

Cosmological Magnetic Fields from Ultralight Dark Matter

Robert Brandenberger,^{1,*} Jürg Fröhlich,^{2,†} and Hao Jiao^{1,‡}

¹*Department of Physics, McGill University, Montréal, QC, H3A 2T8, Canada*

²*Institute of Theoretical Physics, ETH Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland*

(Dated: February 27, 2025)

We propose a mechanism for the generation of magnetic fields on cosmological scales that is operative after recombination. An essential ingredient is an instability (of parametric resonance type) of the electromagnetic field driven by an oscillating pseudo-scalar dark matter field, ϕ , that is coupled to the electromagnetic field tensor via a $\phi F \wedge F$ term in the Lagrangian of axion-electrodynamics. We find that magnetic fields larger than the observational lower bounds can be generated soon after recombination on scales of 1Mpc.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is increasing evidence¹ for the existence of magnetic fields on cosmological scales. Since such fields are present in voids, it is natural to assume that they are primordial in origin. In particular, there is a lower bound [5] on the amplitude of inter-galactic magnetic fields from the non-observation of γ -ray cascade emission

$$B(r) > 10^{-17} \text{Gauss} \quad (1)$$

on length scales, r , larger than 10^{-1}Mpc .² The lower bound on the magnetic field increases on smaller scales, but on such scales the origin of the magnetic fields may be astrophysical. We will not consider them in this letter.

The origin of cosmological magnetic fields remains a mystery. One popular mechanism for the generation of such fields relies on processes breaking the scale-invariance in the electromagnetic sector that occur during a primordial period of cosmological inflation (see e.g. [7, 8]). They may occur if the inflaton, ϕ_I , is a pseudo-scalar field coupling to the photon field via a $\phi_I F \wedge F$ term (see [9–14]).³ In the presence of such terms in the Lagrangian violating scale-invariance it is also possible that magnetic fields are generated in cosmological phase transitions [21]. A key challenge for the viability of this particular mechanism is to show that magnetic fields are generated on sufficiently large scales, because the typical length scale on which the magnetic field is produced initially is smaller than the Hubble radius at the time of the phase transition. The fact that, in magnetohydrodynamics, an inverse energy cascade (flow of energy from

shorter to longer wavelength modes) has been established may help the argument. However, in both mechanisms, one faces the problem that the amplitude of the magnetic field decreases between the time of the phase transition and the present.

In this letter we propose a mechanism for the generation of magnetic fields on cosmological scales that becomes relevant after the time of recombination. Our mechanism links magnetogenesis to the dark matter mystery. We assume that dark matter is “wave-like” (see [22, 23] for reviews of wave dark matter) and is described by a pseudo-scalar axion field ϕ that couples to electromagnetism via the standard $\phi F \wedge F$ term. We assume that dark matter is created via a standard misalignment mechanism and, hence, that the axion field ϕ starts to oscillate coherently in our Hubble patch once the Hubble rate H has fallen below the dark-matter mass, m . The oscillations of the field ϕ induce a “tachyonic” resonance in the electromagnetic field, a phenomenon previously explored in different contexts, such as [24] (axion monodromy inflation), [25] (inflationary magnetogenesis), [26] (graviton-induced ALP decay), and [27] (graviton to photon conversion). In our scenario, magnetic fields are generated at rather late times, namely after the time of recombination.⁴

II. MAGNETIC FIELD GENERATION FROM AN OSCILLATING ULTRALIGHT DARK MATTER FIELD

As announced, we assume that dark matter comes from a pseudo-scalar, ultralight dark-matter field ϕ with a potential, V , that is quadratic in ϕ near its minimum,

$$V(\phi) \simeq \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2. \quad (2)$$

Considerations of structure formation imply a lower bound [30] on m of the order of $m > 10^{-20} \text{eV}$ (for

*Electronic address: rhb@physics.mcgill.ca

†Electronic address: juerg@phys.ethz.ch

‡Electronic address: hao.jiao@mail.mcgill.ca

¹ See e.g. [1–4] for reviews on cosmological magnetic fields.

² There is also an upper bound on the strength of the magnetic field of $B < 10^{-9} \text{Gauss}$ on these scales derived from the non-observation of magnetic field signals in the cosmic microwave background [6].

³ This mechanism has been explored in the context of inflation in many works (see, e.g., [15, 16].) A potential parametric resonance instability was discussed in [17, 18], and in [16, 19, 20] it was emphasized that the resulting magnetic field will be helical.

