

Non-interacting holographic dark energy with Torsion via Hubble Radius

Jungjai Lee*

Graduate School Department of Physics, Daejin University, Pocheon 11159, Korea and
School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, Korea

Yongjun Yun†

Graduate School Department of Physics, Daejin University, Pocheon 11159, Korea

We reconstruct a holographic dark energy model with a scalar torsion ϕ under the assumption of no interaction between dark energy and dark matter. We show that the accelerating expansion can occur by setting the IR cut-off to the Hubble radius as $L = H^{-1}$ with the Hubble parameter H . Motivated by two physical choices based on Friedmann equations and the holographic principle, tellingly, spin-induced torsion at non-zero matter density $\rho_m \neq 0$ and H -dependence of torsion in empty space $\rho_m = 0$, we propose a time-dependent scalar torsion $\phi(t) = kH(t)(\rho_m(t)/\rho_c^0)$ with a dimensionless constant k and the current critical density ρ_c^0 . As a result, we find minima $(\omega_X^0)_{min}$ of the current equation of state for dark energy for various values of the free parameter c , yielding $-1 < (\omega_X^0)_{min} < -0.778$ as c changes from 1 to 0.654. Also, we get the values of the current dimensionless ratio, $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ corresponding to the minima $(\omega_X^0)_{min}$, which is consistent with weak torsion assumption $|\phi_0|/H_0 < 1$, and thus it ensuring model viability.

Introduction — Since the discovery of the cosmic accelerating expansion [1, 2], its source, dark energy, remains poorly understood, however it is interpreted as the cosmological constant in the Λ CDM model. Recent data reveal the Hubble tension, a discrepancy between the expansion rates predicted by Λ CDM and measured through the local distance ladder [3]. This suggests the need for new dark energy models beyond Λ CDM, though none based on general relativity have proven to be problem-free [4]. Thus, exploring extended gravity theories, such as the Einstein-Cartan theory, which is the simplest classical extension of general relativity.

The Einstein-Cartan theory introduces torsion, a macroscopic manifestation of matter's intrinsic angular momentum (spin). Given that elementary particles possess spin, its effects may be crucial to consider. The classical limit of a quantum gravity theory should include the Einstein-Cartan theory, and the holographic principle should be embedded within it. For example, string theory's background B field introduces torsion, while the metric field gives Riemann curvature, and the AdS/CFT correspondence [5] is realized via holography.

Cohen et al. [6] suggested that in a system of finite size L , vacuum energy should not exceed the mass of a black hole of the same size, and an infrared (IR) cut-off is related to an ultraviolet (UV) cut-off, which is known as UV/IR mixing or holographic principle. In the framework of general relativity, Li [7] proposed the holographic dark energy model and explained the accelerating expansion of the universe by assuming that the IR cut-off relevant to dark energy is the future event horizon. However, criticisms regarding causality and circular logic arise from this [8]. In contrast, using the Hubble radius and particle

horizon as IR cut-offs results in non-acceleration. Allowing an interaction between dark energy and dark matter, using the Hubble radius as the IR cut-off leads to the acceleration, but without a non-interacting limit [9].

In this Letter, we reconstruct the holographic dark energy model with scalar torsion, assuming no interaction between dark energy and dark matter. Unlike previous interpretations of torsion as vacuum energy [10], we treat torsion as a geometric object, distinct from vacuum energy. However, due to the very weak contribution of torsion in the current universe, the cosmological observations are still not sufficient to demonstrate an irrefutable discrepancy with the predictions of general relativity [11]. Since observational data on torsion are not abundant, we explore constant scalar torsion, steady-state torsion, and time-dependent scalar torsion associated with matter density with spin. Surprisingly, the Hubble radius as the IR cut-off can achieve the accelerating expansion, avoiding issues on causality and cyclic logic.

