

Variable degeneracy of planar graphs without chorded 6-cycles

Huihui Fang* Danjun Huang[†] Tao Wang[‡] Weifan Wang[§]

Abstract

A cover of a graph G is a graph H with vertex set $V(H) = \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} L_v$, where $L_v = \{v\} \times [s]$, and the edge set $M = \bigcup_{uv \in E(G)} M_{uv}$, where M_{uv} is a matching between L_u and L_v . A vertex set $T \subseteq V(H)$ is a transversal of H if $|T \cap L_v| = 1$ for each $v \in V(G)$. Let f be a nonnegative integer valued function on the vertex-set of H . If for any nonempty subgraph Γ of $H[T]$, there exists a vertex $x \in V(H)$ such that $d(x) < f(x)$, then T is called a strictly f -degenerate transversal. In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for the existence of strictly f -degenerate transversal for planar graphs without chorded 6-cycles. As a consequence, every planar graph without subgraphs isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 4 is DP-4-colorable.

Keywords: Variable degeneracy; DP-coloring; List coloring; Planar graph
MSC2020: 05C15

1 Introduction

All graphs in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. A graph G is planar if it has a drawing in the Euclidean plane without crossings. Such a drawing is a planar embedding of G . A plane graph is a particular planar embedding of a planar graph. A k -cycle is a cycle of length k , and a 3-cycle is usually called as a triangle. Two cycles are *adjacent* (or *intersecting*) if they share at least one edge (or vertex). Two adjacent cycles are called to be *normally adjacent* if the intersection is a single edge and exactly two vertices.

List coloring is a well-known generalization of proper k -coloring, introduced by Vizing [21] and independently by Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor [3]. Let L assign a list $L(v)$ of possible colors to each vertex v of G with $|L(v)| \geq k$. Then we say that L is a k -list assignment for the graph G . If G has a proper coloring ϕ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each vertex v , then we say that G is L -colorable. If G is L -colorable for any k -list assignment L , then G is k -choosable. The *list chromatic number* of G , denoted by $\chi_\ell(G)$, is the smallest positive integer k such that G is k -choosable. By the definition, it holds trivially that $\chi_\ell(G) \geq \chi(G)$ for any graph G , where $\chi(G)$ is the chromatic number of G .

Thomassen [20] showed that every planar graph is 5-choosable, and Voigt [22] found an example that is not a 4-choosable planar graph. It is interesting in graph coloring to find sufficient conditions for planar graphs to be 4-choosable. Note that every triangle-free planar graph is 4-choosable since it is 3-degenerate. Moreover, Wang and Lih [25] improved this result by proving that planar graphs without intersecting 3-cycles are 4-choosable. A planar graph is 4-choosable if it does not have k -cycles for $k = 4$ [10], for $k = 5$ [24], for $k = 6$ [9], for $k = 7$ [4].

*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China.

[†]School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China.

[‡]Center for Applied Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, China. **Corresponding author:** wangtao@henu.edu.cn; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9732-1617>

[§]School of Mathematical Sciences, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua 321004, China.

In 2018, Dvořák and Postle [2] introduced the concept of DP-coloring (under the name *correspondence coloring*), and showed that DP-coloring is a generalization of list coloring.

Let G be a graph and $L_v = \{v\} \times [s]$ for $v \in V(G)$, where $[s] = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. For each edge uv in G , let M_{uv} be a matching between the sets L_u and L_v . Let $M = \cup_{uv \in E(G)} M_{uv}$, which is called a matching assignment. Then a graph H is said to be the M -cover of G if it satisfies all the following conditions:

- (i) the vertex set of H is $\bigcup_{u \in V(G)} L_u$;
- (ii) the edge set of H is M .

A *transversal* of an M -cover H is a vertex subset T of $V(H)$ with $|T \cap L_v| = 1$ for each $v \in V(G)$. Let f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. A transversal T is *strictly f -degenerate* if every nonempty subgraph of $H[T]$ has a vertex x of degree less than $f(x)$ in this subgraph. When f is restricted to be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1\}$, a strictly f -degenerate transversal of H is called a DP-coloring of H . If there is no confusion, then a DP-coloring of H is also called a DP-coloring of G .

The *DP-chromatic number*, denoted by $\chi_{DP}(G)$, is the minimum integer k such that H admits a DP-coloring whenever H is a cover of G and f is a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1\}$ with $\sum_{x \in L_v} f(x) \geq k$ for each $v \in V(G)$. We say that a graph G is *DP- k -colorable* if $\chi_{DP}(G) \leq k$.

As in proper coloring, we call the elements of $[s]$ as colors, and call the element i as the color of v if (v, i) is chosen in a transversal of H . And a vertex v colored with i is also stated that i is the color of v . Note that DP-coloring is a generalization of list coloring. This implies that $\chi_\ell(G) \leq \chi_{DP}(G)$. It is obvious that DP-coloring and list coloring can be quite different. For example, $\chi_\ell(C) < \chi_{DP}(C)$ for every even cycle C .

Dvořák and Postle [2] followed Thomassen's proof [20] to show that every planar graph G has $\chi_{DP}(G) \leq 5$. Kim and Ozeki [7] proved that for each $k \in \{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, every planar graph without k -cycles is DP-4-colorable. Recently, it is proved that every planar graph is DP-4-colorable if it does not contain i -cycles adjacent to j -cycles for distinct i and j from $\{3, 4, 5, 6\}$, see [1, 8, 13, 15]. More sufficient conditions for a planar graph to be DP-4-colorable see [5, 11, 14, 18].

For strictly f -degenerate transversals, we are interested in the value

$$\eta(G) = \min_{v \in V(G)} \left\{ \sum_{x \in L_v} f(x) \right\}$$

which guarantees the existence of strictly f -degenerate transversals whenever H is a cover of G and f is a function on $V(H)$.

