

A RECURSION FORMULA FOR BRANCHING FROM \mathfrak{sl}_n TO \mathfrak{sl}_2 SUBALGEBRAS

KORKEAT KORKEATHIKHUN, BORWORN KHUHIRUN, SONGPON SRIWONGSA*,
AND KENG WIBOONTON

ABSTRACT. For any representation of a complex simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_n , one problem of branching rules to \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra is to determine the multiplicity of each irreducible component. In this paper, we derive a recursion formula of such multiplicities by restricting a certain tensor representation in two ways, in which the Pieri's rule is involved. We also investigate branching rules for fundamental representations as they are initial conditions of the recursion formula.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, the ground field is assumed to be the set of all complex numbers \mathbb{C} . Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple Lie algebra and V a finite dimensional representation of \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{h} be a semisimple subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} . Then V can also be regarded as a \mathfrak{h} -representation. This consideration is called the *restriction of V to \mathfrak{h}* , denoted by $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} V$. If V is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{g} , then $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{h}}^{\mathfrak{g}} V$ may be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible representations of \mathfrak{h} . One can naturally ask what the multiplicity of each irreducible component is. An explicit description of these numbers is called a *branching rule* or *branching law*. If V and W are isomorphic as \mathfrak{g} -representations, we use the notation $V \cong_{\mathfrak{g}} W$.

The study of branching rules is complicated in general. We may start with a simple case, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n := \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the set of all $n \times n$ traceless matrices, together with its irreducible representation V of finite dimension and $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} V$, the restriction of V to a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} isomorphic to \mathfrak{sl}_2 , which is known as an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra.

Denote the irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation of highest weight i by F_i . Once V is restricted to \mathfrak{s} , we have a decomposition

$$V \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} m_0 F_0 \oplus m_1 F_1 \oplus m_2 F_2 \oplus \cdots .$$

Each m_j is called the *multiplicity* of F_j in the \mathfrak{s} -representation V . We sometimes denote this multiplicity by $\text{mult}(F_j : V)$. If there is no confusion, we simply write m_j . Since the m_j 's are multiplicities in the decomposition of the \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation, we have a well-known description of each m_j as the following (see for example in [5, Corollary 5.2.3]):

$$(1) \quad m_j = \dim V_j - \dim V_{j+2},$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05E10; 17B10.

Key words and phrases. Branching rules, Fundamental representations, Principal subalgebras.

*Corresponding Author.

where each V_j is the weight space of the weight j in the \mathfrak{s} -representation V . Then, we also have that the number of irreducible summands of V is equal to

$$m_0 + m_1 + \cdots = \dim V_0 + \dim V_1.$$

Therefore, the number of irreducible summands of V is equal to the sum of the multiplicities of the weight 0 and the weight 1. Since V is finite dimensional, the *highest component* $h := \max\{j \mid m_j \neq 0\}$ exists. If V is an irreducible representation of \mathfrak{sl}_n with the highest weight λ , it is mentioned in [6, Page 23] that, as branching to a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra,

$$(2) \quad h = \sum_{\text{positive roots } \alpha} \lambda(H_\alpha),$$

where H_α is a semisimple element of an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triplet $\{X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, H_\alpha\}$ corresponding to a positive root α . Analogously, we can define the *lowest component* $\ell := \min\{j \mid m_j \neq 0\}$. Using these terminologies, we can then write

$$V \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} m_\ell F_\ell \oplus \cdots \oplus m_h F_h.$$

One study of Branching algebra is about describing ℓ and its multiplicity. In [10], an upper bound of ℓ is given, namely $\ell < n$. Unlike the highest component h , it is difficult to determine what ℓ exactly is. It is even harder to determine the multiplicity of F_j for any j . This is a challenging problem in representation theory. Many scholars have been trying to find a simple and optimal way to compute those multiplicities. By providing a concrete and computationally efficient tool, this work enhances the applicability of branching rules in both theoretical and applied contexts.

In this work, we propose an alternative way to compute the multiplicities m_j 's through the following main theorem where the proof is provided in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. Let \mathfrak{s} be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n . For each dominant integral weight $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, let $m_j(\lambda) = \text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\lambda))$. Then for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$m_d(\lambda + \omega_k) = \sum_{(j, j')} m_j(\lambda) m_{j'}(\omega_k) - \sum_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k) - \{\lambda + \omega_k\}} m_d(\mu),$$

where the first summation ranges over $(j, j') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $|j - j'| \leq d \leq j + j'$ and $2|(j + j' - d)$ and whose summands are all zeros but finitely many. In particular,

$$m_0(\lambda + \omega_k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(\lambda) m_j(\omega_k) - \sum_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k) - \{\lambda + \omega_k\}} m_0(\mu).$$

Here $P(\lambda, k)$ is the set of dominant integral weights obtained by adding k boxes into the Young diagram of λ such that no more than one is in the same row.

