

Number of partitions of modular integers

David Broadhurst and Xavier Roulleau

Abstract

For integers n, k, s , we give a conjectural formula for the number $T(n, k, s)$ of order k subsets of the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ whose sum of elements is s modulo n . To do so, we describe explicitly a sequence of matrices $M(k)$, for positive integers k , such that the size of $M(k)$ is the number of divisors of k , and for two coprime integers k_1, k_2 , the matrix $M(k_1 k_2)$ is the Kronecker product of $M(k_1)$ and $M(k_2)$. For $s = 0, 1, 2$, and for $s = k/2$ when k is even, the sequences $T(n, k, s)$ are related to the number of necklaces with k black beads and $n - k$ white beads, and to Lyndon words. The conjecture has been tested against computations of $M(k)$ up to $k = 10000$.

David Broadhurst
The Open University
School of Physical Sciences
Milton Keynes MK76AA, UK
david.broadhurst@open.ac.uk

Xavier Roulleau
Université d'Angers
CNRS, LAREMA, SFR MATHSTIC
F-49000 Angers, France
xavier.roulleau@univ-angers.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	A sequence of matrices with remarkable properties	4
2.1	Definitions of the matrices $M(k)$	4
2.1.1	Matrices indexed by finite sets	4
2.1.2	Divisor indexed matrices	5
2.2	The matrices $M(k)$	5
2.3	Main properties of the matrices $M(k)$	6

3	The sequences $(T(n, k, s))_{n \geq k}$	8
3.1	Definitions and properties of the integers $T(n, k, s)$	8
3.2	The conjecture	10
4	Discussion of the conjecture	10
4.1	Last row of $M(k)$ and generating function of $T(n, k, 0)$	10
4.2	More evidence for the conjecture	11
4.3	The conjecture holds for prime k	12
5	Code	13
6	Further remarks and open questions	14
6.1	Proof of Barnes's formula	14
6.2	Necklaces and sequences $T(n, k, 0)$, $T(n, k, k/2)$	16
6.3	Lyndon words and sequences $T(n, k, 1)$, $T(n, k, 2)$	17
6.4	On the matrices $M(p^e)$ for p a prime number	18
7	OEIS sequences	19

1 Introduction

For integers n, k and s , with $n \geq k > 0$, let

$$S(n, k, s)$$

denote the set of order k subsets of the set of integers $\{1, \dots, n\}$ (which can also be seen as the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$) whose sum of elements is congruent to s modulo n . We denote by

$$T(n, k, s) = |S(n, k, s)|$$

the cardinality of $S(n, k, s)$. The aim of this paper is to describe a conjectural formula for $T(n, k, s)$ and to provide evidence supporting our conjecture.

To state the conjecture, we first introduce some definitions and notation. For q a power of a prime integer and for positive integers u, v dividing q , let us define

$$M(q)_{u,v} = \begin{cases} \mu(v/u)u, & \text{if } v > u; \\ \varphi(v), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where μ is the Möbius function and φ is Euler's totient function.

Conjecture 1. For integers n, k and s , with $n \geq k > 0$, one has

$$T(n, k, s) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(n,k)} (-1)^{k-k/d} M(k)_{\gcd(k,s),d} \binom{n/d}{k/d}$$

where $M(k)_{t,d}$ with $t|k$ and $d|k$ is the integer computed by factorizing k into prime powers $q = p^e$, with e the valuation of k at prime $p|k$, to give

$$M(k)_{t,d} = \prod_{q|k} M(q)_{\gcd(q,t), \gcd(q,d)}.$$

It is easy to show that $T(n, k, s)$ is equal to $T(n, k, \gcd(k, s))$. The integers $M(k)_{t,d}$ for $t|k, d|k$ form a square matrix $M(k)$ indexed by the divisors of k , thus of size the number of divisors of k . These matrices have remarkable properties, which we describe in Theorem 6, and are perhaps the main interest of the paper. In particular, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let k, k' be two coprime positive integers. The matrix $M(kk')$ is the Kronecker product of $M(k)$ and $M(k')$.*

As explained in the text, since the matrices are indexed by divisors of coprime integers, the Kronecker product is commutative: $M(k) \otimes M(k') = M(k') \otimes M(k) = M(kk')$.

Now, let us provide some further motivations and discuss what is known about Conjecture 1 regarding the numbers $T(n, k, s)$. The case $k = 3$ and $s = 0$ has a natural geometric interpretation, as follows. Let $E \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be an elliptic curve: a smooth plane cubic curve with a distinguished flex point O , so that there is an additive group law on the points of E , with neutral element O . This group law is constructed in such a way that three points p_1, p_2, p_3 on E lie on a line if and only if $p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = O$. Let p be a point on E of order exactly n , so that $G_n = (jp)_{j \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. The set of lines in the plane that contain exactly 3 points of G_n is in bijection with $S(n, 3, 0)$, and the number of such lines, $T(n, 3, 0)$, as n varies, is sequence [A007997](#) in the On-line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (OEIS) founded by Sloane [6].

Then, there is a natural generalization for conics and order six elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$: a conic (possibly degenerate, i.e., the union of two lines) cuts the cubic curve E at six points (with multiplicities) if and only if their sum is O . Thus $T(n, 6, 0)$ is also the number of conics containing six points in G_n . Moreover, sequence [A379920](#) is the number of *irreducible* conics containing six points in G_n for $n \geq 9$.

