

On the Jucys–Murphy method and fusion procedure for the Sergeev superalgebra

Iryna Kashuba, Alexander Molev and Vera Serganova

Abstract

We use the Jucys–Murphy elements to construct a complete set of primitive idempotents for the Sergeev superalgebra. We apply them to give a version of the seminormal form for the irreducible modules with an explicit construction of basis vectors. We show that the idempotents can also be obtained from a new version of the fusion procedure.

1 Introduction

The *Sergeev superalgebra* \mathcal{S}_n is the graded tensor product of two superalgebras

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n^- \otimes \mathcal{C}l_n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n^-$ is the *spin symmetric group algebra* generated by odd elements t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} subject to the relations

$$t_a^2 = 1, \quad t_a t_{a+1} t_a = t_{a+1} t_a t_{a+1}, \quad t_a t_b = -t_b t_a, \quad |a - b| > 1,$$

while $\mathcal{C}l_n$ is the *Clifford superalgebra* generated by odd elements c_1, \dots, c_n subject to the relations

$$c_a^2 = -1, \quad c_a c_b = -c_b c_a, \quad a \neq b.$$

The group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ of the symmetric group is embedded in \mathcal{S}_n with the images of the adjacent transpositions $s_a = (a, a + 1)$ given by

$$s_a \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} t_a (c_{a+1} - c_a). \quad (1.2)$$

This leads to an alternative presentation of \mathcal{S}_n as the semidirect product $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n \ltimes \mathcal{C}l_n$ with elements of the symmetric group acting on the Clifford superalgebra by permuting the generators. The superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n was introduced by Sergeev in [17] to establish super-versions of the Schur–Weyl duality. The representations of \mathcal{S}_n were studied in the foundational work of Nazarov [13, 14] along with those of the *degenerate affine Sergeev algebra* (also known as the *degenerate affine Hecke–Clifford algebra*) introduced in [14]. The superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n is known to be semisimple and

its simple modules are parameterized by strict partitions of n ; see e.g. [1, Ch. 3], [6, Ch. 13] and [21] for reviews of the representation theory of \mathcal{S}_n .

The *Jucys–Murphy elements* x_1, \dots, x_n of \mathcal{S}_n (see (2.1) below), introduced in [14] are instrumental in the theory; they generate a commutative subalgebra of \mathcal{S}_n and act semisimply on each simple module. We use these properties to construct a complete set of primitive idempotents for \mathcal{S}_n ; see Theorem 2.3. We apply this construction to get a new basis of \mathcal{S}_n (Theorem 3.2) and an explicit realization of all its simple modules (Corollary 3.4). Furthermore, building on the work of Nazarov [14, 15], we give a new version of the *fusion procedure* for \mathcal{S}_n which yields the same primitive idempotents by evaluating a universal rational function with values in \mathcal{S}_n (Theorem 4.1).

To explain our results in more detail, draw an analogy with the corresponding constructions for the symmetric group. Recall that the Jucys–Murphy elements x_1, \dots, x_n for the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n are the sums of transpositions

$$x_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_a = (1, a) + \dots + (a-1, a) \quad \text{for} \quad a = 2, \dots, n \quad (1.3)$$

in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$. They were used independently by Jucys [5] and Murphy [10] to construct the primitive idempotents $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ parameterized by the standard tableaux \mathcal{U} of shapes λ running over Young diagrams λ with n boxes. If \mathcal{U} is a standard λ -tableau, denote by \mathcal{V} the standard tableau obtained from \mathcal{U} by removing the box α occupied by n . Then the shape of \mathcal{V} is a Young diagram which we denote by μ . We let c denote the content $j - i$ of the box $\alpha = (i, j)$. We have the inductive formula due to [5] and [10]:

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{(x_n - a_1) \dots (x_n - a_l)}{(c - a_1) \dots (c - a_l)}, \quad (1.4)$$

where a_1, \dots, a_l are the contents of all addable boxes of μ except for α , and $e_{\square} = 1$ for the one-box tableau. Note the properties

$$x_a e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}} x_a = c_a(\mathcal{U}) e_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.5)$$

where $c_a(\mathcal{U})$ is the content of the box in \mathcal{U} occupied by a .

The primitive idempotents are pairwise orthogonal and form a decomposition of the identity:

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} e_{\mathcal{V}} = \delta_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} e_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad 1 = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} e_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

This implies the direct sum decomposition of the group algebra

$$\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \bigoplus_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} \mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}} \quad (1.6)$$

so that the left ideal $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}$ is isomorphic to the irreducible representation of \mathfrak{S}_n associated with λ . The well-known identity

$$n! = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} f_{\lambda}^2, \quad (1.7)$$

follows by calculating the dimensions on both sides of (1.6), where f_λ is the number of standard tableaux of shape λ .

Explicit bases of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ parameterized by pairs of standard tableaux of the same shape were constructed by Murphy [11, 12]; see also [7] for a review of these results. These constructions allow for a derivation of *Young's seminormal form* of the simple \mathfrak{S}_n -modules.

Another way to express the primitive idempotents $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ is provided by the *fusion procedure* originated from Jucys [4]. It was re-discovered by Cherednik [2]; detailed arguments were also given by Nazarov [16]. Take n variables u_1, \dots, u_n and consider the rational function with values in $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$ defined by

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \left(1 - \frac{(a, b)}{u_a - u_b} \right), \quad (1.8)$$

where the product is taken in the lexicographical order on the set of pairs (a, b) . Set $c_a = c_a(\mathcal{U})$ for $a = 1, \dots, n$. According to the version given in [9], the consecutive evaluations of the rational function (1.8) at the contents are well-defined and the value coincides with the primitive idempotent $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ up to a constant factor:

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=c_1} \Big|_{u_2=c_2} \cdots \Big|_{u_n=c_n} = \frac{n!}{f_\lambda} e_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (1.9)$$

We refer the reader e.g. to [8, Ch. 6,7] for applications of the fusion formula (1.9) to representations of the general linear Lie algebra and associated Yangian along with a brief review of the literature on the extension of the fusion procedure to other classes of algebras.

Our results for the Sergeev superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n are parallel to those for the group algebra $\mathbb{C}\mathfrak{S}_n$. We define elements $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ of \mathcal{S}_n by formula (2.4) analogous to (1.4), where the role of the standard tableaux is now played by the *standard shifted barred tableaux* \mathcal{U} as defined in Sec. 2. We prove that the elements $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ are idempotents in \mathcal{S}_n . We show that their enhancements via idempotents of the Clifford superalgebra yield a complete set of pairwise orthogonal primitive idempotents thus producing a decomposition of \mathcal{S}_n into a direct sum of left ideals (2.13) analogous to (1.6). The left ideals are simple \mathcal{S}_n -modules. This can be regarded as a refinement of the construction of Nazarov, where left ideals of \mathcal{S}_n were produced with the use of a fusion procedure [14, Thm 7.2] whose version was also given in [15]. They were shown to bear a direct sum of several copies of simple modules.