As branching rules for fundamental representations are initial conditions of this formula, we study them in section 3. In section 4, we provide some examples and a consequence of our recursion formula.

2. RECURSION FORMULA FOR MULTIPLICITY OF F_d

We mainly follow the notations and conventions of representations of \mathfrak{sl}_n from [2, 4], and we recall them here. Let E_{ij} be the matrix with 1 in the i th row of the j th column and 0's everywhere else. Let $H_i = E_{ii}$ and

$$\mathfrak{h} = \{a_1H_1 + a_2H_2 + \cdots + a_nH_n \mid a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n = 0\}.$$

Then \mathfrak{h} is the set of all traceless diagonal matrices which is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n . For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\varepsilon_i : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a linear functional defined by

$$\varepsilon_i\left(\sum_j a_j H_j\right) = a_i.$$

Note that $\varepsilon_1 + \cdots + \varepsilon_n = 0$. For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, let

$$\alpha_i = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_{i+1},$$

$$\omega_i = \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \cdots + \varepsilon_i.$$

Then α_i 's and ω_i 's are simple roots and fundamental weights of \mathfrak{sl}_n , respectively. Let Λ and Λ^+ be the weight lattice and the set of all dominant integral weights of \mathfrak{sl}_n which are determined by

$$\Lambda = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\} \text{ and } \Lambda^+ = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n\},$$

respectively. One can associate each

$$\lambda = a_1\omega_1 + a_2\omega_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}\omega_{n-1} \in \Lambda^+ (a_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$$

with the partition $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq 0)$ where

$$\lambda_1 = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}$$

$$\lambda_2 = a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\lambda_{n-1} = a_{n-1}.$$


In other words, λ_i equals the coefficient of ε_i . Define a total order \prec on Λ^+ by $\lambda \prec \mu$ if $(\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{n-1} \geq 0)$ less than $(\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \cdots \geq \mu_{n-1} \geq 0)$ with respect to the lexicographic order. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, let $L(\lambda)$ be the irreducible representation corresponding to λ .

We recall the Pieri's rule. Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. As representations of \mathfrak{sl}_n ,

$$L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k)} L(\mu)$$

where $P(\lambda, k)$ is the set of dominant integral weights obtained by adding k boxes into the Young diagram of λ such that no more than one is in the same row.

Example 2.1. $\lambda = 2\omega_2 + \omega_3$ in \mathfrak{sl}_4 has the Young diagram



To determine $P(\lambda, 2)$, we add 2 boxes filled by \times into the Young diagram of λ in such a way that no more than one is in the same row and the results are in the Young diagram shape. Then $P(\lambda, 2)$ consists of the following weights:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \times \\ \hline & & & \times \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = 3\omega_2 + \omega_3,$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \times \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & \times & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \omega_1 + \omega_2 + 2\omega_3,$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \times \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline \times & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \omega_1 + 2\omega_2,$$

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & \times & & \\ \hline \times & & & \\ \hline \end{array} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline & \\ \hline \end{array} = \omega_2 + \omega_3.$$

The last two Young diagrams are subject to the condition that $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 + \varepsilon_4 = 0$.

Proposition 2.2. $\lambda + \omega_k \in P(\lambda, k)$ and $\lambda + \omega_k \succeq \mu$ for any $\mu \in P(\lambda, k)$.

Proof. Note that $\lambda + \omega_k$ is obtained by adding k boxes into the first k rows of the Young diagram of λ . Young diagram obtained by adding k boxes in other ways yields partitions which are lower than $\lambda + \omega_k$ in the lexicographic order. \square

Now, we are ready to prove the main theorem, which gives a method to recursively compute the multiplicity of F_d in $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\lambda)$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Consider $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k)$ as an irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n$. Apply Pieri's rule when we restrict it to \mathfrak{sl}_n so that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{sl}_n}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n} (L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k)} L(\mu).$$

Then we restrict it further to the principal subalgebra \mathfrak{s} :

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n} (L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k)) \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k)} \bigoplus_k m_k(\mu) F_k.$$

The multiplicity of F_d equals $\sum_{\mu \in P(\lambda, k)} m_d(\mu)$.

