

Generalized black-bounces solutions in $f(R)$ gravity and their field sources

Marcos V. de S. Silva,^{1,*} T. M. Crispim,^{1,†} G. Alencar,^{1,‡} R. R. Landim,^{1,§} and Manuel E. Rodrigues^{2,3,¶}

¹*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal do Ceará,
Caixa Postal 6030, Campus do Pici, 60455-760 Fortaleza, Ceará, Brazil.*

²*Faculdade de Física, Programa de Pós-Graduação em Física,
Universidade Federal do Pará, 66075-110, Belém, Pará, Brazil*

³*Faculdade de Ciências Exatas e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal do Pará,
Campus Universitário de Abaetetuba, 68440-000, Abaetetuba, Pará, Brazil*

(Dated: February 27, 2025)

In this work, we studied the possibility of generalizing spherically symmetric and static black-bounce solutions, known from General Relativity, to the $f(R)$ theory in metric formalism. For this purpose, we adopted two distinct approaches: the first involved choosing a known model of $f(R)$ theory, such as $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$, while the second focused on selecting models for the derivative of the $f(R)$ function, $f_R(R)$, such that the form of the $f(R)$ function itself depended on the chosen space-time model. All the selected models recover General Relativity for specific parameter choices. As a result, we demonstrated that black-bounce solutions can emerge from this theory when considering the coupling of $f(R)$ gravity with nonlinear electrodynamics and a partially phantom scalar field. We also analyzed the energy conditions of these solutions and found that, unlike in General Relativity, it is possible to satisfy all energy conditions in certain regions of space-time.

I. INTRODUCTION

General Relativity (GR) is the most widely accepted theory of gravitation. This theory describes astrophysical phenomena with great precision. One of the most impressive predictions of GR is the existence of black holes (BH). These objects stand out due to their causal structure and have significant relevance in the current observational scenario, as we can now access astrophysical information about them through gravitational wave observations and BH images [1, 2]. Due to their intense gravitational field, BHs are the most suitable laboratories to detect the need for modifications in existing gravitational theories. Despite their great relevance, BHs bring with them one of the biggest problems of classical gravitation: the presence of singularities. These singularities are points, or sets of points, in space-time where geodesics are interrupted, making it difficult to describe the physics in these regions [3].

The so-called Black-Bounce (BB) space-times are solutions of GR that aim to address the presence of singularities within the event horizon of BHs. To tackle this, Simpson and Visser (SV) [4] proposed a metric that represents the minimal modification of the Schwarzschild metric capable of producing a regular space-time. Specifically, they replaced the radial coordinate r for $r \rightarrow \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}$. This resulted in a geometry that, depending on the value of the parameter a , interpolates between a regular BH and a traversable wormhole, thereby attempting to unify these two seemingly distinct space-times into a single solution.

Such solutions have been gaining increasing interest, with this procedure being applied in a variety of contexts [5–8], including cylindrical geometries [9–12], braneworld scenarios [13, 14], as well as their effects on gravitational lensing [15–17], gravitational wave echoes [18], quasinormal modes [19], and BH shadows [20–23].

On the other hand, it is well known that, in the context of GR, regular BHs [24–26] and traversable wormholes [27–29] are characterized by being supported by non-conventional field sources [30–35], that is, field sources that violate at least one of the energy conditions. Thus, since BB are geometries that transition between wormholes and regular BHs, it is natural that such solutions are also supported by sources that violate the energy conditions. In fact, specifically in the context of GR, the search for field sources for BB metrics is currently a widely studied area.

Specifically, in [36], by combining a phantom scalar field, that is, one with a negative kinetic term, with a nonlinear electrodynamics theory, both minimally coupled with gravity, the first source for the SV geometry was found. From there, a series of studies on the topic were developed, such as in [37], where an in-depth study on sources of BB in GR was developed. Specifically, the authors demonstrated that nonlinear electrodynamics, both electric and magnetic, combined with a partially phantom scalar field, can serve as a source for various BB geometries, both spherically symmetric and cylindrically symmetric. Similar approaches were developed in [38–43]. In [38], a procedure is presented

* marcosvinicius@fisica.ufc.br

† tiago.crispim@fisica.ufc.br

‡ geova@fisica.ufc.br

§ renan@fisica.ufc.br

¶ esialg@gmail.com

to construct any generic spherically symmetric solution of this type of geometry using nonlinear electrodynamics, making it possible to construct the already known BB as well as modifications that represent more general solutions than those initially proposed by SV.

The knowledge about the source fields of these solutions is of great importance, as they modify the properties of these solutions. In [44], for example, the authors show how the quasinormal modes of regular solutions are altered when considering test fields and perturbations in the source fields. In [45], the authors investigate how photons propagate in the space-time of a BB when considering nonlinear electrodynamics. In [46], it is shown that, in the presence of nonlinear electrodynamics, there can exist photons that behave as causal tachyons or acausal baryons. Nonlinear electrodynamics also modifies the thermodynamics of BHs [47]. All these properties have only been well studied due to the knowledge about the source fields of these solutions.

In this context, this work aims to conduct a detailed study on field sources for BB geometry in the $f(R)$ gravity, where we demonstrated that BB solutions can emerge from this theory when considering the coupling of $f(R)$ gravity with nonlinear electrodynamics and a partially phantom or canonical scalar field, depending on the choice of parameters. The $f(R)$ theory has been gaining increasing attention in the study of compact objects due to its corrections to GR, with numerous studies conducted on BHs [48–54], wormholes [55–58], regular BHs [59–63], and, of course, more recently, BB [64–69]. The study of BB sources in $f(R)$ theories is, however, a topic that has been very sparsely explored in the literature, in fact, our work is the first to explore this topic.

In this work, we adopt two distinct procedures: the first consists of proposing a specific form for the function $f(R)$ and the scalar field $\phi(r)$ and, from that, finding the form for the Lagrangian of the nonlinear electromagnetic field $L(F)$, the potential associated with the scalar field $V(\phi)$ and the function $h(\phi)$. The second, similar to the first, consists of proposing a form for the derivative of the function $f(R)$, f_R , such that $f(R)$ will depend on the space-time, in our case, the SV space-time. With the introduction of the corrections coming from the modification in the gravitational action, we conclude that, contrary to GR, it is always possible to satisfy all energy conditions in some region of space-time.

This paper is organized as follows: In SEC. II, we will provide a brief review of the properties of the SV space-time. The general equations, as well as the sources for the different cases analyzed here, will be presented in SEC. III, while the energy conditions will be discussed in SEC. IV. Finally, in SEC. V, our conclusions and final analyses will be presented.

II. THE SPACE-TIME

Our goal is to verify whether BB can emerge as solutions of modified theories of gravity. To this end, we will consider the SV model [4], which is described by a line element of the form:

$$ds^2 = A(r)dt^2 - A(r)^{-1}dr^2 - \Sigma(r)^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (1)$$

where

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2m}{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(r)^2 = r^2 + a^2, \quad (2)$$

where m is understood as the Schwarzschild mass, and $a > 0$ is a parameter responsible for making this geometry globally regular. Moreover, the parameter a controls the interpolation of the geometry between a regular BH and a wormhole, such that

- For $a < 2m$, we have a regular BH with horizons at $r_{\pm} = \pm\sqrt{4m^2 - a^2}$;
- For $a = 2m$, we obtain what is known as a one-way wormhole, with a null throat located at $r = 0$;
- For $a > 2m$, we have a traversable wormhole.

