

Stress energy momentum in terms of geodesic accelerations and variational tensors including torsion

ADAM MARSH*

Abstract

General relativity and its extensions including torsion identify stress energy momentum as being proportional to the Einstein tensor, thus ensuring both symmetry and conservation. Here we visualize stress energy and momentum by identifying the associated relative fractional accelerations of geodesics encoded in the Einstein tensor. This also provides an intuitive explanation for the vanishing divergence of the Einstein tensor. In order to obtain this same energy and momentum for other actions such as that of Dirac theory including torsion, we then review the various stress energy momentum tensors resulting from the variation of different quantities derived from parallel transport, and detail their interrelationships. This provides an opportunity to revisit some classic material from a geometric point of view, including Einstein-Cartan theory, the Sciama-Kibble formalism, and the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation, whose derivation in the mostly pluses signature would seem to not be otherwise readily available.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Overview	2
2	Energy momentum as geodesic acceleration	3
2.1	The Einstein tensor	4
2.2	Energy	4
2.3	Momentum	6
2.4	Local conservation laws and the divergenceless Einstein tensor	8
2.5	Pressure	10
2.6	Stress	11
3	Geometric preliminaries	12
3.1	Lorentz indices and the solder form	12
3.2	Some expressions using Lorentz indices	14
3.3	Varying parallel transport	16

*ELECTRONIC ADDRESS: ADAMMARSH@BERKELEY.EDU

4	Stress energy momentum tensors	17
4.1	The Hilbert SEM tensor	17
4.2	The spin SEM tensor	20
4.3	The tetrad SEM tensor	21
4.4	The Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation	26
4.5	Summary	27
A	The Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation via matched variations	29
	References	32

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

The components of the Hilbert stress energy momentum (SEM) tensor are commonly named using terms such as “energy density” and “momentum density” which are derived from continuum mechanics; but these intuitive meanings derived from mechanical systems are not applicable to other theories such as electromagnetism. Since the gravitational equations of motion (EOM) specify the Hilbert SEM tensor as being proportional to the (torsionless) Einstein tensor, we may instead associate these terms with the fractional accelerations of geodesics described by the components of the Einstein tensor. Here we detail these associations, our motivation being that unlike those derived from continuum mechanics, they survive the transition to electromagnetism and the theories beyond it.

We would then like to determine these geodesic acceleration defined forms of energy and momentum for theories whose matter actions may depend on the spacetime connection in ways other than via the associated metric; we would also like to consider connections which may have non-zero torsion. We provide a general treatment, but the obvious target action for this analysis is that of Dirac theory. We anticipate two main benefits from such a treatment: first, a set of results which may be applied to Dirac theory in subsequent work; and second, a modern, detailed, and consistent treatment of classic material which, especially from a geometric viewpoint in the mostly pluses signature, would seem to not be otherwise readily available (the closest reference we find from an algebraic viewpoint in the mostly minuses signature is [3]).

1.2 Overview

In Section 2 we detail and visualize energy, momentum, and stress as defined by the components of the Einstein tensor in terms of the fractional accelerations of geodesics. After treating energy and momentum, we use their geometric meanings in Section 2.4 to arrive at an intuitive explanation for the vanishing divergence of the Einstein tensor in terms of local conservation of energy. In our subsequent treatment of pressure in Section 2.5, we also note the odd asymmetry between time-like and space-like geodesic accelerations in the presence of mass, and contrast it with other forms of matter for which this asymmetry is absent.

In Section 3 we review coordinate and orthonormal frames and their connections, and then treat Lorentz indices and various related expressions in some detail from a geometric point of view. We also consider the variations of the different quantities derived from spacetime parallel

transport, along with their interdependencies, an analysis which would seem to be difficult to find in the literature.

In Section 4 we then define various SEM tensors and their interrelationships; inspired by [1], we work in terms of differential forms when treating Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble theory in Section 4.3. Our end goal is the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation, derived in Section 4.4 with an alternate derivation in Appendix A, which allows us to obtain the SEM tensor proportional to the torsionless Einstein tensor from the SEM tensors obtained when varying the frame and spin connection in theories such as Dirac theory. Section 4.5 summarizes these results.

Throughout the paper we will use the mostly pluses spacetime metric signature, where in an orthonormal frame the metric is $g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. We will strive to distinguish quantities related to torsionless parallel transport with an overbar (e.g. \overline{G} for the torsionless Einstein tensor), and to standardize our index notation according to the following:

- Spacetime indices: $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma$
- Space indices: i, j, k, l, m, n
- Lorentz indices: $i, j, k, l, m, n, p, q, r, s$

We also adopt the notation from [2] in which an arrow decoration, e.g. $\vec{\Phi}$, indicates a vector- or \mathbb{K}^n -valued form, where \mathbb{K} is either \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} , while a check decoration, e.g. $\check{\Gamma}$ indicates an algebra- or matrix-valued form; in Section 4.3 only, we will also denote forms with an underbar in order to make clear the types of each quantity being considered. Finally, we will bold key terms when first defined.

2 Energy momentum as geodesic acceleration

In general relativity, the spacetime manifold is assumed to include a pseudo-Riemannian metric, and the EOM obtained by varying this metric in the action,

$$\overline{G}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa \overline{T}^{\mu\nu}, \quad (2.1)$$

where in SI units

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}, \quad (2.2)$$

requires the Einstein tensor to be proportional to the Hilbert SEM tensor of matter. The components of the Hilbert SEM tensor take their names via identifications with energy and momentum densities and currents based upon the physical model of continuum mechanics. In electromagnetism, however, the Hilbert SEM tensor has no such obvious physical interpretation, but the proportionality of its components to those of \overline{G} remains, and therefore so does their identification with the acceleration of geodesics described by these components. Hence, if we continue to describe the Hilbert SEM tensor components in terms of energy and momentum (as is common), then we are in effect *defining* energy and momentum by the acceleration of geodesics near matter (including mass and electromagnetic fields). This idea is what we pursue in this section.

2.1 The Einstein tensor

In this section all tensors are those corresponding to a connection with zero torsion, and to avoid clutter we therefore drop the overbar decoration used in the remainder of the paper to indicate this.

Recall (see e.g. [2] Section 9.3.5) that in an orthonormal frame (tetrad) e_i we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{ji} &= G_{ij} = G(e_i, e_j) \\
&= R^k{}_{ikj} - \frac{R}{2}\eta_{ij} \\
&= \text{Ric}(e_i, e_j) - \frac{R}{2}\eta_{ij} \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \neq i \\ k \neq j}} \eta_{kk} \langle \check{R}(e_k, e_j)\vec{e}_i, e_k \rangle - \eta_{ij} \sum_{m < n} \eta_{mm}\eta_{nn} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n)\vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle \\
\Rightarrow G_{ii} &= -\eta_{ii} \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m, n \neq i}} \eta_{mm}\eta_{nn} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n)\vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Here G is the Einstein tensor, Ric is the Ricci tensor, R is the scalar curvature, and \check{R} is the $so(3, 1)$ -valued curvature 2-form. Under our zero torsion assumption, Ric is symmetric and thus so is G .

