

# Primordial black holes as cosmic expansion accelerators

Konstantinos Dialektopoulos,<sup>1,\*</sup> Theodoros Papanikolaou,<sup>2,3,†</sup> and Vasilios Zariakas<sup>4,‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science,  
Transilvania University of Brasov, 500091, Brasov, Romania*

<sup>2</sup>*Scuola Superiore Meridionale, Largo San Marcellino 10, 80138 Napoli, Italy*

<sup>3</sup>*Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN), Sezione di Napoli, Via Cinthia 21, 80126 Napoli, Italy*

<sup>4</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of Thessaly, 35100, Lamia, Greece*

We propose a novel and natural mechanism for cosmic acceleration driven by primordial black holes (PBHs) exhibiting repulsive behavior. Using a new “Swiss Cheese” cosmological approach, we demonstrate that this cosmic acceleration mechanism is a general phenomenon by examining three regular black hole spacetimes - namely the Hayward, Bardeen and Dymnikova spacetimes - as well as the singular de Sitter-Schwarzschild spacetime. Interestingly, by matching these black hole spacetimes with an isotropic and homogeneous expanding Universe, we obtain a phase of cosmic acceleration that ends at an energy scale characteristic to the black hole parameters or due to black hole evaporation. This cosmic acceleration mechanism can be relevant either to an inflationary phase with a graceful exit and reheating or to an early dark energy type of contribution pertinent to the Hubble tension. Remarkably, we find that ultra-light PBHs with masses  $m < 5 \times 10^8 \text{g}$  dominating the energy content of the Universe before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis, can drive a successful inflationary expansion era without the use of an inflaton field. Additionally, PBHs with masses  $m \sim 10^{12} \text{g}$  and abundances  $0.107 < \Omega_{\text{PBH}}^{\text{eq}} < 0.5$ , slightly before matter-radiation equality, can produce a substantial amount of early dark energy, helping to alleviate the  $H_0$  tension.

**Introduction**— Primordial black holes (PBHs), were firstly introduced in ‘70s [1–4], leading to the famous Hawking discovery of black hole evaporation [5]. Among numerous PBH formation mechanisms one can mention indicatively the collapse of enhanced primordial cosmological perturbations [2, 6, 7] [See [8–10] for inflationary realizations], cosmological phase transitions [11–13], scalar field instabilities [14], topological defects [15, 16] and modified/quantum gravity constructions [17–19].

Interestingly, these objects have recently captured the attention of the scientific community since they can potentially account for a part or the totality of dark matter [20, 21], providing us with a natural explanation for the formation of large-scale structures [22, 23]. Furthermore, PBHs can interpret quite well some of the black hole merging events recently detected by the LIGO/VIRGO collaboration [24, 25], playing also a significant role in the processes of reheating [26], baryogenesis [27–30] and cosmic magnetic field generation [31–33]. For recent reviews on PBHs, the interested reader can see [34, 35].

To the best of our knowledge, most research on PBHs assumes Schwarzschild or Kerr spacetimes for the PBH metric [35–39]. In this Letter, however, we explore PBHs with repulsive behaviour, which, in most cases, imply that they are regular [40]. These classes of PBHs spacetimes are particularly interesting from a theoretical perspective, as they offer a potential common solution to

both the dark matter and singularity problems [41–49]. For comprehensive reviews on regular black hole spacetimes see [50–53].

With this Letter we aim to report a novel and natural mechanism that generates cosmic acceleration based on a “Swiss Cheese” approach, used to model a black hole dominated Universe. The “Swiss Cheese” cosmological model, firstly introduced in the ‘40s by Einstein and Strauss [54], matches the metric of a black hole with a homogeneous and isotropic spacetime. Notably, in the case of the Schwarzschild spacetime, one gets naturally a dust filled homogeneous and isotropic Universe.

As we will show below, when the matching involves black holes with repulsive behavior, it generically leads to an era of accelerated cosmic expansion! To describe and analyze this cosmic acceleration mechanism, we will specifically consider three classes of regular black hole spacetimes, namely the Hayward [55], Bardeen [56] and Dymnikova [57–59] spacetimes, as well as one singular case, the de-Sitter Schwarzschild black hole [60, 61].

Remarkably, we find that this cosmic acceleration mechanism can play a significant role in generating an inflationary expansion phase that terminates below a specific energy scale, depending on the PBH metric considered, or naturally ends due to black hole evaporation. This offers an alternative reheating scenario driven by PBH evaporation [8, 26, 62–64]. Additionally, it may contribute to a substantial early dark energy (EDE) component, without the need for a scalar field, before the emission of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), thereby helping to alleviate the Hubble tension.

\* kdialekt@gmail.com

† t.papanikolaou@ssmeridionale.it

‡ vzarikas@uth.gr

**Regular black holes**– Regular black hole solutions can arise naturally within quantum gravity scenarios, with the latter removing curvature singularities, working either with an ensemble of continuous quantum spacetimes [65–67] or with discrete geometries [68, 69]. In both cases, the quantum gravity theory under consideration should explain how classical spacetime emerges. It is then reasonable to describe the black hole spacetime with a classical metric presenting phenomenological corrections at high curvature, so that it exhibits non-singular (regular) behavior at the center [55–57, 70–81]. In particular, within this study, we will work with three types of non-singular black hole spacetimes, namely the Hayward [55], the Bardeen [56] and the Dymnikova [57, 58] spacetimes. In the following, we illustrate our cosmic acceleration mechanism with the Hayward metric.

The metric originally proposed by Hayward reads as [55]

$$ds^2 = -F(R) dt^2 + \frac{1}{F(R)} dR^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1)$$

with

$$F(R) = 1 - \frac{2G_N M(R)}{R}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$M(R) = \frac{m R^3}{R^3 + 2G_N m L^2} \approx \begin{cases} m & (R \gg G_N^{1/3} m^{1/3} L^{2/3}) \\ R^3/(2G_N L^2) & (R \ll G_N^{1/3} m^{1/3} L^{2/3}). \end{cases}$$

Here, we set  $c = 1$  and  $m$  is interpreted as the mass of the black hole at asymptotic infinity.

This metric (1) possesses Killing horizons, determined by the roots of

$$F(R) = 1 - \frac{2G_N m R^2}{R^3 + 2L^2 G_N m} = 0, \quad (3)$$

and dependent on  $L$  and  $m$ . In particular, one can show that for  $m > \frac{3\sqrt{3}L}{4G_N}$  the metric features inner and outer horizons at  $R \simeq 2G_N m$  and  $R \simeq L$ , respectively. A detailed analysis of these Killing horizons including Penrose diagrams can be found in [55, 82]. For the cases of the Bardeen and Dymnikova black hole spacetimes, see the discussion in the Appendices B and C respectively. In the Appendix D, we study also the case of a singular black hole spacetime with a repulsive behaviour, namely the de Sitter-Schwarzschild spacetime <sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> We need to note here that the de Sitter-Schwarzschild metric can be viewed as a particular limit of the McVittie metric [83] describing a black hole or massive compact object within general relativity immersed in an expanding cosmological spacetime. According to recent arguments [84–86] it can describe quite well the PBH metric at least in the stages of the PBH gravitational collapse.

