

# PERMUTON LIMITS FOR SOME PERMUTATIONS AVOIDING A SINGLE PATTERN

KAITLYN HOHMEIER<sup>•</sup> AND ERIK SLIVKEN<sup>◦</sup>

## Abstract

Permutons are probability measures on the unit square with uniform marginals that provide a natural way to describe limits of permutations. We are interested in the permuton limits for permutations sampled uniformly from certain pattern-avoiding classes that are in bijection with the class of permutations avoiding the increasing pattern of length  $d + 1$ . In particular, we will look at a family of permutations whose permuton limit collapses to the unique permuton supported on the line  $x + y = 1$  in the unit square, informally known as the anti-diagonal. We prove some general properties about permutons to aid our efforts, which may be useful for proving permuton limits that converge to the anti-diagonal for a broader range of permutation classes.

## 1. Introduction

What does a permutation sampled uniformly at random from a class of pattern-avoiding permutations look like? Some early work on this question comes from Miner and Pak, and separately Madras and Pehlivan [11, 10] whose key result proved the probability that a point of a uniformly random 321-avoiding permutation lands far off the diagonal decays exponentially in  $n$ . Hoffman, Rizzolo, and Slivken [5] showed that the points of these permutations converge, with some appropriate scaling, to Brownian excursion and its reflection. Madras and Pehlivan [9] and later Hoffman, Rizzolo, and Slivken [6] extended their respective results to permutations avoiding fixed monotone patterns of size larger than 3. In particular, Madras and Pehlivan first showed that with high probability, there are no points far away from the anti-diagonal for a uniformly random permutation avoiding an increasing pattern of fixed size.

Our main goal is to expand on the results of [9] using the language of permutons [4, 8] in conjunction with a bijection between certain classes of pattern-avoiding permutations introduced and proven by Backelin, West, and Xin [7]. A permuton is a probability measure on the unit square with uniform marginals. The space of permutons, denoted  $\mathcal{M}$ , forms a compact metric space in which we can describe weak limits of sequences of permutons. Specifically, we are interested in the permuton limits for permutations sampled uniformly from certain pattern-avoiding classes that are in bijection with the class of permutations avoiding the increasing pattern of length  $d + 1$ .

---

<sup>•</sup> University of North Carolina Chapel Hill

<sup>◦</sup> University of North Carolina Wilmington

Email: kaith@unc.edu, slivkene@uncw.edu .

**1.1. Definitions.** Before stating our main result, we first introduce some definitions and notation. Denote the set of all permutations of size  $n$  by  $S_n$ . Let  $\pi$  be a permutation in  $S_m$  and  $\sigma$  a permutation in  $S_n$ , where  $m \leq n$ . We say  $\sigma$  contains a copy of  $\pi$  if there exists a sequence of indices  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m \leq n$  such that the relative order of  $\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_m)$  is the same as  $\pi$ . If  $\sigma$  contains no copies of  $\pi$ , we say  $\sigma$  is  $\pi$ -avoiding. We denote the set of  $\pi$ -avoiding permutations of size  $n$  by  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\pi)$ .

We let  $\sigma^r$ ,  $\sigma^c$ , and  $\sigma^{rc}$  denote the reverse, complement, and reverse-complement of a permutation  $\sigma$ , where  $\sigma^r(i) = \sigma(n+1-i)$ ,  $\sigma^c(i) = n+1-\sigma(i)$ , and  $\sigma^{rc}(i) = n+1-\sigma(n+1-i)$ . Each of these operations can be viewed as bijections on the set of permutations. More importantly, they play quite nicely with the subset of pattern avoiding permutations in that  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(\pi)$  if and only if  $\sigma^r \in \mathbf{Av}_n(\pi^r)$  if and only if  $\sigma^c \in \mathbf{Av}_n(\pi^c)$  thus inducing a bijection between any two of the sets  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\pi)$ ,  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\pi^r)$ ,  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\pi^c)$ , and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\pi^{rc})$ .

Let  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  be two permutations in  $S_k$  and  $S_{k'}$  respectively. Their direct sum, denoted  $\pi \oplus \pi'$  is a permutation on  $S_{k+k'}$  defined pointwise as

$$\pi \oplus \pi'(i) = \begin{cases} \pi(i), & 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ k + \pi'(i - k), & k < i \leq k + k' \end{cases}.$$

Similarly, we define the skew sum of the two permutations, denoted  $\pi \ominus \pi'$  and defined pointwise as

$$\pi \ominus \pi'(i) = \begin{cases} k + \pi(i), & 1 \leq i \leq k, \\ \pi'(i - k), & k < i \leq k + k' \end{cases}.$$

Let  $I_k = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $J_k = k, (k-1), \dots, 1$  denote the monotone increasing and decreasing patterns, respectively. The focus of our paper will be on permutation classes of the form  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$  where  $k_1$  and  $k_3$  are positive integers and  $k_2$  is a nonnegative integer. Setting  $k_1 = 1$  gives the permutation class  $\mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_2+1} \oplus J_{k_3})$  while setting  $k_3 = 1$  gives  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_2+1})$ .