It is interesting to find sufficient conditions for small value of $\eta(G)$. Sittitrai and Nakprasit [19] proved that every planar graph without 3-cycles adjacent to 4-cycles has a strictly f -degenerate transversal whenever f is a mapping to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\eta(G) \geq 4$. Nakprasit and Nakprasit [17] proved that every planar graph has a strictly f -degenerate transversal whenever f is a mapping to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\eta(G) \geq 5$. They also proved that every planar graph without pairwise adjacent 3-, 4- and 5-cycles has a strictly f -degenerate transversal whenever f is a mapping to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\eta(G) \geq 4$. The third result they obtained is that every planar graph without cycles of lengths 4, $a, b, 9$, where a and b are distinct values from $\{6, 7, 8\}$, has a strictly f -degenerate transversal whenever f is a mapping to $\{0, 1, 2\}$ and $\eta(G) \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.1 (Li and Wang [12]). Let G be a planar graph without subgraphs isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 1. Let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + f(v, 2) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then H has a strictly f -degenerate transversal.




Fig. 1: Forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.1.




Fig. 2: Forbidden configurations in Theorem 1.2.

A 4^- -cycle is **good** if there is no vertex having four neighbors on the cycle, otherwise it is a **bad cycle**. It is observed that every 3-cycle is good. Wang et al. [23] gave the following result on planar graphs with some restrictions on 6^- -cycles.

Theorem 1.2 (Wang et al. [23]). Let G be a planar graph without any configuration in Fig. 2, and let $x_1x_2 \dots x_lx_1$ be a good 4^- -cycle in G . Let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + f(v, 2) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then each strictly f -degenerate transversal R_0 of $H_0 = H[\bigcup_{i \in [l]} L_{x_i}]$ can be extended to a strictly f -degenerate transversal of H .




Fig. 3: Forbidden subgraphs in Theorem 1.3.

Huang and Qi [6] considered a subclass of planar graphs without chorded 6-cycles, and proved the following result. Note that they did not prove the result for all the planar graphs without chorded 6-cycles.

Theorem 1.3 (Huang and Qi [6]). Every planar graph without subgraphs isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 3 is DP-4-colorable.

In this paper, we mainly prove the following result.




Fig. 4: Forbidden subgraphs in Theorem 1.4.

Theorem 1.4. Let G be a planar graph without any subgraph isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 4, and let $abca$ be a 3-cycle in G . Let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + f(v, 2) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then each strictly f -degenerate transversal of $H[L_a \cup L_b \cup L_c]$ can be extended to a strictly f -degenerate transversal of H .

Note that a triangle is required in Theorem 1.4, but the triangle is not necessary in the following corollary. If G is a triangle-free graph, then we can attach a triangle at a vertex b such that b is a cut vertex, and then by Theorem 1.4 we obtain a desired strictly f -degenerate transversal.

Corollary 1.5. Let G be a planar graph without any subgraph isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 4, and let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + f(v, 2) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$ for each $v \in V(G)$, then H has a strictly f -degenerate transversal.

Corollary 1.6. Every planar graph without any subgraph isomorphic to the configurations in Fig. 4 is DP-4-colorable.

Although it is not proved that every planar graph without chorded 6-cycles is DP-4-colorable, but we believe that it is true, so we make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1.7. Every planar graph without chorded 6-cycles is DP-4-colorable.

In all the figures, every solid quadrilateral represents a 4-vertex, pentagon represents a 5-vertex, and hexagon represents a 6-vertex. In addition, a vertex is represented by a red solid point if it is a vertex of outer face.

To finished this section, we introduce some notations. Let G be a plane graph. We use $V(G)$, $E(G)$, and $F(G)$ for the vertex set, the edge set, and the face set respectively. A k -vertex (k^+ -vertex, k^- -vertex, respectively) represents a vertex of degree k (at least k , at most k , respectively). A *wheel graph on n -vertices* is a graph formed by connecting a single vertex to all vertices of an $(n - 1)$ -cycle.

We use $d(f)$ to denote the degree of a face f . Let $b(f)$ be the boundary of a face f and write $f = [v_1 v_2 \dots v_d]$ when v_1, v_2, \dots, v_d are the boundary vertices of f in a cyclic order. A k -face (k^+ -face, k^- -face, respectively) represents a face of degree k (at least k , at most k , respectively). An *internal face* is a bounded face that does not share any vertex with the unbounded face. We use $\text{int}(C)$ and $\text{ext}(C)$ to denote the vertices inside and outside a cycle C , respectively. If neither $\text{int}(C)$ nor $\text{ext}(C)$ is empty, then the cycle C is called a *separating cycle*.

2 Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{G} be a class of graphs which is closed under deleting vertices, i.e., every induced subgraph is also in \mathcal{G} .

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 5.3 in Wang et al. [23]). Let $k \geq 3$, and G be a graph in \mathcal{G} , and let K be an induced subgraph of G with $V(K) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m\}$ such that the following hold.

- (i) $k - (d_G(v_1) - d_K(v_1)) > k - (d_G(v_m) - d_K(v_m))$;
- (ii) $d_G(v_m) \leq k$ and $v_1 v_m \in E(G)$;
- (iii) For $2 \leq i \leq m - 1$, v_i has at most $k - 1$ neighbors in $G - \{v_{i+1}, \dots, v_m\}$.

Let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq k$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, then any strictly f -degenerate transversal of $H - \bigcup_{v \in V(K)} L_v$ can be extended to that of H .

In the proof of Theorem 2.1, a certain color is chosen for v_1 to “save” a color for v_m . We call v_1 and v_m are *paired*. Wang et al. [23] generalized Theorem 2.1 to the following result with at most three nested pairs.

