, a_{\Xi'}\}^* = 0 = \{a_\Xi^*, a_{\Xi'}^*\}^*. \quad (4.79)$$

The number operators for the two types of oscillators are

$$N_1 = a_1^* a_1, \quad N_2 = a_2^* a_2, \quad N = N_1 + N_2, \quad M = N_1 - N_2. \quad (4.80)$$

In terms of these creation and destruction operators, the Noether charges in (4.70) and R^2 in the expression for Q^2 in (4.71) become

$$\begin{aligned} q_+ &= \hbar \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} a_2^* a_1 - \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} a_1^* a_2 \right], & q_- &= \hbar \left[\frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} a_1^* a_2 - \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} a_2^* a_1 \right], \\ q_3 &= \frac{\hbar\epsilon'}{2} M, & R^2 &= \frac{\hbar}{2} N. \end{aligned} \quad (4.81)$$

The Dirac brackets (4.79) are unchanged under the (canonical) transformation $a_\Xi \rightarrow a_\Xi^*$, $a_\Xi^* \rightarrow -a_\Xi$. Performing this transformation on the Noether charges in (4.81) produces an

⁶Instead of a bar for complex conjugation, we now use a star.

isomorphic algebra of charges. If one performs this transformation on only one of the pairs of oscillators, the second one for definiteness, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} q'_+ &= -\hbar\left[\frac{1+\epsilon'}{2}a_1a_2 + \frac{1-\epsilon'}{2}a_1^*a_2^*\right], & q'_- &= \hbar\left[\frac{1+\epsilon'}{2}a_1^*a_2^* + \frac{1-\epsilon'}{2}a_2a_1\right], \\ q'_3 &= \frac{\hbar\epsilon'}{2}N = \epsilon'R^2, & (R^2)' &= \frac{\hbar}{2}M = \epsilon'q_3, \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

both the relation (4.71) and the algebra (4.72) continue to hold for the primed functions.

If one wants to recover a single coadjoint orbit, one needs to impose the additional constraint $\pi_3 = \epsilon\mathbf{Y}$. Together with $\pi_3 = \epsilon'R^2$, and since both \mathbf{Y} and R are positive, this means that

$$\epsilon = \epsilon', \quad \mathbf{Y} = R^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2}N. \quad (4.83)$$

5 Application to $SU(2)$: Quantum theory

5.1 Quantization of a single coadjoint orbit

The integrality condition implies the quantization of the radius in half integer units of \hbar ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \sigma = 2\pi\hbar n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \iff q = \frac{\hbar n}{2} \implies \mathbf{Y} = \hbar j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}/2, \quad \frac{q}{\hbar} = -\epsilon j. \quad (5.1)$$

The operators on phase space associated to the Noether charges through the prequantization formula depend on the potential one-form. According to (3.8), they are obtained by replacing derivatives by covariant derivatives in the Hamiltonian vectors fields,

$$D_A^{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \partial_A - \frac{\imath}{\hbar}a_A^{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (5.2)$$

multiplying by $-\imath\hbar$ and adding the charge. In spherical coordinates with potential (4.13),

$$D_\phi^{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \partial_\phi + \imath\epsilon j[\tilde{\epsilon} - \mu], \quad D_\mu^{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \partial_\mu, \quad (5.3)$$

More explicitly⁷,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hbar}\widehat{Q}_\pm^{\tilde{\epsilon}} &= e^{\mp\imath\phi}\left[\mp\sqrt{1-\mu^2}\partial_\mu + \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}(-\imath\partial_\phi + \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon j) - \epsilon j\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\mu^2}}\right] = \mathcal{J}_\mp, \\ \frac{1}{\hbar}\widehat{Q}_3^{\tilde{\epsilon}} &= -\imath\partial_\phi + \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon j = \mathcal{J}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

with associated modified Casimir operator

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\widehat{Q}^{\tilde{\epsilon}})^2 &= -\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^2} + \frac{2(1-\mu\tilde{\epsilon})}{1-\mu^2}[j^2 + \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon j(-\imath\partial_\phi)] = \\ &= -(1-\mu^2)\partial_\mu^2 + 2\mu\partial_\mu + \frac{1}{1-\mu^2}\left[(-\imath\partial_\phi + \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon j)^2 - 2\mu\epsilon j(-\imath\partial_\phi + \tilde{\epsilon}\epsilon j) + j^2\right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

⁷Up to conventions, these operators agree with those constructed in [37] (see also [39] for a discussion close to the current context).

In complex coordinates, it follows from (4.22) that it is advantageous to perform a gauge transformation that gets rid of the exact term in the second expression of the potentials⁸. The transformed potential becomes

$$\frac{\imath}{\hbar} a'_\epsilon = \frac{\imath}{\hbar} a_\epsilon + \epsilon j d(\ln P_\epsilon) = 2\epsilon j d\zeta_\epsilon \partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} \ln P_\epsilon. \quad (5.6)$$

When taking into account that $\exp\left(K_\epsilon^{\frac{\epsilon j}{2}}\right) = P_\epsilon^{\epsilon j}$, the associated wave functions are $\psi' = P_\epsilon^{\epsilon j} \psi$. The holomorphic polarization spanned by $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}$ is preserved by the Hamiltonian vector fields in (4.26), it satisfies $D'_{\zeta_\epsilon} = \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}$ so that polarized wave functions $D'_{\zeta_\epsilon} \psi' = 0$ are holomorphic, $\psi' = \psi'(\zeta_\epsilon)$. For polarized wave functions $\psi = P_\epsilon^{-\epsilon j} \psi'(\zeta_\epsilon)$ which are well defined on \mathbb{S}^2 to exist, one needs $\epsilon = 1$,

$$\psi(\zeta_\epsilon, \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon) = P_\epsilon^{-j} \psi'(\zeta_\epsilon), \quad (5.7)$$

and also that $\psi'(\zeta_\epsilon)$ are complex linear combinations of $1, \zeta_\epsilon, \dots, \zeta_\epsilon^{2j}$.

Furthermore,

$$D'_{\zeta_\epsilon} \psi' = [\partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} - 2j \partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} \ln P_\epsilon] \psi'. \quad (5.8)$$

The associated quantum operators preserving the chosen polarization are⁹

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\hbar} \widehat{Q}'_{\pm} &= \pm \tilde{\epsilon} [\zeta_\epsilon^{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} + \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon^{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}] - j \zeta_\epsilon^{\frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} (1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}), \\ \frac{1}{\hbar} \widehat{Q}'_3 &= -\tilde{\epsilon} [\zeta_\epsilon \partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} - \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}] + \tilde{\epsilon} j, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

with modified Casimir operator,

$$\frac{1}{\hbar^2} (\widehat{Q}'_\epsilon)^2 = -P_\epsilon^2 \partial_{\zeta_\epsilon} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon} + 2\imath j P_\epsilon \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon} + j(j+1), \quad (5.10)$$

and where the terms involving $\partial_{\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}$ can be dropped when acting on the polarized wave functions ψ' . With this understanding if

$$\widehat{Q}'_\alpha \psi = P_\epsilon^{-j} \widehat{Q}'_\alpha \psi', \quad (5.11)$$

and $\frac{1}{\hbar} \widehat{Q}'_\alpha = -\mathcal{L}'_\alpha$, the Hilbert space carries a unitary irreducible representation of $SU(2)$.

In terms of $SU(2)$ coherent states [40–42] (see Appendix C for conventions), if

$$\psi'(\zeta_\epsilon) = \langle \zeta_\epsilon, \psi \rangle, \quad \overline{\psi'(\zeta_\epsilon)} = \langle \psi, \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon \rangle, \quad (5.12)$$

the inner product becomes

$$\langle \phi, \psi \rangle = \frac{2j+1}{2\pi\imath} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_\epsilon \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon}{P_\epsilon^2} \bar{\phi} \psi = \frac{2j+1}{2\pi\imath} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} d\zeta_\epsilon \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon e^{-K_\epsilon^{j+1}} \langle \phi, \bar{\zeta}_\epsilon \rangle \langle \zeta_\epsilon, \psi \rangle, \quad (5.13)$$

⁸If one chooses to get rid of the exact term in the third expression, the role of ζ_ϵ and $\bar{\zeta}_\epsilon$ in the considerations below will be exchanged.

⁹In the explicit computation, the relations in (A.38) may be used in order to simplify expressions.

when taking into account the integrals worked out in (A.40). In this representation, the angular momentum operators are

$$\frac{1}{\hbar}\hat{Q}_{\pm}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = -\mathcal{J}_{\mp}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad \frac{1}{\hbar}\hat{Q}_3^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = \mathcal{J}_3^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (5.14)$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\mathcal{J}_3^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = -\tilde{\epsilon}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} + \tilde{\epsilon}j, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\pm}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = \pm\tilde{\epsilon}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1\mp\tilde{\epsilon}}\partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} + j(1\mp\tilde{\epsilon})\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1\mp\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}. \quad (5.15)$$

In the operator formalism, the character for an element associated to e_3 may be evaluated directly since the basis $|jm\rangle$ diagonalizes \hat{Q}_3 by construction,

$$\chi(\mathbf{Z}) = \text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i\mathbf{Z}}{\hbar}\hat{Q}_3} = \sum_{m=-j}^j e^{-i\mathbf{Z}m} = \frac{\sin\frac{(2j+1)\mathbf{Z}}{2}}{\sin\frac{\mathbf{Z}}{2}}. \quad (5.16)$$

This character, or more generally a matrix element, may also be evaluated using path integrals by repeating the steps used in the standard holomorphic representation,

$$\langle\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}(t_f-t_i)\hat{H}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\rangle = \int_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_i)=\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}^{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_f)=\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} \prod_{\tau} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\tau)d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\tau)}{2\pi\iota} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S_I}. \quad (5.17)$$

Here, the improved action is

$$S_I = \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left[\frac{\hbar}{2\iota} (\partial_{\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j \frac{d\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} - \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j \frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt}) - H_N^{\tilde{\epsilon}} \right] + \frac{\hbar}{2\iota} [K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j(t_f) + K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j(t_i)], \quad (5.18)$$

while

$$K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j(\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) = 2j \ln(1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}), \quad (5.19)$$

and $H_N^{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ is the analog for $\text{SU}(2)$ coherent states of the normal symbol for $\hat{H}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}$,

$$H_N^{\tilde{\epsilon}}(\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) = e^{-K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j} \langle\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \hat{H}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\rangle. \quad (5.20)$$

In particular,

$$\mathcal{J}_{3N}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = \tilde{\epsilon}j \frac{1 - \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\pm N}^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = 2j \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1\mp\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}}{1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}. \quad (5.21)$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion associated to S_I are

$$\frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} = -\frac{\iota}{2j\hbar} (1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^2 \frac{\partial H_N^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{\partial \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}, \quad \frac{d\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} = \frac{\iota}{2j\hbar} (1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^2 \frac{\partial H_N^{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{\partial \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}. \quad (5.22)$$

For solutions with fixed values for $\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ at t_f and $\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ at t_i , the variational principle has a true extremum on-shell, without any boundary terms, $\delta S_I \approx 0$ if $\delta\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_f) = 0 = \delta\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}(t_i)$. If one takes as quantum Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_f^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}} = \hat{Q}_3^{\prime\tilde{\epsilon}}$, the equations of motion become

$$\frac{d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} = \iota\tilde{\epsilon}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad \frac{d\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{dt} = -\iota\tilde{\epsilon}\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (5.23)$$

with unique solution satisfying the boundary conditions given by

$$\bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon(t) = \bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon e^{i\bar{\varepsilon}(t-t_i)}, \quad \eta_\varepsilon(t) = \eta_\varepsilon e^{i\bar{\varepsilon}(t_f-t)}. \quad (5.24)$$

In this case, the path integral reduces to the on-shell action S_I^{cl} . If $\mathbf{Z} = t_f - t_i$,

$$\langle \eta_\varepsilon, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Z} \hat{Q}_3^\varepsilon} \bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon \rangle = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_I^{\text{cl}}} = e^{-i\bar{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} j} (1 + \bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon \eta_\varepsilon e^{i\bar{\varepsilon} \mathbf{Z} j})^{2j}. \quad (5.25)$$

When expanding the integrand and using the integrals (A.40), the trace

$$\text{Tr} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Z} \hat{Q}_3^\varepsilon} = \frac{2j+1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{S}_2} d\zeta_\varepsilon \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon \langle \zeta_\varepsilon, e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} \mathbf{Z} \hat{Q}_3^\varepsilon} \bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon \rangle e^{-K^{j+1}}, \quad (5.26)$$

yields the expression (5.16) for the character.

