# ALGEBRAIC STUDY ON PERMUTATION GRAPHS

ANTONINO FICARRA, SOMAYEH MORADI

ABSTRACT. Let G be a permutation graph. We show that G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is unmixed and vertex decomposable. When this is the case, we obtain a combinatorial description for the *a*-invariant of G. Moreover, we characterize the Gorenstein permutation graphs.

#### INTRODUCTION

Permutation graphs arise naturally in combinatorics and graph theory. They are characterized as those graphs which are both comparability and co-comparability graphs of posets [25], making them an intriguing subject of algebraic and combinatorial investigation. For a finite simple graph G on n vertices and with the edge set E(G), the edge ideal of G, introduced by Villarreal [29], is the ideal of the polynomial ring  $S = K[x_i : i \in V(G)]$  over a field K defined as  $I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G))$ . The main theme in the study of edge ideals is to translate the algebraic properties of the ring S/I(G) to the combinatorics of the underlying graph G and vice versa. The study of the Cohen-Macaulay property of graphs has been well-established for various classes of graphs such as bipartite graphs [10], very well-covered graphs [5, 19], chordal graphs [15], Cameron-Walker graphs [17], fully-whiskered graphs [4] and graphs of girth at least five [1]. For the aforementioned classes of graphs it is shown that G is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is unmixed and vertex decomposable.

Vertex decomposable simplicial complexes were introduced by Provan and Billera in [26]. Their recursive definition allows to determine algebraic invariants of their Stanley-Reisner rings inductively, see [22]. A graph G is called *vertex decomposable* if the independence complex of G is vertex decomposable. These graphs were first considered by Dochtermann-Engström [6] and Woodroofe [30]. Any unmixed, vertex decomposable graph is Cohen-Macaulay, but the converse does not hold in general.

In this work, we study the Cohen-Macaulay and the Gorenstein properties for permutation graphs. These graphs were first introduced in [7] and [25]. They form a subclass of weakly chordal graphs, as was shown in [8]. Different characterizations of permutation graphs are given in [8, 18, 25]. In Theorem 2.3 we show that a permutation graph is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if it is unmixed and vertex decomposable. To this aim, we use a characterization of Cohen-Macaulay permutation graphs given in [3, Theorem 1.1] in terms of the maximal cliques of the graph. This implies that the cover ideal J(G) of a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph is vertex splittable (Corollary 2.5) and that the Rees algebra  $\mathcal{R}(J(G))$  and the toric algebra K[J(G)] are normal Cohen-Macaulay domains (Corollary 2.6).

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13C05, 13C14; Secondary 05E40.

Key words and phrases. Cohen-Macaulay, Gorenstein, permutation graph, edge ideal.

In Theorem 2.7 we characterize the Gorenstein permutation graphs. To prove it, we use a result by Oboudi and Nikseresht [24] regarding the Gorenstein graphs. In Proposition 2.8 we obtain the *a*-invariant of a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph in terms of the induced matching number and the vertex cover number of G. As a consequence we determine when I(G) is Hilbertian, that is the Hilbert function and the Hilbert polynomial of S/I(G) coincide. We conclude the paper with Proposition 2.9 which gives a combinatorial description for bi-Cohen-Macaulay graphs.

### 1. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some concepts and introduce some notation which are needed in the sequel. Throughout, G is a finite simple graph with the vertex set  $V(G) = [n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and the edge set E(G), and  $S = K[x_1, ..., x_n]$  is the polynomial ring over a field K. The *edge ideal* of G is defined as the ideal of S,

$$I(G) = (x_i x_j : \{i, j\} \in E(G)).$$

The graph G is called *Cohen-Macaulay*, respectively *Gorenstein*, if S/I(G) is a Cohen-Macaulay, respectively Gorenstein ring. A subset  $F \subseteq V(G)$  is called an *independent set* of G, if it contains no edge of G. The maximal cardinality of independent sets of G is denoted by  $\alpha(G)$ .

A vertex cover of G is a subset  $C \subseteq V(G)$  which intersects all the edges of G and a vertex cover which is minimal with respect to inclusion is called a *minimal vertex* cover of G. The graph G is called *unmixed* if all the minimal vertex covers of G have the same cardinality. The vertex cover number of G is defined as the minimum cardinality of the vertex covers of G and is denoted by  $\tau(G)$ . A subset  $A \subseteq V(G)$  is called a *clique* of G if any two vertices in A are adjacent in G. A maximal clique is a clique of G which is not contained in any other clique of G.