Scalar torsion cosmology — In the Einstein-Cartan theory, the Einstein-Cartan equations are given by [12]

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi GT_{\mu\nu}. \quad (1)$$

However, in spacetimes with torsion, the affine connection is allowed as $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = \tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} + K^\rho_{\mu\nu}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ is the Levi-Civita connection, and $K^\rho_{\mu\nu}$ is a contorsion. A torsion tensor is defined by $S^\rho_{\mu\nu} \equiv \Gamma^\rho_{[\mu\nu]}$ and is related in $K^\rho_{\mu\nu} \equiv S^\rho_{\mu\nu} + S_{\mu\nu}{}^\rho + S_{\nu\mu}{}^\rho$.

A torsion that preserves the cosmological principle is given by [13] (see [14] for details)

$$S_{\mu\nu\rho} = 2\phi h_{\mu[\nu}u_{\rho]} \quad (2)$$

where $\phi = \phi(t)$ is a time-dependent real scalar torsion, u^μ is a timelike four velocity vector, and $h_{\mu\nu}$ is a projection tensor. Under this torsion (2), we can use the well-known flat FLRW metric: $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2[dr^2 +$

* jjlee@daejin.ac.kr

† yongjun5828@gmail.com

$r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta\varphi^2)$], and thus the Einstein-Cartan equations (1) lead to torsional analogues of the Friedmann equations [13] with Hubble parameter $H = \dot{a}/a$,

$$H^2 + 4\dot{\phi}^2 + 4H\dot{\phi} = \frac{1}{3M_p^2}\rho, \quad (3)$$

$$\dot{H} + H^2 + 2\dot{\phi} + 2H\dot{\phi} = -\frac{1}{6M_p^2}(\rho + 3p), \quad (4)$$

where $a = a(t)$ is the scalar factor, ρ is the total energy density, and p is the total pressure, and $M_p \equiv 1/\sqrt{8\pi G}$ is the reduced Planck mass. Considering vacuum energy and matter, labeled X and m respectively, so it is expressed as $\rho = \rho_m + \rho_X$ and $p = p_m + p_X$. Also, we define an effective critical density as $\rho_c \equiv 3M_p^2 H^2 [1 + 2(\dot{\phi}/H)]^2$ and effective density parameters for matter and vacuum as $\Omega_m \equiv \rho_m/\rho_c$ and $\Omega_X \equiv \rho_X/\rho_c$, so that the first Friedmann equation (3) recasts

$$\Omega_m + \Omega_X = 1. \quad (5)$$

Combining (3) and (4), we get a continuity equation,

$$\dot{\rho} + 3H(\rho + p) + 2\dot{\phi}(\rho + 3p) = 0. \quad (6)$$

However, cosmological observations indicate that the interaction between dark energy and dark matter is small enough to be ignored. Even without treating direct interaction between them, they can affect each other via torsion effects. Hence, instead of (6), we require that each energy species satisfies its own continuity equation:

$$\dot{\rho}_X + 3H(1 + \omega_X)\rho_X + 2\dot{\phi}(1 + 3\omega_X)\rho_X = 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\dot{\rho}_m + 3H(1 + \omega_m)\rho_m + 2\dot{\phi}(1 + 3\omega_m)\rho_m = 0. \quad (8)$$

Here, we assume that both energy species are barotropic, and hence use the relations, $\omega_X = p_X/\rho_X$ and $\omega_m = p_m/\rho_m$ which are the equations of state for vacuum and matter, respectively.

Holographic dark energy model with scalar torsion — Cohen et al. [6] suggested that in a system of finite size L , vacuum energy should not exceed the mass of a black hole of the same size, which gives $L^3\Lambda^4 \lesssim LM_p^2$. Here, L and Λ are the IR cut-off and the UV cut-off, respectively. Since the quantum zero-point energy density is $\rho_X \sim \Lambda^4$, thus $L^3\rho_X \lesssim LM_p^2$. For the largest L allowed, saturating the inequality, we have [7]

$$\rho_X = 3c^2 M_p^2 L^{-2}. \quad (9)$$

Here, ρ_X is considered as the holographic dark energy density, and c is a free parameter. It is very difficult to determine the free parameter theoretically, but it is calculable in quantum aspect, for example, $c = 0.886$ [15], $c \simeq 0.95$ [16], and also inferable in thermodynamic aspect, $c = 1$ [17]. This saturation is referred to as the UV/IR mixing or the holographic principle.