**Cosmological “Swiss Cheese” matching gives acceleration**– The matching of an exterior homogeneous and isotropic spacetime to an arbitrary, static and spherically symmetric interior is described within the Appendix A. See also here [87] for a detailed discussion about matching conditions. At this point, it is important to clarify two issues regarding the “Swiss Cheese” approach adopted here. Firstly, in our case the “Swiss Cheese” concerns the early Universe, where an almost homogeneous distribution of black holes is a very good approximation [88–91], something that is not true for the present epoch. Secondly, the arguments against the stability of the “Swiss Cheese” [92] are not important in our case since we do not use the matching to describe the influence of a current large scale structure, i.e. one galaxy or a galaxy cluster, which was the original idea of the Einstein-Strauss work. We study instead the effect on the early cosmic expansion considering a Universe filled with many black holes, hence our “Swiss Cheese” method is well justified.

For the case of the Hayward metric, our “Swiss Cheese” describing a single black hole matched to a homogeneous and isotropic Universe, gives rise the following cosmic expansion equations

$$H^2 = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{2G_N m}{R^3 + 2G_N m L^2} - \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{G_N m (4G_N L^2 m - R^3)}{(2G_N L^2 m + R^3)^2}, \quad (5)$$

where  $R = a r_\Sigma$ ,  $a$  is the scale factor and  $H = \dot{a}/a$  is the Hubble parameter. For more details on the derivation of the aforementioned equations see the Appendix A.

Next, we consider a Universe filled with numerous black holes (black hole dominated cosmic content) and derive the dynamical background equations governing the cosmic expansion. In particular, we consider a system of multiple spherical vacuoles, each containing a single black hole, where the density inside each vacuole is given by  $\rho = 3m/(4\pi (a r_\Sigma)^3)$ . Here,  $\rho$  represents the density of the exterior region, corresponding to the total energy density of the Universe.

One then can determine the conditions for cosmic acceleration or deceleration in such a Universe, writing Eq. (5) as

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = 4\pi G_N \rho \frac{(16G_N L^2 \pi \rho - 3)}{(8G_N L^2 \pi \rho + 3)^2}. \quad (6)$$

The expression  $16G_N L^2 \pi \rho - 3$  in the numerator, determines the sign of the acceleration parameter  $\ddot{a}/a$  with the critical energy density,  $\rho_c$ , at which  $\ddot{a} = 0$  reading

$$\rho_c = \frac{3}{16\pi G_N L^2}. \quad (7)$$

When  $\rho > \rho_c$ , the acceleration is positive whereas one recovers a conventional deceleration when  $\rho < \rho_c$ . Consequently, the exit from inflation is natural and guaranteed without introducing any fine tuning.

**Cosmological implications of the PBH driven cosmic acceleration mechanism**– Having derived the modified cosmic expansion dynamics for a Universe filled with PBHs with repulsive behavior, we now explore two possible cosmological implication of this PBH-driven cosmic acceleration: its role in inflation and early dark energy.

*Inflation with graceful exit and reheating*– Since the initial conditions concerning the Big Bang are unknown and perhaps unknowable, a black hole dominated early Universe remains a plausible possibility [93, 94]. We thus assume an initial population of randomly distributed Hayward PBHs, dominating the energy budget of the Universe before Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN), generated by quantum gravity processes [82, 95, 96]. To facilitate the derivation of analytical constraints on black hole parameters, we consider a monochromatic PBH mass spectrum. Even if the early Universe initially consisted of a cosmic soup of massless degrees of freedom within the framework of Standard Model Hot Big Bang cosmology, without an inflaton field [97], together with PBHs, there can always be processes under which PBHs can eventually dominate due to their slower dilution compared to radiation. For a more detailed discussion on how PBHs can outlast and dominate radiation, see [62–64, 98]. Working within flat topology ( $k = 0$ ), the expansion rate (4) will read as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3} G_N \left( \rho^{-1} + \frac{8\pi}{3} G_N L^2 \right)^{-1}. \quad (8)$$

The solution of this equation provides a non-singular expansion, as it is expected since our ‘‘Swiss Cheese’’ Universe is filled with non-singular black holes. For a very large initial black hole density, Eq. (8) becomes  $H^2 \simeq L^{-2} = \text{constant}$ , giving an exponential inflationary expansion with the scale factor reading as

$$a = a_i e^{t/L}, \quad (9)$$

where  $a_i$  is a non-zero starting value. Inflation can end either due to black hole evaporation or when the black hole density reaches  $\rho_e \simeq G_N^{-1} L^{-2}$ . This occurs when the two terms in Eq. (8) become comparable, indicating the end of inflation, as denoted by the subscript  $e$ . Thus, after the end of inflation, if evaporation has not yet occurred, the expansion rate can be expressed as

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi}{3} G_N \frac{\rho_e a_e^3}{a^3}, \quad (10)$$

since the second term in Eq. (8) becomes negligible. Here, we have used the fact that energy density of the Universe is equal to the PBH mass energy density, i.e.  $\rho = \rho_{\text{PBH}} = \rho_e a_e^3 / a^3$ .

It is a reasonable approximation to assume that PBHs evaporate rapidly [99], with their lifetime depending on their initial mass. This evaporation process can take place either after the end of inflation or during the inflationary era, leading to an exit from inflation before

reaching the critical density  $\rho_e \simeq G_N^{-1} L^{-2}$ . After black hole evaporation, the expansion rate follows that of a radiation-dominated Universe, with  $H^2 \propto a^{-4}$ .

Let us then make a qualitative analysis regarding the number of e-folds during inflation  $N_{\text{inf}}$ , defined as  $N_{\text{inf}} \equiv a_e / a_i$ , as well as reheating within our scenario. We work for concreteness with the case of evaporation taking place after the end of inflation. As we will show later this is always the case for regular PBHs independently of the values of the PBH mass  $m$  and the PBH regularising parameters. One then gets from Eq. (8) that

$$\rho_e \simeq G_N^{-1} L^{-2} = \frac{\pi^2}{30} g_{\text{reh}} T_{\text{reh}}^4, \quad (11)$$

where  $g_{\text{reh}}$  is the number of relativistic degrees of freedom for the reheated plasma and where we have assumed instantaneous reheating. The reheating temperature  $T_{\text{reh}}$  can then be recast as

$$T_{\text{reh}} = \left( \frac{30}{\pi^2 g_{\text{reh}}} \right)^{1/4} L^{-1/2} G_N^{-1/4}. \quad (12)$$

Since, the temperature of the thermal plasma  $T$  scales as  $T \propto 1/a$  we have that  $a_e / a_0 \sim T_0 / T_{\text{reh}}$  with  $T_0 = 2.7K$  and  $a_0 = 1$ , where 0 denotes today’s value.

