

SQUARE-FREE POWERS OF COHEN-MACAULAY SIMPLICIAL FORESTS

KANOY KUMAR DAS, AMIT ROY, AND KAMALESH SAHA

ABSTRACT. Let $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ denote the k^{th} square-free power of the facet ideal of a simplicial complex Δ in a polynomial ring R . Square-free powers are intimately related to the ‘Matching Theory’ and ‘Ordinary Powers’. In this article, we show that if Δ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, then $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all $k \geq 1$. This result is quite interesting since all ordinary powers of a graded radical ideal can never be Cohen-Macaulay unless it is a complete intersection. To prove the result, we introduce a new combinatorial notion called special leaf, and using this, we provide an explicit combinatorial formula of $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]})$ for all $k \geq 1$, where Δ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. As an application, we show that the normalized depth function of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest is nonincreasing.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical way to treat the class of square-free monomial ideals is by identifying them as a Stanley-Reisner ideal of simplicial complexes. This approach has been instrumental in bridging several areas of mathematics and produced many fascinating results. It was Faridi [9] who first treated square-free monomial ideals as a facet ideal of simplicial complexes, and introduced the notion of simplicial trees. In case of simple graphs, the class of trees are nothing but the one-dimensional simplicial trees. Over the years, many algebraic properties of edge ideals of trees have been extended to facet ideals of simplicial trees. For instance, Faridi [9] generalized the sliding depth property of edge ideals of trees proved by Simis-Vasconcelos-Villarreal [20] to the facet ideals of simplicial trees. Moreover, these ideals have normal and Cohen-Macaulay Rees rings.

A central theme in combinatorial commutative algebra is understanding how properties of graded ideals extend to their powers. Many algebraic invariants exhibit predictable asymptotic behavior. A classical example is the work of Herzog, Hibi, and Zheng [14], who extended the linearity of minimal free resolutions of edge ideals of simple graphs to all of their ordinary powers. If we consider the Cohen-Macaulay property of a square-free monomial ideal, it is known that all of its ordinary powers are Cohen-Macaulay only when the ideal is a complete intersection ideal. This situation becomes much more intricate if we consider other kind of powers, such as symbolic powers [17], or square-free powers [12]. This article primarily focuses on the square-free powers of facet ideals of simplicial forests.

Let $I \subseteq R = \mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a square-free monomial ideal, where \mathbb{K} is a field. The concept of square-free powers was introduced in [1] and later extended to all monomial ideals through matching powers [5]. The motivation of studying the square-free powers come from graph theory. The k^{th} square-free power of I , denoted by $I^{[k]}$, is the ideal of R generated by all square-free monomials of the ideal I^k . Note that $I^{[k]} = \langle 0 \rangle$ for $k \gg 0$. Let $\mathcal{G}(I)$ denote the unique minimal monomial generating set of a monomial ideal I . Then one can observe that $\mathcal{G}(I^{[k]})$ is the set of square-free monomials contained in $\mathcal{G}(I^k)$. In other words, the minimal generating set $\mathcal{G}(I^{[k]})$ of the k^{th} square-free power of I has a one-to-one correspondence with the set of all possible k -matchings of the underlying hypergraph.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 13H10, 13C15; Secondary: 13F55, 05E40.

Key words and phrases. Square-free powers, Cohen-Macaulay rings, simplicial forests, depth.

So far, numerous studies have been conducted in various directions on the square-free powers of edge ideals of graphs (see, for instance, [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 19]). However, to the best of our knowledge, the investigation of square-free powers of arbitrary square-free monomial ideals has been explored only in [15].

The primary goal of this article is to explore the Cohen–Macaulay property of square-free powers of facet ideals of simplicial forests. It is well-known that for any graded radical ideal I in a polynomial ring R , R/I^k is Cohen–Macaulay for all k if and only if I is a complete intersection (see for instance, [17, Page 2]). This naturally raises the question of whether the same holds true for square-free powers. Interestingly, this is not the case, as it has been shown in [4] that all square-free powers of the edge ideals of Cohen–Macaulay forests are also Cohen–Macaulay. Meanwhile, in [12], Ficarra and Moradi showed that among the classes of chordal, Cameron–Walker, and very well-covered graphs, the only graphs whose all square-free powers of edge ideals satisfy the Cohen–Macaulay property are Cohen–Macaulay forests and complete graphs. To the best of our knowledge, no such square-free monomial ideals, apart from the above-mentioned edge ideals of simple graphs, are known for which all square-free powers are Cohen–Macaulay. Identifying these classes of ideals remains a challenging problem. In this paper, we provide a broad class of such square-free monomial ideals, which are not necessarily equigenerated. In particular, as a main result of this paper, we show that all square-free powers of the facet ideal of a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest are Cohen–Macaulay.

To achieve our main result, we explicitly compute the (Krull) dimension and depth of $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ for a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest Δ . In this context, we introduce the notion of special leaves in a simplicial complex and show their existence in Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forests. Here we remark that the concept of special leaves might be useful in further studies of simplicial forests. The computation of $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]})$ intricately uses the combinatorial characterization of Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forests and the presence of a special leaf, along with the standard homological techniques. The numerical formula of the depth of $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ also helps us to analyze the behavior of the normalized depth function. The normalized depth function of square-free powers was introduced by Erey–Herzog–Hibi–Madani in [7], where they conjectured that this function is nonincreasing. Later, Fakhari disproved this conjecture in [18]. However, the conjecture is true for several classes of edge ideals (see, for instance, [3, 12]). It follows from our numerical formula for the depth that the conjecture holds affirmatively in the case of Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forests.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions and basic properties of a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest. The primary objective of this section is to introduce the concept of a special leaf in a simplicial complex. Further, we show the existence of such a special leaf in a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest (see Lemma 2.9). In Section 3, we first prove two technical lemmas Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3. Then, we establish the formula for the Krull dimension of the corresponding quotient rings of the square-free powers of a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest (see Theorem 3.4). Finally, we derive the depth formula as follows.

Theorem 3.5. *Let Δ be a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest on the vertex set $V(\Delta)$, and Δ contains exactly r -many leaves. Then*

$$\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1.$$

As an immediate application of the above theorem and the computation of dimension, we obtain the main result of the paper:

Corollary 3.6. *Let Δ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Then for all $k \geq 1$, $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ is also Cohen-Macaulay.*

Additionally, in Theorem 3.7, we show that the normalized depth function of square-free powers of the facet ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest is nonincreasing.

2. COHEN-MACAULAY SIMPLICIAL FORESTS AND SPECIAL LEAF

In this section, we begin by reviewing the definition of simplicial forests and the combinatorial criteria for their Cohen-Macaulay property. The main purpose of this section is to introduce a new combinatorial concept in a simplicial complex, called *special leaf*. Also, we show the existence of such a special leaf in a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, and this fact is one of the key ingredients in proving our main result in the subsequent section.