On the other hands, restricting $L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k)$ to $\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n} (L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k)) &\cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\lambda) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k) \\ &\cong \left(\bigoplus_j m_j(\lambda) F_j \right) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{j'} m_{j'}(\omega_k) F_{j'} \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_{j'} m_j(\lambda) m_{j'}(\omega_k) F_j \otimes F_{j'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we restrict it further to \mathfrak{s} :

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\lambda) \otimes L(\omega_k) \cong \bigoplus_j \bigoplus_{j'} \bigoplus_{k=|j-j'|}^{j+j'} m_j(\lambda) m_{j'}(\omega_k) F_k.$$

On the right hand side, we observe that F_d occurs if and only if $|j-j'| \leq d \leq j+j'$ and $2|(j+j'-d)$. Then the multiplicity of F_d equals $\sum_{(j,j')} m_j(\lambda) m_{j'}(\omega_k)$ where the summation ranges over $(j, j') \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $|j-j'| \leq d \leq j+j'$ and $2|(j+j'-d)$. The result follows from Proposition 2.2. \square

3. BRANCHING RULES OF FUNDAMENTAL \mathfrak{sl}_n REPRESENTATIONS

In this section, we compute the multiplicity of $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$ as they are the initial values for the recursion formula in Theorem 1.1. We divide this into two cases: principal and non-principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebras.

Recall that any \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra is generated by a triple $\{H, X, Y\}$ with X nilpotent. By [1, Theorem 3.2.10], there exists a one-to-one correspondence between SL_n -conjugacy classes of \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebras of \mathfrak{sl}_n and nonzero nilpotent SL_n -orbits in \mathfrak{sl}_n . Through Jordan normal forms, there is a one-to-one correspondence between nilpotent SL_n -orbits in \mathfrak{sl}_n and partitions of n .

Following the terminologies in [1], a *regular element* x of \mathfrak{g} is an element such that $\dim \mathfrak{g}^x = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ where \mathfrak{g}^x is the centralizer of x in \mathfrak{g} . An element $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ is *regular nilpotent* if e is regular and ad_e is nilpotent. Any element of \mathfrak{g} that is conjugate to e is also regular nilpotent. In fact, all regular nilpotent elements are conjugate and form the principal (regular) orbit in \mathfrak{g} . A *principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra* is a subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of \mathfrak{g} such that $\mathfrak{s} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and \mathfrak{s} contains a regular nilpotent element [8]. In the case $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$, \mathfrak{s} is principal if and only if it contains a nilpotent element X whose Jordan block has only one block of size n .

If X is a nilpotent element of \mathfrak{sl}_n whose Jordan blocks have sizes $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_m \geq 1$, we say that X is nilpotent of type $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$. For each partition $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$ of n , let $\mathfrak{s}_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ be the \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra generated by

$$H_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{d_1} & & & \\ & H_{d_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & H_{d_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{d_1} & & & \\ & X_{d_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & X_{d_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$Y_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]} = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{d_1} & & & \\ & Y_{d_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & Y_{d_m} \end{pmatrix}$$

where for $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$H_r = \begin{pmatrix} r-1 & & & & \\ & r-3 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & -(r-3) & \\ & & & & -(r-1) \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 0 & 1 & & \\ & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & 0 & 1 \\ & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_r = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & & \\ \lambda_1 & 0 & & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & 0 & & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & 0 & \\ & & & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & & & \lambda_{n-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\lambda_i = i(n-i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Then $\mathfrak{sl}_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ corresponds to the nilpotent SL_n -orbits of $X_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ and the partition $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$ of n .

For any irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_n -representation V , denote the multiset of weights in V restricting to \mathfrak{sl} (resp. \mathfrak{sl}') by $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}}$ (resp. $\Lambda'_{\mathfrak{sl}}$). If \mathfrak{sl} and \mathfrak{sl}' correspond to the same partition (equivalently, they are SL_n -conjugate), then $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{sl}} = \Lambda'_{\mathfrak{sl}}$. Consequently, when we restrict an irreducible \mathfrak{sl}_n -representation to any \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra, it suffices to restrict to $\mathfrak{sl}_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$. Recall that $L(\omega_k) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

3.1. Principal subalgebras. In this subsection, we let $\mathfrak{sl} = \mathfrak{sl}_{[n]}$ be a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra generated by $H = H_n, X = X_n, Y = Y_n$. We firstly study the restriction of $L(\omega_1) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} \mathbb{C}^n$ to \mathfrak{sl} . Then H is regular, all eigenvalues of ad_H are even integers, and H is diagonalizable with n distinct eigenvalues, say $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Indeed, all eigenvalues of ad_H are of the form $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$. Hence λ_i 's are all even or all odd. It is well-known that in decomposing the \mathfrak{sl} -representation \mathbb{C}^n , the number of irreducible summands is the sum of dimensions of 0-weight space and 1-weight space, which is equal to the sum of multiplicities of eigenvalues 0 and 1 of H acting on \mathbb{C}^n . Since λ_i are distinct and all even or all odd, a number of irreducible summands is exactly one. Therefore, $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathfrak{sl}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_1) \cong F_{n-1}$.