We did not find the sequence $(T(n, 6, 0))_{n \geq 6}$ in the OEIS, but have now added it as [A381289](#). We noticed that it has many common terms with sequence [A011796](#). In fact, the terms of [A011796](#) coincide with the sequence $(T(n, 6, 2))_{n > 6}$. Also sequence [A011795](#) coincides with $(T(n, 5, 0))_{n > 5}$. Both sequences, [A011795](#) and [A011796](#), were created by the first named author in [3]. They arise from problems on multiple polylogarithms [2] in quantum field theory, and this is how we began our joint work on $T(n, k, s)$, thanks to the OEIS.

Our work is an analog, on the ring $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, of enumerating the partitions of an integer. To our knowledge, that problem has not been previously studied. We found the following related, but different kind of work. In [5], Maze studies the number $R(n, s, \mathcal{C})$ of sums of the form $x_1 + \dots + x_k = s$ such that the number of repetitions of a given $x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ among the

terms x_1, \dots, x_k is in the finite fixed set \mathcal{C} of integers. For example, the sums which are the closest to the sums we study here are the sums with 0 or 1 repetitions, i.e., $\mathcal{C} = \{0, 1\}$. In that case the number $R(n, s, \mathcal{C})$ is, with our notations, $R(n, s, \mathcal{C}) = \sum_{k=1}^n T(n, k, s)$.

The sequence $(T(n, 4, 0))_{n \geq 1}$ corresponds to OEIS number [A032801](#), which refers to the paper [1] by Barnes. In that paper, a formula for every $T(n, k, 0)$ can be found, and Conjecture 1 becomes a theorem in this case. Additionally, we prove Conjecture 1 for any s when k is a prime number.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we describe the sequence of matrices $M(k)$ and state its main properties. In particular, we prove Theorem 6. In Section 3, we study properties of the integers $T(n, k, s)$ and we state Conjecture 11. That conjecture pertains to the generating functions $G_{k,s} = \sum_n T(n, k, s)x^n$ and is equivalent to Conjecture 1. Section 4 presents results toward Conjecture 1 and Section 5 encodes it. Section 6 contains further remarks and open questions. In particular, our sequences are related to the enumeration of necklaces and Lyndon words. For example, we show that $T(n, k, 2)$ for $k = 2 \pmod{4}$ relates to enumeration of Lyndon words [4] in an alphabet with two letters. The final section lists sequence numbers in the OEIS that relate to our paper.

2 A sequence of matrices with remarkable properties

2.1 Definitions of the matrices $M(k)$

2.1.1 Matrices indexed by finite sets

In order to fix the notation, let us recall some well-known facts. Let R be a commutative ring with unity.

Definition 3. Let I, J be two totally ordered finite sets. An $I \times J$ -indexed matrix is a function $M \in R^{I \times J}$, $M : I \times J \rightarrow R$. Defining $M_{i,j}$ as the image of $(i, j) \in I \times J$, one may also write $M = (M_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J}$.

If $I = J$, one speaks of an I -indexed matrix. The total order on I and J enables one to visualize M as an array of $|I|$ rows and $|J|$ columns, and to compute minors. Note that the data of a total order on a set I is equivalent to a bijection $I \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |I|\}$. For a set I , a row is a matrix indexed by $\{0\} \times I$, and a column or vector is indexed by $I \times \{0\}$.

If I, J, K are three totally ordered finite sets and $M = (M_{i,j})_{i \in I, j \in J}$, $N = (N_{j,k})_{j \in J, k \in K}$ are matrices indexed by $I \times J$ and $J \times K$ respectively, their product is the $I \times K$ -indexed matrix

$$\left(\sum_{j \in J} M_{i,j} N_{j,k} \right)_{i \in I, k \in K}.$$

In particular, an $I \times J$ -indexed matrix defines a linear map of R -modules $R^I \rightarrow R^J$.

For finite sets I, J, I', J' , an $I \times J$ indexed matrix M and an $I' \times J'$ indexed matrix M' , the Kronecker product $M \otimes M'$ is the function $(I \times I') \times (J \times J') \rightarrow R$ defined by

$$(M_{i,j} M'_{i',j'})_{(i,i') \in I \times I', (j,j') \in J \times J'}.$$

The function $M \otimes M'$ defines a R -linear map $R^I \otimes R^{I'} \rightarrow R^J \otimes R^{J'}$. Note that in general there is no canonical choice of an order on $I \times I'$ and $J \times J'$. The choice of such a total order defines a matrix. Another choice gives another matrix and the two matrices are related by conjugation by permutation matrices. If M, M' are indexed by ranges of integers, there is a convention on the order of rows and columns of $M \otimes M'$ and in general $M \otimes M' \neq M' \otimes M$ as matrices.

2.1.2 Divisor indexed matrices

For an integer $k > 0$, let

$$D_k = \{d \in \mathbb{N} \mid d|k\}$$

be its set of positive divisors, with the order induced by the usual total order for positive integers. We will consider $D_k \times D_k$ -indexed matrices $M = (M_{d,d'})_{d,d' \in D_k}$, and D_k -indexed vectors $v = (v_d)_{d \in D_k}$ (with entries in \mathbb{Z}). For short, we will say that M and v are k -indexed. For example, for p prime, the p -indexed matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

is such that $M_{1,1} = 1$, $M_{1,p} = 2$, $M_{p,1} = 3$, $M_{p,p} = 4$.

If k, k' are two coprime integers, then the divisors of kk' are

$$D_{kk'} = \{dd' \mid d \in D_k, d' \in D_{k'}\}.$$

Let $M = (M_{d,d'})_{d,d' \in D_k}$ and $N = (N_{d,d'})_{d,d' \in D_{k'}}$ be respectively k and k' indexed matrices.