The quantity

$$\eta_{mm}\eta_{nn} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n)\vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle = \eta_{mm}\eta_{nn} R_{mnmn} \tag{2.4}$$

is the sectional curvature, which depends only upon the plane defined by e_m and e_n . It is symmetric in the two indices and vanishes when they are equal. Geometrically, it is the acceleration of two parallel geodesics in the e_n direction with initial infinitesimal separation L in the direction e_m towards each other as a fraction of L (see [2] Section 9.3.6):

$$\eta_{mm}\eta_{nn} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n)\vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle = \frac{\partial_n^2 L}{L}. \tag{2.5}$$

The use of the term ‘‘acceleration’’ used here thus does not imply that e_n is a time-like direction. This geometric interpretation is what allows us to characterize the Einstein tensor components in terms of fractional geodesic accelerations.

2.2 Energy

The energy density is

$$T^{00} \propto G_{00} = \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m, n \neq i}} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n)\vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle \tag{2.6}$$

$$= \langle \check{R}(e_1, e_2)\vec{e}_2, e_1 \rangle + \langle \check{R}(e_1, e_3)\vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle + \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_3)\vec{e}_3, e_2 \rangle, \tag{2.7}$$

which is also the scalar curvature in the space-like hyperplane defined by the tetrad. Geometrically, the energy density at a point p is thus proportional to the total fractional acceleration of the space-like geodesics surrounding p towards each other (see Figure 2.1). Qualitatively, positive energy density at p causes ‘‘straight’’ extended objects to ‘‘bend around p ’’ (see Figure

2.2). One may wonder how geodesics can “bend around” adjacent points at the same time; this is addressed in Figure 2.3.




FIGURE 2.1: A positive energy density $T^{00} \propto G_{00}$ at p is the sum of the fractional accelerations of the space-like geodesics surrounding p (depicted as dashed grey curves) towards each other. As shown, the acceleration of the orthogonal geodesic in the same plane is equal due to the symmetry of the sectional curvature. Note that the sectional curvatures also remain constant under the change of sign of any of the argument vectors, resulting in the symmetry of the next figure.




FIGURE 2.2: A positive energy density T^{00} at p causes “straight” objects (space-like geodesics) to “bend around” p (if the curvature is isotropic as assumed here). So as compared to an infinitesimal cube around p constructed using parallel transported frame components, an infinitesimal cube constructed using geodesics has faces which curve inwards, reducing its volume and surface area.




FIGURE 2.3: For a uniform energy density, one may ask how the geodesics can “bend around” adjacent points at the same time. The answer lies in remembering that the “bending” is relative to the cube we construct out of parallel transported vectors; if we construct an adjacent such cube, the overlapping parallel transported vectors will not coincide, resulting in a different depiction of parallel cube edges in the figure such that the geodesic “bends” towards each point relative to its cube edge.

Note that positive energy density at p does not necessarily mean time-like geodesics will accelerate towards p (see Section 2.5), which is our Newtonian expectation for the gravitational force. We also do not know the amount by which extended objects in different planes “bend around” p ; we only know the total. In particular, even with positive energy density, a “straight” object may “bend away” from p in a specific plane, as long as the other two planes “bend around” p to a greater degree.

2.3 Momentum

Using the symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor for a torsionless connection (see e.g. [2] Section 9.3.2), we see that the e_1 component of momentum density is

$$\begin{aligned}
 T^{10} \propto G^{10} &= \eta^{00}\eta^{11} \sum_{\substack{k \neq 0 \\ k \neq 1}} \eta_{ii} \langle \check{R}(e_k, e_1)\check{e}_0, e_k \rangle \\
 &= - \sum_{\substack{k \neq 0 \\ k \neq 1}} \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_k)\check{e}_k, e_1 \rangle \\
 &= - \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_2)\check{e}_2, e_1 \rangle - \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_3)\check{e}_3, e_1 \rangle.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

In keeping with the continuum mechanics origin of energy momentum terminology and the symmetry of the Einstein tensor, the e_1 momentum density $T^{10} = T^{01}$ is also called the energy current in the e_1 direction, or the flux of energy across the surface $A_{23} \equiv e_2 \wedge e_3$ orthogonal to e_1 . Geometrically, the e_1 momentum density is the sum of the fractional accelerations of future space-like geodesics in A_{23} towards e_1 (see Figure 2.4). Qualitatively, positive e_1 momentum density means that future extended objects in the cube face A_{23} “bend towards” the e_1 direction (see Figure 2.5). We can view this as indicating that in the future, there will be additional energy density present in the e_1 direction of energy flux, causing space-like geodesics to “bend around” it. Similarly, we can view the past space-like geodesics “bending away” from the e_1

direction as indicating that in the past, there was additional energy density present in the $-e_1$ direction, causing space-like geodesics to “bend around” it.




FIGURE 2.4: A positive e_1 momentum density $T^{10} \propto G^{10}$ is the sum of the amounts that future orthogonal space-like geodesics “bend towards” the e_1 direction, as compared to present geodesics parallel transported in the e_0 direction. Note that unlike with sectional curvature, this quantity reverses when reversing the sign of e_0 , i.e. past orthogonal space-like geodesics “bend away” from the e_1 direction.




FIGURE 2.5: A positive e_1 momentum density T^{10} at p causes orthogonal “straight” objects (space-like geodesics) to “bend towards” the e_1 direction in the future (if the curvature is isotropic as assumed here). Note that this “bending” is the fractional acceleration of future space-like geodesics (depicted as dashed grey curves) away from present space-like geodesics parallel transported in the future e_0 direction. This “bending” may be viewed as the left edge of the cube in Figure 2.2, indicating that in the future there is additional energy density in the e_1 direction, i.e. there is a positive energy flux across A_{23} .

Note that despite the “bending” of future geodesics in the e_1 direction, positive e_1 momentum density at p does not necessarily mean future geodesics in the A_{12} and A_{13} planes around the point $p + \epsilon e_1$ accelerate more towards each other (thus increasing energy density in the e_1 direction); this depends upon whether the geodesics infinitesimally in the e_1 direction change their acceleration (which corresponds to the flux of energy density across A_{23} parallel transported in the e_1 direction). In a continuum mechanics, this corresponds to positive e_1 momentum density only meaning that infinitesimal particles are moving in the e_1 direction, not that there

is a greater density of particles in this direction, since the e_1 momentum there may be even larger. We also do not know how much future extended objects in the e_2 and e_3 directions “bend towards” the e_1 direction, we only know the total. In particular, even with positive e_1 momentum density, a future geodesic in e.g. the e_2 direction may “bend away” from the e_1 direction, as long as the future geodesic in the e_3 direction “bends towards” the e_1 direction to a greater degree.

2.4 Local conservation laws and the divergenceless Einstein tensor

In this section we show that the vanishing divergence of the SEM tensor, which physically corresponds to the local conservation of energy and momentum, has a geometric interpretation in the equivalent vanishing divergence of the Einstein tensor: namely, it corresponds to the local conservation of the “bending” of space-like geodesics, which ends up being equivalent to the second Bianchi identity.

