

Decomposition of toroidal graphs without some subgraphs

Tao Wang*

Xiaojing Yang[†]

Abstract

We consider a family of toroidal graphs, denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$, which contain neither i -cycles nor j -cycles. A graph G is (d, h) -decomposable if it contains a subgraph H with $\Delta(H) \leq h$ such that $G - E(H)$ is a d -degenerate graph. For each pair $(i, j) \in \{(3, 4), (3, 6), (4, 6), (4, 7)\}$, Lu and Li proved that every graph in $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ is $(2, 1)$ -decomposable. In this short note, we present a unified approach to prove that a common superclass of $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ is also $(2, 1)$ -decomposable.

Keywords: Toroidal graph; Decomposition; Discharging

MSC2020: 05C10; 05C15

1 Introduction

For a 2-cell embedded graph G on an orientable surface, the sets of the vertices, the edges, and the faces are, respectively, represented by $V(G)$, $E(G)$, and $F(G)$. Throughout this paper, when we refer a face of a graph, we mean the graph has been embedded on a plane or a torus. For any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the complement of S in G is written as $\overline{S} = V(G) - S$. The *degree* of a vertex v in a graph (or the *out-degree* in a digraph) is denoted by $\deg(v)$ (or $\deg^+(v)$ in the digraph). We use $\Delta^+(D)$ to denote the maximum out-degree of an orientation D . For a vertex v , if $\deg(v) = d$ (resp. $\deg(v) \leq d$, or $\deg(v) \geq d$), then we say that v is a d -vertex (resp. d^- -vertex, or d^+ -vertex). Similarly, for a face f of an embedded graph, if its size is d (resp. at most d or at least d), then we call f a d -face (resp. d^- -face or d^+ -face). For a face f in $F(G)$, we write $f = [v_1 v_2 \dots v_m]$ to denote a cyclic order of vertices on the boundary. An l -face $[v_1 v_2 \dots v_l]$ is referred to as a (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l) -face, provided $\deg(v_j) = d_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. Two faces are defined to be *adjacent* if they have a common edge, and *normally adjacent* if they share exactly one edge and exactly two common vertices. The symbol \overrightarrow{uv} is used to indicate the orientation of the edge uv from u to v .

A (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k) -coloring is a vertex partition (U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k) where the maximum degree of $G[U_i]$ is at most t_i for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Obviously, a $(0_1, 0_2, \dots, 0_k)$ -coloring is equivalent to a proper vertex k -coloring. An h -defective k -coloring is referred to as a k -coloring where the maximum degree of each color class is at most h . An h -defective L -coloring of a graph G is defined as an h -defective k -coloring ϕ of G satisfying $\phi(u)$ belongs to $L(u)$ for every vertex u in $V(G)$. Furthermore, G is said to be h -defective k -chosen if it has an h -defective L -coloring for each k -list assignment L .

Cowen et al. [3] have proved that planar graphs can be 2-defective 3-colored. Subsequently, Eaton and Hull [6], as well as Škrekovski [13], independently confirmed the 2-defective 3-choosability of planar graphs. In [6, 13], the authors raised the problem that whether planar

*Center for Applied Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

[†]School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China. **Corresponding author:** yangxiaojing@henu.edu.cn. The second author was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12101187).




Fig. 1: Common forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.3(1) and (2).

graphs can be 1-defective 4-choosen. Cushing and Kierstead [4] provided a positive solution to this inquiry.

An l -cycle is a cycle with a length of l . Consider the family of planar graphs denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{i,j}$, which consists of planar graphs that do not contain i -cycles or j -cycles. In [9], the authors established that for every $j \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, each member in $\mathcal{P}_{4,j}$ can be 1-defective 3-choosen. This conclusion was also verified by Dong and Xu [5] for each $l \in \{8, 9\}$.

A (d, h) -decomposition of a graph G is an ordered pair (D, H) such that H is a subgraph of G with $\Delta(H) \leq h$, and D is an acyclic orientation of $G - E(H)$ with $\Delta^+(D) \leq d$. We say a graph is (d, h) -decomposable if it has a (d, h) -decomposition. A d -degenerate graph is a graph whose every subgraph has minimum degree at most d . Note that a graph is d -degenerate if and only if it has an orientation D with $\Delta^+(D) \leq d$. Equivalently, a graph G is (d, h) -decomposable if it contains a subgraph H with $\Delta(H) \leq h$ and the removing of $E(H)$ from G results in a d -degenerate graph. We also say that a graph G can be (d, h) -decomposed if it is (d, h) -decomposable. Note that k -degenerate graphs can be $(k + 1)$ -colorable, $(k + 1)$ -choosable, $(k + 1)$ -AT-colorable, $(k + 1)$ -DP-colorable, and $(k + 1)$ -DP-paintable. For the definition of k -AT-colorable, k -DP-colorable and k -DP-paintable, we refer the reader to [1, 7, 15]. It follows that any (d, h) -decomposable graph is h -defective $(d + 1)$ -choosable. Zhu [14] showed that a planar graph can be $(2, 8)$ -decomposed.