A permuton,  $\mu$ , is a probability measure on the unit square with uniform marginals, that is, for  $0 \leq a \leq b \leq 1$ ,

$$\mu([a, b] \times [0, 1]) = \mu([0, 1] \times [a, b]) = b - a.$$

We let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the space of permutons equipped with the metric

$$d_{\square}(\mu, \nu) = \sup_{E \in \mathcal{B}} |\mu(E) - \nu(E)|,$$

where  $\mathcal{B}$  denotes Borel measurable subsets of  $[0, 1]^2$ . Given a permutation  $\sigma_n$  of size  $n$ , we define the associated permuton  $\mu_{\sigma_n}$  on  $E \in \mathcal{B}$  by

$$\mu_{\sigma_n}(E) = n \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Leb}([(i-1)/n, i/n] \times [(\sigma_n(i)-1)/n, \sigma_n(i)/n] \cap E),$$

where  $\text{Leb}$  denotes two-dimensional Lebesgue measure. A sequence of permutations  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is said to have a permuton limit,  $\mu$ , if the corresponding permutons  $\mu_{\sigma_n}$  converge weakly to  $\mu$  with respect to the weak topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\{\sigma_n\}$  is a sequence of random permutations we say  $\mu_{\sigma_n}$  converges to the random permuton  $\mu$  where the convergence is in distribution with respect to the topology of weak convergence under the metric  $d_{\square}$ . If this random limit,  $\mu$ , is in fact deterministic, then  $\mu_{\sigma_n}$  converges almost surely to  $\mu$ .

We will describe classes of permutations whose corresponding permuton limits converge to the unique permuton whose support is given by the line  $x + y = 1$ . We denote this permuton by  $\mu_J$  and informally call it the anti-diagonal. Our results readily translate to a few other classes whose permuton limit is the unique permuton, denoted  $\mu_I$ , supported on the line  $x - y = 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Fix nonnegative integers  $k_1, k_2, k_3$ , with  $k_1$  and  $k_3$  both strictly positive. For  $\pi_n$  chosen uniformly at random from  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$ , the corresponding permuton  $\mu_{\pi_n}$  satisfies*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\pi_n} = \mu_J$$

where the convergence is almost sure with respect to the topology of weak convergence.

We first define some more useful notation and then give an outline of the proof.

We often wish to translate between continuous domains and discrete domains. For  $0 \leq a < c \leq n$  and  $0 \leq b < d \leq n$ , let

$$R((a, b), (c, d)) = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : a \leq x < c, b \leq y < d\}$$

denote the axes-aligned continuous rectangle with corners at  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$ , with  $R(c, d) = R((0, 0), (c, d))$  for short. The  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of  $R(n, n)$  is the rectangle  $R((i - 1, 0), (i, n))$  and the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row of  $R(n, n)$  is the rectangle  $R((0, j - 1), (n, j))$ . The  $(i, j)^{\text{th}}$  box in  $R(n, n)$  is the intersection of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column and the  $j^{\text{th}}$  row. For a subset of points with nonnegative coordinates,  $A = (i_k, j_k)_{k=1}^m$ , we let

$$\text{SW}(A) = \bigcup_{k=1}^m R(i_k, j_k).$$

If  $A$  forms a decreasing sequence, the set  $\text{SW}(A)$  will be called a Young diagram. We often view a permutation  $\sigma$  of size  $n$  as a subset of  $R(n, n)$  by filling the squares  $R((i - 1, \sigma(i) - 1), (i, \sigma(i)))$  within the rectangle  $R(n, n)$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we define three subregions of  $R(n, n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\epsilon, n}^+ &:= \{(x, y) \in R(n, n) : x + y > (1 + \epsilon)n\}, \\ W_{\epsilon, n}^- &:= \{(x, y) \in R(n, n) : x + y < (1 - \epsilon)n\}. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$W_{\epsilon, n} := W_{\epsilon, n}^+ \cup W_{\epsilon, n}^-$$

Given a subregion  $X_n \subset R(n, n)$ , we let  $\frac{1}{n}X_n = \{(x/n, y/n) : (x, y) \in X_n\}$  denote the region of  $[0, 1]^2$  that is similar in shape, relative location, and orientation to  $X_n$ . In particular, we let  $W_\epsilon^+ = \frac{1}{n}W_{\epsilon, n}^+$  and define  $W_\epsilon^-$  and  $W_\epsilon$  analogously.