A *Simplicial Complex* Δ over a set of vertices $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a collection of subsets of V such that if $F \in \Delta$ and $G \subseteq F$, then $G \in \Delta$. An element of Δ is called a *face* of Δ , and the *dimension* of a face F of Δ , denoted by $\dim(F)$, is defined as $|F| - 1$, where $|F|$ is the number of vertices in F . The dimension of Δ , denoted by $\dim(\Delta)$, is given by $\max\{\dim(F) \mid F \in \Delta\}$. The maximal faces of Δ under inclusion are called the *facets* of Δ . We denote the set of all facets of Δ by $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. If $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$, then we simply write $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_m \rangle$. For each $F_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, the set $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_i) = \{F_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \mid F_j \cap F_i \neq \emptyset \text{ and } j \neq i\}$ is called the set of *neighbors* of F_i . The set $\mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_i] = \mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_i) \cup \{F_i\}$ is called the *closed neighborhood* of F_i in Δ . A *subcomplex* Δ' of Δ is a simplicial complex where $\mathcal{F}(\Delta') \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$.

2.1. Simplicial forests and their facet ideals. In [9], Faridi extended the notion of forests in graph theory to the realm of simplicial complexes and introduced the class of simplicial forests. A facet F of a simplicial complex Δ is called a *leaf* if either F is the only facet of Δ or there exists a facet $G \in \Delta$ such that $G \neq F$ and $F \cap G \supseteq F \cap H$ for all facets $H \neq F$ of Δ . Such a facet G is then called a *joint* of F in Δ . A vertex of a simplicial complex Δ is called a *free vertex* if it belongs to exactly one facet of Δ . Note that every leaf F of Δ contains a *free vertex*. A connected simplicial complex Δ is a *simplicial tree* if every nonempty subcomplex of Δ has a leaf. A *simplicial forest* is a simplicial complex whose every connected component is a simplicial tree. It directly follows from the definition that if Δ is a simplicial tree, then any subcomplex of Δ is a simplicial forest.

Let Δ be a simplicial complex with the set of facets $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. A subset M of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is called a *matching* of Δ if for any two distinct facets $F, F' \in M$, we have $F \cap F' = \emptyset$. The maximum cardinality of a set of matching in Δ is called the *matching number* of Δ , and is denoted by $\nu(\Delta)$. Let $V(\Delta) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, and R denote the polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, where \mathbb{K} is a field. For a subset of variables $S \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we will write \mathbf{x}_S to denote the monomial $\prod_{x_i \in S} x_i$. Then the *facet ideal* of Δ , denoted by $I(\Delta)$, is the ideal generated by monomials corresponding to each facet of Δ , i.e.,

$$I(\Delta) = \langle \mathbf{x}_F \mid F \text{ is a facet of } \Delta \rangle.$$

2.2. Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forests. The Cohen-Macaulay property of a simplicial forest is combinatorially characterized in terms of the notion of grafting in [10]. In fact, the procedure called grafting of a simplicial complex was first introduced by Faridi [10], which we describe below.

Definition 2.1. [10, Definition 7.1] A simplicial complex Δ is said to be a *grafting* of a simplicial complex $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ with the simplices F_1, \dots, F_r (or we say that Δ is *grafted*) if $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ with the following properties:

- (1) $V(\Delta') \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^r F_i$,
- (2) F_1, \dots, F_r are all the leaves of Δ ,
- (3) $\{F_1, \dots, F_r\} \cap \{G_1, \dots, G_s\} = \emptyset$,
- (4) For $i \neq j$, $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$,
- (5) If G_i is a joint of Δ , then $\Delta \setminus \langle G_i \rangle$ is also grafted. Equivalently, for each $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$, $\Delta \setminus \langle G_i \rangle$ is a grafted simplicial complex.

One of the main results in this direction is the following combinatorial classification of the Cohen-Macaulay property of the facet ideal of a simplicial tree.

Theorem 2.2. [10, Theorem 8.2, Corollary 7.8] *Let Δ be a simplicial tree and $I(\Delta)$ denotes its facet ideal in the polynomial ring R . Then $R/I(\Delta)$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if Δ is grafted.*

Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a grafting of the simplicial complex $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$. If for some $j \in [s]$ and $i \in [r]$, $G_j \subseteq F_i$, then we see that Δ can also be considered as a grafting of the simplicial complex $\Delta'' = \langle G_1, \dots, \widehat{G_j}, \dots, G_s \rangle$. Thus, without loss of generality, we may assume that $F_1, \dots, F_r, G_1, \dots, G_s$ are all the facets of Δ , i.e., $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \dots, F_r, G_1, \dots, G_s\}$. Such a presentation of Δ is said to be a *minimal presentation*, and it is unique up to a permutation of the indices.

Remark 2.3. From now onwards when we say that $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, we assume that Δ has the minimal presentation and Δ is a grafting of the simplicial forest $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ by the simplices F_1, \dots, F_r .

The notion of a good leaf was introduced in [2]. However, the existence of such a leaf in an arbitrary simplicial forest was first proved in [13].

Definition 2.4 (Good leaf). A facet F of a simplicial complex Δ is called a *good leaf* if F is a leaf of every subcomplex of Δ that contains the facet F .

The equivalent definition of a good leaf given below is well-known in the literature, but we include a short proof here for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 2.5. *Let Δ be a simplicial complex and $F \in \Delta$ be a leaf with $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F) = \{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$. Then F is a good leaf of Δ if and only if there is a permutation $\{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$ of the set $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $F \cap F_{j_1} \supseteq F \cap F_{j_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F \cap F_{j_m}$.*

Proof. The ‘only if’ part of the proof follows from the definition of good leaf. Conversely, without loss of generality, assume that $F \cap F_1 \supseteq F \cap F_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F \cap F_m$. Let Δ' be a subcomplex of Δ containing F . If $F \cap G = \emptyset$ for each $F \neq G \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$, then F is clearly a leaf of Δ' . Otherwise, take $l = \min\{i \mid F_i \in \Delta'\}$. Then we have $F \cap F_l \supseteq F \cap G$ for all $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$. Therefore, F is a leaf in Δ' . \square

For a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that each leaf is a good leaf.

Lemma 2.6. [10] *Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, F_i is a good leaf of Δ .*

2.3. Special leaf. While the presence of a good leaf in any simplicial forest is helpful in this context, calculating the depth of square-free powers of facet ideals of Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forests explicitly requires the introduction of a new concept called *special leaf*.

Definition 2.7 (Special leaf). Let Δ be a simplicial complex with the set of facets $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. A leaf F of Δ is said to be a *special leaf* if for each $G \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$,

$$(H \cap H') \setminus (F \cap G) \neq \emptyset \text{ if and only if } H \cap H' \neq \emptyset,$$

where $H, H' \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \setminus \{F\}$.