Cho et al. [2] considered general planar graphs and obtained the following results.

Theorem 1.1 (Cho et al. [2]).

- (1) Every planar graph is $(4, 1)$ -decomposable.
- (2) Every planar graph is $(3, 2)$ -decomposable.
- (3) Every planar graph is $(2, 6)$ -decomposable.
- (4) Not all planar graphs are $(2, 3)$ -decomposable.

It is worth noting that the results presented in Theorem 1.1(1) and (2) are optimal since a planar graph with minimum degree at least five is neither $(3, 1)$ -decomposable nor $(4, 0)$ -decomposable.

Lu and Zhu [11] improved Lih et al. 's results to the following.

Theorem 1.2 (Lu and Zhu [11]). For each $l \in \{5, 6, 7\}$, any graph belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{4,l}$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed.

Li et al. [8] further extended the above result.

Theorem 1.3 (Li et al. [8]). If G is a plane graph and any one of the three conditions below holds, then G is $(2, 1)$ -decomposable:

- (a) G does not contain any subgraph as shown in Figs. 1 and 2.




Fig. 2: Certain forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.3(1).




Fig. 3: Certain forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.3(2).

(b) G does not contain any subgraph as shown in Figs. 1 and 3.

(c) G is included in $\mathcal{P}_{4,9}$.

Recently, some scholars focused on studying toroidal graphs.

Theorem 1.4 (Lu and Li [10]). Every toroidal graph without adjacent triangles is $(3, 1)$ -decomposable.

Theorem 1.5 (Tian et al. [12]). A toroidal graph can be $(3, 1)$ -decomposed if one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) every 5-cycle is an induced cycle;
- (2) every 6-cycle is an induced cycle.

Consider the family of toroidal graphs denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$, which consists of toroidal graphs that do not contain i -cycles or j -cycles. Lu and Li [10] focused on this family of toroidal graphs.

Theorem 1.6 (Lu and Li [10]). For each pair $(i, j) \in \{(3, 4), (3, 6), (4, 6), (4, 7)\}$, every graph in $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed.

In this short note, we present a result that enhances the findings of the above theorem.




Fig. 4: Certain forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.7.




Fig. 5: Reducible configurations.

Theorem 1.7. If G is a toroidal graph without subgraphs isomorphic to any configuration as depicted in Fig. 4, then G is $(2, 1)$ -decomposable.

2 Proof

This section is devoted to providing a proof for Theorem 1.7.

A vertex of degree 3 is referred to as *light* where it is on a $(3, 4, 4, 4)$ -face and a pair of $(3, 4, 3, 4, 4)$ -faces. A vertex of degree 3 is called *minor* where it is on a 4-face. In Figs. 5–7, a triangle represents a 3-vertex, whereas a quadrilateral represents a 4-vertex.

Assume that G is a counterexample to Theorem 1.7 with minimum number of vertices, and it has been embedded on a torus. Under this assumption, G has the following properties.

Lemma 2.1.

- (i) $\delta(G)$ is at least 3.
- (ii) There are no adjacent 3-vertices.
- (iii) Every 5^- -cycle has no chord.
- (iv) There are no adjacent 4^- -faces.
- (v) If a 5-face is adjacent to a 4^- -face, then they are normally adjacent. Moreover, every 5-face is adjacent to at most one 3-face.
- (vi) If a 5-face f is adjacent to a 3-face, then f is not adjacent to any 4-face.
- (vii) There are no 6-faces adjacent to 3-faces.
- (viii) There are no $(3, 4, 3, 4)$ -faces.
- (ix) There are no light 3-vertices.
- (x) There are no subgraphs isomorphic to any configuration in Fig. 5.

Proof. (i). Suppose that a 2^- -vertex v exists. By minimality assumption, $G - v$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed into (D', M) . Let D be defined as $D = D' \cup \{\vec{vu} \mid u \in N_G(v)\}$. Therefore, G can be decomposed into (D, M) , which contradicts our assumption.