**Sketch of Proof.** The proof of our main theorem proceeds as follows. In Section 2 we discuss useful properties of a type of bijection proven in Theorem 2.1 in [7] which establishes that for any pattern  $\tau$ ,  $\mathbf{Av}_n(I_k \oplus \tau)$  is in bijection with  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_k \oplus \tau)$ . Starting from  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3})$ , using either a Backelin-West-Xin bijection or the reverse complement, we get a sequence of permutations

$$\sigma \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \rho^{rc} \rightarrow \pi^{rc} \rightarrow \pi,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3}), & \rho^{rc} &\in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1}), \\ \pi^{rc} &\in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1}), & \pi &\in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3}). \end{aligned}$$

For the bijection sending  $\sigma$  to  $\rho$  we say there is a *frozen region* in  $R(n, n)$  where  $\rho(i) = j$  if and only if  $\sigma(i) = j$  for squares  $(i, j)$  in this region. If  $X$  is contained in this frozen region then  $\mu_\sigma(\frac{1}{n}X) = \mu_\rho(\frac{1}{n}X)$ . The bijection from  $\rho^{rc}$  to  $\pi^{rc}$  also has a similar frozen region.

In Section 3 we prove some general lemmas about permutons. Lemma 3.1 says that if  $\mu(W_\delta^+) < \delta$  then for some appropriate  $\epsilon$ ,  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$ . Lemma 3.2 shows that if  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for every  $\epsilon$ , then  $\mu = \mu_J$ .

In Section 4 we explore the results in [6], where it is proven, among other results, that the points of  $\sigma$  can be partitioned into a collection of  $d = k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - 1$  decreasing sequences which are not too far from the line  $x + y = n + 1$ . We establish Proposition 4.1 which we then use to show that for certain fixed  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$ , if  $n$  is sufficiently large, the frozen regions for the bijections from  $\sigma$  to  $\rho$  and from  $\rho^{rc}$  to  $\pi^{rc}$  contain  $W_{\delta, n}^+$  and  $W_{\delta', n}^+$ , respectively. Moreover we have that  $\mu_\sigma(W_\delta^+) < \delta$  and  $\mu_{\rho^{rc}}(W_{\delta'}^+) < \delta'$ , which combined with Lemma 3.1 eventually shows that  $\mu_\pi(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for some  $\epsilon$  which can be made arbitrarily small if  $\delta$  and  $\delta'$  are sufficiently small.


Thus for a sequence of permutations  $\{\pi_n\}$  with  $\pi_n \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$  chosen uniformly at random, the permutons  $\mu_{\pi_n}$  will have a limit  $\mu$  that must satisfy  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  almost surely. Applying Lemma 3.2 says that this limit is almost surely  $\mu_J$ .

## 2. Backelin-West-Xin Bijection

In this section, we discuss the bijection from [7], with some adjustments to their notation as shown in [2]. First, we define the notions of Wilf-equivalence and shape-Wilf equivalence. Let  $S_n(\sigma)$  denote the set of permutations of size  $n$  that do not contain an occurrence of a permutation  $\sigma$ . We say that two permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are *Wilf-equivalent*, denoted  $\sigma \sim_W \sigma'$ , if  $|S_n(\sigma)| = |S_n(\sigma')|$ . Hence, under this definition all length 3-avoiding permutations are Wilf equivalent, since for all  $\tau \in S_3$ , we have  $|S_n(\tau)| = C_n$ , the  $n$ th Catalan number.

For shape-Wilf equivalence, consider a Young diagram  $\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  with  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_i \geq n - i + 1$ . We define  $L$  a *traversal* of  $\lambda$  as a filling of boxes of  $\lambda$  with zeros and ones, with exactly one 1 in each row and column; for our purposes, we will illustrate ones with dots, corresponding to entries of a permutation, and zeros with empty boxes. Given  $\sigma \in S_m$ , let  $M_\sigma$  denote the traversal of the  $m \times m$  Young diagram given by  $\sigma$ . We say that  $L$  *contains* a copy of  $\sigma$  if  $M_\sigma$  is present in  $L$  when restricting to rows  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_m$  and columns  $c_1 < c_2 < \dots < c_m$ . Hence, we view the pattern as being present only if it is contained in a complete rectangle contained within the Young diagram. For example, in Figure 1a, although it appears that a 21 pattern is present, by this definition of pattern containment the traversal of the Young diagram does not contain the 21 pattern since it is not contained in a rectangle within the bounding Young diagram. In contrast, the Young diagram in Figure 1b does contain copies of a 21 pattern, as they are contained in the shaded rectangles. On the other hand, we say that  $L$  *avoids*  $\sigma$  if  $L$  contains no copy of  $\sigma$ . Let  $S_\lambda(\sigma)$  denote the traversals of  $\lambda$  that avoid  $\sigma$ . Two permutations  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are *shape-Wilf equivalent*, denoted  $\sigma \sim_{sW} \sigma'$ , if  $|S_\lambda(\sigma)| = |S_\lambda(\sigma')|$  for all Young diagrams  $\lambda$ .

For example, the shape-Wilf equivalence classes for length 3 permutations are  $\{(213, 132), \{123, 231, 321\}$ , and  $\{312\}$ .



(A) A Young diagram traversed by the permutation 3457612. The region shaded in blue does not contain the pattern 21, as it is not contained within a rectangle within the Young diagram.

(B) A Young diagram traversed by the permutation 7546123. Here, the diagram does contain 21 patterns, as the rectangle containing the points of the pattern is within the Young diagram.