One then can derive a lower bound on  $N_{\text{inf}}$  in order to solve the horizon problem. In particular, one should require that the today’s comoving observable length scale,  $\lambda_0$ , defined as  $\lambda_0 = (a_0 H_0)^{-1}$ , is smaller than the particle horizon distance during inflation  $d_{\text{inf}}$ , defined as  $d_{\text{inf}} \simeq 1 / (a_i H_{\text{inf}})$ . Consequently, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 / d_{\text{inf}} < 1 &\Leftrightarrow e^{-N_{\text{inf}}} a_e H_{\text{inf}} / (a_0 H_0) < 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow N_{\text{inf}} > \ln(T_0 / T_{\text{reh}}) - \ln(L H_0). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This bound on  $N_{\text{inf}}$  is always satisfied for reasonable values of  $L$  above the Planck length and small enough  $a_i$ .

Reheating is also vital for any viable inflationary scenario. In our scenario, it happens naturally due to the evaporation of PBHs after the end of the exponential inflationary phase. For a crude estimate of the PBH evaporation, we use a time-dependent version of the Hayward metric [100]

$$F = 1 - \frac{2 G_N m(t) R^2}{R^3 + 2 G_N m(t) L^2}. \quad (14)$$

The Vaidya-type modifications of this metric [101–103] also include an extra redshift factor  $\alpha(t, R)$ . Here, we consider an improved evaporation law for the Hayward black hole, suggested in Ref. [100], which gives a smooth description of Hawking radiation. The corrected PBH evaporation law [100] gives the following mass loss rate

$$\frac{dm(t)}{dt} \sim - \frac{n_p}{1920 \pi} \frac{l_{\text{Pl}}^2}{L^2} \frac{1}{G_N^2 m(t)^2} \sim \frac{1}{C^3 G_N^2 m(t)^2}, \quad (15)$$

where  $n_p$  is the number of distinct polarizations of emitted particles,  $l_{\text{Pl}}$  is the Planck length and  $C \equiv$

$\left(\frac{640\pi}{n_p}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{L}{l_{\text{Pl}}}\right)^{2/3}$ . Integrating thus Eq. (15) from  $m$  to 0, the black hole lifetime we get is

$$t_{\text{evap}} = \frac{1}{3} C^3 G_N^2 m^3. \quad (16)$$

For successful reheating we require as well that evaporation is complete before BBN, which begins at  $t_{\text{BBN}} \simeq t_{\text{min}}$ ,

$$t_{\text{evap}} \leq t_{\text{BBN}} \Rightarrow m \leq G_N^{-2/3} C^{-1} (3t_{\text{BBN}})^{1/3}. \quad (17)$$

This gives a constraint on the black holes mass. For  $n_p \sim 100$  and  $L = 100 l_{\text{Pl}}$ , we get  $m < 10^{32} \text{GeV} = 5 \times 10^8 \text{g}$ .

In order to be consistent with BBN, one should require that the thermalisation of Hawking radiation after evaporation results in a temperature  $T_{\text{reh}}$  larger than the BBN,  $T_{\text{BBN}} \sim 4 \text{MeV}$ , leading to

$$T_{\text{reh}} > T_{\text{BBN}} \Rightarrow \rho_{\text{PBH, evap}} > \frac{\pi^2 g_{\text{reh}} T_{\text{BBN}}^4}{30}. \quad (18)$$

In summary, as long as our scenario satisfies the constraints (13), (17) and (18), it is consistent with an inflationary epoch with graceful exit and reheating proceeding through PBH evaporation.

At this point, it is useful to compare the critical energy density  $\rho_c$  (7) and the energy density at the end of the PBH evaporation process  $\rho_{\text{evap}}$  in order to see at which energy scale we are met with the termination of the early cosmic acceleration phase. One can estimate  $\rho_{\text{evap}}$  by assuming having a radiation-dominated Universe with  $a \propto t^{1/2}$  right after PBH evaporation. Thus, one has  $\rho_{\text{evap}} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} H_{\text{evap}}^2$ , with  $H_{\text{evap}} = 1/2t_{\text{evap}}$ , where  $t_{\text{evap}}$  is given by Eq. (16). After some simple algebra one can show that

$$\rho_{\text{evap}} = 27648\pi^4 M_{\text{Pl}}^4 \left(\frac{M_{\text{Pl}}}{m}\right)^6 \left(\frac{n_p}{640\pi}\right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{l_{\text{Pl}}}{L}\right)^{4/3}, \quad (19)$$

where we have used the fact that  $M_{\text{Pl}}^2 = \frac{1}{8\pi G_N}$ . In Fig. 1 we plot in the left panel  $\rho_c$  as a function of  $L$  accounting for the upper bound on  $L$ , i.e.  $L < \frac{4G_N m}{3\sqrt{3}}$ , so as to have a horizonfull object. As one may observe,  $\rho_c$  is quite close to the Planck scale, with the smaller PBH masses giving a higher  $\rho_c$  compared to the smaller ones. In the right panel of Fig. 1 we show  $\rho_{\text{evap}}$  (19) in the color bar axis as a function of  $m$  in the  $x$ -axis and  $L$  in the  $y$ -axis. The grey region  $L > \frac{4G_N m}{3\sqrt{3}}$  is not of particular interest since there, one is met with horizonless objects while the black one is excluded since there PBH evaporation takes place after BBN, i.e.  $\rho_{\text{evap}} < \rho_{\text{BBN}}$ . The condition  $\rho_{\text{evap}} < \rho_{\text{BBN}}$  is equivalent to

$$L > 7 \times 10^5 l_{\text{Pl}} \left(\frac{10 \text{MeV}}{\rho_{\text{BBN}}^{1/4}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{n_p}{640\pi}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{10^8 \text{g}}{m}\right)^{9/2}. \quad (20)$$

As one can infer from both panels  $\rho_c$  is always larger than  $\rho_{\text{evap}}$ , meaning that the cosmic acceleration phase is

always terminated before PBH evaporation. Similar conclusions can be drawn as well for the other two regular PBH spacetimes considered in the appendices since one in general finds prolonged regular black hole lifetimes with their evaporation time being quite well approximated as  $t_{\text{evap}} \propto \tilde{C} t_{\text{S}}$ , where  $t_{\text{S}}$  is the Schwarzschild black hole evaporation time and  $\tilde{C}$  is a parameter depending on the regularising PBH metric parameters and which is greater than unity, i.e.  $\tilde{C} > 1$  [48]. However, for the Dymnikova case, Fig. 1 is more complicated since there is no analytic expression for  $\rho_c$  as a function of the regularising parameters. With regard to the singular Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime, one finds that the early cosmic acceleration phase terminates always due to PBH evaporation [See Appendix D.].

