

COHOMOLOGICAL FIELD THEORY WITH VACUUM AND ITS VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS

SHUAI GUO AND QINGSHENG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This is the first part of a series of papers on *Virasoro constraints for Cohomological Field Theory (CohFT)*. For a CohFT with vacuum, we introduce the concepts of S -calibration and ν -calibration. Then, we define the (formal) total descendent potential corresponding to a given calibration. Finally, we introduce an additional structure, namely homogeneity, for both the CohFT and the calibrations.

After these preliminary introductions, we propose two crucial conjectures: (1) the ancestor version of the Virasoro conjecture for the homogeneous CohFT with vacuum; and (2) the generalized Virasoro conjecture for the (formal) total descendent potential of a calibrated homogeneous CohFT. We verify the genus-0 part of these conjectures and deduce a simplified form of the genus-1 part of these conjectures for arbitrary CohFTs. Additionally, we prove the full conjectures for semisimple CohFTs.

As applications, our results yield the Virasoro constraints for the deformed negative r -spin theory. Moreover, by applying the Virasoro constraints, we discover an extension of Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants theory which is widely studied in the literature.

CONTENTS

0. Introduction	1
1. CohFT with vacuum	6
2. Descendents for CohFT	14
3. Results on Virasoro constraints	23
4. Application I: Virasoro constraints of deformed negative r -spin theory	29
5. Application II: CohFT of extended Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants	33

0. INTRODUCTION

The Virasoro conjecture originally proposed by Eguchi–Hori–Xiong and Katz [15, 16] and generalized by Dubrovin–Zhang [13] is one of the most important (conjectural) structures in enumerative geometries such like the Gromov–Witten (GW) theory and the Fan–Jarvis–Ruan–Witten (FJRW) theory. It predicts that the generating series $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$, called the total descendent potential, of the enumerative geometry is annihilated by a sequence of differential operators $\{L_m\}_{m \geq -1}$ satisfying the commutation relation $[L_m, L_n] = (m - n)L_{m+n}$ of (half of) Virasoro algebra.

For the simplest case, the GW theory of a point, the Virasoro conjecture is equivalent [8] to the celebrated Witten conjecture [43] first proved by Kontsevich [27]. Generally, if the theory satisfies the so called semisimplicity, the Virasoro conjecture is proved in lower genera [12, 13, 19, 35, 33, 34] by Liu–Tian, Liu, Dubrovin–Zhang and Getzler, and completely solved by Givental and Teleman's works [20, 42]. Without the assumption of semisimplicity, the

genus-0 part of the Virasoro constraints is proved by Liu–Tian [35], see also [33, 34, 32] for some lower genus explorations. In [40], Okounkov–Pandharipande proved the Virasoro conjecture for the GW theory of curves, see also [25] for some analogous results for quantum singularity theories.

In [28], Kontsevich and Manin introduced the concept of CohFT to capture the axiomatic properties of the GW theory (see §1 for definitions). Later, it was discovered that the CohFT properties hold generally in various kinds of enumerative geometries, and the Virasoro conjecture can be naturally generalized to the homogeneous CohFTs with flat unit. Despite the progress on proving the Virasoro conjectures, we find that the Virasoro constraints are not fully established in various theories such as CohFTs without flat unit and the Virasoro constraints for the ancestor generating series. In this paper, we will study the Virasoro constraints in the following directions:

- (1) **Ancestor Virasoro conjecture:** We propose an ancestor version of the Virasoro conjecture for any homogeneous CohFT with vacuum. Compared to the original (descendent) Virasoro conjecture, we believe that the ancestor version is more general and should hold true for the entire set of Virasoro operators. We prove its genus-0 part and deduce simplified equations for the genus-1 part. We also prove the ancestor Virasoro constraints for semisimple cases, essentially following the work of Givental and Teleman.
- (2) **Generalized Virasoro conjecture:** We generalize the (descendent) Virasoro conjecture to the cases of homogeneous CohFTs calibrated by a homogeneous S -matrix and ν -vector. Such generalized Virasoro constraints has been studied for specific models in the literature, but the precise form of the Virasoro conjecture is not established in general. We prove genus-0 part of the conjecture, generalizing works of Liu–Tian [35] and Givental [22]. We also deduce simplified equations for genus-1 and prove the conjecture for semisimple cases by establishing its relation with the ancestor Virasoro conjecture.

0.1. Ancestor Virasoro conjecture. To describe the ancestor Virasoro constraints for CohFTs, we briefly introduce several notations. The details can be found in §1.

- The CohFT Ω is defined over a finite dimensional vector space, called the state space.
- The state space H is equipped with a non-degenerate (super-) symmetric bilinear form η . Let $\{\phi_a\}$ be a basis of H , $\{\phi^a\}$ its dual w.r.t. η , and define $\eta^{ab} = \eta(\phi^b, \phi^a)$.
- The genus zero part of the CohFT defines a family of associative (super-) commutative products $*_\tau$ over H , called the *quantum product*, for $\tau = \sum_a \tau^a \phi_a \in H$.
- The vector field $\mathbf{1}$ of unities of the family of quantum products is called the *flat unit* when it is covariantly flat with respect to η .

In arbitrary CohFTs, the flat unit is extended to the vacuum vector field $\mathbf{v}(z)$, satisfying $\mathbf{v}(z) = \mathbf{1}$ when it is flat. The unit axiom introduced by Kontsevich–Manin can be generalized to the vacuum axiom (Definition 1.2). Throughout this paper, we focus on CohFTs that satisfy the vacuum axiom and refer to them as CohFTs with vacuum¹.

Furthermore, we introduce an additional mathematical structure for CohFTs, namely the homogeneity property (Definition 1.5). This property is defined in terms of two key concepts:

¹For semisimple CohFTs, Teleman [42] has proved that the vacuum axiom always holds for classes with insertions.

the conformal dimension δ and the Euler vector field $E = \sum_a (1-d_a)\tau^a \partial_{\tau^a} + \sum_a r^a \partial_{r^a}$, where r^a are constants. Based on these concepts, we can define the grading operator $\mu \in \text{End}(H)$ such that $\mu(\phi_a) = (d_a - \frac{\delta}{2})\phi_a$. For further details, we direct the reader to §1.5.

We consider the generating series $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ of a given CohFT, with formal variables $\mathbf{s}(z) = \sum s_k^a \phi_a z^k \in H[[z]]$, and this generating series is known as the total ancestor potential. Subsequently, we introduce a set of quadratic differential operators L_m^τ , which can act on $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$.

Definition 0.1. The ancestor Virasoro operators L_m^τ , $m \geq -1$, are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^\tau &= \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1} s_0, s_0) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=m} \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^i (\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \mathcal{E}^j (\mu - \frac{1}{2})) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{l=0}^{m+k} \sum_{a,b=0}^{N-1} (C_m^\tau)_{k-1,a}^{l,b} \tilde{s}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_l^b} \\ &+ \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-k-1} \sum_{a,b,c=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{k+1} (C_m^\tau)_{-k-2,b}^{l,c} \eta^{ab} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_k^a \partial s_l^c}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{E} = E *_\tau$, $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \mathbf{s}(z) - z \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, and for $m \geq -1$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, terms $(C_m^\tau)_{k,a}^{l,b}$ are polynomials of entries of \mathcal{E} defined by

$$(\mathcal{E} + (\mu + \frac{3}{2})z + z^2 \partial_z)^{m+1} \phi_a z^k = \sum_{l=k}^{k+m+1} \sum_{b=0}^{N-1} (C_m^\tau)_{k,a}^{l,b} \phi_b z^l.$$

It's easy to verify these operators satisfy the Virasoro commutation relation:

$$[L_m^\tau, L_n^\tau] = (m-n)L_{m+n}^\tau, \quad m, n \geq -1.$$

Conjecture 1 (Ancestor Virasoro conjecture). For any homogeneous CohFT with vacuum, its total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ satisfies the following ancestor Virasoro constraints:

$$L_m^\tau \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = 0, \quad m \geq -1.$$

Remark 0.2. More precisely, we can just require that the vacuum axiom holds for classes with insertions. It is straightforward to observe that the ancestor Virasoro constraints are equivalent for two CohFTs that differ only by classes without insertions. In particular, for semisimple cases, we do not need to assume the vacuum axiom.

The ancestor Virasoro constraints admit a genus expansion form as follows:

$$(L_m^\tau \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)) / \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \mathcal{L}_{g,m}^\tau(\mathbf{s}). \quad (2)$$

We refer to $\mathcal{L}_{g,m}^\tau(\mathbf{t}) = 0$ as the *genus- g L_m^τ -constraint*. For fixed m and all g , they're called the L_m^τ -constraint; for fixed g and all m , they're called the *genus- g ancestor Virasoro constraints*. We will see that the L_{-1}^τ -constraint follows from the vacuum axiom (Proposition 1.3). Our main result is the following theorem:

Theorem 1 (=Theorem 3.1). (1). The genus-0 ancestor Virasoro conjecture always holds.

(2). For each $m \geq 0$, the genus-1 L_m^τ -constraint is equivalent to the following equation:

$$\langle E^{m+1} \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=m} \text{str}(\mathcal{E}^i \mu \mathcal{E}^j \mu) - \frac{1}{24} \sum_{i+j=m} \text{str}((\mathcal{E}^i \mu \mathcal{E}^j \mathbf{1}) *_\tau) + \frac{1}{24} \text{str}((\mathcal{E}^m (\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{1}) *_\tau), \quad (3)$$

where 'str' stands for the super-trace defined by $\text{str}(A) = \sum_a \eta(\phi_a, A \phi_a)$ for $A \in \text{End}(H)$.

(3). The ancestor Virasoro constraints hold for semisimple homogeneous CohFTs.

0.2. Generalized Virasoro conjecture. The *generalized Virasoro conjecture* extends the original Virasoro conjecture for the descendent GW theory to an arbitrary CohFT with vacuum. To define the (formal) *descendent potential* (Definition 2.3) of a given CohFT, we need to pick an S -calibration and ν -calibration (see §2.1). For a specific geometric theory, we expect that there always exist certain calibrations such that the formal descendent potential introduced matches the naturally defined geometric one, as it does in GW theory.

Then we select the S - and ν -calibration that meet a specific homogeneity condition, as the CohFT does. The homogeneity condition is defined by an additional operator $\rho \in \text{End}(H)$, which serves as the descendent counterpart of \mathcal{E} ².

We introduce the generalized Virasoro constraints as follows. Recall the flat unit $\mathbf{1}$ is involved in the standard Virasoro operators via the dilaton shift $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \mathbf{1}z$ ([15, 16]). For an arbitrary CohFT, we generalize the dilaton shift by $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_0 - z\mathbf{u}(z)$, where τ_0 is a point on H and $\mathbf{u}(z) \in H[z]$ is a constant vector valued polynomial in z ³.

Definition 0.3. The Virasoro operators L_m , $m \geq -1$, are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m = & \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \eta(\rho^{m+1}\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_0) - \delta_{m,0} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \text{str} \left(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{l=0}^{m+k} \sum_{a,b=0}^{N-1} (C_m)_{k-1,a}^{l,b} \tilde{t}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial t_l^b} \\ & + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^{m-k-1} \sum_{a,b,c=0}^{N-1} (-1)^{k+1} (C_m)_{-k-2,b}^{l,c} \eta^{ab} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_k^a \partial t_l^c}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where for $m \geq -1$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a, b \in \{0, \dots, N-1\}$, the $(C_m)_{k,a}^{l,b}$ are constants defined by

$$\left(\rho + \left(\mu + \frac{3}{2} \right) z + z^2 \partial_z \right)^{m+1} \phi_a z^k = \sum_{l=k}^{k+m+1} \sum_{b=0}^{N-1} (C_m)_{k,a}^{l,b} \phi_b z^l.$$

It's easy to verify these operators satisfy the Virasoro commutation relation:

$$[L_m, L_n] = (m-n)L_{m+n}, \quad m, n \geq -1.$$

The following two constants m_ν and c_ν are needed in the generalized Virasoro conjecture:

Definition 0.4. For an S - and ν -calibrated homogeneous CohFT, we say it has the *Virasoro-index* $m_\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ and *Virasoro-constant* c_ν under the following conditions:

- (1) If the ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is a polynomial in z , then we define $m_\nu = -1$ and $c_\nu = 0$.
- (2) If the ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is not a polynomial in z , but the conformal dimension δ satisfies $\frac{\delta-3}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then we define $m_\nu = \frac{\delta-3}{2}$ and c_ν as the coefficient of $z^{1-\delta}$ in

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot (-1)^{m_\nu} \cdot (m_\nu!)^2 \cdot \eta(E, \nu^\tau(z)), \quad (5)$$

We will prove in Lemma 2.7 that c_ν is a constant (independent of τ).

Conjecture 2 (Generalized Virasoro conjecture). When calibrated by a homogeneous S -matrix and ν -vector, any homogeneous CohFT with the Virasoro-index m_ν has the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ that satisfies the following generalized Virasoro constraints:

$$\left(L_m + \frac{\delta_{m,2m_\nu}}{\hbar^2} \cdot c_\nu \right) \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = 0, \quad m \geq m_\nu. \quad (6)$$

²In general, the operator ρ should be generalized to an operator-valued polynomial $\rho(z) \in \text{End}(H)[z^{-1}]$. All the results for ρ have a parallel version for $\rho(z)$. See Section 2.4 for details.

³It is determined by the S -calibration and ν -calibration, see equation (43)

Similarly to the ancestor version, the generalized Virasoro constraints also admit a genus expansion form:

$$(L_m \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)) / \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \mathcal{L}_{g,m}(\mathbf{t}). \quad (7)$$

We have the concepts the *genus- g L_m -constraint*, the *genus- g (generalized) Virasoro constraints* and *L_m -constraint* in parallel.

For $m \geq m_\nu$, we will prove that the L_m -constraint is equivalent to the L_m^τ -constraint in Proposition 3.8. In particular, if $m_\nu = -1$, the L_{-1} -constraint always holds. We have the following results for the generalized Virasoro conjecture.

Theorem 2 (=Theorem 3.5 + Theorem 3.9). (1). For any homogeneous CohFT with homogeneous S - and ν -calibrations and a Virasoro index m_ν , the genus-0 generalized Virasoro constraints $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t}) + \delta_{m,2m_\nu} \cdot c_\nu = 0$ hold for $m \geq m_\nu$.

(2). For each $m \geq \max\{m_\nu, 0\}$, the genus-1 L_m -constraint is equivalent to equation (3).

(3). The full generalized Virasoro conjecture holds for any semisimple homogeneous CohFT with homogeneous S - and ν -calibrations and a Virasoro index m_ν .

Remark 0.5. When the unit $\mathbf{1}$ is flat, we have $\mu(\mathbf{1}) = -\frac{\delta}{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}$, and the simplified genus-1 Virasoro constraints (equation (3)) are equivalent to the ones in [33, Theorem 4.4] (for GW theories) and [14, §3.10.7] (for semisimple cases).

0.3. Applications. We present two applications of our main theorems.

First, we investigate an enumerative geometric theory named the ϵ -deformed negative r -spin theory, whose descendent potential is denoted by $\mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ (see §4 for the definition). This theory has the Virasoro index $m_\nu = 0$. By directly applying Theorem 2, we derive the Virasoro constraints for this theory.

Proposition 1 (=Proposition 4.2). The generalized Virasoro conjecture holds for the deformed negative r -spin theory. Namely, $L_m^{r,\epsilon} \mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = 0$ for $m \geq 0$.

Second, we identify a two-dimensional semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^{eGdd} , calibrated with a specific S -matrix and ν -vector. Its descendent correlators extend the counting invariants of Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants, a topic that is widely studied in the literature [26, 44]. To be precise, let $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ denote the total descendent potential of the calibrated Ω^{eGdd} , and let $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar)$ denote the exponential of the generating function of Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants. Using the generalized Virasoro constraints, we prove:

Proposition 2 (=Proposition 5.2). By taking $t_k^1 = 0$ and $t_k^0 = k! p_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{t}; \hbar) / \mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{0}; \hbar) = Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar).$$

Since $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ encompasses the generating series of Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants as a sub-series, we term Ω^{eGdd} the CohFT of extended Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants.

0.4. Plan of the paper. This paper is organized as follows. We first introduce the CohFTs with vacuum in §1 and then define their descendent potentials in §2. We prove our main results on Virasoro constraints in §3 and give two applications of the generalized Virasoro constraints in §4 and §5 respectively.

Acknowledgments. The authors want to thank Ce Ji for reading this paper carefully. The second author would like to thank Jian Zhou and Di Yang for their encouragements and valuable discussions. The work was supported in part by National Key Research and Development Program of China No. 2023YFA1009802 and NSFC 12225101.

1. COHFT WITH VACUUM

In this section, we begin by reviewing the preliminaries of GW theories. Subsequently, we extend the concepts from GW theory to CohFTs with vacuum and establish the necessary properties. Next, we consider the generalized Frobenius manifold that naturally arises from these CohFTs. We introduce the homogeneity condition for both the Frobenius manifold and the underlying CohFT. In the end, we recall the Givental–Teleman reconstruction theorem for semisimple homogeneous CohFTs with a vacuum.

1.1. Gromov–Witten theory. Let X be a non-singular projective variety of complex dimension δ , we call the cohomology $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ of X the *state space* and denote it by H . There is a natural bilinear form η on H defined by the Poincaré pairing, and we fix a homogeneous basis $\{\phi_a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ of H and denote by $\{\phi^a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ its dual basis with respect to η , i.e., $\eta(\phi^a, \phi_b) = \delta_b^a$. In the follows, we also call H the *small phase space* and the space of H -valued power series $H[[z]]$ the *big phase space*.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ be the moduli space of degree $\beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ stable maps from a genus g curve with n marked points to the target X . It was proved [31, 3] that this moduli space is compact and can be equipped with a virtual fundamental class $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}$ of complex dimension $(3 - \delta)(g - 1) + n + \int_{\beta} c_1(X)$.

The genus- g descendent potential $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})$ of the GW theory of X is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{n \geq 0, \beta \in H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})} \frac{Q^\beta}{n!} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k_i \geq 0} \text{ev}_i^*(t_{k_i}) \psi_i^{k_i} \right),$$

where $t_k = t_k^a \phi_a \in H$, ψ_i is the 1-st Chern class of the universal cotangent line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ corresponding to the i -th marked point, ev_i^* is the pull-back by the evaluation map $\text{ev}_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X$ at i -th marked point and $Q^\beta = \prod_i Q_i^{d_i}$ is a monomial in the Novikov ring $\mathbb{A} := \mathbb{C}[[Q]]$ with β having expansion $\sum_{i=1}^b d_i \beta_i$ in the basis $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^b$ of $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) := e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})}.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the moduli space of stable curves of genus g with n marked points. Consider the forgetful map $f : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and denote by $\bar{\psi}_i := f^*(\psi_i)$ the pull back of the class ψ_i on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The genus- g ancestor potential $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s})$ of the GW theory of X is defined by:

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{n, m \geq 0, \beta \in H_2(X)} \frac{Q^\beta}{n! m!} \int_{[\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m}(X, \beta)]^{\text{vir}}} \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{k_i \geq 0} \text{ev}_i^*(s_{k_i}) \bar{\psi}_i^{k_i} \right) \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \text{ev}_i^*(\tau), \quad (8)$$

where $\tau = \tau^a \phi_a \in H$ and $s_k = s_k^a \phi_a \in H$. The total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) := e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s})}.$$

Following Givental [20], introduce the S -matrix $S^\tau(z) \in \text{End}(H)[[z^{-1}]]$ defined by:

$$\eta(\phi_a, S^\tau(z)\phi_b) = \eta(\phi_a, \phi_b) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_0^a \partial t_k^b} \Big|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} z^{-k-1}.$$

where by $\mathbf{t} = \tau$, we mean $t_0 = \tau$ and $t_k = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. The S -matrix $S^\tau(z)$ satisfies the symplectic condition $S^{\tau,*}(-z)S^\tau(z) = \mathbf{I}$ and the quantum differential equation (QDE):

$$z\partial_{\tau^a}S^\tau(z) = \phi_a *_\tau S^\tau(z), \quad a = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Here $S^{\tau,*}(z)$ is the dual of $S^\tau(z)$ with respect to η and $*_\tau$ is the quantum product defined by

$$\eta(\phi_a *_\tau \phi_b, \phi_c) = \left. \frac{\partial^3 \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_0^a \partial t_0^b \partial t_0^c} \right|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau}.$$

According to Kontsevich–Manin [29] and Givental [20], the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ and the total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ are related via the S -matrix by the following formula called the Kontsevich–Manin formula:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = e^{F_1(\tau) + \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} W^\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}})} \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t}); \hbar). \quad (9)$$

Here $F_1(\tau) = \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau}$, $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ is defined by $\tilde{t}_k^a = t_k^a - \delta_{k,1}\delta_{a,1}$ and is called the *dilaton shift*, $W^\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} \eta(\tilde{t}_k, W_{k,l}^\tau \tilde{t}_l)$ is a quadratic function defined by

$$W^\tau(z, w) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} W_{k,l}^\tau z^{-k} w^{-l} = \frac{S^{\tau,*}(z)S^\tau(w) - \mathbf{I}}{z^{-1} + w^{-1}}; \quad (10)$$

and the coordinate transformation $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t})$ is given by

$$\mathbf{s}(z) = [S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)]_+ - \tau, \quad (11)$$

where $[S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)]_+$ stands for the part of $S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)$ that contains only non-negative powers of z .