By extending some arguments of [11], we use the primitive idempotents to construct a basis of the Sergeev superalgebra parameterized by pairs of standard shifted tableaux and subsets of barred boxes (Theorem 3.2). We rely on the properties of the intertwiners ϕ_a originally introduced in [14]. They are also used in our derivation of the seminormal form of the simple modules over \mathcal{S}_n and their explicit construction as left ideals of \mathcal{S}_n (Corollary 3.4).

Our version of the fusion procedure for \mathcal{S}_n is analogous to (1.9). It uses the rational function $\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ with values in \mathcal{S}_n (given in (4.1)) which was introduced in [14] and [15]. However, the use of the *consecutive evaluations* of the variables as in (1.9) provides its alternative version similar to [9] thus showing its equivalence to the Jucys–Murphy-type formulas in (2.4) below.

We expect that the Schur–Sergeev duality between \mathcal{S}_n and the queer Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{q}_N as reviewed e.g. in [1, Ch. 3], should make the idempotent formulas provided by the Jucys–Murphy method and fusion procedure useful in the representation theory of \mathfrak{q}_N and associated objects.

2 Jucys–Murphy method

Introduce analogs of the transpositions in the Sergeev superalgebra (1.1) by setting

$$t_{ab} = (-1)^{b-a-1} t_{b-1} \dots t_{a+1} t_a t_{a+1} \dots t_{b-1}, \quad a < b,$$

and $t_{ba} = -t_{ab}$. The odd Jucys–Murphy elements [18] of \mathcal{S}_n are given by


$$m_1 = 0, \quad m_a = t_{1a} + \dots + t_{a-1,a}, \quad a = 2, \dots, n,$$

and the even Jucys–Murphy elements [14] are


$$x_a = \sqrt{2} m_a c_a, \quad a = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.1)$$

Note that $x_a^2 = 2m_a^2$ and the x_a pairwise commute.

The irreducible representations of the Sergeev superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n are parameterized by strict partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of n with $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_\ell > 0$ and $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_\ell = n$. We will set $\ell(\lambda) = \ell$ to denote the length of the partition and write $\lambda \vdash n$ to indicate that λ is a strict partition on n . A strict partition λ is usually depicted by the shifted Young diagram obtained from the usual Young diagram by shifting row i to the right by $i - 1$ unit boxes, as illustrated by the diagram for $\lambda = (6, 3, 1)$:



A (*shifted*) λ -*tableau* is obtained by writing the numbers $1, \dots, n$ bijectively into the boxes of the shifted Young diagram λ . Such a tableau is called *standard* if its entries increase from left to right in each row and from top to bottom in each column. The entries in the boxes (i, i) are called the *diagonal entries*. For instance,



is a standard λ -tableau for $\lambda = (6, 3, 1)$ with the diagonal entries 1, 3 and 7.

The dimension of the corresponding irreducible representation U^λ of \mathcal{S}_n is given by

$$\dim U^\lambda = 2^{n - \lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor} g_\lambda,$$

where g_λ is the number of standard λ -tableaux which is found by the Schur formula

$$g_\lambda = \frac{n!}{\lambda_1! \dots \lambda_\ell!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq \ell} \frac{\lambda_i - \lambda_j}{\lambda_i + \lambda_j}. \quad (2.2)$$

The Sergeev superalgebra is semisimple; the corresponding Wedderburn decomposition involves direct summands of types M and Q which are associated with the modules U^λ , where the length $\ell(\lambda)$ is even and odd, respectively:

$$\mathcal{S}_n \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n, \ell(\lambda) \text{ even}} \text{Mat}(2^{n-p}g_\lambda | 2^{n-p}g_\lambda) \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n, \ell(\lambda) \text{ odd}} \text{Q}(2^{n-p}g_\lambda),$$

where $p = \lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor + 1$; see e.g. [1, Ch. 3] for proofs. The dimension count in the decomposition yields the identity analogous to (1.7):

$$2^n n! = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} 2^{2n-\ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda^2. \quad (2.3)$$

We need to extend the set of tableaux by allowing any non-diagonal entry to occur with a bar on it, as illustrated for the above standard tableau:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \bar{4} & \bar{5} & 8 & \bar{10} \\ \hline & 3 & \bar{6} & 9 & & \\ \hline & & 7 & & & \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Note that given a strict partition $\lambda \vdash n$, the number of the corresponding standard barred tableaux equals $2^{n-\ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda$.

We will use symbols a, b, c , etc. to denote arbitrary barred or unbarred entries of \mathcal{U} . Given a standard barred tableau \mathcal{U} , introduce the *signed content* $\kappa_a(\mathcal{U})$ of any barred or unbarred entry a of \mathcal{U} by the formula

$$\kappa_a(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\sigma_a(\sigma_a + 1)} & \text{if } a = a \text{ is unbarred,} \\ -\sqrt{\sigma_a(\sigma_a + 1)} & \text{if } a = \bar{a} \text{ is barred,} \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_a = j - i$ is the content of the box (i, j) of λ occupied by a or \bar{a} . Similarly, we will extend the notation for the Jucys–Murphy elements by setting $x_a = x_a$ for $a = a$ and $a = \bar{a}$.

By analogy with (1.4), for any standard barred tableau \mathcal{U} introduce the element $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ of the Sergeev superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n by induction, setting $e_{\square} = 1$ for the one-box tableau, and

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{(x_n - b_1) \dots (x_n - b_p)}{(\kappa - b_1) \dots (\kappa - b_p)}, \quad (2.4)$$

where \mathcal{V} is the barred tableau obtained from \mathcal{U} by removing the box α occupied by n (resp. \bar{n}). The shape of \mathcal{V} is a shifted diagram which we denote by μ and b_1, \dots, b_p are the signed contents in all addable boxes of μ (barred and unbarred), except for the entry n (resp. \bar{n}), while κ is the signed content of the entry n (resp. \bar{n}).

Example 2.1. For $n = 3$ we have six barred tableaux \mathcal{U} :

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 & \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & \bar{2} & 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & \bar{2} & \bar{3} \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & \bar{2} \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

The respective elements $e_{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{S}_3$ are

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\sqrt{2} + x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{x_3(x_3 + \sqrt{6})}{12}, & \frac{\sqrt{2} + x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{x_3(x_3 - \sqrt{6})}{12}, & \frac{\sqrt{2} - x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{x_3(x_3 + \sqrt{6})}{12}, \\ & \frac{\sqrt{2} - x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{x_3(x_3 - \sqrt{6})}{12}, & \frac{\sqrt{2} + x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{6 - x_3^2}{6}, & \frac{\sqrt{2} - x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{6 - x_3^2}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $x_2^2 = 2$ and $x_3^3 = 6x_3$ so that all these elements are idempotents in \mathcal{S}_3 .