Consider the 0th component of vanishing divergence

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_i T^{0i} &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow \nabla_0 T^{00} &= \nabla_j T^{0j}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.9}$$

In continuum mechanics, this corresponds to the physical fact that the change in particle density in an infinitesimal volume is equal to the net flux of particles across the faces of the volume’s boundary. In terms of the Einstein tensor, the corresponding relation is

$$\nabla_0 G^{00} = \nabla_j G^{0j}.\tag{2.10}$$

We arrive at its geometric meaning by substituting in the geometric meanings of energy density and momentum density: the change in the total fractional accelerations of infinitesimally separated space-like geodesics towards each other is equal to the sum of the differences of fractional accelerations of future space-like geodesics in each of the three pairs of faces of a cube in the direction orthogonal to the face. In less precise language, the change in the total bending of space-like geodesics is equal to the additional amounts that future geodesics bend towards each other in each space-like direction.

To see this in more detail, we recall the construction used in the geometric view of the second Bianchi identity presented in [2] Section 9.2.7, in which we take advantage of the fact that $\check{R}(v, w)\vec{a}$ only depends upon the local value of \vec{a} , constructing its vector field values such that e.g. $\vec{a}|_{p+\varepsilon u} = \parallel_{\varepsilon u}(\vec{a}|_p)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon \nabla_u \check{R}(v, w)\vec{a} &= \check{R}(v|_{p+\varepsilon u}, w|_{p+\varepsilon u})\vec{a}|_{p+\varepsilon u} - \parallel_{\varepsilon u} \check{R}(v, w) \parallel_{\varepsilon u}^{-1} \vec{a}|_{p+\varepsilon u} \\ &= \check{R}(v|_{p+\varepsilon u}, w|_{p+\varepsilon u}) \parallel_{\varepsilon u} \vec{a} - \parallel_{\varepsilon u} \check{R}(v, w)\vec{a}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

Here, we focus on the second term in (2.8)

$$\nabla_1 G^{01} = -\nabla_1 \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_2)\vec{e}_2, e_1 \rangle - \nabla_1 \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_3)\vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle.\tag{2.12}$$

Our cube is already constructed of parallel transports, so that $\vec{e}_3|_{p+\varepsilon e_1} = \parallel_{\varepsilon e_1}(\vec{e}_3|_p)$ and

$\vec{e}_0|_{p+\varepsilon e_1} = \|_{\varepsilon e_1} (\vec{e}_0|_p)$, giving us

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon \nabla_1 \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3 &= \check{R}(e_0|_{p+\varepsilon e_1}, e_3|_{p+\varepsilon e_1}) \vec{e}_3|_{p+\varepsilon e_1} \\
&\quad - \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \|_{\varepsilon e_1}^{-1} \vec{e}_3|_{p+\varepsilon e_1} \\
&= \check{R}(\|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_0, \|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_3) \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \vec{e}_3 - \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3 \quad (2.13) \\
\Rightarrow \varepsilon \langle \nabla_1 \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle &= \langle \check{R}(\|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_0, \|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_3) \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle \\
&\quad - \langle \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The first term, as depicted in Figure 2.6, can be viewed as the e_1 component of the difference between $\|_{\varepsilon e_0} \|_{\varepsilon e_3} \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \varepsilon e_3$ and the parallel transport of $\|_{\varepsilon e_1} \varepsilon e_3$ around the far cube face in the opposite direction, which would be $\|_{\varepsilon e_3} \|_{\varepsilon e_0} \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \varepsilon e_3$, i.e. it is the fractional acceleration of the e_3 -directed geodesic at q due to being moved forward in time. But this acceleration is with respect to the geodesic already accelerated by $-\varepsilon^3 \langle \check{R}(e_1, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle$, which is the closest thing to a “straight” geodesic displaced in the e_1 direction. Note that the change in this pre-existing acceleration due to being moved forward in time is of higher order in ε and thus does not change our calculation; neither does the difference between $\|_{\varepsilon e_0} \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \varepsilon e_3$ and $\|_{\varepsilon e_1} \|_{\varepsilon e_0} \varepsilon e_3$. Thus the first term is an incremental acceleration; to get the total additional acceleration between adjacent geodesics, we must also add the second term above, which is the acceleration of the e_3 directed geodesic at p due to being moved forward in time (parallel transported to q to enable addition). Thus the sum $\langle \nabla_1 \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle$ is the e_1 component of incremental convergence between e_3 -directed geodesics infinitesimally separated in the e_1 direction due to being moved forward in time, beyond their initial convergence.




FIGURE 2.6: As detailed in the text, the sum of $-\langle \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle$ and $\langle \check{R}(\|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_0, \|_{\varepsilon e_1} e_3) \|_{\varepsilon e_1} \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle$ is the e_1 component of incremental convergence between e_3 -directed geodesics infinitesimally separated in the e_1 direction due to being moved forward in time, beyond their initial convergence $\langle \check{R}(e_1, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle$.

As is apparent both from the algebraic form of $\nabla_1 G^{01}$ and Figure 2.6, the sum $\nabla_i G^{0i} = 0$ is equivalent to the second Bianchi identity as depicted in [2] Section 9.2.7, i.e. parallel transporting

around each edge of a cube in opposite directions yields the cancellation of any changes. This geometric explanation for the vanishing divergence of the Einstein tensor can similarly be applied to the other components of $\nabla_i G^{ji} = 0$.

2.5 Pressure

Again using the symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor, the e_1 component of normal stress (or pressure if isotropic) is

$$\begin{aligned} T^{11} \propto G^{11} &= - \sum_{\substack{m < n \\ m, n \neq 1}} \eta_{mm} \eta_{nn} \langle \check{R}(e_m, e_n) \vec{e}_n, e_m \rangle \\ &= \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_2) \vec{e}_2, e_0 \rangle + \langle \check{R}(e_0, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_0 \rangle - \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_2 \rangle + \langle \check{R}(e_3, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_3 \rangle - \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Again in keeping with the continuum mechanics origin of energy momentum terminology, the e_1 normal stress is also called the flux of e_1 momentum across the surface A_{23} orthogonal e_1 . Geometrically, the ‘‘bending’’ of future space-like geodesics towards the past is not a particularly useful concept, so we instead view the e_1 normal stress as the fractional acceleration of the infinitesimal area reduction

$$\langle \check{R}(e_2, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_2 \rangle + \langle \check{R}(e_3, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_3 \rangle = - \frac{\partial_0^2 A_{23}}{A_{23}} \quad (2.15)$$

minus the sum of the fractional accelerations of space-like geodesics at the outer edges of A_{23} towards each other (see Figure 2.7).




FIGURE 2.7: The e_1 component of normal stress T^{11} is the difference between the convergence of time-like geodesics emanating from the corners of the cube face A_{23} and the convergence of space-like geodesics at the outer edges of A_{23} .