1.2. Cohomological field theory. Let $\varphi \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta)$ be a stable map from a genus g curve Σ_g with n distinguished marked points x_1, \dots, x_n to X such that $[\varphi(\Sigma_g)] = \beta$, we consider two maps $\text{ev} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow X^n$ and $p : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}(X, \beta) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ defined by $\text{ev}(\varphi) = (\varphi(x_1), \dots, \varphi(x_n))$ and $p(\varphi) = \tilde{\Sigma}_g$ (the stable curve defined by contracting the non-stable components of Σ_g) respectively. The GW theory induces the GW class $I_{g,n} : H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[[Q]] \otimes H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ defined by

$$I_{g,n}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n) := \sum_{\beta} Q^\beta \cdot p_*(\text{ev}^*(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)).$$

Kontsevich and Manin [28] introduced the CohFT to capture the axiomatic properties of the GW class $I_{g,n}$. Let H be a complex vector space of dimension N with a non-degenerate (super-) symmetric bilinear form η . Let \mathbb{A} be a \mathbb{C} -algebra, a CohFT $\Omega = \{\Omega_{g,n}\}_{2g-2+n>0}$ on (H, η) is defined to be a set of maps to the cohomological classes of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$

$$\Omega_{g,n} : H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \otimes H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$$

satisfying (1) *S_n -invariance axiom*: $\Omega_{g,n}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)$ is invariant under permutation between $v_1, \dots, v_n \in H$ and (2) *gluing axiom*: the pull-backs $q^*\Omega_{g,n}$ and $r^*\Omega_{g,n}$ of the gluing maps

$$\begin{aligned} q : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1, n+2} &\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \\ r : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1, n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2, n_2+1} &\rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1+g_2, n_1+n_2} \end{aligned}$$

are equal to the contraction of $\Omega_{g-1, n+2}$ and $\Omega_{g_1, n_1+1} \otimes \Omega_{g_2, n_2+1}$ by the bivector $\sum_a \phi_a \otimes \phi^a$. Here $\{\phi_a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ is a flat basis of H , and $\{\phi^a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ is its dual basis with respect to η . According to the S_n -invariance axiom, we also denote $\Omega_{g,n}(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n)$ by $\Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n)$.

Let τ be a point in the neighborhood U of $\mathbf{0} \in H$, we define

$$\Omega_{g,n}^\tau(-) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} (\pi^m)_* \Omega_{g,n+m}(-, \tau, \dots, \tau) \in \mathbb{A}[[\tau]] \otimes H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q}), \quad (12)$$

where $(\pi^m)_*$ is the push-forward via forgetful map $\pi^m : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ forgetting the last m markings. It is proved [42, Proposition 7.1] that Ω^τ gives another (formal) CohFT, called the shifted CohFT, on H with the bilinear form η remains unchanged and with \mathbb{C} -algebraic $\mathbb{A}^\tau := \mathbb{A}[[\tau]]$.

Given a CohFT Ω , the quantum product is defined by $\eta(\phi_a * \phi_b, \phi_c) := \Omega_{0,3}(\phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c)$. We denote by $*_\tau$ the quantum product for shifted CohFT Ω^τ . The commutativity and the associativity of the quantum product is given by the S_n -invariance axiom and the gluing axiom respectively.

Introduce the ancestor correlators $\langle - \rangle_{g,n}^\tau$, $2g - 2 + n > 0$, for the CohFT Ω^τ :

$$\langle v_1 \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, v_n \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau := \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Omega_{g,n}^\tau(v_1, \dots, v_n) \psi_1^{k_1} \dots \psi_n^{k_n}. \quad (13)$$

The genus g ancestor potential $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s})$ of the CohFT Ω^τ is defined by

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \langle \mathbf{s}(\bar{\psi}_1), \dots, \mathbf{s}(\bar{\psi}_n) \rangle_{g,n}^\tau. \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{s}(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} s_k z^k \in H[[z]]$. The total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) := e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s})}. \quad (15)$$

For the shifted GW class $I_{g,n}^\tau$ defined by equation (12) from the GW class $I_{g,n}$, one can see the definition equation (8) of $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau$ is equivalent to equation (14).

Proposition 1.1. *The ancestor correlators $\langle - \rangle_{g,n}^\tau$, $2g - 2 + n > 0$, satisfy the following differential equations⁴: for $b = 0, \dots, N - 1$,*

$$\partial_{\tau^b} (\langle \phi_{a_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau) = \langle \phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{g,n+1}^\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \phi_b *_\tau \phi_{a_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1}, \phi_{a_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau, \quad (16)$$

where $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and for any $I \subset [n]$, $\phi_{a_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} = \otimes_{i \in I} \phi_{a_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i}$.

Proof. We first recall some properties of the ψ -classes under the pull-back of the forgetful map, one can see e.g. [43] for details. Let ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n be the psi-classes of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}$ at the first n -marked points or psi-classes of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ (by abuse of notation) and let $\pi_{n+1} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the forgetful map which forgets the last marked point. For $k = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\pi_{n+1}^* \psi_k = \psi_k - D_{k,n+1},$$

⁴For simplicity, we assume all the insertions here are even classes, when there are odd classes, the equation (16) should be written as follows:

$$\partial_{\tau^b} (\langle \phi_{a_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau) = \langle \phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{g,n+1}^\tau - \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{\sigma(a_i) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \sigma(a_j)} \cdot \langle \phi_b *_\tau \phi_{a_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1}, \phi_{a_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau,$$

where $\sigma(a) = 0$ if ϕ_a is even and 1 otherwise, and the proof is similar.

where $D_{k,n+1}$ is the boundary divisor representing the nodal curve which has two irreducible components: a genus 0 curve with two marked points x_k and x_{n+1} connected with a genus g curve with the rest $n-1$ -marked points. Moreover, the boundary divisors satisfy

$$D_{i,n+1} \cdot D_{j,n+1} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad D_{i,n+1}^2 = -\pi_{n+1}^* \psi_i \cdot D_{i,n+1}.$$

It is straightforward to deduce the following equation: for $k = 1, \dots, n$ and $m \geq 0$,

$$\pi_{n+1}^* \psi_k^m = \psi_k^m - \pi_{n+1}^* \psi_k^{m-1} \cdot D_{k,n+1}. \quad (17)$$

Now we return to the CohFT side. By equation (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}}) \cdot \pi_{n+1}^* \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} \right) &= \Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} - \\ &\Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}}) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n D_{i,n+1} \cdot \pi_{n+1}^* \left(\psi_i^{k_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i} \psi_j^{k_j} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence for intersection numbers

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} (\pi_{n+1})_* \Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}}) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1}} \Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\phi_b, \phi_{a_{[n]}}) \prod_{i=1}^n \psi_i^{k_i} - \\ &\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{0,\{x_i, x_{n+1}, \bullet\}}^\tau(\phi_{a_i}, \phi_b, \phi_c) \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Omega_{g,n}^\tau(\phi_c, \phi_{a_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}}) \psi_{\bullet}^{k_i-1} \prod_{j \neq i} \psi_j^{k_j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used $\pi_{n+1,*}(\alpha \cdot \pi_{n+1}^* \beta) = \pi_{n+1,*}(\alpha) \cdot \beta$ and the second gluing axiom, and the \bullet is used to trace the marking. This is exactly equation (16), and the proof is finished. \square

1.3. Vacuum axiom. In GW theory, there is an distinguished element $\mathbf{1} \in H$ (indeed, $\mathbf{1}$ is exactly the generator of the space $H^0(X, \mathbb{C})$) satisfying (1) $\mathbf{1}$ is the unity of the quantum product $*_\tau$ and (2) $\mathbf{1}$ is covariantly constant with respect to η . Axiomatically, an distinguished element $\mathbf{1} \in H$ is called a *flat unit* if it satisfies the following *flat unit axiom*:

$$\pi_{\bullet}^* \Omega_{g,n}^\tau(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \Omega_{g, \bullet+n}^\tau(\mathbf{1}, v_1, \dots, v_n),$$

where $\pi_{\bullet} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, \bullet+n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the forgetful map. Here we have used \bullet in $\Omega_{g, \bullet+n}^\tau$, as well as in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, \bullet+n}$, to trace the marking point we are referring to. It is shown in [37, §5.3.3] the flat unit axiom implies $\mathbf{1}$ is covariantly constant with respect to η . In general, the flat unit does not necessarily exist and the flat unit axiom is generalized to the vacuum axiom by Teleman [42]:

Definition 1.2 (Vacuum). A distinguished element $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{v}_k^\tau \cdot z^k \in H[[z]]$ is called the *vacuum vector*, and Ω^τ is called the CohFT with vacuum, if it satisfies the following *vacuum axiom*:

$$\pi_{\bullet}^* \Omega_{g,n}^\tau(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \Omega_{g, \bullet+n}^\tau(\mathbf{v}^\tau(\psi_{\bullet}), v_1, \dots, v_n), \quad (18)$$

where $\pi_{\bullet} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g, \bullet+n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the forgetful map.

The following Proposition is a direct consequence of the vacuum axiom.

Proposition 1.3. *The total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ of a CohFT with vacuum $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ satisfies the ancestor string equation (the L_{-1}^τ -constraint)*

$$\left(\sum_{k,a} \tilde{s}_{k+1}^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a} + \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \eta(s_0, s_0) \right) \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = 0, \quad (19)$$

and the ancestor dilaton equation

$$\left(\sum_{k,a} \tilde{s}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a} + \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \hbar} + \frac{1}{24} \text{str}(\mathbf{1}*) \right) \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = 0, \quad (20)$$

where \tilde{s}_k^a is defined by $\tilde{\mathbf{s}}(z) = \mathbf{s}(z) - z\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$.

Proof. Firstly, by coupling with the psi-classes and then taking integration on the space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, equation (18) gives immediately the ancestor string equation (19). Secondly, together with the equation $\pi_{\bullet,*}\psi_\bullet = (2g - 2 + n)$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, the vacuum axiom gives

$$\pi_{\bullet,*}\Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(\psi_\bullet \cdot \mathbf{v}^\tau(\psi_\bullet), v_1, \dots, v_n) = (2g - 2 + n) \Omega_{g,n}^\tau(v_1, \dots, v_n), \quad (21)$$

where we have used $\pi_{\bullet,*}(\alpha \cdot \pi_\bullet^* \beta) = \pi_{\bullet,*}(\alpha) \cdot \beta$. By dimension reason and the genus-1 topological recursion relation: $\langle \bar{\psi} \cdot \mathbf{v}(\bar{\psi}) \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = \langle \mathbf{1}\bar{\psi} \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = \frac{1}{24} \sum \eta(\phi_a, \phi^a)$. Then, similar as how we prove the ancestor string equation, equation (21) gives us the ancestor dilaton equation (20). \square

Now we consider the differential equation for the vacuum vector, we prove the following Proposition generalizing Teleman's result [42, Proposition 7.3] for semisimple CohFTs to arbitrary cases.

Proposition 1.4. *The vacuum vector satisfies the following QDE:*

$$z\partial_{\tau^a}\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = \phi_a *_\tau \mathbf{v}^\tau(z) - \phi_a, \quad a = 0, \dots, N-1. \quad (22)$$

Proof. Take derivative with respect to τ^a on equation (18) (without losing generality, we assume v_i are flat vectors), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\bullet^* \pi_{n+1,*} \Omega_{g,n+1}^\tau(v_1, \dots, v_n, \phi_a) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_\bullet^k \cdot \pi_{n+1,*} \Omega_{g,\bullet+n+1}^\tau(\mathbf{v}_k, v_1, \dots, v_n, \phi_a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_\bullet^k \cdot \Omega_{g,\bullet+n}^\tau(\partial_{\tau^a} \mathbf{v}_k, v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned}$$

By commuting π_\bullet^* with $\pi_{n+1,*}$ and by Definition 1.2, the left-hand side of this equation equals to $\pi_{n+1,*} \Omega_{g,\bullet+n+1}^\tau(\mathbf{v}(\psi_\bullet), v_1, \dots, v_n, \phi_a)$. Similar as the method used in the proof of Proposition 1.1, by equation (17), we have

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} \psi_\bullet^{k-1} \cdot \Omega_{g,\bullet+n}^\tau(\phi_a * \mathbf{v}_k, v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_\bullet^k \cdot \Omega_{g,\bullet+n}^\tau(\partial_{\tau^a} \mathbf{v}_k, v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

Then the non-degeneration of Ω^τ gives $\partial_{\tau^a} \mathbf{v}_k = \phi_a *_\tau \mathbf{v}_{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. \square

The QDE (22) for the vacuum vector gives immediately $\mathbf{1}^\tau := \mathbf{v}_0^\tau$ is the unity of the quantum product $*_\tau$, and furthermore

$$\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \partial_{\mathbf{1}^\tau}^k(\mathbf{1}^\tau) z^k. \quad (23)$$

In particular, if the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ is flat (i.e., covariantly constant with respect to η), then we see $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = \mathbf{1}^\tau$ is a flat unit of the CohFT Ω^τ . For non-flat vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, the vector field $\mathbf{1}$ of the unities $\mathbf{1}^\tau$ of the quantum product $*_\tau$ is called the *non-flat unit*.

1.4. Generalized Frobenius manifold. We recall the notion of Frobenius manifold, which was introduced by Dubrovin [10] to capture the structure of genus-0 GW theory, and consider its generalizations.

Let U be a complex manifold, a Frobenius structure over U consists of

- (i) a flat holomorphic bilinear form η on the complex tangent space TU ;
- (ii) a commutative and associative product $*_\tau : T_\tau U \times T_\tau U \rightarrow T_\tau U$, called the *quantum product*, which are holomorphic on $\tau \in U$;
- (iii) a holomorphic vector field $\mathbf{1}$, such that $\mathbf{1}^\tau$ is the unity of the product $*_\tau$.

In the original definition of a Frobenius manifold, the vector field $\mathbf{1}$ of unities is required to be covariantly constant. We will call such a structure a generalized Frobenius manifold with non-flat unit if this property fails (c.f. [36]).

According to the flatness of η , we have $T_0 U \cong T_\tau U$, by abuse of the notation, we denote this by H . Furthermore, the neighborhood of $0 \in U$ can be identified with a neighborhood of $0 \in H$ (via the exponential map), thus we also view τ as a point in H .

Furthermore, the structure constants $\eta(\phi_a *_\tau \phi_b, \phi_c)$ of the quantum product are given by the 3-rd derivatives $\partial_{\tau^a} \partial_{\tau^b} \partial_{\tau^c} \Phi(\tau)$ of a holomorphic function $\Phi(\tau)$, which is called the *potential* of the (generalized) Frobenius manifold. We see the potential Φ is uniquely specified modulo quadratic terms. The (super-) commutativity of the quantum product comes from the (super-) commutativity of partial derivatives of $\Phi(\tau)$ with respect to τ and the associativity is equivalent to the following Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde (WDVV) equation:

$$\frac{\partial^3 \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^a \partial \tau^b \partial \tau^c} \eta^{cd} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^d \partial \tau^e \partial \tau^f} = (-1)^{\sigma(b) \cdot \sigma(e)} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^a \partial \tau^e \partial \tau^c} \eta^{cd} \frac{\partial^3 \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^d \partial \tau^b \partial \tau^f},$$

where $\sigma(a) = 0$ if ϕ_a is even and 1 otherwise.

In §1.2, we observe that given a CohFT Ω , we can define the shifted CohFT Ω^τ and thus the quantum product $*_\tau$, with structure constants lying in $\mathbb{A}[[\tau]]$. If we assume that the structure constants converge on τ and we can choose the set of certain analytic functions as our \mathbb{C} -algebra \mathbb{A}^τ , then we can see a generalized Frobenius structure arises naturally on a neighborhood U containing $\tau = 0$. In general, even without assuming the convergence property, we can still define a *formal Frobenius manifold* via the quantum product of the shifted CohFT. We refer the reader to [30] for more details about the definition of a formal Frobenius manifold.

1.5. Homogeneity conditions. A homogeneous Frobenius manifold is defined by the existence of a coordinate system $\{\tau^a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ with a flat basis $\{\phi_a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ and a vector field E , known as the *Euler vector field*, which has the following form⁵

$$E = \sum_a (1 - d_a) \tilde{\tau}^a \partial_{\tau^a} + \sum_{d_a=1} r^a \partial_{\tau^a}, \quad \tilde{\tau}^a = \tau^a - \tau_0^a \quad (24)$$

for some constants τ_0^a and satisfies the following equations:

$$E(\eta(v_1, v_2)) - \eta([E, v_1], v_2) - \eta(v_1, [E, v_2]) = (2 - \delta) \eta(v_1, v_2), \quad (25)$$

$$[E, v_1 *_\tau v_2] - [E, v_1] *_\tau v_2 - v_1 *_\tau [E, v_2] = v_1 *_\tau v_2, \quad (26)$$

for some constant δ , which is called the *conformal dimension* of the Frobenius manifold. We always identify ∂_{τ^a} with ϕ_a on the Frobenius manifold.

⁵This is equivalent to the form $E = \sum_a (1 - d_a) \tau^a \phi_a + \sum_a r^a \phi_a$ with $r^a = -(1 - d_a) \tau_0^a$ for $d_a \neq 1$.

Introduce the grading operator μ :

$$\mu(v) = \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2}\right)v - \nabla_v E, \quad (27)$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of η . Then equation (25) is equivalent to $\mu^* = -\mu$ (μ^* is the adjoint operator of μ with respect to η) and equation (26) is equivalent to the following homogeneity condition for the potential $\Phi(\tau)$:

$$E\Phi(\tau) = (3 - \delta)\Phi(\tau) + \text{quadratic} + \text{linear} + \text{constant}. \quad (28)$$

Introduce the operator $\mathcal{E} := E*_{\tau}$, then for a homogeneous Frobenius manifold, one can see

$$\partial_{\tau^a} \mathcal{E} = \phi_a *_{\tau} + [\phi_a *_{\tau}, \mu]. \quad (29)$$

We define a linear operator deg on the cohomology ring $H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$, which acts on a homogeneous class $\alpha \in H^{2k}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ by $\text{deg } \alpha := k \cdot \alpha$, where k denotes the complex degree of the class α .

Definition 1.5. A CohFT Ω is called *homogeneous* with respect to E , if for $2g - 2 + n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_{\bullet,*} \Omega_{g,\bullet+n}(E|_{\tau=0}, v_1, \dots, v_n) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, (\frac{\delta}{2} + \mu)v_i, \dots, v_n) \\ &= ((g-1)\delta - \text{deg}) \Omega_{g,n}(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

A shifted CohFT Ω^{τ} is called *homogeneous* with respect to E , if for $2g - 2 + n > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E(\Omega_{g,n}^{\tau}(v_1, \dots, v_n)) - \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_{g,n}^{\tau}(v_1, \dots, [E, v_i], \dots, v_n) \\ &= ((g-1)\delta + n - \text{deg}) \Omega_{g,n}^{\tau}(v_1, \dots, v_n). \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Remark 1.6. We see that Ω is homogeneous if equation (31) holds at $\tau = 0$. Conversely, by the definition of Ω^{τ} , one can deduce equation (31) from equation (30). Therefore, Ω^{τ} is homogeneous if and only if Ω is homogeneous.

Consider π_{\bullet}^* acting on both sides of equation (31), then by using similar method that we used to deduce the QDE (22) for $\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z)$, we have for homogeneous CohFT the vacuum vector satisfies the following homogeneity condition:

$$(z\partial_z + E)\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z) = -\left(\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z). \quad (32)$$

Furthermore, by the QDE (22), the homogeneity condition can be rewritten as follows:

$$(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{E} + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{z}E = 0. \quad (33)$$

Let $v(\tau, z) = (z\partial_z + \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{E} + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z) - \frac{1}{z}E$, then by equations (22) and (29), one can see

$$\partial_{\tau^a} v(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{z}\phi_a *_{\tau} v(\tau, z).$$

Therefore, the homogeneity condition (33) for $\mathbf{v}^{\tau}(z)$ holds if and only if it holds at $\tau = 0$.