FIGURE 1. Simplicial forest and special leaf

Unlike a good leaf, a simplicial forest may not contain any special leaf. For instance, no leaf in the simplicial forest Δ_1 in Figure 1 is a special leaf. Indeed, $(F_1 \cap F_2) \setminus F_3 = \emptyset$, but $F_1 \cap F_2 \neq \emptyset$ in Δ_1 , where $F_1 = \{x, y_1, y_2\}$, $F_2 = \{x, y_3, y_4\}$ and $F_3 = \{x, y_5, y_6\}$. However, the simplicial tree Δ_2 in Figure 1 contains a special leaf. In fact, all the leaves $\{x_1, y_1\}$, $\{x_2, y_2\}$ and $\{x_3, y_3\}$ in Δ_2 are special leaves. One can observe that Δ_2 is a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial tree.

Remark 2.8. Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest with the set of leaves $\{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$. Since $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$, it is easy to see that a leaf F of Δ is a special leaf if and only if for each $\Gamma \in \{F, G_1, \dots, G_s\}$,

$$G_l \cap G_m \neq \emptyset \text{ implies } (G_l \cap G_m) \setminus (F \cap \Gamma) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $l, m \in [s]$ and $l \neq m$.

We conclude this section by showing the existence of a special leaf in a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest.

Lemma 2.9. *Let Δ be a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest. Then Δ contains at least one special leaf.*

Proof. Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen–Macaulay simplicial forest, and set $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$. Take any good leaf of Δ' , say $G_1 \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1] = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ for some $t \leq s$. Since G_1 is a good leaf, by Proposition 2.5, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $G_1 \cap G_2 \supseteq G_1 \cap G_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$. Now, take any leaf F of Δ such that $F \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$. We first observe that $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Indeed, if $F \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$ for some $j \in [s] \setminus \{1\}$, then since F is a good leaf of Δ , we have either $F \cap G_1 \supseteq F \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$, or $F \cap G_j \supseteq F \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$. Thus in any case, $G_1 \cap G_j \neq \emptyset$, which in turn, shows that $G_j \in \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$.

First, we consider the case when $F \not\supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$. We claim that, in this case, F is a special leaf. Note that, by Remark 2.8, it is enough to show that if $G_l \cap G_m \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct $l, m \in [s]$, then $(G_l \cap G_m) \setminus (F \cap G_i) \neq \emptyset$ as well as $(G_l \cap G_m) \setminus F \neq \emptyset$, where $i \in [s]$.

Case-I: Either $G_l \notin \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$, or $G_m \notin \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Without loss of generality, assume $G_l \notin \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Then we have $G_l \cap F = \emptyset$ since $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Hence, $(G_l \cap G_m) \cap F = \emptyset$, which in turn, implies that $(G_l \cap G_m) \setminus (F \cap G_i) \neq \emptyset$ as well as $(G_l \cap G_m) \setminus F \neq \emptyset$, where $i \in [s]$.

Case-II: $G_l, G_m \in \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Then since G_1 is a good leaf in Δ' , without loss of generality, we can assume that $G_1 \cap G_l \supseteq G_1 \cap G_m \supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$. Since $F \not\supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$, there is some $x \in G_1 \cap G_t$ such that $x \notin F$. Observe that $x \in G_l \cap G_m$, and $x \notin F$. This shows that $x \in (G_l \cap G_m) \setminus (F \cap G_i)$ as well as $x \in (G_l \cap G_m) \setminus F$, where $i \in [s]$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Finally, if $F \supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$, then take any other leaf $F' \neq F$ of Δ such that $F' \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$. Such a leaf F' exists since G_1 is a facet of Δ . In this case also, proceeding as before, we have $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F') \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{\Delta'}[G_1]$. Now since $F \cap F' = \emptyset$, we must have $F' \not\supseteq G_1 \cap G_t$. Then we can proceed in the similar way as in the previous case to show that F' is a special leaf of Δ . Thus Δ contains at least one special leaf. \square

3. SQUARE-FREE POWERS OF COHEN-MACAULAY SIMPLICIAL FORESTS

In this section, we explicitly derive the dimension and depth of square-free powers of the facet ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. As a consequence, we get our main result, which states that all the square-free powers of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest are also Cohen-Macaulay. Additionally, we prove that the normalized depth function of such an ideal is nonincreasing.

Before going to the main content of this section, we clarify some notations to avoid any confusion for the reader. For a square-free monomial ideal I , by $I^{[k]}$ we mean the k^{th} square-free power of I , whereas $i \in [k]$ means the element i is in the set $[k] := \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$.

We begin with the following lemma, which shows that for the square-free powers of the facet ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, taking colon by the monomial associated with a leaf behaves well. Here we assume that $I(\Delta)^{[k]} = R$ for each $k \leq 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, and F a leaf of Δ . Then for all $1 \leq k \leq \nu(\Delta)$,*

$$(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_F) = I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]},$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_1) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{N}_{\Delta}[F]$. Moreover, Δ_1 is also a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest.

Proof. The $k = 1$ case is easy to observe. Therefore, we may assume that $k \geq 2$. Without loss of generality, let $F = F_1$, and $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F_1) = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ for some $t \leq s$. Take a minimal generator $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}$ in $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$. Then $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ forms a k -matching in Δ . If $H_j = F_1$ for some $j \in [k]$, then $H_l \notin \mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F_1)$ for each $l \in [k] \setminus \{j\}$. Thus, in this case, we have

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}}{\gcd(\mathbf{x}_{F_1}, \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i})} = \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]}.$$

Thus we may assume that $H_j \neq F_1$ for each $j \in [k]$. Now suppose $H_j \notin \mathcal{N}_{\Delta}(F_1)$ for each $j \in [k]$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}}{\gcd(\mathbf{x}_{F_1}, \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i})} = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in I(\Delta_1)^{[k]} \subseteq I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]}.$$

Next, consider the case when $H_j \in \mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_1)$ for some $j \in [k]$. Observe that if $H_l \in \mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_1)$ for some $l \in [k]$ with $l \neq j$, then by Lemma 2.6, we have either $H_l \cap F_1 \subseteq H_j \cap F_1$ or $H_j \cap F_1 \subseteq H_l \cap F_1$. In particular, $H_l \cap H_j \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction to the fact that $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ forms a k -matching in Δ . Thus $H_l \notin \mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_1]$ for each $l \in [k] \setminus \{j\}$, and hence, $\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]}$. Consequently,

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}}{\gcd(\mathbf{x}_{F_1}, \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i})} = (\mathbf{x}_{H_j \setminus F_1}) \cdot \left(\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \right) \in I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]}.$$

Thus considering all the above cases, we have $(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1}) \subseteq I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]}$. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_1) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_1]$, we observe that if $H_1, \dots, H_{k-1} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_1)$ forms a $(k-1)$ -matching in Δ_1 , then H_1, \dots, H_{k-1}, F_1 forms a k -matching in Δ . Hence, $I(\Delta_1)^{[k-1]} \subseteq (I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1})$. This completes the proof of the first part of the lemma.