*Early dark energy contribution before matter-radiation equality*– We consider now a different cosmic scenario, where inflation has already been occurred due to an inflaton field or any other possible mechanism. Regular PBHs or PBHs with repulsive behavior can then form due to the collapse of enhanced inflationary cosmological perturbations or any other early Universe cosmic process, such as cosmological phase transitions [11–13], collapse of topological defects [15, 16], scalar field instabilities [14], etc. After the end of inflation, one faces a co-existence of radiation and PBHs, with their energy densities scaling respectively as  $\rho_{\text{rad}} = \rho_{\text{rad, e}} \left(\frac{a_e}{a}\right)^4$  and  $\rho_{\text{PBH}} = \rho_{\text{PBH, e}} \left(\frac{a_e}{a}\right)^n$ , where  $n$  is not necessarily equal to three due to the non standard equation-of-state (EoS) parameter expected for PBHs with a repulsive core. Here,  $\rho_{\text{PBH}}$ , denotes an appropriate energy density definition for a PBH with a regular or repulsive interior.

Depending on the initial abundance of PBHs and their EoS parameter, PBHs can dominate or not, compared to the radiation content. To discriminate between the different possibilities, one should take into account the fact that the PBH abundance scales as

$$\Omega_{\text{PBH}} \equiv \frac{\rho_{\text{PBH}}}{\rho_{\text{rad}}} = \Omega_{\text{PBH, f}} \left(\frac{a}{a_f}\right)^{4-n}, \quad (21)$$

where  $\Omega_{\text{PBH, f}}$  is the initial PBH abundance when they form.

In particular, for high enough initial PBH abundances and for PBH EoS parameters such as  $n < 4$ , PBHs can dominate the energy budget of the Universe as one can see from Eq. (21). In such a case, one expects an expansion rate behavior similar to Eq. (4) with an early phase of accelerated expansion prior to recombination, usually called as early dark energy phase. However, such an era of EDE domination driven by PBHs prior to recombination with  $\Omega_{\text{PBH}} = \Omega_{\text{EDE}} > 0.5$  is excluded since it will strongly influence the position of the CMB peaks at the time of last scattering [14] and suppress as well the growth of cosmic structures [104–106].

On the other hand, if the initial PBH abundance is not sufficiently high, the PBH abundance will increase but not enough to dominate, i.e.,  $\Omega_{\text{PBH}} < 0.5$ . In




FIG. 1. Left Panel: We show  $\rho_c$  as a function of the regularising parameter  $L$ .  $L$  is bounded from above, i.e.  $L < \frac{4G_N m}{3\sqrt{3}}$ . Right Panel: We show  $\rho_{\text{evap}}$  (color-bar axis) as a function of the PBH mass  $m$  ( $x$ -axis) and the regularising parameter  $L$  ( $y$ -axis). The grey region  $L > \frac{4G_N m}{3\sqrt{3}}$  is not of interest since there one is met with horizonless objects while the black one is excluded since there  $\rho_{\text{evap}} < \rho_{\text{BBN}}$ .

this case, a mixture of radiation and matter in the form of PBHs emerges, and an extended “Swiss Cheese” model that accounts for both PBHs and radiation within a spherical vacuum hole (or Szekeres type models) is needed [107, 108]. For a phenomenological qualitative description of such a setup [98, 109], it is perhaps sufficient to describe the expansion as

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \left[ \rho_{\text{PBH,e}} \left( \frac{a_e}{a} \right)^n + \rho_{\text{rad,e}} \left( \frac{a_e}{a} \right)^4 + \rho_{\text{EDE}} \right], \quad (22)$$

where the term  $\rho_{\text{EDE}}$  represents the effective EDE contribution due to the PBH repulsive behavior, which rapidly disappears once the black hole evaporation occurs. Interestingly, if the evaporation process begins slightly before matter-radiation equality, corresponding to PBH masses of around  $10^{12}\text{g}$ , with PBH abundances in the range  $0.107 < \Omega_{\text{PBH}} < 0.5$ , this leads to the correct amount of EDE, consistent with current observational constraints on EDE from CMB [110] and structure formation [111] which read as

$$\Omega_{\text{EDE}}(t_{\text{LS}}) < 0.015 \quad \text{and} \quad 0.015 < \Omega_{\text{EDE}}(t_{\text{eq}}) < 0.107, \quad (23)$$

where  $t_{\text{LS}}$  and  $t_{\text{eq}}$  stand for the times at the last-scattering and matter-radiation equality respectively. Remarkably, in such a scenario we have an EDE component in form of PBHs without the addition of exotic scalar fields as usually adopted in the literature [112]. An advantage of our mechanism is that our EDE type of contribution decays faster than radiation due to Hawking evaporation, a condition which is necessary to lead to an increased value of the Hubble parameter at early times. Therefore, it can be consistent with late-time SNIa measurements [113], [114, 115], alleviating in this way natu-

rally the  $H_0$  cosmic tension.

**Conclusions**– We propose a novel and natural mechanism of cosmic acceleration driven by PBHs with repulsive behaviour within the context of a novel “Swiss-Cheese” cosmological model. Astonishingly, by matching such repulsive black hole spacetimes with an isotropic and homogeneous metric, we always find a stage of an early cosmic acceleration that can end naturally below a critical energy scale depending on the regularisation parameters of the PBH metric considered or due to PBH evaporation.

We further show that this generic PBH driven cosmic acceleration can explain inflation or an EDE injection before CMB emission. Notably, our analysis shows that ultra-light PBHs with masses  $m < 5 \times 10^8\text{g}$ , having repulsive behaviour, can drive an exponential inflationary phase being compatible with a graceful exit and successful reheating proceeding through black hole evaporation. Furthermore, PBHs with masses  $m \sim 10^{12}\text{g}$  and abundances  $0.107 < \Omega_{\text{PBH}}^{\text{eq}} < 0.5$  slightly before matter-radiation equality can produce a substantial amount of EDE so as to alleviate the Hubble tension.

Finally, it is important to stress here that this mechanism may become also relevant for the generation of the late cosmic acceleration observed today responsible for the 68% of the energy budget of the Universe. This scenario was recently studied in [116, 117] within the context, however, of a “Swiss Cheese” cosmological model with SdS black holes corrected within the asymptotic safety framework.

**Acknowledgments**– The authors acknowledge enlightening discussions with Andrei Zelnikov and Konstantinos Dimopoulos as well as the contribution of the COST Action CA21136 “Addressing observational

tensions in cosmology with systematics and fundamental physics (CosmoVerse)". K.F.D. was supported by the PNRR-III-C9-2022-I9 call, with project number 760016/27.01.2023. TP acknowledges the contribution of the LISA Cosmology Working Group and the COST Action "CA23130 - Bridging high and low energies in search of quantum gravity (BridgeQG)" as well as the support of the INFN Sezione di Napoli *iniziativa specifica* QGSKY. The author names are shown alphabetically.