⁴ See also the parametric resonance instability to matter generation from an oscillating inflaton field at the end of the period of inflation [28, 29].

lower values of m , structures on scales probed by Lyman α emitters would be suppressed). Assuming dark matter to be wavelike yields an upper bound on m , namely $m < 10\text{eV}$; (see e.g. [31]). The field quanta of ϕ can be identified with axion- or axion-like particles constituting dark matter [32]. Axion fields are ubiquitous in string theory, and it is not hard to argue for the existence of fields with ultralight masses (see e.g. [33] for a recent construction).

We will introduce a dimensionless measure of the dark matter mass via

$$m \equiv m_{20}10^{-20}\text{eV}. \quad (3)$$

A homogeneous coherent scalar-field configuration will remain frozen by Hubble damping until the time when $H \sim m$, where H is the Hubble expansion rate. Making use of the Friedmann equation we find that this is the case up to the time when the temperature T of the universe drops below a value of roughly

$$T \sim m_{20}^{1/2}10^4 T_{eq}, \quad (4)$$

where T_{eq} is the temperature at the time of matter-radiation equality. For our mechanism to be relevant for an explanation of the observed cosmic magnetic fields, we must require the coherence of the ϕ configuration to persist until the time of recombination. This implies that one must assume the mass m to be very small, since, in this case, the field ϕ starts to oscillate at a rather late time and, as a consequence, there is no time for its coherence to decay too early.

Axion fields typically interact with the electromagnetic field as described by a Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + g_{\phi\gamma}\phi F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (5)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor of the vector potential A_μ , and $\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}$ is its dual; the coupling constant $g_{\phi\gamma}$ has inverse mass units. Using the homogeneous Maxwell equations to eliminate the electric field, \vec{E} , and assuming that ϕ only depends on physical time t , one finds that the Lagrangian in (5) yields the following equation for the magnetic induction, \vec{B} ,

$$-\Delta\vec{B} + \ddot{\vec{B}} + 3H\dot{\vec{B}} + \frac{3}{2}\dot{H}\vec{B} + \left(\frac{3}{2}H\right)^2\vec{B} - g_{\phi\gamma}\dot{\phi}\vec{\nabla}\wedge\vec{B} = 0, \quad (6)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, a is the scale factor of the background space-time, and the overdot indicates a derivative with respect to physical time t , while the field equation for ϕ , with $V(\phi)$ as in (2), is given by

$$\square\phi = -g_{\phi\gamma}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B} - m^2\phi. \quad (7)$$

From the non-observation of events caused by interactions of dark matter with photons there are mass-dependent upper limits on the coupling constant $g_{\phi\gamma}$. A

conservative upper bound is (see e.g. [34] for a review and [35] for a web site with updated bounds)

$$\tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma} \ll 1, \quad (8)$$

where

$$g_{\phi\gamma} \equiv \tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}10^{-10}\text{GeV}^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

The interaction term in (5) violates CP symmetry and affects the two photon polarization states differently. Thus, it may lead to the generation of a helical magnetic field.

Assuming that the axion field ϕ only depends on time, the field equations (6) yield the following equations of motion for the amplitudes, \mathcal{A}_\pm , of the electromagnetic vector potential in Fourier space (see [3] for a detailed discussion)

$$(\partial_\eta^2 + k^2 \pm kg_{\phi\gamma}a(\eta)\dot{\phi})\mathcal{A}_\pm = 0, \quad (10)$$

where η is conformal time. Note that, in an expanding background, k is the comoving wave number. The equations (6) and (10) are valid in the absence of an electromagnetic plasma, i.e., only during a period when photons propagate without scattering. Thus, they are applicable after recombination.

From (10) we conclude that, in the presence of a dynamical axion field, there is a ‘‘tachyonic’’ instability in the evolution of \mathcal{A}_\pm . Depending on the sign of $\dot{\phi}$ this instability affects different polarization modes. Under our assumptions, ϕ is oscillating in time, and in this case during half of the oscillation period one polarization state is unstable, while during the other half of the period the other state is unstable. Since a varies in time, the Floquet exponent describing the exponential instability varies in time, and thus, when integrated over time, an asymmetry between the two polarization states arises, resulting in a helical magnetic field.