5.2 Quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$

In the case of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$, we consider wave functions polarized with respect to the standard vertical polarization, i.e, wave functions on configuration space $\text{SU}(2)$. A basis for the Hilbert space $L^2(\text{SU}(2))$ that forms a representation of left and right invariant vector fields is provided by the Wigner \mathcal{D} matrices. In particular, the (complex conjugates of the) Wigner functions simultaneously diagonalize \mathcal{J}^2 , \mathcal{J}_3 , \mathcal{R}_3 , where the latter is the operator associated to π_3 . How to explicitly construct the Wigner matrices is discussed for instance in section 3.8 of [38]. Here we content ourselves to using standard results from the literature adapted to our parametrization.

In the expressions below, j is integer ($j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) and $m', m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $|m'| \leq j$, $|m| \leq j$, or j is half integer ($j = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} + 1, \frac{1}{2} + 2, \dots$) and $m', m \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$, $|m'| \leq j$, $|m| \leq j$. In the parametrization (4.6), left and right invariant vector fields are given in (4.34)¹⁰. The associated Wigner matrices¹¹ are given by

$$\langle jm'm | \psi \theta \phi \rangle \equiv D_{m'm}^j(\psi \theta \phi) = e^{-im'\psi} d_{m'm}^j(\theta) e^{-im\phi}, \quad (5.27)$$

$$d_{m'm}^j(\theta) = \sqrt{(j+m')!(j-m')!(j+m)!(j-m)!} \sum_{r=\max(0, m-m')}^{\min(j-m', j+m)} \frac{(-)^{m'-m+r} \cos^{2j-2r-m'+m} \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^{2r+m'-m} \frac{\theta}{2}}{(j+m-r)! r! (m'-m+r)! (j-m'-r)!}, \quad (5.28)$$

and satisfy¹²,

$$d_{m'm}^j = (-)^{m'-m} d_{-m', -m}^j, \quad (5.29)$$

¹⁰ $\mathcal{R}_3, \mathcal{R}_\pm$ agree with $\mathcal{J}_3, \mathcal{J}_\pm$ in eq. (3.102), $\mathcal{L}_3, \mathcal{L}_\pm$ with $-\mathcal{P}_3, -\mathcal{P}_\pm$ in eq (3.122) of [38]

¹¹cf. eq. (3.59), (3.65) of [38]

¹²cf. eq. (3.82) of [38]

so that one may also write

$$d_{m'm}^j(\theta) = \sqrt{(j+m')!(j-m')!(j+m)!(j-m)!} \sum_{r=\max(0,m'-m)}^{\min(j+m',j-m)} \frac{(-)^r \cos^{2j-2r+m'-m} \frac{\theta}{2} \sin^{2r-m'+m} \frac{\theta}{2}}{(j-m-r)!r!(m-m'+r)!(j+m'-r)!}. \quad (5.30)$$

If wave functions are defined by

$$\langle \psi \theta \phi | jm'm \rangle = D_{m'm}^{j*}, \quad (5.31)$$

the action of right invariant vector fields is given by¹³,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\pm} D_{m'm}^{j*} = \sqrt{(j \mp m')(j \pm m' + 1)} D_{m' \pm 1 m}^{j*}, \quad \mathcal{R}_3 D_{m'm}^{j*} = m' D_{m'm}^{j*}, \quad (5.32)$$

while the one for left invariant vector fields is¹⁴ is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pm} D_{m'm}^{j*} = -\sqrt{(j \pm m)(j \mp m + 1)} D_{m' m \mp 1}^{j*}, \quad \mathcal{L}_3 D_{m'm}^{j*} = -m D_{m'm}^{j*}. \quad (5.33)$$

In other words, the standard relations are obtained for the operators

$$\mathcal{J}_3 = -\mathcal{L}_3, \quad \mathcal{J}_{\pm} = -\mathcal{L}_{\mp}. \quad (5.34)$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{R}^2 D_{m'm}^{j*} = \mathcal{J}^2 D_{m'm}^{j*} = j(j+1) D_{m'm}^{j*}. \quad (5.35)$$

Spin-weighted spherical harmonics may be defined in terms of Wigner functions by

$${}_s Y_{jm} = (-)^{m-s} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0} \iff e^{is\psi} {}_s Y_{jm} = (-)^{m-s} \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} D_{sm}^{j*}. \quad (5.36)$$

Standard spherical harmonics for integer j are given by¹⁵

$${}_0 Y_{jm}(\theta\phi) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} D_{0m}^{j*}(\phi\theta\psi) = \sqrt{\frac{2j+1}{4\pi}} e^{im\phi} d_{m0}^j(\theta). \quad (5.37)$$

This agrees with the above in the parametrization adopted here because¹⁶ $(-)^m d_{0m}^j(\theta) = d_{m0}^j(\theta)$.

Using (4.34), this gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{\pm} D_{sm}^{j*}(\psi\theta\phi) &= \pm e^{i(s\pm 1)\psi} \left(\partial_{\theta} \mp i \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\phi} \mp s \cot \theta \right) D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0} \\ &= \pm e^{i(s\pm 1)\psi} \sin^{\pm s} \theta \left(\partial_{\theta} \mp i \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_{\phi} \right) (\sin^{\mp s} \theta D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.38)$$

¹³cf. eq. (3.104) of [38]

¹⁴cf. eq. (3.123) of [38]

¹⁵cf. (3.138) of [38]

¹⁶cf. eq. (3.81) of [38]

and, if $\bar{\partial}$, $\bar{\partial}$ are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{R}_+ D_{sm}^{j*})|_{\psi=0} &= \bar{\partial} D_{sm}^{j*}|_{\psi=0}, \\ (\mathcal{R}_- D_{m-s}^{j*})|_{\psi=0} &= -\bar{\partial} D_{sm}^{j*}|_{\psi=0}, \\ (\mathcal{R}_3 D_{sm}^{j*}(\psi\theta\phi))|_{\psi=0} &= s D_{sm}^{j*}|_{\psi=0}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm}(\theta\phi) &= \sin^s \theta (\partial_\theta - i \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi) (\sin^{-s} \theta_s Y_{jm}(\theta\phi)), \\ \bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm}(\theta\phi) &= \sin^{-s} \theta (\partial_\theta + i \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\phi) (\sin^s \theta_s Y_{jm}(\theta\phi)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

In terms of spin-weighted spherical harmonics, equation (5.32) becomes

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm} = -\sqrt{(j-s)(j+s+1)}_{s+1} Y_{jm}, \quad \bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm} = \sqrt{(j+s)(j-s+1)}_{s-1} Y_{jm}, \quad (5.41)$$

while $[\mathcal{R}_+, \mathcal{R}_-] = 2\mathcal{R}_3$ becomes

$$[\bar{\partial}, \bar{\partial}]_s Y_{jm} = 2s_s Y_{jm}. \quad (5.42)$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm} &= -(j-s)(j+s+1)_s Y_{jm} = [s(s+1) - j(j+1)]_s Y_{jm}, \\ \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm} &= -(j+s)(j-s+1)_s Y_{jm} = [s(s-1) - j(j+1)]_s Y_{jm}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial})_s Y_{jm} &= [s^2 - j(j+1)]_s Y_{jm}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

For comparison, note that the coordinate expressions of $\bar{\partial}$, $\bar{\partial}$ in (5.40) and the raising/lowering formulas in (5.41) agree with the ones in [43], eq. (4.15.122), (4.15.106) for $R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and the ones in [44], eq. (2.12), (2.13). When using (5.30), the explicit expression for $_s Y_{jm}(\theta\phi)$ defined here agrees with eq. (2.8), (2.10) of [44].

In the implicit Euler-Rodrigues parametrization (4.16), one has¹⁷,

$$D_{m'm}^j(\alpha^0, \alpha^\alpha) = \sqrt{(j+m')!(j-m')!(j+m)!(j-m)!} \sum_{r=\max(0, m-m')}^{\min(j-m', j+m)} \frac{\bar{a}^{j+m-r} \bar{b}^{m'-m+r} (-b)^r a^{j-m'-r}}{(j+m-r)! r! (m'-m+r)! (j-m'-r)!}, \quad (5.44)$$

which upon substitution of (4.6) yields (5.27), (5.28), and where

$$D^j(\alpha'^0, \alpha'^\beta) D^j(\alpha^0, \alpha^\beta) = D^j(\alpha''^0, \alpha''^\beta). \quad (5.45)$$

When taking into account the orthogonality conditions discussed in section 3.9 of [38], this concludes the quantization of the $T^*SU(2)$ in terms of the Hilbert space of wave functions $D_{m'm}^{j*}$ together with the representation of the symmetries and the momenta.

¹⁷cf. eq (3.89)-(3.90) of [38]

In complex parametrizations (A.33), with Wigner functions $D_{m'm}^j(\psi\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}})$ obtained by substituting (A.34) into (5.44), left and right invariant vector fields are given in (4.40). In this case, when defining spin-weighted spherical harmonics ${}_sY_{jm}(\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}})$ in terms of these Wigner functions at $\psi = 0$ as in (5.36), one now gets instead of (5.38),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_+ D_{sm}^{j*} &= \tilde{\epsilon} e^{\iota(s+1)\psi} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}} + s \zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}) D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0} = \tilde{\epsilon} e^{\iota(s+1)\psi} P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{1-s} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^s D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0}), \\ \mathcal{R}_- D_{sm}^{j*} &= -\tilde{\epsilon} e^{\iota(s-1)\psi} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}} \partial_{\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}} - s \bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}) D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0} = -\tilde{\epsilon} e^{\iota(s-1)\psi} P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{1+s} \partial_{\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{-s} D_{sm}^{j*} |_{\psi=0}).\end{aligned}\quad (5.46)$$

If $\bar{\partial}, \bar{\bar{\partial}}$ are still defined by (5.39), it now follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm}(\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}) &= \tilde{\epsilon} P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{1-s} \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^s Y_{jm}(\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}})), \\ \bar{\bar{\partial}}_s Y_{jm}(\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}}) &= \tilde{\epsilon} P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{1+s} \partial_{\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}} (P_{\bar{\epsilon}}^{-s} Y_{jm}(\zeta_{\bar{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\bar{\epsilon}})).\end{aligned}\quad (5.47)$$

Note that the effect of a reparametrization of the type $g' = \bar{h}g$ with $h = e^{-\frac{\bar{\psi}}{2}\iota\sigma_3}$ and $\bar{\psi}$ constant, consists in a shift $\psi \rightarrow \psi + \bar{\psi}$ in g . There is no inhomogeneous term in the transformation of the associated Maurer-Cartan form, $dg'g'^{-1} = \bar{h}dgg^{-1}\bar{h}^{-1}$. When choosing $\bar{\psi} = \pi$ and applying this reparametrization to g_- in (A.33), one gets

$$g'_- = \iota P_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -\bar{\zeta}_- e^{-\iota\frac{\bar{\psi}}{2}} & e^{-\iota\frac{\bar{\psi}}{2}} \\ e^{\iota\frac{\bar{\psi}}{2}} & \zeta_- e^{\iota\frac{\bar{\psi}}{2}} \end{pmatrix}\quad (5.48)$$

On account of (A.35), the associated \mathcal{R}_{\pm} change by an overall minus sign, with \mathcal{R}_3 unchanged. After the change induced by this reparametrization, the coordinate expressions (5.47) for $\bar{\partial}, \bar{\bar{\partial}}$ in terms of $\zeta_-, \bar{\zeta}_-$ agree with those in (4.15.117) of [43].