Notice that the non-vanished correlator $\langle \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^{\tau}$ is obtained by taking integration of degree $(3g - 3 + n - \sum_i k_i)$ part of $\Omega_{g,n}^{\tau}(\phi_{a_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n})$, coupling with psi-classes $\prod_i \psi_i^{k_i}$ on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, the homogeneity condition (31) for Ω^{τ} gives the following homogeneity condition for ancestor correlators:

$$E(\langle \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^{\tau}) = ((\delta - 3)(g - 1) - \sum_{i=1}^n (1 - d_{a_i} - k_i)) \langle \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^{\tau}.$$

This is equivalent to the following homogeneity condition for potentials $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{\tau}(\mathbf{s})$:

$$(E + \sum_{k,a} (1 - d_a - k) s_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a}) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{\tau}(\mathbf{s}) = (\delta - 3)(g - 1) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{\tau}(\mathbf{s}). \quad (34)$$

We introduce the *ancestor Euler vector field* \mathcal{E}^τ on the big phase space:

$$\mathcal{E}^\tau = \sum_{k,a} (1 - d_a - k) \tilde{s}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a} - \sum_{k,a,b} \mathcal{E}_b^a \tilde{s}_{k+1}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a}. \quad (35)$$

Proposition 1.7. *The ancestor potentials $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s})$, $g \geq 0$, for a homogeneous CohFT with vacuum satisfy*

$$\mathcal{E}^\tau \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) = (\delta - 3)(g - 1) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) + \frac{\delta_{g,0}}{2} \eta(\mathcal{E}s_0, s_0) + \delta_{g,1} \langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau. \quad (36)$$

Moreover, the correlator $\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau$ is a constant and we denote it by c_1 .

Proof. To prove equation (36), we first notice that by the QDE (22) and homogeneity condition (32) for the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, the operator \mathcal{E}^τ can be rewritten as follows:

$$\mathcal{E}^\tau = \left(\sum_a \eta(E, \phi^a) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_0^a} - \sum_{k,a,b} \mathcal{E}_b^a s_{k+1}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a} \right) + \sum_{k,a} (1 - d_a - k) s_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a}.$$

By equation (16), we have

$$\left(\sum_a \eta(E, \phi^a) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_0^a} - \sum_{k,a,b} \mathcal{E}_b^a s_{k+1}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial s_k^a} \right) \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) = E \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}) + \frac{\delta_{g,0}}{2} \eta(\mathcal{E}s_0, s_0) + \langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau.$$

We see equation (36) follows from equation (34).

Now we prove the correlator $\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau$ is a constant. Consider

$$\partial_{\tau^a} (\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \partial_{\tau^a} \Omega^\tau(E) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \Omega^\tau(\nabla_{\phi_a} E) + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}} \Omega^\tau(E, \phi_a),$$

then by homogeneity condition of CohFT Ω^τ , the degree one part of $\Omega_{1,1}^\tau$ communicates with ad_E , hence

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \Omega^\tau(\nabla_{\phi_a} E) = - \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \Omega^\tau([E, \phi_a]) = - \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} E(\Omega^\tau(\phi_a)) = - \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}} \Omega^\tau(E, \phi_a).$$

This proves $\partial_{\tau^a} (\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau) = 0$ for each $a = 0, \dots, N-1$, and thus $\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau$ is a constant. \square

1.6. Semisimple CohFT and reconstruction theorem. A CohFT Ω is called semisimple if the algebra $(H, \eta, *)$ is semisimple. If the shifted CohFT Ω^τ is semisimple, then we say τ is a semisimple point of the corresponding Frobenius manifold. It is clear that if τ is a semisimple point, then so is the point in its (formal) neighborhood.

For a semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^τ with vacuum $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, based on Givental's reconstruction procedure [20, 21], Teleman [42] proved that Ω^τ is uniquely and explicitly constructed from the Frobenius structure of Ω^τ . In the follows, we briefly describe Givental and Teleman's result, we refer readers to [41] for more details and [5] for generalizations of the setting.

Let $R^\tau(z) \in \mathbb{E}^\tau \otimes \text{End}(H)[[z]]$, called the R -matrix, be a formal power series

$$R^\tau(z) = \text{I} + R_1^\tau z + R_2^\tau z^2 + \dots,$$

satisfying the symplectic condition $R^{\tau,*}(-z)R^\tau(z) = \text{I}$, where $R^{\tau,*}(z)$ is the adjoint of $R^\tau(z)$ with respect to η . Here \mathbb{E}^τ is an algebraic extension of the fractional field of \mathbb{A}^τ . We also introduce the matrix $V^\tau(z, w)$ associated with R -matrix:

$$V^\tau(z, w) = \sum_{k,l \geq 0} V_{k,l}^\tau z^k w^l = \frac{\text{I} - R^{\tau,*}(-z)R^\tau(-w)}{z + w}.$$

An R -matrix defines an action, called the R -action, on a CohFT ω^τ on (H, η) in the following way. Let $\mathcal{G}_{g,n}$ be the set of stable graphs of genus- g with n -legs. For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g,n}$, define $\text{Cont}_\Gamma : H^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^\tau \otimes H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{Q})$ by the following construction:

- 1). place $\omega_{g(v),n(v)}^\tau$ at each vertex $v \in \Gamma$,
- 2). place $R^{\tau,*}(-\psi_i) \cdot$ at each leg $l_i \in \Gamma$ labeled by $i = 1, \dots, n$,
- 3). place $V^\tau(\psi_{v'}, \psi_{v''})\phi_a \otimes \phi^a$ at each edge $e \in \Gamma$ connecting vertexes v' and v'' .

The R -action on the CohFT ω^τ is defined by

$$(R^\tau \cdot \omega^\tau)_{g,n} := \sum_{\Gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{g,n}} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \xi_{\Gamma,*} \text{Cont}_\Gamma,$$

where $\xi_\Gamma := \prod_{v \in \Gamma} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v),n(v)} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the canonical map with image equal to boundary stratum associated to the graph Γ , and its push-forward $\xi_{\Gamma,*}$ induces a homomorphism from the strata algebra on $\prod_{v \in \Gamma} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g(v),n(v)}$ to the cohomology ring (see [5, 41] for details).

Let $T^\tau(z) \in \mathbb{E}^\tau \otimes H[[z]]$, called the T -vector, be a power series starting from degree 1:

$$T^\tau(z) = T_1^\tau z + T_2^\tau z^2 + \dots$$

A T -vector defines an action, called the T -action, on a CohFT ω^τ on (H, η) by

$$(T^\tau \cdot \omega^\tau)_{g,n}(-) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \pi_*^m \omega_{g,n+m}^\tau(- \otimes T^\tau(\psi_{n+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes T^\tau(\psi_{n+m})),$$

where $\pi^m : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the forgetful map forgetting the last m marked points.

Theorem 1.8 (Givental–Teleman reconstruction theorem). *For a semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^τ on (H, η) with the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, let $H = \bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^N \mathbb{C}\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$ be the decomposition of algebra $(H, \eta, *_\tau)$ with $\eta(\bar{e}_\alpha, \bar{e}_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$, and $\bar{e}_\alpha *_\tau \bar{e}_\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{e}_\alpha$ for some functions $\Delta_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha(\tau)$, then the CohFT Ω^τ is uniquely reconstructed by the following formula:*

$$\Omega^\tau = R^\tau \cdot T^\tau \cdot (\bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^N \Omega^{\text{KW}_\alpha}).$$

Here $\Omega^{\text{KW}_\alpha}$ is the trivial CohFT on 1-dimensional space $\mathbb{C}\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$ (i.e., $\Omega_{g,n}^{\text{KW}_\alpha}(\bar{e}_\alpha, \dots, \bar{e}_\alpha) = 1$), R^τ is the R -matrix uniquely determined by

$$[R_{m+1}^\tau, \mathcal{E}] = (m + \mu) R_m^\tau, \quad m \geq 0, \quad (37)$$

and T^τ is the T -vector given by

$$T^\tau(z) = z \cdot \bar{\mathbf{1}} - z R^\tau(z)^{-1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(z),$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{1}} = \bar{e}_1 + \dots + \bar{e}_N$.

Remark 1.9. For an arbitrary semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^τ (without assuming the vacuum axiom in advance), Teleman [42] has proved that there always exists a unique vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ such that the vacuum axiom (18) holds for $n \geq 1$ (but may fail for $n = 0$, see [42, Remark 3.2]) and one always has

$$\Omega_{g,n}^\tau = (R^\tau \cdot T^\tau \cdot (\bigoplus_{\alpha=1}^N \Omega^{\text{KW}_\alpha}))_{g,n}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

2. DESCENDENTS FOR COHFT

In this section, we first introduce the descendent potentials for a calibrated CohFT with vacuum, drawing parallels to the GW theory. Our aim is to formally define the descendents in a manner independent of any specific geometric theory. Next, we extend Givental's results regarding his Lagrangian cone to the context of the generalized Frobenius manifold. At the

end of this section, we introduce the homogeneity condition for both the calibrations and the descendent potentials, and subsequently define two types of Virasoro operators.

2.1. S - and ν -calibration of generalized Frobenius manifold. For a CohFT with flat unit, the Kontsevich–Manin formula (9) provides an approach to define a (formal) total descendent potential from the total ancestor potential via the S -matrix. For a general CohFT (not necessarily contains a flat unit), the S -matrix can always be defined to be an operator-valued series which has the form:

$$S^\tau(z) = \mathbf{I} + \sum_{n \geq 1} S_n^\tau z^{-n} \in \text{End}H[[z^{-1}]]$$

and satisfies the symplectic condition

$$S^{\tau,*}(-z)S^\tau(z) = \mathbf{I}, \quad (38)$$

and the QDE:

$$z\partial_{\tau^a}S^\tau(z) = \phi_a *_\tau S^\tau(z), \quad a = 0, \dots, N-1. \quad (39)$$

It is clear that the S -matrix is not uniquely determined by the above conditions. A choice of $S^\tau(z)$ is called an S -calibration of the (generalized) Frobenius manifold (of the CohFT).

Note that the flat unit plays a crucial role in the original Kontsevich–Manin formula (9). To apply this formula for defining a (formal) total descendent potential in arbitrary CohFTs, we need to extend the notion of the flat unit on the descendent side, analogous to the vacuum vector on the ancestor side.

Definition 2.1 (ν -calibration). The ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is an vector-valued series in $H((z^{-1}))$ which satisfies the QDE:

$$z\partial_{\tau^a}\nu^\tau(z) = \phi_a *_\tau \nu^\tau(z) - \phi_a, \quad a = 0, \dots, N-1. \quad (40)$$

Note the ν -vector is not uniquely determined by the above conditions. A choice of $\nu^\tau(z)$ is called a ν -calibration of the (generalized) Frobenius manifold (of the CohFT).

We note here the QDE (40) for the ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is the same as the QDE (22) for the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$. However, these two vectors belong to different spaces, $\nu^\tau(z)$ resides in $H((z^{-1}))$ while $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ lies in $H[[z]]$ (in which space the solution to the QDE (22) is unique). The intersection of these two spaces is $H[z]$, where the solution, if exists, is unique. In other words, if the ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is a polynomial in z , then the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ must also be a polynomial, and we have $\nu^\tau(z) = \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$.

Given an S -matrix $S^\tau(z)$ and a ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$, we define the J -function by:

$$J^\tau(-z) := -zS^{\tau,*}(-z)\nu^\tau(z). \quad (41)$$

By equations (39) and (40), we see $\partial_{\tau^a}J^\tau(-z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)\phi_a$ and thus $J^\tau(-z)$ has form

$$J^\tau(-z) = \tau - \tau_0 - z\mathbf{u}(z) + \sum_{k \geq 0} J_{k,a}^\tau \phi^a(-z)^{-k-1} \in H((z^{-1})) \quad (42)$$

for some constant vectors $\tau_0 \in H$, $\mathbf{u}(z) \in H[z]$. Especially, we have

$$\mathbf{u}(z) = [S^{\tau,*}(-z)\nu^\tau(z)]_+. \quad (43)$$

This is a constant vector and it will be used to generalize the dilaton shift.

Remark 2.2. Rather than introducing the ν -vector, if one begins by defining the J -function through certain equations, the S -matrix can be derived from the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau^a} J^\tau(-z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)\phi_a$, and the ν -vector is determined from $\nu^\tau(z) = -z^{-1}S^\tau(z)J^\tau(-z)$. This alternative approach offers a different path to define these fundamental elements, highlighting a connection between the J -function and the ν -vector.

Furthermore, note that $\eta(\phi_a, \partial_{\tau^c} S_1^\tau \phi_b) = \partial_{\tau^a} \partial_{\tau^c} \partial_{\tau^b} \Phi(\tau)$, where $\Phi(\tau)$ is the potential of the Frobenius manifold induced by Ω^τ . By taking integration of this equation, one has $\eta(\phi_a, S_1^\tau \phi_b) = \partial_{\tau^a} \partial_{\tau^b} \Phi(\tau) + C_{a,b}$ for some constants $C_{a,b}$. Recall the function Φ is defined up to a at most quadratic function of τ , we require the function $\Phi(\tau)$ to satisfy $\eta(\phi_a, S_1^\tau \phi_b) = \partial_{\tau^a} \partial_{\tau^b} \Phi(\tau)$. Similarly, we require the function $\Phi(\tau)$ to satisfy $J_{0,a}^\tau = \partial_{\tau^a} \Phi(\tau)$. The function $\Phi(\tau)$ is now determined up to a constant $\Phi(0)$.

2.2. Descendent potentials for a CohFT. Now we are ready to define the (formal) descendent potentials by applying a generalized version of the Kontsevich–Manin formula of an S - and ν -calibrated CohFT.

Firstly, for an arbitrary CohFT Ω^τ (without requiring S - and ν -calibration), we define $F_1(\tau)$ by requiring $\partial_{\tau^a} F_1(\tau) = \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,1}} \Omega_{1,1}^\tau(\phi_a)$. It is clear that $F_1(\tau)$ is determined by this condition up to a constant $F_1(0)$:

$$F_1(\tau) = F_1(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}} \Omega_{1,n}(\tau, \dots, \tau).$$

Secondly, after choosing an S - and ν -calibration for a CohFT Ω^τ , we introduce

$$\widetilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau, \mathbf{t} - \tau) := 2\Phi(\tau) + 2 \sum_{k,a} J_{k,a}^\tau \cdot (t_k^a - \delta_{k,0} \tau^a) + W^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau, \mathbf{t} - \tau), \quad (44)$$

where the bilinear form W^τ , is defined by using S -matrix as in GW theory (see (10)). We note here for the CohFT with flat unit $\mathbf{1}$, one has $\widetilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau, \mathbf{t} - \tau) = W^\tau(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) + 2c$ for some constant c which can be taken to be vanished by changing $\Phi(\tau) \rightarrow \Phi(\tau) - c$. Now we can define the (formal) total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ for an S - and ν -calibrated CohFT:

Definition 2.3. For an S - and ν -calibrated CohFT, its total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ is defined via the total ancestor potential by the following formula:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = e^{F_1(\tau) + \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \widetilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau, \mathbf{t} - \tau)} \cdot \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t}); \hbar), \quad (45)$$

where the coordinate transformation $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t})$ is defined by $\mathbf{s}(z) = [S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)]_+ - \tau$.

Given the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$, the genus- g descendent potential $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})$ is defined by

$$\log(\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)) = \sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}),$$

and the descendent invariants / correlators $\langle - \rangle_{g,n}$ are defined by

$$\langle \phi_{a_1} \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n} := \partial_{t_{k_1}^{a_1}} \cdots \partial_{t_{k_n}^{a_n}} \mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) \Big|_{\mathbf{t}=\mathbf{0}}.$$

Introduce the τ -shifted descendent correlators $\langle - \rangle_{g,n}^\tau$:

$$\langle \phi_{a_1} \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau := \partial_{t_{k_1}^{a_1}} \cdots \partial_{t_{k_n}^{a_n}} \mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) \Big|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau}, \quad (46)$$

then formula (45) can be rewritten in the correlator form as follows: for $2g - 2 + n > 0$,

$$\langle \phi_{a_1} \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau = \langle S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \phi_{a_n} \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau, \quad (47)$$

where the correlators with insertion $\phi_a \bar{\psi}^k$ of negative k are set to be 0.

Remark 2.4. When there are only the primary insertions, two definitions (equations (46) and (13)) of the correlator $\langle \phi_{a_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau$ coincide with each other for $2g - 2 + n > 0$; for $2g - 2 + n \leq 0$, the ancestor correlators are defined to be 0 and we only consider the descendent correlators defined by equation (46).

We have the following theorem generalizing Theorem 7.1 in [20].

Theorem 2.5. *The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ does not depend on τ .*

Proof. The Theorem is equivalent to the following equations: for $g \geq 0$,

$$\partial_{\tau^b} \mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) = 0, \quad b = 0, \dots, N - 1.$$

We prove these equations by proving the vanishing of coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n t_{k_i}^{a_i}$ on the left-hand side of these equations for all $n \geq 0$ and all $k_i \geq 0$, $a_i = 0, \dots, N - 1$. Precisely, we prove

$$\partial_{\tau^b} (\langle \phi_{a_1} \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau) = \langle \phi_b, \phi_{a_1} \psi^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \psi^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^\tau. \quad (48)$$

For $(g, n) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0)$, the results hold by definition. For the cases with $2g - 2 + n > 0$, by using equation (47) and the QDE (39) for S -matrix, equation (48) follows from equation (16). \square

2.3. Givental's Lagrangian submanifold for generalized Frobenius manifold. Following Givental [20, 22], we introduce the loop space $\mathcal{H} := H((z^{-1}))$, which by definition is the space of Laurent series in z^{-1} with vector coefficients from H :

$$H((z^{-1})) = \left\{ \sum_{k \geq 0} q_k z^k + p_k (-z)^{-k-1} : q_k, p_l \in H \right\}.$$

There is a symplectic bilinear form in \mathcal{H} : for $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\omega(f, g) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \eta(f(-z), g(z)) dz = -\omega(g, f),$$

where the integration is formally defined by $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \sum_k a_k z^k dz := a_{-1}$.

By the polarization $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_- = H[z] \oplus z^{-1} H[[z^{-1}]]$, the loop space \mathcal{H} can be identified with the cotangent bundle $T^* \mathcal{H}_+$ of space \mathcal{H}_+ . The genus-0 descendent potential $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})$ can be viewed as a formal function on \mathcal{H}_+ via the shift $\mathbf{q}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_0 - z\mathbf{u}(z)$, where the shift is determined by equation (42).

Denote by \mathcal{L} the graph of the differential $d\mathcal{F}_0$:

$$\mathcal{L} := \{ (\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \in T^* \mathcal{H}_+ : \mathbf{p} = d_{\mathbf{q}} \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t}) \}.$$

We see \mathcal{L} is a Lagrangian submanifold of the loop space \mathcal{H} . We denote by $J(\mathbf{t}, -z)$ the point (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) in \mathcal{L} via the shift $\mathbf{q}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_0 - z\mathbf{u}(z)$ and we call it the J -function on the big phase space. Recall the J -function $J^\tau(-z)$ defined by (41) and its relation with the genus-0 potential $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})$ (44), we see $J^\tau(-z) = J(\mathbf{t}, -z)|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau}$. We introduce the tangent space of \mathcal{L} :

$$T\mathcal{L} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \partial_{t_a^k} J(\mathbf{t}, -z) : k \geq 0, a = 0, \dots, N - 1 \}.$$

For the theory containing a flat unit, Givental proved that the system of “string equation + dilaton equation + topological recursion relation” of the function $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})$ is equivalent to

\mathcal{L} is a Lagrangian cone satisfying $J(\mathbf{t}, -z) \in z \cdot T\mathcal{L} \subset T\mathcal{L}$ (see [22, Theorem 1] for details). For arbitrary CohFTs, the string equation and the dilaton equation may no-longer hold, we have only the topological recursion relation which is equivalent to the *constitutive relation* introduced by Dijkgraaf and Witten [9]. Introduce the DW map defined by the (formal) solution $\tau = \tau_{\text{DW}}$ of the following equation:

$$\tau = [z^0](S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)), \quad (49)$$

where $[z^0]f(z)$ stands for the coefficient of z^0 in series $f(z)$, then the constitutive relation reads

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k^a \partial t_l^b} = \langle \phi_a \psi^k, \phi_b \psi^l \rangle_{0,2}^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}. \quad (50)$$

For the formal genus-0 potential $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})$ defined in this paper, the constitutive relation can also be proved as follows. Firstly, by definition, we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k^a \partial t_l^b} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{m!} \langle \phi_a \psi^k, \phi_b \psi^l, \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau, \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau \rangle_{0,2+m}^\tau.$$

Secondly, by the relation of τ -shifted descendent correlators and ancestor correlators (47), when taking τ to be the DW map (notice that $[z^0](S^\tau(z)(\mathbf{t}(z) - \tau)) = 0$), we see for $m \geq 1$, the dimensional reason (the total degree of insertions is at least m while $\dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,2+m} = m-1$) makes the correlators vanishing and one gets equation (50).