FIGURE 1. Two Young diagram examples, illustrating both pattern avoidance and pattern containment.

A result from [7] relates to the shape-Wilf equivalence of larger permutations that contain shape-Wilf equivalent patterns. We adapt to the notation shown in [2].


**Proposition 2.1** ([7]). *If  $\sigma \sim_{sW} \sigma'$ , then  $\sigma \oplus \tau \sim_{sW} \sigma' \oplus \tau$ .*

The proof of this proposition implies a bijection between  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\sigma \oplus \tau)$  and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\sigma' \oplus \tau)$  that fixes a large set of points for many of the permutations. This process is illustrated in Figures 2a, 2b, and 2c with a length 20 permutation. Fix  $\pi \in \mathbf{Av}_n(\sigma \oplus \tau)$ ; we color the box  $(i, j) \in [n]^2$  white if there is an occurrence of  $\tau$  using points  $(i', \pi(i'))$  where  $i' > i$  and  $\pi(i') > j$ . Otherwise we color the box blue. Let  $\lambda = \lambda(\pi, \tau)$  denote the Young diagram obtained by deleting all white boxes and rows and columns that contain a point  $(i, \pi(i))$  in a white box. The points  $(i, \pi(i))$  that are colored blue form a traversal of  $\lambda$ , which we denote by  $\pi_\lambda$ . Now,  $\pi_\lambda \in S_\lambda(\sigma)$ . Since  $\sigma' \sim_{sW} \sigma$ , there exists a bijection from  $S_\lambda(\sigma)$  to  $S_\lambda(\sigma')$ . Let  $\pi'_\lambda$  denote the image under this bijection of  $\pi_\lambda$ . This induces a bijection between  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\sigma \oplus \tau)$  and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(\sigma' \oplus \tau)$ . As only the points in blue boxes are changed under this bijection, we call the white boxes the *frozen region* under this bijection.


Applying the Backelin-West-Xin bijection, for the example shown in Figure 2c, the permutation avoids the pattern 21 (by the previous discussion of pattern containment for Young diagrams).

The procedure that defines the bijection is significant because, using the Wilf-equivalence of  $I_k$  and  $J_k$  for any  $k$ , we obtain a particularly useful bijection, summarized in Proposition 2.2. Further, Backelin, West, and Xin prove that  $J_k$  is shape-Wilf equivalent to the pattern  $J_{k-m} \oplus I_m$ .

**Proposition 2.2** (Backelin-West-Xin Bijection). *For any fixed pattern  $\sigma$ , with  $\sigma \in S_m$ , there exists a bijection between the sets of pattern-avoiding permutations  $\mathbf{Av}_n(I_k \oplus \sigma)$  and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_k \oplus \sigma)$ .*



(A) Initial coloring step separating the blue and white boxes. (B) Coloring the rows and columns containing a point  $(i, \pi(i))$  in a white box.



(c) The final result, after applying the Backelin-West-Xin bijection. Note that the points in the blue region are permuted, while the white region is frozen, and so the points in this white region are fixed.

FIGURE 2. Illustration of coloring procedure in proof of Proposition 2.1 and the Backelin-West-Xin bijection.

### 3. Analytical Tools

The following proposition will be a useful tool in showing permutation collapse to the anti-diagonal.

**Lemma 3.1** (One-sided lemma). *Fix small positive  $\delta \in (0, .5)$ . If  $\mu$  is a permutation such that  $\mu(W_\delta^+) < \delta$ , then for  $\epsilon > 2/\log(\delta^{-1})$ ,  $\mu(W_\epsilon^-) < \epsilon$  and  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < 2\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we let  $\epsilon = 1/m$  where  $m < \log(\delta^{-1})/2$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ , consider the two strips  $V_i = [1 - (i+1)\epsilon, 1 - i\epsilon] \times [0, 1]$  and  $H_i = [0, 1] \times [i\epsilon, (i+1)\epsilon + \delta]$ . These




FIGURE 3. An example of a partition of  $H_i = H_i^- \cup H_i^\circ \cup H_i^+$  and  $V_i = V_i^+ \cup V_i^\circ \cup V_i^-$ . The blue shaded region represents  $W_\delta^+$  while the pink shaded region is  $W_\epsilon^-$ . Note that  $V_i^+ \subset W_\delta^+$ ,  $V_i^- \subset \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{m-2} H_j^-$ , and  $W_\epsilon^- \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-2} H_i^-$ .

satisfy  $\mu(V_i) = \epsilon$ ,  $\mu(H_i) = \epsilon + \delta$ . We partition these two sets into a union of disjoint sets,  $V_i = V_i^+ \cup V_i^\circ \cup V_i^-$  and  $H_i = H_i^+ \cup H_i^\circ \cup H_i^-$ , as shown in Figure 3), where:

- $V_i^\circ = H_i^\circ = V_i \cap H_i$ ,
- $V_i^+ = [1 - (i+1)\epsilon, 1 - i\epsilon] \times [(i+1)\epsilon + \delta, 1]$ ,
- $V_i^- = [1 - (i+1)\epsilon, 1 - i\epsilon] \times [0, i\epsilon]$ ,
- $H_i^+ = [1 - i\epsilon, 1] \times [i\epsilon, (i+1)\epsilon + \delta]$ ,
- $H_i^- = [0, 1 - (i+1)\epsilon] \times [i\epsilon, (i+1)\epsilon + \delta]$ .