In the Hilbert space associated to the quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$, one can compute the partition function for the Casimir operator,

$$Z(b) = \text{Tr}_{L^2(\text{SU}(2))} e^{-b\mathcal{R}^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}/2} (2j+1)^2 e^{-bj(j+1)} = e^{\frac{b}{4}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m^2 e^{-\frac{b}{4}m^2}.\quad (5.49)$$

This expression is adapted to a low temperature expansion, $b \gg 1$:

$$Z(b) = 1 + 4e^{-\frac{3b}{4}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2b}).\quad (5.50)$$

In order to access the high temperature expansion, $b \ll 1$, the partition function may be written as

$$Z(b) = -\frac{e^{\frac{b}{4}}}{2\pi} \theta'(t) \Big|_{t=\frac{b}{4\pi}},\quad (5.51)$$

where the Jacobi theta function is

$$\theta(t) = \vartheta_3(0|it) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-t\pi n^2}.\quad (5.52)$$

Using the modular transformation $\theta(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}\theta(\frac{1}{t})$ and the expansion $\theta(t) = 1 + 2e^{-\pi t} + 2e^{-4\pi t} + 2e^{-9\pi t} + \dots$ for $t \gg 1$, it follows that for $t \ll 1$,

$$\theta(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}[1 + 2e^{-\frac{\pi}{t}} + 2e^{-\frac{4\pi}{t}} + 2e^{-\frac{9\pi}{t}} + \dots], \quad (5.53)$$

so that

$$\theta'(t) = -\frac{1}{2t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + e^{-\frac{\pi}{t}}\left(-\frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2\frac{\pi}{t^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right) + e^{-\frac{4\pi}{t}}\left(-\frac{1}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} + 2\frac{4\pi}{t^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right) + \dots, \quad (5.54)$$

and

$$Z(b) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}e^{\frac{b}{4}}}{b^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left[1 + e^{-\frac{4\pi^2}{b}}\left(-\frac{16\pi^2}{b} + 2\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{e^{-\frac{16\pi^2}{b}}}{b}\right)\right]. \quad (5.55)$$

5.3 Quantum model space from Dirac quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$

When applying Dirac's procedure to quantize first class constrained system, the reduction to the quantized model space follows by imposing a single first class constraint, either $\hat{\pi}_- = 0$ or $\hat{\pi}_+ = 0$, on the Hilbert space of wave functions,

$$\hat{\pi}_-\Psi(\psi\theta\phi) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \hat{\pi}_+\Psi(\psi\theta\phi) = 0, \quad \Psi(\psi\theta\phi) = \Psi_j^{m'm} D_{m'm}^{j*}, \quad (5.56)$$

where $\Psi_j^{m'm} \in \mathbb{C}$ and the summation convention applies. This is equivalent to

$$\bar{\partial}_s Y_{jm} = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \partial_s Y_{jm} = 0. \quad (5.57)$$

The general solution¹⁸ to this equation is generated by the sum over j of the $2j + 1$ vectors ${}_{-j}Y_{jm}$ or equivalently the D_{-jm}^{j*} in the former case, and by ${}_jY_{jm}$ or equivalently the D_{jm}^{j*} in the latter.

In other words, in this approach, the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{\text{MSU}(2)}$ of the quantized model space consists of vectors of the form

$$\Psi_j^m {}_{-j}Y_{jm}, \quad \text{or} \quad \Psi_j^m {}_jY_{jm} \quad (5.58)$$

Since for each j , these subspaces do carry a unitary irreducible representation of SU(2) provided by the $\mathcal{J}_3, \mathcal{J}_\pm$, this proves the proposal for the model space in the simplest case of SU(2).

5.4 Quantized coadjoint orbit from Dirac quantization of $T^*\text{SU}(2)$

When imposing the first class constraint

$$\hat{\pi}_3 - \epsilon \mathbf{Y} = 0, \quad \hat{\pi}_3 = \hbar \mathcal{R}_3 = -i\hbar \partial_\psi, \quad (5.59)$$

¹⁸see e.g. [43], (4.15.60) or [45] section 4.3

on the Hilbert space of wave functions D_{sm}^j , it follows that non trivial solutions exists if and only if \mathbf{Y} is quantized according to

$$\epsilon \mathbf{Y} = \hbar s, \quad (5.60)$$

with s half-integer and one thus remains with the wave functions at this fixed value of s , which is positive or negative depending on whether the coadjoint representative was chosen along the positive or negative z -axis.

In order to have a non-trivial intersection between this and the previous condition $\hat{\pi}_- = 0$ or $\hat{\pi}_+ = 0$, one has to choose $\hat{\pi}_\epsilon = 0$ and thus to correlate the choice of the first class constraint (in the conversion from a second to a first class system) with the orientation of the coadjoint representative along the z -axis. It then follows that

$$\mathbf{Y} = \hbar j, \quad (5.61)$$

so that one indeed finds a single unitary irreducible representation carried by $\mathcal{H}^{H_{\hbar j} \backslash SU(2)}$, the Hilbert space generated by the $2j + 1$ vectors ${}_{\epsilon j} Y_{jm}$ at fixed j ,

$$\sum_{m=-j}^j \Psi_{(j)\epsilon j}^m Y_{jm}. \quad (5.62)$$

5.5 Quantum $SU(2)$ model space from gauge fixed quaternions

We are instructed to find a quantization in a Hilbert space that realizes the Dirac brackets (4.72), (4.76) and (4.77) in terms of operators and their commutators.

If $\Xi = (1, 2)$, we get for the quantum version of (4.76),

$$[\hat{a}_\Xi, \hat{a}_{\Xi'}^\dagger] = \delta_{\Xi, \Xi'}, \quad [\hat{a}_\Xi, \hat{a}_{\Xi'}] = 0 = [\hat{a}_\Xi^\dagger, \hat{a}_{\Xi'}^\dagger]. \quad (5.63)$$

If we define

$$\mathcal{O}_+ = \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{q}_-, \quad \mathcal{O}_- = \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{q}_+, \quad \mathcal{O}_3 = \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{q}_3, \quad (5.64)$$

the correspondence rule and the Dirac brackets (4.72) imply that these operators satisfy the standard algebra given in (A.5).

Defining

$$\hat{N}_1 = \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1, \quad \hat{N}_2 = \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2, \quad \hat{N} = \hat{N}_1 + \hat{N}_2, \quad \hat{M} = \hat{N}_1 - \hat{N}_2, \quad (5.65)$$

this also follows directly from

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_+ &= \frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 - \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1, & \mathcal{O}_- &= \frac{1 + \epsilon'}{2} \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1 - \frac{1 - \epsilon'}{2} \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2, \\ \mathcal{O}_3 &= \frac{\epsilon'}{2} \hat{M}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

and the commutation relations (5.63). The Casimir operator is

$$\mathcal{O}^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\hat{N})^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hat{N}, \quad (5.67)$$

while additional quadratic observables are

$$\frac{\hat{R}^2}{\hbar} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{N}, \quad \frac{\hat{q}'_+}{\hbar} = -\left[\frac{1+\epsilon'}{2}\hat{a}_1\hat{a}_2 + \frac{1-\epsilon'}{2}\hat{a}_1^\dagger\hat{a}_2^\dagger\right], \quad \frac{\hat{q}'_-}{\hbar} = \frac{1+\epsilon'}{2}\hat{a}_1^\dagger\hat{a}_2^\dagger + \frac{1-\epsilon'}{2}\hat{a}_2\hat{a}_1. \quad (5.68)$$

Note that, when $\epsilon' = 1$, the Noether charges can be written in terms of the ‘‘Jordan map’’

$$\mathcal{O}_\alpha = \frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_{\Xi}^\dagger \sigma_{\alpha}^{\Xi\Xi'} \hat{a}_{\Xi'}, \quad (5.69)$$

which is the starting point of the analysis in [19] (see e.g. [38] chapter 5 or [46] for reviews).

In the standard orthonormal basis,

$$\begin{aligned} |n_1, n_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1!n_2!}}(a_1^\dagger)^{n_1}(a_2^\dagger)^{n_2}|0\rangle, \\ \mathcal{O}^2|n_1, n_2\rangle &= j(j+1)|n_1, n_2\rangle, \quad j = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2), \\ \mathcal{O}_3|n_1, n_2\rangle &= m|n_1, n_2\rangle, \quad m = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - n_2), \end{aligned} \quad (5.70)$$

so that

$$|jm\rangle = |j+m, j-m\rangle, \quad (5.71)$$

and the Hilbert space decomposes into the sum of unitary irreducible representations of $SU(2)$ with multiplicity one, as it should for the quantized model space.

In holomorphic representation, this Hilbert space may also be described in terms of coherent states as

$$|a_\xi\rangle = e^{a_\xi \hat{a}_\xi^\dagger}|0\rangle, \quad \psi(a_\xi^*) = \langle a_\xi^*|\psi\rangle, \quad (5.72)$$

with inner product

$$\langle\phi|\psi\rangle = \int \prod_{\xi} \frac{da_\xi^* da_\xi}{2\pi i} e^{-a_\xi^* a_\xi} \phi^*(a_\xi) \psi(a_\xi^*). \quad (5.73)$$

If one now restricts to a single coadjoint orbit, the quantum version of (4.83) implies that \mathbf{Y} is quantized in half-integer units of \hbar ,

$$\mathbf{Y} = \frac{\hbar}{2}(n_1 + n_2) = \hbar j, \quad \hat{N} = 2j\mathbb{1}, \quad (5.74)$$

with the associated unitary irreducible representation described by states that are created by monomials of order $2j$ in \hat{a}_{Ξ}^\dagger acting on the vacuum $|0\rangle$.

How this understanding may be used to efficiently construct the Wigner functions $D_{m'm}^j$ is explained for instance in section 5.4 of [38]. One thus recovers from the current perspective of

constrained systems and Dirac brackets the solution to quantum angular momentum found by Schwinger [19].

Since the Casimir is a dynamical operator in the quantum model space, one can compute

$$Z(b) = \text{Tr} e^{-b\mathcal{O}^2} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}/2} (2j+1)e^{-bj(j+1)} = e^{\frac{b}{4}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} m e^{-\frac{b}{4}m^2}. \quad (5.75)$$

This expression is adapted to a low temperature expansion: if $b \gg 1$,

$$Z(b) = 1 + 2e^{-\frac{3b}{4}} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2b}). \quad (5.76)$$

Defining $F(m) = e^{\frac{b}{4}} m e^{-\frac{b}{4}m^2}$, an expression adapted to a high temperature expansion $b \ll 1$, can be obtained from the Euler-Maclaurin formula. When using that $B_2 = \frac{1}{6}$, $B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}$,

$$\begin{aligned} Z(b) &= \frac{1}{2}F(0) + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} F(m) = \int_0^{\infty} dx F(x) - \frac{1}{2}B_2 F'(0) - \frac{1}{4!}F'''(0) + \dots \\ &= e^{\frac{b}{4}} \left[\frac{2}{b} - \frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{480}b + \dots \right]. \quad (5.77) \end{aligned}$$

6 Conclusions

Based on the considerations on model spaces in [15] and in [14, 16], we have made a heuristic proposal (2.57) for these spaces in terms of a constrained Hamiltonian system associated to T^*G .

The proposal has been tested in detail in the case of $SU(2)$, where standard techniques from the theory of constrained systems allow one to recover various results on “the quantum theory of angular momentum” (see e.g. [38] for a review) from a unified perspective. This gives rise in particular to a natural understanding of spin-weighted/monopole spherical harmonics [37, 47–50] and their relation to Wigner functions along the lines of [39, 44, 51, 52]. It also allows one to establish a direct connection to the construction of the model space of $SU(2)$ by Schwinger [19], and thus also to original formulation in [15].

Closely related considerations on $T^*SU(2)$ and its quantizations can be found for instance in [53–55], and also in [56] in the context of Isham’s group quantization scheme [57].