Proposition 2.6. *We have the following results:*

- (1). $T\mathcal{L} = S^{\tau_{\text{DW}},*}(-z)H[z]$;
- (2). $J(\mathbf{t}, -z) - J^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(-z) \in z \cdot T\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. By definition and the constitutive relation (50),

$$\partial_{t_k^a}(J(\mathbf{t}, -z)) = \phi_a z^k + \sum_{l \geq 0} \phi_b \cdot \langle \phi^b \psi^l, \phi_a \psi^k \rangle_{0,2}^{\tau_{\text{DW}}} \cdot (-z)^{-l-1}.$$

Since $\langle \phi^b \psi^l, \phi_a \psi^k \rangle_{0,2}^\tau = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i (S_{l-i}^{\tau,*} \cdot S_{k+i+1}^\tau)_a^b$, we have

$$\partial_{t_k^a}(J(\mathbf{t}, -z)) = \phi_a z^k - \sum_{l \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^l S_{l-i}^{\tau_{\text{DW}},*} \cdot S_{k+i+1}^{\tau_{\text{DW}}} \cdot (-z)^{-l+i} \cdot z^{-i-k-1} \cdot \phi_a z^k.$$

Notice that the right hand side of above equation equals $\phi_a z^k - S^{\tau_{\text{DW}},*}(-z)[S^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(z)\phi_a z^k]_-$, where $[f(z)]_-$ stands for the negative part of a Laurent series $f(z)$ in z^{-1} , then by equation (38), we have

$$\partial_{t_k^a}(J(\mathbf{t}, -z)) = S^{\tau_{\text{DW}},*}(-z)[S^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(z)\phi_a z^k]_+. \quad (51)$$

This proves the first part of the Proposition.

Similarly, by noticing $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k^a} = \langle \phi_a \psi^k \rangle_{0,1}^{\tau_{\text{DW}}} + \langle \phi_a \psi^k, \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau_{\text{DW}} \rangle_{0,2}^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}$, we have

$$J(\mathbf{t}, -z) - J^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(-z) = S^{\tau_{\text{DW}},*}(-z)[S^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(z)(\mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_{\text{DW}})]_+.$$

The second part of the Proposition follows from $[z^0]S^{\tau_{\text{DW}}}(z)(\mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_{\text{DW}}) = 0$. \square

2.4. Homogeneity conditions for descendent potentials. For a homogeneous CohFT with vacuum $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ and calibrated with S -matrix $S^\tau(z)$ and ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$, we introduce homogeneity condition for $\nu^\tau(z)$ by directly applying the homogeneity condition (32) for the vacuum $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$, precisely,

$$(z\partial_z + E)\nu^\tau(z) = -\left(\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}\right)\nu^\tau(z). \quad (52)$$

We call such a vector $\nu^\tau(z)$ is homogeneous. Similarly as the vacuum vector, the homogeneity condition (32) for $\nu^\tau(z)$ can be rewritten as

$$(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{E} + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})\nu^\tau(z) - \frac{1}{z}E = 0, \quad (53)$$

and this equation holds if and only if it holds at $\tau = 0$.

Lemma 2.7. *Given a homogeneous ν -vector, the c_ν in Definition 0.4 (2) is a constant.*

Proof. By the QDE (40) and the homogeneity condition (52), for each $a = 0, \dots, N-1$,

$$\partial_{\tau^a}(\eta(E, \nu^\tau(z))) = (1 - \delta) \cdot \eta(E, \nu^\tau(z)) - \eta(\phi_a, z\partial_z \nu^\tau(z)).$$

Therefore, $[z^{1-\delta}]\partial_{\tau^a}(\eta(E, \nu^\tau(z))) = 0$ and this proves c_ν is a constant. \square

To introduce the homogeneity condition for the S -matrix, we first recall in GW theory of X , the homogeneity condition for the S -matrix is given by

$$(z\partial_z + E)S^\tau(z) = [S^\tau(z), \mu] + S^\tau(z)\rho/z, \quad (54)$$

where $\rho = c_1(X) \cup$. For an arbitrary S -calibrated homogeneous CohFT, there is no geometric definition of the operator ρ , and there may not have such operator ρ such that the S -matrix satisfies equation (54). Nonetheless, we can always have the following definition:

Definition 2.8. Given an S -calibrated homogeneous CohFT, the ρ -matrix takes the form $\rho(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \rho_k z^{-k} \in \text{End}(H)[[z^{-1}]]$ and is defined by

$$(z\partial_z + E)S^\tau(z) = [S^\tau(z), \mu] + S^\tau(z)\rho(z)/z. \quad (55)$$

The S -matrix is called homogeneous if the operator $\rho(z)$ satisfies

$$z\partial_z \rho(z) = \rho(z) + [\rho(z), \mu]. \quad (56)$$

For such case, we also call $\rho(z)$ is homogeneous and call equation (55) (resp. equation (56)) the homogeneity condition for S -matrix (resp. ρ -matrix).

By the QDE (39), the homogeneity condition for S -matrix can be rewritten as

$$(z\partial_z + \frac{1}{z}\mathcal{E} + \mu)S^\tau(z) - S^\tau(z)(\mu + \rho(z)/z) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Denote by $A(\tau, z)$ the left-hand side of above equation, then $\partial_{\tau^a} A(\tau, z) = \frac{1}{z}\phi_a *_\tau A(\tau, z)$. Therefore, the homogeneity condition (57) for S -matrix holds if and only if it holds at $\tau = 0$.

Based on the definition, we highlight three important results regarding the ρ -matrix and the homogeneous S -matrix. Firstly, $\rho(z)$ does not depend on τ and satisfies $\rho^*(z) = \rho(-z)$. This can be easily derived by using equations (38), (39) and (26). Secondly, since μ is a diagonal matrix, one can see from equation (56) a homogeneous ρ -matrix must be a polynomial in z^{-1} and must be nilpotent (see Appendix A.2 for a proof). Finally, given a homogeneous CohFTs, the homogeneous S -matrix always exists (equivalently, the homogeneous ρ -matrix always exists). Indeed, when the vacuum vector is flat (thus is the flat unit), this is equivalent to [11, Lemma 2.5] introduced by Dubrovin. The general cases can be proved by using similar method as the proof of [11, Lemma 2.5]. A detailed proof is put in Appendix A.1.

By the definition of the J -function (41), the homogeneity conditions for the ν -vector and the S -matrix imply the following homogeneity condition for the J -function:

$$(z\partial_z + E)J^\tau(-z) = (1 - \frac{\delta}{2} - \mu - \rho(z)/z)J^\tau(-z). \quad (58)$$

We show some consequences of this equation. Firstly, the vector $\mathbf{u}(z)$ satisfies

$$(z\partial_z + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})\mathbf{u}(z) = 0, \quad \rho(z)\mathbf{u}(z) \in H[z^{-1}]. \quad (59)$$

Secondly, the Euler vector field E (see equation (24)) relates with ρ and \mathbf{u} by

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \rho_k \mathbf{u}_k = \sum_{d_a=1} r^a \phi_a. \quad (60)$$

Thirdly, the potential $\Phi(z)$ for the Frobenius manifold satisfies

$$E\Phi(\tau) = (3 - \delta)\Phi(\tau) + \frac{1}{2}\eta(\tilde{\tau}, \rho_0\tilde{\tau}) - \sum_{i \geq 0} \eta(\tilde{\tau}, \rho_{i+1}\mathbf{u}_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \eta(\mathbf{u}_i, \rho_{i+j+2}\mathbf{u}_j) + c_0. \quad (61)$$

for some constant c_0 . We put the explanation of these consequences in Appendix A.2

Remark 2.9. If $\delta \neq 3$, one can take $c_0 = 0$ by taking $\Phi(\tau) \rightarrow \Phi(\tau) - \frac{c_0}{3-\delta}$, but one can not do this if $\delta = 3$. For such case, if one further has $\nu^\tau(z) \in H[[z^{-1}]]$, then the Virasoro-index $m_\nu = 0$ and one can deduces $c_0 = c_\nu$ (the Virasoro constant defined in Definition 0.4 (2)) from equation (61).

Given an homogeneous S -matrix with operator $\rho(z)$, we introduce the *descendent Euler vector field* \mathcal{E} on the big phase space (compare this with equation (35)):

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{k,a} (1 - d_a - k) \tilde{t}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^a} - \sum_{k,i,a,b} (\rho_i)_b^{a\tilde{b}} \tilde{t}_{k+i+1}^b \frac{\partial}{\partial t_k^a}, \quad (62)$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - \tau_0 - z\mathbf{u}(z)$. We see equations (59) and (60) imply $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = E$.

Proposition 2.10. *The descendent potentials $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})$, $g \geq 0$, of homogeneous CohFT with vacuum and calibrated by homogeneous S -matrix and ν -vector satisfy*

$$\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) = (\delta - 3)(g - 1)\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t}) + \frac{\delta_{g,0}}{2} \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \eta(\tilde{t}_i, \rho_{i+j}\tilde{t}_j) + \delta_{g,0}c_0 + \delta_{g,1}c_1, \quad (63)$$

where c_0, c_1 are two constants given by equation (61) and Proposition 1.7 respectively.

Proof. We prove equation (63) by the homogeneity conditions for J -function (58) and for $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g(\mathbf{s})$ (34), as well as equation (55) with homogeneous $\rho(z)$. Firstly, by the coordinate transformation $\mathbf{s}(z) = [S^\tau(z)\mathbf{t}(z)]_+ - \tau$ and equation (55), we have

$$(E + \mathcal{E})(s_k) = (1 - \frac{\delta}{2} - \mu - k)s_k,$$

Together with equation (34), we obtain

$$(E + \mathcal{E})\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t})) = (\delta - 3)(g - 1)\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^\tau(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t})).$$

Secondly, $(E + \mathcal{E})F_1(\tau) = \langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau$ and as we have shown in the proof of Proposition 1.7, $\langle E \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = c_1$ is a constant. Thirdly, equation (55) gives us the following homogeneity condition for the W -matrix (see equation (10) for the definition):

$$(z\partial_z + w\partial_w + E)W^\tau(z, w) = [W^\tau(z, w), \mu] + \frac{\rho^*(z)}{z} \cdot W^\tau(z, w) + W^\tau(z, w) \cdot \frac{\rho(w)}{w} + W^\tau(z, w).$$

Then by equation (61) and by direct computations, we have

$$(E + \mathcal{E})\widetilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau; \mathbf{t} - \tau) = (3 - \delta)\widetilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t} - \tau; \mathbf{t} - \tau) + \sum_{i,j \geq 0} \eta(\tilde{t}_i, \rho_{i+j}\tilde{t}_j) + 2c_0.$$

The Proposition follows from these computations and $E(\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})) = 0$ (by Theorem 2.5, $\mathcal{F}_g(\mathbf{t})$ does not depend on τ). \square

Remark 2.11. A descendent potential that satisfies (63) is called homogeneous. Then, the generalized Virasoro conjecture claims that the homogeneous total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ satisfies the *generalized Virasoro constraints* under certain conditions.

2.5. Generalized and ancestor Virasoro operators via quantization. For a infinitesimal transformation $A \in \text{End}(H((z^{-1})))$, i.e., $\omega(Af, g) = -\omega(f, Ag)$, let

$$h_A(f) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \omega(Af, f). \quad (64)$$

and we define the quantization of A by $\widehat{A} := \widehat{h_A(f)}$, where $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} q_k z^k + p_k (-z)^{-k-1}$ for $p_k = p_{k,a} \phi^a$, $q_k = q_k^a \phi_a \in H$ and the quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians are given by

$$(q_k^a q_l^b)^\wedge = q_k^a q_l^b / \hbar^2, \quad (q_k^a p_{l,b})^\wedge = q_k^a \partial_{q_l^b}, \quad (p_{k,a} p_{l,b})^\wedge = \hbar^2 \partial_{q_k^a} \partial_{q_l^b}.$$

For the case with a homogeneous ρ -matrix $\rho(z) = \rho \in \text{End}(H)$, following Givental [20], we introduce the infinitesimal transformations ℓ_m for $m \geq -1$:

$$\ell_m = -z^{-1/2} (z \partial_z z + \mu z + \rho)^{m+1} z^{-1/2}.$$

Then the Virasoro operator L_m (see equation (4)) can be expressed as

$$L_m = \widehat{\ell_m} + \frac{\delta_{m,0}}{4} \text{str} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \mu^2 \right), \quad (65)$$

where the coordinate $\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_k q_k z^k$ is related with the coordinate $\mathbf{t}(z)$ by $\mathbf{q}(z) = \tilde{\mathbf{t}}(z)$. In general, for the case with a homogeneous ρ -matrix $\rho(z) = \rho_0 + \rho_1 z^{-1} + \dots \in \text{End}(H)[z^{-1}]$, we generalize the definition of ℓ_m by

$$\ell'_m = -z^{-1/2} (z \partial_z z + \mu z + \rho(z))^{m+1} z^{-1/2},$$

and define L_m by substituting ℓ'_m for ℓ_m in equation (65).

Similarly, we introduce the infinitesimal transformations for the ancestors in parallel:

Lemma 2.12. For $m \geq -1$, let ℓ_m^τ be the infinitesimal transformations defined by

$$\ell_m^\tau = -z^{-1/2} (z \partial_z z + \mu z + \mathcal{E})^{m+1} z^{-1/2},$$

then the Virasoro operator L_m^τ (see equation (1)) can be expressed as

$$L_m^\tau = \widehat{\ell_m^\tau} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=m} \text{str} \left(\mathcal{E}^i \left(\frac{1}{2} + \mu \right) \mathcal{E}^j \left(\frac{1}{2} - \mu \right) \right),$$

where the coordinate $\mathbf{q}(z)$ is related with the coordinate $\mathbf{s}(z)$ by $\mathbf{q}(z) = \mathbf{s}(z) - z \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$.

Proof. Compare ℓ_m^τ with ℓ_m , by replacing ρ with \mathcal{E} , the proof for the ancestor case closely parallels that of the descendent case. \square

Remark 2.13. Let $\ell_m^\circ := -z^{-1/2} (z \partial_z z)^{m+1} z^{-1/2}$ then we have

$$\ell'_m = z^{-\mu} z^{-\sum_i \rho_i} \ell_m^\circ z^{\sum_i \rho_i} z^\mu, \quad \ell_m^\tau = S^\tau(z) z^{-\mu} z^{-\sum_i \rho_i} \ell_m^\circ z^{\sum_i \rho_i} z^\mu S^\tau(z)^{-1}.$$

For either case, $\rho(z) \in \text{End}(H)[z^{-1}]$ or $\rho(z) = \rho \in \text{End}(H)$, we have firstly, ℓ_m^τ does not depend on the precise form of $\rho(z)$ and secondly, the definitions of ℓ'_m and ℓ_m share the same form. Since the results for arbitrary $\rho(z)$ are parallel to those for $\rho(z) = \rho \in \text{End}(H)$, in the follows of this paper, we will focus on the case that $\rho(z) = \rho$ for simplicity.

Introduce two operators $D_{\rho,z}$ and $D_{\mathcal{E},z}$ by

$$D_{A,z} := A + (\mu + \frac{3}{2})z + z^2\partial_z, \quad A = \rho, \mathcal{E}, \quad (66)$$

then by the QDE (39) and the homogeneity condition (55) for S -matrix, one can see

$$S^\tau(z)D_{\rho,z} = D_{\mathcal{E},z}S^\tau(z). \quad (67)$$

The infinitesimal transformations ℓ_m and ℓ_m^τ can be expressed as follows:

$$\ell_m = -D_{\rho,z}^{m+1} \cdot z^{-1}, \quad \ell_m^\tau = -D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} \cdot z^{-1}.$$

By identifying $\phi_a\psi^k$ with $\partial_{t_k^a}$, the Virasoro operators L_m , $m \geq -1$, can be formally rewritten as follows

$$\begin{aligned} L_m = & \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \eta(\rho^{m+1}\tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_0) - \delta_{m,0} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \text{str}(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}) + [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1}\psi^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{t}}(\psi)]_+ \\ & + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a\psi^{k-1} \circ [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1}\phi^a\psi^{-k-1}]_+, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where the symbol “ \circ ” represents the composition of two operators. Similarly, by identifying $\phi_a\bar{\psi}^k$ with $\partial_{s_k^a}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^\tau = & \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}s_0, s_0) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=m} \text{str}(\mathcal{E}^i(\mu + \frac{1}{2})\mathcal{E}^j(\mu - \frac{1}{2})) + [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1}\bar{\psi}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}(\bar{\psi})]_+ \\ & + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a\bar{\psi}^{k-1} \circ [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1}\phi^a\bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+. \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

By the quantization formulation of the Virasoro operators L_m , one can see for $m \geq -1$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t}) = -\frac{1}{2} \omega(D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z), J(\mathbf{t}, -z)). \quad (70)$$

See equation (7) for the definition of $\mathcal{L}_{g,m}(\mathbf{t})$.

Proposition 2.14. *For $m \geq -1$, the function $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})$ is a constant if and only if*

$$D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J^\tau(-z) \in T\mathcal{L}.$$

Proof. We first notice that $v(z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ if and only if $v(z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)v(z)]_+$. This is because if $v(z) \in T\mathcal{L}$, then there is some $v'(z) \in H[[z]]$ such that $v(z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)v'(z)$, by the symplectic condition of S -matrix (38), we have $v'(z) = S^\tau(z)v(z) = [S^\tau(z)v(z)]_+$, and hence $v(z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)v(z)]_+$. The converse is straightforward.

Now we consider the derivatives of $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})$ with respect to t_n^a , by equation (51), we have

$$\partial_{t_n^a}(\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})) = -\omega(D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z), S^{\tau\text{DW},*}(-z)[S^{\tau\text{DW}}(z)\phi_az^n]_+).$$

For an arbitrary element $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}$, it is straightforward to see

$$\sum_{n,a} \omega(f(z), \phi_az^n)\phi^a(-z)^{-n-1} = [f(z)]_-.$$

Then by using $S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)\phi_az^n]_+ = \phi_az^n - S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)\phi_az^n]_-$ and

$$\omega(f(z), S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)\phi_az^n]_-) = \omega(S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)f(z)]_+, \phi_az^n),$$

one can see

$$\sum_{n,a} \omega(f(z), S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)\phi_az^n]_+)\phi^a(-z)^{-n-1} = f(z) - S^{\tau,*}(-z)[S^\tau(z)f(z)]_+.$$

In particular, take $f(z) = -D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z)$, we get

$$\sum_{n,a} \partial_{t_n^a}(\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t}))(-z)^{-n-1}\phi^a = S^*(-z)[S(z)D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z)]_+ - D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z).$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})$ is a constant if and only if $D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ and by Proposition 2.6, this is equivalent to $D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J^\tau(-z) \in T\mathcal{L}$. The proof is finished. \square

Remark 2.15. If $\nu^\tau(z) \in H[z]$, then we have $z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$ for all $m \geq -1$ according to the formula (70). In particular, we have the string equation $\mathcal{L}_{0,-1}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{0}$. Similarly, we have dilaton equation

$$\sum_{k,a} \tilde{t}_k^a \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t})}{\partial t_k^a} = 2\mathcal{F}_0(\mathbf{t}),$$

which is equivalent to the geometric formulation: $J(\mathbf{t}, -z) \in T\mathcal{L}$. Givental's discussion [22, Theorem 1] then applies to this case: \mathcal{L} is a Lagrangian cone with the vertex at the origin and such that its tangent spaces $T\mathcal{L}$ are tangent to \mathcal{L} exactly along $zT\mathcal{L}$.

3. RESULTS ON VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS

In this section, we prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, the main results on Virasoro conjectures.