Since the expression for normal stress contains two time-like geodesic terms and one space-like term, zero pressure in an isotropic configuration (e.g. the rest frame of dust) means that the acceleration of each time-like geodesic (gravitational acceleration) is half the acceleration of each space-like geodesic. In general, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum T^{ii} &\propto 2 \sum \langle \check{R}(e_j, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_j \rangle - \sum_{i < j} \langle \check{R}(e_i, e_j) \vec{e}_j, e_i \rangle \\ &= 2 \sum \langle \check{R}(e_j, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_j \rangle - G^{00}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

and hence the average time-like geodesic acceleration is equal to the average space-like geodesic acceleration iff $\sum T^{ii} = T^{00}$, rather than this sum vanishing as with mass (dust). It is interesting to note that this equality does hold for null dust, the electromagnetic field around a massless point charge, or an electromagnetic plane wave, all of which have total normal stresses equal to their energy density, and thus equal average (but not isotropic) accelerations of time-like and space-like geodesics. Combinations of such configurations can then be constructed to yield accelerations which are both equal and isotropic.

2.6 Stress

Once again using the symmetries of the Riemann curvature tensor, the e_1 component of shear stress in the e_2 direction is

$$\begin{aligned} T^{12} \propto G^{12} &= \sum_{\substack{k \neq 2 \\ k \neq 1}} g_{kk} \langle \check{R}(e_k, e_2) \vec{e}_1, e_k \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \neq 2 \\ k \neq 1}} g_{kk} \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_k) \vec{e}_k, e_1 \rangle \\ &= \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_3) \vec{e}_3, e_1 \rangle - \langle \check{R}(e_2, e_0) \vec{e}_0, e_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Again in keeping with the continuum mechanics origin of energy momentum terminology, this is also called the current of e_1 momentum in the e_2 direction, or the flux of e_1 momentum across the surface A_{31} orthogonal to e_2 ; since $T^{12} = T^{21}$, e_1 and e_2 may be exchanged in these descriptions. Geometrically, T^{12} is the fractional acceleration of the e_2 -displaced e_3 -geodesic in the e_1 direction minus the fractional acceleration of the e_2 -displaced time-like geodesic in the e_1 direction (see Figure 2.8). Zero shear stress thus means that the e_2 -displaced time-like geodesic “follows” the e_2 -displaced e_3 -geodesic in the e_1 direction.




FIGURE 2.8: The e_1 component of shear stress in the e_2 direction T^{12} is the difference between two fractional accelerations in the e_1 direction: that of the e_2 -displaced e_3 -geodesic and that of the e_2 -displaced time-like geodesic.

3 Geometric preliminaries

We next would like to consider the geometric stress, energy, and momentum detailed in Section 2 for theories whose actions depend upon the tetrad and spin connection in addition to the metric. To accomplish this, in this section we first establish some preliminaries; this is a review of standard material, but from a particular geometric viewpoint including a level of detail not always found in other treatments.

3.1 Lorentz indices and the solder form

In a choice of coordinates on the spacetime manifold M (or on a region of M), the spacetime connection is

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Gamma} : TM &\rightarrow gl(n, \mathbb{R}) \\ \Gamma^\lambda_{\sigma\mu} &\equiv dx^\lambda (\nabla_\mu \partial_\sigma), \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where ∂_σ and dx^λ are the coordinate frame and coframe, also denoted e_σ and e^λ , and $\nabla_\mu \partial_\sigma$ is the difference between the vector ∂_σ and its parallel transport in the direction ∂_μ .

We may also choose an orthonormal frame or tetrad on M (or on a region of M), defining the spin connection

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\omega} : TM &\rightarrow so(3, 1) \\ \omega^j_{i\mu} &\equiv e^j (\nabla_\mu e_i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where e_i and e^j are the chosen tetrad and the associated cotetrad, and $\nabla_\mu e_i$ is the difference between the vector e_i and its parallel transport in the direction ∂_μ ; the component ω^j_{ik} then gives this difference in the direction e_k .

We use Latin indices to indicate Lorentz indices, i.e. components in a tetrad or cotetrad; Greek indices are used to indicate coordinate indices, i.e. components in a coordinate frame or coframe. The ability to convert between these indices or components is an important tool when

addressing variations of the action. Such a conversion is supplied by the solder form, or more precisely by its pullback.

Recall (see e.g. [2] Section 10.4.5) that on the orthonormal frame bundle FM , the solder form is a horizontal equivariant \mathbb{R}^4 -valued 1-form $\vec{\theta}_P$ which at a point $p = e_p \in FM$ projects its argument down to M , and then takes the resulting vector's components in the basis e_p . A local trivialization of FM is the selection of an identity section as a local tetrad, and the pullback of $\vec{\theta}_P$ by this identity section can then be viewed as a frame-dependent \mathbb{R}^4 -valued 1-form on M which returns the components of its argument in this local tetrad, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \theta : TM &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ v &\mapsto e^i(v). \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

In the present context, this 1-form is written in a local coordinate frame on M as a mixed index tensor (which we note is an extension of the usual definition of a tensor)

$$\begin{aligned} e^i_\mu : TM &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ v^\mu &\mapsto e^i_\mu v^\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

This mixed index tensor can also be viewed as a multilinear mapping e whose component array values are defined by applying it to basis vectors in different frames:

$$\begin{aligned} e(\varphi, v) &\equiv \varphi(v), \\ e^i_\mu &\equiv e(e^i, e_\mu) \\ &= e^i(e_\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now, the value of e^i_μ at a given point may be viewed as either the components of $e_\mu = \partial_\mu$ in the frame e_i , or the components of e^i in the coframe $e^\mu = dx^\mu$, i.e.

$$e^i_\mu = e^i(e_\mu) = (e_\mu)^i = (e^i)_\mu. \tag{3.6}$$

We may therefore use it to convert between coordinate and Lorentz indices, and to form other tensors with mixed indices:

$$\begin{aligned} v^i &= e^i(v^\mu e_\mu) = e^i_\mu v^\mu, \\ \varphi_\mu &= e_\mu(\varphi_i e^i) = e^i_\mu \varphi_i, \\ \Rightarrow e^i_\mu A^{\mu\nu} &\equiv e^i_\mu (v^\mu \otimes w^\nu) = v^i \otimes w^\nu = A^{i\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

The indices of such tensors may be lowered with $g_{\mu\nu}$ and η_{ij} and raised with $g^{\mu\nu}$ and η^{ij} , including those of e^i_μ itself; this allows us to obtain e^μ_i , which may be viewed as the components of e_i in the frame e_μ or the components of e^μ in the coframe e^i . It also allows us to e.g. use $e_{i\mu}$ to convert the coordinate frame components of a tangent vector on M to the cotetrad components of the dual covector.