To accurately predict a current equation of state for dark energy in the presence of scalar torsion, we use Taylor expansion at the current scale factor $a_0 = 1$:

$$\ln \rho_X = \ln \rho_X^0 + \left. \frac{d \ln \rho_X}{d \ln a} \right|_{a_0} \ln a + \dots, \quad (10)$$

where 0 denotes the present time. Using the continuity equation for vacuum (7), we impose the effect of scalar torsion on the scaling behavior of dark energy as follows

$$\frac{d \ln \rho_X}{d \ln a} = -3(1 + \omega_X) - 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}(1 + 3\omega_X). \quad (11)$$

From the first Friedmann equation (5), we get the relation $\rho_X = \Omega_X \rho_c = \Omega_X(\rho_m/\Omega_m) = \Omega_X \rho_m/(1 - \Omega_X)$. Additionally, using (11) with the continuity equation for matter (8), the equation of state through (10) at the present time is expressed as

$$\omega_X^0 = \omega_m^0 - \frac{1}{3\left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0}\right)} \frac{\Omega_X'^0}{\Omega_X^0(1 - \Omega_X^0)}. \quad (12)$$

Here, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\ln a$. Note that the holographic principle (9) has not yet been applied and will be used via the effective density parameter Ω_X in (12). By setting the IR cut-off to the Hubble radius, we will provide some detailed analysis on the current equation of state ω_X^0 .

Setting the IR cut-off to the Hubble radius, $L = H^{-1}$, from the holographic principle (9), we get the holographic dark energy density

$$\rho_X = 3c^2 M_p^2 H^2 \quad (13)$$

In this context, the first Friedmann equation (3) yields the matter energy density of the form

$$\rho_m = 3M_p^2 H^2 \left[\left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^2 - c^2 \right]. \quad (14)$$

To know some constraints on the free parameter c , in the torsion-free limit $\dot{\phi} = 0$, let us have a brief analysis on (14). In this limit, the matter density $\rho_m = 3M_p^2 H^2(1 - c^2)$ exhibits manifestly a physical scaling behavior if $c^2 < 1$, but when $c^2 = 1$, $\rho_m = 0$, and hence unphysical. The fact that $1 - c^2 = \rho_m/(3M_p^2 H^2) \geq 0$, gives an upper bound, $c^2 \leq 1$. Thus, in order that the torsion-free limit where matter resides is physical, it is required that $c^2 \neq 1$, that is, the fact that $\rho_m \neq 0$ implies the constraint, $c^2 < 1$.

For the non-zero torsion $\dot{\phi} \neq 0$, because even for $c^2 = 1$, $\rho_m \neq 0$ as seen in (14), the case $c^2 = 1$ may be physical. Furthermore, from the holographic dark energy density (13), the effective density parameter for dark energy becomes

$$\Omega_X = \frac{\rho_X}{\rho_c} = \frac{c^2}{\left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^2}. \quad (15)$$

Differentiating this effective density parameter (15) with respect to $\ln a$, we obtain

$$\frac{\Omega'_X}{\Omega_X(1-\Omega_X)} = -\frac{4\left(1+2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)}{\left(1+2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H}\right)^2 - c^2} F(\dot{\phi}, \phi, H, q), \quad (16)$$

where $F(\dot{\phi}, \phi, H, q) = \dot{\phi}/H^2 + (1+q)\phi/H$. Here $q \equiv -\ddot{a}a/\dot{a}^2$ is the deceleration parameter, an observable quantity. Putting (16) into (12), we arrive at

$$\omega_X^0 = \omega_m^0 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{\left(1+2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0}\right)^2 - c^2} F(\dot{\phi}_0, \phi_0, H_0, q_0). \quad (17)$$

To obtain the current equation of state ω_X^0 from (17), we have to know the specific form of the scalar torsion which is consistent with cosmological data. However, because it cannot be precisely determined in this framework, we consider three cases: (1) constant, (2) steady-state, and (3) time-dependent scalar torsion, coming from the spin contribution of ordinary matter.