Now, it remains to show that the subcomplex $\Delta_1 = \langle F_2, F_3, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_{t+1}, G_{t+2}, \dots, G_s \rangle$ is also a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Since Δ_1 is a subcomplex of Δ , Δ_1 is again a simplicial forest. Thus, it is enough to show that Δ_1 is a grafted simplicial complex. By Lemma 2.6, as F_1 is a good leaf, without loss of generality, we can assume that $F_1 \cap G_1 \supseteq F_1 \cap G_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_1 \cap G_t$. Note that G_1 is a joint of F_1 , and hence, $\Delta \setminus \langle G_1 \rangle$ is also grafted. Now, G_2 is a joint of F in $\Delta \setminus \langle G_1 \rangle$ and then $\Delta \setminus \langle G_1, G_2 \rangle$ is grafted. Continuing in this way, we finally obtain that $\Delta \setminus \langle G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t \rangle$ is grafted. Observe that $\Delta \setminus \langle G_1, G_2, \dots, G_t \rangle = \langle F_1 \rangle \cup \Delta_1$, where $F_1 \cap V(\Delta_1) = \emptyset$. Thus Δ_1 is also grafted, and this completes the proof. \square

The notion of contraction of a simplicial complex is well-studied in the literature. We recall the definition and prove an auxiliary lemma below that will be essential in the computation of depth.

Definition 3.2 (Contraction). Let Δ be a simplicial complex with $\mathcal{F}(\Delta) = \{F_1, \dots, F_r\}$ and let $A \subseteq V(\Delta)$. Suppose $\mathcal{F}_A = \{F_i \setminus A \mid F_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \text{ and } F_i \setminus A \not\subseteq F_j \setminus A \text{ for each } j \neq i\}$. The simplicial complex Δ_A with $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_A) = \mathcal{F}_A$ is called the contraction of Δ on A .

Lemma 3.3. Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, and F_i a leaf of Δ . Take $A_{F_i} \subseteq \bigcap_{F_j \cap G_j \neq \emptyset} (F_i \cap G_j)$. Then $\Delta_{A_{F_i}}$ is also a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Moreover, the structure of $\Delta_{A_{F_i}}$ can be explicitly determined.

Proof. Since $R/I(\Delta)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_i}})) &\leq \dim(R/(I(\Delta) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_i}})) \\ &\leq \dim(R/I(\Delta)) \\ &= \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)) \\ &\leq \text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_i}})), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from [16, Corollary 1.3]. Thus $R/(I(\Delta) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_i}})$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. Observe that $I(\Delta_{A_{F_i}}) = (I(\Delta) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_i}})$. Thus $R/I(\Delta_{A_{F_i}})$ is Cohen-Macaulay. We now proceed to explicitly determine the structure of $\Delta_{A_{F_i}}$ and show that $\Delta_{A_{F_i}}$ is a grafting of some simplicial forest.

Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ with $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ and without loss of generality, we take $F_i = F_1$. If $A_{F_1} = \emptyset$, then $\Delta_{A_{F_1}} = \Delta$ and thus $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$ is a grafting of Δ' .

Therefore, we may assume that $A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset$. For simplicity of notation, let us write $A = A_{F_1}$. We now describe a procedure to find a minimal presentation of Δ_A as follows.

- Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_1) = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ for some $n \leq s$. Observe that $G_l \setminus A = G_l$ for each $l > n$, and $F_j \setminus A = F_j$ for each $j \neq 1$. Moreover, for each distinct $i, j \in [n]$, $G_i \setminus A \not\supseteq G_j \setminus A$. Furthermore, for any $i \in [n]$, $F_j \setminus A \not\subseteq G_i \setminus A$ and $G_l \setminus A \not\subseteq G_i \setminus A$, where $j \in [r]$ and $l > n$. Thus, $G_i \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ for each $i \in [n]$.
- Without loss of generality, let for each $n+1 \leq l \leq m$, $G_l = G_l \setminus A \supseteq G_i \setminus A$ for some $i \in [n]$; and for each $l > m$ and $i \in [n]$, $G_l = G_l \setminus A \not\supseteq G_i \setminus A$, where $m > n$ is an integer. In this case, we have $G_l = G_l \setminus A \notin \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ for each $n+1 \leq l \leq m$.
- Since $G_l \setminus A \not\supseteq F_i \setminus A$ for each $i \in [r]$, we see that $G_l \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ for each $l > m$.
- Observe that $F_1 \setminus A \not\supseteq G_i \setminus A$ for every $i \in [s]$, and hence, $F_1 \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$.
- Without loss of generality, let $2 \leq q \leq r$ be an integer such that for each $2 \leq t \leq q$, $F_t \setminus A \supseteq G_i \setminus A$ for some $i \in [n]$; and for each $t > q$ and $i \in [n]$, $F_t \setminus A \not\supseteq G_i \setminus A$. In this case, $F_t \setminus A \notin \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ for each $2 \leq t \leq q$.
- Moreover, since for each $j \in [r]$, $F_j \setminus A \not\supseteq G_l \setminus A = G_l$ for each $l > m$, we have that $F_t = F_t \setminus A \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ for each $t > q$.

Next, we proceed to show that for each $2 \leq t \leq q$, there exists a unique $i_t \in [n]$ such that $F_t \setminus A \supseteq G_{i_t} \setminus A$.

- Observe that $F_t \setminus A = F_t$ and if $F_t \supseteq G_{i_t} \setminus A$ and $F_t \supseteq G_{j_t} \setminus A$ for two distinct $i_t, j_t \in [n]$, then $F_t \cap G_{i_t} = G_{i_t} \setminus A$ and $F_t \cap G_{j_t} = G_{j_t} \setminus A$. Hence, by Lemma 2.6, either $G_{i_t} \setminus A \subseteq G_{j_t} \setminus A$ or $G_{j_t} \setminus A \subseteq G_{i_t} \setminus A$. Thus, either $G_{i_t} \subseteq G_{j_t}$ or $G_{j_t} \subseteq G_{i_t}$, a contradiction to the fact that $G_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ for each $i \in [n]$. Also, since $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for each $i \neq j$, we see that if for some $p \in [n]$, $G_p \setminus A \subseteq F_i$ and $G_p \setminus A \subseteq F_j$, then we must have $i = j$.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $F_t \setminus A \supseteq G_{t-1} \setminus A$ for each $2 \leq t \leq q$. Then there exists non-negative integers q, n and m such that $q \leq \min\{r-1, n\}$, $n \leq s$, $n < m \leq s$, and the minimal presentation of Δ_A is

$$\Delta_A = \langle F_1 \setminus A, G_1 \setminus A, \dots, G_{q-1} \setminus A, F_{q+1}, \dots, F_r, G_q \setminus A, \dots, G_n \setminus A, G_{m+1}, \dots, G_s \rangle. \quad (1)$$

Here we remark that if $A \subsetneq \bigcap_{F_1 \cap G_j \neq \emptyset} (F_1 \cap G_j)$, then no such q exists. For simplicity of notation, let us write $F'_i = F_i \setminus A$ and $G'_i = G_i \setminus A$ for all i . We now proceed to show that Δ_A is a grafted simplicial forest.