Remark 4. Suppose that k, k' are coprime integers. The Kronecker product $M \otimes N$ of M and N defined by

$$(M \otimes N)_{d_1 d_2, d'_1 d'_2} = M_{d_1, d'_1} N_{d_2, d'_2} \text{ for } d_1, d'_1 \in D_k, d_2, d'_2 \in D_{k'},$$

is a kk' -indexed matrix and

$$M \otimes N = N \otimes M.$$

This follows from the fact that since k and k' are coprime, any divisor d of kk' is the product of a unique pair $(d_1, d_2) \in D_k \times D_{k'}$.

2.2 The matrices $M(k)$

For a prime number p and a positive integer m , let us define the following p^m -indexed matrix

$$M(p^m) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & e & -p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & e & ep & -p^2 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & ep^2 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & -p^{m-1} \\ 1 & e & ep & ep^2 & \cdots & ep^{m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $e = \varphi(p) = p - 1$. For example

$$M(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & e \end{pmatrix}, \quad M(p^2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & e & -p \\ 1 & e & ep \end{pmatrix}.$$

Definition 5. If $k = 1$, then define the matrix $M(1) = (1)$. Let k be an integer > 1 : it has a unique factorization

$$k = p_1^{m_1} \dots p_j^{m_j},$$

where the p_1, \dots, p_j are distinct prime numbers and $m_j \geq 1$ are integers. Let us define the matrix $M(k)$ by

$$M(k) = M(p_1^{m_1}) \otimes \dots \otimes M(p_j^{m_j}).$$

The matrix $M(k)$ is well defined since the Kronecker product on divisor-indexed matrices is commutative.

2.3 Main properties of the matrices $M(k)$

For an integer $k > 0$, let $W = W(k)$ be the k -indexed matrix such that

$$W_{d,d'} = \delta_{k/d,d'} \text{ for } d \mid k \text{ and } d' \mid k,$$

where

$$\delta_{u,v} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u = v; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is the Kronecker symbol. If $A = (A_{d,d'})$ is a k -indexed matrix, WA is the matrix $WA = (A_{k/d,d'})_{d,d' \in D_k}$.

The Möbius function on positive integers is defined by $\mu(1) = 1$ and for $n > 1$ by

$$\mu(n) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m & \text{if } n \text{ is a square-free integer with } m \text{ prime factors;} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Euler totient function is $\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - \frac{1}{p})$, with a product over primes dividing n . For two integers d, d' and f a power of a prime number, let us define the integer

$$a(d, d', f) = \begin{cases} \varphi(\gcd(f, d')), & \text{if } \gcd(f, d') \mid \gcd(f, d); \\ \mu\left(\frac{\gcd(f, d')}{\gcd(f, d)}\right) \gcd(f, d), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The main properties of the matrices $M(k)$ are summarized by the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For all positive integers k , the following 7 properties of $M(k)$ hold.*

1. For an integer k , let F_k be the set of integers of the form p^e where p is a prime dividing k and $e > 0$ is the exponent of p in k . For two divisors d, d' of k , the d, d' entry of $M(k)$ is

$$M(k)_{d,d'} = \prod_{f \in F_k} a(d, d', f). \quad (2.1)$$

2. $(W(k)M(k))^2 = kI$, where I is the identity matrix.
3. $\det(M(k)) = k^{|D_k|/2}$.
4. Consider $w = (1)_{d|k}$. The vector $M(k)w$ (the sum of the columns) is equal to the vector $v = (k\delta_{d,k})_{d|k}$.
5. The first row of $M(k)$ is $M_{1,d} = \mu(d)$, $\forall d | k$.
6. The last row of $M(k)$ is $M_{k,d} = \varphi(d)$, $\forall d | k$.
7. The first column of $M(k)$ is $M_{d,1} = 1$, $\forall d | k$.

Proof. Let us prove Theorem 6.

1. It is easy to check that Formula (2.1) is true for $k = p^e$, a power of a prime. Suppose that $k = k_1 k_2$ is a product of two coprime integers. By induction the result is true for k_1 and k_2 :

$$M(k_1)_{d_1,d'_1} = \prod_{f \in F_{k_1}} a(d_1, d'_1, f), \quad M(k_2)_{d_2,d'_2} = \prod_{f \in F_{k_2}} a(d_2, d'_2, f).$$

From the construction of $M(k)$, one has

$$M(k)_{d_1 d_2, d'_1 d'_2} = M(k_1)_{d_1, d'_1} M(k_2)_{d_2, d'_2}.$$

The set F_k is the disjoint union of F_{k_1} and F_{k_2} . Suppose that $f \in F_{k_1}$. Then $\gcd(d_1 d_2, f) = \gcd(d_1, f)$ and $\gcd(d'_1 d'_2, f) = \gcd(d'_1, f)$, and from the definition of a , one has

$$a(d_1 d_2, d'_1 d'_2, f) = a(d_1, d'_1, f),$$

and the analogous result holds when $f \in F_{k_2}$. This proves that

$$M(k)_{d_1 d_2, d'_1 d'_2} = \prod_{f \in F_k} a(d_1 d_2, d'_1 d'_2, f).$$

2. When k is a power of a prime, a direct computation gives $(W(k)M(k))^2 = kI$. For the general case, $k = p_1^{e_1} \dots p_m^{e_m}$, we remark that $W(k) = \otimes_{j=1}^m W(p_j^{e_j})$ and

$$W(k)M(k) = \otimes_{j=1}^m W(p_j^{e_j})M(p_j^{e_j}).$$

Moreover for k -indexed (respectively k' -indexed) matrices A, A' (respectively B, B') with coprime integers k, k' , the multiplication (Hadamard product) is such that: $(A \otimes B)(A' \otimes B') = AA' \otimes BB'$. This implies that $(W(k)M(k))^2 = kI$ for any k .