The set  $W_\epsilon^-$  is a subset  $\bigcup_{0 \leq i \leq m-2} H_i^-$ . Thus the proof will follow by showing that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \mu(H_i^-) < \epsilon.$$

We claim that  $\mu(H_i^+) < 2^{i+1}\delta$ . The proof of this claim proceeds via induction. For  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$  we have

$$\mu(H_i) - \mu(V_i) = \mu(H_i^-) + \mu(H_i^\circ) + \mu(H_i^+) - \mu(V_i^-) - \mu(V_i^\circ) - \mu(V_i^+) = \delta.$$

Noting that  $\mu(H_i^\circ)$  and  $\mu(V_i^\circ)$  cancel each other out and  $\mu(V_i^+) < \delta$ , we have by rearranging terms

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(H_i^-) &= \delta + \mu(V_i^-) + \mu(V_i^+) - \mu(H_i^+) \\ &\leq \delta + \mu(V_i^-) + \delta \\ &= 2\delta + \mu(V_i^-). \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 0$ , we note that  $V_0^- = H_0^+ = \emptyset$  and thus

$$\mu(H_0^-) < 2\delta,$$

establishing the base case. For  $1 \leq i \leq m-2$ , suppose the claim holds for  $H_j^-$  where  $j < i$ . We observe that  $V_i^- \subset \bigcup_{0 \leq j < i} H_j^-$  and therefore  $\mu(V_i^-) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \mu(H_j^-)$ . Then by the

induction hypothesis we have

$$\mu(H_i^-) < 2\delta + \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} 2^{j+1}\delta = 2^{i+1}\delta,$$

and thus the claim holds for all  $0 \leq i \leq m-2$ . Then,

$$\mu(W_\epsilon^-) \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \mu(H_i^-) < \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} 2^{i+1}\delta < 2^m\delta.$$

If  $\epsilon > 2/\log(1/\delta)$ , then  $m < \log(1/\delta)$  and the above bound becomes

$$\mu(W_\epsilon^-) < \delta^{1-\log 2}.$$

For  $0 < \delta < 1$  we have  $\delta^{1-\log(2)} < 2/\log(1/\delta) < \epsilon$ , and thus we may conclude  $\mu(W_\epsilon^-) < \epsilon$ . For  $\delta < .5$  and  $\epsilon > 2/\log(1/\delta)$  we have that  $\delta < \epsilon$ . Furthermore,  $\mu(W_{2\epsilon}) < \mu(W_\epsilon^-) + \mu(W_\delta^+) < 2\epsilon$ . We note that as  $\delta$  approaches 0,  $2/\log(1/\delta)$  also approaches 0, and thus  $\epsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small by choosing sufficiently small  $\delta$ . ■

The following lemma shows that  $\mu_J$  is the unique permuton that satisfies  $\mu_J(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a permuton that satisfies  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for any choice of  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then  $\mu = \mu_J$ .*

*Proof.* Consider a rectangle  $R(x, y)$ . We will show that

$$\mu_J(R(x, y)) = \mu(R(x, y)).$$

To do so, we will establish the boundedness of  $\mu(R(x, y))$ .

Let  $x + y = 1 + k$  denote the anti-diagonal through the point  $(x, y)$ . If  $k < 0$ , then  $R(x, y)$  lies in  $W_\delta^-$  for  $\delta < |k|$  and thus  $\mu(R(x, y)) < \delta$ . Since  $\delta$  is arbitrarily small, we have the desired result. So, let  $k \geq 0$ .




FIGURE 4. Illustration of proof of Lemma 3.2.

*Lower bound:* Let  $0 < \delta < \frac{k}{2}$  be arbitrarily small. Consider a rectangle  $R((0, y - k + \delta), (1, y - \delta))$ . Then  $\mu(R((0, y - k + \delta), (1, y - \delta))) = k - 2\delta$ . The rectangle from  $(x, y - k + \delta)$



to  $(1, y - \delta)$  lies in  $W_\delta^+$  and hence has  $\mu$ -measure less than  $\delta$ . Thus,

$$\mu(R(x, y)) > \mu(R((0, y - k + \delta), (1, y - \delta))) - \delta = k - 3\delta.$$

*Upper bound:* Next, consider the region  $(R(x, y) \cap W_\delta^-) \cup R((0, y - k - \delta), (1, y + \delta))$ , which contains  $R(x, y)$ . Thus,

$$\mu(R(x, y)) < \mu(R(x, y) \cap W_\delta^-) + \mu(R((0, y - k - \delta), (1, y + \delta))) \leq \delta + k + 2\delta = k + 3\delta.$$

Hence,  $k - 3\delta < \mu(R(x, y)) < k + 3\delta$ ; since  $\delta$  was arbitrary,  $\mu(R(x, y)) = k = \mu_J(R(x, y))$ , as desired. ■

#### 4. Structure of permutations in $\mathbf{Av}_n(I_{d+1})$

We describe a canonical partition of  $[n]$  with respect to a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{d+1})$  in order to keep track of how points of  $\sigma$  in each part are moved around under a sequence of bijections. This partition and related properties follow by translating results in [6] for  $\tau \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{d+1})$  and looking at  $\sigma = \tau^c$ .