As we did with e , we may view the mixed index tensor A as a multilinear mapping whose component array values are defined by applying it to basis vectors in different frames; this allows us to take the covariant derivative of mixed index tensors by applying the two connections, e.g.

$$\nabla_\lambda A^{i\nu} = \partial_\lambda A^{i\nu} + \Gamma^\nu_{\mu\lambda} A^{i\mu} + \omega^i_{j\lambda} A^{j\nu}. \tag{3.8}$$

The covariant derivative can then be applied to e_i^μ itself, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\lambda e_i^\mu &= \partial_\lambda e_i^\mu + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} e_i^\nu - \omega^j{}_{i\lambda} e_j^\mu \\
&= \partial_\lambda e_i^\mu + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} e_i^\nu - (\nabla_\lambda e_i)^\mu \\
&= \partial_\lambda e_i^\mu + \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} e_i^\nu - \partial_\lambda e_i^\mu - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} e_i^\nu \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used (3.2) and recall (see [2] Section 9.1.5) that when using index notation, the covariant derivative of a vector is

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\lambda v^\mu &\equiv (\nabla_\lambda v)^\mu \\
&= \partial_\lambda v^\mu - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\nu\lambda} v^\nu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Note that using the Leibniz rule for the covariant derivative of a vector multiplied by a function, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\lambda v^\mu &= (\nabla_\lambda v)^\mu \\
&= (\nabla_\lambda (e_i v^i))^\mu \\
&= (e_i \partial_\lambda v^i)^\mu + (v^i \nabla_\lambda e_i)^\mu \\
&= (e_i)^\mu \partial_\lambda v^i + v^i (\nabla_\lambda e_i)^\mu \\
&= e_i^\mu \partial_\lambda v^i + v^i \omega^\mu{}_{i\lambda} \\
&= e_i^\mu (\partial_\lambda v^i + \omega^i{}_{j\lambda} v^j) \\
&= e_i^\mu \nabla_\lambda v^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where we have used (3.2) and relabeled dummy indices. This confirms that the Leibniz rule continues to apply to mixed index tensors, since the above may be written as the covariant derivative of such a tensor contracted with a vector:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_\lambda v^\mu &= (\nabla_\lambda v)^\mu \\
&= (\nabla_\lambda (e_i v^i))^\mu \\
&= \nabla_\lambda (e_i^\mu v^i) \\
&= v^i \nabla_\lambda e_i^\mu + e_i^\mu \nabla_\lambda v^i \\
&= e_i^\mu \nabla_\lambda v^i.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

3.2 Some expressions using Lorentz indices

We define

$$\partial \in \{\partial_\lambda, \partial_k, \delta\} \tag{3.13}$$

to be any derivative of functions on M , whether due to an infinitesimal change in a coordinate frame direction ∂_λ , or a tetrad direction ∂_k , or in the frame itself due to a variation δe_i^μ , which is not to be confused with the Kronecker delta δ_ν^μ . Since the components of e may be viewed as

functions, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_i^\mu e_\mu^j &= e_i^\mu g_{\mu\nu} \eta^{kj} e_k^\nu = \eta^{kj} \langle e_i, e_k \rangle = \delta_i^j \\
\Rightarrow \partial (e_i^\mu e_\mu^j) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow e_\mu^j \partial e_i^\mu &= -e_i^\mu \partial e_\mu^j \\
\Rightarrow e_\nu^i e_\mu^j \partial e_i^\mu &= -e_\nu^i e_i^\mu \partial e_\mu^j \\
&= -\delta_\nu^\mu \partial e_\mu^j \\
\Rightarrow \partial e_\nu^j &= -e_\nu^i e_\mu^j \partial e_i^\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

providing a way to swap Lorentz and coordinate indices in the tetrad derivative. Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
e_j^\nu e_\mu^j \partial e_i^\mu &= -e_j^\nu e_i^\mu \partial e_\mu^j \\
\Rightarrow \partial e_i^\nu &= -e_j^\nu e_i^\mu \partial e_\mu^j.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

We also can see that g and η may be written

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\mu\nu} &= e_\mu^i e_\nu^j \eta_{ij} \\
&= e_\mu^i e_{i\nu}, \\
\eta_{ij} &= e_i^\mu e_j^\nu g_{\mu\nu} \\
&= e_i^\mu e_{\mu j} \\
\Rightarrow \partial (g_{\mu\nu}) &= \eta_{ij} (\partial e_\mu^i e_\nu^j + e_\mu^i \partial e_\nu^j), \\
\partial (e_i^\mu e_{\mu j}) &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow e_i^\mu \partial e_{\mu j} &= -e_{\mu j} \partial e_i^\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Recalling that

$$\delta(\sqrt{g}) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{3.17}$$

and using the above expression for the metric it is also not hard to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\sqrt{g}) &= \sqrt{g} e_i^\mu \delta e_\mu^i \\
&= -\sqrt{g} e_\mu^i \delta e_i^\mu.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Recalling the expression for torsion in an arbitrary frame and the relation $e_\mu^j \partial_\nu e_i^\mu = -e_i^\mu \partial_\nu e_\mu^j$ above, we may write an expression for the torsion tensor in terms of e and ω as

$$\begin{aligned}
T^i_{jk} &= \omega^i_{kj} - \omega^i_{jk} - [e_j, e_k]^i \\
&= \omega^i_{kj} - \omega^i_{jk} - e_\sigma^i e_j^\rho \partial_\rho e_k^\sigma + e_\sigma^i e_k^\rho \partial_\rho e_j^\sigma \\
&= \omega^i_{kj} - \omega^i_{jk} + e_k^\sigma e_j^\rho \partial_\rho e_\sigma^i - e_j^\sigma e_k^\rho \partial_\rho e_\sigma^i \\
\Rightarrow T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} &= e_i^\lambda e_\mu^j e_\nu^k T^i_{jk} \\
&= e_i^\lambda e_\nu^k \omega^i_{k\mu} - e_i^\lambda e_\mu^j \omega^i_{j\nu} + e_i^\lambda \delta_\mu^\rho \delta_\nu^\sigma \partial_\rho e_\sigma^i - e_i^\lambda \delta_\mu^\sigma \delta_\nu^\rho \partial_\rho e_\sigma^i \\
&= e_i^\lambda (e_\nu^j \omega^i_{j\mu} - e_\mu^j \omega^i_{j\nu} + \partial_\mu e_\nu^i - \partial_\nu e_\mu^i).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Note the sign reversal of the frame derivative terms on the third line due to the conversion of the indices of the frame component under the derivative.

3.3 Varying parallel transport

We view parallel transport as the intrinsic quantity to be varied on M . When addressing actions which are expressed in terms of the metric (via inner products and the volume element) and the spacetime connection (via the covariant derivative and the scalar curvature), we may accomplish this by varying the connection Γ in a chosen frame. A general Γ whose parallel transport has holonomy group $SO(3, 1)$ is associated with a unique Lorentzian metric (up to a choice of units) g , and may be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} + K^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \omega^i{}_{jk} &= \bar{\omega}^i{}_{jk} + K^i{}_{jk},\end{aligned}\tag{3.20}$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\bar{\omega}$ are the torsionless connection and spin connection associated with g , and $K_{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is the contorsion tensor

$$K_{\lambda\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(T_{\mu\lambda\nu} + T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\nu}),\tag{3.21}$$

which is anti-symmetric in its first two indices. We may therefore view the variation of Γ as independent variations of $\bar{\Gamma}$ and K . The variation of $\bar{\Gamma}$ while holding K constant will induce a variation δg , and this may be reversed to view $\delta\bar{\Gamma}$ as being induced by a variation of g , making g and K the independent variations. The variation of K while holding $\bar{\Gamma}$ and therefore g constant means that we may raise and lower indices at will.