Now, we consider $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$. For $d, n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, define

$$P_k(d) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mid a_i \in \mathbb{N}, a_i > a_{i+1} \text{ and } a_1 + \dots + a_k = d\},$$

$$P_k^n(d) := \{(a_1, \dots, a_k) \mid a_i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, a_i > a_{i+1} \text{ and } a_1 + \dots + a_k = d\},$$

and define

$$p_k(d) := |P_k(d)|, \quad p_k^n(d) := |P_k^n(d)|.$$

Proposition 3.1. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ and nonnegative integer j ,

$$\text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)) = p_k^n\left(\frac{kn-j+k}{2}\right) - p_k^n\left(\frac{kn-j+k}{2} - 1\right).$$

Proof. Consider H_n acting on $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with the natural basis

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

Let V_j be the weight space of weight j in $\wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$ as a representation of \mathfrak{s} . It is easy to check that

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n \text{ and } i_1 + \dots + i_k = \frac{kn-j+k}{2}\}.$$

is a basis of V_j . Then

$$\text{mult}(F_j : L(\omega_k)) = \dim V_j - \dim V_{j+2} = p_k^n\left(\frac{kn-j+k}{2}\right) - p_k^n\left(\frac{kn-j+k}{2} - 1\right)$$

as desired. \square

We already described above that $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_1) \cong F_d$ where $d = n-1$. It is known that $F_d \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)$. Then

$$L(\omega_k) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(F_d) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

By [10], the q -binomial coefficient of $\wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2))$ and $S^k(S^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^2))$ are equal:

$$\binom{d+1}{k}_q = \binom{k+(n-k)}{k}_q.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} S^k(S^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

Therefore, the problem of principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -types in fundamental representations has a direct relation with plethysms $S^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2))$.

One can apply [11, I.8] to describe multiplicities in $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$ for $k = 2, 3$ as follow. Recall that irreducible representation of SL_n can be parametrized by partitions λ with length at most n . Their characters s_λ are Schur functions on n variables. In particular, as a representation of SL_2 ,

$$s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}(q, q^{-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} q^{2\lambda_2 - \lambda_1 + 2j}$$

which is the same as the character of $F_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}$. Hence

$$\text{mult}(s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} : s_{(k)} \circ s_{(n-k)}) = \text{mult}(F_{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2} : \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)).$$

For $k = 2$, by [11, I.8, 9(a)],

$$s_{(2)} \circ s_{(n-2)} = \sum_{i \text{ even}} s_{(2n-i-4, i)}.$$

Hence, for each integer $j \geq 0$,

$$\text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_s^{\text{sl}_n} L(\omega_2)) = \text{mult}(s_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)} : s_{(2)} \circ s_{(n-2)}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \lambda_2 \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(2n + j - 4)$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(2n - j - 4)$.

For $k = 3$, by [11, I.8, 9(b)],

$$s_{(3)} \circ s_{(n-3)} = \sum_{\mu} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{1}{6}m(\mu) \right\rfloor + \varepsilon(\mu) \right) s_{(\mu)},$$

where it is summed over partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ of $3n - 9$ with lengths at most 3,

$$\varepsilon(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m(\mu) \equiv \mu_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \text{ or } m(\mu) \equiv 3 \text{ or } 5 \pmod{6}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and $m(\mu) = \min\{\mu_1 - \mu_2, \mu_2 - \mu_3\}$. Hence, for each integer $j \geq 0$,

$$\text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_s^{\text{sl}_n} L(\omega_3)) = \text{mult}(s_{(\mu_1, \mu_2)} : s_{(3)} \circ s_{(n-3)}) = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{6}m(\mu) \right\rfloor + \varepsilon(\mu),$$

where $\mu_1 = \frac{1}{2}(3n + j - 9)$ and $\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2}(3n - j - 9)$.

The plethysms $S^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^r))$ has been extensively studied. For the explicit decomposition when $k = 2, 3$ and 4, we refer the reader to [13]. The more recent paper [7] (and the references therein) also contains the results for k up to 5.

The multiplicities of F_j in $S^m(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2))$ can also be described by Cayley-Sylvester formula (see [14, Theorem 3.3.4] and [12, 3.3.1.4]),

$$(4) \quad \text{mult}(F_j : S^m(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2))) = \pi\left(d, m, \frac{1}{2}(md - j)\right) - \pi\left(d, m, \frac{1}{2}(md - j) - 1\right)$$

where $\pi(n, k, d)$ is the number of partitions of the number d into at most k parts of size at most n . In particular, by equation (3),

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_s^{\text{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)) \\ &= \pi\left(n - k, k, \frac{1}{2}(k(n - k) - j)\right) - \pi\left(n - k, k, \frac{1}{2}(k(n - k) - j) - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