To infer the regularity of this geometry, we can analyze the curvature invariants. In this case, the Kretschmann and Ricci scalars are given, respectively, by

$$K = \frac{4}{(r^2 + a^2)^5} \left\{ \sqrt{r^2 + a^2} [8a^2 m (r^2 - a^2)] + 3a^4 (r^2 + a^2) + 3m^2 (3a^4 4a^2 r^2 + 4r^4) \right\}, \quad (3)$$

$$R = \frac{2a^2 (3m - \sqrt{r^2 + a^2})}{(r^2 + a^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (4)$$

At the origin of the radial coordinate, the curvature invariants take finite values, given by

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} K = \frac{4(3a^2 + 9m^2 - 8am)}{a^6}, \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} R = \frac{2(-3m + a)}{a^3}, \quad (5)$$

and are asymptotically zero, since this space-time is asymptotically flat.

We can further analyze the regularity of space-time from another perspective. Thus, the geodesic equation for the r coordinate, assuming, without loss of generality, $\theta = \pi/2$, is given by

$$A(r)\dot{t}^2 - A^{-1}(r)\dot{r}^2 - \Sigma^2(r)\dot{\phi}^2 = \epsilon, \quad (6)$$

where the dot is a derivative with respect to affine parameter λ and

$$\epsilon = 1 \text{ for timelike geodesics,} \quad (7)$$

$$\epsilon = 0 \text{ for null geodesics,} \quad (8)$$

$$\epsilon = -1 \text{ for spacelike geodesics.} \quad (9)$$

The symmetries of the metric allow us to associate conserved quantities with the Killing vectors of the t and ϕ coordinates, such that we have

$$\dot{t}A(r) = \text{constant} = E, \quad (10)$$

$$\dot{\phi}\Sigma^2(r) = \text{constant} = l. \quad (11)$$

Substituting this into the equation (6)

$$\dot{r}^2(\lambda) = E^2 - A(r) \left(\frac{l^2}{\Sigma^2(r)} - \epsilon \right). \quad (12)$$

To determine where the geodesic is complete, that is, where it can be extended, we must solve the equation above. However, solving it analytically is an extremely challenging task. Instead, we will consider two important regimes, namely: $r \rightarrow \infty$ and $r \rightarrow 0$. For the first case, we have

$$\dot{r}^2(\lambda) \sim E^2 + \epsilon - \frac{2m\epsilon}{r} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \sim E^2 + \epsilon. \quad (13)$$

The solution is then

$$r(\lambda) \sim c \pm \lambda \sqrt{E^2 + \epsilon}, \quad (14)$$

where c is a constant of integration. Examining the equation above, we clearly see that for $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we have $r(\lambda) \rightarrow \infty$, so $r(\lambda)$ is extensible into the infinite future [70]. For the last case, where $r \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\dot{r}^2(\lambda) \sim c_0 + c_1 r^2 + O(r^3), \quad (15)$$

where c_0 and c_1 are just constants that depends on m, a, E, l . Solving the equation we have two solutions

$$c_2 \pm \lambda \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}} \arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{c_1} r}{\sqrt{c_0 + c_1 r^2}} \right), \quad (16)$$

where c_2 is a constant of integration. We have that, for $r = 0$, the affine parameter λ , which represents the proper time in the case of timelike geodesics, assumes a finite value [71].

Inverting the equation, we obtain

$$r(\lambda) \sim \pm \left[\frac{c_0}{c_1} \cdot \sec[2\sqrt{c_1}(c_2 \pm \lambda)] \right]^{1/2} \sin[\sqrt{c_1}(c_2 \pm \lambda)]. \quad (17)$$

Once again, analyzing the equation above, we see that there are no restrictions on the geodesic, particularly for $r = 0$ [70]. From this we conclude that the function is extensible at the origin.

In the next section, we will study the types of field that can serve as sources for this geometry in the context of $f(R)$ theory.

III. FIELD SOURCES

It is well known that the SV BB is a solution from GR if we consider the coupling between a phantom scalar field and a nonlinear electrodynamics [36, 39, 40, 72]. However, it is not yet clear whether this type of behavior persists in modified theories of gravity.

We assume that the SV metric represents a solution to an $f(R)$ gravity theory and aim to identify the types of fields that could act as sources for this geometry. To this end, we consider an action of the form:

$$S = \int \sqrt{|g|} d^4x [f(R) - 2h(\phi)g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + 2V(\phi) + L(F)], \quad (18)$$

where $f(R)$ it is a function of the Ricci scalar $R = g^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$, ϕ is the scalar field, $V(\phi)$ is potential associated to the scalar field, $L(F)$ is the NED Lagrangian, and $h(\phi)$ is a function that determines where the scalar field is phantom ($h(\phi) < 0$) or canonical ($h(\phi) > 0$).

Varying the action (18) with respect to A_μ , ϕ and $g^{\mu\nu}$ we get the following field equations

$$\nabla_\mu(L_F F^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}}\partial_\mu(\sqrt{|g|}L_F F^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (19)$$

$$2h(\phi)\nabla_\mu\nabla^\mu\phi + \frac{dh(\phi)}{d\phi}\partial^\mu\phi\partial_\mu\phi = -\frac{dV(\phi)}{d\phi}, \quad (20)$$

$$f_R R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}f + (g_{\mu\nu}\square - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu)f_R = T[\phi]_{\mu\nu} + T[F]_{\mu\nu}, \quad (21)$$

where $L_F = dL/dF$ and $T[\phi]_{\mu\nu}$ and $T[F]_{\mu\nu}$ are the stress-energy tensors of the scalar and electromagnetic fields, given by

$$T[F]_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}L(F) - 2L_F F_\nu^\alpha F_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (22)$$

$$T[\phi]_{\mu\nu} = 2h(\phi)\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - g_{\mu\nu}(h(\phi)\partial^\alpha\phi\partial_\alpha\phi - V(\phi)). \quad (23)$$

Solving Maxwell's equations, equation (19), considering a magnetic charge and that our space-time is spherically symmetric, such that the non-zero components of $F_{\mu\nu}$ are $F_{23} = -F_{32} = q \sin\theta$, we get

$$F(r) = \frac{2q^2}{\Sigma(r)^4}. \quad (24)$$

Further, assuming that the scalar field is such that $\phi = \phi(r)$, the $r - r$ and $\theta - \theta$ components of the gravitational field equations gives us the form of $L(F(r))$ and $L_F(F(r))$

$$L(F(r)) = -f - 2V - A'f'_R + \frac{2f_R A'\Sigma'}{\Sigma} - \frac{4A f'_R \Sigma'}{\Sigma} + 2Ah\phi'^2 + f_R A'' + \frac{4A f_R \Sigma''}{\Sigma}, \quad (25)$$

$$L_F(F(r)) = \frac{f_R \Sigma^2}{2q^2} + \frac{\Sigma^4 A' f'_R}{4q^2} - \frac{A \Sigma^3 f'_R \Sigma'}{\Sigma} - \frac{A f_R \Sigma \Sigma'^2}{2q^2} + \frac{A h \Sigma^4 \phi'^2}{q^2} + \frac{f_R \Sigma^4 A''}{4q^2} + \frac{A \Sigma^4 f''_R}{2q^2} + \frac{A f_R \Sigma^3 \Sigma''}{2q^2}. \quad (26)$$

Using the equations (25) and (26), the $t - t$ component of the gravitational field equations becomes

$$2h(\phi)\phi'^2\Sigma + f''_R\Sigma + 2f_R\Sigma'' = 0. \quad (27)$$

Since the equations (25) and (26) depend on the scalar field and the associated potential, we must first focus on the scalar field. From equation (27), we can determine the behavior of $h(\phi)\phi'^2$ once we know the space-time geometry and the theory of gravity under consideration. We can further rewrite the equation (27) in the form

$$h\phi'^2 = -\frac{f''_R\Sigma + 2f_R\Sigma''}{2\Sigma}. \quad (28)$$

Note that, in the case of GR, where $f_R = 1$ and $f_R'' = 0$, we have the well-known form for the product $h\phi'^2$, given by $h\phi'^2 = -\Sigma''/\Sigma$. However, in $f(R)$ theories, this expression takes on a more complex form due to the additional freedom introduced by the function f_R , allowing for more general solutions for the scalar field. Following the widely used procedure in the literature, as presented in [41, 64], we assume that the scalar field is given by a monotonic function

$$\phi(r) = \arctan\left(\frac{r}{a}\right). \quad (29)$$

With this assumption, from the $t-t$ component of the gravitational field equations, we obtain

$$h(\phi(r)) = -\frac{(a^2 + r^2)^2(\Sigma f_R'' + 2f_R \Sigma'')}{2a^2 \Sigma}. \quad (30)$$

Since our quantities depend on f_R and f , we will now divide our analysis into different cases, i.e., various models of $f(R)$ theories.