A matching of G is a subset of E(G) consisting of pairwise disjoint edges of G. The maximum size of matchings of G is denoted by m(G). We say that the edges eand e' form a gap in G, if they are disjoint and no vertex in e is adjacent to a vertex in e'. A subset E of edges forms a gap in G, when each two elements in E form a gap in G. The maximum cardinality of a set  $E \subseteq E(G)$  which forms a gap is called the *induced matching number* of G and is denoted by im(G).

For a graph G, the *complementary graph* of G is the graph  $G^c$  with the same vertex set as G whose edges are the non-edges of G.

For a simplicial complex  $\Delta$  and a face  $F \in \Delta$ , the *link* of F in  $\Delta$  is defined as

$$lk_{\Delta}(F) = \{ G \in \Delta : G \cap F = \emptyset, G \cup F \in \Delta \},\$$

and the *deletion* of F is the simplicial complex

$$\operatorname{del}_{\Delta}(F) = \{ G \in \Delta : \ G \cap F = \emptyset \}.$$

A simplicial complex  $\Delta$  is called *vertex decomposable* if either  $\Delta$  is a simplex, or  $\Delta$  contains a vertex x such that

- (i) both  $del_{\Delta}(x)$  and  $lk_{\Delta}(x)$  are vertex decomposable, and
- (ii) any facet of  $del_{\Delta}(x)$  is a facet of  $\Delta$ .

A vertex x which satisfies condition (ii) is called a *shedding vertex* of  $\Delta$ .

The *independence complex* of a graph G is defined as the simplicial complex

 $\Delta_G = \{ F \subseteq V(G) : F \text{ is an independent set of } G \}.$ 

The graph G is called *vertex decomposable* if  $\Delta_G$  is vertex decomposable.

Vertex decomposability has a nice translation to independence complexes of graphs. For a vertex  $i \in V(G)$ , let  $N_G(i)$  be the set of all vertices of G adjacent to i and let  $N_G[i] = N_G(i) \cup \{i\}$ . Translating the definition of vertex decomposable to independence complexes of graphs we have that:

A graph G is vertex decomposable, if either G consists of isolated vertices or it has a vertex i such that

(i)  $G \setminus \{i\}$  and  $G \setminus N_G[i]$  are vertex decomposable.

(ii) Any maximal independent set of  $G \setminus \{i\}$  is a maximal independent set of G.

It can be easily seen that (ii) is equivalent to say that no independent set of  $G \setminus N_G[i]$  is a maximal independent set of  $G \setminus \{i\}$ . Such a vertex *i* is called a *shedding vertex* of *G*.

Let  $\sigma = (k_1, \ldots, k_n)$  be a permutation of [n] that is  $\sigma(i) = k_i$  for all i. The *permutation graph*  $G(\sigma)$  corresponding to  $\sigma$  is the graph on the vertex set [n] for which  $\{i, j\} \in E(G(\sigma))$  if and only if i < j and j appears before i in the list  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$ . For example if  $\sigma = (2, 4, 5, 1, 3)$ , then  $G(\sigma)$  is the graph on [5] with the edge set  $\{\{1, 2\}, \{1, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \{1, 5\}, \{3, 5\}\}$ .

For a poset  $(P, \prec)$  with the vertex set  $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ , the comparability graph comp(P) of P is defined to be the graph on V with  $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\text{comp}(P))$  if and only if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are comparable in P and  $v_i \neq v_j$ . Whereas, the co-comparability graph co-comp(P) of P is defined to be the graph on V with  $\{v_i, v_j\} \in E(\text{co-comp}(P))$  if and only if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are not comparable in P. In other words, co-comp(P) is the complementary graph of comp(P). By [25, Theorem 3], a graph G is a permutation graph if and only if G is both a comparability and a co-comparability graph.

For two elements x, y in a poset  $(P, \prec)$ , we write  $x \prec y$ , whenever  $x \prec y$  and if z is an element in P with  $x \preceq z \preceq y$ , then z = x or z = y.

A graph G is called *weakly chordal* if G and  $G^c$  have no induced cycles of length  $m \geq 5$ . A cycle in G is called an *induced cycle* if no two non-consecutive vertices in the cycle are adjacent in G.

We denote by  $K_n$  the complete graph on n vertices and by  $P_n$  the path graph on n vertices. Notice that  $K_n$  and  $P_n^c$  are permutation graphs.

## 2. Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein permutation graphs

Permutation graphs are characterized in terms of the existence of a so-called cohesive order on their vertex sets. A graph G is said to have a *cohesive order* if there is a labeling [n] on V(G) such that

(i) If i < j < k and  $\{i, j\} \in E(G), \{j, k\} \in E(G)$ , then  $\{i, k\} \in E(G)$ .