In another related recent paper [58], following [18] in using constrained Hamiltonian techniques to study dynamical systems associated to coadjoint orbits, an understanding of Howe duality is presented by starting from $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

The case of more general compact groups such as $SU(N)$, of non-compact groups such as $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$, and of infinite-dimensional groups such as Kac-Moody, Virasoro or the centrally extended BMS_3 groups that arise in three-dimensional gravity, for which geometric actions have been investigated for example in [59, 60] will be considered elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

G. B. thanks A. Kleinschmidt, M. Grigoriev, B. Oblak, M. Beauvillain and S. Speziale, while T. S. thanks G. Kozyreff for useful discussions. This research is supported by the F.R.S.-FNRS Belgium through an aspirant fellowship for Thomas Smoes and through convention IISN 4.4514.08.

A Parametrizations of SU(2)

A.1 Generalities and notation

We will use as Lie algebra basis $e_\alpha = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_\alpha$, $\alpha = (1, 2, 3)$ where the Pauli matrices are

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$\sigma_\alpha \sigma_\beta = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \sigma_0 + \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} i \sigma_\gamma, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

so that the structure constants are

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Consider the left and right invariant vector fields on SU(2), $\vec{L}_\alpha, \vec{R}_\alpha$ and let

$$\vec{L}_\pm = \vec{L}_1 \pm i\vec{L}_2, \quad \vec{R}_\pm = \vec{R}_1 \pm i\vec{R}_2, \quad \mathcal{L}_\alpha = i\vec{L}_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{R}_\alpha = -i\vec{R}_\alpha, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

If \mathcal{O}_α is either \mathcal{L}_α or \mathcal{R}_α , it follows that

$$[\mathcal{O}_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_\beta] = i\epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{O}_\gamma, \quad [\mathcal{O}_3, \mathcal{O}_\pm] = \pm \mathcal{O}_\pm, \quad [\mathcal{O}_+, \mathcal{O}_-] = 2\mathcal{O}_3, \quad [\mathcal{L}_\alpha, \mathcal{R}_\beta] = 0. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For the Casimir operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}^2 &\equiv \mathcal{O}_1^2 + \mathcal{O}_2^2 + \mathcal{O}_3^2, \quad [\mathcal{O}^2, \mathcal{O}_\alpha] = 0, \quad \mathcal{O}_- \mathcal{O}_+ = \mathcal{O}^2 - \mathcal{O}_3^2 - \mathcal{O}_3, \\ \mathcal{O}_+ \mathcal{O}_- &= \mathcal{O}^2 - \mathcal{O}_3^2 + \mathcal{O}_3, \quad \mathcal{O}^2 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O}_- \mathcal{O}_+ + \mathcal{O}_+ \mathcal{O}_-) + \mathcal{O}_3^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Lie algebra indices α, β, \dots are raised and lowered with the invariant tensors $\delta^{\alpha\beta}, \delta_{\alpha\beta}$, so that adjoint and coadjoint representation can be identified, $e_*^\alpha = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^\alpha$, and the pairing between $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)^*$ can be written as

$$\langle Y, Z \rangle = \langle Y_\alpha e_*^\alpha, Z^\beta e_\beta \rangle = Y_\alpha Z^\alpha = -2\text{Tr}(YZ) = \frac{1}{2}\text{Tr}(Y_\alpha \sigma^\alpha Z^\beta \sigma_\beta). \quad (\text{A.7})$$

The associated bi-invariant metric on the group is

$$ds^2 = -2\text{Tr}(g^{-1}dg g^{-1}dg) = g_{ij} dg^i dg^j, \quad g_{ij} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} R^\alpha_i R^\beta_j = \delta_{\alpha\beta} L^\alpha_i L^\beta_j. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

For later use, the norm and unit vector associated to a Lie algebra vector Y are denoted by

$$\mathbf{Y} = \sqrt{Y_\alpha Y^\alpha}, \quad \hat{Y}^\alpha = \frac{Y^\alpha}{\mathbf{Y}}. \quad (\text{A.9})$$

A.2 Exponential parametrization

Coordinates on $SU(2)$ are $g^\alpha \equiv \omega^\alpha$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \sqrt{\omega^\alpha \omega_\alpha}, \quad \hat{\omega}^\alpha = \frac{\omega^\alpha}{\omega}, \quad d\omega = \hat{\omega}_\alpha d\omega^\alpha, \quad d\hat{\omega}^\alpha = (\delta_\beta^\alpha - \hat{\omega}^\alpha \hat{\omega}_\beta) \frac{d\omega^\beta}{\omega}, \\ 0 \leq \omega < 4\pi, \quad \hat{\omega}^\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^2 : \hat{\omega}^1 + i\hat{\omega}^2 &= \sin \theta e^{i\varphi}, \quad \hat{\omega}^3 = \cos \theta, \quad 0 < \theta < \pi, \quad 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, \\ g &= e^{\omega^\alpha e_\alpha} = \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sigma_0 + 2 \sin \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{\omega}^\alpha e_\alpha, \\ g^{-1} &= g^\dagger = e^{-\omega^\alpha e_\alpha} = \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sigma_0 - 2 \sin \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{\omega}^\alpha e_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} dg &= -\frac{1}{2} \sin \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{\omega}_\beta d\omega^\beta \sigma_0 + \left[\cos \frac{\omega}{2} \hat{\omega}^\alpha \hat{\omega}_\beta d\omega^\beta + \frac{2 \sin \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} (\delta_\beta^\alpha - \hat{\omega}^\alpha \hat{\omega}_\beta) d\omega^\beta \right] e_\alpha, \\ g^{-1} dg &= \left[\hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta d\omega^\beta + \frac{2 \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sin \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} (\delta_\beta^\gamma - \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta) d\omega^\beta - \frac{2 \sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\omega}^\alpha d\omega^\beta \right] e_\gamma, \\ dgg^{-1} &= \left[\hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta d\omega^\beta + \frac{2 \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sin \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} (\delta_\beta^\gamma - \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta) d\omega^\beta + \frac{2 \sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} \hat{\omega}^\alpha d\omega^\beta \right] e_\gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} L^\gamma_\beta &= \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta + \frac{2 \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sin \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} (\delta_\beta^\gamma - \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta) - \frac{2 \sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} \epsilon^\gamma_{\delta\beta} \hat{\omega}^\delta, \\ L_\alpha^\beta &= \hat{\omega}^\beta \hat{\omega}_\alpha + \frac{\omega \cos \frac{\omega}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{\omega}{2}} (\delta_\alpha^\beta - \hat{\omega}^\beta \hat{\omega}_\alpha) - \frac{\omega}{2} \epsilon^\beta_{\alpha\sigma} \hat{\omega}^\sigma, \\ R^\gamma_\beta &= \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta + \frac{2 \cos \frac{\omega}{2} \sin \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} (\delta_\beta^\gamma - \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\beta) + \frac{2 \sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2}}{\omega} \epsilon^\gamma_{\delta\beta} \hat{\omega}^\delta, \\ R_\alpha^\beta &= \hat{\omega}^\beta \hat{\omega}_\alpha + \frac{\omega \cos \frac{\omega}{2}}{2 \sin \frac{\omega}{2}} (\delta_\alpha^\beta - \hat{\omega}^\beta \hat{\omega}_\alpha) + \frac{\omega}{2} \epsilon^\beta_{\alpha\sigma} \hat{\omega}^\sigma, \\ \mathcal{R}(\hat{\omega}, \omega)^\gamma_\alpha &= R^\gamma_\beta L_\alpha^\beta = \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\alpha + \cos \omega (\delta_\alpha^\gamma - \hat{\omega}^\gamma \hat{\omega}_\alpha) - \sin \omega \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\delta} \hat{\omega}^\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

with $\mathcal{R}(\hat{\omega}, \omega)_\alpha^\gamma = L^\gamma_\beta R_\alpha^\beta = R_{\alpha\beta} L^{\gamma\beta}$.

A.3 Adapted Euler angles

In this parametrization $g^i = \psi, \theta, \phi$, with $g = e^{-\frac{\psi}{2} i\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2} i\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{\phi}{2} i\sigma_3}$, and $0 \leq \psi < 4\pi, 0 \leq \phi < 2\pi, 0 < \theta < \pi$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} dgg^{-1} &= -i\sigma_3 \left(d\frac{\psi}{2} + \cos \theta d\frac{\phi}{2} \right) - i\sigma_2 \left(\cos \psi d\frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \psi \sin \theta d\frac{\phi}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - i\sigma_1 \left(-\sin \psi d\frac{\theta}{2} + \cos \psi \sin \theta d\frac{\phi}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} dg &= -i\sigma_3 \left(d\frac{\phi}{2} + \cos \theta d\frac{\psi}{2} \right) - i\sigma_2 \left(\cos \phi d\frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \theta \sin \phi d\frac{\psi}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - i\sigma_1 \left(\sin \phi d\frac{\theta}{2} - \cos \phi \sin \theta d\frac{\psi}{2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$R^\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sin \psi & \cos \psi \sin \theta \\ 0 & \cos \psi & \sin \psi \sin \theta \\ 1 & 0 & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_\beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\cos \psi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} & -\sin \psi & \frac{\cos \psi}{\sin \theta} \\ -\frac{\sin \psi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} & \cos \psi & \frac{\sin \psi}{\sin \theta} \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$L^\alpha_i = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \phi \sin \theta & \sin \phi & 0 \\ \sin \phi \sin \theta & \cos \phi & 0 \\ \cos \theta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_\beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\cos \phi}{\sin \theta} & \sin \phi & \frac{\cos \phi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \\ \frac{\sin \phi}{\sin \theta} & \cos \phi & -\frac{\sin \phi \cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$R^\alpha_i L_\beta^i = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \psi \cos \theta \cos \phi - \sin \psi \sin \phi & -\cos \psi \cos \theta \sin \phi - \sin \psi \cos \phi & \cos \psi \sin \theta \\ \sin \psi \cos \theta \cos \phi + \cos \psi \sin \phi & \cos \psi \cos \phi - \sin \psi \cos \theta \sin \phi & \sin \psi \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta \cos \phi & \sin \theta \sin \phi & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

with $L^\alpha_i R_\beta^i = R_{\beta i} L^{\alpha i}$.

The metric and its inverse are

$$ds^2 = (d\psi^2 + d\theta^2 + d\phi^2 + 2 \cos \theta d\psi d\phi), \quad g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\cos \theta \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\cos \theta & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

and $\mathbf{g} = \sin^2 \theta$, and the Laplacian is

$$\Delta_{SU(2)} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} [\partial_\psi^2 - 2 \cos \theta \partial_\psi \partial_\phi + \partial_\theta^2 - \cot \theta \partial_\theta + \partial_\phi^2]. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

In order to relate to the discussion in Section 4.4, where well-defined expressions for the potential one-forms on the coadjoint orbits in the patches containing the north and south poles have been constructed, one considers a gauge transformation by the element

$$h_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = e^{\frac{\tilde{\epsilon}\phi}{2}\iota\sigma_3}, \quad (\text{A.20})$$

whose effect on the group element (4.6) is the shift $\psi \rightarrow \psi - \tilde{\epsilon}\phi$. It follows that the gauge conditions $\chi_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = \psi + \tilde{\epsilon}\phi = 0$ that avoid the Gribov ambiguities before the gauge transformation, are replaced by $\psi = 0$ after the gauge transformations. The effect of this gauge transformation is to replace a in (4.11) by $a_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$ in (4.13) while the Hamiltonian in (4.10) is unchanged. More generally, left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms and vector fields have now to be computed from

$$g_{\tilde{\epsilon}} = e^{-\frac{\psi - \tilde{\epsilon}\phi}{2}\iota\sigma_3} e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}\iota\sigma_2} e^{-\frac{\phi}{2}\iota\sigma_3} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\psi + \phi(1 - \tilde{\epsilon})}{2}} & -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\frac{\psi - \phi(1 + \tilde{\epsilon})}{2}} \\ \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\psi - \phi(1 + \tilde{\epsilon})}{2}} & \cos \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\frac{\psi + \phi(1 - \tilde{\epsilon})}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

We will do so in complex parametrization in Section A.5.