A. Case I: $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$

The most well-known $f(R)$ theory model is the so-called Starobinsky model [73, 74], where

$$f(R) = R + a_R R^2, \quad (31)$$

where a_R is a coupling constant.

The function f_R is consequently given by

$$f_R = 1 + 2a_R R. \quad (32)$$

Using the metric given in (1), we can analytically determine all the functions of interest, that are given by:

$$F(r) = \frac{2q^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2}, \quad (33)$$

$$L(F(r)) = \frac{12a^2 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_R a^2 \left(\frac{2(20a^4 + a^2(27m^2 + 100r^2) + 675m^2 r^2 + 80r^4)}{15(a^2 + r^2)^5} - \frac{16m(11a^2 + 81r^2)}{21(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} \right), \quad (34)$$

$$L_F(F(r)) = \frac{3a^2 m}{2q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}} + \frac{6a^2 a_R m (a^2 - 9r^2)}{q^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2a^2 a_R (a^2 (4r^2 - 9m^2) + 45m^2 r^2 + 4r^4)}{q^2 (a^2 + r^2)^3}, \quad (35)$$

$$h(\phi(r)) = -1 + \frac{2a_R (a^2 - 10r^2) (2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 9m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad \phi(r) = \arctan\left(\frac{r}{a}\right), \quad (36)$$

$$V(\phi(r)) = \frac{4a^2 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_R a^2 \left(\frac{2m(1290r^2 - 481a^2)}{21(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{130a^4 + a^2(783m^2 - 70r^2) - 25r^2(135m^2 + 8r^2)}{15(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right). \quad (37)$$

The terms involving a_R are the corrections required by the modified theory of gravity for the sources so that the SV metric can be generated by the theory.

It is important to highlight some differences from GR. In GR, we have $h(\phi) = -1$, so that the scalar field is always a phantom field. If we expand equation (36) we obtain

$$h(\phi) \approx -1 - \frac{40a_R}{r^2} + O(r^{-3}), \quad \text{if } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (38)$$

$$h(\phi) \approx -1 + \frac{2a_R(2a - 9m)}{a^3} + O(r^2), \quad \text{if } r \rightarrow 0. \quad (39)$$

These expressions tell us that the scalar field continues to exhibit phantom behavior at infinity. However, if $a > \frac{9m}{2}$, that's, in the traversable wormhole case, and a_R is sufficiently large and positive, the scalar field may cease to be a phantom in more internal regions, thus becoming partially phantom. In this way, the $f(R)$ theory can relax the condition for the scalar field to be phantom in some regions of space-time.

The functions $F(r)$ and $\phi(r)$ are easily invertible so that we can analytically write $L(F)$, $V(\phi)$, and $h(\phi)$, which are expressed as:

$$L(F) = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} a^2 F^{5/4} m}{5q^{5/2}} + \frac{a^2 a_R F^{5/4}}{210\sqrt{2}q^5} \left(5q \left(945\sqrt{2}F^{3/4}m^2 - 648 \cdot 2^{3/4}m\sqrt{Fq} + 224\sqrt[4]{Fq} \right) - 28a^2 \left(162F^{5/4}m^2 + 15\sqrt{2}F^{3/4}q - 100\sqrt[4]{2Fm\sqrt{q}} \right) \right), \quad (40)$$

$$h(\phi) = -1 + \frac{a_R(11 \cos 2\phi - 9) \left(2a\sqrt{\sec^2 \phi} - 9m \right)}{(a^2 \sec^2 \phi)^{3/2}}, \quad (41)$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{a_R \cos^6 \phi}{105a^6} \left(m \cos^2 \phi (77 \cos 2\phi (189m - 115a \sec \phi) + 4045a \sec \phi - 9072m) + 35a^2 (33 \cos 2\phi - 7) \right) + \frac{4m \cos^5 \phi}{5a^3}. \quad (42)$$

The presence of $f(R)$ theory requires much more nonlinearities in the field sources than GR.

It is important to emphasize that the electromagnetic functions found here satisfy the consistency relation given by:

$$L_F \left(\frac{dF}{dr} \right) - \frac{dL(F)}{dr} = 0. \quad (43)$$

Thus, we see that, even with the additional complications that $f(R)$ theory demands from the source fields, it is possible to obtain the SV solution in modified theories of gravity through the combination of a nonlinear electrodynamics with a partially phantom scalar field.

We can also choose other $f(R)$ models and verify what types of sources emerge. However, in the next subsection we will attempt a different approach.

B. Case II: $f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_1 r$

In references [59, 60], the authors studied regular BHs in $f(R)$ theory and found that, due to the symmetry of the space-time they considered, the function $f_R(R)$ was linear when analyzed in terms of the radial coordinate. Since we are considering BB, which are more complex structures than usual regular BHs, this type of behavior does not naturally arise from the field equations. However, we can still impose such behavior and investigate what types of field sources may emerge.

Let us consider the f_R written as

$$f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_1 r, \quad (44)$$

where a_0 and a_1 are constants. In this case, the function $f(R)$ as a function of the radial coordinate can be calculated as:

$$f(R(r)) = \int f_R \frac{dR}{dr} dr. \quad (45)$$

When $a_0 = 1$ and $a_1 = 0$ we recover GR.

Considering the line element (1), we find that the functions related to the field sources are given by:

$$L(F(r)) = \frac{12a^2 a_0 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 2a_1 \left(-\frac{2m(10a^2 r^3 + 7r^5)}{5a^2(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{r}{a^2 + r^2} + \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right)}{a} \right), \quad (46)$$

$$L_F(F(r)) = \frac{3a^2 a_0 m}{2q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}} + \frac{a_1}{2q^2} \left(-r\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} \left(\frac{3mr^2}{a^2 + r^2} - 6m \right) - r(a^2 + r^2) \right), \quad (47)$$

$$h(\phi(r)) = -a_0 - a_1 r, \quad \phi(r) = \arctan \left(\frac{r}{a} \right), \quad (48)$$

$$V(\phi(r)) = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_1 \left(\frac{\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - r}{a} \right)}{a} + \frac{2mr(5a^4 + 5a^2 r^2 + 2r^4)}{5a^2(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{r}{2(a^2 + r^2)} \right). \quad (49)$$




FIG. 1. Behavior of the function $f(R)$ as a function of the radial coordinate (left panel) and as a function of the curvature scalar (right panel), fixing $a_0 = 1$ and varying the values of the parameter a_1 .

In this case, unlike the previous model, the scalar field will always be phantom, since $h(\phi)$ will always be negative, if we consider a_1 as a positive constant. The scalar field will be canonic in some regions only if a_1 assumes negative values.