For a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{d+1})$  of size  $n$ , let  $A_\sigma^1 \subset [n]$  denote the left-to-right minima of  $\sigma$ . Then define recursively for  $l > 1$ ,  $A_\sigma^l$  to be the left-to-right minima of  $[n] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{l-1} A^i$  with respect to  $\sigma$ . This partitions  $[n]$  into  $d$  disjoint (possibly empty) subsets. This partition has the property that for  $l > 1$  and  $i \in A_\sigma^l$ , there exists a sequence of indices  $i_1 < \dots < i_l = i$  such that  $i_j \in A^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq l$  and  $\sigma(i_1) < \dots < \sigma(i_l)$ . This canonical partition does not guarantee that the existence of complete increasing sequence  $i_1 < \dots < i_l = i < \dots < i_d$  with  $i_j \in A^j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . However, we will show that for most permutations and most indices in  $[n]$  such a complete increasing sequence does exist.

We obtain a collection of  $d$  continuous functions  $P_\sigma = (f_\sigma^1, \dots, f_\sigma^d)$  by linearly interpolating between the points  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and

$$\left( \frac{i}{n+1}, \frac{\sigma(i) + i - (n+1)}{\sqrt{2dn}} \right)_{i \in A_\sigma^l}$$

for each  $l \in [d]$ . Translating the main theorem in [6] shows that this collection of functions converges in distribution to  $\Lambda = (\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^d)$  where the  $\lambda^l$ 's are each a Brownian bridge from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(1, 0)$  jointly conditioned to satisfy:

- (a)  $\lambda_l(0) = \lambda_l(1) = 0$  for all  $l \in [d]$ ,
- (b)  $\lambda_1(t) < \lambda_2(t) < \dots < \lambda_d(t)$ , for  $t \in (0, 1)$
- (c)  $\sum_{l=1}^d \lambda_l(t) = 0$ .

In [6] the authors show that almost all  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{d+1})$  satisfy the a number of useful properties, which we will translate to properties for  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{d+1})$ . We define  $j^l(i) := \max\{j \leq i : j \in A_\sigma^l\}$  unless  $A_\sigma^l$  does not contain any points less than or equal to  $i$ , in which case  $j^l(i)$  takes on the default value of 0.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and let  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{d+1})$  be chosen uniformly at random. The following properties hold asymptotically almost surely as  $n$  tends to infinity:*

- (a)  $\sup_{i \in [n]} |\sigma(i) + i - n - 1| < \epsilon n$ .

(b) For any  $1 \leq l \leq d$ , and  $i \in [\epsilon n, (1 - \epsilon)n]$ ,

$$i - j^l(i) < n^2.$$

(c) For  $1 \leq l < d$  and  $i \in A_\sigma^l \cap [\epsilon n, (1 - \epsilon)n]$ ,

$$\sigma(j^{l+1}(i)) - \sigma(i) > n^4.$$

*Proof.* The first part of the proposition follows from the boundedness of each of the  $\lambda^l$ s. The second part of the the proposition follows by using Lemma 4.10 in [6]. Lastly continuity and the spacing of the Brownian bridges  $\lambda^l(t) < \lambda^{l+1}(t)$  for  $t \neq 0, 1$  means that we can find a constant  $c > 0$  such that for  $t \in (\epsilon, 1 - \epsilon)$   $|\lambda^l(t) - \lambda^{l+1}(t)| > 0$ . Thus, for  $i, i'$  in  $A_\sigma^l$  and  $A_\sigma^{l+1}$ , respectively, satisfying  $|i - i'| = o(\sqrt{n})$ , for large enough  $n$ ,

$$|\sigma(i) + i - (\sigma(i') + i')|/\sqrt{n} > c/2$$

which implies the result. ■

The key takeaway from Proposition 4.1 is that for every  $i \in [\epsilon n, (1 - \epsilon)n]$ , there is a sequence  $i_1 < \dots < i_d$  with  $i_l \in A_\sigma^l$  such that  $\sigma(i_1) < \dots < \sigma(i_d)$ . This allows us to pin down the frozen region under certain Backelin-West-Xin bijections we use.