One has a recurrence relation

$$\pi(n, k, d) = \pi(n - 1, k, d) + \pi(n, k - 1, d - n).$$

There is also a generating function of $\pi(n, k, d)$ (cf. [3, 15]),

$$\frac{G_n(t)G_k(t)}{G_{n+k}(t)} = \sum_{d=0}^{\infty} \pi(n, k, d)t^d, \quad G_m(t) = \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1-t^i}.$$

Remark 3.2. It can be checked that there is a bijection from $P_k^n(d)$ to the set of partitions of the number $d - k(k+1)/2$ of length at most k and size at most n given by $a_i \mapsto b_i := a_i - (k - i + 1)$. Hence

$$p_k^n(d) = \pi(n - k, k, d - k(k+1)/2).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p_k^n \left(\frac{kn - j + k}{2} \right) &= \pi \left(n - k, k, \frac{kn - j + k}{2} - \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \right) \\ &= \pi \left(n - k, k, \frac{1}{2}(k(n - k) - j) \right). \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Non-principal subalgebras. Let \mathfrak{s} be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra of type $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$. For each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, let $\Lambda_k^{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ be the multiset of weights in $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$. If there is no ambiguity, we simply write Λ_k . Consider $H_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ acting on the natural basis $\{e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}$ of $L(\omega_k) \cong \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Label the diagonal entries of $H_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ as h_{ii} for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\Lambda_k = \{h_{i_1 i_1} + h_{i_2 i_2} + \dots + h_{i_k i_k} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n\}.$$

In fact, $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$ is completely described by Λ_k .

Remark 3.3. Let \mathfrak{s} be a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra. As representations of \mathfrak{s} , $\mathbb{C}^n \cong F_d \cong S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)$ where $d + 1 = n$. Then

$$L(\omega_k) \cong_{\mathfrak{sl}_n} \wedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(F_d) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

By [10], the q -binomial coefficient of $\wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2))$ and $S^k(S^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^2))$ are equal:

$$\binom{d+1}{k}_q = \binom{k+(n-k)}{k}_q.$$

Hence

$$L(\omega_k) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} \wedge^k(S^d(\mathbb{C}^2)) \cong_{\mathfrak{s}} S^k(S^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^2)).$$

By the Hermite reciprocity law, $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k) \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_{n-k})$. Since

$$\binom{n}{i}_q \neq \binom{n}{j}_q$$

for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$, we have $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_i) \not\cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_j)$ for any distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\}$. This implies that we can consider $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$ for only k up to $\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.

Remark 3.4. In the view of representation theory of \mathfrak{sl}_n , a representation V of \mathfrak{sl}_n and its dual V^* are not isomorphic in general. However, a representations of \mathfrak{sl}_2 are self dual, it immediately follows that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} V \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} V^*$$

for any \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra \mathfrak{s} .

According to our knowledge, it is very complicated to explicitly determine Λ_k or multiplicities for arbitrary k and partitions. We investigate $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k)$ for small k as follows. For $k = 1$, Λ_1 precisely consists of the diagonal entries of $H_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$. It immediately follows that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}_{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_1) \cong F_{d_1-1} \oplus F_{d_2-1} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{d_m-1}.$$

The following proposition describes the case $k = 2$.

Proposition 3.5. Let \mathfrak{s} be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_n of type $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$. Then

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_2) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{d_i \geq 3} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}_{[d_i]}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_{d_i}} L(\omega_2) \right) \oplus sF_0 \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{i < j} F_{d_i-1} \otimes F_{d_j-1} \right)$$

where s is the number of d_i such that $d_i = 2$.

Proof. For $h_{ii}, h_{jj} \in \{d_s - 1, d_s - 3, \dots, -(d_s - 3), -(d_s - 1)\}$ where $d_s \geq 3$, the weights $h_{ii} + h_{jj}$ contribute $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}_{[d_s]}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_{d_s}} L(\omega_2)$. If $d_s = 2$, then $h_{ii} + h_{jj} = 0$ and so it contributes F_0 .

For $h_{ii} \in \{d_s - 1, d_s - 3, \dots, -(d_s - 3), -(d_s - 1)\}$ and $h_{jj} \in \{d_t - 1, d_t - 3, \dots, -(d_t - 3), -(d_t - 1)\}$ where $s < t$, the weights $h_{ii} + h_{jj}$ contribute

$$F_{d_s-d_t} \oplus F_{d_s-d_t+2} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{d_s+d_t-2}$$

which is isomorphic as \mathfrak{s} -representations to $F_{d_s-1} \otimes F_{d_t-1}$. \square

Note that $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_2)$ can be expressed in terms of branching rules to principal subalgebras which already determined in subsection 3.1. Unfortunately, we are not able to do so for $k \geq 3$ and arbitrary partitions. We believe that to solve this problem, other techniques should be involved. However, if k and a partition $[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]$ are given, one can explicitly determine $\Lambda_k^{[d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m]}$ as shown in the following example.