Proposition 2.2. *All elements $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ are idempotents in \mathcal{S}_n . They are pairwise orthogonal and form a decomposition of the identity:*

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} e_{\mathcal{V}} = \delta_{\mathcal{UV}} e_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad 1 = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} e_{\mathcal{U}}.$$

Moreover,

$$x_a e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}} x_a = \kappa_a(\mathcal{U}) e_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $\kappa_a(\mathcal{U})$ is the signed content of the entry a of \mathcal{U} .

Proof. We will use the explicit construction of \mathcal{S}_n -modules going back to [14] as developed in [3], [19] and [20]; see also its review in [21]. For each strict partition $\lambda \vdash n$ consider the module over \mathcal{S}_n afforded by the vector space

$$\hat{U}^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\lambda} \mathcal{C}l_n v_{\mathcal{T}}$$

with the basis vectors $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ associated with the (unbarred) standard λ -tableaux \mathcal{T} . The action of generators of \mathcal{S}_n on the basis vectors is given by explicit formulas as in [3, Sec. 5.1] and [21, Sec. 7.5]. In particular, the Jucys–Murphy elements act by the rule

$$x_a v_{\mathcal{T}} = \kappa_a(\mathcal{T}) v_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad a = 1, \dots, n, \quad (2.6)$$

as follows by taking the images of the respective generators of the degenerate affine Hecke–Clifford algebra in \mathcal{S}_n ; see *loc. cit.* Now observe that the representation

$$\hat{U} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \hat{U}^\lambda \quad (2.7)$$

of the superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n is faithful. Indeed, as a consequence of [3, Thm 5.13] or [21, Thm 7.17], each \mathcal{S}_n -module \hat{U}^λ is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of simple modules U^λ . If an element $y \in \mathcal{S}_n$ acts as zero in \hat{U} , then y acts as zero in every simple \mathcal{S}_n -module. This means that y belongs to the radical of \mathcal{S}_n , implying $y = 0$ because the superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n is semisimple.

To complete the proof, it suffices to verify the desired properties of the elements of \mathcal{S}_n for their images in the representation \hat{U} . Due to the relations

$$x_a c_a = -c_a x_a, \quad x_a c_b = c_b x_a, \quad a \neq b, \quad (2.8)$$

in \mathcal{S}_n , each subspace $\mathcal{C}l_n v_{\mathcal{T}}$ is stable under the action of the subalgebra of \mathcal{S}_n , generated by x_1, \dots, x_n and c_1, \dots, c_n . Therefore, it suffices to consider the action of the elements of \mathcal{S}_n in the statement of the proposition on these subspaces. Along with the basis vectors $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \widehat{U}^λ consider the vectors $v_{\mathcal{U}}$ associated with the standard barred tableaux \mathcal{U} . Namely, suppose that a certain tableau \mathcal{T} is obtained from \mathcal{U} by unbaring all barred entries $\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_r$ with $a_1 < \dots < a_r$. Then set

$$v_{\mathcal{U}} = c_{a_1} \dots c_{a_r} v_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (2.9)$$

Observe that

$$x_a v_{\mathcal{U}} = \kappa_a(\mathcal{U}) v_{\mathcal{U}}$$

for any entry a of \mathcal{U} . Hence the definition (2.4) implies that in \widehat{U} we have

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} v_{\mathcal{V}} = \delta_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} v_{\mathcal{V}} \quad (2.10)$$

for any two barred tableaux \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} with n boxes. Indeed, suppose that $e_{\mathcal{U}} v_{\mathcal{V}} \neq 0$. Since the Jucys–Murphy elements pairwise commute, an easy induction on n shows that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{V}$ and $e_{\mathcal{U}} v_{\mathcal{U}} = v_{\mathcal{U}}$ thus verifying (2.10).

Furthermore, if \mathcal{U} is a standard barred tableau of shape λ , suppose that its diagonal entries are $d_1 < \dots < d_\ell$. By (2.4) the idempotent $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ depends on the squares $x_{d_1}^2, \dots, x_{d_\ell}^2$ of the corresponding Jucys–Murphy elements. Then (2.8) implies that $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ commutes with the Clifford generators $c_{d_1}, \dots, c_{d_\ell}$. On the other hand, if a is an unbarred non-diagonal entry of \mathcal{U} , then (2.4) and (2.8) imply the relations

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} c_a = c_a e_{\mathcal{U}'} \quad \text{and} \quad e_{\mathcal{U}'} c_a = c_a e_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad (2.11)$$

where the tableau \mathcal{U}' is obtained from \mathcal{U} by replacing a with \bar{a} . It follows from these observations that $e_{\mathcal{U}}^2 = e_{\mathcal{U}}$, because both sides act in the same way on all subspaces $\mathcal{C}l_n v_{\mathcal{T}}$. The remaining claims follow by the same argument. \square

By Proposition 2.2, we have the direct sum decomposition into left ideals

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \bigoplus_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} \mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}},$$

with the second sum taken over standard barred tableaux \mathcal{U} of shape λ . It is implied by the proof that each left ideal $\mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a direct sum of copies of the corresponding simple modules U^λ ; cf. [14, Thm 8.3]. We will refine this decomposition by introducing idempotents for the Clifford superalgebra. Recall the isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{C}l_{2m} \cong \text{Mat}(2^{m-1} | 2^{m-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C}l_{2m+1} \cong \mathbb{Q}(2^m). \quad (2.12)$$

Each of $\mathcal{C}l_{2m}$ and $\mathcal{C}l_{2m+1}$ contains 2^m primitive idempotents $\mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{2^m}$ corresponding to the diagonal matrix units in $\text{Mat}(2^{m-1} | 2^{m-1})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(2^m)$, respectively. They are pairwise orthogonal and form a decomposition of the identity:

$$\mathcal{E}_a \mathcal{E}_b = \delta_{ab} \mathcal{E}_b, \quad 1 = \sum_{a=1}^{2^m} \mathcal{E}_a.$$

As we pointed out in the proof of Proposition 2.2, if a standard barred tableau \mathcal{U} of shape λ has diagonal entries $d_1 < \dots < d_\ell$, then $e_{\mathcal{U}}$ commutes with the Clifford generators $c_{d_1}, \dots, c_{d_\ell}$. Consider the subalgebra $\mathcal{Cl}_\ell^{\mathcal{U}}$ of \mathcal{Cl}_n generated by $c_{d_1}, \dots, c_{d_\ell}$, which is isomorphic to the Clifford superalgebra \mathcal{Cl}_ℓ . Introduce the corresponding idempotents $\mathcal{E}_1^{\mathcal{U}}, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{2^m}^{\mathcal{U}}$ in $\mathcal{Cl}_\ell^{\mathcal{U}}$, where m is defined by $\ell = 2m$ or $\ell = 2m + 1$ for the even and odd ℓ , respectively. We can conclude that the products

$$e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)} := \mathcal{E}_r^{\mathcal{U}} e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{E}_r^{\mathcal{U}},$$

with $r = 1, \dots, 2^m$, are idempotents in \mathcal{S}_n .