(ii). Suppose $uv \in E(G)$ and $\deg(u) = \deg(v) = 3$. Again, by minimality assumption, $G - \{u, v\}$ admits a $(2, 1)$ -decomposition (D', M') . Let M be the union of M' and $\{uv\}$, and let




Fig. 6: A local matching and orientation.

D be obtained from D' by orienting the four edges incident with u and v such that $\deg_D^+(u) = \deg_D^+(v) = 0$. Hence, we acquire the needed $(2, 1)$ -decomposition of G , contradicting our initial assumption.

(iii). Suppose that there exists a 5^- -cycle with a chord. This implies the existence of a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 4(a) or Fig. 4(b), which contradicts our hypothesis.

(iv). Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$, any two adjacent 3-faces must be normally adjacent. Due to the absence of Fig. 4(a), there are no adjacent 3-faces. Assume a 4-face $f = [uvxy]$ is adjacent to a 4-face $g = [x'uvy' \dots]$. Again, by the absence of Fig. 4(a), we have $y' \neq y$ and $x' \neq x$. The condition $\delta(G) \geq 3$ implies that $\{x', y'\} \cap \{x, y\} = \emptyset$. Consequently, f, g must be normally adjacent. However, this contradicts the missing of Figs. 4(b) and 4(c).

(v). Assume a 5-face $f = [uvxyz]$ is adjacent to a 4-face $g = [auvb \dots]$. Note that $a \neq v$ and it is possible that $a = b$. By (iii), we have $a \notin \{x, y\}$. Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$, we also have $a \neq z$. Similarly, b cannot be in $\{x, y, z, u, v\}$. Hence, f and g must be normally adjacent. The absence of Figs. 4(a) and 4(b) implies that every vertex outside $\{u, v, x, y, z\}$ can connect with at most two neighbors in this set. If f is adjacent to two 3-faces h_1 and h_2 , then the other two vertices on h_1 and h_2 , not on f , must be distinct, and $G[V(f) \cup V(h_1) \cup V(h_2)]$ contains a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 4(d), which is a contradiction. Therefore, every 5-face can have at most one adjacent 3-face.

(vi). Suppose $f = [uvxyz]$ and $g = [uvw]$ have a common uv . By (v), g and f are normally adjacent. Assume a 4-face h is adjacent to f . It is observed that h and f are normally adjacent. Depending on the positions of the common edges, we consider two cases. (1) Suppose $h = [uzpq]$. If $w = p$, then there exists a subgraph as shown in Fig. 4(a), which is a contradiction. If $w = q$, then a subgraph as shown in Fig. 4(b) appears, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $w \notin \{p, q\}$, but there exists a subgraph as shown in Fig. 4(e), which is a contradiction. (2) Suppose $h = [zypq]$. If $w \in \{p, q\}$, then there exists a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 4(b), which is a contradiction. Therefore, $w \notin \{p, q\}$, but there exists a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 4(f), which is a contradiction.

(vii). Assume f is a 6-face. If the boundary of f is not a 6-cycle, then it consists of two




Fig. 7: Bold edges represent matching, and red edges are oriented.

triangles, and in that case, no 3-face is adjacent to f . Then we assume the boundary of f is a 6-cycle $[v_1v_2 \dots v_6]$, and a 3-face $g = [uv_1v_2]$ is adjacent to f . Since $\delta(G) \geq 3$, we have $u \notin \{v_3, v_6\}$. If $u \in \{v_4, v_5\}$, then there exists a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 4(c), which is a contradiction. Hence, g and f must be normally adjacent, which contradicts the absence in Fig. 4(d).

(viii). Consider a $(3, 4, 3, 4)$ -face $[b_1b_2b_3b_4]$, and let $X = \{b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$. Note that $[b_1b_2b_3b_4]$ has no chords. By minimality assumption, $G - X$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed into (D', M') . Let M be the union of M' and $\{b_1b_2, b_3b_4\}$, and let D be obtained from $D' \cup \{\overrightarrow{b_1b_4}, \overrightarrow{b_3b_2}\}$ by directing all edges with one endpoint in X and the other endpoint in \overline{X} from X to \overline{X} . Then (D, M) is a $(2, 1)$ -decomposition of G , a contradiction.