Example 3.6. Let \mathfrak{s} be an \mathfrak{sl}_2 -subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_7 of type $[4, 3]$. The diagonal entries of $H_{[4,3]}$ are 3, 1, -1, -3, 2, 0, -2. Then the multiset Λ_3 consists of 3, 1, 6, 4, 2, -1, 4, 2, 0, 2, 0, -2, 5, 3, 1, -3, 2, 0, -2, 0, -2, -4, 3, 1, -1, -2, -4, -6, 1, -1, -3, -1, -3, -5, 0. Then we rearrange these weights as

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 6 & 4 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -4 & -6 \\ & 5 & 3 & 1 & -1 & -3 & -5 \\ & & 4 & 2 & 0 & -2 & -4 \\ & & & 3 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\ & & & & 3 & 1 & -1 & -3 \\ & & & & & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ & & & & & & 2 & 0 & -2 \\ & & & & & & & 1 & -1 \\ & & & & & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

where each row represents irreducible representation of \mathfrak{sl}_2 of highest weight equal to the left most number. Hence,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_7} L(\omega_3) \cong F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus 2F_2 \oplus 2F_3 \oplus F_4 \oplus F_5 \oplus F_6.$$

Previously, we fix a small k and consider arbitrary partitions. On the other hand, we consider a fixed partition and arbitrary k . In particular, we consider partitions of the type $[r, 1^{n-r}]$ where 1^{n-r} means there are $n - r$ copies of 1's, and the type $[r, s]$. By convention, $L(\omega_0)$ means a one dimensional representation.

Proposition 3.7. Let $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. For any integer $r \geq 1$,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}_{[r, 1^{n-r}]}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_n} L(\omega_k) \cong \bigoplus_{j=k-\alpha}^{\beta} \binom{n-r}{j} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}_{[r]}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_r} L(\omega_{k-j}) \oplus \binom{n-r}{k} F_0,$$

where $\alpha = \min\{k, r\}$, $\beta = \min\{k-1, n-r\}$ and $\binom{n-r}{k} = 0$ if $n-r < k$.

Proof. The diagonal entries of $H_{[r, 1^{n-r}]}$ are $r-1, r-3, \dots, -(r-1), 0, 0, \dots, 0$ with $n-r$ zeroes. Let $\lambda = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_k \in \Lambda_k^{[r, 1^{n-r}]}$. If all of a_i 's belong to $\{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$, they are all zeroes, which contribute $\binom{n-r}{k} F_0$. On the other hand, suppose that at least one of a_i 's is chosen from $r-1, r-3, \dots, -(r-1)$. The largest amount of a_i 's in $\{r-1, r-3, \dots, -(r-1)\}$ is α and $(k-\alpha)$ a_i 's are collected from $\{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$. Also, the least amount of a_i in $\{r-1, r-3, \dots, -(r-1)\}$ is one and the rest, $(k-1)$ a_i 's, are collected from $\{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$. So the largest amount of a_i 's in $\{0, 0, \dots, 0\}$ is β . Thus we get $\bigoplus_{j=k-\alpha}^{\beta} \binom{n-r}{j} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[r]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_r} L(\omega_{k-j})$ from this case. \square

Proposition 3.8. Let $k = 1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$. For any integers $r \geq s \geq 1$,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[r,s]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_n} L(\omega_k) \cong \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\beta} \left(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[r]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_r} L(\omega_{k-j}) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[s]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_s} L(\omega_j) \right),$$

where $\beta = \min\{k, s\}$ and we regard $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[s]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_s} L(\omega_s) = F_0$.

Proof. We prove by considering $\Lambda_k^{[r,s]}$. Let $A = \{r-1, r-3, \dots, -(r-1)\}$ and $B = \{s-1, s-3, \dots, -(s-1)\}$. Each element of $\Lambda_k^{[r,s]}$ is coming from choosing $k-j$ elements from A and j elements from B and sum them. In other words, $\Lambda_k^{[r,s]}$ is a disjoint union of the multiset B_j for $j = 0, 1, \dots, \beta$ where

$$B_j = \{a_1 + \dots + a_{k-j} + b_1 + \dots + b_j \mid a_i \in A, a_i \text{'s distinct}, b_i \in B, b_i \text{'s distinct}\},$$