(ii) If i < j < k and  $\{i, k\} \in E(G)$ , then  $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$  or  $\{j, k\} \in E(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [9, Theorem 2.3] A graph G is a permutation graph if and only if it has a cohesive order.

The following characterization of Cohen-Macaulay permutation graphs by Cheri, et al. [3] will be extensively used in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.2.** [3, Theorem 1.1] Let G be a permutation graph. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) G is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) G is unmixed and there exists a unique way of partitioning V(G) into r disjoint maximal cliques, where r is the cardinality of a maximal independent set of G.

Now, we are in the position to prove

**Theorem 2.3.** Let G be a permutation graph. The following statements are equivalent.

- (i) G is Cohen-Macaulay.
- (ii) G is unmixed and vertex decomposable.
- (iii) G is unmixed and shellable.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Without loss of generality we assume that G has no isolated vertices. By Theorem 2.1, we may assume that V(G) = [n] is a cohesive order of G in the given labeling. For  $i, j \in [n]$ , we set  $i \prec j$ , if i < j and  $\{i, j\} \in E(G)$ . It follows from the property (i) of cohesive order that  $(V(G), \prec)$  is a poset. We denote this poset by P. Then it is clear that  $G = \operatorname{comp}(P)$ , and the maximal cliques of G are just the maximal chains in P.

Since G is Cohen-Macaulay, it is unmixed. We may assume that the cardinality of any maximal independent set of G is r. By Theorem 2.2, V(G) is partitioned in a unique way into r disjoint maximal chains in P. Let  $V(G) = A_1 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  be this unique partition. Let  $j_k = \max A_k$  and  $i_k \in A_k$  be the unique element in  $A_k$  with  $i_k \prec j_k$  for  $1 \le k \le r$ .

**Claim**. There exists an integer  $1 \le t \le r$ , such that

$$\{s \in [n]: i_t \prec s\} = \{j_t\}.$$

**Proof of the claim**. Suppose on the contrary that this is not the case. Then for any  $1 \leq k \leq r$ , there exists  $1 \leq \ell_k \leq r$  with  $\ell_k \neq k$  such that  $i_k \prec j_{\ell_k}$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $i_1 \prec j_2$ .

First suppose that  $i_2 \prec j_1$ . We show that  $B_1 = (A_1 \setminus \{j_1\}) \cup \{j_2\}$  and  $B_2 = (A_2 \setminus \{j_2\}) \cup \{j_1\}$  are maximal chains of P. Since  $i_1 \prec j_2$  and  $i_2 \prec j_1$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are chains of P. Suppose that  $B_1$  is not a maximal chain of P. This would mean that there exists  $s \in [n]$  with  $i_1 \prec s \prec j_2$ . Let F be a maximal independent set of G which contains s. Since |F| = r, F contains precisely one element from each  $A_k$ . Since  $i_1 \prec s$  and  $s \in F$ , it follows that  $F \cap A_1 = \{j_1\}$ . Now, from  $j_1 \in F$  and  $i_2 \prec j_1$ , it follows that  $F \cap A_2 = \{j_2\}$ . Thus  $s, j_2 \in F$ . This contradicts to  $s \prec j_2$ . Therefore,  $B_1$  is a maximal chain of P. The same argument shows that  $B_2$  is a maximal chain of P. Then  $V(G) = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup A_3 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  is another partition of V(G) into maximal chains of P and this contradicts to Theorem 2.2.

So we have  $i_2 \not\prec j_1$ . Then by our assumption we may assume that  $i_2 \prec j_3$ . Similar to the argument in the previous paragraph, if  $i_3 \prec j_1$ , then  $V(G) = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup$ 

 $B_3 \cup A_4 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  is another partition of V(G) into maximal chains of P, where  $B_1 = (A_1 \setminus \{j_1\}) \cup \{j_2\}, B_2 = (A_2 \setminus \{j_2\}) \cup \{j_3\}$  and  $B_3 = (A_3 \setminus \{j_3\}) \cup \{j_1\}$ , and this again contradicts to Theorem 2.2. Moreover, if  $i_3 \prec j_2$ , then  $V(G) = A_1 \cup B_2 \cup B_3 \cup A_4 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  is another partition of V(G) into maximal chains of P, where  $B_2 = (A_2 \setminus \{j_2\}) \cup \{j_3\}$  and  $B_3 = (A_3 \setminus \{j_3\}) \cup \{j_2\}$ , which is absurd. Hence, we may assume  $i_3 \prec j_4$ .