We start with a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3})$  (suppressing the subscript  $n$  for readability). We let  $\rho \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3})$  denote the image of  $\sigma$  via the Backelin-West-Xin bijection defined in Section 2. Then  $\rho^{rc} \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1})$  and we let  $\pi^{rc} \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1})$  denote the image of  $\rho^{rc}$  under the Backelin-West-Xin bijection. Finally we end up with  $\pi \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$  by taking the reverse complement of  $\pi^{rc}$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Fix  $\epsilon > 0$  and integers  $k_1 > 0$ ,  $k_2 \geq 0$ , and  $k_3 > 0$ . If  $\pi \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$  is chosen uniformly at random, then a.a.s.*

$$\mu_\pi(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3})$  and let  $\rho$  be the image of  $\sigma$  under the Backelin-West-Xin bijection. We use Proposition 4.1, where  $\delta$  is playing the role of  $\epsilon$  in the proposition. For any square not in  $\text{SW}(A_\sigma^{k_1}, \sigma)$ , there can be no copy of  $I_{k_2} \oplus I_{k_3}$  in  $\sigma$  northwest of that square. Thus, the frozen region under the bijection from  $\sigma$  to  $\rho$  will contain the complement of  $\text{SW}(A_\sigma^{k_1}, \sigma)$ . That is, for  $(i, j) \notin \text{SW}(A_\sigma^{k_1}, \sigma)$ ,  $\rho(i) = j$  if and only if  $\sigma(i) = j$ . In particular, all points of the form  $\{(i, \sigma(i)) : i \in A_\sigma^l\}_{l \geq k_1}$  are contained in the frozen region. It is possible the frozen region may contain some points outside of this region's complement as well, as shown in Figure 5. Note that by Proposition 4.1, a.a.s., the frozen region also contains the region

$$W_{n,\delta} = \{(x, y) \in R((0, 0), (n, n)) : x + y > (1 + \delta)n\},$$

which contains no points of the form  $(i, \sigma(i))$  and therefore no points of the form  $(i, \rho(i))$ .

Let  $\rho^{rc}$  denote the reverse complement of  $\rho$ , so that  $\rho^{rc} \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1})$ . Let  $\gamma^{rc} \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1})$  denote the image of  $\rho^{rc}$  under the Backelin-West-Xin bijection. For each  $1 \leq l < k_1 + k_2 + k_3$  define the corresponding subset of the indices of  $\rho$  via

$$B_{\rho^{rc}}^l = \{n + 1 - i : i \in A_\sigma^{k_1 + k_2 + k_3 - l}\}.$$

For  $1 \leq l \leq k_3 + k_2$  we have that if  $i \in B_{\rho^{rc}}^l$  then there exists an increasing sequence of points  $\{(i_j, \rho^{rc}(i_j))\}_{1 \leq j \leq k_2+k_3}$  such that  $i = i_l$  and  $i_j \in B_{\rho^{rc}}^j$ . Here we have to be a bit careful. From Proposition 4.1, if  $i_l \in [2\delta n, (1 - 2\delta)n]$  then there will be an increasing sequence of points  $\{(i_j, \rho^{rc}(i_j))\}_{1 \leq j \leq l}$  where  $i_j \in B_{\rho^{rc}}^j$ . For  $i_l$  outside of this region it is possible that no such increasing sequence exists; see Figure 6.

Now consider the region

$$F_{\rho^{rc}} = \text{SW}(B_{\rho^{rc}}^{k_3}, \rho^{rc}) \cup R((0, 0), (2\delta n, n)) \cup R((0, 0), (n, 2\delta n)).$$




FIGURE 5. A permutation,  $\sigma \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_5)$ , and its image under the Backelin-West-Xin bijection,  $\rho \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_2 \oplus I_3)$ , with region  $\text{SW}(A_\sigma^2, \sigma)$  outlined by solid blue line. The frozen region under this bijection that is not shaded can contain the complement of  $\text{SW}(A_\sigma^2, \sigma)$  as the two lowest brown shaded points of  $A_\sigma^1$  do not have a copy of  $I_3$  north west of those squares.




FIGURE 6. The reverse complement of  $\rho, \rho^{rc} \in \mathbf{Av}_n(I_3 \oplus J_2)$ . The purple curve delineates the boundary of  $\text{SW}(B_{\rho^{rc}}^2, \rho^{rc})$ . The frozen region is contained in the complement of the purple shaded region and does contain some points in the complement of  $\text{SW}(B_{\rho^{rc}}^2, \rho^{rc})$ .

Any square not in  $F_{\rho^{rc}}$  will have an increasing sequence of points of size  $k_3$  terminating at a point  $(i, \rho^{rc}(i))$  with  $i \in B_{\rho^{rc}}^{k_3}$  occurring southwest of that square. Therefore, no pattern of the form  $I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1}$  can occur northeast of that square as  $\rho^{rc}$  avoids  $I_{k_3} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_1}$ . This implies the frozen region under the Backelin-West-Xin bijection from  $\rho^{rc}$  to  $\pi^{rc}$  contains the complement of  $F_{\rho^{rc}}$ . In particular, the region  $W_{n,2\delta} = \{(x, y) \in R((0,0), (n, n)) : x + y > (1 + 2\delta)n\}$  is contained in the complement of  $F_{\rho^{rc}}$ .