(ix). Let X be the set of vertices on the three faces. By minimality assumption, $G - X$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed into (D', M') . Now, we label vertices as depicted in Fig. 6. The bold edges represent a matching M'' . For each other edge $a_i a_j$ incident with X , we direct it by $\overrightarrow{a_i a_j}$ whenever $i < j$ or $a_j \notin X$. Let M be the union of M' and M'' , and let D be the resulting orientation of $G - M$. Then (D, M) forms a desired $(2, 1)$ -decomposition.

(x). Let X be the vertex set of any subgraph as depicted in Fig. 5. Then $G - X$ can be $(2, 1)$ -decomposed into (D', M') . Let D'' be the directed edges in Fig. 7, and M'' be the set of bold edges in Fig. 7. Let M be the union of M' and M'' and D be the union of D' and D'' . Therefore, (D, M) is a desired $(2, 1)$ -decomposition of G . \square

We begin with a primary charge function ω , which is described as $\omega(z) = \deg(z) - 4$ for each $z \in V \cup F$. The Handshaking Theorem and Euler's formula ensure that

$$\sum_{z \in V \cup F} \omega(z) = 0.$$

After the discharging process is finished, we obtain a new charge function ω' . It is important to notice that the total charges can be preserved throughout this process. However, we will prove that $\omega'(z) \geq 0$ for all $z \in F \cup V$, and there exists an element $z \in F \cup V$ with $\omega'(z) > 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

- R1.** Every 3-face gets $\frac{1}{3}$ from every adjacent face.
- R2.** Consider a 3-vertex w incident with h_1, h_2 and h_3 . If h_1 has size at most 4, then w gets $\frac{1}{2}$ from each of h_2 and h_3 ; otherwise w gets $\frac{1}{3}$ from each of h_1, h_2 and h_3 .
- R3.** Assume x is a vertex with $\deg(x) \geq 5$. Then x gives $\frac{1}{6}$ to each incident 4^+ -face. Furthermore, if x is on a 3-face $g = [xyz]$ and yz is on another face g' , then x gives $\frac{1}{6}$ to g' through g .

Every vertex concludes with a nonnegative final charge. Let u be an arbitrary vertex with $\deg(u) = 3$. If u is on a 4^- -face, then the remaining two faces have sizes at least 5, and $\omega'(u) = \omega(u) + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ due to R2. If u is not on any 4^- -face, then each incident face is a 5^+ -face, which results in $\omega'(u) = \omega(u) + 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$ due to R2.

It is observed that 4-vertices do not participate in the discharging procedure, thus $\omega'(u) = \omega(u) = 0$. If $\deg(u) \geq 5$, then $\omega'(u) = \omega(u) - \deg(u) \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{5\deg(u)-24}{6} > 0$ by R3.

Every face concludes with a nonnegative final charge. Let $f = [x_1x_2 \dots x_d]$ denote a d -face, and let $t = |\{x_i \mid \deg(x_i) = 3\}|$. Then we can deduce that $t \leq \frac{d}{2}$ from Lemma 2.1(ii). According to Lemma 2.1(ii), f is adjacent to at most $d - t$ triangular-faces.

Case 1. $d = 3$. Then no incident face is a 4^- -face, thus f gets $\frac{1}{3}$ from each adjacent face, resulting in $\omega'(f) = \omega(f) + \frac{1}{3} \times 3 = 0$ due to R1.

Case 2. $d = 4$. Then f does not send out any charge, implying $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) = 0$.

Case 3. $d = 5$. By invoking Lemma 2.1(ii), f contains at most two 3-vertices. If f is not adjacent to any 3-face, then f just sends charge to incident 3-vertices; thus, $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ due to R2. Next, consider the case that f is adjacent to a 3-face. According to Lemma 2.1(v) & (vi), f is adjacent to precisely one 3-face f^* and zero 4-faces. If $t \leq 1$, then f sends at most $\frac{1}{2}$ to vertices, and $\frac{1}{3}$ to faces; thus, $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3} > 0$ due to R1 and R2. Now let $t = 2$ and $f^* = [ux_1x_2]$ be the 3-face. If one of x_1, \dots, x_5 and u is a 5^+ -vertex, then f gets at least $\frac{1}{6}$ from these 5^+ -vertices, implying $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) + \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} - (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{2}) = 0$. So we may assume that $t = 2$ and no vertex in $\{u, x_1, \dots, x_5\}$ is a 5^+ -vertex. Hence, f contains three 4-vertices and two 3-vertices. Suppose that $\deg(x_1) = \deg(x_2) = 4$. It follows that $\deg(x_3) = \deg(x_5) = 3$ and $\deg(x_4) = 4$. Since the configuration depicted in Fig. 5(a) is reducible, we have $\deg(u) = 4$. As a result, the configuration shown in Fig. 5(b) will appear. Assume by symmetry that x_2 is a 3-vertex and u, x_1, x_3 are 4-vertices. If $\deg(x_5) = 4$ and $\deg(x_4) = 3$, then the configuration as depicted in Fig. 5(c) occurs, which is a contradiction. If $\deg(x_5) = 3$ and $\deg(x_4) = 4$, then the configuration as depicted in Fig. 5(a) occurs, which is a contradiction.