3. When $k = p^e$ is a power of a prime, a direct computation by subtracting rows yields $\det(M(p^e)) = p^{e(e+1)/2}$. We then use the property that for square matrices A, B of size m, n respectively, one has $\det(A \otimes B) = \det(A)^n \det(B)^m$.
4. The claim follows from direct computation on $M(p^e)$ for a prime p and $e \geq 1$. Suppose that the result is known for integers $< k$ and that $k = k_1 k_2$ is a product of two coprime integers. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d_1|k_1, d_2|k_2} M(k)_{d_1 d'_1, d_2 d'_2} &= \sum_{d_2|k_2} \sum_{d_1|k_1} M(k_1)_{d_1, d'_1} M(k_2)_{d_2, d'_2} \\ &= \sum_{d_2|k_2} \delta_{k_1, d'_1} M(k_2)_{d_2, d'_2} \\ &= \delta_{k_1, d'_1} \delta_{k_2, d'_2} = \delta_{k, d'_1 d'_2} \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Points (5), (6) and (7) are direct consequences of Formula 2.1. □

We also note the following result when k is not divisible by the square of a prime.

Proposition 7. *If k is square-free, then*

$$M(k)_{d, d'} = \mu\left(\frac{d'}{\gcd(d, d')}\right) \varphi(\gcd(d, d')).$$

Proof. We are in the case where every exponent of the factorization of k is unity, i.e., F_k is the set of prime numbers dividing k . Let $p \in F_k$ such that p divides $d' / \gcd(d, d')$. Then $\gcd(p, d') = p$ does not divide $\gcd(p, d) = 1$, and by definition, we have $a(d, d', p) = \mu(\gcd(p, d'))$. Let $p \in F_k$ such that p divides $\gcd(d, d')$. Then $a(d, d', p) = \varphi(\gcd(p, d'))$. For the remaining primes $p \in F_k$, we have $a(d, d', p) = 1$. Therefore, using the multiplicativity of the functions μ and φ , and applying Formula 2.1, we obtain $M(k)_{d, d'} = \prod_{p|k} a(d, d', p) = \mu\left(\frac{d'}{\gcd(d, d')}\right) \varphi(\gcd(d, d'))$. □

3 The sequences $(T(n, k, s))_{n \geq k}$

3.1 Definitions and properties of the integers $T(n, k, s)$

Let us recall that for integers $n \geq k > 0$, $s \geq 0$, we denote by $S(n, k, s)$ the set of order k subsets Q of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ such that the sum $\sum_{a \in Q} a$ equals s modulo n . Moreover, the order of $S(n, k, s)$ is denoted by

$$T(n, k, s) = |S(n, k, s)|.$$

We now prove the following result.

Proposition 8. *The function $s \rightarrow T(n, k, s)$ depends only on the class of s modulo $\gcd(n, k)$. Let $\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n, k)\mathbb{Z})^*$. Then $T(n, k, s) = T(n, k, \beta s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}/\gcd(n, k)\mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. One has the relation $S(n, k, s) = S(n, k, s + n)$, therefore one may consider that s is in $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover for any $Q = \{a_1, \dots, a_k\} \in S(n, k, s)$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the set

$$Q(\alpha) := \{a_1 + \alpha, \dots, a_k + \alpha\}$$

is in $S(n, k, s + k\alpha)$. Also we remark that if $\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ is invertible, then

$$\beta Q := \{\beta a_1, \dots, \beta a_k\}$$

is in $S(n, k, \beta s)$. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$, the maps $Q \rightarrow Q(\alpha)$ and $Q \rightarrow \beta Q$ are bijections, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, T(n, k, s) &= T(n, k, s + k\alpha) \\ \forall \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*, T(n, k, s) &= T(n, k, \beta s). \end{aligned}$$

Since also $T(n, k, s) = T(n, k, s + n)$, the function

$$s \rightarrow T(n, k, s)$$

is periodic of period $m = \gcd(n, k)$. Thus we may consider s in $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. Then the action of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ factors through the surjective map

$$(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*,$$

by which we mean that the following relation holds:

$$\forall \beta \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^*, T(n, k, s) = T(n, k, \beta s),$$

and that completes the proof. □

Remark 9. The proof of Proposition 8 shows that the affine group $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts on the set $\{S(n, k, s) \mid s \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$.

Corollary 10. *i) One has*

$$T(n, k, s) = T(n, k, k + s) = T(n, k, k - s).$$

ii) If n is odd then $T(n, k, s) = T(n, n-k, s)$. If n is even then $T(n, k, s) = T(n, n-k, n/2+s)$.

Proof. The first part is immediate from Proposition 8. For the second part, we remark that the complement of a subset of order k has order $n - k$, and we use the fact that the sum of elements of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is 0 if n is odd and $n/2$ if n is even. □

3.2 The conjecture

We know that for integers s, t such that $\gcd(k, s) = \gcd(k, t)$, one has $T(n, k, s) = T(n, k, t)$ for all integers n . Therefore, for a fixed integer k , to compute the value $T(n, k, s)$, it suffices to consider s in $D = D_k$, the ordered set of positive divisors of k . Let $P = P_k$ be the k -indexed vector $P_k = (P_{k,d})_{d \in D}$, where for $d \in D$, $P_{k,d}$ is the formal power series

$$P_{k,d} = \frac{(-1)^{k-k/d}}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x^d)^{k/d}}.$$

For $d \mid k$, let us define the formal power series

$$G_{k,d} = \sum_{n \geq k} T(n, k, d) x^n,$$

and let G_k be the vector $G_k = (G_{k,d})_{d \in D}$. A direct computation shows that Conjecture 1 can be rephrased as follows.