Theorem 2.3. *We have the direct sum decomposition*

$$\mathcal{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\lambda \vdash n} \bigoplus_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} \bigoplus_{r=1}^{2^m} \mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}, \quad (2.13)$$

with $m = \lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor$. Moreover, the left ideal $\mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}$ is isomorphic to the irreducible \mathcal{S}_n -module U^λ .

Proof. The elements $e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}$ with \mathcal{U} running over standard barred tableaux \mathcal{U} with n boxes and $r = 1, \dots, 2^m$, where λ is the shape of \mathcal{U} , are pairwise orthogonal idempotents in \mathcal{S}_n . It follows from Proposition 2.2 that they form a decomposition of the identity which implies the first part of the theorem.

Furthermore, it is clear from (2.10) that each left ideal $\mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}$ is nonzero and isomorphic to a direct sum of some copies of the irreducible \mathcal{S}_n -module U^λ . Hence,

$$\dim \mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)} \geq 2^{n - \lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor} g_\lambda. \quad (2.14)$$

Now use the decomposition (2.13). The number of standard barred λ -tableaux equals $2^{n - \ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda$ so that the dimension of the module on the right hand side is at least

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} 2^{n - \ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda \times 2^{\lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor} \times 2^{n - \lfloor \frac{\ell(\lambda)}{2} \rfloor} g_\lambda = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} 2^{2n - \ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda^2.$$

However, this coincides with $\dim \mathcal{S}_n = 2^n n!$ by the identity in (2.3). Therefore, the inequalities in (2.14) are, in fact, equalities. This proves that the left ideals are irreducible modules. \square

Note that, as an obvious consequence of Proposition 2.2, we get the expression for the Jucys–Murphy element x_n in terms of the idempotents $e_{\mathcal{U}}$:

$$x_n = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{U})=\lambda} \kappa_n(\mathcal{U}) e_{\mathcal{U}}, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\kappa_n(\mathcal{U})$ is the signed content of the entry $n = n$ or $n = \bar{n}$ of \mathcal{U} .

3 Seminormal form for irreducible modules

Following [14], for $a = 1, \dots, n-1$ introduce the intertwining elements ϕ_a of \mathcal{S}_n by

$$\phi_a = s_a(x_a^2 - x_{a+1}^2) + x_a + x_{a+1} - c_a c_{a+1}(x_a - x_{a+1}). \quad (3.1)$$

They satisfy the braid relations

$$\phi_a \phi_{a+1} \phi_a = \phi_{a+1} \phi_a \phi_{a+1}, \quad \phi_a \phi_b = \phi_b \phi_a, \quad |a - b| > 1,$$

and have the properties

$$\phi_a^2 = 2(x_a^2 + x_{a+1}^2) - (x_a^2 - x_{a+1}^2)^2 \quad (3.2)$$

together with

$$\phi_a c_a = c_{a+1} \phi_a, \quad \phi_a c_{a+1} = c_a \phi_a, \quad \phi_a c_b = c_b \phi_a, \quad b \neq a, a+1. \quad (3.3)$$

We will need the formulas for the action of the intertwiners ϕ_a on the basis vectors $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ of the \mathcal{S}_n -module \widehat{U} introduced in (2.7); see [3, Sec. 5.1] and [21, Sec. 7.5]. They have the form

$$\phi_a v_{\mathcal{T}} = (\kappa_a(\mathcal{T})^2 - \kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T})^2) \mathcal{Y}_a(\mathcal{T}) v_{s_a \mathcal{T}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Y}_a(\mathcal{T}) = \sqrt{A(\kappa_a(\mathcal{T}), \kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T}))}, \quad (3.5)$$

with

$$A(u, v) = 1 - \frac{1}{(u-v)^2} - \frac{1}{(u+v)^2}, \quad (3.6)$$

and we assume that $v_{s_a \mathcal{T}} = 0$ if the tableau $s_a \mathcal{T}$ is not standard.

For each $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ there are well-defined elements ϕ_w and $\phi_w^* = \phi_{w^{-1}}$ given by

$$\phi_w = \phi_{a_1} \dots \phi_{a_r} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_w^* = \phi_{a_r} \dots \phi_{a_1},$$

where $w = s_{a_1} \dots s_{a_r}$ is a reduced decomposition. Relations (3.3) imply that

$$\phi_w c_a = c_{w(a)} \phi_w. \quad (3.7)$$

For any $\lambda \vdash n$, the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_n acts naturally on the set of barred λ -tableaux. Namely, if a (resp. \bar{a}) is the entry of a tableau \mathcal{U} in the box α and $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, then the entry of the tableau $w\mathcal{U}$ in the box α is $w(a)$ (resp. $w(\bar{a})$).

Lemma 3.1. *For any standard barred λ -tableau \mathcal{U} and $a \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we have*

$$\phi_a e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{s_a \mathcal{U}} \phi_a, \quad (3.8)$$

where we assume that $e_{s_a \mathcal{U}} = 0$ if the tableau $s_a \mathcal{U}$ is not standard.

Proof. Suppose first that all entries of \mathcal{U} are unbarred. As we pointed out in the proof of Proposition 2.2, the representation \widehat{U} of \mathcal{S}_n is faithful. Therefore, it suffices to verify that the relation holds for the images of the elements on both sides of (3.8) acting in \widehat{U} . However, due to (2.10) and (3.4), both sides of (3.8) act in the same way on any basis vector $v_{\mathcal{T}}$.

The extension of the claim to arbitrary standard barred tableaux \mathcal{U} is straightforward from (2.11) and (3.3). \square

For every shifted Young diagram λ with n boxes we let \mathcal{R}^λ denote the *row-tableau* of shape λ obtained by writing the numbers $1, \dots, n$ consecutively into the boxes of λ by rows from left to right, starting from the top row. Given any standard λ -tableau \mathcal{T} , there is a unique permutation $d(\mathcal{T}) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $\mathcal{T} = d(\mathcal{T})\mathcal{R}^\lambda$.

Furthermore, let β be a subset of the set of non-diagonal boxes of λ . Denote by $\mathcal{R}^{\lambda, \beta}$ the tableau obtained from \mathcal{R}^λ by adding the bars to all entries occupying the boxed of β . For any two standard unbarred tableaux \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} of shape λ set

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta = \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} e_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda, \beta}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{U})}^*.$$

Lemma 3.1 implies the following equivalent expressions:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta = e_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} \phi_{d(\mathcal{U})}^* = \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} \phi_{d(\mathcal{U})}^* e_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}, \quad (3.9)$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ are the tableaux obtained from \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} , respectively, by adding the bars to all entries occupying the boxed of β . Since $e_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}^2 = e_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}$, we can also write

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta = e_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} \phi_{d(\mathcal{U})}^* e_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}} = \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} e_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda, \beta}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{U})}^* e_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}. \quad (3.10)$$

The next theorem shows that the elements $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta$ can be regarded as Sergeev superalgebra analogues of the seminormal basis vectors constructed by Murphy [11, Sec. 5.6] for the Hecke algebras.