Proceeding with the same argument, and after relabeling we obtain that  $i_k \prec j_{k+1}$ for  $1 \leq k \leq r-1$ . Our assumption implies that  $i_r \prec j_s$  for some s < r. We set  $B_k = (A_k \setminus \{j_k\}) \cup \{j_{k+1}\}$  for  $s \leq k \leq r-1$  and  $B_r = (A_r \setminus \{j_r\}) \cup \{j_s\}$ . We show that each  $B_k$  is a maximal chain of P. To simplify the notation, we set  $j_{r+1} = j_s$ . Fix an integer  $s \leq q \leq r$  and suppose that  $B_q$  is not a maximal chain of P. Then  $i_q \prec m \prec j_{q+1}$  for some m. We let F be a maximal independent set of G which contains m. Since F contains precisely one element from each of  $A_1, \ldots, A_r$ , from  $i_q \prec m$ , we obtain  $F \cap A_q = \{j_q\}$ . Since  $i_{q-1} \prec j_q$  and  $j_q \in F$ , we get  $F \cap A_{q-1} = \{j_{q-1}\}$ . Similarly, the relations  $i_k \prec j_{k+1}$ , imply that  $F \cap A_k = \{j_k\}$ for all  $s \leq k \leq r$ . Therefore,  $m, j_{q+1} \in F$ . This contradicts to  $m \prec j_{q+1}$ . Thus we have proved that each  $B_k$  is a maximal chain of P. Having this, we obtain the partition  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} A_i) \cup (\bigcup_{i=s}^r B_i)$  of V(G) into maximal chains of P, which is different from  $\bigcup_{i=1}^r A_i$ . This contradicts to Theorem 2.2. So our claim is proved.

Let t be an integer satisfying the claim. Without loss of generality we let t = 1. We prove that  $j_1$  is a shedding vertex of G so that  $G' = G \setminus \{j_1\}$  and  $G'' = G \setminus N_G[j_1]$ are vertex decomposable. This will show that G is vertex decomposable. Since any induced subgraph of a permutation graph is a permutation graph, G' and G'' are permutation graphs. We show that they are Cohen-Macaulay. Then by induction on the number of vertices of the graph, it follows that they are vertex decomposable. By [29, Proposition 4.3], G'' is Cohen-Macaulay.

To show that G' is Cohen-Macaulay, we set P' to be the poset obtaining from P by removing  $j_1$ . Then  $P' = \operatorname{comp}(G')$ . By our assumption on  $i_1$ , the chain  $A'_1 = A_1 \setminus \{j_1\}$  is a maximal chain of P'. Thus  $V(G') = A'_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$  is a partition of V(G') into maximal chains of P'. We show that V(G') is uniquely partitioned into maximal chains of P'. Let  $V(G') = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_p$  be an arbitrary partition of V(G') into maximal chains  $C_k$  of P'. First notice that the set consisting of the maximal elements of  $C_1, \ldots, C_p$  is an independent set of G' and hence an independent set of G of cardinality p. Therefore,  $p \leq r$ . Moreover, by the assumption on  $i_1$ , we know that  $i_1$  is a maximal element of P'. So it is the maximal element of some maximal chain, let say  $C_1$ . Then  $C_1 \cup \{j_1\}$  is a maximal chain of P, since  $i_1 \prec j_1$ . We show that each  $C_k$  for  $2 \leq k \leq p$  is a maximal chain of P. Suppose this is not the case. Then  $C_h \cup \{j_1\}$  is a chain in P for some  $2 \leq h \leq p$ . Now, consider a maximal independent set F of G with  $j_1 \in F$ . Since F has at most one element from each chain of P and  $C_1 \cup \{j_1\}$  and  $C_h \cup \{j_1\}$  are chains in P, we conclude that  $F \cap C_1 = F \cap C_h = \emptyset$  and  $|F \cap C_\ell| \leq 1$  for all  $\ell$ . Thus

$$r - 1 = |F \setminus \{j_1\}| = \sum_{\substack{\ell=1\\5}}^{p} |F \cap C_{\ell}| \le p - 2.$$

This contradicts to  $p \leq r$ . So  $C_2, \ldots, C_p$  are maximal chains of P, and hence  $V(G) = (C_1 \cup \{j_1\}) \cup C_2 \cup \cdots \cup C_p$  is a partition of V(G) into maximal chains of P. Since such a partition is unique, we obtain p = r,  $A_1 = C_1 \cup \{j_1\}$  and, after a suitable relabeling,  $A_k = C_k$  for  $2 \leq k \leq r$ . So V(G') is uniquely partitioned into maximal chains as  $V(G') = A'_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_r$ .