It is important to note that independently varying g and K is not equivalent to independently varying g and T . It is clear from the definition of K in terms of T that it is dependent upon g via the lowered indices, so that varying g while holding K constant results in altered torsion, and varying g while holding T constant by necessity alters K . However, varying K while holding g constant is equivalent to varying T while holding g constant; perhaps for this reason, these two different variations are sometimes described interchangeably.

Now, when addressing actions such as that of Dirac theory, which are expressed in terms of the tetrad (via the Dirac matrices) and the spin connection (via the covariant derivative and scalar curvature), we must instead vary the parallel transport by using the Sciama-Kibble formalism, which varies the tetrad e and the spin connection ω . The tetrad uniquely determines a metric, and in terms of this tetrad, the spin connection ω is a matrix of 1-forms $\omega^i{}_k$, which may be kept constant while varying e ; thus we may vary the parallel transport via independent variations of e and $\omega^i{}_k$.

As with the variation of g and K , it is important to note that independently varying e and ω is not equivalent to independently varying g and T . For example, if we limit our variation of e to a ‘‘rotation’’ at each point (a Lorentz transformation), then holding $\omega^i{}_k$ constant results in altered torsion, while such a variation of e while holding torsion constant by necessity alters $\omega^i{}_k$. However, varying $\omega^i{}_k$ while holding e constant is equivalent to varying T while holding g constant, just as varying K while holding g constant is.

Using the first expression in (3.19), we may write K in terms of e and ω ; it is not hard to see that due to the anti-symmetry of the first two indices of ω_{ijk} , there are two pairs of cancellations resulting in

$$\begin{aligned}K^i{}_{j\mu} &= \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + f(e) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\partial K^i{}_{j\mu}}{\partial \omega^i{}_{j\mu}} &= 1,\end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

where f is some function of the tetrad which leaves the derivative unaffected. From a variational standpoint this makes sense: the tetrad is associated with a unique metric, which in turn is associated with a unique torsionless Levi-Civita spin connection; therefore any variation of the spin connection while keeping the tetrad constant directly varies the torsion, and hence the contorsion.

4 Stress energy momentum tensors

In this section we define various SEM tensors and obtain relationships between them, for a generic action defined as

$$\begin{aligned} S &\equiv S_M + S_G \\ &\equiv \int \mathfrak{L}_M d^4x + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int R\sqrt{g}d^4x, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where S_M is the matter action, S_G is the gravitational action, \mathfrak{L}_M is the matter Lagrangian density, R is the scalar curvature, and

$$\sqrt{g} \equiv \sqrt{|\det(g_{\mu\nu})|}. \quad (4.2)$$

We will denote the variational derivative of the action with respect to e.g. the metric by $\delta S(g)$, while matter and gravitational actions under the assumption of zero torsion will be denoted with an overbar, e.g. $\bar{\mathfrak{L}}_M$.

These are classic results, presented here from a geometric viewpoint in detail and in the mostly pluses signature.

4.1 The Hilbert SEM tensor

The definition of the **Hilbert SEM tensor** \bar{T} and its density $\bar{\mathfrak{T}}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_M(g) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial g_{\nu\mu}} \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} d^4x \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} d^4x \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} \sqrt{g} \delta g_{\mu\nu} d^4x \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} dV, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

where $dV = \sqrt{g}d^4x$, we explicitly vary the metric components symmetrically in order to reflect the variation of the underlying geometric quantity, ensuring \bar{T} and $\bar{\mathfrak{T}}$ are symmetric, and we recall that

$$\delta g^{\lambda\sigma} = -g^{\lambda\mu} g^{\nu\sigma} \delta g_{\mu\nu}. \quad (4.4)$$

If we assume $K = 0$ as in standard general relativity, the variation of the gravitational action

is

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa\delta S_{\bar{G}}(g) &= \int \delta(\bar{R}\sqrt{g}) d^4x \\
&= \int (\sqrt{g}\delta\bar{R} + \bar{R}\delta(\sqrt{g})) d^4x \\
&= \int \left(\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{R}\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} \right) dV \\
&= \int \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} dV + \int g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}dV,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

where \bar{R} and $\bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$ are the torsionless scalar curvature and Ricci tensor, and we arrive at the torsionless Einstein tensor

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} \equiv \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{R}g_{\mu\nu} \tag{4.6}$$

by recalling (3.17).

Regarding the second integral, we use the expression for the curvature in terms of the connection in a coordinate frame (see e.g. [2] Section 9.2.5) to write

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} &= \delta\bar{R}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda\nu} \\
&= \delta\left(\partial_{\lambda}\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda} + \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\lambda}\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu}\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\lambda}\right) \\
&= \partial_{\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - \partial_{\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda} + \delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\lambda}\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} \\
&\quad - \delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu}\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\lambda} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\lambda} \\
&= \partial_{\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\lambda} \\
&\quad - \left(\partial_{\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\lambda}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Recall that while $\bar{\Gamma}$ is not a tensor, the difference $\delta\bar{\Gamma}$ is; for the last term on the penultimate line, we may therefore relabel dummy indices and use the symmetric lower indices of the torsionless connection to arrive at the Palatini identity

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{\nabla}_{\lambda}\left(\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\right) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\left(\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda}\right) \\
\Rightarrow g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{R}_{\mu\nu} &= g^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\lambda}\left(\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\right) - g^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\left(\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda}\right) \\
&= \bar{\nabla}_{\lambda}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}\right) - \bar{\nabla}_{\nu}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda}\right) \\
&= \bar{\nabla}_{\lambda}\left(g^{\mu\nu}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} - g^{\mu\lambda}\delta\bar{\Gamma}^{\nu}{}_{\mu\nu}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

The second term in the last line of (4.5) thus vanishes due to the divergence theorem, since we assume that $\bar{\Gamma}$ (the underlying quantity being varied) vanishes at the boundary, and our EOM for $K = 0$ are the Einstein field equations

$$\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} = \kappa\bar{T}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{4.9}$$

Under these EOM, since \bar{G} is divergenceless, so is \bar{T} .