### Appendix A: Swiss cheese matching

The matching of an exterior homogeneous and isotropic metric to an interior black hole metric is made across a spherical 3-surface  $\Sigma$  which is at fixed coordinate radius in the cosmological frame but evolving in the black hole frame [54]. The matching is guaranteed if the first fundamental form (intrinsic metric) and second fundamental form (extrinsic curvature), calculated in terms of the coordinates on  $\Sigma$ , are the same on both sides [118–120].

The general cosmological metric can be written in spherical coordinates as

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) \left[ r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + \frac{dr^2}{1 - kr^2} \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

where  $a(t)$  is the scale factor and  $k = 0, \pm 1$  is the spatial curvature constant.

The Darmois-Israel junction conditions [121] allow us to use different coordinate systems on both sides of the hypersurface. This allows the metric (A1) to be joined smoothly to a static and spherically symmetric metric of the form,

$$ds^2 = -F(R) dT^2 + R^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) + \frac{1}{F(R)} dR^2. \quad (\text{A2})$$

The first fundamental form is the metric on  $\Sigma$  induced by the spacetime in which it is embedded. This may be written as

$$\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = g_{ij} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^\beta}, \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $u^\alpha = (u^1 \equiv u, u^2 \equiv v, u^3 \equiv w)$  is the coordinate system on the hypersurface. Greek indices run over  $1, \dots, 3$ , while Latin indices over  $1, \dots, 4$ . The second fundamental form [121] is defined by

$$K_{\alpha\beta} = n_{i;j} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^\beta} = (\Gamma^p_{ij} n_p - n_{i,j}) \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial u^\alpha} \frac{\partial x^j}{\partial u^\beta}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $n_a$  is a unit normal to  $\Sigma$  and  $\Gamma^p_{ij}$  are the Christoffel symbols. We use subscripts  $F$  and  $S$  to denote quantities associated with the cosmic and black hole metric, respectively.

The spherical hypersurface  $\Sigma$  is given by the function  $f_F(x^i_F) = r - r_\Sigma = 0$ , where  $r_\Sigma$  is a constant. The

hypersurface coordinates in the FLRW frame ( $x_F$ ) are ( $t = u, \theta = v, \phi = w, r = r_\Sigma$ ), while those in the black hole frame ( $x_S$ ) are ( $T = T_S(u), \theta = v, \phi = w, R = R_S(u)$ ). Since  $R = R_S(u)$ ,  $\Sigma$  cannot remain constant in the black hole radial coordinate as the universe expands. This radial distance is also called Sucking radius [122] and the successful matching shows that this choice of the matching surface is appropriate. In the following,  $T$  and  $R$  mean  $T_S$  and  $R_S$ , respectively. The corresponding differential equations derived from the first and second matching conditions provide the expansion rate, the acceleration of the scale factor and a constraint equation between  $R$  and  $r_\Sigma$ . In particular, the first condition,  $\gamma_{F\alpha\beta} = \gamma_{S\alpha\beta}$ , gives

$$-1 = -F(R) \left( \frac{dT}{du} \right)^2 + F(R)^{-1} \left( \frac{dR}{du} \right)^2 \quad (\text{A5})$$

and

$$a r_\Sigma = R, \quad (\text{A6})$$

while the second matching condition, for the extrinsic curvatures, i.e.  $K_{S\alpha\beta} = K_{F\alpha\beta}$  on  $\Sigma$ , using also Eqs (A5) and (A6), gives

$$\frac{dT}{du} = \pm \frac{\sqrt{1 - k r_\Sigma^2}}{F(R)} \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$\left( \frac{dR}{du} \right)^2 = 1 - k r_\Sigma^2 - F(R) \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\frac{d^2 R}{du^2} = -\frac{F'(R)}{2}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

with a prime denoting differentiation with respect to  $R$  and where  $F$  and  $R$  are evaluated at the Shucking radius  $R_S = a r_\Sigma$ .

For the case of the Schwarzschild metric, i.e.  $F(R) = 1 - 2G_N m/R$ , Eqs (A7), (A8) and (A9) give

$$H^2 = \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{2G_N m}{R^3} - k \frac{r_\Sigma^2}{R^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho_m - \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

where in the last equality we used Eq. (A6). Interestingly enough, one obtains the conventional Friedmann equation and assume that the matter is in the form of homogeneously distributed black holes, i.e.  $\rho_m = 3m/(4\pi R^3)$ . Furthermore, Eq. (A8) gives

$$\ddot{a} = -\frac{G_N m}{R^2} \frac{1}{r_\Sigma} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} a \rho_m, \quad (\text{A11})$$

which indicates deceleration, as expected. This is the conventional "Swiss Cheese" or Einstein-Strauss model that generates a dust Universe, introduced firstly in [54].

For the case of the Hayward metric, the matching gives rise the following cosmic expansion equations

$$\left( \frac{dR}{dt} \right)^2 = \frac{2 G_N m R^2}{R^3 + 2m G_N L^2} - k r_\Sigma^2 \quad (\text{A12})$$

and

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = \frac{G_N m R (4 G_N m L^2 - R^3)}{(R^3 + 2 G_N m L^2)^2}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

where  $R = a r_\Sigma$ .

### Appendix B: The Bardeen metric

The Bardeen spacetime is described by the following line element [123]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f^{-1}(r)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2G_N m r^2}{(r^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (\text{B2})$$

This function has a single minimum at  $r_{\min} = \sqrt{2}l_0$  with  $f(r_{\min})$  vanishing for  $l_0 = 4G_N m / (3\sqrt{3})$ . From the regularity of the curvature invariants [124], it follows that, as long as  $|l_0| \leq \frac{4G_N m}{3\sqrt{3}}$ , the above spacetime possesses only coordinate-singularities that describe the existence of event horizons. In greater detail, for the exact equality, the two horizons shrink into one, which corresponds to an extreme black hole, just as in the case of the Reissner-Nordström solution. For the pure inequality, there exist an inner and an event horizon at the roots of  $f(r) = 0$ , which we omit to give here for simplicity. The interested reader can check [72, 124] for more details. In general though, the global structure of Bardeen spacetime resembles that of the Reissner-Nordström one, but instead of a singularity at  $r = 0$ ,  $r = 0$  just represents the origin of spherical coordinates.

Following the same procedure as in the Hayward spacetime regarding the matching of the black hole spacetime with an expanding homogeneous and isotropic Universe, the relevant matching conditions (A8) and (A9) for the Bardeen spacetime will take the form

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{2G_N m R^2}{(R^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}} - k r_\Sigma^2, \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = \frac{G_N m (2l_0^2 R - R^3)}{(R^2 + l_0^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

Thus, since  $a r_\Sigma = R$  the cosmic evolution equations will read as

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{2G_N m}{(R^2 + l_0^2)^{3/2}} - \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{G_N m (2l_0^2 - R^2)}{(R^2 + l_0^2)^{5/2}}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Using the Swiss cheese property  $\rho = 3m/(4\pi R^3)$  one can

express the above equations as

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{2G_N m}{\left[\left(\frac{3m}{4\pi\rho}\right)^{2/3} + l_0^2\right]^{3/2}}, \quad (\text{B7})$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = \frac{G_N m \left[2l_0^2 - \left(\frac{3m}{4\pi\rho}\right)^{2/3}\right]}{\left[\left(\frac{3m}{4\pi\rho}\right)^{2/3} + l_0^2\right]^{5/2}}. \quad (\text{B8})$$

One then obtains acceleration if the density is above a critical characteristic value reading as

$$\rho_c = \frac{3}{\pi} 2^{-7/2} m l_0^{-3} \quad (\text{B9})$$

Therefore, for this Bardeen black hole we can have end of the accelerating phase either due to evaporation or when the black hole density drops below  $\rho_c$ .