Theorem 3.2. *As λ runs over shifted Young diagrams with n boxes, the elements $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta$ associated with standard unbarred tableaux \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{U} of shape λ and sets β of non-diagonal boxes of λ form a basis of the Sergeev superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n over $\mathcal{C}l_n$.*

Proof. The number of elements is

$$\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} 2^{n-\ell(\lambda)} g_\lambda^2,$$

which equals $n!$ by (2.3) and so coincides with the rank of \mathcal{S}_n as a $\mathcal{C}l_n$ -module. Therefore, it is enough to show that the elements are linearly independent over $\mathcal{C}l_n$. To this end, suppose that

$$\sum_{\beta, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}} c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta \zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta = 0$$

for some coefficients $c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta \in \mathcal{C}l_n$. Let us act by the linear combination on the left hand side on the basis vector $v_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} \in \widehat{U}$ associated with a standard barred tableau $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ of a certain shape μ . Let

γ denote the set of boxes of μ occupied by the barred entries and let \mathcal{V} be the tableau obtained from $\overline{\mathcal{V}}$ by removing all bars. Using (2.10) and the second expression for $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta$ in (3.10), we get

$$\sum_{\beta, \mathcal{T}} c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}}^\beta \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} e_{\mathcal{R}^{\lambda, \beta}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{V})}^* v_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} = 0.$$

By applying (3.4) we derive that $\phi_{d(\mathcal{V})}^* v_{\overline{\mathcal{V}}} = b_{\mathcal{V}}^* v_{\mathcal{R}^{\mu, \gamma}}$, where $b_{\mathcal{V}}^*$ is a nonzero constant; cf. [21, Lemma 7.8]. Therefore, by using (2.10) again we conclude that

$$\sum_{\mathcal{T}} c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}}^\gamma b_{\mathcal{V}}^* \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} v_{\mathcal{R}^{\mu, \gamma}} = 0,$$

summed over standard μ -tableaux \mathcal{T} . However, (3.4) implies that $\phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} v_{\mathcal{R}^{\mu, \gamma}} = b_{\mathcal{T}} v_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}$ for a nonzero constant $b_{\mathcal{T}}$, yielding

$$\sum_{\mathcal{T}} c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}}^\gamma b_{\mathcal{V}}^* b_{\mathcal{T}} v_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}} = 0.$$

Hence, using the definition (2.9) of $v_{\overline{\mathcal{T}}}$ we get $c_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}}^\gamma = 0$ because the vectors $v_{\mathcal{T}}$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{C}l_n$. Thus, all elements $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta$ are linearly independent over $\mathcal{C}l_n$, as required. \square

Remark 3.3. The reader will have noticed that our logic in the arguments leading to the construction of the basis of \mathcal{S}_n in Theorem 3.2 is opposite to that of Murphy's paper [11] devoted to the Hecke algebra. We have taken advantage of the direct construction of the basis of the \mathcal{S}_n -module \widehat{U}^λ given in [3, Sec. 5.1] and [21, Sec. 7.5]. On the other hand, it should be possible to reverse the direction of the arguments for the Sergeev superalgebra to get an analogue of the Murphy basis in the sense of [11, Thm 3.9]; see also [7, Ch. 3]. \square

We will now combine Theorems 2.3 and 3.2 to give an explicit realization of the irreducible \mathcal{S}_n -module U^λ for any given $\lambda \vdash n$. We will produce basis vectors of the left ideal $\mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}$ and describe the action of the generators of \mathcal{S}_n . Since all left ideals $\mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{U}}^{(r)}$ associated with standard barred tableaux of a given shape λ are isomorphic as \mathcal{S}_n -modules, we choose \mathcal{U} to be the row-tableau \mathcal{R}^λ with all entries unbarred. Furthermore, we let \mathcal{E} be the idempotent in both Clifford superalgebras (2.12) given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \prod_{a=1}^m \frac{1 + i c_{2a-1} c_{2a}}{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

If \mathcal{T} is a standard λ -tableau with the diagonal entries $d_1 < \dots < d_\ell$, we let $\mathcal{E}^\mathcal{T}$ denote the corresponding idempotent defined as in (3.11) for the Clifford superalgebra with generators $c_{d_1}, \dots, c_{d_\ell}$, where $m = \lfloor \ell/2 \rfloor$. We set $\mathcal{E}^\lambda = \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{R}^\lambda}$.

By Theorem 3.2, the left ideal $J^\lambda := \mathcal{S}_n e_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda} \mathcal{E}^\lambda$ is spanned over $\mathcal{C}l_n$ by the elements

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{U}}^\beta e_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda} \mathcal{E}^\lambda. \quad (3.12)$$

However, the second expression in (3.9) implies that element (3.12) is zero unless $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{R}^\lambda$ and β is empty. Due to Lemma 3.1 and property (3.7), in this case the element can be written as

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{T}} := \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} e_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda} \mathcal{E}^\lambda = e_{\mathcal{T}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} \mathcal{E}^\lambda = e_{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}^\mathcal{T} \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} = \mathcal{E}^\mathcal{T} e_{\mathcal{T}} \phi_{d(\mathcal{T})}. \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, J^λ is spanned over \mathcal{Cl}_n by the vectors $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}}$ associated with the standard λ -tableaux \mathcal{T} .

Furthermore, for a fixed standard λ -tableau \mathcal{T} , the span $\mathcal{Cl}_n \mathcal{E}^{\mathcal{T}}$ is a free module over the Clifford superalgebra $\mathcal{Cl}_{n-m}^{\mathcal{T}} \subset \mathcal{Cl}_n$. The latter is generated by the elements $c_{d_1}, c_{d_3}, \dots, c_{d_{2m-1}}$ together with the c_a for a running over the set $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{2m}\}$. The left ideal J^λ thus has a basis over \mathbb{C} arising from the decomposition

$$J^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\lambda} \mathcal{Cl}_{n-m}^{\mathcal{T}} \zeta_{\mathcal{T}}. \quad (3.14)$$

This is clear from the observation that the number of spanning vectors coincides with the dimension $2^{n-m} g_\lambda$ of the irreducible module U^λ . We will now derive explicit formulas for the action of the generators of \mathcal{S}_n in this basis which turn out to essentially coincide with those appeared in [3], [14], [19] and [20]. However, they were applied to a basis of the module \widehat{U}^λ isomorphic to a direct sum of several copies of U^λ ; the identification of U^λ inside \widehat{U}^λ required some additional steps; cf. [3, Thm 5.1.3], [14, Thm 8.3] and [21, Thm 7.17].