Conjecture 11. The matrix $M(k) = (M_{d,d'})_{d,d' \in D}$ is such that

$$G_k = M(k)P_k.$$

In the next section, we provide evidence and results toward Conjecture 11.

4 Discussion of the conjecture

4.1 Last row of $M(k)$ and generating function of $T(n, k, 0)$

We recall that Conjecture 11 states the equality $G_k = M(k)P_k$. The following result is a consequence of Barnes's formula [1], for which we provide a proof in Proposition 17.

Proposition 12. *Conjecture 11 is satisfied for the index k of vector G_k . In other words:*

$$G_{k,k} = \sum_{s \mid k} \frac{(-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s)}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x^s)^{k/s}} = (M(k)P_k)_k.$$

Proof. By Barnes's formula in Proposition 17, one has

$$T(n, k, k) = T(n, k, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \gcd(n, k)} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s}.$$

For $d \mid k$, let $\mathbb{N}_{d,k} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gcd(n, k) = d\}$; one has $\mathbb{N}_{k,k} = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \mid n\} = k\mathbb{N}$. For a divisor s of k , the following union

$$(\cup_{s \mid d, d \mid k} \mathbb{N}_{d,k}) = s\mathbb{N}$$

is a disjoint union, in particular for $d = 1$, one obtains $(\cup_{s \in D} \mathbb{N}_{s,k}) = \mathbb{N}$. The series $G_{k,k}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} G_{k,k} &= \sum_{n \geq k} T(n, k, k) x^n = \sum_{d|k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{d,k}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s|d} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s} x^n \\ &= \sum_{d|k} \sum_{s|d} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{d,k}} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s} x^n \\ &= \sum_{\gcd(s,d)|s|d|k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{d,k}} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s} x^n. \end{aligned}$$

For fixed $s | k$, using the relation $(\cup_{s|d, d|k} \mathbb{N}_{d,k}) = s\mathbb{N}$, the partial sum is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{s|d|k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{d,k}} \frac{1}{n} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s} x^n \\ &= (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \frac{1}{s} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m} \binom{m}{k/s} x^{sm} \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s)}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x^s)^{k/s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained from the relation

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, k > 0, \sum_{n \geq k} \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^n = \frac{1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^k}.$$

From these computations, we obtain

$$G_{k,k} = \sum_{s|k} \frac{(-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s)}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x^s)^{k/s}}.$$

Thus the integers $M_{k,d}$ for $d | k$ are $M_{k,d} = \varphi(d)$, as expected in Conjecture 11. \square

4.2 More evidence for the conjecture

Here, we recount how we arrived at Conjecture 1, and its equivalent formulation by generating functions in Conjecture 11. The generating functions of the sequences [A011795](#) and [A011796](#) (which are related to $T(n, 5, 1)$ and $T(n, 6, 2)$) are known. With Proposition 12, this makes plausible the following less specific conjecture, which motivated Conjecture 11.

Conjecture 13. The generating functions $G_{k,d}$, $d | k$ are integral combinations of

$$P_{k,d'} = \frac{(-1)^{k-k/d'}}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1-x^{d'})^{k/d'}}$$

with $G_{k,d} = \sum_{d'|k} M_{d,d'} P_{k,d'}$ and coefficients $M_{d,d'} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To exhibit how this led us to the more precise Conjecture 11, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 14. *Suppose that the weaker Conjecture 13 holds. Then*

$$\forall d | k, \begin{cases} \sum_{d'|k} M_{d,d'} = k\delta_{k,d}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd;} \\ \sum_{d'|k} (-1)^{k/d'} M_{d,d'} = k\delta_{k/2,d}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $d \mid k$ be a divisor of k . By definition, $\frac{1}{x^k}G_{k,d} = \sum_{n \geq k} T(n, k, d)x^{n-k}$, and thus $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x^k}G_{k,d} = T(k, k, d)$. Therefore, if $G_{k,d} = \sum_{d' \mid k} M_{d,d'} P_{k,d'}$, we obtain

$$T(k, k, d) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{d' \mid k} (-1)^{k-k/d'} M_{d,d'}.$$

For odd k , $T(k, k, d) = 0$ if d is not $0 \pmod k$, while for even k , $T(k, k, d) = 0$ if d is not $k/2 \pmod k$. Moreover, $T(k, k, k) = 1$ if k is odd, and $T(k, k, k/2) = 1$ if k is even, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 14 determines the final column of $M = M(k)$ and Proposition 12 determines its final row. Hence the matrix $M(k)$ is determined by its remaining $(|D_k| - 1)^2$ elements. These elements may be computed from values of $T(n, k', s')$ with $n/2 \leq k' < k \leq n$, using Corollary 10, as follows. By definition,

$$G_{k,s} = \sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} T(n, k, s)x^n + O(x^{2k}).$$

For $n \in \{k, \dots, 2k-1\}$, one has $n-k \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$. Moreover,

$$T(n, k, s) = T(n, n-k, s + \epsilon_n),$$

where $\epsilon_n = n/2$ if n is even, and $\epsilon_n = 0$ if n is odd. Thus knowledge of the values $T(n, k', s')$ for $k' < k$, $s' \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ enables one to compute the first k terms of the series $G_{k,s}$. If $G_{k,s}$ is as expected in Conjecture 13, i.e., an integral combination of the series $P_{k,d}$, $d \mid k$, then the first k coefficients of $G_{k,s}$ determine the desired integers $M_{s,d}$. Indeed, the equality

$$\sum_{n=k}^{2k-1} T(n, k, s)x^n = \sum_{d \mid k} M_{\gcd(k,s),d} P_{k,d} + O(x^{2k}) \quad (4.1)$$

gives a linear system, with unknowns $M_{t,d}$ for $t \mid k$, $d \mid k$, satisfying k^2 equations.