A.4 Euler-Rodrigues parametrization

The implicit Euler-Rodrigues parametrization of $SU(2)$ given in (4.16) is related to the explicit parametrization in terms of adapted Euler angles in (4.6) through

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha^0 &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\psi + \phi}{2}, & \alpha^3 &= \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\psi + \phi}{2}, \\ \alpha^2 &= \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \cos \frac{\psi - \phi}{2}, & \alpha^1 &= -\sin \frac{\theta}{2} \sin \frac{\psi - \phi}{2}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.22}$$

In the Euler-Rodrigues parametrization, the $SU(2)$ group law becomes the quaternionic composition rule,

$$g(\alpha'^0, \alpha'^\beta)g(\alpha^0, \alpha^\beta) = g(\alpha''^0, \alpha''^\beta), \quad \bar{g}(\alpha'^0, \alpha'^\beta)\bar{g}(\alpha^0, \alpha^\beta) = \bar{g}(\alpha''^0, \alpha''^\beta),\tag{A.23}$$

where¹⁹

$$\alpha''^0 = \alpha'^0 \alpha^0 - \alpha'^\beta \alpha_\beta, \quad \alpha''^\beta = \alpha^0 \alpha'^\beta + \alpha'^0 \alpha^\beta + \epsilon^\beta_{\gamma\delta} \alpha'^\gamma \alpha^\delta.\tag{A.24}$$

For any explicit parametrization $\alpha^A = \alpha^A(g^i)$ of \mathbb{S}^3 , let $g_{ij} = \frac{\partial \alpha^A}{\partial g^i} \frac{\partial \alpha^A}{\partial g^j}$ be the induced metric with inverse g^{jk} . We have

$$\delta_B^A = \alpha^A \alpha_B + \frac{\partial \alpha^A}{\partial g^i} g^{ij} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial g^j},\tag{A.25}$$

and, as a consequence of the constraint (4.17),

$$\alpha_A d\alpha^A = 0 = ad\bar{a} + \bar{a}da + bdb + \bar{b}db.\tag{A.26}$$

When using that the inverse group element is

$$g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -\bar{b} \\ b & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix},\tag{A.27}$$

and choosing as Lie algebra basis $e_\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\iota\sigma_\alpha$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}dgg^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} d\bar{a}a + d\bar{b}b & d\bar{b}\bar{a} - d\bar{a}\bar{b} \\ dab - dba & da\bar{a} + d\bar{b}\bar{b} \end{pmatrix} = e_\alpha R^\alpha_i dg^i, \\ g^{-1}dg &= \begin{pmatrix} ad\bar{a} + \bar{b}db & ad\bar{b} - \bar{b}da \\ bd\bar{a} - \bar{a}db & \bar{a}da + bdb \end{pmatrix} = e_\alpha L^\alpha_i dg^i,\end{aligned}\tag{A.28}$$

with

$$R^\alpha_i = R^\alpha_B \frac{\partial \alpha^B}{\partial g^i}, \quad L^\alpha_i = L^\alpha_B \frac{\partial \alpha^B}{\partial g^i}\tag{A.29}$$

¹⁹cf. equation (2.42) of [38]

$$R^\alpha_B = 2 \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha^1 & \alpha^0 & -\alpha^3 & \alpha^2 \\ -\alpha^2 & \alpha^3 & \alpha^0 & -\alpha^1 \\ -\alpha^3 & -\alpha^2 & \alpha^1 & \alpha^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^\alpha_B = 2 \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha^1 & \alpha^0 & \alpha^3 & -\alpha^2 \\ -\alpha^2 & -\alpha^3 & \alpha^0 & \alpha^1 \\ -\alpha^3 & \alpha^2 & -\alpha^1 & \alpha^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.30})$$

Let $L^B_\beta \equiv \frac{1}{4}(L^\beta_B)^T$. We have $L^\alpha_B L^B_\beta = \delta^\alpha_\beta$. Note that

$$L^\alpha_B \alpha^B = 0 = \alpha_B L^B_\beta. \quad (\text{A.31})$$

It follows that

$$L^i_\beta = g^{ij} \frac{\partial \alpha_B}{\partial g^j} L^B_\beta. \quad (\text{A.32})$$

and²⁰

$$\begin{aligned} R^\alpha_i L^i_\beta &= \\ &\begin{pmatrix} (\alpha^0)^2 + (\alpha^1)^2 - (\alpha^2)^2 - (\alpha^3)^2 & 2(-\alpha^0\alpha^3 + \alpha^1\alpha^2) & 2(\alpha^0\alpha^2 + \alpha^1\alpha^3) \\ 2(\alpha^0\alpha^3 + \alpha^1\alpha^2) & (\alpha^0)^2 - (\alpha^1)^2 + (\alpha^2)^2 - (\alpha^3)^2 & 2(-\alpha^0\alpha^1 + \alpha^2\alpha^3) \\ 2(-\alpha^0\alpha^2 + \alpha^1\alpha^3) & 2(\alpha^0\alpha^1 + \alpha^2\alpha^3) & (\alpha^0)^2 - (\alpha^1)^2 - (\alpha^2)^2 + (\alpha^3)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + \bar{a}^2 - b^2 - \bar{b}^2) & \frac{i}{2}(a^2 - \bar{a}^2 + b^2 - \bar{b}^2) & -(ab + \bar{a}\bar{b}) \\ -\frac{i}{2}(a^2 - \bar{a}^2 - b^2 + \bar{b}^2) & \frac{1}{2}(a^2 + \bar{a}^2 + b^2 + \bar{b}^2) & i(ab - \bar{a}\bar{b}) \\ a\bar{b} + \bar{a}b & i(a\bar{b} - \bar{a}b) & a\bar{a} - b\bar{b} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

A.5 Complex parametrizations

In these parametrizations, one uses $g^i = \psi, \zeta_\varepsilon, \bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon$ in terms of the complex coordinates of (4.19).

The group elements (A.21) become

$$g_- = P_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\zeta}_- e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} & -e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} \\ e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} & \zeta_- e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad g_+ = P_+^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} & -\bar{\zeta}_+ e^{-i\frac{\psi}{2}} \\ \zeta_+ e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} & e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.33})$$

In terms of the Euler-Rodrigues parametrization, we have

$$a_- = P_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_- e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}, \quad b_- = -P_-^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}, \quad a_+ = P_+^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}, \quad b_+ = -P_+^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_+ e^{i\frac{\psi}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.34})$$

All formulas can be obtained from the previous section by substitution. For later use, we provide the explicit expressions,

$$\begin{aligned} R^\alpha_i &= P_\varepsilon^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\tilde{\varepsilon}e^{i\psi} & -i\tilde{\varepsilon}e^{-i\psi} \\ 0 & \tilde{\varepsilon}e^{i\psi} & \tilde{\varepsilon}e^{-i\psi} \\ P_\varepsilon & -i\tilde{\zeta}_\varepsilon & i\zeta_\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\ R^i_\beta &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varepsilon}\bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon e^{-i\psi} + \tilde{\varepsilon}\zeta_\varepsilon e^{i\psi} & -i\tilde{\varepsilon}e^{-i\psi} P_\varepsilon & i\tilde{\varepsilon}e^{i\psi} P_\varepsilon \\ i\tilde{\varepsilon}\bar{\zeta}_\varepsilon e^{-i\psi} - i\tilde{\varepsilon}\zeta_\varepsilon e^{i\psi} & \tilde{\varepsilon}e^{-i\psi} P_\varepsilon & \tilde{\varepsilon}e^{i\psi} P_\varepsilon \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.35}) \end{aligned}$$

²⁰cf. equation (2.22) of [38]

$$L^\alpha_i = P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -(\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & \nu & -\nu \\ -\nu\tilde{\epsilon}(\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & \tilde{\epsilon} & \tilde{\epsilon} \\ \tilde{\epsilon}(1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & \nu\tilde{\epsilon}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} & -\nu\tilde{\epsilon}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L_\beta^i = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} -(\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & -\nu(1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) & \nu(1 - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) \\ -\nu\tilde{\epsilon}(\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & \tilde{\epsilon}(1 + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) & \tilde{\epsilon}(1 + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) \\ \tilde{\epsilon}2 & -2\nu\tilde{\epsilon}\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} & 2\nu\tilde{\epsilon}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.36})$$

$$R^\alpha_i L_\beta^i = P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}e^{\nu\psi}(1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) + \tilde{\epsilon}e^{-\nu\psi}(1 - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2)}{2} & \frac{\nu e^{\nu\psi}(1 + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) - \nu e^{-\nu\psi}(1 + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2)}{2} & \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}e^{\nu\psi} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}e^{-\nu\psi} \\ \frac{-\nu\tilde{\epsilon}e^{\nu\psi}(1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) + \nu\tilde{\epsilon}e^{-\nu\psi}(1 - \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2)}{2} & \frac{e^{\nu\psi}(1 + \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2) + e^{-\nu\psi}(1 + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2)}{2} & \nu\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}e^{-\nu\psi} - \nu\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}e^{\nu\psi} \\ -(\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & -\nu\tilde{\epsilon}(\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) & \tilde{\epsilon}(1 - \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.37})$$

with $L^\alpha_i R_\beta^i = R_{\beta i} L^{\alpha i}$.

Useful identities in complex parametrization are

$$P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2 \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} [P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}] = \frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{-1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{-1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} - \frac{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{3-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} = \pm \tilde{\epsilon} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}},$$

$$P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2 \partial_{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} [P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}}] = \frac{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{-1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} - \frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{3+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} = \mp \tilde{\epsilon} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}, \quad (\text{A.38})$$

$$P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} [\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \mp \tilde{\epsilon} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}] = \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{-1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \frac{1 \mp \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}, \quad P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} [\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1-\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \pm \tilde{\epsilon} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}] = \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{1+\tilde{\epsilon}}{2}} \frac{1 \pm \tilde{\epsilon}}{2}.$$

For integration on the sphere, with the notation as in the discussion after (4.24), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^2} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} -\frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_{U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}} d \wedge \partial \ln P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}$$

$$= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \oint_{\partial U_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}-\epsilon}} d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}} = \frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_0^{2\pi} \nu e^{-\tilde{\epsilon}i\phi} d\phi e^{\tilde{\epsilon}i\phi} = 1. \quad (\text{A.39})$$

Note that the orientation of curve is decreasing ϕ if the cap that is excluded involves the north pole, $\tilde{\epsilon} = -1$, but increasing ϕ if the cap that is excluded involves the south pole, $\tilde{\epsilon} = +1$. More generally, for $-j \leq m, m' \leq j$ and m, m', j integer or half-integer,

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{2\pi\nu} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{e}m'} \zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{e}m}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{2j+2}} = \delta_{m,m'} \frac{(j - \tilde{e}m)!(j + \tilde{e}m)!}{(2j + 1)!}}. \quad (\text{A.40})$$

Indeed, when going back to spherical coordinates, it follows directly that the result vanishes unless $m = m'$. Furthermore, for $j - \tilde{e}m > 0$,

$$\frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} (\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^{j-\tilde{e}m}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{2j+2}} = -\frac{1}{2j+1} d \wedge \left[d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{-1} (\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^{j-\tilde{e}m}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{2j+1}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{j - \tilde{e}m}{2j+1} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} (\zeta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^{j-\tilde{e}m-1}}{P_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{2j+1}}. \quad (\text{A.41})$$

When integrating the first term over the sphere and using Stokes' theorem as before, we now get $-\frac{1}{2j+1} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right)^{2j+2\tilde{e}m+2} = 0$. When repeating the reasoning for the last term until $j - \tilde{e}m$ drops to zero, one ends up with

$$\delta_{m,m'} \frac{(j - \tilde{e}m)!}{(2j+1)(2j) \dots (j + \tilde{e}m + 2)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{e}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}}}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+2}}. \quad (\text{A.42})$$

The result (A.40) follows because

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{d\zeta_{\tilde{e}} \wedge d\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}}}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+2}} = \frac{1}{j + \tilde{e}m + 1}}, \quad (\text{A.43})$$

which in turn is shown by induction: it holds for $j + \tilde{e}m = 0$ on account of (A.39), while

$$\frac{1}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+3}} = \frac{1}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+1}} \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}} \frac{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}}{1 + \zeta_{\tilde{e}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}}} = \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}} \frac{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+2}} + (j + \tilde{e}m + 1) \frac{\zeta_{\tilde{e}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}}}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+3}}. \quad (\text{A.44})$$

When writing the numerator of the last term as $\zeta_{\tilde{e}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}} = 1 + \zeta_{\tilde{e}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{e}} - 1$, and moving the last term to the left hand side, this implies

$$(j + \tilde{e}m + 2) \frac{1}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+3}} = \partial_{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}} \frac{\zeta_{\tilde{e}}}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+2}} + (j + \tilde{e}m + 1) \frac{1}{P_{\tilde{e}}^{j+\tilde{e}m+2}}. \quad (\text{A.45})$$

Integrating over the sphere, the boundary term again vanishes by using Stokes' theorem, while the integral on the right hand side gives 1 by induction, so that the result holds for $j + \tilde{e}m + 1$.