3.1. Ancestor Virasoro constraints. In this subsection, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. (1). *The genus-0 ancestor Virasoro conjecture always holds.*

(2). *For each $m \geq 0$, the genus-1 L_m^τ -constraint is equivalent to the following equation:*

$$\langle E^{m+1} \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=m} \text{str}(\mathcal{E}^a \mu \mathcal{E}^b \mu) - \frac{1}{24} \sum_{a+b=m} \text{str}((\mathcal{E}^a \mu \mathcal{E}^b \mathbf{1})^*) + \frac{1}{24} \text{str}((\mathcal{E}^m((\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{1})^*)). \quad (71)$$

(3). *The ancestor Virasoro constraints hold for semisimple homogeneous CohFTs.*

Remark 3.2. As noted in Remark 1.9, for semisimple cases, we need not assume the vacuum axiom. Given a semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^τ , $\Omega_{g,n}^\tau = \tilde{\Omega}_{g,n}^\tau$ for $n > 0$. Here, $\tilde{\Omega}^\tau = R^\tau \cdot T^\tau \cdot (\oplus_{\alpha=1}^N \Omega^{\text{KW}_\alpha})$ is constructed through R - and T -actions and satisfies the vacuum axiom. This indicates a relation between the corresponding total ancestor potentials $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$: $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = e^{F(\tau; \hbar)} \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ for a function $F(\tau; \hbar)$ which is independent of \mathbf{s} . Consequently, the Virasoro constraints for $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ are equivalent to those for $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The first two parts of Theorem 3.1 are indeed derived from the topological recursion relations of genus-0 and genus-1 and we put the proof in Appendix B.

Now we prove the last part of Theorem 3.1 by using the Givental–Teleman reconstruction theorem [20, 42]. We just need to prove the results for CohFT with vacuum and then the results for general cases follow. By semisimplicity, we have a decomposition $H = \oplus_{\alpha=1}^N \mathbb{C} \bar{e}_\alpha$ of $H = T_\tau U$ with a basis $\{\bar{e}_\alpha\}$ such that $\eta(\bar{e}_\alpha, \bar{e}_\beta) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\bar{e}_\alpha *_\tau \bar{e}_\beta = \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \Delta_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \bar{e}_\alpha$ for some functions $\Delta_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha(\tau)$. We define $e_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \bar{e}_\alpha$. The reconstruction theorem gives

$$\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = \widehat{T}_\nu \widehat{R}^\tau \widehat{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar). \quad (72)$$

The formula (72) is explained as follows. Firstly, $\mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = \prod_\alpha \mathcal{D}^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}^{\bar{\alpha}}; \hbar)$ is a product of N copies of the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function. Secondly, $\widehat{\Delta} \mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = \prod_\alpha \mathcal{D}^{\text{KW}}(\Delta_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{t}^{\bar{\alpha}}; \Delta_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} \hbar)$ and we denote this by $\mathcal{D}^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{t}, \hbar)$. Thirdly, $R^\tau(z) = \mathbf{I} + R_1^\tau z + \dots \in \text{End}(H)[[z]]$ is the R -matrix determined by equation (37). It follows that $r(z) := \log(R^\tau(z))$ is an infinitesimal transformation on the loop space \mathcal{H} and thus $\widehat{r}(z)$ is defined by (64) via identification $\mathbf{q}(z) = \mathbf{t}(z) - z\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathbf{1} = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha$. The operator \widehat{R}^τ is defined by $e^{r(z)}$ and $\widehat{R}^\tau \mathcal{D}^{\text{top}}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ means we replaces

the coordinate \mathbf{t} in the function $e^{\widehat{r(z)}}\mathcal{D}^{\text{top}}$ with \mathbf{s} . Lastly, the action of $\widehat{T}_{\mathbf{v}}$ is just a shift on the coordinate \mathbf{s} which trans $\mathbf{s}(z) - z\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{s}(z) - z\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$.

The idea of the proof of $L_m^\tau \mathcal{A}^\tau = 0$ for a semisimple CohFT is as follows: we first consider how the Virasoro operator L_m^τ go across the operators $\widehat{T}_{\mathbf{v}}$, \widehat{R}^τ and $\widehat{\Delta}$, then we prove the result constraints for $\mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}$ by the Witten–Kontsevich theorem. For the results, we have

- $L_m^\tau \widehat{T}_{\mathbf{v}} = \widehat{T}_{\mathbf{v}} \bar{L}_m^\tau$, where $\bar{L}_m^\tau = L_m^\tau|_{\mathbf{s}(z) \rightarrow \mathbf{s}(z) + z\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) - z\mathbf{1}}$;
- $\bar{L}_m^\tau \widehat{R}^\tau = \widehat{R}^\tau L_m^{\text{top},\tau}$, where $L_m^{\text{top},\tau} = \widehat{\ell}_m^{\text{top},\tau} + \frac{m+1}{16} \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^m)$ with

$$\ell_m^{\text{top},\tau} = -z^{-1/2}(z\partial_z z + \mathcal{E})^{m+1}z^{-1/2}.$$
- $L_m^{\text{top},\tau} \widehat{\Delta} = \widehat{\Delta} L_m^{\text{NKW},\tau}$ where $L_m^{\text{NKW},\tau} = \sum_\alpha L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau}$ with $L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau}$ the ancestor Virasoro operator for the trivial CohFT $\Omega_{g,n}^{\text{KW}\alpha}(\bar{e}_\alpha, \dots, \bar{e}_\alpha) = 1$.
- $L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau} \mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = 0$ for each α .

Since the first and third results follow immediately from the definition of $\widehat{T}_{\mathbf{v}}$ and $\widehat{\Delta}$, the last result follows from the Witten–Kontsevich theorem ⁶, we show details for the proof of the second equation in the follows.

The central part of the proof is to compute $e^{-\widehat{r(z)}}\widehat{\ell}_m^\tau e^{\widehat{r(z)}}$ which by definition equals

$$-\frac{1}{2} e^{-\widehat{r(z)}} \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} z^{-1} f(z), f(z)) \widehat{e^{\widehat{r(z)}}}, \quad (73)$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_\alpha q_k^\alpha e_\alpha z^k + \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_\alpha p_{k,\alpha} e^\alpha (-z)^{-k-1}$. Here $\{e^\alpha\}$ is the dual basis of $\{e_\alpha\}$ with respect to η . We compute the expression (73) in four steps. Firstly, introduce the quantization on linear functions of \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} by

$$\widehat{q}_k^\alpha := q_k^\alpha / \hbar, \quad \widehat{p}_{k,\alpha} := \hbar \partial_{q_k^\alpha},$$

we see $\widehat{f}(z) = \widehat{f}_+(z) + \widehat{f}_-(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_\alpha \frac{q_k^\alpha}{\hbar} e_\alpha z^k + \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_\alpha \hbar \partial_{q_k^\alpha} e^\alpha (-z)^{-k-1}$, where by notation $\widehat{f}(z)$ (as well as $\widehat{f}_\pm(z)$) we mean we do not quantize z^m in $f(z)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} z^{-1} f(z), f(z)) \widehat{=} & \frac{1}{2} \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} z^{-1} \widehat{f}_+(z), \widehat{f}_+(z)) + \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} z^{-1} \widehat{f}_+(z), \widehat{f}_-(z)) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} z^{-1} \widehat{f}_-(z), \widehat{f}_-(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, by the definition equation (64) and by direct computation, we have

$$\widehat{r(z)} = \sum_{m \geq 1} \left(- \sum_{k \geq 0} (r_m)^\beta q_k^\alpha \partial_{q_{k+m}^\beta} + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k+l=m-1} (-1)^k \eta^{\alpha\sigma} (r_m)^\beta \partial_{q_k^\alpha} \partial_{q_l^\beta} \right).$$

Then by this explicit formula, it is straightforward to get $[\widehat{r(z)}, \widehat{f}(z)] = -\widehat{r} \cdot \widehat{f}(z)$, and it follows immediately

$$e^{-\widehat{r(z)}} \widehat{f}(z) e^{\widehat{r(z)}} = \widehat{R}^\tau f(z), \quad (74)$$

⁶More strictly, $L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau} \mathcal{D}_N^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = \prod_{\beta \neq \alpha} \mathcal{D}^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}^\beta; \hbar) \cdot L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau} \mathcal{D}^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}^\alpha; \hbar)$, and the Witten–Kontsevich theorem, together with Dijkgraaf–Verlinde–Verlinde’s work [8], gives us $L_m^{\text{KW}\alpha,\tau} \mathcal{D}^{\text{KW}}(\mathbf{t}^\alpha; \hbar)|_{\tau=0} = 0$. It is a simple consequence of the Witten–Kontsevich theorem that the equation also holds for arbitrary τ .

where $R^\tau f(z) = R^\tau(z)f(z)$. Hence we get the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} -e^{-r(z)}\widehat{\ell}_m^\tau e^{r(z)} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_+(z), \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_+(z)) + \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_+(z), \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_-(z)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_-(z), \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_-(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, notice that $[R^\tau(z)f(z)]_- = [R^\tau(z)f_-(z)]_-$, we always have

$$\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_\pm(z), \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_-(z)) = \omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}[R^\tau(z)f(z)]_\pm, [R^\tau(z)f(z)]_-).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &-e^{-r(z)}\widehat{\ell}_m^\tau e^{r(z)} - \frac{1}{2}\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}R^\tau(z)f(z), R^\tau(z)f(z)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_+(z), \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_+(z)) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}z^{-1}[R^\tau(z)f(z)]_+, [R^\tau(z)f(z)]_+). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of ω , we see the right-hand side of above equation equals

$$\frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}\widehat{(R^\tau f)}_0, \widehat{(R^\tau f)}_0) - \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}[R^\tau(z)f(z)]_0, [R^\tau(z)f(z)]_0) = \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}R_1).$$

Lastly, by equation (37), we have $[R_1^\tau, \mathcal{E}] = \mu$ and $[R_2^\tau, \mathcal{E}] = R_1^\tau + \mu R_1^\tau$, and these equations imply

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}R_1^\tau) = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a+b=m}\text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^a\mu\mathcal{E}^b\mu).$$

Moreover, equation (37) gives $D_{\mathcal{E},z}R^\tau(z) = R^\tau(z)D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{\text{top}}$ where $D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{\text{top}} = \mathcal{E} + \frac{3}{2}z + z^2\partial_z$, together with the symplectic condition $R^{\tau,*}(-z)R^\tau(z) = \text{I}$, we have

$$e^{-r(z)}\widehat{\ell}_m^\tau e^{r(z)} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega((D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{\text{top}})^{m+1}z^{-1}f, f) + \frac{1}{4}\sum_{a+b=m}\text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^a\mu\mathcal{E}^b\mu).$$

This proves $\bar{L}_m^\tau \widehat{R}^\tau = \widehat{R}^\tau L_m^{\text{top},\tau}$. The proof is finished. \square

Remark 3.3. Our proof of the ancestor Virasoro conjecture for semisimple homogeneous CohFT is fundamentally grounded in Givental's work [20]. In his approach, Givental used the Virasoro constraints for the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function, the reconstruction procedure, and the Kontsevich–Manin formula to prove the (descendent) Virasoro conjecture. Our work explicitly elaborates on the intermediate step that Givental left unspecified.

Remark 3.4. By exchanging N -copies of Virasoro operators for the Witten–Kontsevich tau-function with the reconstruction procedure, N -copies of formal Virasoro operators for semisimple CohFTs (not necessarily homogeneous) were constructed by Milanov [38] and Alexandrov [2]. For homogeneous cases, our results show that summations of those N -copies of formal Virasoro operators admit concise formulae. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, the ancestor Virasoro operators for non-semisimple CohFTs are new in the literature.

3.2. Generalized Virasoro constraints I: genus-0 part. In this subsection, we prove the genus-0 generalized Virasoro constraints by generalizing Givental's method of proving the genus-0 Virasoro constraints introduced in [22] to arbitrary homogeneous CohFTs.

Theorem 3.5. *For the homogeneous CohFT with homogeneous calibrations and a Virasoro index m_ν as defined in Definition 0.4, the genus-0 generalized Virasoro constraints hold for $m \geq m_\nu$:*

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t}) + \delta_{m,2m_\nu} \cdot c_\nu = 0.$$

Proof. We note firstly by formula (70), if $\nu^\tau(z) \in H[z]$, then by definition $z^{-1}J^\tau(-z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ and thus $z^{-1}J(\mathbf{t}, -z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ according to Proposition 2.6. The genus-0 generalized Virasoro constraints follows immediately from the fact $D_{\rho,z}T\mathcal{L} \subset T\mathcal{L}$ and $\omega(f, g) = 0$ for $f, g \in T\mathcal{L}$.

Now we consider the case with $\nu^\tau(z) \notin H[z]$, then by assumption, $\delta = 2m_\nu + 3$ for some non-negative integer m_ν . By Proposition 2.14, we prove the genus-0 L_m -constraint, $m \geq m_\nu$, in two steps: firstly, $D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J^\tau(-z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ (then we have $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})$ is a constant) and secondly $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = -\delta_{m,2m_\nu}c_\nu$. We prove these step by step.

For the first step, by Proposition 2.6 and equations (38) and (67), we just need to prove $D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) \in H[z]$. Let $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z} = D_{\mathcal{E},z} + \frac{\delta-3}{2}z = \mathcal{E} + (\mu + \frac{\delta}{2})z + z^2\partial_z$, then by equation (52), we have $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}\nu^\tau(z) = E$ and thus

$$\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) = \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^m E \in H[z], \quad \forall m \geq 0. \quad (75)$$

Notice that $[\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}, z^l] = lz^{l+1}$, we have $\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^k z^l = z^l(\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z} + lz)^k$. Hence

$$D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} = (\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z} + \frac{3-\delta}{2}z)^{m+1} = \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} + \sum_{k=0}^m a_{m,k}(z)\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^k \quad (76)$$

for some polynomials $a_{m,k}(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[z]$. In particular, by direct computation, we have

$$a_{m,0}(z) = (z^2\partial_z + \frac{3-\delta}{2}z)^{m+1}(1) = z^{m+1} \prod_{i=0}^m (\frac{3-\delta}{2} + i).$$

Since by definition, $m_\nu = \frac{\delta-3}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have $a_{m,0}(z) = 0$ for $m \geq m_\nu$. Therefore,

$$D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) = \tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^m E + \sum_{k=1}^m a_{m,k}(z)\tilde{D}_{\mathcal{E},z}^{k-1} E \in H[z].$$

For the second step, by equation (58) and by induction, it is straightforward to see

$$D_{\rho,z}^{m+1}z^{-1}J^\tau(-z) = z^m (\prod_{i=0}^m (i - m_\nu - \nabla_E)) J^\tau(-z).$$

Here the multiplications of ∇_E act on a function f by $\nabla_E^{k+1}(f) = E(\nabla_E^k(f))$. Write

$$\prod_{i=0}^m (i - m_\nu - \nabla_E) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} b_{m,k} \nabla_E^k,$$

then we have $b_{m,0} = 0$ for $m \geq m_\nu$. Notice

$$\omega(z^m E J^\tau(-z), \nabla_E^{k-1} J^\tau(-z)) = 0, \quad 2 \leq k \leq m+1,$$

(since $\omega(z^m \cdot f, g) = \omega(f, (-z)^m g)$ and $z^m \nabla_E^{k-1} J^\tau(-z) \in T\mathcal{L}$ for $2 \leq k \leq m+1$), we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} b_{m,k} \nabla_E^{k-1} (\omega(z^m E J^\tau(-z), J^\tau(-z))).$$

Furthermore, it follows from the straightforward computations that

$$\partial_{\tau^a} (\omega(z^m E J^\tau(-z), J^\tau(-z))) = (m - 2m_\nu) \omega(z^m \partial_{\tau^a} J^\tau(-z), J^\tau(-z)),$$

thus we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} b_{m,k} (m - 2m_\nu)^{k-1} \cdot \omega(z^m E J^\tau(-z), J^\tau(-z)).$$

For $m \geq m_\nu$ and $m \neq 2m_\nu$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{m+1} b_{m,k} (m - 2m_\nu)^k = \prod_{i=0}^m (i - m_\nu - (m - 2m_\nu))$, thus $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = 0$. For $m = 2m_\nu$, we have $b_{2m_\nu,1} = (-1)^{m_\nu+1} (m_\nu!)^2$ and

$$\mathcal{L}_{0,2m_\nu}(\mathbf{t})|_{\mathbf{t}=\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} b_{2m_\nu,1} \cdot \omega(E J^\tau(-z), z^{2m_\nu} J^\tau(-z)).$$

Notice that $E J^\tau(-z) = S^{\tau,*}(-z)E$ and $\omega(S^{\tau,*}(-z)f, g) = \omega(f, S^\tau(z)g)$, the r.h.s of above equation gives exactly $-c_\nu$. \square

Remark 3.6. We can prove that if the term $a_{m,0}(z)$ in the proof of Theorem 3.5 is not vanished, then the genus-0 L_m -constraint fails. Otherwise, let $\tilde{\nu}^\tau(z) = a_{m,0}(z)\nu^\tau(z)$, the L_m -constraint, together with equation (75), gives us $\tilde{\nu}^\tau(z) \in H[z]$. By QDE (40) of the ν -vector, we have

$$z\partial_{\tau a}\tilde{\nu}^\tau(z) = \phi_a *_{\tau} \tilde{\nu}^\tau(z) - a_{m,0}(z)\phi_a.$$

Let $\tilde{\nu}^\tau(z) = \sum_i \tilde{\nu}_i^\tau z^i$, then the equation solves $\tilde{\nu}_0^\tau = \dots = \tilde{\nu}_m^\tau = 0$ and thus

$$\nu^\tau(z) = \tilde{\nu}^\tau(z)/a_{m,0}(z) \in H[z],$$

which contradicts our assumption. We see the existence of the Virasoro-index m_ν is the necessary (and sufficient for genus-0) condition for the generalized Virasoro conjecture.

Corollary 3.7. *For a homogeneous CohFT with vacuum $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ and calibrated with a homogeneous S -matrix and ν -vector $\nu^\tau(z)$, the genus-0 L_m -constraint holds if and only if*

$$D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) = D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\mathbf{v}^\tau(z).$$

Proof. We note first the string equation holds if and only if $J^\tau(-z) \in zT\mathcal{L}$ which is equivalent to the fact that $\nu^\tau(z)$ a polynomial in z and is further equivalent to $\nu^\tau(z) = \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$.

For the case that $\nu^\tau(z) \notin H[z]$, the validity of the genus-0 L_m -constraint requires the existence of the Virasoro-index m_ν and $m \geq m_\nu \geq 0$. Since the ν -vector and the vacuum vector satisfy the same homogeneity condition (52) (or (32)), equation (75) also applies to the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$. By equation (76), $D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) = D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ follows from $a_{m,0}(z) = 0$. Conversely, the same homogeneity condition for the ν -vector and the vacuum vector, equation (75) and equation (76) together give that the equation $D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\nu^\tau(z) = D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ implies $a_{m,0}(z) = 0$ (since we have assumed $\nu^\tau(z) \notin H[z]$ thus $\nu^\tau(z) \neq \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$). Notice that $a_{m,0}(z) = z^{m+1} \prod_{i=0}^m (\frac{3-\delta}{2} + i)$, the vanishing of $a_{m,0}(z)$ ensures $\delta = 2m_\nu + 3$ for some integer $0 \leq m_\nu \leq m$ and the genus-0 L_m -constraint follows. \square

3.3. Generalized Virasoro constraints II: higher genus part. In this subsection, we consider the generalized Virasoro constraints of higher genus. We prove the second and third parts of Theorem 2 by establishing the equivalence between (generalized) descendent and ancestor Virasoro constraints.

Proposition 3.8. *For $m \geq m_\nu$, the L_m -constraint is equivalent to the L_m^τ -constraint.*

Proof. By the relation of the total descendent potential and the total ancestor potential (equation (45)), the L_m -constraint is equivalent to the following equation for $\mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$:

$$\tilde{L}_m^\tau \mathcal{A}^\tau(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = 0,$$

where the operator \tilde{L}_m^τ is defined by

$$\tilde{L}_m^\tau := e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\tilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t}-\tau, \mathbf{t}-\tau)} \cdot (L_m + \frac{\delta_{m,2m_\nu}}{\hbar^2}c_\nu) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{\hbar^2}\tilde{W}^\tau(\mathbf{t}-\tau, \mathbf{t}-\tau)}.$$

Here we use the expression (68) of the operator L_m . By viewing $\mathbf{t}(z) = [S^{\tau,*}(-z)\mathbf{s}(z)]_+ + \tau$ and $\phi_a\psi^k = [S^\tau(\bar{\psi})\phi_a\bar{\psi}^k]_+$, our goal is to prove $\tilde{L}_m^\tau = L_m^\tau$ (see equation (69) for the expression of the operator L_m^τ). By definition, \tilde{L}_m^τ has form:

$$L_m^\tau = \frac{1}{2\hbar^2}B_m^\tau(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}) + \tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau + \tilde{L}_{m,1}^\tau + \frac{\hbar^2}{2}\tilde{L}_{m,2}^\tau,$$

where $B_m^\tau(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t})$ is a quadratic function of \mathbf{t} and

$$\tilde{L}_{m,2}^\tau = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a \psi^{k-1} \circ [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \phi^a \psi^{-k-1}]_+,$$

$$\tilde{L}_{m,1}^\tau = [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \psi^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{t}}(\psi)]_+ + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k (\langle \phi_a \psi^{k-1} \rangle_{0,1}^\tau + \langle \phi_a \psi^{k-1}, \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau \rangle_{0,2}^\tau) [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \phi^a \psi^{-k-1}]_+,$$

$$\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau = -\delta_{m,0} \frac{1}{4} \text{str} \left(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \langle \phi_a \psi^{k-1}, [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \phi^a \psi^{-k-1}]_+ \rangle_{0,2}^\tau.$$

In the follows, we compute these three terms one by one.