It is possible to invert the functional dependencies once more, expressing the quantities L , V and h as functions of F and ϕ , respectively.

$$L(F) = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} a^2 a_0 m F^{5/4}}{5q^{5/2}} + a_1 \left(-\sqrt{2\sqrt{\frac{2F}{q^2}} - \frac{2a^2 F}{q^2}} - \frac{2^{3/4} m \left(\sqrt{\frac{2q^2}{F}} - a^2 \right)^{3/2} \left(3a^2 + 7\sqrt{\frac{2q^2}{F}} \right) F^{5/4}}{5a^2 q^{5/2}} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{4}{a} \arctan \left(\frac{-2^{1/4} q^{1/2} F^{-1/4} + \sqrt{-a^2 + \sqrt{2}|q|F^{-1/2}}}{a} \right) \right), \quad (50)$$

$$h(\phi) = -a_0 - a_1 a \tan \phi, \quad (51)$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{4a_0 m \cos^5 \phi}{5a^3} + \frac{a_1}{20a^2} (20a \arctan(\sec \phi - \tan \phi) - 5a \sin(2\phi) + 2m(13 + 6 \cos(2\phi) + \cos(4\phi)) \sin \phi). \quad (52)$$

Once again, we observe that the presence of the $f(R)$ function induces greater nonlinearity in the obtained fields. Integrating the equation (45), we obtain

$$f(R(r)) = -\frac{6a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1 m r^3 (10a^2 + 4r^2)}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2a^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{a^2 a_1 r}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_1 r^3}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{2a_1 \arctan \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right)}{a}. \quad (53)$$

However, in this case, analytically inverting $R(r)$ in equation (4) for the Ricci scalar is not possible, so we cannot write an analytical form for the function $f(R)$. Nevertheless, in Figure 1, we observe the behavior of the function $f(R)$. It is clear that the only symmetric case under the exchange $r \rightarrow -r$ occurs for $a_1 = 0$, which corresponds exactly to the GR case. For $a_1 = 0$, the function $f(R)$ is just a straight line, as expected, while for other cases, we have a multivalued function with different curves. This multivalued behavior arises precisely due to the asymmetry in the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$ in the function $f(r)$.

C. Case III: $f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_2 r^2$

For the case II, we see that the behavior of $f(R)$ changes if we made the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. This fact may occur because the function f_R is not symmetric under transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. Moreover, in the reference [59], given a metric of the form

$$ds^2 = A(r)dt^2 - B^{-1}(r)dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (54)$$

the condition (44) is obtained by imposing $A(r) = B(r)$. However, in BB metrics, such as (1), we can always make a coordinate transformation given by

$$\tilde{r}^2 = \Sigma(r)^2, \quad (55)$$

such that the metric can be written in the form

$$ds^2 = U(\tilde{r})dt^2 - G^{-1}(\tilde{r})d\tilde{r}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (56)$$

where $U(\tilde{r}) \neq G(\tilde{r})$. In this case, since the function $f_R(R(r))$ does not necessarily need to retain the specific form (45), we can propose alternative formulations for it such that it remains invariant under transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. Accordingly, in this subsection, we focus on the case where

$$f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_2 r^2. \quad (57)$$

Considering this function and the SV metric, we find that the functions related to the field sources are now given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(F(r)) &= \frac{12a^2 a_0 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{88a^4 a_2 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{44a^2 a_2 m r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{24a_2 m r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{6a^4 a_2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{16a^2 a_2 r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{10a_2 r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + 4a_2 \ln(a^2 + r^2), \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

$$L_F(F(r)) = \frac{-2a_2 r^4 (-3m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + a^2 (3a_0 m + a_2 r^2 (9m - 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}))}{2q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}, \quad (59)$$

$$h(\phi(r)) = -a_0 - \frac{a_2 (a^4 + 3a^2 r^2 + r^4)}{a^2}, \quad \phi(r) = \arctan\left(\frac{r}{a}\right), \quad (60)$$

$$V(\phi(r)) = \frac{a^2 a_2}{a^2 + r^2} - \frac{4m (6a^4 a_2 + 5a_2 r^4 - a^2 (a_0 - 10a_2 r^2))}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - 2a_2 \ln(a^2 + r^2). \quad (61)$$

We can once again explicitly write L as a function of F , and V and h as functions of ϕ

$$\begin{aligned} L(F) &= \frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} a^2 a_0 F^{5/4} m}{5q^{5/2}} + \frac{a_2}{5q^2 \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}}} \left(-3 \cdot 2^{3/4} a^4 F m + 10q^2 \left(6 \cdot 2^{3/4} m - 5 \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 10 \cdot 2^{1/4} a^2 \sqrt{F} q \left(-m + 2^{1/4} \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}} \right) + 20q^2 \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}q}{\sqrt{F}}\right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

$$h(\phi) = -a_0 + a^2 a_2 [1 - \sec^2 \phi (1 + \sec^2 \phi)], \quad (63)$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{4a_0 m \cos^5 \phi}{5a^3} + a_2 \left(\cos^2 \phi - 2 \log(a^2 \sec^2 \phi) - \frac{(20m + 4m \cos^4 \phi) \cos \phi}{5a} \right). \quad (64)$$

It is interesting to note that, for $r \ll 1$, we have

$$h(\phi) \approx -a_0 - a_2 a^2. \quad (65)$$

This suggests that the parametrization constant a may also interfere with the change in the type of scalar field near the origin if a_2 is negative. Thus, depending on the sign of the parameter a_2 and the values of a , we can have a phantom scalar field, a partially phantom field, or a canonical scalar field.

Integrating again the equation (45), we obtain

$$f(R(r)) = \frac{2a^2 (a_0 (-3m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + a^2 a_2 (-2m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + a_2 r^2 (-5m + 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}))}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (66)$$

Analyzing the equation above, we clearly see that $f(R(r))$ is symmetric under transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. By making the parametric plot of $f(r)$ vs $R(r)$, presented in Fig. 2, we also observe that it preserves this symmetry, unlike the previous case.

The results obtained can be generalized by considering $f_R = a_0 + a_n r^n$. However, not all values of n will satisfy the electromagnetic consistency relation (43).




FIG. 2. Behavior of the function $f(R)$ as a function of the radial coordinate (left panel) and as a function of the curvature scalar (right panel), fixing $a_0 = 1$ and varying the values of the parameter a_2 .

D. Case IV: $f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma$

We can test another form of f_R . Considering that BB typically arise through the substitution $r^2 \rightarrow r^2 + a^2$, and that for regular BHs we had $f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_1 r$, we can apply this substitution to obtain $f_R(R(r)) = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma$. This model will also be symmetric under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$.

Considering again that our space-time is described by the SV metric, we find that the functions related to the matter sources are given by:

$$L(F(r)) = \frac{12a^2 a_0 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2a_\Sigma (9m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 4a^2 - 6r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (67)$$

$$L_F(F(r)) = \frac{3a^2 a_0 m}{2q^2 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}} + \frac{a_\Sigma (3a^2 m - r^2 (\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 3m))}{2q^2}, \quad (68)$$

$$h(\phi(r)) = -a_0 - \frac{3}{2} a_\Sigma \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}, \quad \phi(r) = \arctan\left(\frac{r}{a}\right), \quad (69)$$

$$V(\phi(r)) = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{5(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a^2 a_\Sigma m}{4(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{a^2 a_\Sigma}{2(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (70)$$

As in the other cases, it is only possible to have a scalar field that is canonical in some regions, or partially phantom, if the constant related to the nonlinear terms of the gravitational theory, a_Σ , assumes negative values. In fact, depending on the values of a_Σ and a , it is possible to have $h(\phi)$ always positive.