Next, we show that any maximal independent set of G' is a maximal independent set of G. This will show that  $j_1$  is a shedding vertex of G and that G' is unmixed. Consider a maximal independent set F of G'. If  $i_1 \in F$ , then  $F \cup \{j_1\}$  is not an independent set of G. In other words, F is a maximal independent set of G.

Now, assume that  $i_1 \notin F$ . Since F is maximal, this means that  $b \in F$  for some  $b \in N_{G'}(i_1)$ . Otherwise,  $F \cup \{i_1\}$  would be an independent set of G' which strictly contains F. By our assumption on  $i_1$ , we have  $N_G[i_1] \subseteq N_G[j_1]$ . Indeed, it follows from the equality  $\{s \in [n] : i_1 \prec s\} = \{j_1\}$  that if  $\{s, i_1\} \in E(G)$  with  $s \neq j_1$ , then we have  $s \prec i_1$ . So  $s \prec i_1 \prec j_1$ , and hence  $\{s, j_1\} \in E(G)$ . So  $N_G[i_1] \subseteq N_G[j_1]$ . Therefore,  $b \in N_G[j_1]$ . Since  $b \neq j_1$  we have  $b \in N_G(j_1) \cap F$ . This shows that  $F \cup \{j_1\}$  is not an independent set of G. So F is a maximal independent set of G. This implies that G' is unmixed and  $j_1$  is a shedding vertex of G. Now, by Theorem 2.2, we conclude that G' is Cohen-Macaulay. So by induction, G' is vertex decomposable. The proof is complete.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follow from [2, Theorem 11.3] and [11, Theorem 8.2.6], respectively.

**Remark 2.4.** A permutation graph is not necessarily vertex decomposable. Indeed, the graph G depicted below is an unmixed permutation graph, which is not vertex decomposable. It follows from Theorem 2.3 that G is not Cohen-Macaulay.



Vertex splittable ideals were defined in [22]. They appear as the Alexander duals of the Stanley-Reisner ideals of vertex decomposable simplicial complexes. A monomial ideal  $I \subset S$  is called *vertex splittable* if it can be obtained by the following recursive procedure.

- (i) If u is a monomial and I = (u), I = (0) or I = S, then I is vertex splittable.
- (ii) If there is a variable  $x_i$  and vertex splittable ideals  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  of  $K[X \setminus \{x_i\}]$  so that  $I = x_i I_1 + I_2$ ,  $I_2 \subseteq I_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}(I)$  is the disjoint union of  $\mathcal{G}(x_i I_1)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(I_2)$ , then I is vertex splittable.

The cover ideal J(G) of a graph G is defined as the monomial ideal generated by those monomials whose support is a vertex cover of G.

The following corollary in obtained from Theorem 2.3 and [22, Theorem 2.2].

**Corollary 2.5.** Let G be an unmixed permutation graph, and let J(G) be the cover ideal of G. The following are equivalent:

(i) J(G) is vertex splittable.

- (ii) J(G) has linear quotients.
- (iii) G is Cohen-Macaulay.

We expect that for a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph G, all powers of the cover ideal J(G) have linear resolution.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let G be a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph. Then

- (a) The Rees algebra  $\mathcal{R}(J(G))$  and the toric algebra K[J(G)] are normal Cohen-Macaulay domains
- (b) J(G) satisfies the strong persistence property.
- (c)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{depth} S/J(G)^k = n \ell(J(G)).$
- (d)  $\operatorname{reg}(K[I(G)]) \le \operatorname{m}(G).$
- (e)  $\operatorname{m}(G) \le \operatorname{reg}(\mathcal{R}(I(G))) \le \operatorname{m}(G) + 1.$

*Proof.* (a), (b) and (c) follow from Theorem 2.3 and [21, Theorem 3.1]. Whereas, (d) and (e) follow from Theorem 2.3, [12, Theorem 1] and [13, Theorem 2.2].  $\Box$ 

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  be either a local ring or a standard graded K-algebra, with (graded) maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ , which is Cohen-Macaulay and admits a canonical module  $\omega_R$ . The *canonical trace* of R is defined as the ideal

$$\operatorname{tr}(\omega_R) = \sum_{\varphi \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(\omega_R, R)} \varphi(\omega_R).$$

Following [14], we say that R is nearly Gorenstein if  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \operatorname{tr}(\omega_R)$ . It is clear from the definition that any Gorenstein ring is nearly Gorenstein.

We say that a graph G is nearly Gorenstein if S/I(G) is a nearly Gorenstein ring.