Now we may translate to permutons. Since asymptotically almost surely,  $W_{n,\delta}$  is in the frozen region under the bijection from  $\sigma$  to  $\rho$  we have that  $\mu_\sigma(W_\delta^+) = \mu_\rho(W_\delta^+) = 0$ . Letting  $\delta' = \max\{4/\log(\delta^{-1}), 2\delta\}$ , by Lemma 3.1

$$\mu_\rho(W_{\delta'}^-) < \delta',$$

and therefore

$$\mu_{\rho^{rc}}(W_{\delta'}^+) = \mu_{\pi^{rc}}(W_{\delta'}^+) < \epsilon'.$$

We chose  $\delta$ , so that  $\epsilon/2 = 4/\log(\delta'^{-1})$ . Then again by Lemma 3.1,  $\mu_{\pi^{rc}}(W_{\epsilon/2}^-) < \epsilon/2$ . Taking the reverse complement of  $\pi^{rc}$  gives  $\pi \in \mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$  whose associated permuton satisfies both

$$\mu_\pi(W_{\epsilon/2}^+) < \epsilon/2,$$

$$\mu_\pi(W_{\epsilon/2}^-) < \epsilon/2$$

and thus

$$\mu_\pi(W_\epsilon) \leq \mu_\pi(W_{\epsilon/2}) < \epsilon. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let  $\pi_n$  be chosen uniformly at random from  $\mathbf{Av}_n(J_{k_1} \oplus I_{k_2} \oplus J_{k_3})$ , and let  $\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{\pi_n}$ . By Lemma 4.2, for any  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  a.a.s.. By Lemma 3.2, the only permuton that satisfies  $\mu(W_\epsilon) < \epsilon$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  is  $\mu_J$ .  $\blacksquare$

## 5. Open Questions

Our results only look at some classes of permutation that are in bijection with those avoiding a monotone increasing sequence. However, Lemma 3.1 could be useful for showing permuton limits of  $\mu_J$  for a larger set of pattern-avoiding classes. It would be nice to understand more about the permuton limits for classes avoiding a single pattern of length 4. Initially we attempted to explore  $\gamma = 1342$  using the bijection developed by Bóna [3], though were unable to find the permuton. Understanding permuton limits for  $\mathbf{Av}_n(1342)$ ,  $\mathbf{Av}_n(1324)$  and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(2413)$  would complete the picture for permuton limits of classes avoiding a single pattern of size 4. We conjecture that both  $\mathbf{Av}_n(1342)$  and  $\mathbf{Av}_n(1324)$  have permuton limits of  $\mu_J$ , whereas for  $\mathbf{Av}_n(2413)$  the permuton limit is a bit of a mystery still. Observing that permutations in  $\mathbf{Av}_n(2413)$  have rotational symmetry shows that neither  $\mu_J$  nor  $\mu_I$  could be the permuton limit for this case. The permutation class  $\mathbf{Av}_n(2413, 3142)$  were shown to have a random permuton limit [1] which also suggests the permuton limits for the larger classes ( $\mathbf{Av}_n(2413)$  or  $\mathbf{Av}_n(3142)$ ) will be more complicated structures than what we explore in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program under Grant No. DGE-2040435.

## References

- [1] Frédérique Bassino, Mathilde Bouvel, Valentin Féray, Lucas Gerin, and Adeline Pierrot. The Brownian limit of separable permutations. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(4):2134–2189, 2018.
- [2] Andrew Baxter and Aaron D. Jaggard. Pattern avoidance by even permutations. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 18(2):Paper 28, 15, 2011.
- [3] Miklós Bóna. Exact enumeration of 1342-avoiding permutations: a close link with labeled trees and planar maps. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 80(2):257–272, 1997.
- [4] Roman Glebov, Andrzej Grzesik, Tereza Klimošová, and Daniel Král'. Finitely forcible graphons and permutons. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 110:112–135, 2015.
- [5] Christopher Hoffman, Douglas Rizzolo, and Erik Slivken. Pattern-avoiding permutations and Brownian excursion Part I: shapes and fluctuations. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 50(3):394–419, 2017.
- [6] Christopher Hoffman, Douglas Rizzolo, and Erik Slivken. Scaling limits of permutations avoiding long decreasing sequences. *arxiv*, 2023+.
- [7] Jorgen Backelin, Julian West, Guoce Xin. Wilf-Equivalence for Singleton Classes. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 38:133–148, 2007.
- [8] Richard Kenyon, Daniel Král', Charles Radin, and Peter Winkler. Permutations with fixed pattern densities. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 56(1):220–250, 2020.
- [9] Neal Madras and Lerna Pehlivan. Large deviations for permutations avoiding monotone patterns. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 23(4):Paper 4.36, 20, 2016.
- [10] Neal Madras and Lerna Pehlivan. Structure of random 312-avoiding permutations. *Random Structures Algorithms*, 49(3):599–631, 2016.
- [11] Sam Miner and Igor Pak. The shape of random pattern-avoiding permutations. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 55:86–130, 2014.