First, we consider the simplest case of constant scalar torsion, $\phi = \text{constant}$. This makes sense because this constant torsion can also affect the dynamics of the universe via the second Friedmann equation (4). Thus, the equation of state (17) is simplified to

$$\omega_X^0 = \omega_m^0 + \frac{4}{3} \frac{\frac{\phi_0}{H_0}(1+q_0)}{\left(1+2\frac{\phi_0}{H_0}\right)^2 - c^2}. \quad (18)$$

Assuming dust matter with $\omega_m^0 = 0$ and using direct observational data for $q_0 = -0.51$ from SHOES (2022) [18], there are possible solutions for both acceleration and non-acceleration in a weak torsion range $|\phi_0|/H_0 < 1$, as shown in Fig. 1. Hence, it is imperative to determine a range of ϕ_0/H_0 that matches the observed data.




FIG. 1. Solutions of ω_X^0 for (18) with the constant torsion for various values of the free parameter $c = 0.5$ (red), $c = 0.886$ (blue), $c = 0.95$ (green), and $c = 1$ (purple) within $\phi_0/H_0 < 1$.

Inspired by Kranas et al.'s analysis [13], the range of the ϕ_{BBN}/H_{BBN} during the Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN) is obtained in Appendix (27),

$$-0.006316 \leq \frac{\phi_{BBN}}{H_{BBN}} \leq 0.022487, \quad (19)$$

since the scalar torsion is constant, so $\phi_0 = \phi_{BBN}$ at the present time, we get

$$\frac{\phi_0}{H_0} = \frac{\phi_{BBN}}{H_0} = \left(\frac{H_{BBN}}{H_0}\right) \frac{\phi_{BBN}}{H_{BBN}}, \quad (20)$$

As a result, $H_{BBN} \sim 10^{-2} s^{-1} \sim 10^{17} \text{km/s/Mpc}$, combined with $H_0 \sim 73 \text{km/s/Mpc}$ from SHOES [18], getting $(H_{BBN}/H_0) \sim 10^{15}$ results in $|\phi_0|/H_0 \lesssim 10^{13}$. Thus, this analysis using the constant scalar torsion does not provide a strong constraint on current cosmological bound by (19) and (20).

For the steady-state torsion for which ϕ/H is constant, since $\phi_0/H_0 = \phi_{BBN}/H_{BBN}$, from (19), the same constraint on current cosmological bound, $-0.006316 \leq \phi_0/H_0 \leq 0.022487$ can be imposed. However, in this case, $\Omega_X = \text{constant}$ (15), and hence $\Omega'_X = 0$. Thus the equation of state (12) reduce to $\omega_X^0 = \omega_m^0$. Therefore the steady-state torsion must be ruled out.

There are many choices for the time-dependent scalar torsion, but any choice must be consistent with (14). For an empty space, $\rho_m = 0$, (14) leads to $\phi = -(1 \pm c)H/2$, which suggests a H -dependence on the scalar torsion. However, with $\rho_m \neq 0$, since the spin of matter play a role as the physical source of the torsion, a ρ_m -dependent scalar torsion is also a much more realistic choice, like in [19]. Motivated by these two physical choices, we propose a time dependent scalar torsion:

$$\phi(t) \equiv kH(t) \left(\frac{\rho_m(t)}{\rho_c^0} \right), \quad (21)$$

where k is a dimensionless constant and $\rho_c^0 = 3M_p^2 H_0^2$ is the current critical density. Since the present torsion behaves $\phi_0 \sim \rho_m^0/H_0$ from (21), our proposal is consistent with ρ_m constrained by the first Friedman equation with the holographic principle (14). Note that although $\phi(t)$ becomes zero in (21) as $\rho_m = 0$ in empty space, this issue is resolved since c can be unity due to the holographic dark energy, aligning our proposal with (14).