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 5.5 and 5.6 in Wang et al. [23]). Let G be a graph in \mathcal{G} , and let K be a subgraph isomorphic to a configuration in Fig. 8–Fig. 12 (with the requirements in the captions). Let H be a cover of G and f be a function from $V(H)$ to $\{0, 1, 2\}$. If $f(v, 1) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, then any strictly f -degenerate transversal of $H - \bigcup_{v \in V(K)} L_v$ can be extended to that of H .

3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Assume that (G, H, f) is a counterexample to Theorem 1.4 with $|V(G)|$ as small as possible, and R_0 is a strictly f -degenerate transversal of $H[L_a \cup L_b \cup L_c]$. Without loss of generality, assume that G has been embedded in the plane.

Lemma 3.1. There are no separating 3-cycles. We may assume that $abca$ bounds the outer face D .

Proof. Suppose that there is a separating 3-cycle $C = xyzx$. By symmetry, we may assume that $\{a, b, c\} \cap \text{int}(C) = \emptyset$. By the minimality, R_0 can be extended to a strictly f -degenerate transversal R_1 of $H[\bigcup_{v \notin \text{int}(C)} L_v]$. We revise the function f to obtain a function f^* on $H[\bigcup_{v \notin \text{ext}(C)} L_v]$ by $f^*(x, i_x) = f^*(y, i_y) = f^*(z, i_z) = 1$, where $(x, i_x), (y, i_y), (z, i_z) \in R_1$. Delete all the edges in $H[L_a \cup L_b \cup L_c]$ and obtain a new cover H^* . By the minimality, $\{(x, i_x), (y, i_y), (z, i_z)\}$ can be extended to a strictly f^* -degenerate transversal R^* of $H^*[\bigcup_{v \notin \text{ext}(C)} L_v]$. Thus, $R_1 \cup R^*$ is a strictly f -degenerate transversal of H , a contradiction.

Since there is no separating 3-cycle, the cycle $abca$ must bound a 3-face. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $abca$ bounds the outer face D . \square

A vertex v in G is *internal* if v is not incident with the outer face D . A subgraph in G is *internal* if all its vertices are internal.

Lemma 3.2 (Theorem 4.4 in Lu et al. [16]). Every internal vertex in G has degree at least four. Then there are at most three 3^- -vertices.




Fig. 5: Clusters.



(a) A 5-face adjacent to a 3-face (b) A 4-face adjacent to two 3-faces, x is a 3-vertex

Fig. 6: Certain adjacent faces.

Let G^* be an auxiliary graph with vertex-set $\{f : f \text{ is a 3-face other than } D\}$, two vertices f_u and f_v in G^* being adjacent if and only if the two 3-faces are adjacent in G . A *cluster* in G consists of some 3-faces which corresponds to a connected component of G^* . By the definition of clusters, every 3-face other than D belongs to a unique cluster.

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and the hypothesis that G has no chorded 6-cycles, we can obtain the following result on clusters.

Lemma 3.3. There are four types of clusters as depicted in Fig. 5.

When we refer to the clusters, we always use the labels as in Fig. 5. The followings are some structural results on the graph.

Lemma 3.4. The following statements are true.

- (i) If a 4-cycle $u_1u_2u_3u_4u_1$ bounds a 4-face, then the 4-cycle has no chord.
- (ii) If a 3-face is adjacent to a 4^- -face, then they are normally adjacent.
- (iii) There is no subgraph isomorphic to K_4 .
- (iv) There are no adjacent 4-faces.
- (v) Every internal 5^+ -vertex v is incident with at most $\lfloor \frac{3d(v)}{4} \rfloor$ triangular faces.
- (vi) If a 5-face is adjacent to a 3-face, then it can only be as depicted in Fig. 6(a).
- (vii) If a 4-face is adjacent to two 3-faces, then it can only be as depicted in Fig. 6(b). Hence, a 4-face is adjacent to at most two 3-faces.
- (viii) Each face adjacent to C_4 is a 6^+ -face.
- (ix) Each face adjacent to C_3 is a 6^+ -face or D . Moreover, if a C_3 is adjacent to D , then $u_2u_5 \in E(G)$ and $u_1u_2u_5u_1$ bounds D .
- (x) Each face adjacent to C_2 is a 6^+ -face or D .




Fig. 7: A cluster \mathcal{C}_4 is incident with some clusters \mathcal{C}_3 .

- (xi) For a cluster \mathcal{C}_4 , if P is a path linking u_2 and u_3 with $P \cap \{u_1, u_4, u_5\} = \emptyset$, then $|E(P)| \notin \{2, 3, 4\}$.
- (xii) For a cluster \mathcal{C}_4 , if P is a path linking u_2 and u_4 with $P \cap \{u_1, u_3, u_5\} = \emptyset$, then $|E(P)| \notin \{1, 2, 3\}$.
- (xiii) If a cluster \mathcal{C}_4 and a cluster \mathcal{C}_3 have a common 5-vertex, then there is only one case, as shown in Fig. 7(a).
- (xiv) If a cluster \mathcal{C}_4 is incident with two clusters \mathcal{C}_3 and the two common vertices are adjacent 5-vertices in \mathcal{C}_4 , then there is only one case, as shown in Fig. 7(b).

Proof. (i) Suppose that u_1 and u_3 are adjacent. Since $u_1u_2u_3u_1$ is a 3-cycle, it must bound a 3-face. Thus, u_2 is a 2-vertex and $u_1u_2u_3u_1$ bounds the outer face. Note that $u_1u_3u_4u_1$ is also a 3-cycle, it bounds a 3-face, thus u_4 is a 2-vertex, but u_4 should be an internal vertex and has degree at least four, a contradiction.

(ii) Observe that two adjacent 3-faces must be normally adjacent. If a 3-face is adjacent to a 4-face, then they are normally adjacent because 4-face has no chord.

(iii) Assume that there is a subgraph isomorphic to K_4 . One can observe that there are four 3-cycles in K_4 , and every 3-cycle bounds a 3-face. Thus, $G = K_4$ which contradicts Lemma 3.2.