The instability described here affects only long wavelength modes. For an oscillating ϕ background, $\dot{\phi} \sim \phi_0 m$, with ϕ_0 the initial amplitude of oscillation at recombination, the critical comoving wavenumber, k_c , above which the instability shuts off is given by

$$k_c(\eta) \simeq g_{\phi\gamma}m\phi_0a(\eta). \quad (11)$$

Note that the instability shifts to higher values of k as time increases. Since the instability sets in at the time of recombination and proceeds rapidly, we focus on this particular time.

We assume that the field ϕ accounts for most of the dark matter in the universe. At the time of recombination $m\phi_0$ must then be given by

$$m\phi_0 \sim T_{rec}^2, \quad (12)$$

where $T_{rec} \sim 10^{-1}\text{eV}$ is the temperature of the universe at the time of recombination. Hence, the comoving wavelength, λ_c , below which the instability is shut off is given by

$$\lambda_c \sim \tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}^{-1}10^{-6}\text{Mpc}. \quad (13)$$

Next, we provide an order of magnitude estimate of the magnetic field generated according to our mechanism. The instability in the evolution of the electromagnetic field manifests itself in an exponential growth of $\mathcal{A}_{\pm}(k)$ with the Floquet exponent, μ_k , given by

$$\mu_k = (g_{\phi\gamma} a m \phi(t) k)^{1/2}, \quad (14)$$

where t is physical time, and $\phi(t)$ here denotes the amplitude of the envelope of the oscillating scalar field which is equal to ϕ_0 at the time of recombination. Note that the Floquet exponent for the resonance increases with k until $k = k_c$. Since the phase space of Fourier modes scales as k^3 , most of the dark matter energy flows into modes with $k \sim k_c$. Evaluated at this value we obtain

$$\mu_{k_c} = g_{\phi\gamma} a m \phi(t). \quad (15)$$

The expansion of space is negligible, provided that $\mu_k(t) > H(t)$. Taking into account the decay of the amplitude of ϕ , namely $\phi(t) \sim T^{3/2}$, where T is the temperature at time t , and making use of the Friedmann equations to determine $H(t)$, the efficiency criterion at time t becomes

$$g_{\phi\gamma} m \phi_0 > m_{pl}^{-1} T_{rec}^2 \left(\frac{T}{T_{rec}}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{T_{rec}}{T_0}\right) \quad (16)$$

where T_0 is the current temperature of the CMB. With relation (12) we obtain the condition

$$\tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma} > 10^{-6} \left(\frac{T}{T_{rec}}\right)^{3/2} \quad (17)$$

for efficient resonance. Note that since μ decreases in time less fast than H , it is possible that the efficiency condition is not satisfied at $T = T_{rec}$, but becomes satisfied later on.

Back-reaction will shut off the resonant production of photons once a fraction $\mathcal{F} \ll 1$ of the dark matter density has been drained. This shutoff is expected to happen shortly after the time of recombination. The power spectrum, $P_B(k_c)$ of the magnetic field (which is the mean-square value of B in a sphere of radius k^{-1}) at the critical scale k_c is thus given by

$$P_B(k_c) \sim \mathcal{F} T_{rec}^4 \quad (18)$$

which corresponds to a characteristic position space value of B on the scale k_c of

$$B(k_c) \sim \mathcal{F}^{1/2} \text{Gauss}. \quad (19)$$

Note that this is the amplitude at $T = T_{rec}$, the time when the instability takes place. Afterwards, the amplitude of B will decay as $a(t)^{-2}$.

The scaling law of the magnetic field on larger length scales follows the one of the magnetic field in a phase

transition, which scales with wavenumber on length scales larger than the characteristic wavelength of the transition. It is thus given by (see [3] for a review)

$$B(k) \simeq \left(\frac{k}{k_c}\right)^n B(k_c), \quad (20)$$

where $n = 3/2$ for the helical magnetic field component, and $n = 1$ for the non-helical component. Evaluating the result for $n = 3/2$, and making use of the field amplitude (19) at the critical scale and the value (11) for the critical wavenumber, the magnetic field on one Megaparsec scale ($k = k_1 \simeq 10^{-38} \text{GeV}$) is found to be given by

$$B(k_1) \sim \tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}^{-3/2} \mathcal{F}^{1/2} 10^{-15} \text{Gauss}, \quad (21)$$

where we have included the dilution after energy transfer.