It will be convenient to normalize the basis vectors by setting

$$\xi_{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{1}{b_{\mathcal{T}}} \zeta_{\mathcal{T}}$$

for every standard λ -tableau \mathcal{T} , where the nonzero constant $b_{\mathcal{T}}$ is defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.2 by the relation $\phi_{d(\mathcal{T})} v_{\mathcal{R}^\lambda} = b_{\mathcal{T}} v_{\mathcal{T}}$. We use notation (3.5).

Corollary 3.4. *The generators of the Sergeev superalgebra \mathcal{S}_n act in the normalized basis of the simple module J^λ defined by the decomposition (3.14) by the rule:*

$$s_a \xi_{\mathcal{T}} = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T}) - \kappa_a(\mathcal{T})} + \frac{c_a c_{a+1}}{\kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T}) + \kappa_a(\mathcal{T})} \right) \xi_{\mathcal{T}} + \mathcal{Y}_a(\mathcal{T}) \xi_{s_a \mathcal{T}}, \quad (3.15)$$

$$x_a \xi_{\mathcal{T}} = \kappa_a(\mathcal{T}) \xi_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad (3.16)$$

where we assume that $\xi_{s_a \mathcal{T}} = 0$ if the tableau $s_a \mathcal{T}$ is not standard. Moreover, for a given \mathcal{T} , the action of the elements $c_{d_2}, c_{d_4}, \dots, c_{d_{2m}} \in \mathcal{Cl}_n$ is determined by

$$c_{d_{2a}} \xi_{\mathcal{T}} = i c_{d_{2a-1}} \xi_{\mathcal{T}}, \quad a = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3.17)$$

Proof. Relation (3.16) follows from (2.5) and the definition (3.13) of $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}}$. To verify (3.15), suppose first that the tableau $s_a \mathcal{T}$ is standard and the length of the permutation $d(s_a \mathcal{T})$ is greater than the length of $d(\mathcal{T})$. Then the definition of $\zeta_{\mathcal{T}}$ yields $\phi_a \zeta_{\mathcal{T}} = \zeta_{s_a \mathcal{T}}$. Using (3.1) and (3.16) we derive that

$$s_a \zeta_{\mathcal{T}} = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T}) - \kappa_a(\mathcal{T})} + \frac{c_a c_{a+1}}{\kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T}) + \kappa_a(\mathcal{T})} \right) \zeta_{\mathcal{T}} + \frac{1}{\kappa_a(\mathcal{T})^2 - \kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T})^2} \zeta_{s_a \mathcal{T}}.$$

Relation (3.15) now follows from the identity for the normalizing constants

$$b_{s_a \mathcal{T}} = b_{\mathcal{T}} (\kappa_a(\mathcal{T})^2 - \kappa_{a+1}(\mathcal{T})^2) \mathcal{Y}_a(\mathcal{T})$$

implied by (3.4). Furthermore, we also have the relation $\phi_a^2 \zeta_{\mathcal{T}} = \phi_a \zeta_{s_a \mathcal{T}}$, whose left hand side can be evaluated with the use of (3.2) and (3.16). Calculating as above by swapping the roles of \mathcal{T} and $s_a \mathcal{T}$, we get (3.15) in the case, where the length of the permutation $d(s_a \mathcal{T})$ is less than that of $d(\mathcal{T})$. If the tableau $s_a \mathcal{T}$ is not standard, then $\phi_a \zeta_{\mathcal{T}} = 0$ by Lemma 3.1, thus implying (3.15) in this case. Finally, relation (3.17) is immediate from (3.11), since $(c_{2a} - i c_{2a-1}) \mathcal{E} = 0$ for $a = 1, \dots, m$. \square

Remark 3.5. The formulas of Corollary 3.4 also provide a realization of the irreducible *calibrated* (or *completely splittable*) module over the *degenerate affine Hecke–Clifford algebra* $\mathcal{S}_n^{\text{aff}}$ associated with the strict partition λ ; see [3] and [20] for the classification of the irreducible modules of this class. The elements x_a should be understood as generators of $\mathcal{S}_n^{\text{aff}}$; see [14].

4 Fusion procedure

Following [14, 15], introduce rational functions in variables u, v with values in \mathcal{S}_n by setting

$$\varphi_{ab}(u, v) = 1 + t_{ab} \frac{(u c_a - v c_b) \sqrt{2}}{u^2 - v^2}, \quad a \neq b.$$

Equivalently, in terms of the presentation $\mathcal{S}_n = \mathbb{C} \mathfrak{S}_n \rtimes \mathcal{C}l_n$ as defined in (1.2), we have

$$\varphi_{ab}(u, v) = 1 - \frac{(a, b)}{u - v} + \frac{(a, b) c_a c_b}{u + v}.$$

Take n complex variables u_1, \dots, u_n and set

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \varphi_{ab}(u_a, u_b), \quad (4.1)$$

where the product is taken in the lexicographic order on the pairs (a, b) .

Suppose that $\lambda \Vdash n$ and let \mathcal{U} be a standard barred λ -tableau. For every $a = 1, \dots, n$ set $\kappa_a = \kappa_a(\mathcal{U})$ if $a = a$ or $a = \bar{a}$ is the entry of \mathcal{U} .

Theorem 4.1. *The consecutive evaluations are well-defined and we have the identity*

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=\kappa_1} \Big|_{u_2=\kappa_2} \cdots \Big|_{u_n=\kappa_n} = \frac{n!}{g_\lambda} e_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proof. We argue by induction on n and note that the identity is trivial for $n = 1$. Observe that $\varphi_{ab}(u, v)$ and $\varphi_{cd}(u', v')$ commute if the indices a, b, c, d are distinct. Hence using the induction hypothesis and setting $u = u_n$, for $n \geq 2$ we get

$$\Phi(u_1, \dots, u_n) \Big|_{u_1=\kappa_1} \cdots \Big|_{u_{n-1}=\kappa_{n-1}} = \frac{(n-1)!}{g_\mu} e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{1n}(\kappa_1, u) \cdots \varphi_{n-1,n}(\kappa_{n-1}, u),$$

where the barred tableau \mathcal{V} is obtained from \mathcal{U} by removing the box occupied by n or \bar{n} and μ is the shape of \mathcal{V} . Hence, it suffices to show that the following evaluation is well-defined with the value given by

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{1n}(\kappa_1, u) \cdots \varphi_{n-1,n}(\kappa_{n-1}, u) \Big|_{u=\kappa_n} = \frac{n g_\mu}{g_\lambda} e_{\mathcal{U}}. \quad (4.3)$$

Lemma 4.2. *We have the relation*

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{n,n-1}(u, \kappa_{n-1}) \cdots \varphi_{n1}(u, \kappa_1) = \frac{u - x_n}{u} e_{\mathcal{V}}. \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. A counterpart of this relation was pointed out in [15, Prop. 3.1] in a different context; we will give a direct proof. It will be convenient to prove more general relations in \mathcal{S}_n ,