We now show that if we include torsion in the scalar curvature of the gravitational action, then for any matter Lagrangian $\mathfrak{L}_{\bar{M}}$ which does not depend upon K , the variation of K results

in the EOM $K = 0$, and therefore the Einstein field equations remain valid. We first express the scalar curvature in terms of $\bar{\Gamma}$, g , and K by writing

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\lambda \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu \Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} + \Gamma^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \Gamma^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} \\
&= \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\lambda K^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \partial_\nu K^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} + K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} \\
&\quad + \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} + K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} \\
&= \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\lambda K^\lambda_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\nu\lambda} K^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} - \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \\
&\quad - \partial_\nu K^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} + \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\mu\nu} K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} + K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} \\
&= \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\nabla}_\nu K^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} + K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^\sigma_{\mu\nu} - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} \\
\Rightarrow R &= g^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} \\
&= \bar{R} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^{\lambda\nu}_\nu - \bar{\nabla}_\nu K^{\lambda\nu}_\lambda + K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^{\sigma\nu}_\nu - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda \\
&= \bar{R} + 2\bar{\nabla}_\lambda \left(g^{\mu\nu} K^\lambda_{\mu\nu} \right) - g^{\mu\nu} \left(K^\lambda_{\mu\lambda} K^\sigma_{\nu\sigma} - K^\lambda_{\mu\sigma} K^\sigma_{\nu\lambda} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where in the fourth term of the fourth line we have relabeled dummy indices and use the symmetry of the lower indices of the torsionless connection to find that $\bar{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} K^\sigma_{\mu\lambda} = \bar{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\nu\lambda} K^\lambda_{\mu\sigma}$, while in the last line we have relabeled dummy indices and used the anti-symmetry of the first two indices in K . Having isolated the terms in K , we may now vary it to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa\delta S_G(K_{\sigma\lambda\nu}) &= \int 2\bar{\nabla}_\lambda \left(\delta K^{\lambda\nu}_\nu \right) dV + \int \left(\delta \left(K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} K^{\sigma\nu}_\nu \right) - \delta \left(K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \delta K^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda \right) \right) dV \\
&= \int \left(K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} \delta K^{\sigma\nu}_\nu + K^{\sigma\nu}_\nu \delta K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} - K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \delta K^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda - K^{\sigma\nu}_\lambda \delta K^\lambda_{\sigma\nu} \right) dV \\
&= \int \left(K^\lambda_{\sigma\lambda} \delta K^{\sigma\nu}_\nu + K^\nu_{\sigma\nu} \delta K^{\sigma\lambda}_\lambda - K^\nu_{\sigma\lambda} \delta K^{\sigma\lambda}_\nu + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} \right) dV \\
&= 2 \int \left(K^{\mu\sigma}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV \\
\Rightarrow 2\kappa\delta S_G(K) &= \kappa\delta S(K_{\sigma\lambda\nu}) - \kappa\delta S(K_{\lambda\sigma\nu}) \\
&= \int \left(K^{\mu\sigma}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where the first integral vanishes by the divergence theorem since we assume the variation vanishes on the integration boundary, we have again relabeled dummy indices and used the anti-symmetry of the first two indices in K , and in the last line we have anti-symmetrized to reflect variation of the underlying geometric quantity. Assuming the matter Lagrangian does not depend on K , the integrand must vanish; taking the trace, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= g_{\sigma\nu} \left(K^{\mu\sigma}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} - K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma} \right) \\
&= K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} - 4K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} + K^{\nu\lambda}_\nu - K^{\lambda\nu}_\nu \\
&= -2K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} \\
\Rightarrow K^{\mu\lambda}_{\mu} &= 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where again we have relabeled dummy indices and used the anti-symmetry of the first two indices in K , by which the third term on the second line vanishes. But again using the anti-symmetric

indices, the remaining terms are

$$\int \left(K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV = \int \left(K^{\nu\lambda\sigma} - K^{\nu\sigma\lambda} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV. \quad (4.13)$$

For this integrand to vanish, K must be symmetric in the last two indices; but since it is also anti-symmetric in the first two indices, the entire tensor must vanish. Thus $K = 0$ for any matter Lagrangian which does not depend on K , and the Einstein field equations remain valid, justifying our use of the scalar curvature including torsion as the gravitational action in (4.1).

4.2 The spin SEM tensor

We now consider the more general case in which the matter Lagrangian does depend upon the contorsion, arriving at Einstein-Cartan theory. We first address the **spin tensor** and its density; they are defined analogously (but with opposite sign) to the Hilbert SEM tensor in (4.3) by

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_M(K) &= \frac{1}{2} \int \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial K_{\sigma\lambda\nu}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial K_{\lambda\sigma\nu}} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} d^4x \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}^{\sigma\lambda\nu} \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} d^4x \\ &\equiv -\frac{1}{2} \int S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV, \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

ensuring that since K is anti-symmetric in its first two indices, so are S and \mathfrak{S} . Via our variation of K in (4.11), we then have the EOM

$$\kappa S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} = K^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma}, \quad (4.15)$$

which can be reversed to yield

$$K^{\sigma\lambda\nu} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} + S^{\nu\lambda\sigma} - S^{\nu\sigma\lambda} + g^{\lambda\nu} S^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} - g^{\sigma\nu} S^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} \right). \quad (4.16)$$

The spin tensor is instead usually written in terms of the torsion

$$\kappa S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} = T^{\nu\sigma\lambda} - T^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + T^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu}, \quad (4.17)$$

which is sometimes called the second Einstein-Cartan equation, and can also be reversed to arrive at

$$T^{\nu\lambda\sigma} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(2S^{\lambda\sigma\nu} - g^{\nu\lambda} S^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} + g^{\nu\sigma} S^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} \right). \quad (4.18)$$

Therefore the (con)torsion and spin tensor determine each other, and if one vanishes so does the other: “torsion due to spin does not propagate.” This is in contrast to curvature due to energy momentum, which does propagate; i.e. the spacetime connection may not be flat when \bar{G} vanishes.

We now vary the metric with K held constant. Recalling the expansion of R in (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\kappa S_G(g) &= \int \bar{R} \sqrt{g} d^4x + 2 \int \bar{\nabla}_{\lambda} \left(g^{\mu\nu} K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \right) \sqrt{g} d^4x \\ &\quad - \int g^{\mu\nu} \left(K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\lambda} K^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\sigma} - K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\sigma} K^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\lambda} \right) \sqrt{g} d^4x. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

We already know the variation of the first integral yields the torsionless Einstein tensor, while the variation of the second integral again vanishes due to the divergence theorem, resulting in

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa\delta S_G(g) &= \int \bar{G}_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}dV \\
&\quad - \int \left(K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda}\right)\delta g^{\mu\nu}dV \\
&\quad + \int g^{\kappa\rho}\left(K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\rho\sigma} - K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\rho\lambda}\right)\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}dV \\
&\equiv \int \left(\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} - \kappa\mathring{T}_{\mu\nu}\right)\delta g^{\mu\nu}dV,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where we define the **spin SEM tensor** by

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa\mathring{T}_{\mu\nu} &\equiv K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\kappa\rho}\left(K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\rho\sigma} - K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\rho\lambda}\right) \\
&= K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\left(K^{\lambda\rho}{}_{\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\rho\sigma} - K^{\lambda\rho\sigma}K_{\sigma\rho\lambda}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

The spin SEM tensor is manifestly symmetric, which is why we did not have to symmetrize the variation above. We may substitute in (3.21) or (4.16) to find (even longer) expressions for \mathring{T} in terms of either the torsion or the spin tensor (see [3] p108). We also note that since it is quadratic in K , which is linear in S , \mathring{T} is also quadratic in S , which means that it is unchanged by a change in the sign of the spin tensor.