Using the time-derivative of scalar torsion (21) and the continuity equation for matter (8), we get

$$\dot{\phi} = -H\phi \left[q + 3 + (1 + 3\omega_m) \left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}}{H} \right) \right]. \quad (22)$$

From (17) with (22), we get the current equation of state for the dark energy as the function of ϕ_0/H_0 including ω_m^0 and c :

$$\omega_X^0 = \omega_m^0 \left[1 - 4 \frac{\frac{\phi_0}{H_0} \left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0} \right)}{\left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0} \right)^2 - c^2} \right] - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\frac{\phi_0}{H_0} \left(3 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0} \right)}{\left(1 + 2\frac{\dot{\phi}_0}{H_0} \right)^2 - c^2}. \quad (23)$$

With dust matter, $\omega_m^0 = 0$, given positive ϕ_0/H_0 , from (23), the range of ω_X^0 is limited to $-1 < \omega_X^0 < 0$, as shown in Fig. 2. Therefore, in this case, there must exist solutions achievable for the accelerating expansion.

On the other hand, a negative ϕ_0/H_0 in the weak torsion range results in two types of solution, but, it behaves like phantom dark energy with $\omega_X^0 < -1$, or leads to no acceleration with $\omega_X^0 > 0$. Thus, it cannot be an appropriate candidate. Therefore, in positive ϕ_0/H_0 , more detailed investigations are needed for the behavior of ω_X^0 .




FIG. 2. Possible solutions of ω_X^0 with the time-dependent scalar torsion (21) for various values of the free parameter $c = 0.5$ (red), $c = 0.886$ (blue), $c = 0.95$ (green), and $c = 1$ (purple) within the weak torsion range $|\phi_0/H_0| < 1$.

For positive ϕ_0/H_0 , there clearly exist minima $(\omega_X^0)_{min}$ at $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ for various $c \neq 1$, denoted by black dots, as shown in Fig. 3. Specifically, we find

$$\left(\frac{\phi_0}{H_0}\right)_{min} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - c^2) + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(1 - c^2)(4 - c^2)}, \quad (24)$$

$$(\omega_X^0)_{min} = -\frac{2}{3} - \frac{1}{3(2 - c^2 + \sqrt{(1 - c^2)(4 - c^2)})}. \quad (25)$$




FIG. 3. In a close-up of Fig. 2, for $\phi_0/H_0 \geq 0$, minima of ω_X^0 (black dots) exist at $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$. Note that for $c = 1$, the red dot indicates not a minimum but $\omega_X^0 = -1$ at $\phi_0/H_0 = 0$. The inset figure shows the relation between $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ and c . In the range $0.654 < c < 1$, there are solutions (pink) that match the assumption of weak torsion $|\phi_0/H_0| < 1$.

As shown in Table I, the validity of the weak torsion assumption $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min} < 1$ is justified in a range of $0.654 < c < 1$ via (24) and (25), and hence we find

TABLE I. Minima of ω_X^0 , $(\omega_X^0)_{min}$, in the positive weak torsion range $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min} < 1$ for various c .

	$c = 0.654$	$c = 0.886$	$c = 0.95$	$c = 0.99$	$c = 1$
$(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$	1	0.523	0.323	0.132	0
$(\omega_X^0)_{min}$	-0.778	-0.829	-0.869	-0.930	-1

$(\omega_X^0)_{min} < \omega_X^0 < -2/3$ as ϕ_0/H_0 goes from $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ to ∞ . Consequently, if $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ is not too small, we obtain a range of $-1 < (\omega_X^0)_{min} < -0.778$ as c changes from 1 to 0.654, as shown in Table I. Thus, our time-dependent scalar torsion could provide a new theoretical foundation compatible with the observational value of the current equation of state for dark energy, $\omega_{DE}^0 \approx -1$.