### Appendix C: The Dymnikova metric

The Dymnikova black hole is described by the following line element [57]

$$ds^2 = -f(r)dt^2 + f(r)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (\text{C1})$$

with

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{\mu}{r} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{r^3}{\alpha\mu}}\right),$$

where  $\mu = 2G_N m$  and  $\alpha = 3/\Lambda$ . This solution coincides with the Schwarzschild black hole for  $r \gg (\alpha\mu)^{1/3}$ , while for  $r \ll (\alpha\mu)^{1/3}$  it behaves like the de Sitter solution. It possesses two event horizons located approximately at

$$r_+ \simeq 2G_N m \quad \text{and} \quad r_- \simeq \sqrt{\alpha}, \quad (\text{C2})$$

where  $r_+$  is the external event horizon and  $r_-$  is the Cauchy horizon. Both of them are coordinate-singularities and can be removed by an appropriate coordinate transformation.

Applying again the ‘‘Swiss Cheese’’ matching conditions to the Dymnikova spacetime one gets similarly the following constraint equations:

$$\left(\frac{dR}{dt}\right)^2 = \frac{\mu}{R} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{R^3}{\alpha\mu}}\right) - k r_\Sigma^2, \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dt^2} = \left(\frac{\mu}{2R^2} + \frac{3R}{2\alpha}\right) e^{-\frac{R^3}{\alpha\mu}} - \frac{\mu}{2R^2}. \quad (\text{C4})$$

while the cosmic expansion equations will be recast as

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{\mu}{R^3} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{R^3}{\alpha\mu}}\right) - \frac{k}{a^2}, \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{\mu}{2R^3} + \left(\frac{3}{2\alpha} + \frac{\mu}{2R^3}\right) e^{-\frac{R^3}{\alpha\mu}}. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Using again the ‘‘Swiss Cheese’’ property  $\rho = 3m/(4\pi R^3)$  the above equations are written as

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho \left(1 - e^{-\frac{3}{8\pi G_N \alpha \rho}}\right), \quad (\text{C7})$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \left(\frac{3}{2\alpha} + \frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \rho\right) e^{-\frac{3}{8\pi G_N \alpha \rho}}. \quad (\text{C8})$$

Here, the acceleration holds while

$$\rho \gg \frac{\Lambda}{8\pi G_N} \quad (\text{C9})$$

since the exponential in (C8) approaches 1 and so  $\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} \simeq \frac{\Lambda}{2}$ . For low values of  $\rho$  we get deceleration because the first term in (C8) dominates.

#### Appendix D: Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole

The Schwarzschild-de Sitter (SdS) black hole is a solution to Einstein’s field equations in general relativity that describes a spherically symmetric, non-rotating black hole in homogeneous and isotropic spacetime with a positive cosmological constant [60] and it reads as

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2G_N m}{R} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda R^2\right)dT^2 + \frac{dR^2}{1 - \frac{2G_N m}{R} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda R^2} + R^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) \quad (\text{D1})$$

It is convenient to define  $l^2 = \frac{3}{\Lambda}$ . Following [61], the SdS spacetime has two horizons if  $0 < y < 1/27$ , where  $y \equiv (G_N m)^2/l^2$ . There exist namely the black hole horizon,  $r_h$ , and the cosmological horizon,  $r_c$ , given by  $r_h = \frac{2G_N m}{\sqrt{3y}} \cos \frac{\pi+\tau}{3}$  and  $r_c = \frac{2G_N m}{\sqrt{3y}} \cos \frac{\pi-\tau}{3}$  respectively, where  $\tau = \cos^{-1}(3\sqrt{3y})$ . In the limit of  $y \ll 1$ , one gets  $r_h \rightarrow 2G_N m$  and  $r_c \rightarrow l$ . The smallest positive

root is the black hole horizon, i.e.  $r_h < r_c$ . In the limit  $y \rightarrow 1/27$ , black hole and cosmological horizons coincide and one gets the Nariai spacetime.

Assuming now that PBHs are described with the SdS metric (D1) one can apply the ‘‘Swiss Cheese’’ model to derive the cosmic expansion dynamics. Following the same steps as before, Eq. (A8) provides through Eq. (A6) the background cosmic expansion equation being recast as

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{2G_N m}{R^3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}. \quad (\text{D2})$$

In addition, equation (A9) gives

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{G_N m}{R^3} + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad (\text{D3})$$

Using again the ‘‘Swiss Cheese’’ property  $\rho = 3m/(4\pi R^3)$  Eqs (D2) and (D3) can be written as

$$H^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \quad (\text{D4})$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G_N}{3} \rho + \frac{\Lambda}{3}, \quad (\text{D5})$$

being the same with them of the  $\Lambda$ CDM model. However, in our case the physical interpretation is different. We assume that the  $\Lambda$  term is the dominant one in order to have an early inflationary phase driven by the cosmic homogeneous soup of these PBHs. Thus, we assume that

$$\Lambda > 4\pi G_N \rho. \quad (\text{D6})$$

If inequality (D6) holds, then due to Eqs. (D4) and (D5), this entails an endless acceleration. Such an endless acceleration can naturally be terminated through Hawking radiation. One can exit thus from this early cosmic acceleration phase as soon as PBHs will evaporate considerably to radiation.