Claim 1: The simplicial complex $\Delta'_A = \langle G'_q, \dots, G'_n, G'_{m+1}, \dots, G'_s \rangle$ is a simplicial forest.

Proof of Claim 1: Let $\{G'_{j_1}, \dots, G'_{j_t}\} \subseteq \{G'_q, \dots, G'_n, G'_{m+1}, \dots, G'_s\}$ be an arbitrary collection of facets of Δ'_A . We have to show the subcomplex $\langle G'_{j_1}, \dots, G'_{j_t} \rangle$ of Δ'_A has a leaf. Consider the simplicial complex $\langle G_{j_1}, \dots, G_{j_t} \rangle$, which is a subcomplex of Δ' . Since Δ' is a simplicial forest, the subcomplex $\langle G_{j_1}, \dots, G_{j_t} \rangle$ has a leaf, say G_{j_1} . Then there exists $i \in \{2, \dots, t\}$ such that $G_{j_1} \cap G_{j_i} \supseteq G_{j_1} \cap G_{j_k}$ for all $k \in \{2, \dots, t\} \setminus \{i\}$. This implies $G'_{j_1} \cap G'_{j_i} \supseteq G'_{j_1} \cap G'_{j_k}$ for all $k \in \{2, \dots, t\} \setminus \{i\}$. Hence, G'_{j_1} is a leaf of the subcomplex $\langle G'_{j_1}, \dots, G'_{j_t} \rangle$ of Δ'_A , and consequently, Δ'_A is a simplicial forest.

Claim 2: Δ_A is a grafting of Δ'_A with the simplices $F'_1, G'_1, \dots, G'_{q-1}, F'_{q+1}, \dots, F'_r$.

Proof of Claim 2: In order to prove Claim 2, we verify conditions (1)–(5) in Definition 2.1. Since for any two leaves F_i and F_j of Δ , $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$, we observe that for each $a \in \{1, q+1, \dots, r\}$ and $b \in \{1, \dots, q-1\}$, $F'_a \cap G'_b = \emptyset$. Moreover, it is easy to see that for

each $i, j \in \{1, q+1, \dots, r\}$, $F'_i \cap F'_j = \emptyset$. Furthermore, for each $a, b \in [q-1]$, $G'_a \cap G'_b = \emptyset$ since $G'_a \subseteq F_{a+1}$ and $G'_b \subseteq F_{b+1}$. Thus the condition (4) in Definition 2.1 is verified. Next, for each $i \in \{1, q+1, \dots, r\}$, using Lemma 2.6, we obtain a chain of subsets

$$F_i \cap G_{i_1} \supseteq F_i \cap G_{i_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_i \cap G_{i_l},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_i) = \{G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_l}\}$. Consequently, there exists a subset $\{j_1, \dots, j_p\} \subseteq \{i_1, \dots, i_l\}$ such that

$$F'_i \cap G_{j'_1} \supseteq F'_i \cap G_{j'_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F'_i \cap G_{j'_p}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta_A}(F'_i) = \{G'_{j'_1}, \dots, G'_{j'_p}\}$. Thus for each $i \in \{1, q+1, \dots, r\}$, F'_i is a leaf of Δ_A . Now fix some $i \in [q-1]$ so that $F_{i+1} \supseteq G'_i$. Observe that if $G'_j \in \mathcal{N}_{\Delta_A}(G'_i)$, then $j \in \{q, \dots, n, m+1, \dots, s\}$ and $G_j \in \mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_{i+1})$. By Lemma 2.6 we again have a chain of subsets

$$F_{i+1} \cap G_{l_1} \supseteq F_{i+1} \cap G_{l_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F_{i+1} \cap G_{l_k},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_{i+1}) = \{G_{l_1}, \dots, G_{l_k}\}$, and $G_{l_a} = G_i$ for some $a \in [k]$. Consequently, we have the following chain of subsets

$$F'_{i+1} \cap G'_{l_1} \supseteq F'_{i+1} \cap G'_{l_2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq F'_{i+1} \cap G'_{l_k}. \quad (3)$$

Note that for each $1 \leq b \leq a$, $F_{i+1} \cap G_{l_b} \supseteq F_{i+1} \cap G_{l_a} = G'_{l_a}$, and thus $G'_{l_b} \supseteq G'_{l_a}$. Consequently, $G'_{l_b} \notin \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$ if $b < a$. In this case, intersecting G'_{l_a} with each member of the chain in Equation (3) we obtain

$$G'_{l_a} \cap G'_{l_{a+1}} \supseteq \dots \supseteq G'_{l_a} \cap G'_{l_k},$$

where $\mathcal{N}_{\Delta_A}(G'_{l_a}) \subseteq \{G'_{l_{a+1}}, \dots, G'_{l_k}\}$. Thus we see that G'_i is a leaf of Δ_A for each $i \in [q-1]$. Therefore, the condition (2) in Definition 2.1 is verified.

To verify the condition (1) in Definition 2.1, let us consider a vertex $x \in G'_j$, where $j \in \{q, \dots, n, m+1, \dots, s\}$. Then $x \in G_j$. If $x \in F_i$ for some $i \in \{1, q+1, \dots, r\}$, then it is easy to see that $x \in F'_i$. Now suppose $x \in F_i$ for some $i \in \{2, \dots, q\}$. Note that $F_i \cap G_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$, by construction. Thus, in this case, by Lemma 2.6, either $F_i \cap G_j \supseteq F_i \cap G_{i-1}$ or $F_i \cap G_j \subseteq F_i \cap G_{i-1}$. Observe that if $F_i \cap (G_j \setminus A) = F_i \cap G_j \supseteq F_i \cap G_{i-1} = G'_{i-1}$, then $G'_j \supseteq G'_{i-1}$, a contradiction to the fact that $G'_j \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_A)$. Thus we must have $F_i \cap G_j \subseteq F_i \cap G_{i-1} = G'_{i-1}$ and consequently, $x \in G'_{i-1}$. Thus condition (2) in Definition 2.1 is verified. Also, by the minimal representation of Δ_A in Equation (1), we see that condition (3) in Definition 2.1 is also verified.