We can also write $L(F)$, $V(\phi)$, and $h(\phi)$, which are given by:

$$L(F) = \frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} a^2 a_0 F m}{5q^2 \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}}} + \frac{a_\Sigma \left(10\sqrt{2} a^2 \sqrt{F} q + 15q^2 \left(\frac{3 \cdot 2^{3/4} m}{\sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}}} - 4 \right) \right)}{15\sqrt[4]{2} q^2 \sqrt{\frac{q}{\sqrt{F}}}}, \quad (71)$$

$$h(\phi) = -a_0 - \frac{3}{2} a a_\Sigma \sec \phi, \quad (72)$$

$$V(\phi) = \frac{4a_0 m \cos^5 \phi}{5a^3} + \frac{a_\Sigma \cos^4 \phi (2a \sec \phi + 3m)}{4a^2}. \quad (73)$$

Considering the curvature scalar for the SV case, we can write the function $f(R(r))$ as:

$$f(R(r)) = -2a^2 \left(\frac{15a_\Sigma m - 4a_0}{4(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{3a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{4a_\Sigma}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} \right). \quad (74)$$

This function is symmetric under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. As in the previous cases, we cannot express the behavior of $f(R)$ analytically; therefore, we will analyze it graphically in Fig. 3. From the behavior of $f(R(r))$, we explicitly




FIG. 3. Behavior of the function $f(R)$ as a function of the radial coordinate (left panel) and as a function of the curvature scalar (right panel), fixing $a_0 = 1$ and varying the values of the parameter a_1 .

observe the symmetry of the solution under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$. From the parametric plot, we see that, as expected, for $a_\Sigma = 0$, we obtain a straight line, which corresponds to the case of GR.

As before, we can generalize this model to $f_R = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma(r)^n$. The issue with this generalization is that some functions exhibit divergences for certain values of n . Interestingly, these divergences cancel out when combining $f(R) + L(F(r)) + 2V(\phi(r))$, which is precisely the combination that appears in the action. The remaining terms of the action do not present divergences.

With this, we are able to prove that it is possible to find different types of matter sources for the SV metric by considering different $f(R)$ models.

IV. ENERGY CONDITIONS

In the context of GR, it is well-known that BB geometries violate all energy conditions associated with the stress-energy tensor [4, 5]. This is not entirely surprising, as BB geometries interpolate between regular BH space-times and wormholes, both of which are known to violate the energy conditions [32]. Thus, it is of interest to investigate whether this violation persists in the context of modified theories of gravity, as in our case.

We will now analyze whether, in the context of our $f(R)$ models, the BB geometry satisfies the null energy condition (NEC), strong energy condition (SEC), weak energy condition (WEC) and dominant energy condition (DEC). These conditions are given by [75]:

$$NEC_{1,2} = WEC_{1,2} = SEC_{1,2} \iff \rho + p_{r,t} \geq 0, \quad (75)$$

$$SEC_3 \iff \rho + p_r + 2p_t \geq 0, \quad (76)$$

$$DEC_{1,2} \iff \rho - |p_{r,t}| \geq 0 \iff (\rho + p_{r,t} \geq 0) \text{ and } (\rho - p_{r,t} \geq 0), \quad (77)$$

$$DEC_3 = WEC_3 \iff \rho \geq 0, \quad (78)$$

where ρ , p_r , and p_t are the energy density, radial pressure, and tangential pressure, respectively ¹. These functions are identified by the components of the stress-energy tensor as

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}[\rho, -p_r, -p_t, -p_t]. \quad (79)$$

This relation is valid in regions where $A(r) > 0$. For regions where $A(r) < 0$, the signature of the metric changes, and we have:

$$T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}[-p_r, \rho, -p_t, -p_t]. \quad (80)$$

¹ Typically, we use the subscript 1 (2) to denote cases where the energy density is combined solely with the radial (tangential) pressure. The subscript 3 is employed either when considering the energy density alone or when combining all three functions together.

A. Case I

Let us now analyze the energy conditions for the case where $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$. For regions where $A(r) > 0$, we have:

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{2a^2 (2m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{4a^2 a_R (a^2 - 10r^2) (2a^2 + 18m^2 + 2r^2 - 13m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^5}, \quad (81)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{3a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{a^2 (4r^2 - 9m^2) + 45m^2 r^2 + 4r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} + \frac{3m (a^2 - 9r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} \right], \quad (82)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = \frac{2a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{r^2 (7a^2 + 180m^2) - 5a^2 (a^2 + 9m^2) + 12r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} + \frac{m (31a^2 - 100r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} \right], \quad (83)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (46r^2 - 25a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{5a^4 + a^2 (27m^2 + r^2) - 90m^2 r^2 - 4r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \quad (84)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - p_t &= \frac{5ma^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{2a^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \\ &+ 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (203r^2 - 41a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{7a^4 - 21r^2 (a^2 + 15m^2) + 54a^2 m^2 - 28r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

$$\rho = \frac{a^2 (4m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - a^2 a_R \left[\frac{4m (19a^2 - 88r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{-14a^4 + a^2 (34r^2 - 90m^2) + 540m^2 r^2 + 48r^4}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right]. \quad (86)$$

In regions where $A(r) < 0$, we have

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{2a^2 (\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 2m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{4a^2 a_R (a^2 - 10r^2) (2a^2 + 18m^2 + 2r^2 - 13m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^5}, \quad (87)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{a^2 (2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (16a^2 - 157r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{(2a^4 + a^2 (22r^2 - 27m^2) + 225m^2 r^2 + 24r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \quad (88)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho + p_r + 2p_t &= \frac{4a^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{6a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \\ &+ 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (360r^2 - 57a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{(9a^4 + a^2 (81m^2 - 43r^2) - 540m^2 r^2 - 52r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (46r^2 - 25a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{(5a^4 + a^2 (27m^2 + r^2) - 90m^2 r^2 - 4r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \quad (90)$$

$$\rho - p_t = \frac{a^2 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a^2 a_R \left[\frac{m (73r^2 - 28a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} + \frac{(5a^4 - 3r^2 (a^2 + 45m^2) + 36a^2 m^2 - 8r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right], \quad (91)$$

$$\rho = \frac{a^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - 2a^2 a_R \left[\frac{12m (a^2 + 7r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{9/2}} - \frac{(3a^4 + a^2 (9m^2 + 19r^2) + 90m^2 r^2 + 16r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^5} \right]. \quad (92)$$

The terms involving a_R represent the corrections from the $f(R)$ theory to the energy conditions of this model. However, the analytical expressions do not clearly illustrate how the $f(R)$ theory corrections modify the energy conditions.

In Fig. 4, we compare how the $f(R)$ theory modifies the energy conditions relative to GR. We observe that for $a_R = -1$, the combination $\rho + p_r$ is always negative, with a magnitude even greater than in the case of GR. However, for $a_R = 1$, there are certain regions where $\rho + p_r$ takes positive values, indicating that the $f(R)$ theory relaxes the violation of the null energy condition. From the behavior of ρ and $\rho - p_t$, we observe that depending on the values of the chosen parameters, in some regions where the inequalities were satisfied in the case of GR, they become violated, and in some regions where they were violated, they become satisfied. Thus, the contributions from $f(R)$, in this case, the model $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$, can result in the energy conditions not being always violated, as was the case in GR.




FIG. 4. Combinations of the stress-energy tensor components for the $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$ model as a function of the radial coordinate, with $a = 2$ and $m = 1$, for different values of a_R .

B. Case II

In this case, where $f_R = a_0 + a_1 r$, we have, outside any possible horizon, $A(r) > 0$, that the following combinations for the functions ρ , p_r , and p_t are

$$\rho + p_r = -\frac{2a^2(a_0 + a_1 r)(\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 2m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (93)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{3a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1 r (6a^2 m - (a^2 + r^2)^{3/2} + 3mr^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (94)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = \frac{2a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_1 \left(\frac{r}{a^2 + r^2} + \frac{2mr(4a^4 + 5a^2 r^2 + 2r^4)}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2 \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - r}{a} \right)}{a} \right), \quad (95)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1}{a^2} \left(\frac{4mr(a^4 - r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{3a^2 r}{a^2 + r^2} + 2a \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right), \quad (96)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - p_t &= \frac{5ma^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{2a^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{a_1}{a^2} \left(\frac{mr(2a^4 - 7a^2 r^2 - 4r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{2a^2 r(2a^2 + r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2a \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (97)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{a^2 a_0 (4m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1}{2a^2} \left(\frac{4mr(2a^4 - a^2 r^2 - r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{r(5a^4 + 3a^2 r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2a \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

Whereas, for $A < 0$, we have:

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{2a^2(a_0 + a_1 r)(\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 2m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (99)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0 (2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1 [r^3 (3m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + a^2 r (2m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})]}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (100)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho + p_r + 2p_t &= \frac{a_0 (-6a^4 m + 4a^4 \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \left[4mr^5 + 5a^4 r \sqrt{a^2 + r^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a^2 r^3 (10m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + 2a(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2} \arctan \left(\frac{-r + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (101)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - p_r &= \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \left[4a^4 mr - 4mr^5 - 3a^4 r \sqrt{a^2 + r^2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a^2 r^3 (4m + 3\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + 2a(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2} \arctan \left(\frac{\sqrt{r^2 + a^2} - r}{a} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - p_t &= \frac{a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_1}{a^2 (a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \left[-4mr^5 - 2a^4 r (m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - a^2 r^3 (7m + 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + 2a(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2} \arctan \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

$$\rho = \frac{a^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_1}{2a^2} \left(\frac{4mr^3}{(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{ra^2(a^2 + 3r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} - 2a \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{r - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}}{a} \right) \right). \quad (104)$$

The terms where a_1 appears represent the corrections from the $f(R)$ theory to the energy conditions. From the analytical expressions of $\rho + p_r$, we can extract some information. If $a_1 > 0$ and we consider the region where $r > 0$, the null energy condition will be violated in the same way as in GR, whereas for $r < 0$, the NEC_1 inequality will be satisfied if $r < -a_0/a_1$. For $a_1 < 0$, the effect is reversed. The inequality NEC_1 will be satisfied if $r > -a_0/a_1$, and is violated in the other regions. To obtain more insights about the energy conditions, we will analyze them graphically.




FIG. 5. Combination of the stress-energy tensor components for the model $f_R = a_0 + a_1 r$, as a function of the radial coordinate, for $m = 1$, $a = 2$, $a_0 = 1$, and different values of a_1 .

In Fig. 5, we present the graphical representation of the energy density and its combinations with the pressures, as functions of the coordinate r , for different values of the parameter a_1 . Analyzing the figure, we see that the conditions $WEC_3 \geq 0$ are always satisfied for $a_1 = 0$ and $a_1 = -1$, while is violated throughout the entire space-time for $a_1 = 1$. On the other hand, it is possible to observe that the $NEC_{1,2}$ condition is satisfied only in certain regions of space-time, both for $a_1 = -1$ and $a_1 = 1$. Regarding condition SEC_3 , we see that it is satisfied for all values of r for $a_1 = 1$ and $a_1 = 0$. Regarding condition $DEC_{1,2}$, although $\rho - p_{r,t} \geq 0$ for $a_1 = -1$ and $a_1 = 0$ for all r , we find that this condition is satisfied only in certain regions, since $\rho + p_{r,t} \geq 0$ only in certain regions.

Thus, we conclude that, depending on the chosen values, this form of the $f(R)$ theory contributes to the satisfaction of the energy conditions at least in certain regions of space-time.

C. Case III

Let us now consider the corrections to the energy conditions for the model $f_R = a_0 + a_2 r^2$. In regions where $A(r) > 0$, we have

$$\rho + p_r = -\frac{2[a^4 a_2 + a_2 r^4 + a^2(a_0 + 3a_2 r^2)][a^2 + r^2 + 4m(m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})]}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}(-2m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}, \quad (105)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{-2a_2 r^4(-3m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + a^2[3a_0 m + a_2 r^2(9m - 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2})]}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (106)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = -\frac{2a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - a_2 \left[\frac{(2a^2 + 3r^2)}{a^2 + r^2} - \frac{m(4a^4 + 5a^2 r^2 + 2r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \right], \quad (107)$$

$$\rho - p_r = -\frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 2a_2 \left[\frac{(2a^2 + 5r^2)}{a^2 + r^2} - \frac{2m(2a^4 + 7a^2 r^2 + 4r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \right], \quad (108)$$

$$\rho - p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0(2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 5m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - a_2 \left[\frac{m(a^2 + 2r^2)(12a^2 + 7r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{2(3a^4 + 9a^2 r^2 + 5r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \right], \quad (109)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \frac{a^2 a_0(4m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_2}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} \left[2r^4(5m - 3\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (3a^4 - 10a^2 r^2)(\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 2m) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

In regions where $A(r) < 0$, we have

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{2[a^4 a_2 + a_2 r^4 + a^2(a_0 + 3a_2 r^2)][a^2 + r^2 + 4m(m - \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})]}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}(-2m + \sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}, \quad (111)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0(2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_2 \left[\frac{m(-4a^4 - 3a^2 r^2 + 2r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{2(a^4 + 2a^2 r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \right], \quad (112)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = \frac{2a^2 a_0(2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 3m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - 2a_2 \left[\frac{m(8a^4 + 17a^2 r^2 + 6r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{(4a^4 + 11a^2 r^2 + 5r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} \right], \quad (113)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 2a_2 \left(\frac{3a^2}{a^2 + r^2} - 5 \right) + \frac{4a_2 m(2a^4 + 7a^2 r^2 + 4r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (114)$$

$$\rho - p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + 4a_2 \left(\frac{a^2}{a^2 + r^2} - 2 \right) + \frac{a_2 m(8a^4 + 19a^2 r^2 + 10r^4)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (115)$$

$$\rho = \frac{a^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{2a_2 m(a^2 + 3r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{a_2(a^2 + 2r^2)^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^2}. \quad (116)$$

The terms involving a_2 represent the corrections from the $f(R)$ theory to the energy conditions. The analytical expressions are not very clear, and therefore, we will prioritize analyzing them graphically.

In Fig. 6, we show how the components of the energy-momentum tensor are modified by the presence of the $f(R)$ theory. Since our $f(R)$ theory model is symmetric under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$, the energy conditions are also symmetric, allowing us to focus solely on the behavior in the $r > 0$ region. For the case $a_2 = 1$, as in GR, the condition NEC_1 is always violated. In fact, disregarding the SEC_3 condition, the choice $a_2 = 1$ seems to increase the regions where the other conditions are violated compared to GR. For $a_2 = -1$, the NEC_2 condition is violated in more regions than in GR; however, this violation does not occur throughout the entire space-time. The NEC_1 condition is now satisfied in some regions. We can observe that there are regions where all combinations are positive. This means that, at least in some regions of space-time, all energy conditions are satisfied.




FIG. 6. Combination of the stress-energy tensor components for the model $f_R = a_0 + a_2 r^2$, as a function of the radial coordinate, for $m = 1$, $a_0 = 1$, and different values of a_2 .

D. Case IV

Let us now analyze the energy conditions for the final model, $f_R = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma$. In the regions where $A > 0$, we have

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{a^2 a_0 (4m - 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{3a^2 a_\Sigma (-2m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + a^2 + r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (117)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{3a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + a_\Sigma \left(\frac{3m}{a^2 + r^2} - \frac{r^2}{(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} \right), \quad (118)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = \frac{2a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{a_\Sigma (10a^4 + a^2 (22r^2 - 9m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2}) + 12r^4)}{6(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (119)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (5a^2 + 4r^2)}{2(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_\Sigma (5a^2 + 12r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (120)$$

$$\rho - p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0 (5m - 2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2})}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (7a^2 + 2r^2)}{2(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_\Sigma (14a^2 + 9r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (121)$$

$$\rho = -\frac{a^2 a_0 (\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 4m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (9a^2 + 4r^2)}{4(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_\Sigma (7a^2 + 6r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (122)$$

In regions where $A < 0$, we have

$$\rho + p_r = \frac{2a^2 a_0 (\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 2m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a^2 a_\Sigma (-2m\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} + a^2 + r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}}, \quad (123)$$

$$\rho + p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0 (2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (r^2 - a^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{a_\Sigma (3a^2 - r^2)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (124)$$

$$\rho + p_r + 2p_t = \frac{2a^2 a_0 (2\sqrt{a^2 + r^2} - 3m)}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} - \frac{27a^2 a_\Sigma m}{2(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{a_\Sigma (23a^2 + 6r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (125)$$

$$\rho - p_r = \frac{4a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (5a^2 + 4r^2)}{2(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_\Sigma (5a^2 + 12r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (126)$$

$$\rho - p_t = \frac{a^2 a_0 m}{(a^2 + r^2)^{5/2}} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (3a^2 + 2r^2)}{2(a^2 + r^2)^2} - \frac{a_\Sigma (5a^2 + 9r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (127)$$

$$\rho = \frac{a^2 a_0}{(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{3a_\Sigma m (a^2 + 4r^2)}{4(a^2 + r^2)^2} + \frac{2a_\Sigma (a^2 - 3r^2)}{3(a^2 + r^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (128)$$

The corrections introduced by this $f(R)$ theory model to the energy conditions are represented by the terms involving a_Σ . To better understand these modifications, we will analyze the behavior of these combinations graphically.