Next we characterize Gorenstein and nearly Gorenstein permutation graphs. To this aim we use the properties of the poset P associated to a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph G, employed in the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let G be a permutation graph without isolated vertices. Then

- (a) G is Gorenstein if and only if G is the disjoint union of edges.
- (b) G is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein if and only if G is either  $K_n$  or  $P_n^c$  for some  $n \ge 3$ .

Proof. (a) If G is the disjoint union of edges, then I(G) is a complete intersection, and so G is Gorenstein. Conversely, suppose that G is Gorenstein. Since G is Cohen-Macaulay, we will adopt the notation and results shown in the proof of Theorem 2.3. The set  $L = \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$  is a maximal independent set of G. Since G is unmixed, this means that  $\alpha(G) = r$ . If  $\alpha(G) = 1$ , then P is a chain, which means that G is a complete graph. On the other hand, the only complete graph which in Gorenstein is  $K_2$ . Hence G is just an edge. Now, let  $\alpha(G) \ge 2$ . Set  $e_{\ell} = \{i_{\ell}, j_{\ell}\}$  for  $1 \le \ell \le r$ . We show that G is the disjoint union of the edges  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ .

For any  $F \subseteq [n]$ , we set  $G_F = G \setminus N_G[F]$ . Then by [24, Theorem 2.3], for any independent set F of G with |F| = r - 2, we have  $G_F = C_m^c$ , where  $C_m$  denotes the cycle graph on  $m \ge 4$  vertices. Since any permutation graph is weakly chordal, we obtain m = 4. Consider a subset  $F \subset L$  with |F| = r - 2. Without loss of generality assume that  $F = L \setminus \{j_1, j_2\}$ . Since  $G_F = C_4^c$ , we get  $E(G_F) = \{e_1, e_2\}$ . This means that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  form a gap in G. By choosing the set F as  $L \setminus \{j_s, j_t\}$  for any  $s \neq t$ , with the same argument we conclude that any two edges  $e_s, e_t$  form a gap. This means that  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  form a gap in G. If |V(G)| = 2r, it follows that  $E(G) = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$ , as desired.

Now, by contradiction assume that |V(G)| > 2r. Since G has no isolated vertices, we have  $|A_{\ell}| \ge 2$  for  $1 \le \ell \le r$ . Then by the assumption that |V(G)| > 2r, we may assume that  $|A_{\ell}| > 2$  for  $1 \le \ell \le s$  and  $|A_{\ell}| = 2$  for  $\ell > s$ , where s is an integer with  $1 \le s \le r$ . For any maximal chain  $A_{\ell}$  with  $|A_{\ell}| > 2$ , let  $t_{\ell} \in A_{\ell}$  be the element with  $t_{\ell} \prec i_{\ell}$ . Since  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$  form a gap, the set  $L' = \{i_1, \ldots, i_r\}$  is an independent set of G. For any  $1 \le \ell \le s$ , choose  $F_{\ell} \subset L'$  such that |F| = r - 2 and  $i_{\ell} \notin F$ . Then  $G_{F_{\ell}} = C_4^c$ . Moreover,  $e_{\ell} = \{i_{\ell}, j_{\ell}\}$  is and edge of  $G_{F_{\ell}}$ . This together with  $t_{\ell} \in A_{\ell}$ implies that  $t_{\ell} \in N_G(F_{\ell})$ . In other words, for any  $1 \le \ell \le s$  there exists  $h_{\ell} \ne \ell$  such that  $\{t_{\ell}, i_{h_{\ell}}\} \in E(G)$ . This in fact means that for any  $1 \le \ell \le s$ ,  $t_{\ell} \prec i_{h_{\ell}}$ . Hence,  $\{i_{h_{\ell}}, i_{\ell}\} \subseteq \{k : t_{\ell} \prec k\}$ . We show that this is not possible.

We set  $G_1 = G \setminus \{j_1\}$  and  $G_p = G_{p-1} \setminus \{j_p\}$  for  $2 \leq p \leq r$ . Since  $e_1, \ldots, e_r$ form a gap in G, for any integer p we have  $\{k \in [n] : i_p \prec k\} = \{j_p\}$ . Then as is shown in the proof of Theorem 2.3, each  $j_p$  is a shedding vertex of  $G_p$  and each  $G_p$  is a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph. In particular  $G_r = G \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Let  $P' = P \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_r\}$ . Then clearly  $G_r = \operatorname{comp}(P')$  and P' is the disjoint union of the maximal chains  $A'_{\ell} = A_{\ell} \setminus \{j_{\ell}\}$ . Moreover,  $i_{\ell}$  is the maximal element of  $A'_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell$ . As the proof of Theorem 2.3(Claim) shows, there should exist an integer  $1 \leq \ell \leq s$  such that  $\{k \in V(P') : t_{\ell} \prec k\} = \{i_{\ell}\}$ . This contradicts to  $\{i_{h_{\ell}}, i_{\ell}\} \subseteq \{k : t_{\ell} \prec k\}$  for all  $1 \leq \ell \leq s$ . So we have |V(G)| = 2r, and this concludes the proof of (a).