We conclude that the mechanism described here can give rise to the growth of helical magnetic fields on Megaparsec scales sufficiently large to be compatible with observational bounds. Note that the scaling of our final result, $\propto \tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}^{-3/2}$, follows from the fact that k_c scales as $\tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}$. This scaling relation only holds down to a value of $\tilde{g}_{\phi\gamma}$ when (17) ceases to be satisfied.

III. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

In this letter we have proposed a plausible mechanism for the generation of magnetic fields on cosmological scales. Our mechanism works at late times – specifically after the time of recombination. It is based on the “tachyonic” instability of infrared modes of the electromagnetic field, which sets in if a coherently oscillating pseudo-scalar field ϕ is coupled to electromagnetism through a $\phi F \wedge F$ term in the Lagrangian. This term is typical for an axion field ϕ , which we have assumed to have an ultralight mass.

A key point of our proposal is that it provides a link between dark matter and cosmological magnetic fields. A mechanism similar to ours has been used recently [36] to provide sufficient Lyman-Werner radiation to allow DCBH (direct collapse black hole) formation from energy density fluctuations in the standard cosmological scenario.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported in part by funds from NSERC and from the Canada Research Chair program. JH acknowledges support from a Milton Leung Fellowship in Science. RB thanks the Institute of Particle and Astrophysics and the Institute of Theoretical Physics of the ETH for hospitality.