To prove the condition (5) in Definition 2.1, first note that if Δ' contains exactly one facet G_1 , then it follows from the definition of grafting that $\Delta_A \setminus \langle G'_1 \rangle = (\Delta \setminus \langle G_1 \rangle)_A$ is a grafted simplicial complex. Now suppose Δ' contains more than one facet with $\mathcal{F}(\Delta') = \{G_1, \dots, G_s\}$, as before. Further, suppose for $i \in \{q, \dots, n\}$, we have $\{G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_p}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\Delta') \setminus \{G_i\}$ such that $G_i \setminus A \subseteq G_{i_j} \setminus A$, for each $j \in [p]$. Then for each $i \in \{q, \dots, n, m+1, \dots, s\}$, we have

$$\Delta_A \setminus \langle G'_i \rangle = \begin{cases} (\Delta \setminus \langle G_i \rangle)_A & \text{if } i \in \{m+1, \dots, s\}, \\ (\Delta \setminus \langle G_i, G_{i_1}, \dots, G_{i_p} \rangle)_A & \text{if } i \in \{q, \dots, n\}. \end{cases}$$

Here one can see that $A \subseteq \bigcap_{\substack{F_1 \cap G_j \neq \emptyset \\ j \neq i}} (F_1 \cap G_j)$. Thus using the fact that $\Delta \setminus \langle G_i \rangle$ is grafted for each $i \in [s]$, and by the induction on number of facets of Δ' , we have that $\Delta_A \setminus \langle G'_i \rangle$ is again a grafted simplicial forest. This completes the proof of Claim 2, and subsequently the proof of this lemma. \square

We now proceed to determine the Krull dimension of $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$, where Δ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest with vertex set $V(\Delta)$. Then for each $1 \leq k \leq \nu(\Delta)$,*

$$\dim(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1.$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $\text{ht}(I(\Delta)^{[k]}) = r - k + 1$. Let \mathfrak{p} be a minimal prime ideal containing $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$. Recall that, $V(\Delta) \subseteq \cup_{i=1}^r F_i$. If $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq r - k$, then there exist leaves F_{i_1}, \dots, F_{i_k} of Δ such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap F_{i_j} = \emptyset$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$. In this case $\prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{F_{i_j}} \in I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ but $\prod_{j=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{F_{i_j}} \notin \mathfrak{p}$, a contradiction. Hence $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq r - k + 1$ for any minimal prime ideal containing $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$. Now we proceed to construct a minimal prime ideal \mathfrak{q} containing $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ such that $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) = r - k + 1$. For each $i \in [r]$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \begin{cases} F_i & \text{if } F_i \cap G_j = \emptyset \text{ for all } j, \\ \cap_{F_i \cap G_j \neq \emptyset} (F_i \cap G_j) & \text{if } F_i \cap G_j \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have that $\mathcal{A}_i \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in [r]$. Choose some $x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$. Using the above description of the sets \mathcal{A}_i , we construct the prime ideal

$$\mathfrak{q} = \langle x_i \in \mathcal{A}_i \mid i \in [r - k + 1] \rangle.$$

Then $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{q}) = r - k + 1$ and thus it is enough to show that $I(\Delta)^{[k]} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Let $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in \mathcal{G}(I(\Delta)^{[k]})$. Then for each $i \in [k]$ there exists a leaf F_{t_i} of Δ such that $H_i \cap F_{t_i} \neq \emptyset$. We proceed to show that $F_{t_i} \neq F_{t_j}$ for each $i \neq j$. Observe that if H_i is a leaf of Δ for some i , then there is nothing to show since H_i, H_j forms a matching in Δ . Now suppose $H_i = G_{t_i}$ and $H_j = G_{t_j}$ so that $G_{t_i} \cap F_{t_i} \neq \emptyset$ and $G_{t_j} \cap F_{t_j} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, we assume $F_{t_i} = F_{t_j}$. In this case by Lemma 2.6, $G_{t_i} \cap G_{t_j} \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction. Thus $F_{t_i} \neq F_{t_j}$ for each $i \neq j$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}_{t_i} \subseteq H_i \cap F_{t_i}$, and in particular, $x_i \in H_i \cap F_{t_i}$ for each $i \in [k]$. Thus $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in \mathfrak{q}$ and this completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove one of the main results of this article, where we establish the combinatorial formula for the depth of the k^{th} square-free powers of the facet ideal of a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest with the vertex set $V(\Delta)$. Let $R = \mathbb{K}[x_i \mid x_i \in V(\Delta)]$ be the polynomial ring over the field \mathbb{K} . Then, for each $1 \leq k \leq \nu(\Delta)$,*

- (i) $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$,
- (ii) $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_F \rangle) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$, where F is a special leaf of Δ .

Proof. We prove (i) and (ii) simultaneously by induction on $|V(\Delta)|$. If $|V(\Delta)| \leq 2$, then it is easy to verify (i) and (ii). Therefore, we may assume that $|V(\Delta)| \geq 3$. In this case, if $k = 1$, then $I(\Delta)^{[1]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_F \rangle = I(\Delta)$ for any special leaf F of Δ , and thus by Theorem 3.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[1]}) &= \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[1]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_F \rangle) \\ &= \dim(R/I(\Delta)) \\ &= |V(\Delta)| - r. \end{aligned}$$

In view of this, from now onwards, we assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $\Delta = \langle F_1, \dots, F_r \rangle \cup \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ with $\Delta' = \langle G_1, \dots, G_s \rangle$ and Δ is a grafting of Δ' . By Lemma 2.9, Δ contains a special leaf. Without loss of generality, let F_1 be a special leaf of Δ .

Claim : $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) \geq |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$.

Proof of Claim: First, we consider the case when $F_1 \cap G_i = \emptyset$ for each $i \in [s]$. In this case, $I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle = I(\Delta_1)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_1) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \setminus \{F_1\}$. It is easy to see that Δ_1 is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Therefore, using the induction hypothesis on $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) &= |V(\Delta_1)| - (r - 1) + k - 1 + |F_1| - 1 \\ &= |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose $F_1 \cap G_i \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in [s]$. Without loss of generality, let $\mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_1) = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ and let $A_{F_1} = \bigcap_{F_1 \cap G_j \neq \emptyset} (F_1 \cap G_j)$. By Lemma 2.6, $A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 3.3, $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. For the rest of the proof we follow the notations introduced as in Lemma 3.3. Thus there exists non-negative integers q, n and m such that $q \leq \min\{r - 1, n\}$, $n \leq s$, $n < m \leq s$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A_{F_1}} &= \langle F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, G_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, \dots, G_{q-1} \setminus A_{F_1}, F_{q+1}, \dots, F_r \rangle \\ &\quad \langle G_q \setminus A_{F_1}, \dots, G_n \setminus A_{F_1}, G_{m+1}, \dots, G_s \rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\{F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, G_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, \dots, G_{q-1} \setminus A_{F_1}, F_{q+1}, \dots, F_r\}$ are all the leaves of $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$.