Conclusions — We have reconstructed the holographic dark energy model with the scalar torsion, assuming no interaction between dark energy and dark matter, and examined three cases of constant torsion, steady-state torsion and time-dependent scalar torsion proportional to the matter energy density ρ_m . Setting the Hubble radius as the IR cut-off, we demonstrated that weak torsion, $|\phi|/H < 1$, can drive the accelerating expansion of the universe.

The constant torsion allows both acceleration and non-acceleration. The analysis, using the primordial helium-4 abundance during BBN, has resulted in a large range for $|\phi_0/H_0$, which does not restrict any strong constraint on the current observational bounds. In contrast, the steady-state torsion behaves like matter, making it an unsuitable candidate for dark energy.

For the time-dependent scalar torsion, both acceleration and non-acceleration solutions are allowed. In the positive torsion region, the equation of state is restricted to $-1 < \omega_X^0 < 0$, and there exist minima of ω_X^0 at $\phi_0/H_0 = (\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$. For $(\phi_0/H_0)_{min}$ to be consistent with the weak torsion assumption, the free parameter c must be larger than 0.654. Consequently, minima of the current equation of state for dark energy exist in the range $-1 < (\omega_X^0)_{min} < -0.778$ as a free parameter c changes from 1 to 0.654.

Our reconstructing model explains the accelerating expansion using the Hubble radius as the IR cut-off, avoiding pathological problems of the future event horizon such as causality and circular logic issues. Our approach could make the holographic dark energy model viable, offering a new theoretical foundation compatible with the current observational value $\omega_{DE} \approx -1$. In particular, setting the Hubble radius as the IR cut-off, we would like to emphasize that this model with scalar torsion provides a non-interacting limit that does not exist in the results of previous interacting studies. Moreover, since our analysis is consistent with the weak torsion assumption, we expect that it is applicable to sensitive problems such as cosmic coincidences and Hubble tension.

Acknowledgments — This work was supported by the Daejin University Research Grants in 2025.

Appendix — This appendix derives the range of the dimensionless ratio $\alpha(t) = \phi(t)/H(t)$ during the BBN occurring in the radiation-dominated era. Adding the radiation energy density ρ_γ , the Friedman equation (3) becomes, $3M_p^2 H_{BBN}^2 (1 + 2\alpha_{BBN})^2 = \rho_m + \rho_X + \rho_\gamma \simeq \rho_\gamma$.

At the freeze-out temperature T , referred to Kranas et al.'s analysis [13], we get the relation $T = \tilde{T}(1 + 2\alpha_{BBN})^{-1/3}$. Here, the tilde denotes torsion-free quantity, $\tilde{T} = T(\alpha_{BBN} = 0)$. Using the relation between the frozen-in neutron-to-proton ratio N and temperature T , $N = \exp[-\Delta/T]$ with the neutron-proton mass difference Δ , we get $\ln N/\ln \tilde{N} = (1 + 2\alpha_{BBN})^{1/3}$. The primordial helium-4 abundance Y is related to N by $Y = 2N/(1 + N)$. With $\tilde{Y} = Y(\alpha_{BBN} = 0)$, α_{BBN} is expressed as

$$\alpha_{BBN} = \frac{\phi_{BBN}}{H_{BBN}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(\frac{\ln \{(2/Y) - 1\}}{\ln \{(2/\tilde{Y}) - 1\}} \right)^3 - 1 \right]. \quad (26)$$

Using Y reported from direct and indirect observations as $Y_{direct} = 0.2449 \pm 0.004$ [20] and $Y_{indirect} = 0.2471 \pm 0.0003$ [21], respectively, we could reasonably infer that some direct observations might exhibit torsion effects. Although, it can be confidently stated that indirect observations such as the Planck measurements do not include its effects. An observational bound on the scalar torsion is obtained by combining $Y = Y_{direct}$ as data including torsion effects with $\tilde{Y} = Y_{indirect}$ as standard zero-torsion data. For $0.2409 \leq Y \leq 0.2489$, combining with $\tilde{Y} = 0.2471$ in (26), the range of the ϕ_{BBN}/H_{BBN} is obtained as

$$-0.006316 \leq \frac{\phi_{BBN}}{H_{BBN}} \leq 0.022487. \quad (27)$$

This result leads to a strong observational constraint on the dimensionless ratio ϕ/H , and is consistent with the weak torsion assumption, $|\phi_{BBN}|/H_{BBN} < 1$.