and a_0 (resp. b_0) means no elements from A (resp. B). Note that B_j precisely consists of weights coming from $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[r]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_r} L(\omega_{k-j}) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[s]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_s} L(\omega_j)$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.9. We compute $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4,3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_7} L(\omega_3)$ by using Proposition 3.8 and compare to the Example 3.6 that the result are the same.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4,3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_7} L(\omega_3) &\cong \left(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_4} L(\omega_3) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_3} L(\omega_0) \right) \oplus \left(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_4} L(\omega_2) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_3} L(\omega_1) \right) \\ &\quad \oplus \left(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_4} L(\omega_1) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_3} L(\omega_2) \right) \oplus \left(\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[4]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_4} L(\omega_0) \otimes \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}[3]}^{\mathfrak{s}l_3} L(\omega_3) \right) \\ &\cong (F_3 \otimes F_0) \oplus ((F_0 \oplus F_4) \otimes F_2) \oplus (F_3 \otimes F_2) \oplus (F_0 \otimes F_0) \\ &\cong F_3 \oplus (2F_2 \oplus F_4 \oplus F_6) \oplus (F_1 \oplus F_3 \oplus F_5) \oplus F_0 \\ &\cong F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus 2F_2 \oplus 2F_3 \oplus F_4 \oplus F_5 \oplus F_6. \end{aligned}$$

4. EXAMPLES

The following examples show how to apply Theorem 1.1 repeatedly to determine multiplicities.


Example 4.1. Let \mathfrak{s} be a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra of \mathfrak{sl}_5 . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, let $m_j(\lambda) = \text{mult}(F_j : \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{s}l_n} L(\lambda))$ and ω_i defined as in Section 2. We want to compute $m_0(2\omega_1 + \omega_3)$. Observe that $P(2\omega_1, 3) = \{2\omega_1 + \omega_3, \omega_1 + \omega_4\}$ as shown in the figure below:



By Theorem 1.1, we get

$$m_0(2\omega_1 + \omega_3) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(2\omega_1)m_j(\omega_3) - m_0(\omega_1 + \omega_4).$$

So, we need to compute $m_0(\omega_1 + \omega_4)$, $m_d(2\omega_1)$, and $m_d(\omega_3)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Note that $P(\omega_1, 4) = \{\omega_1 + \omega_4, 0\}$ as the following figure.



Again, by Theorem 1.1,

$$m_0(\omega_1 + \omega_4) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(\omega_1)m_j(\omega_4) - m_0(0) = m_4(\omega_1)m_4(\omega_4) - m_0(0) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

where the second equation follows from $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_1) \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_4) \cong F_4$. For $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_d(2\omega_1) &= \sum_{(j,j')} m_j(\omega_1)m_{j'}(\omega_1) - \sum_{\mu \in P(\omega_1, 1) - \{2\omega_1\}} m_d(\mu) \\ &= \sum_{(j,j')} m_j(\omega_1)m_{j'}(\omega_1) - m_d(\omega_2). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that the summand $m_j(\omega_1)m_{j'}(\omega_1) = 1$ if and only if $j = j' = 4$. Since $\Lambda_2^{[5]} = \{6, 4, 2, 0, 2, 0, -2, -2, -4, -6\}$, $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_2) \cong F_2 \oplus F_6$. Then

$$m_d(2\omega_1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d = 0, 4 \text{ or } 8, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\Lambda_3^{[5]} = \{6, 4, 2, 0, 2, 0, -2, -2, -4, -6\}$, $\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_3) \cong F_2 \oplus F_6$. Hence

$$m_0(2\omega_1 + \omega_3) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j(2\omega_1)m_j(\omega_3) - m_0(\omega_1 + \omega_4) = 0.$$

Example 4.2. Retain all notations in Example 4.1 except that we consider the multiplicity $m_1(2\omega_1 + \omega_3)$ in the branching rule of $L(2\omega_1 + \omega_3)$ to an \mathfrak{sl}_2 subalgebra \mathfrak{s} of type $[3, 2]$. We still need to compute $m_1(\omega_1 + \omega_4)$, $m_d(2\omega_1)$, and $m_d(\omega_3)$ for $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. It is obvious that

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_1) \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_4) \cong F_1 \oplus F_2.$$

Since $\Lambda_1^{[3,2]} = \Lambda_4^{[3,2]} = \{2, 0, 3, 1, -2, 1, -1, -1, -3, 0\}$,

$$\text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_2) \cong \text{Res}_{\mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{sl}_5} L(\omega_3) \cong F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus F_2 \oplus F_3.$$