Subclaim 1: $(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} = I(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}} \rangle$.

Proof of Subclaim 1: Let $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} \in \mathcal{G}(I(\Delta)^{[k]})$ such that $\mathbf{x}_{F_1} \nmid \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}$. Then $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ is a k -matching of Δ and $F_1 \neq H_i$ for each $i \in [k]$. Note that, if $H_i \cap A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset$, then $H_i \in \mathcal{N}_\Delta(F_1)$. Thus $|\{i \mid H_i \cap A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset\}| \leq 1$. Hence

$$\frac{\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i}}{\text{gcd}(\mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}}, \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i})} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_{H_j \setminus A_{F_1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1, i \neq j}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} & \text{if } H_j \cap A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j \in [k], \\ \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} & \text{if } H_i \cap A_{F_1} = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \in [k]. \end{cases}$$

One can observe from Equation (4) that if $H_i \cap A_{F_1} = \emptyset$, then either $H_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})$ or there exists some $H \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})$ such that $H \subseteq H_i$. Moreover, if $H_i \cap A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset$, then $H_i \setminus A_{F_1} \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})$. Thus using the fact that $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ forms a k -matching in Δ , we obtain $(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \subseteq I(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1 \setminus A} \rangle$.

Let $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i \setminus A_{F_1}} \in \mathcal{G}(I(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})^{[k]})$ such that $\mathbf{x}_{F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}} \nmid \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i \setminus A}$. In that case $H_i \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ and $H_i \neq F_1$ for each $i \in [k]$. Also, since F_1 is a special leaf of Δ , we have $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ for each distinct $i, j \in [k]$. Thus $\{H_1, \dots, H_k\}$ forms a k -matching in Δ . Since $|\{i \mid H_i \cap A_{F_1}\}| \leq 1$ we see that

$$\mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i \setminus A_{F_1}} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} & \text{if } H_j \cap A_{F_1} \neq \emptyset \text{ for some } j \in [k], \\ \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i} & \text{if } H_i \cap A_{F_1} = \emptyset \text{ for all } i \in [k]. \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbf{x}_{H_i \setminus A_{F_1}} \in I(\Delta)^{[k]}$. This completes the proof of Subclaim 1.

Subclaim 2: $F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}$ is a special leaf of the Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$.

Proof of Subclaim 2: By Lemma 3.3, $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, and $\{F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, G_1 \setminus A_{F_1}, \dots, G_{q-1} \setminus A_{F_1}, F_{q+1}, \dots, F_r\}$ is the set of all the leaves of $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$. Moreover, for each $i \in \{q, \dots, n, m + 1, \dots, s\}$, $(F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}) \cap (G_i \setminus A_{F_1}) = (F_1 \cap G_i) \setminus A_{F_1}$. Thus, by Remark 2.8, it is enough to show that for each $i \in \{q, \dots, n, m + 1, \dots, s\}$, $(G_{t_1} \setminus A_{F_1}) \cap (G_{t_2} \setminus A_{F_1}) \neq \emptyset$ implies $((G_{t_1} \setminus A_{F_1}) \cap (G_{t_2} \setminus A_{F_1})) \setminus ((F_1 \cap G_i) \setminus A_{F_1}) \neq \emptyset$, where

$t_1, t_2 \in \{q, \dots, n, m+1, \dots, s\}$ and $t_1 \neq t_2$. Now suppose $(G_{t_1} \setminus A_{F_1}) \cap (G_{t_2} \setminus A_{F_1}) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $G_{t_1} \cap G_{t_2} \neq \emptyset$. Since F_1 is a special leaf we have that $(G_{t_1} \cap G_{t_2}) \setminus (F_1 \cap G_i) \neq \emptyset$. In other words, $((G_{t_1} \cap G_{t_2}) \setminus A_{F_1}) \setminus ((F_1 \cap G_i) \setminus A_{F_1}) \neq \emptyset$. Thus $((G_{t_1} \setminus A_{F_1}) \cap (G_{t_2} \setminus A_{F_1})) \setminus ((F_1 \cap G_i) \setminus A_{F_1}) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, we can conclude that $F_1 \setminus A_{F_1}$ is a special leaf of $\Delta_{A_{F_1}}$.

By the induction hypothesis, Subclaim 1, Subclaim 2, and Lemma 3.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/((I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}})) &\geq |V(\Delta_{A_{F_1}})| - r + k - 1 + |A_{F_1}| \\ &= |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Next, we have $(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \rangle) = I(\Delta_2)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \rangle$, where $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_2) = (\mathcal{F}(\Delta) \cup \{A_{F_1}\}) \setminus \mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_1]$. Note that for each $H \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta_2) \cap \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$, we have $H \cap A_{F_1} = \emptyset$. Thus one can see that A_{F_1} is a special leaf of Δ_2 . Moreover, since Δ is Cohen-Macaulay, $\Delta \setminus \mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_1]$ is also Cohen-Macaulay. Hence Δ_2 is also a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \rangle)) &\geq |V(\Delta_2)| - r + k - 1 + |V(\Delta)| - |V(\Delta_2)| \\ &= |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Now, we consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R/(J : \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}}) \rightarrow R/J \rightarrow R/(J + \langle \mathbf{x}_{A_{F_1}} \rangle) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $J = I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle$. Then, by the Depth Lemma [21, Lemma 2.3.9], Equation (5) and (6), we obtain $\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle)) \geq |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$. This completes the proof of the Claim.

Again, observe that

$$\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle)) \leq \dim(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle)) \leq \dim(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}).$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.4, $\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle)) \leq |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$. Consequently,

$$\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle)) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1. \quad (7)$$

This completes the proof of (i).

Next, using Lemma 3.1, we have $(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1}) = I(\Delta_3)^{[k-1]}$, where $\mathcal{F}(\Delta_3) = \mathcal{F}(\Delta) \setminus \mathcal{N}_\Delta[F_1]$, and Δ_3 is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, $\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1})) = |V(\Delta_3)| - (r-1) + (k-1) - 1 + |F_1|$. Note that $|V(\Delta_3)| = |V(\Delta)| - |F_1|$. Therefore, we have

$$\text{depth}(R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1})) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1. \quad (8)$$

Now, let us consider the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} : \mathbf{x}_{F_1}) \rightarrow R/I(\Delta)^{[k]} \rightarrow R/(I(\Delta)^{[k]} + \langle \mathbf{x}_{F_1} \rangle) \rightarrow 0.$$

Again, using the Depth Lemma, Equation (7) and (8), we get $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) \geq |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$. Finally, since $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) \leq \dim(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]})$, we conclude in view of Theorem 3.4 that $\text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) = |V(\Delta)| - r + k - 1$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we achieve our primary goal of the paper:

Corollary 3.6. *Let Δ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Then for all $k \geq 1$, $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ is also Cohen-Macaulay.*