(iv) Assume that a 4-face $[v_1v_2v_3v_4]$ is adjacent to another 4-face $[v_1v_2uw]$. By Lemma 3.4(i), we have that $u \neq v_4$. If $u = v_3$, then v_2 is a 2-vertex which is incident with two 4-faces, contradicts Lemma 3.2. Then $u \notin \{v_3, v_4\}$. By symmetry, we have that $w \notin \{v_3, v_4\}$. In this case, the two normally adjacent 4-cycles form a chorded 6-cycle, a contradiction.

(v) Since there is no chorded 6-cycle, there is no four consecutive 3-faces incident with a 5^+ -vertex. Then four consecutive faces contain at most three 3-faces. It follows that every internal 5^+ -vertex v is incident with at most $\lfloor \frac{3d(v)}{4} \rfloor$ triangular faces.

(vi) Assume that a 5-face $[v_1v_2v_3v_4v_5]$ is adjacent to a 3-face $[v_1v_2u]$. Since there is no chorded 6-cycle, $u \in \{v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. By symmetry, we need to consider two cases: $u = v_3$ or $u = v_4$. Suppose that $u = v_4$. Then $v_2v_3v_4v_2$ bounds a 3-face, and v_3 is a 2-vertex. It follows that $v_2v_3v_4v_2$ bounds the outer face D , and v_5 is an internal 4^+ -vertex. But $v_1v_4v_5v_1$ is a separating 3-cycle, contradicts Lemma 3.1. Hence, $u = v_3$ and v_2 is a 2-vertex which is incident with the outer 3-face D , see Fig. 6(a).

(vii) Let $f = [v_1v_2v_3v_4]$ be a 4-face. Suppose that f is adjacent to two 3-faces $[v_1v_2u]$ and $[v_3v_4w]$. Since $[v_1v_2v_3v_4]$ has no chord, we have that $u, w \notin \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$. Note that $u = w$, for otherwise $v_1uv_2v_3wv_4v_1$ is a chorded 6-cycle. By Lemma 3.1, every 3-cycle bounds a 3-face, thus u is incident with four 3-faces. Observe that G is a wheel on five vertices, and it has four 3-vertices, contradicts Lemma 3.2.

Suppose that f is adjacent to two 3-faces $[v_1v_2u]$ and $[v_2v_3z]$. Similarly, we can obtain that $u, z \notin \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and $u = z$. By Lemma 3.1, each of $[v_1v_2u]$ and $[v_2v_3u]$ bounds a 3-face. Then v_2 is a 3-vertex which is incident with the outer 3-face D , see Fig. 6(b).

By the above discussion, only two consecutive edges can be incident with 3-faces. Then a 4-face is adjacent to at most two 3-faces.

(viii) Follows from Lemma 2(4) in [6].

(ix) Follows from Lemma 2(5) in [6].

(x) Follows from Lemma 2(5) in [6].

(xi) Suppose that $|E(P)| \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then there is a chorded 6-cycle, a contradiction.

(xii) Suppose that $|E(P)| = 1$. Then $u_2u_4 \in E(G)$ and there is a subgraph isomorphic to K_4 , contradicting Lemma 3.4(iii). When $|E(P)| \in \{2, 3\}$, there is a chorded 6-cycle, a contradiction.

(xiii) By Lemma 3.4(viii) and (iii), neither x nor y is on the cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . By Lemma 3.4(xi) and (xii), \mathcal{C}_4 and \mathcal{C}_3 can share exactly one vertex, see Fig. 7(a).

(xiv) One can observe that \mathcal{C}_4 and each cluster \mathcal{C}_3 share exactly one vertex by Lemma 3.4(xiii). It suffices to show that the two clusters \mathcal{C}_3 do not share any vertex. This immediately follows from Lemma 3.4(xi). \square

The *diamond graph* is the simple graph on four vertices and five edges. A subgraph is 4-regular if all its vertices have degree four in G .

Lemma 3.5 (Theorem 4.5 in Lu et al. [16]). There are no internal 4-regular diamonds in G .

Lemma 3.6. For the internal configurations in Fig. 11(b) and Fig. 12(a), we may always assume that $|N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{10}\}| = 2$.

Proof. Consider the configuration Fig. 11(b). Since w_{10} has degree 4 in G , we have that either $w_2w_{10} \notin E(G)$ or $w_{11}w_{10} \notin E(G)$. By symmetry, we may assume that w_{10} and w_{11} are nonadjacent. Since G has no chorded 6-cycle and has no subgraph isomorphic to K_4 , it is easy to check that $N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{10}\} = \{w_1, w_6\}$.

Similar arguments can be applied to configuration Fig. 12(a). \square

We give the following reducible configurations.

Lemma 3.7. Every internal configuration in Fig. 8–Fig. 12 is reducible.

Proof. Since G has no chorded 6-cycle and has no subgraph isomorphic to K_4 , it is easy to check that all the conditions in the captions of Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Fig. 10, and Fig. 11(a) are satisfied. By Theorem 2.2, they are reducible.





Fig. 8: $w_2w_5, w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$



(a) $w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$

(b) $w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$

Fig. 9: Note that $|N(w_7) \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_6\}| = 2$.

By Lemma 3.6, for the internal configurations in Fig. 11(b) and Fig. 12(a), we may always assume that $|N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{10}\}| = 2$. All the other conditions in the captions are also satisfied. By Theorem 2.2, we have Fig. 11(b) and Fig. 12(a) are reducible.