In Fig 7, we graphically analyze how the combinations of the components of the energy-momentum tensor behave as functions of the radial coordinate. The combinations exhibit symmetry under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$, just like the chosen $f(R)$ model itself. For $a_\Sigma = 1$, all conditions, except for NEC_1 , are satisfied in at least some regions of space-time. For $a_\Sigma = -1$, the NEC_1 condition becomes satisfied. However, the other conditions are violated in regions where they were satisfied in the case of GR. However, with the exception of the SEC_3 condition, all conditions are satisfied in at least some regions of space-time.

Thus, we conclude that the modifications introduced by the all model of the $f(R)$ theory that we have used can relax the violation of the energy conditions in some regions of space-time.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we study the possibility of generalizing BB solutions, which are well studied in GR, to $f(R)$ gravity by analyzing the source fields that generate these solutions. Our method consists of imposing a well-known space-time, such as the SV metric, along with different models of $f(R)$ gravity, to verify which source fields are necessary for these metrics to be solutions of the field equations of $f(R)$ gravity.




FIG. 7. Combination of the stress-energy tensor components for the model $f_R = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma$, as a function of the radial coordinate, for $m = 1$, $a = 2$, $a_0 = 1$, and different values of a_Σ .

Along with the modified gravity theory, we consider the coupling with a nonlinear electrodynamics and a scalar field. Through the field equations and by imposing a form for the scalar field, $\phi(r) = \arctan(r/a)$, we obtain general analytical expressions for the functions L , L_F , V , and ϵ , which depend on the forms of the functions f_R , $f(R)$, $A(r)$, and $\Sigma(r)$. Thus, it becomes evident that the chosen form of the gravity theory and the metric will influence the form of the functions related to the source fields.

As a first case, we considered the Starobinsky model, $f(R) = R + a_R R^2$, which is widely studied in the literature. We showed that if the constant a_R is positive, $h(\phi)$ can take positive values for $r \rightarrow 0$, provided that $a > 9m/2$. This indicates that, unlike GR, the scalar field does not always have to be a phantom. The second approach chosen was $f_R = a_0 + a_1 r$, inspired by the form obtained for regular BHs in other works. In this second model, the function $h(\phi)$

is simpler than in the first case, but if the constant a_1 is positive, the scalar field will always be a phantom, offering no advantages compared to GR. For the scalar field to be canonical in some regions, we must assume that the constant a_1 can take negative values, however, there will still be regions where the scalar field remains phantom. The functions related to the source fields and the $f(R)$ theory are not symmetric under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$, implying that the function $f(R)$ is multivalued. For the third case, we chose the model $f_R = a_0 + a_2 r^2$. The advantage of this model is that the source fields and the function $f(R)$ are symmetric under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$, so unlike the previous case, the function $f(R)$ will not be multivalued. If the constant a_2 is positive, then the scalar field will always be a phantom. However, for negative a_2 , if $a^2 > -a_0/a_2$, our scalar field will always be canonical. This is the first case in which we find a BB solution where the scalar field can always be canonical, depending on the choice of parameters. For the last model, we considered $f_R = a_0 + a_\Sigma \Sigma$. This proposal arises as a modification of the second model by replacing $r \rightarrow \sqrt{r^2 + a^2}$. Interestingly, this model yields more simplified solutions for the source fields. As in the third model, we obtain symmetry under the transformation $r \rightarrow -r$ in all functions derived for the source field and the function $f(R)$. This is the second case where the scalar field can always be canonical, provided that the constant a_Σ takes negative values and a is sufficiently large. Thus, we have constructed at least two models in which the BB solution can be generated through a canonical scalar field.

We also analyzed the energy conditions, and one general feature across all models is that, depending on the chosen parameters, each of the inequalities will be satisfied in specific regions of space-time. In cases I and III, there exist regions where all energy conditions are satisfied. However, in cases II and IV, this is not true, as at least one inequality will always be violated, leading to the violation of some energy condition. Overall, the inclusion of the $f(R)$ theory relaxes the necessity of energy condition violations, allowing for a much broader range of scenarios where these conditions can be satisfied compared to GR.

The use of the $f(R)$ theory requires the introduction of additional nonlinearities in both the scalar and electromagnetic sectors. These modifications are crucial when studying stability through scalar and electromagnetic perturbations. Moreover, changes in nonlinear electrodynamics also affect the trajectories of photons in this space-time and the thermodynamics of BHs. Thus, in future works, we can explore the consequences that these modifications may have on the physical properties of BHs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) and Fundação Cearense de Apoio ao Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (FUNCAP) for the financial support.

-
- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* (LIGO Scientific, Virgo), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016), arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc].
 - [2] K. Akiyama *et al.* (Event Horizon Telescope), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **875**, L1 (2019), arXiv:1906.11238 [astro-ph.GA].
 - [3] K. A. Bronnikov and S. G. Rubin, *Black Holes, Cosmology and Extra Dimensions* (WSP, 2012).
 - [4] A. Simpson and M. Visser, *JCAP* **02**, 042 (2019), arXiv:1812.07114 [gr-qc].
 - [5] F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. de Sousa Silva, A. Simpson, and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 084052 (2021), arXiv:2009.12057 [gr-qc].
 - [6] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. d. S. Silva, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 225011 (2023), arXiv:2204.11851 [gr-qc].
 - [7] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. d. S. Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 084016 (2022), arXiv:2210.05383 [gr-qc].
 - [8] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. d. S. Silva, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **42**, 055005 (2025), arXiv:2502.00502 [gr-qc].
 - [9] A. M. Lima, G. M. de Alencar Filho, and J. S. Furtado Neto, *Symmetry* **15**, 150 (2023), arXiv:2211.12349 [gr-qc].
 - [10] A. Lima, G. Alencar, R. N. Costa Filho, and R. R. Landim, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **55**, 108 (2023), arXiv:2306.03029 [gr-qc].
 - [11] A. Lima, G. Alencar, and D. Sáez-Chillon Gómez, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 064038 (2024), arXiv:2307.07404 [gr-qc].
 - [12] K. A. Bronnikov, M. E. Rodrigues, and M. V. de S. Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 024065 (2023), arXiv:2305.19296 [gr-qc].
 - [13] T. M. Crispim, G. Alencar, and M. Estrada, (2024), arXiv:2407.03528 [gr-qc].
 - [14] T. M. Crispim, M. Estrada, C. R. Muniz, and G. Alencar, *JCAP* **10**, 063 (2024), arXiv:2405.08048 [hep-th].
 - [15] S. U. Islam, J. Kumar, and S. G. Ghosh, *JCAP* **10**, 013 (2021), arXiv:2104.00696 [gr-qc].
 - [16] J. R. Nascimento, A. Y. Petrov, P. J. Porfírio, and A. R. Soares, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 044021 (2020), arXiv:2005.13096 [gr-qc].
 - [17] S. Ghosh and A. Bhattacharyya, *JCAP* **11**, 006 (2022), arXiv:2206.09954 [gr-qc].
 - [18] Y. Yang, D. Liu, Z. Xu, Y. Xing, S. Wu, and Z.-W. Long, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 104021 (2021), arXiv:2107.06554 [gr-qc].
 - [19] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, J. Mazza, R. Dey, and S. Chakraborty, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 124051 (2022), arXiv:2201.01650 [gr-qc].
 - [20] M. Guerrero, G. J. Olmo, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and D. S.-C. Gómez, *JCAP* **08**, 036 (2021), arXiv:2105.15073 [gr-qc].
 - [21] Y. Guo and Y.-G. Miao, *Nucl. Phys. B* **983**, 115938 (2022), arXiv:2112.01747 [gr-qc].