B Nonzero quaternions

B.1 Invariant vector fields, Maurer-Cartan forms, (co) adjoint representation

We consider the Lie group of (non-unimodular) nonzero quaternions \mathbb{H}^* represented by (4.54). Indices A, B, \dots are lowered and raised with δ_{AB}, δ^{AB} . We have

$$g^{-1} = \frac{1}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 & -\bar{z}_2 \\ z_2 & \bar{z}_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad R^2 = x^A x_A = |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

Take as a basis for the reductive Lie algebra $e_A = (\frac{1}{2}\sigma_0, -\frac{1}{2}i\sigma_\alpha)$, with structure constants

$$[e_0, e_\alpha] = 0, \quad [e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \epsilon^\gamma_{\alpha\beta} e_\gamma. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} dg g^{-1} &= \frac{1}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} d\bar{z}_1 z_1 + d\bar{z}_2 z_2 & d\bar{z}_2 \bar{z}_1 - d\bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2 \\ dz_1 z_2 - dz_2 z_1 & dz_1 \bar{z}_1 + dz_2 \bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = e_A R^A_B dx^B, \\ g^{-1} dg &= \frac{1}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} z_1 d\bar{z}_1 + \bar{z}_2 dz_2 & z_1 d\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_2 dz_1 \\ z_2 d\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_1 dz_2 & \bar{z}_1 dz_1 + z_2 d\bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix} = e_A L^A_B dx^B, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

it follows that

$$R^A{}_B = \frac{2}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 & x^1 & x^2 & x^3 \\ -x^1 & x^0 & -x^3 & x^2 \\ -x^2 & x^3 & x^0 & -x^1 \\ -x^3 & -x^2 & x^1 & x^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^A{}_B = \frac{2}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 & x^1 & x^2 & x^3 \\ -x^1 & x^0 & x^3 & -x^2 \\ -x^2 & -x^3 & x^0 & x^1 \\ -x^3 & x^2 & -x^1 & x^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

We have

$$R_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 & x^1 & x^2 & x^3 \\ -x^1 & x^0 & -x^3 & x^2 \\ -x^2 & x^3 & x^0 & -x^1 \\ -x^3 & -x^2 & x^1 & x^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad L_A{}^B = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x^0 & x^1 & x^2 & x^3 \\ -x^1 & x^0 & x^3 & -x^2 \\ -x^2 & -x^3 & x^0 & x^1 \\ -x^3 & x^2 & -x^1 & x^0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\pm}{}^A &= \frac{1}{2}(-x^1 \mp ix^2, x^0 \pm ix^3, -x^3 \pm ix^0, x^2 \mp ix^1), \\ R_+{}^A &= \frac{1}{2}(iz_2, z_1, iz_1, -z_2), \quad R_-{}^A = \frac{1}{2}(-i\bar{z}_2, \bar{z}_1, -i\bar{z}_1, -\bar{z}_2), \\ L_+{}^A &= \frac{1}{2}(iz_2, \bar{z}_1, i\bar{z}_1, z_2), \quad L_-{}^A = \frac{1}{2}(-i\bar{z}_2, z_1, -iz_1, \bar{z}_2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} R^A{}_C L_B{}^C &= \frac{1}{R^2} \\ &\begin{pmatrix} R^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (x^0)^2 + (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 & 2(-x^0x^3 + x^1x^2) & 2(x^0x^2 + x^1x^3) \\ 0 & 2(x^0x^3 + x^1x^2) & (x^0)^2 - (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2 - (x^3)^2 & 2(-x^0x^1 + x^2x^3) \\ 0 & 2(-x^0x^2 + x^1x^3) & 2(x^0x^1 + x^2x^3) & (x^0)^2 - (x^1)^2 - (x^2)^2 + (x^3)^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{R^2} \begin{pmatrix} R^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^2 - \bar{z}_2^2) & \frac{i}{2}(z_1^2 - \bar{z}_1^2 + z_2^2 - \bar{z}_2^2) & -(z_1z_2 + \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2) \\ 0 & -\frac{i}{2}(z_1^2 - \bar{z}_1^2 - z_2^2 + \bar{z}_2^2) & \frac{1}{2}(z_1^2 + \bar{z}_1^2 + z_2^2 + \bar{z}_2^2) & i(z_1z_2 - \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2) \\ 0 & z_1\bar{z}_2 + \bar{z}_1z_2 & i(z_1\bar{z}_2 - \bar{z}_1z_2) & z_1\bar{z}_1 - z_2\bar{z}_2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

B.2 Phase space of nonzero quaternions in complex variables

If one uses instead of x^A the complex variables z_1, z_2 and instead of $\pi_A = (\pi_0, \pi_\alpha), \pi_0, \pi_+, \pi_-, \pi_3$, where $\pi_{\pm} = \pi_1 \pm i\pi_2$, with π_0, π_3 are real and $\pi_- = \pi_+^*$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \{\pi_A, \pi_0\} &= 0, \quad \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} = -2i\pi_3, \quad \{\pi_{\pm}, \pi_3\} = \pm i\pi_{\pm}, \\ \{z_1, \pi_0\} &= \frac{1}{2}z_1, \quad \{z_2, \pi_0\} = \frac{1}{2}z_2, \quad \{z_1, \pi_3\} = \frac{1}{2}iz_1, \quad \{z_2, \pi_3\} = \frac{1}{2}iz_2, \\ \{z_1, \pi_+\} &= 0 = \{z_2, \pi_+\}, \quad \{z_1, \pi_-\} = -i\bar{z}_2, \quad \{z_2, \pi_-\} = i\bar{z}_1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.8})$$

with other brackets obtained by using complex conjugation and the fact that the Poisson bracket is real. One may also introduce

$$\rho_{\pm} = \pi_0 \pm \imath\pi_3, \quad \rho_- = \bar{\rho}_+, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

so that the Poisson brackets with π_3, π_0 become

$$\{z_1, \rho_+\} = 0, \quad \{z_1, \rho_-\} = z_1, \quad \{z_2, \rho_+\} = 0, \quad \{z_2, \rho_-\} = z_2, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

which implies in particular

$$\{R, \rho_+\} = \frac{1}{2}R = \{R, \rho_-\}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Furthermore,

$$\{\rho_+, \rho_-\} = 0, \quad \{\pi_+, \pi_-\} = \rho_- - \rho_+, \quad \{\pi_{\pm}, \rho_+\} = \mp\pi_{\pm}, \quad \{\pi_{\pm}, \rho_-\} = \pm\pi_{\pm}. \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Similarly, if $Q_{\pm} = Q_1 \pm \imath Q_2$,

$$\{Q_0, Q_A\} = 0, \quad \{Q_+, Q_-\} = 2\imath Q_3, \quad \{Q_{\pm}, Q_3\} = \mp\imath Q_{\pm}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

with Q_0, Q_3 real and $Q_- = \bar{Q}_+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_1, Q_0\} &= \frac{1}{2}z_1, & \{z_2, Q_0\} &= \frac{1}{2}z_2, & \{z_1, Q_3\} &= \frac{1}{2}\imath z_1, & \{z_2, Q_3\} &= -\frac{1}{2}\imath z_2, \\ \{z_1, Q_+\} &= \imath z_2, & \{z_2, Q_+\} &= 0, & \{z_1, Q_-\} &= 0, & \{z_2, Q_-\} &= \imath z_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

and other brackets obtained by using complex conjugation. In terms of (non-canonical) complex variables

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \pi_0, & Q_3 &= \frac{\pi_3}{R^2}(z_1\bar{z}_1 - z_2\bar{z}_2) - \frac{\pi_+}{R^2}\bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2 - \frac{\pi_-}{R^2}z_1z_2, \\ Q_+ &= 2\frac{\pi_3}{R^2}\bar{z}_1z_2 + \frac{\pi_+}{R^2}\bar{z}_1^2 - \frac{\pi_-}{R^2}z_2^2, \\ Q_- &= 2\frac{\pi_3}{R^2}z_1\bar{z}_2 + \frac{\pi_-}{R^2}z_1^2 - \frac{\pi_+}{R^2}\bar{z}_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.15})$$

If one introduces

$$P_{\pm} = Q_0 \pm \imath Q_3, \quad P_- = \bar{P}_+, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

the Poisson brackets with Q_3, Q_0 become

$$\{z_1, P_+\} = 0, \quad \{z_1, P_-\} = z_1, \quad \{z_2, P_+\} = z_2, \quad \{z_2, P_-\} = 0. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

and

$$\{P_+, P_-\} = 0, \quad \{Q_+, Q_-\} = P_+ + P_-, \quad \{Q_{\pm}, P_+\} = \pm Q_{\pm}, \quad \{Q_{\pm}, P_-\} = \mp Q_{\pm}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

B.3 SU(2) model space from quaternions. Direct gauge fixing

In the direct gauge fixing, the model space corresponds to imposing the 4 second class constraints

$$\chi_A = \left(R - 1, \frac{\pi_0}{R}, \frac{\pi_+}{R}, \frac{\pi_-}{R}\right), \quad C_{AB} \equiv \{\chi_A, \chi_B\} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{2i\pi_3}{R^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2i\pi_3}{R^2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

on the theory described by the geometric action for $T^*\mathbb{H}^*$. One remains with the coordinates z_1, z_2 constrained by $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 = 1$ and π_3 . In particular, the 4 observables, defined on the constraint surface by

$$\pi_3, \quad q_+ = 2\pi_3 \bar{z}_1 z_2, \quad q_- = 2\pi_3 z_1 \bar{z}_2, \quad q_3 = \pi_3(z_1 \bar{z}_1 - z_2 \bar{z}_2), \quad (\text{B.20})$$

and related on the constraint surface by

$$q_+ q_- + q_3^2 = \pi_3^2. \quad (\text{B.21})$$

In the following we assume that the second class constraints are imposed strongly. Since the Dirac brackets of first class functions agree with their Poisson brackets functions on the constraint surface, it follows from (4.58) and (B.13) that

$$\{\pi_3, q_3\}^* = 0 = \{\pi_3, q_\pm\}^*, \quad \{q_+, q_-\}^* = 2i q_3, \quad \{q_\pm, q_3\}^* = \mp i q_\pm. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

In order to compute the Dirac brackets explicitly, we need

$$C^{-1AB} \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{R^2}{2i\pi_3} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{R^2}{-2i\pi_3} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B.23})$$