By Theorem 1.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1(\omega_1 + \omega_4) &= \sum_{j,j'} m_j(\omega_1)m_{j'}(\omega_4) - m_1(0) \\
&= m_1(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_4) + m_2(\omega_1)m_1(\omega_4) - m_1(0) \\
&= 1 + 1 - 0n \\
&= 2.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider

$$m_d(2\omega_1) = \sum_{(j,j')} m_j(\omega_1)m_{j'}(\omega_1) - m_d(\omega_2)$$

for each d . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0(2\omega_1) &= m_1(\omega_1)m_1(\omega_1) + m_2(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_1) - m_0(\omega_2) = 2 - 1 = 1 \\
m_1(2\omega_1) &= m_1(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_1) + m_2(\omega_1)m_1(\omega_1) - m_1(\omega_2) = 2 - 1 = 1 \\
m_2(2\omega_1) &= m_1(\omega_1)m_1(\omega_1) + m_2(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_1) - m_2(\omega_2) = 2 - 1 = 1 \\
m_3(2\omega_1) &= m_1(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_1) + m_2(\omega_1)m_1(\omega_1) - m_3(\omega_2) = 2 - 1 = 1 \\
m_4(2\omega_1) &= m_2(\omega_1)m_2(\omega_1) - m_4(\omega_2) = 1 - 0 = 1 \\
m_d(2\omega_1) &= 0 \text{ for } d \geq 5.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
m_1(2\omega_1 + \omega_3) &= m_0(2\omega_1)m_1(\omega_3) + m_1(2\omega_1)m_0(\omega_3) + m_1(2\omega_1)m_2(\omega_3) \\
&\quad + m_2(2\omega_1)m_1(\omega_3) + m_2(2\omega_1)m_3(\omega_3) + m_3(2\omega_1)m_2(\omega_3) \\
&\quad + m_4(2\omega_1)m_3(\omega_3) - m_1(\omega_1 + \omega_4) \\
&= 7 - 2 = 5.
\end{aligned}$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author would like to thank Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore for an excellent working environment, where a part of this work was carried out. The first author is also supported by the MOE grant A-0004586-00-00. The third author acknowledges the support by Office of the Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Research and Innovation (OPS MHESI), Thailand Science Research and Innovation (TSRI) (Grant No. RGNS 64-096).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. H. Collingwood and W. M. McGovern. Nilpotent Orbits in Semisimple Lie Algebras, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1993.
- [2] W. Fulton, and J. Harris. Representation theory: a first course. Vol. 129. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [3] C.F. Gauss, Quarundam Serierum Singularium, Comm. Soc. Reg. Sci. Gottingen Rec., 1 (1811); Werke, II, (1863), 16–21.
- [4] R. Goodman and N. R. Wallach, *Symmetry, representations, and invariants*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 255, Springer, Dordrecht, 2009. MR2522486
- [5] A. Henderson, Representations of Lie Algebras: An Introduction Through \mathfrak{gl}_n , Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, Series Number 22, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [6] N. M. Katz, Exponential Sums and Differential Equations, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1990.

- [7] T. Kahle and M. Michalek, Plethysm and Lattice Point Counting, *Found Comput Math*, 16 (2016), 1241–1261.
- [8] B. Kostant, The principal three-dimensional subgroup and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group, *Amer. J. Math.* 81 (1959), 973–1032.
- [9] J. Kleinberg and E. Tardos, *Algorithm Design*, Pearson Education, India, 2006.
- [10] H. Lhou and J. F. Willenbring, Lowest $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -types in \mathfrak{sl}_n -representations, *Represent. Theory* 21 (2017), 20–34.
- [11] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford university press, 1998.
- [12] R. Howe, The classical groups and invariants of binary forms, *The mathematical heritage of Hermann Weyl* (Durham, NC, 1987), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, vol. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 133–166. MR974333 (90e:22022)
- [13] R. Howe, (GL_n, GL_m) -duality and symmetric plethysm, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. (Math. Sci.)* 97 (1987), no. 1–3, 85–109.
- [14] T.A. Springer, *Invariant theory*, Lecture Note in Math. 585, Springer-Verlag 1977
- [15] J. J. Sylvester, A Constructive Theory of Partitions, Arranged in Three Acts, an Interact and an Exodion, *American Journal of Mathematics*, V (1882), 251–330; VI (1884), 334–336; *Coll. Math. Papers*, IV (1912), 1–83.

KORKEAT KORKEATHIKHUN, 1) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 119076, SINGAPORE, 2) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY, BANGKOK 10330, THAILAND

Email address: korkeat.k@chula.ac.th, korkeat.k@gmail.com

BORWORN KHUHIRUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, THAMMASAT UNIVERSITY, RANGSIT CAMPUS, PATHUM THANI 12121, THAILAND

Email address: borwornk@mathstat.sci.tu.ac.th

SONGPON SRIWONGSA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KING MONGKUT'S UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY THONBURI (KMUTT), BANGKOK 10140, THAILAND

Email address: songpon.sri@kmutt.ac.th, songponsriwongsa@gmail.com

KENG WIBOONTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHULALONGKORN UNIVERSITY, BANGKOK 10330, THAILAND

Email address: keng.w@chula.ac.th, kwiboonton@gmail.com