Firstly, by equation (67), we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a \psi^{k-1} \circ [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \phi^a \psi^{-k-1}]_+ = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k [S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}]_+ \circ [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+.$$

By taking expansion of $S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) = \sum_{j \geq 0} S_j^\tau \bar{\psi}^{-j}$ and using $\phi_a \otimes (S_j^\tau \phi^a) = (S_j^{\tau,*} \phi_a) \otimes \phi^a$, above equation can be further simplified as follows:

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (-1)^k [S_i^\tau S_j^{\tau,*} \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-i-1}]_+ \circ [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-j-1}]_+.$$

Note the summation in above expression is finite, by changing the order of the summation and by using the symplectic condition (38) of the S -matrix, we get

$$\tilde{L}_{m,2}^\tau = \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1} \circ [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+.$$

Secondly, notice that

$$\tilde{L}_{m,1}^\tau = [D_{\rho,\psi}^{m+1} \psi^{-1} (J^\tau(-\psi) + \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau + \sum_{k \geq 1} \langle \phi_a \psi^{k-1}, \mathbf{t}(\psi) - \tau \rangle_{0,2}^\tau \phi^a (-\psi)^{-k})]_+$$

by using equation (51) and by identifying $\phi_a \psi^k$ with $[S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \phi_a \bar{\psi}^k]_+$, we see

$$\tilde{L}_{m,1}^\tau = [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{-1} S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) (J^\tau(-\bar{\psi}) + S^{\tau,*}(-\bar{\psi}) [S^\tau(\bar{\psi}) (\mathbf{t}(\bar{\psi}) - \tau)]_+)]_+.$$

Now by using equations (41), (38), (11) and Corollary 3.7, we obtain

$$\tilde{L}_{m,1}^\tau = [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{-1} (\mathbf{s}(\bar{\psi}) - \bar{\psi} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}))]_+.$$

Thirdly, by the definition of $\langle - \rangle_{0,2}^\tau$, one can see for $m \geq 1$

$$\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, W^\tau(z, w) D_{\rho,w}^{m+1} \phi^a w^{-k-1}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w}.$$

Notice that $[D_{\rho,w}^{m+2}, w^{-1}] = -(m+2) D_{\rho,w}^{m+1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau &= -\frac{1}{2m+4} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, W^\tau(z, w) D_{\rho,w}^{m+2} \phi^a w^{-k-2}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2m+4} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, W^\tau(z, w) w^{-1} D_{\rho,w}^{m+2} \phi^a w^{-k-1}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall by definition of W^τ (equation (10)), we have

$$W^\tau(z, w) w^{-1} = -W^\tau(z, w) z^{-1} + S^{\tau,*}(z) S^\tau(w) - \text{I}.$$

Substitute this into the second line on the r.h.s of above equation, then one can see the summation containing $-W^\tau(z, w) z^{-1}$ cancel with the first line on the r.h.s of above equation, and one gets the result:

$$\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau = \frac{1}{2m+4} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, (S^{\tau,*}(z) S^\tau(w) - \text{I}) D_{\rho,w}^{m+2} \phi^a w^{-k-1}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w}.$$

Now by using similar method that we used to compute $\tilde{L}_{m,2}^\tau$, one can see

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau &= -\frac{1}{2m+4} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, D_{\rho,w}^{m+2} \phi^a w^{-k-1}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2m+4} \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^k \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \operatorname{Res}_{w=0} \eta(\phi_a z^{k-1}, D_{\mathcal{E},w}^{m+2} \phi^a w^{-k-1}) \frac{dz}{z} \frac{dw}{w}.\end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau = \frac{1}{2m+4} \operatorname{str}([w^0] D_{\rho,w}^{m+2}(w^{-2})) - \frac{1}{2m+4} \operatorname{str}([w^0] D_{\mathcal{E},w}^{m+2}(w^{-2})).$$

By the definitions of $D_{A,z}$ (equation (66)), we have

$$\operatorname{str}([w^0] D_{A,w}^{m+2}(w^{-2})) = \sum_{i+j+k=m} \operatorname{str}(A^i(\mu+\frac{1}{2})A^j(\mu-\frac{1}{2})A^k) = \frac{m+2}{2} \sum_{i+j=m} \operatorname{str}(A^i(\mu+\frac{1}{2})A^j(\mu-\frac{1}{2}))$$

When taking $A = \rho$, notice that ρ is nilpotent and μ is diagonal under flat basis, above expression vanishes for $m > 0$, thus we have

$$\tilde{L}_{m,0}^\tau = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{i+j=m} \operatorname{str}(\mathcal{E}^i(\mu+\frac{1}{2})\mathcal{E}^j(\mu-\frac{1}{2}))$$

and the formula also holds for $m = 0$.

Now we know \tilde{L}_m^τ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{L}_m^\tau &= \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} B_m^\tau(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s})) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=m} \operatorname{str}(\mathcal{E}^a(\mu+\frac{1}{2})\mathcal{E}^b(\mu-\frac{1}{2})) \\ &\quad + [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \psi^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}(\psi)]_+ + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1} \circ [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+.\end{aligned}$$

By genus-0 L_m -constraint, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} B_m^\tau(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s})) - \frac{1}{2} \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}), s_0, s_0 \rangle_{0,3}^\tau + O(\mathbf{s}^3) = 0.$$

This gives $B_m^\tau(\mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s}), \mathbf{t}(\mathbf{s})) = \eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1} s_0, s_0)$, and we obtain $\tilde{L}_m^\tau = L_m^\tau$. \square

Now we can prove the second and third parts of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3.9. *We have the following results:*

- (1). For each $m \geq \max\{m_\nu, 0\}$, the genus-1 L_m -constraint is equivalent to equation (3).
- (2). The generalized Virasoro conjecture, as stated in Conjecture 2, holds for any semi-simple homogeneous CohFT with homogeneous calibrations and a Virasoro index.

Proof. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.8. \square

4. APPLICATION I: VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS OF DEFORMED NEGATIVE r -SPIN THEORY

The deformed negative r -spin class $\Theta^{r,\epsilon}$, also called the ϵ -deformed Θ -class, was introduced by Norbury [39] for $r = 2$, $\epsilon = 0$ and generalized by Chidambaram, Garcia-Failde and Giacchetto [6] for arbitrary $r \geq 2$ and ϵ . In [24], Guo, Ji and Zhang introduced the geometric descendent ⁷ invariants of the deformed negative r -spin class and proved a Kontsevich–Manin type formula that relates the descendent invariants and ancestor invariants of the deformed negative r -spin theory. In this section, we deduce the Virasoro constraints of the deformed negative r -spin theory as an application of Theorem 2.

⁷In [6], the generating series of the class $\Theta^{r,\epsilon}$ is called the “descendant potential”, it is called the ancestor potential in this paper. When taking $\epsilon = 0$, the descendent potential equals the ancestor potential.

4.1. Definition and descendent potentials. Let $r \geq 2$ be a fixed positive integer, s be an integer (we will focus on $s = -1$ cases), and $0 \leq a_1, \dots, a_n \leq r - 1$ be integers satisfying

$$\tilde{D}_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a}) := (2g - 2 + n) \cdot s - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \in r\mathbb{Z}.$$

We introduce the proper moduli space of twisted stable r -spin curves

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s} = \{(C_g, p_1, \dots, p_n, L) : L^r \cong \omega_{\log}^s(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[p_i])\},$$

where $\omega_{\log} = \omega(\sum_{i=1}^n [p_i])$. We see $\deg \omega_{\log}^s(-\sum_{i=1}^n a_i[p_i]) = \tilde{D}_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a})$ and the Riemann–Roch theorem gives

$$D_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a}) := \dim H^1(C_g, L) - \dim H^0(C_g, L) = -\deg L + g - 1 = -\frac{1}{r}\tilde{D}_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a}) + g - 1$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}$ be the universal curve of the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}$ and let $\mathcal{L}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}$ be the universal bundle on it, we have the morphisms

$$\mathcal{C}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s} \xrightarrow{\pi} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s} \xrightarrow{p} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}.$$

It is known that for $-(r-1) \leq s \leq -1$, $R^0\pi_*\mathcal{L}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}$ vanishes. Following [6, 7], we consider the vector bundle of rank $D_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a})$:

$$\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s} := R^1\pi_*\mathcal{L}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s} \quad (77)$$

and introduce the following twisted class

$$c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}) \in H^{D_{g,n}^{r,s}(\vec{a})}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}).$$

where $c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s})$ means the top Chern class of $\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,s}$.

Now we focus on $s = -1$ cases. Let $H = \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{r-1})$ be the state space, where $\{\phi_a\}_{a=1}^{r-1}$ are vectors associated to the integers $1 \leq a \leq r-1$ (we slightly shift the sub-index compared with the general setting since ϕ_0 has special meaning here). Let $\{\psi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be the psi-classes, denoting the first Chern class of the universal cotangent line bundle over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,-1}$ with respect to the i -th marked point. The descendent invariants / correlators for the ϵ -deformed negative r -spin theory are defined by

$$\langle \phi_{a_1}\psi_1^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n}\psi_n^{k_n} \rangle_{g,n}^{r,\epsilon} := \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!} \frac{(-1)^{D_{g,n+m}^{r,-1}(\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m)}}{r^{g-1}} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m}^{r,-1}} c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m}^{r,-1}) \prod_i \psi_i^{k_i},$$

where $\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m = (a_1, \dots, a_n, 0, \dots, 0)$. We define the genus- g descendent potential $\mathcal{F}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t})$ and total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ for the deformed negative r -spin theory as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \langle \mathbf{t}(\psi_1), \dots, \mathbf{t}(\psi_n) \rangle_{g,n}^{r,\epsilon}, \quad \mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \mathcal{F}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t})},$$

where $\mathbf{t}(\psi) = \sum_{k \geq 0, 1 \leq a \leq r-1} t_k^a \phi_a \psi^k \in H[[\psi]]$.

4.2. CohFT and ancestor potentials. By considering the push-forward of top Chern class of $\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a}}^{r,-1}$ along the forgetful maps $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m}^{r,-1} \xrightarrow{p} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+m} \xrightarrow{\pi^m} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, we get the so called ϵ -deformed Θ -class as follows

$$\Theta_{g,n}^{r,\epsilon}(\phi_{a_1}, \dots, \phi_{a_n}) := \frac{1}{r^{g-1}} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!} \pi_*^m p_* \left((-1)^{\deg} \cdot c_{\text{top}}(\mathcal{V}_{g,\vec{a} + \vec{0}_m}^{r,-1}) \right). \quad (78)$$

It is proved in [6] that the collection $\{\Theta_{g,n}^{r,\epsilon}\}$ satisfies the axioms of an $(r-1)$ -dimensional CohFT on H with symmetric bilinear form $\eta(\phi_a, \phi_b) = \delta_{a+b,r}$. Moreover, this CohFT is semisimple (for $\epsilon \neq 0$) and homogeneous with respect to the Euler vector field

$$E = (r-1)\phi_{r-1} - \sum_{a=1}^{r-1} \frac{a}{r} \tau^a \phi_a.$$

The conformal dimension δ of $\Theta^{r,\epsilon}$ is 3. The Euler vector field defines the operator μ which has formula $\mu(\phi_a) = (\frac{a}{r} - \frac{1}{2})\phi_a$, $a = 1, \dots, r-1$.

By using the Chiodo formula [7], the quantum product $*$ of $\Theta^{r,\epsilon}$ has the following explicit formula (see [6, 24] for more details): if $a+b = (r-1)m + c$ where $0 \leq c \leq r-2$, then

$$\phi_a * \phi_b = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{r}\right)^m \phi_{c+1}.$$

Especially, notice that $E|_{\tau=0} = (r-1)\phi_{r-1}$, we have

$$E|_{\tau=0} * \phi_a = \begin{cases} (r-1) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{r} \cdot \phi_{a+1} & a = 1, \dots, r-2 \\ (r-1) \cdot \frac{\epsilon^2}{r^2} \cdot \phi_1 & a = r-1 \end{cases}. \quad (79)$$

By using the homogeneity condition (33), one can compute the vacuum vector at $\tau = 0$:

$$\mathbf{v}^{r,\epsilon}(z) = r \sum_{a=1}^{r-2} \phi_a \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(mr-1-a)!}{(m-1)!} \frac{(-z)^{m(r-1)-a-1}}{\epsilon^{mr-a-1}} + r\phi_{r-1} \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(mr)!}{m!} \frac{(-z)^{m(r-1)-1}}{\epsilon^{mr}}.$$

Remark 4.1. For the CohFT $\Theta^{r,\epsilon}$, the vacuum axiom (18) fails for $n = 0$, however, the axiom always holds for $n \geq 1$ as we have explained in Remark 1.9 and this will not affect the validity of Virasoro constraints as we have explained in Remark 3.2.

Following the general setting, we define the genus- g ancestor potential $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s})$ and total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ for the deformed negative r -spin theory as follows:

$$\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \Theta_{g,n}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}(\psi_1), \dots, \mathbf{s}(\psi_n)), \quad \mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar) = e^{\sum_{g \geq 0} \hbar^{2g-2} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s})},$$

where $\mathbf{s}(\psi) = \sum_{k \geq 0, 1 \leq a \leq r-1} s_k^a \phi_a \psi^k \in H[[\psi]]$.

4.3. S -matrix, ν -vector and Kontsevich–Manin type formula. It was proved in [24] that the total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ and total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ are related by the following Kontsevich–Manin type formula:

$$\mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = e^{\frac{1}{2\hbar} \widetilde{W}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t})} \mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{t}); \hbar),$$

where $\widetilde{W}^{r,\epsilon}$ is given by equation (44) at $\tau = 0$ with $\Phi(0) = 0$. Moreover, the S -matrix $S^{r,\epsilon}(z)$ and the J -function (which has form $J^{r,\epsilon}(-z) = -r\phi_{r-1} + \sum_{k \geq 0} J_{k,a}^{r,\epsilon} \phi^a (-z)^{-k-1}$) are explicitly computed in [24], the formulae are

$$(S_k^{r,\epsilon})_a^b = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+m} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{a}{r})}{\Gamma(\frac{a}{r}+k-m)} \frac{\epsilon^m}{m!}, & m = \frac{rk+a-b}{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

and

$$J_{k,a}^{r,\epsilon} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{k+m} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{a}{r})}{\Gamma(\frac{a}{r}+k-m)} \frac{\epsilon^{m+2}}{(m+2)!} & m = \frac{rk+a}{r-1} - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

As noted in Remark 2.2, given S -matrix and J -function, one get the ν -vector $\nu^{r,\epsilon}(z)$ by $\nu^{r,\epsilon}(z) = -z^{-1}S^{r,\epsilon}(z)J^{r,\epsilon}(-z)$. Precisely,

$$\nu^{r,\epsilon}(z) = \sum_{a=1}^{r-2} \phi_a \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(mr+a)!} \frac{\epsilon^{mr+a+1}}{z^{m(r-1)+a+1}} + r\phi_{r-1} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{m!}{(rm)!} \frac{\epsilon^{rm}}{z^{m(r-1)+1}}.$$

It is straightforward to check the S -matrix (resp. the ν -vector) satisfies the homogeneity condition (57) (resp. (53)), where $\rho = 0$.

4.4. Virasoro constraints. We introduce and prove the Virasoro constraints for the deformed negative r -spin theory. For the total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$, since the quantum product of E at the original point $\tau = 0$, the grading operator μ and the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^{r,\epsilon}(z)$ are all explicitly computed, one gets immediately the ancestor Virasoro constraints of $\mathcal{A}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ by Theorem 1. Now we consider the descendent Virasoro constraints, which are notable for their concise formulae, as presented in the following Proposition:

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ be the total descendent potential of the deformed negative r -spin theory defined in §4.1, then it satisfies the following Virasoro constraints*

$$L_m^{r,\epsilon} \mathcal{D}^{r,\epsilon}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = 0, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where operators $L_m^{r,\epsilon}$, $m \geq 0$, satisfy the commutation relation $[L_m^{r,\epsilon}, L_n^{r,\epsilon}] = (m-n)L_{m+n}^{r,\epsilon}$ for $m, n \geq 0$ and have the following explicit formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^{r,\epsilon} = & \delta_{m,0} \frac{(r-1)\epsilon^2}{2\hbar^2} + \delta_{m,0} \frac{r^2-1}{24r} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(m+1+k+\frac{a}{r})}{\Gamma(k+\frac{a}{r})} \tilde{t}_k^a \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+m}^a} \\ & + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \sum_{a=1}^{r-1} \frac{\Gamma(m+1-k+\frac{a}{r})}{\Gamma(-k+\frac{a}{r})} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{k-1}^{r-a} \partial t_{m-k}^a}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the shift on the time variables is given by $\tilde{t}_k^a = t_k^a - \delta_{k,0} \delta_{a,r-1} \cdot r$.

Proof. We first mention that $L_m^{r,\epsilon}$ is just the deformed negative r -spin case of $L_m + \frac{\delta_{m,0}}{\hbar^2} c_\nu$ in Theorem 2. We have seen that the deformed negative r -spin class is homogeneous and semisimple. Moreover, the ν -vector is not a polynomial in z and the conformal dimension of the CohFT is 3, thus the Virasoro-index $m_\nu = 0$. By Theorem 2, the L_m -constraint holds for $m \geq 0$. The explicit formula for $L_m^{r,\epsilon}$ follows from the following computations: firstly,

$$-\frac{1}{4} \text{tr}(\mu^2 - \frac{1}{4}) = \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{a=1}^{r-1} a(r-a) = \frac{r^2-1}{24r},$$

secondly, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a = 1, \dots, r-1$,

$$D_{\rho,z}^{m+1} \phi_a z^k = \frac{\Gamma(m+2+k+\frac{a}{r})}{\Gamma(k+1+\frac{a}{r})} \phi_a z^{m+1+k},$$

(recall $\rho = 0$), and lastly, $c_\nu = \frac{1}{2} [z^{-2}] \eta(E)|_{\tau=0}, \nu^{r,\epsilon}(z) = \frac{(r-1)\epsilon^2}{2}$. \square

Remark 4.3. By taking $r = 2$, this result recovers the Virasoro constraints of the generalized Brézin-Gross-Witten partition function with parameter N by taking $\epsilon = N\hbar/\sqrt{-2}$, see, e.g., [1]

5. APPLICATION II: COHFT OF EXTENDED GROTHENDIECK'S DESSINS D'ENFANTS

A dessin d'enfant refers to a bi-colored ribbon graph embedded on a smooth Riemann surface, Grothendieck [23] established a one-to-one correspondence between dessins d'enfants and isomorphism classes of Belyi maps [4], and thus the theory is now known as Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants. Following [26], we denote by $N_{k,l}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ the counting invariants of dessins d'enfants, where $k, l, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and denote their generating function⁸ by

$$F^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar) = \sum_{k,l,m \geq 1} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m} \hbar^{\sum_i \lambda_i - (k+l+m)} N_{k,l}(\lambda) u^k v^l p_{\lambda_1} \cdots p_{\lambda_m}. \quad (80)$$

Let $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar) = \exp(F^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar))$, then according to Kazarian and Zograf [26], $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar)$ satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:

$$L_m^{\text{Gdd}} Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar) = 0, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where the operators L_m^{Gdd} , $m \geq 0$, are given by

$$L_m^{\text{Gdd}} = \delta_{m,0} \frac{uv}{\hbar^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} (p_k - \delta_{k,1})(k+m) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{k+m}} + (u+v)m \frac{\partial}{\partial p_m} + \hbar^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k(m-k) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial p_k \partial p_{m-k}}. \quad (81)$$

Conversely, $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar)$ is uniquely determined by the Virasoro constraints and the initial condition $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{0}; \hbar) = 1$. We refer readers to [23, 26, 44] for details of definitions and various applications of the theory.