The first 10000 matrices of the sequence $(M(k))_{k \geq 1}$ have been obtained that way: by supposing that Conjecture 13 holds true, and by resolving equation (4.1). Note that there are more equations than unknowns. Yet each time we found a unique solution.

After determining these 10000 matrices, a pattern appeared for $M(p^e)$, for p a prime number, and we discovered that one may obtain the matrix $M(k)$ by factorizing k and taking the Kronecker product over that factorization. Thus our conjecture subsumes a vast amount of empirical observation.

4.3 The conjecture holds for prime k

To prove this we establish the following proposition.

Proposition 15. *Let n, k be integers with $n \geq k > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \sum_{d=1}^k T(n, k, d) = \sum_{d|k} \varphi\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) T(n, k, d).$$

Proof. This follows from the fact that:

- the sum of $T(n, k, s)$ for s from 1 to n is $\binom{n}{k}$,
- the function $s \rightarrow T(n, k, s)$ depends only on $\gcd(k, s)$,
- the number of integers s with $\gcd(k, s) = d$ and $k \geq s \geq 1$ is $\varphi\left(\frac{k}{d}\right)$.

□

In consequence of Proposition 15, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 16. *Suppose that k is a prime number. Then Conjecture 11 holds.*

Proof. From Proposition 15, k being a prime, we know that

$$\frac{k}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \varphi(k)T(n, k, 1) + \varphi(1)T(n, k, k).$$

Moreover, from Barnes's formula

$$T(n, k, k) = \frac{\varphi(1)}{n} \binom{n}{k} + \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{k-1} \varphi(k)}{k}, & \text{if } k \mid n; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence, we deduce an explicit formula for $T(n, k, 1)$, and the matrix $M(k) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & k-1 \end{pmatrix}$ from these two equations. □

5 Code

We used this compact statement of Conjecture 1 in Pari/GP:

```
{T(n,k,s)=local(q=factor(k),t=gcd(k,s),u,v,w);
q=vector(#q~,i,q[i,1]^q[i,2]);
1/n*sumdiv(gcd(n,k),d,w=(-1)^(k-k/d)*binomial(n/d,k/d);
for(i=1,#q,u=gcd(q[i],t);v=gcd(q[i],d);
w*=if(v>u,mobius(v/u)*u,eulerphi(v)));w);}
```

and its translation to Magma:

```

function T(n, k, s)
q := [f[1]^f[2] : f in Factorization(k)];
t := GCD(k, s); r := 0;
for d in Divisors(GCD(n, k)) do
w := (-1)^(k - k div d) * Binomial(n div d, k div d);
for i in [1..#q] do
u := GCD(q[i], t); v := GCD(q[i], d);
w := v gt u select MoebiusMu(v div u) * u else EulerPhi(v);
end for; r += w; end for;
return r div n;
end function;

```

6 Further remarks and open questions

6.1 Proof of Barnes's formula

Recall that for integers n, k and $s \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, we defined

$$S(n, k, s) = \{Q \mid Q \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } \sum_{a \in Q} a = s \text{ and } |Q| = k\}.$$

We now prove the following result.

Proposition 17. (Barnes [1, Lemma 5.1]). *The number $T(n, k, 0)$ of elements of $S(n, k, 0)$ is*

$$T(n, k, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s \mid \gcd(n, k)} (-1)^{k-k/s} \varphi(s) \binom{n/s}{k/s},$$

where φ is Euler's totient function.

We found this result in a perceptive comment by Hadjicostas in [A032801](#), which references Barnes [1, Lemma 5.1], as it generalizes to any k a formula that Barnes originally gave for $k = 4$. Barnes, in turn, refers to Smith-White for the idea of the proof. We think it is important to provide a detailed proof here, as it supports Conjecture 1. We follow the proof of [1, Lemma 5.1] which uses sums of n^{th} cyclotomic roots of unity.

Proof. For indeterminates a, t , let us define

$$P_a(t) = \prod_{r=1}^n (1 + at^r) = \sum_{k=0}^n q_k(t) a^k,$$

where $q_k(t) = \sum_{j \geq 0} q_{k,j} t^j$. For $j \geq 0$, let $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ be a set of k distinct integers contained in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ whose sum is j . The product $\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + at^{l_i})$ is a factor of P_a , and its extension

$$\prod_{i=1}^k (1 + at^{l_i}) = a^k t^{\sum_{i=1}^k l_i} + \text{lower terms in } a,$$

contributes unity to the coefficient $q_{k,j}$ of q_k . The integer $q_{k,j}$ is the sum of these unities, in other words, it is the number of sets of k distinct integers $\{l_1, \dots, l_k\}$ in $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ whose sum is j . From that, we obtain the relation

$$T(n, k, s) = \sum_{j, s=j \bmod n} q_{k,j},$$

and in particular

$$T(n, k, 0) = \sum_{j, n|j} q_{k,j}.$$

Let ω be a primitive n -th root of unity. One has

$$\sum_{r=1}^n q_k(\omega^r) = \sum_{j \geq 0} q_{k,j} \sum_{r=1}^n \omega^{rj},$$

where $\sum_{r=1}^n \omega^{rj}$ is n if $n \mid j$, otherwise it is equal to $(1 - \omega^{jn})/(1 - \omega^j) = 0$. Thus

$$\sum_{r=1}^n q_k(\omega^r) = nT(n, k, 0).$$

One has

$$nT(n, k, 0) = \left[\sum_{r=1}^n P_a(\omega^r) \right]_k = \sum_{r=1}^n [P_a(\omega^r)]_k,$$

where for a polynomial $Q \in \mathbb{C}[a]$, the symbol $[Q]_k$ denotes the coefficient of degree k in the variable a of Q . For $r \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $s = s(r) = n/\gcd(n, r)$. Then s is the order of $\omega^r = \omega^r$, moreover

$$P_a(\omega^r) = \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + a\omega^{rj}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{s(r)} (1 + a\omega^{rj}) \right)^{n/s(r)}.$$