-
- [1] Adam G. Riess et al., “Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant,” *Astron. J.*, **116**, 1009-1038 (1998).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/300499>
- [2] S. Perlmutter et al., “Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae,” *Astrophys. J.*, **517**, 565 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1086/307221>
- [3] Hu J-P, and Wang F-Y., “Hubble Tension: The Evidence of New Physics,” *Universe*. 9(2):94 (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/universe9020094>
- [4] Edmund J. Copeland, M. Sami, and S. Tsujikawa., “Dynamics of dark energy,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*15:1753-1936, (2006).
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S021827180600942X>
- [5] Juan M. Maldacena, “The Large-N Limit of Superconformal Field Theories and Supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.*2:231-252, (1998).
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A%3A1026654312961>
- [6] A. G. Cohen, D. B. Kaplan, and A. E. Nelson, “Effective Field Theory, Black Holes, and the Cosmological Constant,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 4971 (1999).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.4971>
- [7] M. Li, “A model of holographic dark energy,” *Phys. Lett. B* 603, 1-5 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2004.10.014>
- [8] J. W. Lee, H. C. Kim and J. Lee, “Holographic Dark Energy and Quantum Entanglement,” *J. Korean Phys. Soc.* 74, 1–11 (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.74.1>
- [9] D. Pavon, and W. Zimdahl, “Holographic dark energy and cosmic coincidence,” *Phys. Lett. B* 628, 206-210 (2005).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2005.08.134>
- [10] S. Li, and Y. Chen, “Reconstructing Torsion Cosmology from Interacting Holographic Dark Energy Model,” *Universe*. 9(2):100 (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/universe9020100>
- [11] L. Acedo, “Autoparallel vs. Geodesic Trajectories in a Model of Torsion Gravity,” *Universe*, 1(3), 422-445 (2015).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/universe1030422>
- [12] K. Pasmatsiou, C. G. Tsagas, and J. D. Barrow, “Kinematics of Einstein-Cartan universes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 104007 (2017).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.104007>
- [13] D. Kranas et al., “Friedmann-like universes with torsion,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79:341 (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-6822-4>
- [14] M. Tsamparlis, “Methods for deriving solutions in generalized theories of gravitation: The Einstein-Cartan theory,” *Phys. Rev. D* **24**, 1451 (1981).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.24.1451>
- [15] Gao S. “Explaining Holographic Dark Energy,” *Galaxies*. 1(3):180-191 (2013).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/galaxies1030180>
- [16] J. W. Lee, J. Lee, and H. C. Kim, “Dark energy from vacuum entanglement,” *JCAP* 0708:005 (2007).
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2007/08/005>
- [17] Q. G. Huang, and M. Li, “The Holographic dark energy in a non-flat universe,” *JCAP* 08 013 (2004).
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2004/08/013>
- [18] Adam G. Riess et al., “A comprehensive measurement of the local value of the Hubble constant with 1 km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹ uncertainty from the Hubble Space Telescope and the SH0ES team,” *ApJL* **934** L7 (2022).
<https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac5c5b>
- [19] S. H. Pereira et al., “Acceleration in Friedmann cosmology with torsion,” *Eur. Phys. J. C* 79:950 (2019).
<https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-019-7462-4>
- [20] R.H. Cyburt, B.D. Fields, K.A. Olive, and T.-H. Yeh, “Big bang Nucleosynthesis: Present status,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **88**, 015004 (2016).
<https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.88.015004>
- [21] Planck Collaboration, “Planck 2018 results - VI. Cosmological parameters,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
<https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910>