It follows, by construction, that

$$\{\pi_0, \cdot\}^* = 0 = \{\pi_\pm, \cdot\}^* = \{R, \cdot\}^*, \quad (\text{B.24})$$

and also that

$$\{\pi_A, \pi_3\}^* = 0. \quad (\text{B.25})$$

The remaining Dirac brackets are

$$\begin{aligned} \{x^A, x^B\}^* &= \frac{1}{2i\pi_3} (R_+^A R_-^B - R_+^B R_-^A), \\ \{x^A, \pi_3\}^* &= R_3^A + R_+^A \frac{\pi_-}{2\pi_3} + R_-^A \frac{\pi_+}{2\pi_3} \approx R_3^A. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.26})$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_1, \pi_3\}^* &= \frac{1}{2} \iota z_1, \quad \{z_2, \pi_3\}^* = \frac{1}{2} \iota z_2, \quad \{z_1, \bar{z}_1\}^* = \frac{\iota}{4\pi_3} z_2 \bar{z}_2, \quad \{z_2, \bar{z}_2\}^* = \frac{\iota}{4\pi_3} z_1 \bar{z}_1, \\ \{z_1, z_2\}^* &= 0 = \{\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2\}^*, \quad \{\bar{z}_1, z_2\}^* = \frac{\iota}{4\pi_3} z_2 \bar{z}_1, \quad \{z_1, \bar{z}_2\}^* = -\frac{\iota}{4\pi_3} z_1 \bar{z}_2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.27})$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \{z_1, q_+\}^* &= \iota z_2, \quad \{z_1, q_-\}^* = 0, \quad \{z_1, q_3\}^* = \frac{1}{2} \iota z_1, \\ \{z_2, q_+\}^* &= 0, \quad \{z_2, q_-\}^* = \iota z_1, \quad \{z_2, q_3\}^* = -\frac{1}{2} \iota z_2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.28})$$

C SU(2) coherent states

Un-normalized SU(2) coherent states may be defined as

$$|\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\rangle = e^{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \mathcal{J}^{-\tilde{\epsilon}}} |j, \tilde{\epsilon}j\rangle = \sum_{m=\tilde{\epsilon}j}^{-\tilde{\epsilon}j} \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{\epsilon}m}}{(j-\tilde{\epsilon}m)!} \mathcal{J}_{-\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{\epsilon}m} |j, \tilde{\epsilon}j\rangle. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

When taking into account that

$$|jm\rangle = \left[\frac{(j+\tilde{\epsilon}m)!}{(2j)!(j-\tilde{\epsilon}m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{J}_{-\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{\epsilon}m} |j, \tilde{\epsilon}j\rangle, \quad (\text{C.2})$$

it follows that

$$\langle jm, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\rangle = \left[\frac{(2j)!}{(j+\tilde{\epsilon}m)!(j-\tilde{\epsilon}m)!} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^{j-\tilde{\epsilon}m}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

Furthermore,

$$\langle \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}}\rangle = (1 + \eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}} \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})^{2j} = e^{K_{\tilde{\epsilon}}^j(\eta_{\tilde{\epsilon}}, \bar{\zeta}_{\tilde{\epsilon}})}, \quad (\text{C.4})$$

when using (5.19).

D General linear group

In this appendix, we work out left and right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms and vector fields for $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ in order to relate to the generalized Jordan map discussed in section 5.5 of [38].

D.1 $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$

For $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ parametrized as

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_1^1 & g_1^2 \\ g_2^1 & g_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = g_j^i \Delta_i^j, \quad (\Delta_i^j)^k_l = \delta_i^k \delta_l^j, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

if the Lie algebra basis e_α is taken as the four matrices Δ_i^j , the structure constants are determined by

$$[\Delta_i^j, \Delta_k^l] = \delta_k^j \Delta_i^l - \delta_i^l \Delta_k^j. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

The dual basis e_α^* becomes Δ_l^k , with $\langle \Delta_l^k, \Delta_i^j \rangle = \delta_i^k \delta_l^j$. One may take Δ_l^k as the 2×2 matrix $(\Delta_l^k)_n^m = \delta_n^k \delta_l^m$ with $\langle \Delta_l^k, \Delta_i^j \rangle = \text{Tr}(\Delta_l^k \Delta_i^j)$.

Right invariant Maurer-Cartan forms are

$$dgg^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det g} \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^{ij} dg^1_i g^2_j & -\epsilon^{ij} dg^1_i g^1_j \\ \epsilon^{ij} dg^2_i g^2_j & -\epsilon^{ij} dg^2_i g^1_j \end{pmatrix} \iff R^\alpha_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} (g^{-1})^T & 0 \\ 0 & (g^{-1})^T \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D.3})$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} R^k_l &= -\frac{1}{\det g} \epsilon^{ij} dg^k_i g^m_j \epsilon_{ml} = \frac{1}{\det g} (dg^k_l g^m_m - dg^k_m g^m_l) \\ &\iff R^k_{li}{}^j = -\frac{1}{\det g} \delta_i^k \epsilon^{jn} \epsilon_{ml} g^m_n = \frac{1}{\det g} \delta_i^k (g^m_m \delta_l^j - g^j_l), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4})$$

while the left invariant ones are given by

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}dg &= \frac{1}{\det g} \begin{pmatrix} -\epsilon_{ij} g^i_2 dg^j_1 & -\epsilon_{ij} g^i_2 dg^j_2 \\ \epsilon_{ij} g^i_1 dg^j_1 & \epsilon_{ij} g^i_1 dg^j_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\iff L^\alpha_\beta = \frac{1}{\det g} \begin{pmatrix} g^2_2 & 0 & -g^1_2 & 0 \\ 0 & g^2_2 & 0 & -g^1_2 \\ -g^2_1 & 0 & g^1_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -g^2_1 & 0 & g^1_1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5})$$

or equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} L^k_l &= -\frac{1}{\det g} \epsilon_{ij} \epsilon^{km} g^i_m dg^j_l = \frac{1}{\det g} (g^m_m dg^k_l - g^k_m dg^m_l) \\ &\iff L^k_{li}{}^j = -\frac{1}{\det g} \delta_l^j \epsilon_{ni} \epsilon^{km} g^n_m = \frac{1}{\det g} \delta_l^j (g^m_m \delta_i^k - g^k_i). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.6})$$

The associated right and left invariant vector fields are

$$R_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} \iff R_i^j = g^j_l \frac{\partial}{\partial g^i_l} \iff R_i{}^{jk}{}_l = \delta_i^k g^j_l, \quad (\text{D.7})$$

$$L_\alpha^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} g^1_1 & 0 & g^2_1 & 0 \\ 0 & g^1_1 & 0 & g^2_1 \\ g^1_2 & 0 & g^2_2 & 0 \\ 0 & g^1_2 & 0 & g^2_2 \end{pmatrix} \iff L_i^j = g^k_i \frac{\partial}{\partial g^k_j} \iff L_i{}^{jk}{}_l = g^k_i \delta_l^j. \quad (\text{D.8})$$

In Darboux coordinates, the potential one-form of the geometric action for $T^*\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is $a = p_i^j dg^i_j$, while in (g^i_j, π_k^l) coordinates, $a = \pi_i^j R^i_j$ with associated Poisson brackets

$$\{\pi_i^j, \pi_k^l\} = \delta_k^j \pi_i^l - \delta_i^l \pi_k^j, \quad \{g^k_l, \pi_i^j\} = \delta_i^k g^j_l, \quad \{g^i_j, g^k_l\} = 0. \quad (\text{D.9})$$

D.2 GL(2, \mathbb{C})

For GL(2, \mathbb{C}) parametrized as in (D.1) but with complex g^j , the basis e_α of the real Lie algebra is chosen as $\Delta_i^j, (i\Delta_i^j)$. If $\vec{v} = v^i \Delta_i^j \in \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$, covectors are $\vec{w}_* = \bar{w}_k^l \Delta_k^l \in (\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}))^*$ with

$$\langle \vec{w}_*, \vec{v} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(\vec{w}_* \vec{v} + \text{c.c.}) = \text{Re}(w_i^j) \text{Re}(v_i^j) + \text{Im}(w_i^j) \text{Im}(v_i^j), \quad (\text{D.10})$$

where c.c. denotes complex conjugation. It follows that in complex coordinates, the associated Poisson brackets are

$$\{\pi_i^j, \pi_k^l\} = 2\delta_k^j \pi_i^l - 2\delta_i^l \pi_k^j, \quad \{\pi_i^j, \bar{\pi}_k^l\} = 0, \quad (\text{D.11})$$

and

$$\{g^i_j, \bar{\pi}_k^l\} = 2\delta_k^i g^l_j, \quad \{\bar{g}^i_j, \pi_k^l\} = 2\delta_k^i \bar{g}^l_j. \quad (\text{D.12})$$

In Darboux coordinates, the potential one form of the geometric action for $T^*\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is $a = \frac{1}{2} \bar{p}_i^j dg^i_j + \text{c.c.}$, with non-vanishing Poisson brackets

$$\{g^i_j, \bar{p}_k^l\} = 2\delta_k^i \delta_j^l = \{\bar{g}^i_j, p_k^l\} \quad (\text{D.13})$$

D.3 From $T^*\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ to oscillator representation of GL(2, \mathbb{C})

Consider the second class constraints $\chi_i^j, \bar{\chi}_i^j$ where

$$\chi_i^j = \frac{1}{2}(p_i^j - i\hbar g^j_i), \quad \{\chi_i^j, \bar{\chi}_k^l\} = -i\hbar \delta_i^l \delta_k^j. \quad (\text{D.14})$$

The associated non-vanishing Dirac brackets are given by

$$\{g^i_j, \bar{g}^k_l\}^* = -\frac{1}{i\hbar} \delta_l^i \delta_j^k. \quad (\text{D.15})$$

This allows one to change notations and identify the complex variables g^i_j with standard oscillator variables, $g^i_j = a^{*i}_j$, with non-vanishing brackets

$$\{a^i_j, a^{*k}_l\}^* = \frac{1}{i\hbar} \delta_l^i \delta_j^k. \quad (\text{D.16})$$

Defining

$$A_i^j = a^{*m}_i a^j_m, \quad B_i^j = a^{*j}_n a^n_i = A^{*j}_i, \quad (\text{D.17})$$

it follows that

$$\{A_i^j, A_k^l\}^* = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\delta_j^k A_i^l - \delta_i^l A_k^j), \quad \{B_i^j, B_k^l\}^* = \frac{1}{i\hbar} (\delta_l^i B_k^j - \delta_j^k B_i^l), \quad \{A_i^j, B_k^l\}^* = 0. \quad (\text{D.18})$$

Upon quantization, one recovers the basic operators underlying the generalized Jordan map discussed in Section 5.5 of [38] and used in Sections 5.6 and 5.7 to determine the Wigner coefficients (see also [53–55] for a connection to twistors.)

D.4 $T^*\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as a parent theory

When using the polar decomposition, one may write $g \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ as

$$g = e^{-i\frac{\Phi}{2}} \hat{U} \sqrt{r} \hat{P}, \quad (\text{D.19})$$

with $\hat{U} \in \text{SU}(2)$ and \hat{P} a positive definite hermitian matrix with unit determinant. When using this decomposition, it is convenient to use as a Lie algebra basis instead of Δ_i^j the $e_a, a = 0, \dots, 3$, given by

$$-\frac{i}{2}\sigma_0, \quad -\frac{i}{2}\sigma_\alpha, \quad (\text{D.20})$$

with associated π_a 's. One may then reach $T^*\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ by imposing the second class constraints

$$\Phi = 0, \quad \ln r = 0, \quad \bar{\pi}_0 = 0, \quad \pi_0 = 0. \quad (\text{D.21})$$

Note that $\Phi = 0 = r - 1$ is equivalent to $\det g = 1 = \det \bar{g}$, while $\bar{\pi}_0 = -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\pi}_1^1 + \bar{\pi}_2^2)$.

In order to reach $T^*\mathbb{H}^*$ from $T^*\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, one needs to impose the second class constraints,

$$g_1^1 = \bar{g}_2^2, \quad g_2^1 = -\bar{g}_1^2, \quad p_1^1 = \bar{p}_2^2, \quad p_1^2 = -\bar{p}_2^1, \quad (\text{D.22})$$

together with their complex conjugates.

As before, instead of imposing second class constraints, one may also drop half of these constraints and only impose the first class constraints linear in the momenta.