In this section, we introduce a two-dimensional semisimple homogeneous CohFT Ω^{eGdd} calibrated by a certain S -matrix and ν -vector. We will prove that the descendent correlators of Ω^{eGdd} extend the counting invariants of dessins d'enfants and thus we call Ω^{eGdd} the CohFT of extended Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants.

5.1. Construction of CohFT Ω^{eGdd} . Consider a generalized Frobenius structure $(H, \eta, *_\tau)$, where H is a two-dimensional \mathbb{C} vector space spanned by $\{\phi_0, \phi_1\}$, η is a symmetric bilinear form on H defined by $\eta(\phi_a, \phi_b) = \delta_{a+b,1}$, $\tau = \tau^0 \phi_0 + \tau^1 \phi_1$ is a coordinate system of H , and the quantum product $*_\tau$ is determined by the potential

$$\Phi(\tau) = (\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2) \log\left(\frac{1}{1-\tau^0}\right) + \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{(\tau^1 + \epsilon_i)^2}{2} \left(\log\left(1 + \frac{\tau^1}{\epsilon_i}\right) - \frac{3}{2}\right).$$

Here $\epsilon_{1,2}$ are two parameters. It is straightforward to see

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0 *_\tau \phi_0 &= \frac{2\tau^1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{(1-\tau^0)^2} \phi_0 + \frac{2(\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2)}{(1-\tau^0)^3} \phi_1, \\ \phi_0 *_\tau \phi_1 &= \frac{2}{1-\tau^0} \phi_0 + \frac{2\tau^1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{(1-\tau^0)^2} \phi_1, \\ \phi_1 *_\tau \phi_1 &= \frac{2\tau^1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{(\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2)} \phi_0 + \frac{2}{1-\tau^0} \phi_1, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that $*_\tau$ is commutative and associative. By these explicit formulae, one can see the vector field of the unities of the quantum product $*_\tau$ is given by

$$\mathbf{1} = \frac{2(\tilde{\tau}^0)^2 \tilde{\tau}^1}{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2} \phi_0 + \frac{2\tilde{\tau}^0(\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2)}{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2} \phi_1,$$

where $\tilde{\tau}^0 = \tau^0 - 1$, $\tilde{\tau}^1 = \tau^1 + \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}$. Clearly, $\mathbf{1}$ is not flat.

⁸Here a parameter s used in [26] is taken to be 1, it can be recovered by taking $p_k \rightarrow s^k p_k$. Also, we add a parameter \hbar whose power traces the genus of the Riemann surface: $2g - 2 = \sum_i \lambda_i - (k + l + m)$.

By checking equations (26) and (25), we can see this generalized Frobenius manifold is homogeneous with the Euler vector field

$$E = (1 - \tau^0)\phi_0,$$

and its conformal dimension $\delta = 3$. Given the Euler vector field, one has the grading operator μ by (27), precisely, $\mu(\phi_a) = (\frac{1}{2} - a)\phi_a$, $a = 0, 1$.

Furthermore, this generalized Frobenius manifold is semisimple and the canonical coordinates are given by $u^{1,2} = u^\pm = \frac{1}{1-\tau^0}(2\tau^1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 \pm 2\sqrt{(\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2)})$. Let $\tilde{\Psi}_i^\alpha = \frac{\partial u^\alpha}{\partial \tau^i}$, then we have the canonical basis $e_\alpha = (\tilde{\Psi}^{-1})_\alpha^i \phi_i$ and the normalized canonical basis $\bar{e}_\alpha = \Delta_\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_\alpha$, where $\Delta_\alpha = \eta(e_\alpha, e_\alpha)^{-1}$. We introduce the Ψ -matrix: $\Psi := \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tilde{\Psi}$.

Given the semisimple homogeneous generalized Frobenius manifold, one constructs a semisimple homogeneous CohFT by the Givental–Teleman reconstruction theorem [20, 42]. Precisely, the R -matrix $R(z)$ is uniquely determined by the homogeneity equation (37), we have the following formula:

$$R^\tau(z) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (4i^2 - 1)}{m! 4^m (u^2 - u^1)^m} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2m\sqrt{-1} \\ (-1)^{m-1} 2m\sqrt{-1} & (-1)^m \end{pmatrix} \cdot z^m.$$

Similarly, the vacuum vector $\mathbf{v}^\tau(z)$ is uniquely determined by the formula (23) or by the homogeneity condition (32), and we have the following formula:

$$\mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{k+1+a}{2}} (-1)^i \frac{(2k+1-2i)!! (k+1+a)! (\tilde{\tau}^0)^{k+2-a} (2\tilde{\tau}^1)^{k+1+a-2i}}{2^{i+a} i! (k+1+a-2i)! (\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^{2k+2-2i}} \phi_a z^k.$$

By Theorem 1.8, this defines a shifted CohFT, and we denote it by $\Omega^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}$.

Given the CohFT, one defines the ancestor correlator $\langle - \rangle_{g,n}^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}$, the genus- g ancestor potential $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_g^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}(\mathbf{s})$ and the total ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ by equations (13), (14) and (15) respectively. One can also get $\mathcal{A}^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}(\mathbf{s}; \hbar)$ directly by formula (72).

5.2. S - and ν -calibration. Now we define the descendent potentials by choosing an S -matrix and a ν -vector. We first compute the S -matrix by solving the QDE (39) and by choosing the integration constants at each step (at the first step, we require $(S_1^\tau)_a^b = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^a \partial \tau^{1-b}}$, $a, b = 0, 1$). We choose the S -matrix to be the following one:

$$S^\tau(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i+2j=n} \frac{a_0(\tau)^i a_1(\tau)^j}{i! j! j!} & \sum_{i+2j=n-1} \frac{a_0(\tau)^i a_1(\tau)^j}{i! j! j!} (\log(\frac{a_1(\tau)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}) - 2H_j) \\ \sum_{i+2j=n+1} \frac{a_0(\tau)^i a_1(\tau)^j}{i! j! (j-1)!} & \sum_{i+2j=n} \frac{a_0(\tau)^i a_1(\tau)^j}{i! j! j!} (1 + j \log(\frac{a_1(\tau)}{\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2}) - 2jH_j) \end{pmatrix} \cdot z^{-n},$$

where $a_0(\tau) = \frac{2\tau^1 + \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{1 - \tau^0}$ and $a_1(\tau) = \frac{(\tau^1 + \epsilon_1)(\tau^1 + \epsilon_2)}{(1 - \tau^0)^2}$. Here the detailed process of the computations is omitted because it is rather complex to display. However, one can check that this $S^\tau(z)$ satisfy the QDE (39) and the symplectic condition (38) straightforwardly. Moreover, this S -matrix satisfies the homogeneity condition (55). Precisely, we have for $n \geq 1$,

$$(n + \mu_a - \mu_b)(S_n^\tau)_a^b = (\mathcal{E} S_{n-1}^\tau - S_{n-1}^\tau \rho)_a^b,$$

where $\rho \in \text{End}(H)$ is given by $\rho\phi_0 = 0$, $\rho\phi_1 = 2\phi_0$.

To compute the ν -vector, we assume $\nu^\tau(z)$ has form $\nu_1^\tau z^{-1} + \nu_2^\tau z^{-2} + \dots$, then by the QDE (40), we have firstly $\partial_{\tau^a} \nu_1^\tau = -\phi_a$. Take $\nu_1^\tau = -\tilde{\tau}$, we see the J -function defined

by (41) has form $J^\tau(-z) = \tilde{\tau} + (\nu_2^\tau + S_1^\tau \tilde{\tau}) \cdot (-z)^{-1} + \dots$. By requiring $\nu_2^\tau + S_1^\tau \tilde{\tau} = \frac{\partial \Phi(\tau)}{\partial \tau^a} \phi^a$, we get $\nu_2^\tau = \frac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2) \cdot (\log(1 + \frac{\tau^1}{\epsilon_1}) - \log(1 + \frac{\tau^1}{\epsilon_2})) \phi_0 - \frac{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2}{2(1 - \tau^0)} \phi_1$. For $k \geq 3$, ν_k^τ is determined by the homogeneity condition (53)

$$\mathcal{E} \nu_{k-1}^\tau = (k - \mu - \frac{\delta}{2}) \nu_k^\tau.$$

Notice that $k - \mu_a - \frac{\delta}{2} = k - 2 + a > 0$ for $k \geq 3$ and $a = 0, 1$, we get ν_k^τ from ν_{k-1}^τ for $k \geq 3$.

Given the S -matrix and the ν -vector, we have the J -function by equation (41). The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ is then defined by (45).

5.3. Virasoro constraints and their application. Similarly as the deformed negative r -spin theory, by the properties of $\Omega^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}$ and by directly applying the third part of Theorem 1, one gets the ancestor Virasoro constraints for $\mathcal{A}^{\text{eGdd}, \tau}$ immediately. Now we consider the descendent Virasoro constraints and deduce explicit formulae for Virasoro operators.

Proposition 5.1. *The total descendent potential $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \mathbf{t}; \hbar)$ satisfies the following Virasoro constraints:*

$$L_m^{\text{eGdd}} \mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(\mathbf{t}; \hbar) = 0, \quad m \geq 0,$$

where L_m^{eGdd} , $m \geq 0$, satisfy the commutation relation $[L_m^{\text{eGdd}}, L_n^{\text{eGdd}}] = (m - n)L_{m+n}^{\text{eGdd}}$, and have the following explicit formulae:

$$\begin{aligned} L_m^{\text{eGdd}} = & \delta_{m,0} \cdot \frac{(t_0^1 + \epsilon_1)(t_0^1 + \epsilon_2)}{\hbar^2} + \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(k+m+1)!}{k!} \tilde{t}_k^0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+m}^0} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(k+m)!}{(k-1)!} t_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+m}^1} \\ & + 2m! \tilde{t}_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{m-1}^0} + 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{(k+m)!}{(k-1)!(k+i)} t_k^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+m-1}^0} \\ & + \hbar^2 \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} k!(m-k)! \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_{k-1}^0 \partial t_{m-k-1}^0}. \end{aligned}$$

Here the shifts on the time variables are given by $\tilde{t}_k^a = t_k^a - \delta_{k,0} \delta_{a,0} + \delta_{k,0} \delta_{a,1} \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2}$.

Proof. The proof is similar as that for the Virasoro constraints of the negative r -spin theory and we have $L_m^{\text{eGdd}} = L_m + \frac{\delta_{m,0}}{\hbar^2} c_\nu$. To see the explicit formula of L_m^{eGdd} , we note firstly $\eta(\rho^{m+1} \tilde{t}_0, \tilde{t}_0) = 2\delta_{m,0} \cdot (\tilde{t}_0^1)^2$ and $c_\nu = \frac{1}{2} \eta(E, \nu_2^\tau) = -\frac{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2}{4}$. Then the explicit formula of L_m^{eGdd} follows from

$$D_{\rho, z}^{m+1} \phi_a z^k = (k+2-a)_{m+1} \cdot \phi_a z^{k+m+1} + 2\delta_{a,1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(k+1)_{m+1}}{(k+i)} \phi_0 z^{k+m},$$

where $(x)_{m+1} = (x)(x+1) \cdots (x+m)$ and for $-m-1 \leq k \leq -1$, the summation in the formula should be understood as $(-1)^{-k-1} (k+1+m)! (-k-1)!$. \square

The following Proposition is a direct consequence of the Virasoro constraints of $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}$:

Proposition 5.2. *Let Z^{Gdd} be the exponential of the generating function for the Grothendieck's dessins d'enfants (80), and let $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}$ be the total descendent potential of the calibrated CohFT introduced in §5.1 and §5.2. Then $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}$ extends the function Z^{Gdd} . Namely, when we take $t_k^1 = 0$ and $t_k^0 = k! p_{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}$, we have*

$$\mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{t}; \hbar) / \mathcal{D}^{\text{eGdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{0}; \hbar) = Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar).$$

Proof. Under the condition required in the Proposition, the Virasoro operator L_m^{eGdd} becomes L_m^{Gdd} defined by equation (81). The conclusion follows from the uniqueness of the solution $Z_m^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar)$ of the Virasoro constraints $L_m^{\text{Gdd}} Z_m^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{p}; \hbar) = 0$, $m \geq 0$, with initial condition $Z^{\text{Gdd}}(u, v, \mathbf{0}; \hbar) = 1$. \square

A. HOMOGENEITY CONDITIONS ON THE DESCENDENT SIDE

A.1. Existence of the homogeneous S -matrix. In this subsection, we prove the existence of the homogeneous S -matrix. To achieve this, we first do some preparations. Fix a homogeneous basis $\{\phi_a\}_{a=0}^{N-1}$ of the state space H , and denote $\mu(\phi_a) = \mu_a \phi_a$, we introduce two sets

$$I_1 := \{\mu_a | a = 0, \dots, N-1\}, \quad I_2 := \{\mu_a - \mu_b | a, b = 0, \dots, N-1\}.$$

For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$V_\alpha := \{v \in H | \mu(v) = \alpha \cdot v\},$$

and

$$M_\beta := \{A : H \rightarrow H | [\mu, A] = \beta \cdot A\}.$$

It is clear that $V_\alpha \leq H$ (resp. $M_\beta \leq \text{End}(H)$) and $V_\alpha = \{0\}$ (resp. $M_\beta = \{0\}$) unless $\alpha \in I_1$ (resp. $\beta \in I_2$). Furthermore, we have decomposition:

$$H = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I_1} V_\alpha, \quad \text{End}(H) = \bigoplus_{\beta \in I_2} M_\beta.$$

We introduce the notation

$$M_\beta^c := \bigoplus_{\beta' \in I_2 \setminus \{\beta\}} M_{\beta'}.$$

Lemma A.1. (1). If $A \in M_\beta$, then $A^* \in M_\beta$, where A^* is the adjoint of A with respect to η . (2). The endomorphism $(\text{ad}_\mu - \beta)|_{M_\beta^c} : M_\beta^c \rightarrow M_\beta^c$ is an automorphism of M_β^c .

Proof. The first part follows from $[\mu, A^*] = ([\mu, A])^*$ (because $\mu^* = -\mu$) and the second part is obvious since the endomorphism is injective. \square

Now we are ready to prove the existence of the homogeneous S -matrix:

Proposition A.2. For a homogeneous CohFT, there always exists an homogeneous S -matrix.

Proof. We first note that given an S -matrix $\tilde{S}^\tau(z)$ (i.e., a solution to the QDE (39) satisfying the symplectic condition), there is always an operator $\tilde{\rho}(z) \in \text{End}(H)[[z^{-1}]]$ such that equation (55) holds for $\tilde{S}^\tau(z)$ ($\tilde{\rho}(z)$ may not satisfy equation (56)). In fact, we can view equation (55) as the definition of the operator $\tilde{\rho}(z)$, more precisely,

$$\tilde{\rho}(z) := -z\mu + z\tilde{S}^{\tau,*}(-z)\mu\tilde{S}^\tau(z) + z\tilde{S}^{\tau,*}(-z) \cdot (z\partial_z + E)\tilde{S}^\tau(z). \quad (82)$$

Then by using equations (38), (39) and (26), it is straightforward to check that $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ does not depend on τ and satisfies $\tilde{\rho}^*(z) = \tilde{\rho}(-z)$.

Let $S^\tau(z) = \tilde{S}^\tau(z)A(z)$, where $A(z)$ is a constant matrix taking form $A(z) = \text{I} + A_1 z^{-1} + \dots$ and satisfies $A^*(-z)A(z) = \text{I}$, then $S^\tau(z)$ gives another S -matrix and we define $\rho(z)$ by $S^\tau(z)$ via equation (55). Our goal is to find a matrix $A(z)$ such that $\rho(z)$ satisfies equation (56).

By substituting $\tilde{S}^\tau(z) = S^\tau(z)A(z)^{-1}$ into equation (82), we have

$$z\partial_z A(z) = A(z)(\mu + \rho(z)/z) - (\mu + \tilde{\rho}(z)/z)A(z).$$

By taking expansion of $A(z)$, $\rho(z)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(z)$, this equation gives

$$-kA_k = A_k\mu - \mu A_k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (A_{k-1-i}\rho_i - \tilde{\rho}_i A_{k-1-i}). \quad (83)$$

For $k = 0$, the equation is trivial. For $k = 1$, the equation reads

$$\rho_0 = \tilde{\rho}_0 + ([\mu, A_1] - A_1).$$

By decomposition $\text{End}(H) = M_1 \oplus M_1^c$, we have $\tilde{\rho}_0 = \tilde{\rho}'_0 + \tilde{\rho}''_0$ where $\tilde{\rho}'_0 \in M_1$ and $\tilde{\rho}''_0 \in M_1^c$. Similarly, given an operator A_1 we can write $A_1 = A'_1 + A''_1$ where $A'_1 \in M_1$ and $A''_1 \in M_1^c$. By Lemma (A.1), there exist $A''_1 \in M_1^c$ (A'_1 can be arbitrary) such that $\tilde{\rho}''_0 + ([\mu, A''_1] - A''_1) = 0$, and we can take $\rho_0 = \tilde{\rho}'_0 \in M_1$. Now we consider $k = 2$ case of equation (83):

$$\rho_1 = \tilde{\rho}_1 - (A_1\rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}_0 A_1) + ([\mu, A_2] - 2A_2).$$

Similar as the $k = 1$ case, we can take a fixed $A''_2 \in M_2^c$ and an arbitrary $A'_2 \in M_2$ such that $\rho_1 \in M_2$. Going on the procedure, on each step we can choose a certain $A''_k \in M_k^c$ and an arbitrary $A'_k \in M_k$ such that $\rho_{k-1} \in M_k$. Finally we get an matrix $A(z)$ such that $\rho_i \in M_{i+1}$, $i \geq 0$. Equivalently, this means $\rho(z)$ satisfies equation (56).

To see we can require $A^*(-z)A(z) = \text{I}$, we note firstly the selected $A(z)$ satisfies

$$z\partial_z A^*(-z) = A^*(-z)(\mu + \tilde{\rho}(z)/z) - (\mu + \rho(z)/z)A^*(-z).$$

where we have used $\rho^*(-z) = \rho(z)$ and $\tilde{\rho}^*(-z) = \tilde{\rho}(z)$. Then we have

$$z\partial_z (A^*(-z)A(z)) = A^*(-z)A(z)(\mu + \rho(z)/z) - (\mu + \rho(z)/z)A^*(-z)A(z).$$

Denote $A^*(-z)A(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} B_k z^{-k} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (B'_k + B''_k) z^{-k}$, where $B'_k \in M_k$ and $B''_k \in M_k^c$, then

$$[\mu, B''_k] - kB''_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [B''_{k-1-i}, \rho_i] + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [B'_{k-1-i}, \rho_i].$$

From the recursion procedure, we can take $A(z)$ such that $B'_k = 0$ (since we have freedom to choose each A'_k), then we have

$$[\mu, B''_k] - kB''_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} [B''_{k-1-i}, \rho_i].$$

Start from $B''_0 = 0$ (because $B_0 = \text{I}$) and by the second part of Lemma A.1, this recursion tells us $B''_k = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$. \square

We introduce a sufficient condition that one can require $\rho(z) = \rho_0$.