Case 4. $d = 6$. Then every incident face is a 4^+ -face by Lemma 2.1(vii). Thus, $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) - 3 \times \frac{1}{2} > 0$.

Case 5. $d \geq 7$. Therefore, $\omega'(f) \geq \omega(f) - (d - t) \times \frac{1}{3} - t \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2d}{3} - 4 - \frac{t}{6} \geq \frac{7d}{12} - 4 > 0$.

If G has an element x in $F \cup V$ with $\omega'(x) > 0$, then we complete the proof. So we may assume that $\omega'(x) = 0$ for every element $x \in F \cup V$. By the above arguments, there are no 5^+ -vertices and no 6^+ -faces. Since there are no adjacent 4^- -faces, there must exist some 5-faces. However, as we discussed in Case 3, 5-faces and 3-faces are not adjacent, and there are two minor 3-vertices on a 5-face. Let $f_1 = [x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5]$ be a 5-face adjacent to a 4-face $g = [x_2x_3wu_3]$ with $\deg(x_2) = 3$. By Lemma 2.1(viii), f_1 must be a (3, 4, 4, 4)-face. Since there are no adjacent 4^- -faces, the third face f_2 incident with x_2 is a 5-face. We may assume that $f_2 = [x_1x_2u_3u_4u_5]$, and f_2 is incident with two minor 3-vertices. However, this contradicts Lemma 2.1(ix).

Declarations

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] A. Bernshteyn, A. V. Kostochka and S. P. Pron, On DP-coloring of graphs and multigraphs, Sib. Math. J. 58 (1) (2017) 28–36.

- [2] E.-K. Cho, I. Choi, R. Kim, B. Park, T. Shan and X. Zhu, Decomposing planar graphs into graphs with degree restrictions, *J. Graph Theory* 101 (2) (2022) 165–181.
- [3] L. J. Cowen, R. H. Cowen and D. R. Woodall, Defective colorings of graphs in surfaces: partitions into subgraphs of bounded valency, *J. Graph Theory* 10 (2) (1986) 187–195.
- [4] W. Cushing and H. A. Kierstead, Planar graphs are 1-relaxed, 4-choosable, *European J. Combin.* 31 (5) (2010) 1385–1397.
- [5] W. Dong and B. Xu, A note on list improper coloring of plane graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 157 (2) (2009) 433–436.
- [6] N. Eaton and T. Hull, Defective list colorings of planar graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 25 (1999) 79–87.
- [7] S.-J. Kim, A. V. Kostochka, X. Li and X. Zhu, On-line DP-coloring of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 285 (2020) 443–453.
- [8] L. Li, H. Lu, T. Wang and X. Zhu, Decomposition of planar graphs with forbidden configurations, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 331 (2023) 147–158.
- [9] K.-W. Lih, Z. Song, W. Wang and K. Zhang, A note on list improper coloring planar graphs, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 14 (3) (2001) 269–273.
- [10] H. Lu and F. Li, The decomposability of toroidal graphs without adjacent triangles or short cycles, *Axioms* 12 (2) (2023) 173.
- [11] H. Lu and X. Zhu, The Alon-Tarsi number of planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 and l , *Discrete Math.* 343 (5) (2020) 111797.
- [12] F. Tian, L. Niu and X. Li, Decompositions of graphs of nonnegative characteristic with some forbidden subgraphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 456 (2023) Paper No. 128126.
- [13] R. Škrekovski, List improper colourings of planar graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 8 (3) (1999) 293–299.
- [14] X. Zhu, The game coloring number of pseudo partial k -trees, *Discrete Math.* 215 (1-3) (2000) 245–262.
- [15] X. Zhu, The Alon-Tarsi number of planar graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 134 (2019) 354–358.