Consider internal configuration Fig. 12(b). If $|N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{10}\}| = 2$, then

$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{11}$$

satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.2 with pairs $(w_1, w_{11}), (w_2, w_9), (w_3, w_7)$. If $w_{11}w_2 \in E(G)$, then

$$w_2, w_9, w_8, w_7, w_3, w_4, w_6, w_5, w_{10}, w_1, w_{11}$$

satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.2 with pair (w_2, w_{11}) . If $w_{11}w_9 \in E(G)$, then

$$w_6, w_4, w_5, w_{11}, w_2, w_7, w_8, w_9, w_{10}, w_1, w_3$$

satisfies the condition of Theorem 2.2 with pairs $(w_6, w_3), (w_{11}, w_1), (w_2, w_9)$. \square

Firstly, we give each element $x \in V(G) \cup F(G) \setminus \{D\}$ an initial charge $\mu(x) = d(x) - 4$, and $\mu(D) = d(D) + 4$. By Euler's formula, the sum of the initial charges is zero, i.e.,

$$(d(D) + 4) + \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(v) - 4) + \sum_{f \in F(G) \setminus D} (d(f) - 4) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Next, we design some discharging rules to redistribute the charges, preserving the sum, such that each vertex and each 4^+ -face have nonnegative final charges, and each cluster has nonnegative final charge, while the outer face D has positive final charge, thus the sum of all the final charges is positive, which leads to a contradiction. Let μ' be the final charge function. For a cluster \mathcal{C} , we define the final charge of \mathcal{C} as

$$\mu'(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}} \mu'(f) = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{C}} \mu(f),$$

where $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is the sum of the charges obtained by all the members in \mathcal{C} .

An edge is a *middle edge* if it is incident with a vertex on the outer cycle but it is not incident with the outer face. Let v be an internal vertex, $t(v)$ be the number of incident 3-faces. Let μ^*




Fig. 10: Note that $|N(w_8) \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_7\}| = 2$.




Fig. 11: Note that $|N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_{10}\}| = 2$ and $|N(w_{10}) \cap \{w_3, \dots, w_9\}| = 2$.




Fig. 12: Note that $|N(w_{11}) \cap \{w_1, \dots, w_{10}\}| = 2$.

be the charge function after applying the rules from R1 to R9. An internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 is *special* if it is incident with at least three 5^+ -vertices, while an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_3 is *special* if $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3) < 0$. A vertex is called a *special vertex* if it is a 5 -vertex which is incident with a special \mathcal{C}_3 and a special \mathcal{C}_4 . Let $\tau(v \rightarrow f)$ denote the amount of charges transferred from the vertex v to the face f .

Discharging rules:

R1 Let v be an internal 4 -vertex and f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 be four consecutive faces incident with v . If $d(f_1), d(f_4) \neq 3$ and $d(f_2) = d(f_3) = 3$, then v sends $\frac{1}{6}$ to each of f_2 and f_3 .

R2 Let v be an internal 5 -vertex, and f be an incident 3 -face. Then

$$\tau(v \rightarrow f) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}, & \text{if } f \text{ is in an internal cluster } \mathcal{C}_4; \\ 0, & \text{if } v \text{ is in an internal cluster } \mathcal{C}_4 \text{ but } f \text{ is not in the cluster } \mathcal{C}_4; \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

R3 Every internal 6^+ -vertex sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident internal 3 -face.

R4 If f is an internal 3 -face and g is an adjacent 4 -face, then g sends $\frac{2}{5}$ to f .

R5 If f is a bounded 3 -face and g is an adjacent m -face, where $m \geq 6$, then g sends $\frac{m-4}{m}$ to f .

R6 If g is a 4 -face and h is an adjacent m -face, where $m \geq 5$, then h sends $\frac{m-4}{m}$ to g .

R7 Let v be an internal 4 -vertex. If an edge uv is incident with two 6^+ -faces f and g , then f sends $\frac{d(f)-4}{2d(f)}$ to v and g sends $\frac{d(g)-4}{2d(g)}$ to v .

R8 Every vertex on the outer cycle sends its initial charge $\mu(v)$ to the outer face D .

R9 The outer face D sends 1 to each middle edge, and every middle edge sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident face.

R10 Let v be a 5 -vertex incident with a special \mathcal{C}_4 . If v is incident with a special \mathcal{C}_3 with $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3) < -\frac{1}{3}$, then the \mathcal{C}_4 sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to the \mathcal{C}_3 ; if v is incident with a special \mathcal{C}_3 with $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3) \geq -\frac{1}{3}$, then the \mathcal{C}_4 sends $-\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3)$ to the \mathcal{C}_3 .

Remark 1. Note that each middle edge receives 1 from D , and immediately sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident face. Thus, each middle edge plays a role of agency in the discharging procedure. If f is a face having at least one common vertex with D , then it is incident with at least two middle edges, and it receives at least 1 from D .

Claim 1. Every vertex in G has nonnegative final charge.

It is observed that each vertex on the outer cycle has final charge $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) - \mu(v) = 0$ by R8. By Lemma 3.2, every internal vertex is a 4^+ -vertex. Let v be an internal 4 -vertex. If v is incident with at most one 3 -face, then $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) = 0$. If v is incident with exactly two 3 -faces and these two 3 -faces are nonadjacent, then $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) = 0$. If v is incident with at least three 3 -faces, then $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) = 0$. Assume v is incident with exactly two 3 -faces and these two 3 -faces are adjacent. It is observed that the other two faces incident with v are 6^+ -faces. By R7, v receives at least $\frac{1}{6}$ from each of the incident 6^+ -face. By R1, v sends $\frac{1}{6}$ to each of incident 3 -face. Thus, $\mu'(v) \geq \mu(v) + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} - 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$.

If v is an internal 6^+ -vertex, then v is incident with at least two 4^+ -faces, and then $\mu'(v) \geq \mu(v) - (d(v) - 2) \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{d(v)-6}{2} \geq 0$ by R3.