- 
- [1] Y. B. Zel’dovich and I. D. Novikov, *Soviet Astronomy* **10**, 602 (1967).
  - [2] B. J. Carr and S. W. Hawking, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **168**, 399 (1974).
  - [3] B. J. Carr, *ApJ* **201**, 1 (1975).
  - [4] I. D. Novikov, A. G. Polnarev, A. A. Starobinskii, and I. B. Zeldovich, *Astronomy and Astrophysics* **80**, 104 (1979).
  - [5] S. W. Hawking, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975), [Erratum: *Commun.Math.Phys.* 46, 206 (1976)].
  - [6] B. J. Carr, *Astrophys. J.* **201**, 1 (1975).
  - [7] I. Musco, V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 063538 (2021), [arXiv:2011.03014 \[astro-ph.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2011.03014).
  - [8] J. Garcia-Bellido, A. D. Linde, and D. Wands, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 6040 (1996), [arXiv:astro-ph/9605094](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9605094).
  - [9] J. Yokoyama, *Astron. Astrophys.* **318**, 673 (1997), [arXiv:astro-ph/9509027](https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9509027).
  - [10] J. Garcia-Bellido and E. Ruiz Morales, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **18**, 47 (2017), [arXiv:1702.03901 \[astro-ph.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.03901).
  - [11] S. W. Hawking, I. G. Moss, and J. M. Stewart, *Phys. Rev. D* **26**, 2681 (1982).
  - [12] I. G. Moss, *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 676 (1994).
  - [13] T. H. Jung and T. Okui, (2021), [arXiv:2110.04271 \[hep-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.04271).
  - [14] M. Y. Khlopov, B. A. Malomed, I. B. Zeldovich, and Y. B. Zeldovich, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **215**, 575 (1985).
  - [15] S. W. Hawking, *Phys. Lett. B* **231**, 237 (1989).
  - [16] A. Polnarev and R. Zembowicz, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 1106 (1991).
  - [17] J. D. Barrow and B. J. Carr, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3920 (1996).
  - [18] S. Kawai and J. Kim, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 083545 (2021), [arXiv:2108.01340 \[astro-ph.CO\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.01340).
  - [19] T. Papanikolaou, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 134001

- (2023), [arXiv:2301.11439 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [20] G. F. Chapline, *Nature* **253**, 251 (1975).
- [21] B. Carr and F. Kuhnel, *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **70**, 355 (2020), [arXiv:2006.02838 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [22] P. Meszaros, *Astron. Astrophys.* **38**, 5 (1975).
- [23] N. Afshordi, P. McDonald, and D. N. Spergel, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **594**, L71 (2003), [arXiv:astro-ph/0302035](#).
- [24] M. Sasaki, T. Suyama, T. Tanaka, and S. Yokoyama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 061101 (2016), [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.Lett.* 121, 059901 (2018)], [arXiv:1603.08338 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [25] G. Franciolini, V. Baibhav, V. De Luca, K. K. Y. Ng, K. W. K. Wong, E. Berti, P. Pani, A. Riotto, and S. Vitale, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 083526 (2022), [arXiv:2105.03349 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [26] O. Lennon, J. March-Russell, R. Petrossian-Byrne, and H. Tillim, *JCAP* **04**, 009 (2018), [arXiv:1712.07664 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [27] J. D. Barrow, E. J. Copeland, E. W. Kolb, and A. R. Liddle, *Phys. Rev. D* **43**, 984 (1991).
- [28] D. Baumann, P. J. Steinhardt, and N. Turok, (2007), [arXiv:hep-th/0703250](#).
- [29] G. Aliferis, G. Kofinas, and V. Zariikas, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 045002 (2015), [arXiv:1406.6215 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [30] G. Aliferis and V. Zariikas, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 023509 (2021), [arXiv:2006.13621 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [31] M. Safarzadeh, S. Naoz, A. Sadowski, L. Sironi, and R. Narayan, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **479**, 315 (2018), [arXiv:1701.03800 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [32] I. J. Araya, M. E. Rubio, M. San Martin, F. A. Stasyszyn, N. D. Padilla, J. Magaña, and J. Sureda, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **503**, 4387 (2021), [arXiv:2012.09585 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [33] T. Papanikolaou and K. N. Gourgouliatos, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 103532 (2023), [arXiv:2301.10045 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [34] B. Carr, K. Kohri, Y. Sendouda, and J. Yokoyama, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **84**, 116902 (2021), [arXiv:2002.12778 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [35] A. Escrivà, F. Kuhnel, and Y. Tada, (2022), [10.1016/B978-0-32-395636-9.00012-8](#), [arXiv:2211.05767 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [36] M. Y. Khlopov, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **10**, 495 (2010), [arXiv:0801.0116 \[astro-ph\]](#).
- [37] B. Carr, F. Kuhnel, and M. Sandstad, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 083504 (2016), [arXiv:1607.06077 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [38] E. Bagui et al. (LISA Cosmology Working Group), (2023), [arXiv:2310.19857 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [39] S. Choudhury and M. Sami, *Phys. Rept.* **1103**, 1 (2025), [arXiv:2407.17006 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] O. Luongo and H. Quevedo, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **41**, 125011 (2024), [arXiv:2305.11185 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [41] D. A. Easson, *JHEP* **02**, 037 (2003), [arXiv:hep-th/0210016](#).
- [42] I. Dymnikova and M. Khlopov, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, 1545002 (2015), [arXiv:1510.01351 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [43] J. A. d. F. Pacheco, *Universe* **4**, 62 (2018), [arXiv:1805.03053 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] A. Arbey and J. Auffinger, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 910 (2021), [arXiv:2108.02737 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [45] A. Arbey, J. Auffinger, M. Geiller, E. Livine, and F. Sartini, *PoS EPS-HEP2021*, 066 (2022).
- [46] A. Banerjee, L. Singh Bhandari, A. Jain, and A. M. Thalappillil, (2024), [arXiv:2406.08728 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [47] P. C. W. Davies, D. A. Easson, and P. B. Levin, (2024), [arXiv:2410.21577 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [48] M. Calzà, D. Pedrotti, and S. Vagnozzi, *Phys. Rev. D* **111**, 024009 (2025), [arXiv:2409.02804 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [49] M. Calzà, D. Pedrotti, and S. Vagnozzi, *Phys. Rev. D* **111**, 024010 (2025), [arXiv:2409.02807 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [50] S. Ansoldi, in *Conference on Black Holes and Naked Singularities* (2008) [arXiv:0802.0330 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [51] P. Nicolini, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **24**, 1229 (2009), [arXiv:0807.1939 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [52] R. Torres, (2022), [arXiv:2208.12713 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [53] C. Lan, H. Yang, Y. Guo, and Y.-G. Miao, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **62**, 202 (2023), [arXiv:2303.11696 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [54] A. Einstein and E. G. Straus, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **17**, 120 (1945).
- [55] S. A. Hayward, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 031103 (2006), [arXiv:gr-qc/0506126](#).
- [56] J. Bardeen, in *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on G* (1968) p. 87.
- [57] I. Dymnikova, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **24**, 235 (1992).
- [58] I. Dymnikova and E. Galaktionov, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 2331 (2005), [arXiv:gr-qc/0409049](#).
- [59] I. G. Dymnikova, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **5**, 529 (1996).
- [60] F. Kottler, *Annalen Phys.* **361**, 401 (1918).
- [61] S. Shankaranarayanan, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 084026 (2003), [arXiv:gr-qc/0301090](#).
- [62] J. C. Hidalgo, L. A. Urena-Lopez, and A. R. Liddle, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 044055 (2012), [arXiv:1107.5669 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [63] T. Suyama, Y.-P. Wu, and J. Yokoyama, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 043514 (2014), [arXiv:1406.0249 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [64] J. L. Zagorac, R. Easther, and N. Padmanabhan, *JCAP* **06**, 052 (2019), [arXiv:1903.05053 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [65] M. Cadoni and A. P. Sanna, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 145012 (2023), [arXiv:2302.06401 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [66] A. Bonanno, D. Malafarina, and A. Panassiti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **132**, 031401 (2024), [arXiv:2308.10890 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [67] T. de Paula Netto, B. L. Giacchini, N. Burzillà, and L. Modesto, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1007**, 116674 (2024), [arXiv:2308.12251 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [68] P. Singh, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26**, 125005 (2009), [arXiv:0901.2750 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [69] A. Ashtekar, J. Olmedo, and P. Singh, (2023), [arXiv:2301.01309 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [70] V. P. Frolov and G. A. Vilkovisky, *Phys. Lett. B* **106**, 307 (1981).
- [71] T. A. Roman and P. G. Bergmann, *Phys. Rev. D* **28**, 1265 (1983).
- [72] A. Borde, *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 7615 (1997), [arXiv:gr-qc/9612057](#).
- [73] S. Hossenfelder, L. Modesto, and I. Premont-Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 044036 (2010), [arXiv:0912.1823 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [74] C. Bambi, D. Malafarina, and L. Modesto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2767 (2014), [arXiv:1306.1668 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [75] C. Bambi, D. Malafarina, and L. Modesto, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 044009 (2013), [arXiv:1305.4790 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [76] S. W. Hawking, (2014), [arXiv:1401.5761 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [77] V. P. Frolov, *JHEP* **05**, 049 (2014), [arXiv:1402.5446 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [78] J. M. Bardeen, (2014), [arXiv:1406.4098 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [79] H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, 104020 (2015), [arXiv:1407.0989 \[gr-qc\]](#).