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{n,r}(u, \kappa_r) \cdots \varphi_{n1}(u, \kappa_1) = \frac{u - x_{rn}}{u} e_{\mathcal{V}}, \quad (4.5)$$

where $r = 1, \dots, n-1$ and \mathcal{V} now denotes a standard barred tableau with r boxes; the numbers $\kappa_a = \kappa_a(\mathcal{V})$ are the signed contents of the entries of \mathcal{V} and

$$x_{rn} = \sqrt{2} (t_{1n} + \cdots + t_{rn}) c_n.$$

Note that x_{rn} commutes with x_1, \dots, x_r and so it commutes with $e_{\mathcal{V}}$. It is clear that (4.5) holds for $r = 1$. To proceed by the induction on r , suppose that $r \geq 2$. Let \mathcal{W} be the barred tableau obtained from \mathcal{V} by removing the box occupied by r or \bar{r} . Write $e_{\mathcal{V}} = e_{\mathcal{V}} e_{\mathcal{W}}$, which is an obvious generalization of the idempotent property established in Proposition 2.2, and observe that $e_{\mathcal{W}}$ commutes with the factor $\varphi_{n,r}(u, \kappa_r)$. Hence, by the induction hypothesis, the left hand side of (4.5) can be written as

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{n,r}(u, \kappa_r) \frac{u - x_{r-1,n}}{u} e_{\mathcal{W}} = e_{\mathcal{V}} \left(1 + t_{rn} \frac{(\kappa_r c_r - u c_n) \sqrt{2}}{u^2 - \kappa_r^2} \right) \left(1 - \frac{x_{r-1,n}}{u} \right).$$

To simplify this expression, use (2.5) to derive the relation

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathcal{V}} t_{rn} (t_{1n} + \cdots + t_{r-1,n}) &= -e_{\mathcal{V}} (t_{1r} + \cdots + t_{r-1,r}) t_{rn} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{\mathcal{V}} x_r c_r t_{rn} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \kappa_r e_{\mathcal{V}} t_{rn} c_r \end{aligned}$$

thus verifying (4.5). □

Returning to the proof of the theorem, use the relations

$$\varphi_{ab}(u, v) \varphi_{ba}(v, u) = A(u, v)$$

which hold for $a \neq b$, where $A(u, v)$ is defined in (3.6). Hence, we derive from Lemma 4.2 that

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi_{1n}(\kappa_1, u) \cdots \varphi_{n-1,n}(\kappa_{n-1}, u) = \frac{u}{u - x_n} e_{\mathcal{V}} A(u, \kappa_1) \cdots A(u, \kappa_{n-1}),$$

and the right hand side can be written as

$$\frac{u - \kappa_n}{u - x_n} e_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot \frac{u}{u - \kappa_n} A(u, \kappa_1) \cdots A(u, \kappa_{n-1}).$$

The required relation (4.3) will follow from the claim that both the following evaluations are well-defined with the values given by

$$\frac{u - \kappa_n}{u - x_n} e_{\mathcal{V}} \Big|_{u=\kappa_n} = e_{\mathcal{U}} \quad (4.6)$$

and

$$\frac{u}{u - \kappa_n} A(u, \kappa_1) \dots A(u, \kappa_{n-1}) \Big|_{u=\kappa_n} = \frac{ng_{\mu}}{g_{\lambda}}. \quad (4.7)$$

To verify (4.6), note that the definition (2.4) implies

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} = \sum_{\mathcal{V} \nearrow \mathcal{W}} e_{\mathcal{W}}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\mathcal{V} \nearrow \mathcal{W}$ means that the barred tableau \mathcal{W} is obtained from \mathcal{V} by adding one box with the entry n or \bar{n} . Since $x_n e_{\mathcal{V}} = \kappa_n(\mathcal{W}) e_{\mathcal{W}}$ for $n = n$ or $n = \bar{n}$ by (2.5), we use (2.15) to write

$$e_{\mathcal{V}} \frac{u - \kappa_n}{u - x_n} = \sum_{\mathcal{V} \nearrow \mathcal{W}} e_{\mathcal{W}} \frac{u - \kappa_n}{u - \kappa_n(\mathcal{W})} = e_{\mathcal{U}} + \sum_{\mathcal{V} \nearrow \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W} \neq \mathcal{U}} e_{\mathcal{W}} \frac{u - \kappa_n}{u - \kappa_n(\mathcal{W})}.$$

Hence the evaluation at $u = \kappa_n$ is well-defined and (4.6) follows.

To verify (4.7), note that the product depends only on the shape μ of \mathcal{V} so we may choose a particular tableau \mathcal{V} for its evaluation. Moreover, since the rational function $A(u, v)$ is even in u and v , we may take \mathcal{V} to be unbarred; we will take the row tableau obtained by filling in the boxes of μ with the numbers $1, \dots, n-1$ by consecutive rows from left to right in each row. Noting the formula

$$A(u, 0)A(u, \sqrt{2}) \dots A(u, \sqrt{p(p-1)}) = \frac{u^2 - p(p+1)}{u^2 - p(p-1)},$$

we can write

$$A(u, \kappa_1) \dots A(u, \kappa_{n-1}) = \prod_{a=1}^m \frac{u^2 - \mu_a(\mu_a + 1)}{u^2 - \mu_a(\mu_a - 1)},$$

where we set $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ with $m = \ell(\mu)$. Suppose first, that $m = \ell(\lambda)$ so that the tableau \mathcal{U} is obtained from \mathcal{V} by adding a box with the entry n or \bar{n} at the row $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then $\kappa_n = \sqrt{\mu_k(\mu_k + 1)}$ or $\kappa_n = -\sqrt{\mu_k(\mu_k + 1)}$, respectively, while $\mu_{k-1} \geq \mu_k + 2$. Therefore, the left hand side of (4.7) is well-defined with the value

$$(\mu_k + 1) \prod_{a=1, a \neq k}^m \frac{\mu_k(\mu_k + 1) - \mu_a(\mu_a + 1)}{\mu_k(\mu_k + 1) - \mu_a(\mu_a - 1)}.$$