Let v be an internal 5-vertex incident with five faces f_1, f_2, \dots, f_5 . By Lemma 3.4(v), v is incident with at most three 3-faces. If v is incident with at most two 3-faces, then $\mu'(v) \geq \mu(v) - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ by R2. If v is incident with exactly three 3-faces and it is incident with an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 , then $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ by R2. Otherwise, v sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each incident 3-face, then $\mu'(v) = \mu(v) - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$ by R2.

Therefore, every vertex in G has nonnegative final charge.

Claim 2. Every face has nonnegative final charge.

If f is a 4-face with $V(f) \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu'(f) \geq \mu(f) + 1 - \frac{2}{5} > 0$ by Remark 1, R4 and Lemma 3.4(vii). If f is a 4-face with $V(f) \cap V(D) = \emptyset$, then f is incident with at most one 3-face and at least three 5^+ -faces, and then $\mu'(f) \geq \mu(f) + 3 \times \frac{1}{5} - \frac{2}{5} > 0$ by R4 and R6.

If f is a 5-face, then f is not adjacent to any internal 3-face, and then $\mu'(f) \geq \mu(f) - 5 \times \frac{1}{5} = 0$ by R6. If f is an m -face, where $m \geq 6$, then f sends out at most $\frac{m-4}{m}$ via each incident edge to adjacent 4^- -faces or incident 4-vertices by R5, R6 and R7, and then $\mu'(f) \geq \mu(f) - m \times \frac{m-4}{m} = 0$.

• \mathcal{C} is a cluster \mathcal{C}_1 . If $V(\mathcal{C}) \cap V(D) \neq \emptyset$, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 1 = 0$ by Remark 1. Suppose that \mathcal{C} is an internal cluster. Let t be the number of adjacent 4-faces. By Lemma 3.4(vi), \mathcal{C} is not adjacent to any 5-face. Then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + t \times \frac{2}{5} + (3 - t) \times \frac{1}{3} = \frac{1}{15}t \geq 0$ by R4 and R5.

• \mathcal{C} is a cluster \mathcal{C}_2 . By Lemma 3.4(x), each face adjacent to \mathcal{C}_2 is a 6^+ -face or D . If u_1 or u_3 is incident with D , then each face in \mathcal{C} receives 1 from the outer face D by Remark 1, and then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 2 \times 1 = 0$. If u_1 and u_3 are internal vertices, then \mathcal{C} receives at least $\frac{1}{3}$ from each adjacent face by R5, and each face in \mathcal{C} receives at least $\frac{1}{6}$ from each of u_1 and u_3 by R1, R2 and R3, thus $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$.

• \mathcal{C} is a cluster \mathcal{C}_3 . If $u_1 \in \{a, b, c\}$, then all the faces in \mathcal{C} have common vertices with D , and then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 3 \times 1 = 0$ by Remark 1. Next, assume that u_1 is an internal vertex. By Lemma 3.4(ix), each face adjacent to \mathcal{C}_3 is a 6^+ -face, and $|V(\mathcal{C}) \cap V(D)| \leq 1$. In particular, at least one of u_3 and u_4 is an internal vertex. By R1, R2 and R3, each internal vertex in $\{u_3, u_4\}$ sends at least $\frac{1}{6}$ to each incident face in \mathcal{C} . By R5, \mathcal{C} receives at least $\frac{1}{3}$ from each adjacent face. If u_1 is a 5^+ -vertex, then u_1 sends at least $\frac{1}{3}$ to each face in \mathcal{C} by R2 and R3, thus $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 3 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$. If u_1 is an internal 4-vertex and $|V(\mathcal{C}) \cap V(D)| = 1$, then \mathcal{C} receives at least 1 from D by Remark 1, and then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 1 + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$.

So we may assume that \mathcal{C} is an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_3 with $d(u_1) = 4$. By symmetry, it suffices to consider the following four cases according to the degrees of u_3 and u_4 .

(a) If $d(u_3) \geq 5$ and $d(u_4) \geq 5$, then each of u_3 and u_4 sends at least $\frac{1}{3}$ to each incident face in \mathcal{C} , and $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

(b) Assume $d(u_3) = d(u_4) = 4$. By Lemma 3.5, $d(u_2) \geq 5$ and $d(u_5) \geq 5$. Consider the internal vertex u_2 . By R2 and R3, $\tau(u_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) < \frac{1}{3}$ only if $d(u_2) = 5$ and u_2 is incident with an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . Since the configurations in Fig. 9 are forbidden, the internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 at u_2 is a special cluster, thus it sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to the special cluster \mathcal{C} by R10. Thus, either \mathcal{C} receives at least $\frac{1}{3}$ from u_2 or receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from a special cluster \mathcal{C}_4 incident with u_2 . This is also true for u_5 . Hence, $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

(c) Assume $d(u_3) \geq 6$ and $d(u_4) = 4$. By R3, u_3 sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident face in \mathcal{C} . It follows that $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$.

(d) Assume $d(u_3) = 5$ and $d(u_4) = 4$. By R2, u_3 sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each incident face in \mathcal{C} . It follows that $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = -\frac{1}{3}$. Thus, \mathcal{C} needs at most $\frac{1}{3}$ from others. If u_2 and u_5 are adjacent, then $u_1 u_2 u_5 u_1$ bounds a 3-face by Lemma 3.1, but this contradicts Lemma 3.4(ix). It follows that u_2 and u_5 are nonadjacent. By Lemma 3.4(iii), u_3 and u_5 are also nonadjacent.




Fig. 13: A special cluster \mathcal{C}_4 is incident with three special vertices.

Since the configuration in Fig. 8 is reducible, we have that $d(u_2) \geq 5$ or $d(u_5) \geq 5$. If $d(u_2) \geq 6$ or $d(u_5) \geq 6$, then \mathcal{C} receives $\frac{1}{2}$ from incident 6^+ -vertex, we are done. So we may assume that $d(u_2) \leq 5$ and $d(u_5) \leq 5$. There are three subcases to consider.