Since

$$\prod_{j=1}^s (1 + a\omega^{rj}) = 1 + (-1)^{s-1} a^s,$$

we obtain

$$P_a(\omega^r) = (1 + (-1)^{s(r)-1} a^{s(r)})^{n/s(r)}$$

and

$$nT(n, k, 0) = \sum_{r=1}^n \left[\left(1 + (-1)^{s(r)-1} a^{s(r)} \right)^{n/s(r)} \right]_k.$$

The expansion of $P_a(\omega^r) = \left(1 + (-1)^{s(r)-1} a^{s(r)} \right)^{n/s(r)}$ contains a term in a^k only when $s(r) = n/\gcd(n, r)$ divides k , and then that coefficient is

$$(-1)^{k-k/s(r)} \binom{n/s(r)}{k/s(r)},$$

thus

$$T(n, k, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq n, s(r)|k} (-1)^{k-k/s(r)} \binom{n/s(r)}{k/s(r)}.$$

Let d be a divisor of n . Since

$$\{1 \leq r \leq n \mid s(r) = d\} = \{1 \leq r \leq n \mid \gcd(n, r) = \frac{n}{d}\}$$

has order $\varphi(d)$, we obtain

$$T(n, k, 0) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(n, k)} (-1)^{k-k/d} \varphi(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

□

We remark that this proof by Barnes of the validity of the last row of our matrix construction is rather ingenious and regret that we are not yet able to extend it to other rows.

6.2 Necklaces and sequences $T(n, k, 0)$, $T(n, k, k/2)$

A necklace on n beads, with k black beads and $n - k$ white beads, can be seen as an orbit $O(Q)$ of a subset $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ of k elements under the action of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$r + Q := \{r + q \mid q \in Q\}, \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}.$$

Using Burnside's Lemma, the number $N(n, k)$ of necklaces, i.e., the number of orbits, is

$$N(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(n, k)} \varphi(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

Recall that $M(k)_{k,d} = \varphi(d)$, and Conjecture 1 for computing $T(n, k, 0) = T(n, k, k)$ holds true, thanks to Barnes's result. Thus for k odd, one has

$$T(n, k, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|k} (-1)^{k-k/d} M(k)_{k,d} \binom{n/d}{k/d} = N(n, k)$$

since in that case $(-1)^{k-k/d} = 1$ for all $d \mid k$.

When k is even, Conjecture 1 says that for all $d \mid k$, one has

$$M(k)_{k/2,d} = \epsilon(d)\varphi(d),$$

where $\epsilon(d) = -1$ if $v_2(d) > v_2(k/2)$, for the valuation v_2 at 2. We remark that $(-1)^{k-k/d} = (-1)^{k/d} = \epsilon(d)$. Therefore, if Conjecture 1 for the value of $T(n, k, k/2)$ is true, we obtain

$$T(n, k, k/2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid k} (-1)^{k-k/d} M(k)_{k,d} \binom{n/d}{k/d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n,k)} \varphi(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d} = N(n, k).$$

We thus prove the following result.

Proposition 18. *Let k be a positive integer. When k is even, suppose that Conjecture 1 holds for the value of $T(n, k, k/2)$. The number of necklaces with k black beads and $n - k$ white beads is $N(n, k) = T(n, k, 0)$ if k is odd, and $N(n, k) = T(n, k, k/2)$ if k is even.*

Thus we see that these two enumerative problems:

- a** counting the number of necklaces, i.e., the number of orbits $O(q)$ of order k subsets q of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ under translations, and
- b** counting the number of order k subsets q of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ with sum equal to 0 or $k/2$ (according as whether k is odd or even),

share the same formula (certainly when k is odd, and conjecturally when k is even).

Consider the map γ that assigns to each order k subset q of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, its orbit $O(q) = \{r + q \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$. For k odd, respectively even, it is natural to ask whether $S(n, k, k)$, respectively $S(n, k, k/2)$, is sent by γ bijectively to the set of necklaces. If so, this would explain the coincidence of the formulas.

For example, suppose that n and k are coprime. The translation by $r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ maps $S(n, k, s)$ to $S(n, k, s + kr)$. Since k is coprime to r , that action is faithful on the sets $S(n, k, s)$, $s \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, for fixed $s \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, the set $S(n, k, s)$ is in bijection with the set of necklaces via γ .

On the contrary, in all the examples we tested where $\gcd(n, k) \neq 1$, the map γ is not a bijection, and hence we lack an explanation for why the two formulas coincide.

6.3 Lyndon words and sequences $T(n, k, 1)$, $T(n, k, 2)$

An *aperiodic necklace* (or a *Lyndon word*) with k black beads and $n - k$ white beads is a necklace $O(Q) = \{r + Q \mid r \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\}$ (here $Q \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ has order k), such that the order of the orbit $O(Q)$ is n . It is well-known that the number of such aperiodic necklaces is

$$L(n, k) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d \mid \gcd(n,k)} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

This formula is obtained by applying Möbius inversion to $\binom{n}{k} = \sum_{d|\gcd(n,k)} \frac{n}{d} L\left(\frac{n}{d}, \frac{k}{d}\right)$.