- [80] A. Barrau, B. Bolliet, F. Vidotto, and C. Weimer, *JCAP* **02**, 022 (2016), [arXiv:1507.05424 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [81] H. M. Haggard and C. Rovelli, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **30**, 1545015 (2015).
- [82] T. De Lorenzo, C. Pacilio, C. Rovelli, and S. Speziale, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **47**, 41 (2015), [arXiv:1412.6015 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [83] G. C. McVittie, *MNRAS* **93**, 325 (1933).
- [84] V. De Luca, V. Desjacques, G. Franciolini, and A. Riotto, *JCAP* **11**, 028 (2020), [arXiv:2009.04731 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [85] G. Hütsi, T. Koivisto, M. Raidal, V. Vaskonen, and H. Veermäe, (2021), [arXiv:2106.02007 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [86] G. Hütsi, T. Koivisto, M. Raidal, V. Vaskonen, and H. Veermäe, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81**, 999 (2021), [arXiv:2105.09328 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [87] G. Kofinas and V. Zariikas, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 123542 (2018), [arXiv:1706.08779 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [88] V. Desjacques and A. Riotto, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 123533 (2018), [arXiv:1806.10414 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [89] Y. Ali-Haïmoud, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 081304 (2018), [arXiv:1805.05912 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [90] A. Moradinezhad Dizgah, G. Franciolini, and A. Riotto, *JCAP* **11**, 001 (2019), [arXiv:1906.08978 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [91] V. De Luca, G. Franciolini, A. Riotto, and H. Veermäe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **129**, 191302 (2022), [arXiv:2208.01683 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [92] A. Krasinski, *Inhomogeneous cosmological models* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 2011).
- [93] Y. Nagatani, *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 041301 (1999), [arXiv:hep-ph/9811485](#).
- [94] P. Conzino and G. Marozzi, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 043533 (2023), [arXiv:2305.01430 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [95] M. Shafiee and Y. Bahrapour, *JHEP* **06**, 055 (2023), [arXiv:2212.00466 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [96] A. Bonanno, A.-P. Khosravi, and F. Saueressig, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124027 (2021), [arXiv:2010.04226 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [97] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, Vol. 69 (Taylor and Francis, 2019).
- [98] D. Hooper, G. Krnjaic, and S. D. McDermott, *JHEP* **08**, 001 (2019), [arXiv:1905.01301 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [99] S. W. Hawking, *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
- [100] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 124028 (2017), [arXiv:1704.03043 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [101] V. P. Frolov, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 104056 (2016), [arXiv:1609.01758 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [102] T. De Lorenzo, (2014).
- [103] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 044042 (2017), [arXiv:1612.05319 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [104] P. G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 4740 (1997), [arXiv:astro-ph/9707286](#).
- [105] P. G. Ferreira and M. Joyce, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 023503 (1998), [arXiv:astro-ph/9711102](#).
- [106] M. Doran, J.-M. Schwindt, and C. Wetterich, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 123520 (2001), [arXiv:astro-ph/0107525](#).
- [107] M. Carrera and D. Giulini, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **82**, 169 (2010), [arXiv:0810.2712 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [108] M.-N. Célérier, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 123526 (2024), [arXiv:2407.04452 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [109] I. Holst, G. Krnjaic, and H. Xiao, (2024), [arXiv:2412.01890 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [110] V. Pettorino, L. Amendola, and C. Wetterich, *Phys. Rev. D* **87**, 083009 (2013), [arXiv:1301.5279 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [111] T. L. Smith, V. Poulin, J. L. Bernal, K. K. Boddy, M. Kamionkowski, and R. Murgia, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 123542 (2021), [arXiv:2009.10740 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [112] V. Poulin, T. L. Smith, and T. Karwal, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **42**, 101348 (2023), [arXiv:2302.09032 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [113] V. Poulin, T. L. Smith, T. Karwal, and M. Kamionkowski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 221301 (2019), [arXiv:1811.04083 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [114] D. Kumar, A. Mitra, S. A. Adil, and A. A. Sen, *Phys. Rev. D* **111**, 043503 (2025), [arXiv:2406.06757 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [115] R. Shah, A. Mitra, P. Mukherjee, B. Pal, and S. Pal, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **530**, 2627 (2024), [arXiv:2305.08786 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [116] G. Kofinas and V. Zariikas, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73**, 2379 (2013), [arXiv:1107.2602 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [117] F. K. Anagnostopoulos, S. Basilakos, G. Kofinas, and V. Zariikas, *JCAP* **02**, 053 (2019), [arXiv:1806.10580 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [118] G. Darmon, *Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques, Fascicule XXV*, edited by P. Gauthier-Villars (1927).
- [119] H. Stephani, *General Relativity*, edited by C. U. Press (1990).
- [120] C. C. Dyer and C. Oliwa, (2000), [arXiv:astro-ph/0004090](#).
- [121] L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, edited by P. Princeton University Press (1949).
- [122] E. Schücking, *Zeitschrift für Physik* **137**, 595 (1954).
- [123] R. A. Konoplya, D. Ovchinnikov, and B. Ahmedov, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 104054 (2023), [arXiv:2307.10801 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [124] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, *Phys. Lett. B* **493**, 149 (2000), [arXiv:gr-qc/0009077](#).