(b) By [20, Theorem A(Y)], G is nearly Gorenstein but not Gorenstein if and only if  $\Delta_G$  is isomorphic either to the disjoin union of n vertices or to a path on nvertices. This implies that either  $G = K_n$  or  $G = P_n^c$ . Since both  $K_n$  and  $P_n^c$  are Cohen-Macaulay permutation graphs, the result follows.

In the next proposition we give a combinatorial description for the *a*-invariant a(S/I(G)) of the ring S/I(G), when G is a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph. A graded ideal  $I \subset S$  is called *Hilbertian* if  $P_{S/I}(t) = H(S/I, t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $P_{S/I}(t)$  and H(S/I, t) denote the Hilbert polynomial and the Hilbert function of S/I, respectively.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let G be a Cohen-Macaulay permutation graph on n vertices. Then

(a)  $\operatorname{reg}(S/I(G)) = \operatorname{im}(G)$ .

(b)  $a(S/I(G)) = im(G) + \tau(G) - n$ .

(c) I(G) is Hilbertian if and only if  $\tau(G) + \operatorname{im}(G) < n$ .

*Proof.* (a) follows from the fact that any permutation graph is weakly chordal, together with [31, Theorem 14], which shows that if G is a weakly chordal graph, then  $\operatorname{reg}(S/I(G)) = \operatorname{im}(G)$ .

(b) Since G is Cohen-Macaulay, we know that the degree of the h-polynomial h(t) in the Hilbert series of S/I(G) is equal to reg(S/I(G)), see [28, Corollary B.28].

Hence,  $a(S/I(G)) = \operatorname{reg}(S/I(G)) - d$ , where  $d = \dim(S/I(G))$ . Using (a) and the equality  $d = n - \tau(G)$ , we obtain  $a(S/I(G)) = \operatorname{im}(G) + \tau(G) - n$ .

(c) By [23, Lemma 5.3], the ideal I(G) is Hilbertian if and only if a(S/I(G)) < 0. By (ii) we have a(S/I(G)) < 0 if and only if  $\tau(G) + im(G) < n$ .

A graph G is called *bi-Cohen-Macaulay* if S/I(G) and S/J(G) are Cohen-Macaulay rings. Combining Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.8(a) we obtain

**Proposition 2.9.** Let G be a permutation graph on n vertices. Then G is bi-Cohen-Macaulay if and only if G is an unmixed vertex decomposable graph and im(G) = 1.

Proof. Having Theorem 2.3, it is enough to show that S/J(G) is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if im(G) = 1. By [27, Theorem 2.1] and Proposition 2.8(a) we have  $proj \dim(S/J(G)) = reg(I(G)) = im(G) + 1$ . So depth (S/J(G)) = n - im(G) - 1. Moreover, since J(G) is unmixed of height two, we have  $\dim(S/J(G)) = n - 2$ . So S/J(G) is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if im(G) = 1.

Acknowledgment. A. Ficarra was partly supported by INDAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica), and also by the Grant JDC2023-051705-I funded by MI-CIU/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by the FSE+. S. Moradi is supported by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