It is easily seen that this coincides with ng_{μ}/g_{λ} , as implied by the Schur formula (2.2), thus confirming (4.7) in the case under consideration. In the remaining case with $m = \ell(\lambda) - 1$, the tableau \mathcal{U} is obtained from \mathcal{V} by adding the box $(\ell(\lambda), \ell(\lambda))$ with the entry n . This is possible when $\mu_m \geq 2$. In this case, $\kappa_n = 0$ and the left hand side of (4.7) is well-defined with the value

$$\prod_{a=1}^m \frac{\mu_a + 1}{\mu_a - 1},$$

which coincides with the right hand side of (4.7). □

Example 4.3. Consider the tableau

$$\mathcal{U} = \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 2 \\ \hline & 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

of shape $(2, 1)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u_1, u_2, u_3) &= \left(1 + t_{12} \frac{(u_1 c_1 - u_2 c_2) \sqrt{2}}{u_1^2 - u_2^2}\right) \left(1 + t_{13} \frac{(u_1 c_1 - u_3 c_3) \sqrt{2}}{u_1^2 - u_3^2}\right) \left(1 + t_{23} \frac{(u_2 c_2 - u_3 c_3) \sqrt{2}}{u_2^2 - u_3^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the direct evaluation of this function at $u_1 = u_3 = 0$ and $u_2 = \sqrt{2}$ is not defined. As in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we first set $u_1 = 0$, $u_2 = \sqrt{2}$ and write u for u_3 . Then

$$\Phi(0, \sqrt{2}, u) = \left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 + \frac{t_{13} c_3 \sqrt{2}}{u}\right) \left(1 + t_{23} \frac{2c_2 - u c_3 \sqrt{2}}{2 - u^2}\right).$$

Now observe that $1 + t_{12} c_2 = (1 + t_{12} c_2) t_{12} c_2$ and

$$t_{12} c_2 \cdot \frac{t_{13} c_3 \sqrt{2}}{u} \cdot t_{23} \frac{2c_2 - u c_3 \sqrt{2}}{2 - u^2} = \frac{t_{12} t_{13} t_{23} (2u c_2 + 2c_3 \sqrt{2})}{u(u^2 - 2)} = \frac{t_{13} (2u c_2 + 2c_3 \sqrt{2})}{u(u^2 - 2)}.$$

Hence

$$\Phi(0, \sqrt{2}, u) = \left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 - t_{13} \frac{2c_2 + u c_3 \sqrt{2}}{2 - u^2} + t_{23} \frac{2c_2 - u c_3 \sqrt{2}}{2 - u^2}\right),$$

which is regular at $u = 0$ with the value

$$\left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 - (t_{13} - t_{23}) c_2\right) = \left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 + c_2 (t_{13} - t_{23})\right).$$

On the other hand, the corresponding idempotent from Example 2.1 takes the form

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} + x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{6 - x_3^2}{6}.$$

Since $x_2 = \sqrt{2} t_{12} c_2$ and

$$x_3^2 = 2 m_3^2 = 2(t_{13} + t_{23})^2 = 4 + 2 t_{12} (t_{13} - t_{23}),$$

we get

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 - t_{12} (t_{13} - t_{23})\right) = \frac{1}{6} \left(1 + t_{12} c_2\right) \left(1 + c_2 (t_{13} - t_{23})\right)$$

thus agreeing with Theorem 4.1.

Example 4.4. Consider the particular case $\lambda = (n)$ with the only standard unbarred tableau \mathcal{U} . This time the evaluations in (4.2) can be made simultaneously, so that the evaluated product is given by

$$\Phi(0, \sqrt{2}, \dots, \sqrt{n(n-1)}) = \prod_{1 \leq a < b \leq n} \varphi_{ab}(\sqrt{a(a-1)}, \sqrt{b(b-1)});$$

cf. [15, Eq. (1.3)]. By Theorem 4.1, it equals $n! e_{\mathcal{U}}$ with

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{\sqrt{2} + x_2}{2\sqrt{2}} \prod_{k=3}^n \frac{x_k(x_k + \sqrt{k(k-1)})}{2k(k-1)}.$$

Declarations

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Andrew Mathas for illuminating discussions of the Jucys–Murphy method. This project was initiated during the second and third named authors’ visits to the Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics. They are grateful to the Center for warm hospitality. The work of A. Molev was also supported by the Australian Research Council, grant DP240101572.

Availability of data and materials

No data was used for the research described in the article.

References

- [1] S.-J. Cheng and W. Wang, *Dualities and representations of Lie superalgebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 144. AMS, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [2] I. V. Cherednik, *Special bases of irreducible representations of a degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, *Funct. Analysis Appl.* **20** (1986), 76–78.
- [3] D. Hill, J. Kujawa and J. Sussan, *Degenerate affine Hecke–Clifford algebras and type Q Lie superalgebras*, *Math. Z.* **268** (2011), 1091–1158.
- [4] A. Jucys, *On the Young operators of the symmetric group*, *Lietuvos Fizikos Rinkinys* **6** (1966), 163–180.
- [5] A. Jucys, *Factorization of Young projection operators for the symmetric group*, *Lietuvos Fizikos Rinkinys* **11** (1971), 5–10.

- [6] A. Kleshchev, *Linear and projective representations of symmetric groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., 163 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [7] A. Mathas, *Iwahori–Hecke algebras and Schur algebras of the symmetric group*, Univ. Lecture Ser., 15 AMS, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [8] A. Molev, *Yangians and classical Lie algebras*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 143. AMS, Providence, RI, 2007.
- [9] A. I. Molev, *On the fusion procedure for the symmetric group*, Reports Math. Phys. **61** (2008), 181–188.
- [10] G. E. Murphy, *A new construction of Young’s seminormal representation of the symmetric group*, J. Algebra **69** (1981), 287–291.
- [11] G. E. Murphy, *On the representation theory of the symmetric groups and associated Hecke algebras*, J. Algebra **152** (1992), 492–513.
- [12] G. E. Murphy, *The representations of Hecke algebras of type A_n* , J. Algebra **173** (1995), 97–121.
- [13] M. L. Nazarov, *Young’s orthogonal form of irreducible projective representations of the symmetric group*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 437–451.
- [14] M. Nazarov, *Young’s symmetrizers for projective representations of the symmetric group*, Adv. Math. **127** (1997), 190–257.
- [15] M. Nazarov, *Capelli identities for Lie superalgebras*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **30** (1997), 847–872.
- [16] M. Nazarov, *Yangians and Capelli identities*, in: “Kirillov’s Seminar on Representation Theory” (G. I. Olshanski, Ed.), Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **181**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 139–163.
- [17] A. N. Sergeev, *The tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over the Lie superalgebras $gl(n, m)$ and $Q(n)$* , Math. USSR Sbornik **51** (1985), 419–427.
- [18] A. Sergeev, *The Howe duality and the projective representations of symmetric groups*, Rep. Theory **3** (1999), 416–434.
- [19] A. Vershik and A. Sergeev, *A new approach to the representation theory of the symmetric groups. IV. Z_2 -graded groups and algebras: projective representations of the group S_n* , Mosc. Math. J. **8** (2008), 813–842.
- [20] J. Wan, *Completely splittable representations of affine Hecke–Clifford algebras*, J. Algebraic Combin. **32** (2010), 15–58.

[21] J. Wan and W. Wang, *Lectures on spin representation theory of symmetric groups*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sin. (N.S.) **7** (2012), 91–164.

I.K.:

Shenzhen International Center for Mathematics
Southern University of Science and Technology, China
kashuba@sustech.edu.cn

A.M.:

School of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
alexander.molev@sydney.edu.au

V.S.:

Department of Mathematics
University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
serganov@math.berkeley.edu