Conjecture 11 gives the first row of matrix $M(k)$ as

$$M(k)_{1,d} = \mu(d), \quad d \mid k.$$

Thus conjecturally

$$T(n, k, 1) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(n,k)} \mu(d) (-1)^{k-k/d} \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

For k odd and $d \mid k$, one has $(-1)^{k-k/d} = 1$, and for $k = 0 \pmod{4}$ and $d \mid k$, one has $\mu(d)(-1)^{k-k/d} = \mu(d)$. Suppose that $k = 2 \pmod{4}$. The second row of matrix $M(k)$ is

$$\forall d \mid k, \quad M(k)_{2,d} = \mu(d/2), \text{ if } 2 \mid d \text{ and } M(k)_{2,d} = \mu(d) \text{ otherwise,}$$

in other words $M(k)_{2,d} = \mu(d)(-1)^{k/d}$, and conjecturally, one has the relation

$$T(n, k, 2) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(n,k)} \mu(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

Thus we have proved the following proposition.

Proposition 19. *Suppose that Conjecture 1 holds true. Then*

- a) $L(n, k) = T(n, k, 1)$ if k is odd or $k = 0 \pmod{4}$,
- b) $L(n, k) = T(n, k, 2)$ if $k = 2 \pmod{4}$.

Again, when n and k are coprime, every orbit $O(Q)$ has order n , so every necklace is aperiodic, and, for any s , the map $q \in S(n, k, s) \rightarrow O(q)$ is a bijection onto the set of aperiodic necklaces.

We checked that for $n \leq 24$ and a divisor $k \notin \{1, n\}$ of n not congruent to 2 modulo 4, the orbits $O(q)$ have order n for $q \in S(n, k, 1)$, thus are aperiodic necklaces. Yet the natural map $q \in S(n, k, 1) \rightarrow O(q)$ is never injective. Thus, we lack an explanation for why $T(n, k, 1)$ equals $L(n, k)$.

6.4 On the matrices $M(p^e)$ for p a prime number

Whenever $k = k_1 k_2$ with coprime integers k_1, k_2 , the relation $M(k) = M(k_1) \otimes M(k_2)$ holds. It is tempting to ask if there could be such results when $k = p^e$ is a power of a prime p , with the Kronecker product replaced by a law \star such that the product $M(p^e) \star M(p^{e'})$ of $M(p^e)$, of size $e + 1$, and indexed by D_{p^e} , times $M(p^{e'})$, of size $e' + 1$, is the matrix $M(p^{e+e'})$ of size $e + e' + 1$ indexed by $D_{p^{e+e'}}$. In particular, one would like to have $M(p)^{\star e} = M(p^e)$. Let f_1, f_p be the canonical base of R^2 . The symmetric product $S^e M(p)$ of $M(p)$ is obtained as the action of $M(p)^{\otimes e}$ on the quotient of $(R^2)^{\otimes n}$ by the relations

$$f_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{j_e} = f_{j'_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{j'_e}$$

if and only if $\prod_{k=1}^e j_k = \prod_{k=1}^e j'_k$. It is then natural to enquire whether $M(p^e)$ is a conjugate of the symmetric product $S^e M(p)$ of $M(p)$. Interestingly, this is not the case: the characteristic polynomial of

$$S^2 M(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & p-2 & 2(p-1) \\ 1 & 1-p & (p-1)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

is

$$(X-p)(X^2 + (2p-p^2)X + p^2)$$

whereas the characteristic polynomial of $M(p^2)$ is

$$(X-p)(X^2 + (p-p^2)X + p^2).$$

In consequence, we regard our Ansatz for $M(p^e)$ as primitive. There appears to be no simple way of deriving it from the proven result for $M(p)$.

7 OEIS sequences

We give here pairs $(k, 0)$ (respectively (k, s) , $s \neq 0$) for which Proposition 12 (respectively Conjecture 1) gives a sequence $(T(n, k, s))_{n \geq k}$ in the OEIS:

(3, 0): [A007997](#), (3, 1): [A001840](#),
(4, 0): [A032801](#), (4, 1): [A006918](#), (4, 2): [A008610](#),
(5, 0): [A008646](#), (5, 1): [A011795](#),
(6, 0): [A381289](#), (6, 1): [A381290](#), (6, 2): [A011796](#), (6, 3): [A032191](#),
(7, 0): [A032192](#), (7, 1): [A011797](#),
(8, 0): [A381291](#), (8, 1): [A031164](#), (8, 2): [A381350](#), (8, 4): [A032193](#),
(9, 0): [A032194](#), (9, 1): [A263318](#), (9, 3): [A381351](#),

on the understanding that the index n is suitably offset. Moreover, we obtained [A379920](#), for irreducible conics, by eliminating from [A381289](#) those conics that are products of lines.

References

- [1] E. S. Barnes, The construction of perfect and extreme forms, I. Acta Arith. 5 (1958), 57–79.
- [2] J. M. Borwein, D. Bradley, D. Broadhurst, P. Lisoněk, Special values of multiple polylogarithms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 (2001), no. 3, 907–941.
- [3] D. Broadhurst, On the enumeration of irreducible k -fold Euler sums and their roles in knot theory and field theory, Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9604128>
- [4] P. Deligne, Le groupe fondamental unipotent motivique de $G_m \setminus \mu_N$, pour $N = 2, 3, 4, 6$ ou 8, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes études Sci. No. 112 (2010), 101–141.

- [5] G. Maze, Partitions modulo n and circulant matrices, *Discrete Math.* 287 (2004), no. 1-3, 77–84.
- [6] N. J. A. Sloane et al., The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences. Published electronically at <https://oeis.org>.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11B30; Secondary 05A18, 81T18.

Keywords: partition, necklace, Lyndon words