#### References

- T. Biyikouğlu, Y. Civan, Vertex-decomposable graphs, codismantlability Cohen-Macaulayness, and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, Electron. J. Combin. 21 (2014), no. 1, Paper 1.1, 17 pp.
- [2] A. Björner, M. Wachs, Shellable nonpure complexes and posets. II, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), no. 10, 3945–3975.
- [3] P. V. Cheri, D. Dey, A. K., N. Kotal, D. Veer, Cohen-Macaulay permutation graphs, Math. Scand. 130 (2024), no. 3, 419–431.
- [4] D. Cook and U. Nagel, Cohen-Macaulay graphs and face vectors of flag complexes, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 26 (2012), no. 1, 89–101.
- [5] M. Crupi, G. Rinaldo, N. Terai, Cohen-Macaulay edge ideals whose height is half of the number of vertices, Nagoya Math. J. 201 (2011), 116–130.
- [6] A. Dochtermann, A. Engström, Algebraic properties of edge ideals via combinatorial topology, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (2009), no. 2.
- [7] S. Even, A. Pnueli, A. Lempel, Permutation graphs and transitive graphs, Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery, 19 (1972), 400–410.
- [8] T. Gallai, Transitiv orientierbare Graphen, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 18 (1967), 25–66.
- [9] S. V. Gervacio, T.A. Rapanut, P.F. Ramos, Characterization and construction of permutation graphs, Open Journal of Discrete Mathematics 3 (2013) 33-38.
- [10] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Distributive lattices, bipartite graphs and Alexander duality, J. Algebraic Combin. 22 (2005) 289–302.
- [11] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Monomial ideals, Graduate texts in Mathematics 260, Springer, 2011.
- [12] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, The Regularity of Edge Rings and Matching Numbers, Mathematics, (2020), 8(1), 39.
- [13] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, Matching numbers and the regularity of the Rees algebra of an edge ideal, Ann. Comb. 24, (2020), 577–586.
- [14] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, D. I. Stamate, The trace of the canonical module, Israel Journal of Mathematics 233 (2019), 133–165.

- [15] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, X. Zheng, Cohen-Macaulay chordal graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006), no. 5, 911–916.
- [16] J. Herzog, A.A. Qureshi, Persistence and stability properties of powers of ideals, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 219 (2015), 530–542.
- [17] T. Hibi, A. Higashitani, K. Kimura, A. O'Keefe, Algebraic study on Cameron-Walker graphs, J. Algebra 422 (2015), 257–269.
- [18] V. Limouzy, Seidel Minor, Permutation Graphs and Combinatorial Properties, In: Lecture Notes in Computer Science Volume 6506, Springer, Berlin, 2010, pp. 194-205.
- [19] M. Mahmoudi, A. Mousivand, M. Crupi, G. Rinaldo, N. Terai, S. Yassemi, Vertex decomposability and regularity of very well–covered graphs, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 215 (2011), 2473-2480.
- [20] S. Miyashita, M. Varbaro, The canonical trace of Stanley-Reisner rings that are Gorenstein on the punctured spectrum, arXiv preprint arXiv:2412.12860 (2024).
- [21] S. Moradi, Normal Rees algebras arising from vertex decomposable simplicial complexes, arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.15135 (2023).
- [22] S. Moradi, F. Khosh-Ahang, On vertex decomposable simplicial complexes and their Alexander duals. Math. Scand. 118 (2016), no. 1, 43–56.
- [23] T. Nguyen, J. Rajchgot, A. Van Tuyl, Three invariants of geometrically vertex decomposable ideals, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 333(2024), No. 2, 357–390.
- [24] M. R. Oboudi, A. Nikseresht, Some combinatorial characterizations of Gorenstein graphs with independence number less than four, Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci. 44 (2020), no. 6, 1667–1671.
- [25] A. Pnueli, A. Lempel, S. Even, Transitive orientation of graphs and identification of permutation graphs, Canadian J. Math., 23 (1971), 160–175.
- [26] J. S. Provan, L. J. Billera, Decompositions of simplicial complexes related to diameters of convex polyhedra, Math. Oper. Res. 5 (1980), no. 4, 576–594. MR 593648 (82c:52010)
- [27] N. Terai, Alexander duality theorem and Stanley-Reisner rings. Free resolutions of coordinate rings of projective varieties and related topics, (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1998). Sürikaisekikenkyüsho Kökyüroku no. 1078 (1999), 174–184.
- [28] W. V. Vasconcelos, Computational methods in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, volume 2 of Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. With chapters by David Eisenbud, Daniel R. Grayson, Jürgen Herzog and Michael Stillman.
- [29] R. H. Villarreal, Cohen-Macaulay graphs, Manuscripta Math. 66 (1990), no. 3, 277–293.
- [30] R. Woodroofe, Vertex decomposable graphs and obstructions to shellability, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), no. 10, 3235–3246.
- [31] R. Woodroofe, Matchings, coverings, and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity, J. Commut. Algebra 6 (2014), no. 2, 287–304.

Antonino Ficarra, Departamento de Matemática, Escola de Ciências e Tecnologia, Centro de Investigação, Matemática e Aplicações, Instituto de Investigação e Formação Avançada, Universidade de Évora, Rua Romão Ramalho, 59, P-7000-671 Évora, Portugal

*Email address*: antonino.ficarra@uevora.pt *Email address*: antficarra@unime.it

Somayeh Moradi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ilam University, P.O.Box 69315-516, Ilam, Iran

Email address: so.moradi@ilam.ac.ir