- [1] A. Kandus, K. E. Kunze and C. G. Tsagas, “Primordial magnetogenesis,” *Phys. Rept.* **505**, 1-58 (2011) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2011.03.001 [arXiv:1007.3891 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [2] L. M. Widrow, “Origin of galactic and extragalactic magnetic fields,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 775-823 (2002) doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.74.775 [arXiv:astro-ph/0207240 [astro-ph]].
- [3] R. Durrer and A. Neronov, “Cosmological Magnetic Fields: Their Generation, Evolution and Observation,” *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **21**, 62 (2013) doi:10.1007/s00159-013-0062-7 [arXiv:1303.7121 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [4] T. Vachaspati, “Progress on cosmological magnetic fields,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **84**, no.7, 074901 (2021) doi:10.1088/1361-6633/ac03a9 [arXiv:2010.10525 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [5] A. M. Taylor, I. Vovk and A. Neronov, “Extragalactic magnetic fields constraints from simultaneous GeV-TeV observations of blazars,” *Astron. Astrophys.* **529**, A144 (2011) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201116441 [arXiv:1101.0932 [astro-ph.HE]].
- [6] J. D. Barrow, P. G. Ferreira and J. Silk, “Constraints on a primordial magnetic field,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 3610-3613 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.3610 [arXiv:astro-ph/9701063 [astro-ph]].
- [7] M. S. Turner and L. M. Widrow, “Inflation Produced, Large Scale Magnetic Fields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **37**, 2743 (1988) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.37.2743
- [8] B. Ratra, “Cosmological ‘seed’ magnetic field from inflation,” *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **391**, L1-L4 (1992) doi:10.1086/186384
- [9] S. M. Carroll, G. B. Field and R. Jackiw, “Limits on a Lorentz and Parity Violating Modification of Electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 1231 (1990) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.41.1231
- [10] W. D. Garretson, G. B. Field and S. M. Carroll, “Primordial magnetic fields from pseudoGoldstone bosons,” *Phys. Rev. D* **46**, 5346-5351 (1992) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.46.5346 [arXiv:hep-ph/9209238 [hep-ph]].
- [11] G. B. Field and S. M. Carroll, “Cosmological magnetic fields from primordial helicity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 103008 (2000) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.62.103008 [arXiv:astro-ph/9811206 [astro-ph]].
- [12] J. Frohlich and B. Pedrini, “New applications of the chiral anomaly,” [arXiv:hep-th/0002195 [hep-th]].
- [13] J. Frohlich and B. Pedrini, “Axions, quantum mechanical pumping, and primeval magnetic fields,” [arXiv:cond-mat/0201236 [cond-mat]].
- [14] M. Joyce and M. E. Shaposhnikov, “Primordial magnetic fields, right-handed electrons, and the Abelian anomaly,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1193-1196 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.1193 [arXiv:astro-ph/9703005 [astro-ph]].
- [15] M. M. Anber and L. Sorbo, “N-flationary magnetic fields,” *JCAP* **10**, 018 (2006) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2006/10/018 [arXiv:astro-ph/0606534 [astro-ph]].
- [16] R. Durrer, L. Hollenstein and R. K. Jain, “Can slow roll inflation induce relevant helical magnetic fields?,” *JCAP* **03**, 037 (2011) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2011/03/037 [arXiv:1005.5322 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [17] T. Fujita, R. Namba, Y. Tada, N. Takeda and H. Tashiro, “Consistent generation of magnetic fields in axion inflation models,” *JCAP* **05**, 054 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/05/054 [arXiv:1503.05802 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [18] P. Adshead, J. T. Giblin, T. R. Scully and E. I. Sfakianakis, “Magnetogenesis from axion inflation,” *JCAP* **10**, 039 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/10/039 [arXiv:1606.08474 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [19] L. Campanelli, “Helical Magnetic Fields from Inflation,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **18**, 1395-1411 (2009) doi:10.1142/S0218271809015175 [arXiv:0805.0575 [astro-ph]].
- [20] C. Caprini and L. Sorbo, “Adding helicity to inflationary magnetogenesis,” *JCAP* **10**, 056 (2014) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2014/10/056 [arXiv:1407.2809 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [21] T. Vachaspati, “Magnetic fields from cosmological phase transitions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **265**, 258-261 (1991) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(91)90051-Q
- [22] E. G. M. Ferreira, “Ultra-light dark matter,” *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **29**, no.1, 7 (2021) doi:10.1007/s00159-021-00135-6 [arXiv:2005.03254 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [23] L. Hui, “Wave Dark Matter,” *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **59**, 247-289 (2021) doi:10.1146/annurev-astro-120920-010024 [arXiv:2101.11735 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [24] E. McDonough, H. Bazrafshan Moghaddam and R. H. Brandenberger, “Preheating and Entropy Perturbations in Axion Monodromy Inflation,” *JCAP* **05**, 012 (2016) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2016/05/012 [arXiv:1601.07749 [hep-th]].
- [25] H. Bazrafshan Moghaddam, E. McDonough, R. Namba and R. H. Brandenberger, “Inflationary magnetogenesis, increasing kinetic couplings, and the strong coupling problem,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **35**, no.10, 105015 (2018) doi:10.1088/1361-6382/aaba22 [arXiv:1707.05820 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [26] R. Brandenberger, V. Kamali and R. O. Ramos, “Decay of ALP condensates via gravitation-induced resonance,” *JCAP* **11**, 009 (2023) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2023/11/009 [arXiv:2303.14800 [hep-ph]].
- [27] R. Brandenberger, P. C. M. Delgado, A. Ganz and C. Lin, “Graviton to photon conversion via parametric resonance,” *Phys. Dark Univ.* **40**, 101202 (2023) doi:10.1016/j.dark.2023.101202 [arXiv:2205.08767 [gr-qc]].
- [28] J. H. Traschen and R. H. Brandenberger, “Particle Production During Out-of-equilibrium Phase Transitions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **42**, 2491 (1990). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.42.2491
- [29] A. D. Dolgov and D. P. Kirilova, “On Particle Creation By A Time Dependent Scalar Field,” *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **51**, 172 (1990) [*Yad. Fiz.* **51**, 273 (1990)].
- [30] V. Iršič, M. Viel, M. G. Haehnelt, J. S. Bolton and G. D. Becker, “First constraints on fuzzy dark matter from Lyman- α forest data and hydrodynamical simulations,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no.3, 031302 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.031302 [arXiv:1703.04683 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [31] D. Y. Cheong, N. L. Rodd and L. T. Wang, “A Quantum Description of Wave Dark Matter,” [arXiv:2408.04696

- [hep-ph]].
- [32] S.P. Svrcek and E. Witten, “Axions In String Theory,” *JHEP* **06**, 051 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/06/051 [arXiv:hep-th/0605206 [hep-th]]. ecek and Witten
- [33] H. Bernardo, R. Brandenberger and J. Fröhlich, “Towards a dark sector model from string theory,” *JCAP* **09**, 040 (2022) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2022/09/040 [arXiv:2201.04668 [hep-th]].s
- [34] D. J. E. Marsh, “Axion Cosmology,” *Phys. Rept.* **643**, 1-79 (2016) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2016.06.005 [arXiv:1510.07633 [astro-ph.CO]].
- [35] Ciaran O’Hare’s website
<http://cajihare.github.io/AxionLimits/>
- [36] H. Jiao, R. Brandenberger and V. Kamali, “Direct Collapse Supermassive Black Holes from Ultralight Dark Matter”, arXiv:2502.xxxxx.