- [22] G. J. Olmo, J. L. Rosa, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and D. Saez-Chillon Gomez, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 174002 (2023), [arXiv:2302.12064 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [23] H. C. D. Lima, Junior., L. C. B. Crispino, P. V. P. Cunha, and C. A. R. Herdeiro, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 084040 (2021), [arXiv:2102.07034 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [24] J. M. Bardeen, in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Gravitation and Collapse* (Tbilisi, USSR, 1968).
- [25] I. G. Dymnikova, *General Relativity and Gravitation* **24**, 235 (1992).
- [26] S. A. Hayward, *Physical Review Letters* **96**, 031103 (2006).
- [27] H. G. Ellis, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **14**, 104 (1973).
- [28] K. A. Bronnikov, *Acta Physica Polonica B* **4**, 251 (1973).
- [29] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, *Physical Review Letters* **61**, 1446 (1988).
- [30] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. de Sousa Silva, *JCAP* **06**, 025 (2018), [arXiv:1802.05095 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] K. A. Bronnikov, *Physics Letters B* **490**, 143 (2000).
- [32] K. A. Bronnikov, *Particles* **1**, 56 (2018), [arXiv:1802.00098 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [33] T. M. Crispim, G. Alencar, and C. R. Muniz, (2024), [arXiv:2410.11147 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [34] M. V. d. S. Silva, G. Alencar, R. N. Costa Filho, R. M. P. Neves, and C. R. Muniz, (2024), [arXiv:2411.12063 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [35] T. M. Crispim, M. V. d. S. Silva, G. Alencar, C. R. Muniz, and D. Sáez-Chillón Gómez, (2024), [arXiv:2412.05236 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [36] K. A. Bronnikov and R. K. Walia, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 044039 (2022), [arXiv:2112.13198 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [37] G. Alencar, K. A. Bronnikov, M. E. Rodrigues, D. Sáez-Chillón Gómez, and M. V. de S. Silva, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 745 (2024), [arXiv:2403.12897 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [38] G. Alencar, A. Duran-Cabacés, D. Rubiera-Garcia, and D. Sáez-Chillón Gómez, (2025), [arXiv:2501.03909 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [39] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. d. S. Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 044064 (2023), [arXiv:2302.10772 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] C. F. S. Pereira, D. C. Rodrigues, M. V. d. S. Silva, J. C. Fabris, M. E. Rodrigues, and H. Belich, (2024), [arXiv:2409.09182 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] K. A. Bronnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 064029 (2022), [arXiv:2206.09227 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [42] C. F. S. Pereira, D. C. Rodrigues, E. L. Martins, J. C. Fabris, and M. E. Rodrigues, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **42**, 015001 (2025), [arXiv:2405.07455 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] E. L. B. Junior, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, L. F. D. da Silva, and H. A. Vieira, (2025), [arXiv:2502.13327 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] E. Franzin, S. Liberati, and V. Vellucci, *JCAP* **01**, 020 (2024), [arXiv:2310.11990 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [45] M. V. d. S. Silva and M. E. Rodrigues, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **63**, 101 (2024), [arXiv:2404.15792 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [46] M. A. A. de Paula, H. C. D. Lima Junior, P. V. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro, and L. C. B. Crispino, (2024), [arXiv:2412.18659 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [47] M. E. Rodrigues, M. V. de S. Silva, and H. A. Vieira, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 084043 (2022), [arXiv:2203.04965 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [48] S. Capozziello, A. Stabile, and A. Troisi, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25**, 085004 (2008), [arXiv:0709.0891 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [49] L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71**, 1591 (2011), [arXiv:1012.5230 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [50] S. Capozziello, A. Stabile, and A. Troisi, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24**, 2153 (2007), [arXiv:gr-qc/0703067](#).
- [51] K. Kainulainen, J. Piilonen, V. Reijonen, and D. Sunhede, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024020 (2007), [arXiv:0704.2729 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [52] G. G. L. Nashed and S. Capozziello, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 104018 (2019), [arXiv:1902.06783 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [53] T. Multamäki and I. Vilja, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 064022 (2006), [arXiv:astro-ph/0606373](#).
- [54] G. I. Róis, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, and T. Harko, (2024), [arXiv:2412.00582 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [55] A. DeBenedictis and D. Horvat, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **44**, 2711 (2012), [arXiv:1111.3704 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [56] G. C. Samanta and N. Godani, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79**, 623 (2019), [arXiv:1908.04406 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [57] S. Bhattacharya and S. Chakraborty, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77**, 558 (2017), [arXiv:1506.03968 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [58] C. Bambi, A. Cardenas-Avendano, G. J. Olmo, and D. Rubiera-Garcia, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 064016 (2016), [arXiv:1511.03755 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [59] M. E. Rodrigues, E. L. B. Junior, G. T. Marques, and V. T. Zanchin, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 024062 (2016), [Addendum: *Phys.Rev.D* **94**, 049904 (2016)], [arXiv:1511.00569 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [60] M. E. Rodrigues, J. C. Fabris, E. L. B. Junior, and G. T. Marques, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76**, 250 (2016), [arXiv:1601.00471 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [61] A. C. L. Santos, R. V. Maluf, and C. R. Muniz, (2023), [arXiv:2312.05326 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [62] T. Tangphati, M. Youk, and S. Ponglertsakul, *JHEAp* **43**, 66 (2024), [arXiv:2312.16614 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [63] M. E. Rodrigues and M. V. de Sousa Silva, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 124010 (2019), [arXiv:1906.06168 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [64] G. Alencar, M. Nilton, M. E. Rodrigues, and M. V. d. S. Silva, (2024), [arXiv:2409.12101 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [65] J. C. Fabris, E. L. B. Junior, and M. E. Rodrigues, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 884 (2023), [arXiv:2310.00714 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [66] J. T. S. S. Junior and M. E. Rodrigues, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 475 (2023), [arXiv:2306.04661 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [67] J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, and M. E. Rodrigues, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 557 (2024), [arXiv:2405.09702 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [68] E. L. B. Junior, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, M. E. Rodrigues, D. Rubiera-Garcia, L. F. D. da Silva, and H. A. Vieira, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 1190 (2024), [arXiv:2407.21649 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [69] G. I. Róis, J. T. S. S. Junior, F. S. N. Lobo, and M. E. Rodrigues, (2024), [arXiv:2412.10324 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [70] M. E. Rodrigues and H. A. Vieira, *Eur. Phys. J. Plus* **138**, 974 (2023), [arXiv:2311.02138 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [71] K. Pal, K. Pal, and T. Sarkar, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **55**, 121 (2023), [arXiv:2307.09382 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [72] P. Cañate, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 024031 (2022), [arXiv:2202.02303 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [73] A. A. Starobinsky, *Phys. Lett. B* **91**, 99 (1980).
- [74] A. De Felice and S. Tsujikawa, *Living Rev. Rel.* **13**, 3 (2010), [arXiv:1002.4928 \[gr-qc\]](#).

[75] M. Visser, *Lorentzian wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking* (1995).