(d1) Assume $d(u_2) = 4$ and $d(u_5) = 5$. By R2, $\tau(u_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) < \frac{1}{3}$ only if u_5 is incident with an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . Since the configurations in Fig. 10(a) and Fig. 10(b) are reducible, the cluster \mathcal{C}_4 at u_5 is special, thus it sends $-\mu^*(\mathcal{C})$ to the special cluster \mathcal{C} by R10.

(d2) Assume $d(u_2) = 5$ and $d(u_5) = 4$. Similar to the above case (d1), either \mathcal{C} receives $\frac{1}{3}$ from u_2 or receives $-\mu^*(\mathcal{C})$ from a special cluster \mathcal{C}_4 at u_2 , we are done (here the configurations in Fig. 10(c) and Fig. 10(d) are reducible).

(d3) Assume $d(u_2) = d(u_5) = 5$. Assume that $\tau(u_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) = \tau(u_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) = 0$, i.e., each of u_2 and u_5 is incident with an internal cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . Since the configurations in Fig. 11 and Fig. 12 are reducible, either the cluster \mathcal{C}_4 at u_2 or u_5 is a special cluster. By R10, \mathcal{C} receives $-\mu^*(\mathcal{C})$ from incident special cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . So we may assume that $\tau(u_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) + \tau(u_5 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{1}{3}$, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 0$, we are done.

By the above discussion, if \mathcal{C}_3 is special, then $d(u_1) = d(u_3) = d(u_4) = 4$ or $d(u_1) = d(u_3) - 1 = d(u_4) = 4$.

• \mathcal{C} is a cluster \mathcal{C}_4 . Note that u_1 is a 4-vertex and it is incident with four faces in \mathcal{C} , so u_1 is an internal vertex. By Lemma 3.4(iii) and (viii), $|V(\mathcal{C}) \cap V(D)| \leq 1$. By Lemma 3.4(viii), \mathcal{C} is adjacent to four 6^+ -faces. By R5, \mathcal{C} receives at least four $\frac{1}{3}$ from adjacent faces. Assume $|V(\mathcal{C}) \cap V(D)| = 1$. Then D sends two 1 to the faces in \mathcal{C} by Remark 1. It follows that $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times 1 + 6 \times \frac{1}{6} > 0$. So we may assume that \mathcal{C} is an internal cluster. By Lemma 3.5, at least two vertices in $\{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ are 5^+ -vertices. If exactly two of $\{u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$ are 4-vertices, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{1}{2} + 4 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$. If \mathcal{C} is incident with four 5^+ -vertices, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 8 \times \frac{1}{2} - 4 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$. Assume \mathcal{C} is incident with exactly three 5^+ -vertices. If \mathcal{C} is incident with at most two special vertices, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}) + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 6 \times \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} - 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

Next, we prove the statement: *if \mathcal{C} is incident with exactly three special vertices, then $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq 0$.*

Assume that \mathcal{C} is incident with exactly three special vertices v_2, v_3 and v_4 in Fig. 13. Note that each of v_1, v_8, v_{12} and v_{16} is a 4-vertex. By Lemma 3.4(viii), the special cluster \mathcal{C} is adjacent to four 6^+ -faces. By R5, \mathcal{C} receives at least four $\frac{1}{3}$ from adjacent faces. Since \mathcal{C} is a special cluster, it receives six $\frac{1}{2}$ from the three incident 5^+ -vertices, and two $\frac{1}{6}$ from the other incident 4-vertex due to R1, R2 and R3. Thus, $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}) \geq -4 + 4 \times \frac{1}{3} + 6 \times \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = \frac{2}{3}$.

By the assumption of Theorem 1.4, the three special clusters \mathcal{C}_3 at v_2, v_3 and v_4 must

have some common vertices. By Lemma 3.4(xii), (xiii) and (xiv), v_{17} has no neighbor in $\{v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6, v_7, v_8, v_{13}, v_{14}, v_{15}, v_{16}\}$, and $\{v_6, v_7\} \cap \{v_{14}, v_{15}\} \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, there is no edge between $\{v_9, v_{12}\}$ and $\{v_{14}, v_{15}\}$. By Lemma 3.4(ix), it is observed that $|\{v_6, v_7\} \cap \{v_{14}, v_{15}\}| = 1$. Since v_2 and v_4 are special vertices, we have that v_5, v_6, v_{13} and v_{14} are 5^- -vertices. Then v_6 and v_{14} can not be identical. By symmetry, we only need to consider two cases.

Case 1: v_{15} and v_7 are identical. Assume that v_7 is a 5-vertex. Then v_7 sends $\frac{1}{3}$ to each incident 3-face. Since the configuration in Fig. 9(a) is reducible, we have that one vertex in $\{v_5, v_6, v_{13}, v_{14}\}$ has degree 5. For this special cluster \mathcal{C}_3 , we have $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3) \geq \mu(\mathcal{C}_3) + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 3 \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$, but it contradicts the definition of special \mathcal{C}_3 .

Assume that v_7 is a 6^+ -vertex. Then v_7 sends $\frac{1}{2}$ to each incident 3-face. The special clusters \mathcal{C}_3 at v_2 and v_4 have $\mu^*(\mathcal{C}_3) \geq -3 + 5 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{2} = -\frac{1}{6}$. Therefore, \mathcal{C} sends at most $\frac{1}{6}$ via each of v_2 and v_4 , and sends at most $\frac{1}{3}$ via v_3 , so $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \mu^*(\mathcal{C}) - 2 \times \frac{1}{6} - \frac{1}{3} = 0$.