Lemma A.3. *If for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and for each $A \in M_{k+1}$, there is an operator $A' \in M_k$ such that $A = [\rho_0, A']$, then we can take $\rho(z) = \rho_0$.*

Proof. Given a homogeneous S -matrix $\tilde{S}^\tau(z)$, let $S^\tau(z) = \tilde{S}^\tau(z)A(z)$, where $A(z)$ is a constant matrix taking form $A(z) = \text{I} + A_1 z^{-1} + \dots$ and satisfying $A^*(-z)A(z) = \text{I}$ and $z\partial_z A(z) = [A(z), \mu]$ (i.e., $A_k \in M_k$), then $S^\tau(z)$ gives another homogeneous S -matrix. We define $\tilde{\rho}(z)$ (resp. ρ) by $\tilde{S}^\tau(z)$ (resp. $S^\tau(z)$) via equation (82), then we have

$$z\partial_z A(z) = A(z)(\mu + \rho(z)/z) - (\mu + \tilde{\rho}(z)/z)A(z).$$

By taking expansion of $A(z)$, $\rho(z)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(z)$, we get for $k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (A_{k-1-i}\rho_i - \tilde{\rho}_i A_{k-1-i}) = 0.$$

For $k = 1$, the equation solves $\rho_0 = \tilde{\rho}_0$. In fact, the homogeneity condition (55) gives us $\mathcal{E} = S_1 + [S_1, \mu] + \rho_0$, restricted on the subspace M_1 , this determines ρ_0 by \mathcal{E} . For $k \geq 2$, the equation can be rewritten as follows:

$$\rho_{k-1} = \tilde{\rho}_{k-1} + A_1(\tilde{\rho}_{k-2} - \rho_{k-2}) + \cdots + A_{k-1}(\tilde{\rho}_0 - \rho_0) + [\tilde{\rho}_{k-1}, A_1] + \cdots + [\tilde{\rho}_0, A_{k-1}].$$

Suppose we have $\rho_i = \tilde{\rho}_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, k-2$, then we take $A_1 = \cdots = A_{k-2} = 0$, the equation becomes

$$\rho_{k-1} = \tilde{\rho}_{k-1} + [\rho_0, A_{k-1}].$$

If $[\rho_0, M_{k-1}] = M_k$, then there is an operator $\tilde{A}_{k-1} \in M_{k-1}$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_{k-1} + [\rho_0, \tilde{A}_{k-1}] = 0$. Take $A_{k-1} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{A}_{k-1} + (-1)^k \tilde{A}_{k-1}^*)$ and take A_l for $l \geq k$ such that $A^*(-z)A(z) = I$, one get $\rho_{k-1} = 0$. Repeat the procedure, if $[\rho_0, M_{k-1}] = M_k$ for each $k \geq 2$, then we can take $\rho_{k-1} = 0$ for $k \geq 2$, i.e., $\rho(z) = \rho_0$. \square

A.2. Some consequences of homogeneity conditions for J -function. In this subsection, we deduce some consequences of homogeneity conditions for J -function. Before showing explicit formulae, we note firstly the operator $\rho(z)$ is nilpotent. This can be proved as follows: equation (56) gives us

$$\mu \rho_i = \rho_i(\mu + i + 1), \quad i \geq 0. \quad (84)$$

This can be rewritten as $(\rho_i)_a^b(i + 1 + \mu_a - \mu_b) = 0$ for $a, b = 0, \dots, N-1$, where $(\rho_i)_a^b = \eta(\phi^b, \rho_i \phi_a)$. Since $|\mu_a - \mu_b| < \infty$, $\rho_i = 0$ for i big enough. Similarly, by using the commutation relation (84) repeatedly, one can prove $\rho_{i_1} \cdots \rho_{i_m} = 0$ for m big enough, especially, this proves $\rho(z)$ is nilpotent.

Now we prove equations (59)–(61) one by one. Firstly, denote $\mathbf{u}(z) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \mathbf{u}_j z^j$, the coefficient of z^{k+1} , $k \geq 0$, in equation (58) gives

$$(k + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{u}_k = - \sum_{i=0}^{n-k-1} \rho_i \mathbf{u}_{k+i+1}.$$

In particular, for $k = n$, we see $(n + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{u}_n = 0$. For $k = n-1$, we have

$$(n-1 + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})^2 \mathbf{u}_{n-1} = -(n-1 + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_n = -\rho_0 (n + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{u}_n = 0.$$

By induction on k from a bigger one to a smaller one and by using the commutation relation (84), one can prove $(k + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2})^{n+1-k} \mathbf{u}_k = 0$, $k = n, n-1, \dots, 0$. Notice the operator μ is diagonal under flat basis, we get $(z\partial_z + \mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{u}(z) = 0$ and $\rho(z) \mathbf{u}(z) \in H[z^{-1}]$. Secondly, by considering the coefficient of z^0 in equation (58), one has immediately equation (60). Lastly, consider the coefficient of z^{-1} in equation (58) and by the relation of $\Phi(\tau)$ with J -function: $J_{0,a}^\tau = \partial_{\tau^a} \Phi(\tau)$, we have

$$E J_{0,a}^\tau = (2 - \frac{\delta}{2} + \mu_a) J_{0,a}^\tau + \eta(\phi_a, \rho_0 \tilde{\tau}) - \sum_{i \geq 0} \eta(\phi_a, \rho_{i+1} \mathbf{u}_i),$$

By taking integration, we get equation (61).

B. GENUS-0 AND GENUS-1 ANCESTOR VIRASORO CONSTRAINTS

B.1. Genus-0 ancestor Virasoro constraints. In this subsection, we study the genus-0 ancestor Virasoro constraints $\mathcal{L}_{0,m}^\tau(\mathbf{s}) = 0$, $m \geq -1$, where we have precisely

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{0,m}^\tau(\mathbf{s}) &= \frac{1}{2}\eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1}s_0, s_0) + \langle\langle [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1}\bar{\psi}^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{s}}(\bar{\psi})]_+ \rangle\rangle_{0,1}^\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \langle\langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1} \rangle\rangle_{0,1}^\tau \langle\langle [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1}\phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+ \rangle\rangle_{0,1}^\tau. \end{aligned}$$

The following Lemma will be useful for us.

Lemma B.1. *We have the following formula:*

$$\partial_{\tau^i} D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} = [z^{-1} \cdot \phi_i^*, D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1}], \quad m \geq -1. \quad (85)$$

Proof. For $m = -1$, the equation is trivial; for $m = 0$, the equation is equivalent to equation (29); for $m \geq 0$, the equation follows immediately from the case for $m = 0$. \square

We would like to mention that the genus-0 ancestor Virasoro constraints are nothing but the Frobenius structure and the genus-0 tautological relations. Still we give a proof to display the equivalence.

Proof of the first part of Theorem 3.1. By considering the coefficients of $\prod_{i=1}^n s_{k_i}^{b_i}$, the genus-0 ancestor Virasoro equations are equivalent to the following equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}), \phi_{b_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{0,n+1}^\tau \\ &= \delta_{n,2} \delta_{k_1,0} \delta_{k_2,0} \eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1} \phi_{b_1}, \phi_{b_2}) + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1} \phi_{b_i}, \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i\}}} \rangle_{0,n}^\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+1}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

where the correlators with insertion $\phi_a \bar{\psi}^{-k}$, $k > 0$, are set to be 0. We call equation (86) the $(n; k_1, \dots, k_n)$ -case of the genus zero L_m^τ -constraint. By dimensional reason, these equations are trivial for $n \leq 1$ or $\sum_{i=1}^n k_i \geq n - 1$. For $n = 2$, the remaining equation to be proved is the one with $k_1 = k_2 = 0$, which is

$$\langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}), \phi_{b_1}, \phi_{b_2} \rangle_{0,3}^\tau = \eta(\mathcal{E}^{m+1} \phi_{b_1}, \phi_{b_2}).$$

One can prove it by noticing $D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}) = E^{m+1} + O(\bar{\psi})$.

We apply induction on n . Suppose the $(n; k_1, \dots, k_n)$ -case genus zero L_m^τ -constraint holds for a fixed integer $n \geq 2$ and arbitrary integers k_1, \dots, k_n . We take derivative with respect to $\tau^{b_{n+1}}$ on such equation. By using equations (85), (16) and (22), the derivative of the left-hand side of equation (86) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}), \phi_{b_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{0,n+2}^\tau - \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi_{b_{n+1}} \bar{\psi}^{-1}, \phi_{b_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}} \rangle_{0,n+1}^\tau \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}), \phi_{b_1} \bar{\psi}^{k_1}, \dots, \phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi_{b_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1}, \dots, \phi_{b_n} \bar{\psi}^{k_n} \rangle_{0,n+1}^\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

and the derivative of the right-hand side of equation (86) is

$$(I) + \sum_{i=1}^n (II)_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} ((III)_{I,J}^l + (III)_{I,J}^r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(I)} &= \delta_{n,2} \delta_{k_1,0} \delta_{k_2,0} (\langle \phi_{b_3}, \phi_{b_2}, D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi_{b_1} \bar{\psi}^{-1} \rangle_{0,3}^\tau + \langle \phi_{b_3}, \phi_{b_1}, D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi_{b_2} \bar{\psi}^{-1} \rangle_{0,3}^\tau), \\
\text{(II)}_i &= \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1} \phi_{b_i}, \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i\}}} \phi_{b_{n+1}} \rangle_{0,n+1}^\tau - \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-2} \phi_{b_{n+1}} \phi_{b_i}, \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i\}}} \rangle_{0,n}^\tau \\
&\quad - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n; j \neq i} \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1} \phi_{b_i}, \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i,j\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i,j\}}} \phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi_{b_j} \bar{\psi}^{k_j-1} \rangle_{0,n}^\tau, \\
\text{(III)}_{I,J}^l &= \langle \phi_{b_{n+1}}, \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+2}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau \\
&\quad - \langle \phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-2}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+1}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau \\
&\quad - \sum_{i \in I} \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_{I-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{I-\{i\}}} \phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi_{b_{k_i}} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1} \rangle_{0,|I|+1}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau, \\
\text{(III)}_{I,J}^r &= \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+2}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J}, \phi_{b_{n+1}} \rangle_{0,|J|+2}^\tau \\
&\quad - \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+1}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} (\phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi^a) \bar{\psi}^{-k-2}, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau \\
&\quad - \sum_{j \in J} \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0,|I|+1}^\tau \langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}, \phi_{b_{J-\{j\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{J-\{j\}}} \phi_{b_{n+1}} * \phi_{b_{k_j}} \bar{\psi}^{k_j-1} \rangle_{0,|J|+1}^\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

By applying the $(n; k_1, \dots, k_i - 1, \dots, k_n)$ -case genus zero L_m^τ -constraint on the second line of equation (87), and by some direct computations (note that the second lines of the expression for $\text{(III)}_{I,J}^l$ and $\text{(III)}_{I,J}^r$ cancel each other when taking summation over k with a factor $(-1)^k$), one gets the $(n+1; k_1, \dots, k_n, 0)$ -case genus zero L_m^τ -constraint. By the symmetry of insertions, the $(n+1; k_1, \dots, k_n, k_{n+1})$ -case genus zero L_m^τ -constraint holds if there is at least one of the k_i equals to 0. If all $k_i \geq 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} k_i \geq n+1 \geq n$. The equations also trivially hold due to the dimensional reason. Thus, by induction, all the $(n; k_1, \dots, k_n)$ -cases of the genus zero L_m^τ -constraints are proved. \square

B.2. Genus-1 ancestor Virasoro constraints. We have precisely

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{1,m}^\tau(\mathbf{s}) &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=m} \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^a(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \mathcal{E}^b(\mu - \frac{1}{2})) + \langle\langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \bar{\psi}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}(\bar{\psi}) \rangle\rangle_{1,1}^\tau \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \langle\langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+ \rangle\rangle_{0,2}^\tau \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \langle\langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1} \rangle\rangle_{0,1}^\tau \langle\langle [D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+ \rangle\rangle_{1,1}^\tau. \tag{88}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly as the genus-0 case, we would like to mention that the genus-1 ancestor Virasoro constraints can be deduced from the constraints at $\mathbf{s} = 0$ and the genus-1 tautological relations. Still we give a proof here.

Proof of the second part of Theorem 3.1. Notice that at $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{0}$, equation (88) reads

$$\langle D_{\mathcal{E},\bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \rangle_{1,1}^\tau = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a+b=m} \text{tr}(\mathcal{E}^a(\mu + \frac{1}{2}) \mathcal{E}^b(\mu - \frac{1}{2})).$$

For $m \geq 0$, notice that $D_{\mathcal{E},z}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = [\mathcal{E}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(z)]_{\leq 1} + \sum_{a+b=m} \mathcal{E}^a(\mu + \frac{3}{2}) \mathcal{E}^b \mathbf{1} z + O(z^2)$, and by QDE and the homogeneous condition of the vacuum vector,

$$\mathcal{E}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(z) = E^{m+1} - E^m * ((\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{1}) z + O(z^2).$$

By topological recursion relation, and by noticing $(\mu + \frac{\delta}{2}) \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} - \nabla_1 E$, we get equation (71).

Now we consider the coefficient of $\prod_{i=1}^n s_{k_i}^{b_i}$, $n \geq 1$, in equation (88), the equation reads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \phi_{b_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}}, D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \rangle_{1, n+1}^\tau \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i\}}}, [D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi_{b_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1}]_+ \rangle_{1, n}^\tau \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, [D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+ \rangle_{0, 2+n}^\tau \\
& \quad + \sum_{k=1}^m (-1)^k \sum_{I \sqcup J = [n]} \langle \phi_a \bar{\psi}^{k-1}, \phi_{b_I} \bar{\psi}^{k_I} \rangle_{0, |I|+1}^\tau \langle [D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}} \phi^a \bar{\psi}^{-k-1}]_+, \phi_{b_J} \bar{\psi}^{k_J} \rangle_{1, |J|+1}^\tau. \tag{89}
\end{aligned}$$

For the case with $k_i \geq 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, by dimensional reason, the equation becomes

$$\langle \phi_{b_{[n]}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]}}, D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \mathbf{v}^\tau(\bar{\psi}) \rangle_{1, n+1}^\tau = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \phi_{b_{[n]-\{i\}}} \bar{\psi}^{k_{[n]-\{i\}}}, [D_{\mathcal{E}, \bar{\psi}}^{m+1} \phi_{b_i} \bar{\psi}^{k_i-1}]_+ \rangle_{1, n}^\tau.$$

The only non-trivial cases are $k_i = 1$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ or there is a $k_j = 2$ and $k_i = 1$ for $i \neq j$. These equations can be proved by the following genus one topological recursion relations:

$$\langle \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}, \dots, \phi_{a_n} \bar{\psi} \rangle_{1, n}^\tau = \frac{(n-1)!}{24} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n} \langle \phi_{\sigma_1}, \phi_{a_1}, \phi^{\sigma_2} \rangle_{0, 3}^\tau \cdots \langle \phi_{\sigma_n}, \phi_{a_n}, \phi^{\sigma_1} \rangle_{0, 3}^\tau,$$

and

$$\langle \phi_{a_1} \bar{\psi}, \dots, \phi_{a_{n-1}} \bar{\psi}^2, \phi_{a_n} \rangle_{1, n}^\tau = \frac{(n-1)!}{24} \sum_{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n} \langle \phi_{\sigma_1}, \phi_{a_1}, \phi^{\sigma_2} \rangle_{0, 3}^\tau \cdots \langle \phi_{\sigma_n}, \phi_{a_n}, \phi^{\sigma_1} \rangle_{0, 3}^\tau.$$

If there is some $k_i = 0$, then by similar method as the proof of the first part of Theorem 3.1, equation (89) can be deduced from the ones with less insertions. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-join description of generalized Brézin-Gross-Witten model*. Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 22 (2018): 1347-1399.
- [2] A. Alexandrov, *Cut-and-join operators in cohomological field theory and topological recursion*. Selecta Mathematica 30 (2024): 1-45.
- [3] K. Behrend, and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*. Inventiones mathematicae 128 (1997): 45-88.
- [4] G. Belyi, *On Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field*. Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya 14 (1980): 247-256.
- [5] H.-L. Chang, S. Guo and J. Li, *BCOV's Feynman rule of quintic 3-folds*, arXiv:1810.00394.
- [6] N. Chidambaram, E. Garcia-Failde and A. Giacchetto, *Relations on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ and the negative r -spin Witten conjecture*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.15621 (2022).
- [7] A. Chiodo, *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of twisted curves and r th roots*. Compositio Mathematica 144 (2008): 1461-1496.
- [8] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde and E. Verlinde, *Loop equations and Virasoro constraints in non-perturbative two-dimensional quantum gravity*. Nuclear Physics B, 348 (1991): 435-456.
- [9] R. Dijkgraaf and E. Witten, *Mean field theory, topological field theory, and multi-matrix models*. Nuclear Physics B, 342 (1990): 486-522.
- [10] B. Dubrovin, *Geometry of 2D topological field theories*. Integrable systems and quantum groups (Montecatini Terme, 1993). Vol. 1620. Lecture Notes in Math. Springer, Berlin (1996): 120-348.
- [11] B. Dubrovin, *Painlevé transcendents in two-dimensional topological field theory*. The Painlevé property: one century later. New York, NY: Springer New York (1999) 287-412.
- [12] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, *Bihamiltonian hierarchies in 2D topological field theory at one-loop approximation*. Communications in mathematical physics, 198 (1998): 311-361.
- [13] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, *Frobenius manifolds and Virasoro constraints*. Selecta Mathematica, 5 (1999): 423-466.

- [14] B. Dubrovin and Y. Zhang, *Normal forms of hierarchies of integrable PDEs, Frobenius manifolds and Gromov–Witten invariants*. arXiv preprint math/0108160, (2001).
- [15] T. Eguchi, K. Hori and C. S. Xiong, *Quantum cohomology and Virasoro algebra*. Physics Letters B, 402 (1997): 71-80.
- [16] T. Eguchi, M. Jinzenji, C. S. Xiong, *Quantum cohomology and free-field representation*. Nuclear Physics B, 510 (1998): 608-622.
- [17] H. Fan, T. Jarvis and Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation and its virtual fundamental cycle*. arXiv preprint arXiv:0712.4025, (2007).
- [18] H. Fan, T. Jarvis and Y. Ruan, *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry, and quantum singularity theory*. Annals of Mathematics, 178 (2013): 1-106.
- [19] E. Getzler, *The Virasoro conjecture for Gromov–Witten invariants*. Contemporary Mathematics, 241 (1999): 147-176.
- [20] A. Givental, *Gromov–Witten invariants and quantization of quadratic Hamiltonians*. Moscow Mathematical Journal, 1 (2001): 551-568.
- [21] A. Givental, *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus*. International mathematics research notices, 2001 (2001):1265-1286.
- [22] A. Givental, *Symplectic geometry of Frobenius structures*. Frobenius Manifolds: Quantum Cohomology and Singularities, (2004): 91-112.
- [23] A. Grothendieck, *Esquisse d'un programme*. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series (1997): 5-48.
- [24] S. Guo, C. Ji and Q. Zhang, *A generalization of the Witten conjecture through spectral curve*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.12271 (2023).
- [25] W. He, and Y. Shen. *Virasoro constraints in quantum singularity theories*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2103.00313 (2021).
- [26] M. Kazarian and P. Zograf, *Virasoro constraints and topological recursion for Grothendieck's dessin counting*. Letters in Mathematical Physics 105 (2015): 1057-1084.
- [27] M. Kontsevich, *Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 147 (1992): 1-23.
- [28] M. Kontsevich and Y. Manin, *Gromov–Witten classes, quantum cohomology, and enumerative geometry*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 164 (1994): 525-562.
- [29] M. Kontsevich M and Y. Manin, *Relations between the correlators of the topological sigma-model coupled to gravity*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 196 (1998): 385-398.
- [30] Y. P. Lee and R. Pandharipande, *Frobenius manifolds, Gromov-Witten theory, and Virasoro constraints*. (2004).
- [31] J. Li and G. Tian, *Virtual moduli cycles and Gromov–Witten invariants of algebraic varieties*. Journal of the American Mathematical Society 11 (1998): 119-174.
- [32] Y. Lin, *Getzler relation and Virasoro conjecture for genus one*. Letters in Mathematical Physics 109 (2019): 2083-2132.
- [33] X. Liu, *Elliptic Gromov–Witten Invariants and Virasoro Conjecture*. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 216 (2001): 705-728.
- [34] X. Liu, *Quantum Product on the Big Phase Space and the Virasoro Conjecture*. Advances in Mathematics, 169 (2002): 313-375.
- [35] X. Liu and G. Tian, *Virasoro constraints for quantum cohomology*. Journal of Differential Geometry, 50 (1998): 537-590.
- [36] S. Liu, H. Qu and Y. Zhang, *Generalized Frobenius manifolds with non-flat unity and integrable hierarchies*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.00483 (2022).
- [37] Yu. Manin, *Frobenius manifolds, quantum cohomology, and moduli spaces*. Vol. 47. American Mathematical Soc (1999).
- [38] T. Milanov, *The Eynard Orantin recursion for the total ancestor potential*. Duke Mathematical Journal 163 (2014): 1795-1824.
- [39] P. Norbury, *A new cohomology class on the moduli space of curves*. Geometry & Topology 27 (2023): 2695-2761.

- [40] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, *Virasoro constraints for target curves*. Inventiones mathematicae 163 (2006): 47-108.
- [41] R. Pandharipande, A. Pixtion and D. Zvonkine, *Relations on $\overline{M}_{g,n}$ via 3-spin structures*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28.1 (2015), 279–309.
- [42] C. Teleman, *The structure of 2D semisimple field theories*. Inventiones mathematicae, 188 (2012): 525-588.
- [43] E. Witten, *Two-dimensional gravity and intersection theory on the moduli space*. Surveys in differential geometry, 1 (1990): 243-310.
- [44] J. Zhou, *Grothendieck's eessins d'enfants in a web of dualities*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10773 (2019).

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, 100871, CHINA

Email address: guoshuai@math.pku.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF SCIENCES, GREAT BAY UNIVERSITY, GREAT BAY INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY,
DONGGUAN, 523000, CHINA

Email address: zhangqingsheng@gbu.edu.cn