Proof. If $1 \leq k \leq \nu(\Delta)$, then by Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we have that $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. If $k > \nu(\Delta)$, then $I(\Delta)^{[k]}$ is the zero ideal, and hence, $R/I(\Delta)^{[k]} = R$ is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

In the rest of this section, we deal with the normalized depth function of square-free monomial ideals. Let $I \subseteq R$ be a square-free monomial ideal, and assume that R is the smallest polynomial ring where $\mathcal{G}(I) \subseteq R$. Let d_k denote the minimum degree of a monomial belonging to $\mathcal{G}(I^{[k]})$. The depth function of square-free powers of square-free monomial ideals was first considered in [7] (see also [11]). In [7], the authors showed that for any $k \geq 0$, if $I^{[k]} \neq 0$, then one always have $\text{depth}(R/I^{[k]}) \geq d_k - 1$. Thus, it makes sense to define the *normalized depth function* of I in the following way:

$$g_I(k) = \text{depth}(R/I^{[k]}) - (d_k - 1), \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq \nu(I).$$

It was conjectured in [7] that the normalized depth function $g_I(k)$ of any square-free monomial ideal I is a nonincreasing function. However, in [18], Fakhari showed that for the monomial ideal $I = \langle x_1x_3x_{i+4}, x_1x_4x_5, x_2x_3x_4, x_2x_3x_6 \mid i \in [n - 4] \rangle$, $g_I(2) - g_I(1)$ can be arbitrarily large. Note that I is not the facet ideal of a simplicial forest. Indeed, it is easy to see that the subcollection $\{\{x_1, x_3, x_5\}, \{x_1, x_4, x_5\}, \{x_2, x_3, x_4\}\}$ does not contain any leaf. In the following theorem, we show that when Δ is a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest, the normalized depth function $g_{I(\Delta)}(k)$ is nonincreasing.

Theorem 3.7. *Let Δ be a Cohen-Macaulay simplicial forest. Then the normalized depth function $g_{I(\Delta)}(k)$ is nonincreasing.*

Proof. Note that $g_{I(\Delta)}(k) - g_{I(\Delta)}(k+1) = \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k]}) - \text{depth}(R/I(\Delta)^{[k+1]}) + d_{k+1} - d_k$. Since $d_{k+1} - d_k \geq 1$, using Theorem 3.5 we obtain $g_{I(\Delta)}(k) - g_{I(\Delta)}(k+1) \geq 0$, and thus $g_{I(\Delta)}(k)$ is a nonincreasing function. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank Francesco Navarra and Ayesha Asloob Qureshi for carefully going through the first draft of this paper. Das and Roy are supported by Postdoctoral Fellowships at Chennai Mathematical Institute. Saha would like to thank the National Board for Higher Mathematics (India) for the financial support through the NBHM Postdoctoral Fellowship. All the authors are partially supported by a grant from the Infosys Foundation.

Data availability statement. Data sharing does not apply to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Conflict of interest. The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bigdeli, J. Herzog, and R. Zaare-Nahandi. On the index of powers of edge ideals. *Comm. Algebra*, 46(3):1080–1095, 2018. [1](#)
- [2] M. Caboara, S. Faridi, and P. Selinger. Simplicial cycles and the computation of simplicial trees. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 42(1-2):74 – 88, 2007. [4](#)
- [3] M. Crupi, A. Ficarra, and E. Lax. Matchings, square-free powers and Betti splittings. *arXiv:2304.00255*, 2023. [2](#)

- [4] K. K. Das, A. Roy, and K. Saha. Square-free powers of cohen-macaulay forests, cycles, and whiskered cycles. *2409.06021*, 2024. [2](#)
- [5] N. Erey and A. Ficarra. Matching powers of monomial ideals and edge ideals of weighted oriented graphs. *J. Alg. and Appl.*, Online Ready:10.1142/S0219498826501185, 2025. [1](#)
- [6] N. Erey, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and S. Saeedi Madani. Matchings and squarefree powers of edge ideals. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 188:Paper No. 105585, 24, 2022. [2](#)
- [7] N. Erey, J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and S. Saeedi Madani. The normalized depth function of squarefree powers. *Collect. Math.*, 75(2):409–423, 2024. [2](#), [13](#)
- [8] N. Erey and T. Hibi. Squarefree powers of edge ideals of forests. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 28(2):Paper No. 2.32, 16, 2021. [2](#)
- [9] S. Faridi. The facet ideal of a simplicial complex. *Manuscripta Math.*, 109(2):159–174, 2002. [1](#), [3](#)
- [10] S. Faridi. Cohen-Macaulay properties of square-free monomial ideals. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory. Series A*, 109(2):299–329, 2005. [3](#), [4](#)
- [11] A. Ficarra, J. Herzog, and T. Hibi. Behaviour of the normalized depth function. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 30(2):Paper No. 2.31, 16, 2023. [2](#), [13](#)
- [12] A. Ficarra and S. Moradi. Monomial ideals whose all matching powers are Cohen-Macaulay. *arXiv:2410.01666*, 2024. [1](#), [2](#)
- [13] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, N. Trung, and X. Zheng. Standard graded vertex cover algebras, cycles and leaves. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, 360(12):6231 – 6249, 2008. [4](#)
- [14] J. Herzog, T. Hibi, and X. Zheng. Monomial ideals whose powers have a linear resolution. *Math. Scand.*, 95(1):23–32, 2004. [1](#)
- [15] E. Kamberi, F. Navarra, and A. A. Qureshi. On squarefree powers of simplicial trees. *arXiv:2406.13670*, 2024. [2](#)
- [16] A. Rauf. Depth and Stanley depth of multigraded modules. *Comm. Algebra*, 38(2):773–784, 2010. [7](#)
- [17] G. Rinaldo, N. Terai, and K.-i. Yoshida. Cohen-Macaulayness for symbolic power ideals of edge ideals. *J. Algebra*, 347:1–22, 2011. [1](#), [2](#)
- [18] S. A. Seyed Fakhari. An increasing normalized depth function. *Journal of Commutative Algebra*, 16(4):497 – 499, 2024. [2](#), [13](#)
- [19] S. A. Seyed Fakhari. On the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of squarefree powers of edge ideals. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 228(3):Paper No. 107488, 12, 2024. [2](#)
- [20] A. Simis, W. V. Vasconcelos, and R. H. Villarreal. On the ideal theory of graphs. *J. Algebra*, 167(2):389–416, 1994. [1](#)
- [21] R. H. Villarreal. *Monomial algebras*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, second edition, 2015. [12](#)

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, INDIA

Email address: kanoydas@cmi.ac.in; kanoydas0296@gmail.com

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, INDIA

Email address: amitiisermohali493@gmail.com

CHENNAI MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, INDIA

Email address: ksaha@cmi.ac.in; kamalesh.saha44@gmail.com