Assume that v_7 is a 4-vertex, i.e., it has only four neighbors v_6, v_8, v_{14} and v_{16} . By Lemma 3.5, either v_5 or v_6 is a 5-vertex, and either v_{13} or v_{14} is a 5-vertex. If the path $v_7v_8v_2v_1v_{17}$ is on the boundary of a face, then the face has degree at least 7 because $v_{17}v_{14}, v_{17}v_{16} \notin E(G)$. If the path $v_7v_8v_2v_3$ is on the boundary of a face, then the face has degree at least 7 because neither v_9 nor v_{12} is adjacent to $\{v_{14}, v_{16}\}$. Hence, in the two situations, v_7v_8, v_8v_2 , one of v_2v_1 and v_2v_3 , one of $v_{15}v_{14}$ and $v_{15}v_{16}$ are incident with 7^+ -faces. Note that a 7^+ -face sends more $\frac{3}{7} - \frac{1}{3}$ to the special \mathcal{C} than a 6-face. Therefore, $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{2}{3} - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times (\frac{3}{7} - \frac{1}{3}) > 0$.

Case 2: v_{14} and v_7 are identical. Then v_{14} is a 5-vertex. Similar to the above case when v_7 and v_{15} are identical as a 4-vertex, v_7v_8, v_8v_2 , one of v_2v_1 and v_2v_3 , one of $v_{14}v_{15}$ and $v_{14}v_{13}$ are incident with 7^+ -faces. Therefore, $\mu'(\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{2}{3} - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} + 4 \times (\frac{3}{7} - \frac{1}{3}) > 0$. This completes the proof of the statement.

Finally, we consider the final charge of the outer face D . Note that each vertex v on D is incident with $d(v) - 2$ middle edges.

$$\mu'(D) = d(D) + 4 + \sum_{v \in V(D)} (d(v) - 4) - \sum_{v \in V(D)} (d(v) - 2) = 4 - d(D) = 1.$$

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and careful reading of this paper. Danjun Huang was supported by NSFC (Nos: 12171436, 12371360), and Weifan Wang was supported by NSFC (No. 12031018) and NSFSD (No. ZR2022MA060).

References

- [1] L. Chen, R. Liu, G. Yu, R. Zhao and X. Zhou, DP-4-colorability of two classes of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 342 (11) (2019) 2984–2993.
- [2] Z. Dvořák and L. Postle, Correspondence coloring and its application to list-coloring planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 to 8, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 129 (2018) 38–54.
- [3] P. Erdős, A. L. Rubin and H. Taylor, Choosability in graphs, in: *Proceedings of the West Coast Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing* (Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1979), *Congress. Numer.*, XXVI, *Utilitas Math.*, Winnipeg, Man., 1980, pp. 125–157.
- [4] B. Farzad, Planar graphs without 7-cycles are 4-choosable, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 23 (3) (2009) 1179–1199.

- [5] D. Huang and J. Qi, DP-coloring on planar graphs without given adjacent short cycles, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* 13 (2) (2021) 2150013.
- [6] D. Huang and J. Qi, Planar graphs without chordal 6-cycles and necklaces are DP-4-colorable, *Adv. Math. (China)* 50 (6) (2021) 861–876.
- [7] S.-J. Kim and K. Ozeki, A sufficient condition for DP-4-colorability, *Discrete Math.* 341 (7) (2018) 1983–1986.
- [8] S.-J. Kim and X. Yu, Planar graphs without 4-cycles adjacent to triangles are DP-4-colorable, *Graphs Combin.* 35 (3) (2019) 707–718.
- [9] P. C. B. Lam, W. C. Shiu and B. Xu, On structure of some plane graphs with application to choosability, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 82 (2) (2001) 285–296.
- [10] P. C. B. Lam, B. Xu and J. Liu, The 4-choosability of plane graphs without 4-cycles, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 76 (1) (1999) 117–126.
- [11] R. Li and T. Wang, DP-4-coloring of planar graphs with some restrictions on cycles, *Discrete Math.* 344 (11) (2021) 112568.
- [12] R. Li and T. Wang, Variable degeneracy on toroidal graphs, *Graphs Combin.* 39 (6) (2023) 127.
- [13] X. Li and M. Zhang, Every planar graph without 5-cycles adjacent to 6-cycles is DP-4-colorable, *Australas. J. Combin.* 87 (2023) 86–97.
- [14] R. Liu and X. Li, Every planar graph without 4-cycles adjacent to two triangles is DP-4-colorable, *Discrete Math.* 342 (3) (2019) 623–627.
- [15] R. Liu, X. Li, K. Nakprasit, P. Sittitrai and G. Yu, DP-4-colorability of planar graphs without adjacent cycles of given length, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 277 (2020) 245–251.
- [16] F. Lu, Q. Wang and T. Wang, Cover and variable degeneracy, *Discrete Math.* 345 (4) (2022) 112765.
- [17] K. M. Nakprasit and K. Nakprasit, A generalization of some results on list coloring and DP-coloring, *Graphs Combin.* 36 (4) (2020) 1189–1201.
- [18] P. Sittitrai and K. Nakprasit, Every planar graph without pairwise adjacent 3-, 4-, and 5-cycle is DP-4-colorable, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 43 (3) (2020) 2271–2285.
- [19] P. Sittitrai and K. Nakprasit, An analogue of DP-coloring for variable degeneracy and its applications, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* 42 (1) (2022) 89–99.
- [20] C. Thomassen, Every planar graph is 5-choosable, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 62 (1) (1994) 180–181.
- [21] V. G. Vizing, Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors, *Diskret. Analiz.* 29 (1976) 3–10.
- [22] M. Voigt, List colourings of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 120 (1-3) (1993) 215–219.
- [23] Q. Wang, T. Wang and X. Yang, Variable degeneracy of graphs with restricted structures, arXiv:2112.09334, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.09334>.

- [24] W. Wang and K.-W. Lih, Choosability and edge choosability of planar graphs without five cycles, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 15 (5) (2002) 561–565.
- [25] W. Wang and K.-W. Lih, Choosability and edge choosability of planar graphs without intersecting triangles, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 15 (4) (2002) 538–545.