References

- [1] M. Banados. “Three-Dimensional Quantum Geometry and Black Holes”. In: *Trends in Theoretical Physics II*. Vol. 484. AIP Conf. Series. 1999, pp. 147–169. arXiv: [hep-th/9901148 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [2] K. Skenderis and S. N. Solodukhin. “Quantum effective action from the AdS/CFT correspondence”. In: *Phys. Lett.* B472 (2000), pp. 316–322. DOI: [10.1016/S0370-2693\(99\)01467-7](#). arXiv: [hep-th/9910023](#).
- [3] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux. “Central charges in the canonical realization of asymptotic symmetries: An example from three-dimensional gravity”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 104 (1986), p. 207.
- [4] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert. “Aspects of the BMS/CFT correspondence”. In: *JHEP* 05 (2010), p. 062. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP05\(2010\)062](#). arXiv: [1001.1541 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [5] J. Bicak and B. Schmidt. “On the asymptotic structure of axisymmetric radiative spacetimes”. In: *Classical and Quantum Gravity* 6.11 (1989), p. 1547. URL: <http://stacks.iop.org/0264-9381/6/i=11/a=010>.
- [6] G. Barnich and G. Compère. “Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 24 (2007). Corrigendum: *ibid* 24 (2007) 3139, F15. eprint: [gr-qc/0610130](#).
- [7] A. A. Kirillov. *Elements of the Theory of Representations*. Springer, 1976.
- [8] B. Kostant. *Quantization and unitary representations*. Springer, 1970.
- [9] J.-M. Souriau. *Structure des systèmes dynamiques*. Dunod, Paris, 1970.
- [10] A. A. Kirillov. *Lectures on the orbit method*. American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [11] D. A. Vogan Jr. “Review of Lectures on the orbit method by AA Kirillov”. In: *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* 42 (1997), pp. 535–544.
- [12] A. Alekseev, L. D. Faddeev, and S. L. Shatashvili. “Quantization of symplectic orbits of compact Lie groups by means of the functional integral”. In: *J.Geom.Phys.* 5 (1988), pp. 391–406. DOI: [10.1016/0393-0440\(88\)90031-9](#).
- [13] A. Alekseev and S. Shatashvili. “Path integral quantization of the coadjoint orbits of the Virasoro group and 2-d gravity”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 323.3 (1989), pp. 719–733. ISSN: 0550-3213. DOI: [10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90130-2](#). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0550321389901302>.
- [14] A. Alekseev and S. L. Shatashvili. “From geometric quantization to conformal field theory”. In: *Commun.Math.Phys.* 128 (1990), pp. 197–212. DOI: [10.1007/BF02097053](#).

- [15] I. Bernstein, I. Gelfand, and S. Gelfand. “Models of representations of Lie groups.(Russian) Trudy Sem”. In: *Petrovsk. Vyp. 2* (1976), pp. 3–21.
- [16] H. La, P. Nelson, and A. S. Schwarz. “Virasoro model space”. In: *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 134.3 (Dec. 1990), pp. 539–554. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02098446>.
- [17] M. Henneaux. “Hamiltonian Form of the Path Integral for Theories with a Gauge Freedom”. In: *Phys. Rept.* 126 (1985), pp. 1–66.
- [18] G. Barnich, K. Nguyen, and R. Ruzzi. “Geometric action for extended Bondi-Metzner-Sachs group in four dimensions”. In: *JHEP* 12 (2022), p. 154. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP12\(2022\)154](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP12(2022)154). arXiv: [2211.07592 \[hep-th\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.07592).
- [19] J. Schwinger. “On Angular Momentum”. In: (Jan. 1952). DOI: [10.2172/4389568](https://doi.org/10.2172/4389568).
- [20] P. Spindel. “Gravity before supergravity”. In: *Supersymmetry*. Springer, 1985, pp. 455–533. URL: http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4684-8398-7_11.
- [21] G. Gibbons. “Part III: Applications of Differential Geometry to Physics”. Unpublished lecture notes. 2006.
- [22] H. Nicolai and H. J. Matschull. “Aspects of canonical gravity and supergravity”. In: *J. Geom. Phys.* 11 (1993). Ed. by R. Gielerak and A. Borowiec, pp. 15–62. DOI: [10.1016/0393-0440\(93\)90047-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0393-0440(93)90047-I).
- [23] H. J. Matschull and H. Nicolai. “Canonical treatment of coset space sigma models”. In: *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* 3 (1994), pp. 81–91. DOI: [10.1142/S0218271894000095](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218271894000095).
- [24] P. Wiegmann. “Multivalued functionals and geometrical approach for quantization of relativistic particles and strings”. In: *Nucl.Phys.* B323 (1989), pp. 311–329. DOI: [10.1016/0550-3213\(89\)90144-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(89)90144-2).
- [25] K. G. Wilson. “Confinement of Quarks”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 10 (1974). Ed. by J. C. Taylor, pp. 2445–2459. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.2445).
- [26] M. B. Halpern. “Field Strength and Dual Variable Formulations of Gauge Theory”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 19 (1979), p. 517. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.19.517](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.19.517).
- [27] I. Y. Aref’eva. “Non-Abelian Stokes formula”. In: *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* 43.1 (1980), pp. 353–356. ISSN: 1573-9333. DOI: [10.1007/BF01018469](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01018469). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01018469>.
- [28] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim. *Quantization of Gauge Systems*. Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [29] nLab authors. *geometric quantization*. <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/geometric+quantization>. Revision 124. Nov. 2024.

- [30] J. Sniatycki. “Constraints and quantization”. In: *Non-linear Partial Differential Operators and Quantization Procedures*. Ed. by S. I. Andersson and H.-D. Doebner. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1037. 1983, pp. 301–334.
- [31] A. Ashtekar and M. Stillerman. “Geometric Quantization and Constrained Systems”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 27 (1986), pp. 1319–1330. DOI: [10.1063/1.527138](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527138).
- [32] M. J. Gotay. “Constraints, Reduction, and Quantization”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 27 (1986), pp. 2051–2066. DOI: [10.1063/1.527026](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.527026).
- [33] M. Blau. “Constraints and Polarizations”. In: *Phys. Lett. B* 205 (1988), pp. 525–529. DOI: [10.1016/0370-2693\(88\)90991-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(88)90991-4).
- [34] M. Blau. “On the Geometric Quantization of Constrained Systems”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 5 (1988), p. 1033. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/5/7/011](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/5/7/011).
- [35] P. A. M. Dirac. “Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field”. In: *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond.* A133 (1931), pp. 60–72.
- [36] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang. “Concept of nonintegrable phase factors and global formulation of gauge fields”. In: *Phys. Rev.* D12 (1975), pp. 3845–3857.
- [37] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang. “Dirac Monopole Without Strings: Monopole Harmonics”. In: *Nucl. Phys.* B107 (1976), p. 365. DOI: [10.1016/0550-3213\(76\)90143-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0550-3213(76)90143-7).
- [38] L. Biedenharn and J. Louck. *Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics: Theory and Application*. Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, 1981. ISBN: 9780201135077. URL: <https://books.google.lu/books?id=8HWQwdXqcXAC>.
- [39] T. Dray. “The Relationship Between Monopole Harmonics and Spin Weighted Spherical Harmonics”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 26 (1985), p. 1030. DOI: [10.1063/1.526533](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.526533).
- [40] A. O. Barut and L. Girardello. “New ‘coherent’ states associated with noncompact groups”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 21 (1971), pp. 41–55. DOI: [10.1007/BF01646483](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01646483).
- [41] A. M. Perelomov. “Coherent states for arbitrary Lie groups”. In: *Commun. Math. Phys.* 26 (1972), pp. 222–236. DOI: [10.1007/BF01645091](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01645091).
- [42] R. Gilmore. “Geometry of symmetrized states”. In: *Annals of Physics* 74.2 (1972), pp. 391–463. ISSN: 0003-4916. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916\(72\)90147-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-4916(72)90147-9). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0003491672901479>.
- [43] R. Penrose and W. Rindler. *Spinors and Space-Time, Volume 1: Two-spinor Calculus and Relativistic Fields*. Cambridge University Press, 1984.

- [44] F. Beyer et al. “Numerical evolutions of fields on the 2-sphere using a spectral method based on spin-weighted spherical harmonics”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 31 (2014), p. 075019. DOI: [10.1088/0264-9381/31/7/075019](https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/31/7/075019). arXiv: [1308.4729](https://arxiv.org/abs/1308.4729) [physics.comp-ph].
- [45] A. S. Galperin. *Harmonic Superspace*. New York : Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [46] N. Wheeler. *Comments Concerning Julian Schwinger’s “On Angular Momentum”*. Tech. rep. Reed College, 2000. URL: <https://www.reed.edu/physics/faculty/wheeler/documents/Quantum%20Mechanics/Miscellaneous%20Essays/Angular%20Momentum,%20Spin/D1.%20Wheeler,%20Schwinger.pdf>.
- [47] E. T. Newman and R. Penrose. “Note on the Bondi-Metzner-Sachs Group”. In: *J. Math. Phys.* 7 (1966), pp. 863–870. DOI: [10.1063/1.1931221](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1931221).
- [48] J. N. Goldberg et al. “Spin-s Spherical Harmonics and eth”. In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 8.11 (1967), pp. 2155–2161. DOI: [10.1063/1.1705135](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1705135). URL: <http://link.aip.org/link/?JMP/8/2155/1>.
- [49] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang. “Some Properties of Monopole Harmonics”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 16 (1977), pp. 1018–1021. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1018](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.16.1018).
- [50] M. Eastwood and P. Tod. “Edth-a differential operator on the sphere”. In: *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 92 (1982), pp. 317–330. DOI: [10.1017/S0305004100059971](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100059971).
- [51] N. Straumann. “Geometry of the Hopf Bundle and spin-weighted Harmonics”. In: (Mar. 2014). arXiv: [1403.0480](https://arxiv.org/abs/1403.0480) [gr-qc].
- [52] M. Boyle. “How should spin-weighted spherical functions be defined?” In: *J. Math. Phys.* 57.9 (2016), p. 092504. DOI: [10.1063/1.4962723](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4962723). arXiv: [1604.08140](https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.08140) [gr-qc].
- [53] L. Freidel and S. Speziale. “Twisted geometries: A geometric parametrisation of SU(2) phase space”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010), p. 084040. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084040](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084040). arXiv: [1001.2748](https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.2748) [gr-qc].
- [54] L. Freidel and S. Speziale. “From twistors to twisted geometries”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010), p. 084041. DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084041](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.82.084041). arXiv: [1006.0199](https://arxiv.org/abs/1006.0199) [gr-qc].
- [55] A. Calcinari et al. “Twisted Geometries Coherent States for Loop Quantum Gravity”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 38.2 (2020), p. 025004. DOI: [10.1088/1361-6382/abc273](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/abc273). arXiv: [2009.01125](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.01125) [gr-qc].
- [56] R. Andrade e Silva and T. Jacobson. “Particle on the sphere: group-theoretic quantization in the presence of a magnetic monopole”. In: *J. Phys. A* 54.23 (2021), p. 235303. DOI: [10.1088/1751-8121/abf961](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/abf961). arXiv: [2011.04888](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.04888) [quant-ph].

- [57] C. J. Isham. “Topological and Global Aspects of Quantum Theory”. In: *Les Houches Summer School on Theoretical Physics: Relativity, Groups and Topology II*. Ed. by B. S. DeWitt and R. Stora. July 1984, pp. 1059–1290.
- [58] T. Basile, E. Joung, and T. Oh. “Manifestly covariant worldline actions from coadjoint orbits. Part I. Generalities and vectorial descriptions”. In: *JHEP* 01 (2024), p. 018. DOI: [10.1007/JHEP01\(2024\)018](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP01(2024)018). arXiv: [2307.13644](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.13644) [hep-th].
- [59] G. Barnich, H. A. Gonzalez, and P. Salgado-Rebolledo. “Geometric actions for three-dimensional gravity”. In: *Class. Quant. Grav.* 35.1 (2018), p. 014003. DOI: [10.1088/1361-6382/aa9806](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa9806). arXiv: [1707.08887](https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08887) [hep-th].
- [60] M. Beauvillain, B. Oblak, and M. Petropoulos. “Berry Phases in the Bosonization of Nonlinear Edge Modes”. In: (Aug. 2024). arXiv: [2408.03991](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.03991) [cond-mat.mes-hall].