Our final EOM is then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa\left(\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} + \mathring{T}^{\mu\nu}\right) \\
&\equiv \kappa\mathring{T}^{\mu\nu},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

which is sometimes called the first Einstein-Cartan equation. \mathring{T} in terms of the spin tensor thus may be viewed as the spin contribution of matter to the geometric energy momentum \bar{G} . Note that the Hilbert SEM tensor \bar{T} remains symmetric, but is not necessarily divergenceless. Only $\mathring{\bar{T}}$, the sum of the Hilbert and spin SEM tensors, is divergenceless, since \bar{G} is; we follow [3] in calling it the **combined SEM tensor**.

4.3 The tetrad SEM tensor

With our EOM complete under the variation of g and K , we now consider Einstein-Cartan-Sciama-Kibble (ECSK) theory, in which torsion is non-zero and we vary e and ω in order to accommodate actions such as that of Dirac theory. In this section we elect to follow [1] and work in terms of differential forms (for a treatment using tensors see [3]).

In order to make clear the types of each quantity, we temporarily denote forms with an underbar. Thus the cotetrad is written \underline{e}^i , four 1-forms labeled by i , whose components in its own frame are $e_j^i = \delta_j^i$. Similarly, the Riemann curvature $\check{R} = d\check{\omega} + \check{\omega} \wedge \check{\omega}$ is denoted $\check{R}_{ij} = R^m{}_{nij} = \underline{R}^m{}_n$, which are the form components of a $so(3,1)$ -valued 2-form, the Riemann curvature tensor components, and a matrix of 2-forms respectively. Since the variation of \underline{e} is performed while holding $\underline{\omega}^i{}_j$ constant, $\underline{R}^m{}_n$ is also constant under such a variation. All of these indices may be raised and lowered using η , with the matrices \underline{R}_{mn} then anti-symmetric.

In preparation, we recall the component expression for the inner product of two k -forms

$$\langle \underline{A}, \underline{B} \rangle = \frac{1}{k!} A_{m_1 \dots m_k} B^{m_1 \dots m_k} \quad (4.23)$$

and the component expression for the exterior product of two 1-forms

$$(\underline{a} \wedge \underline{b})_{mn} = a_m b_n - a_n b_m. \quad (4.24)$$

We use the definition of the Hodge star of two k -forms

$$\underline{A} \wedge * \underline{B} = \langle \underline{A}, \underline{B} \rangle \underline{\Omega}, \quad (4.25)$$

where $\underline{\Omega} = dV$ is the volume element, which in Lorentz indices may be written

$$\begin{aligned} (*\underline{B})_{m_{k+1} \dots m_4} &= \frac{1}{k!} B^{m_1 \dots m_k} \varepsilon_{m_1 \dots m_4} \\ \Rightarrow (*\underline{B})_{m_1 \dots m_{4-k}} &= \frac{-1}{k!} \varepsilon^{n_1 \dots n_4} B_{n_1 \dots n_k} \eta_{m_1 n_{k+1}} \dots \eta_{m_{n-k} n_4}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

where ε is the completely anti-symmetric symbol. We also recall that the generalized Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{m_1 \dots m_k}^{n_1 \dots n_k} \equiv \sum_{\pi} \text{sign}(\pi) \delta_{m_1}^{n_{\pi(1)}} \dots \delta_{m_k}^{n_{\pi(k)}} \quad (4.27)$$

gives the sign of the permutation of upper versus lower indices and vanishes if they are not permutations or have a repeated index. We can relate this to the permutation symbol via

$$\delta_{m_1 \dots m_k}^{n_1 \dots n_k} = \frac{1}{(4-k)!} \varepsilon^{n_1 \dots n_k p_{k+1} \dots p_4} \varepsilon_{m_1 \dots m_k p_{k+1} \dots p_4}. \quad (4.28)$$

We first must write the gravitational Lagrangian in terms of forms. Using the above, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \underline{R}_{mn}, \underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n \rangle &= \frac{1}{2} R_{mn}{}^{ij} (\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n)_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} R_{mn}{}^{ij} (e_i^m e_j^n - e_j^m e_i^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (R_{mn}{}^{mn} - R_{mn}{}^{nm}) \\ &= R. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

We then use the definition of the Hodge star to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R \underline{\Omega} &= \langle \underline{R}_{mn}, \underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n \rangle \underline{\Omega} \\ &= \underline{R}_{mn} \wedge * (\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n) \\ &= \underline{R}_{mn} \wedge \frac{1}{2} (* (\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n))_{kl} \underline{e}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \\ &= \underline{R}_{mn} \wedge \frac{1}{4} (\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n)^{ij} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \underline{e}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge (\underline{e}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l) \varepsilon_{mnkl}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where in the last line we use the fact that $(\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n)^{ij}$ vanishes except for $ij = mn$ or nm , in which cases we compensate for the potential sign change due to re-lowering the indices by raising the indices of \underline{R} .

Varying the cotetrad in the gravitational action then yields

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa\delta S_G(\underline{e}) &= \delta \int R\underline{\Omega} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int (\underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \delta\underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j + \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \delta\underline{e}^j) \varepsilon_{mnij} \\
&= \int \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \delta\underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij} \\
&= \int \delta\underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{imnj},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

where in the third line the sign change due to the reversed order of the dual frames is cancelled by that of the permutation symbol, and in the last line there is no sign change since \underline{R} is a 2-form.

We now define the **tetrad SEM tensor** by

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_M(\underline{e}) &\equiv - \int \overset{+}{\mathfrak{T}}_\mu{}^i \delta e_i^\mu d^4x \\
&\equiv \int \overset{+}{T}_\mu{}^i e_i^\nu e_j^\mu \delta e_\nu^j dV \\
&= \int \overset{+}{T}_j{}^\nu \delta e_\nu^j dV \\
&= \int \left\langle \overset{+}{\underline{T}}_j, \delta \underline{e}^j \right\rangle \underline{\Omega} \\
&= \int \delta \underline{e}^j \wedge * \overset{+}{\underline{T}}_j,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where $\overset{+}{\underline{T}}_j$ is a 1-form valued 1-form. Requiring the variation of the entire action to vanish hence

yields the EOM

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa \left(* \underline{T}_i^+ \right) &= -\underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{imnj} \\
\Rightarrow 2\kappa \underline{T}_n^+ &= - * \left(\underline{R}^{ij} \wedge \underline{e}^m \varepsilon_{nijm} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} R^{ij}{}_{kl} \varepsilon_{ijmn} \left(* \left(\underline{e}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \wedge \underline{e}^m \right) \right)_t \underline{e}^t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} R^{ij}{}_{kl} \varepsilon_{ijmn} \varepsilon^{pqrs} \frac{1}{3!} \left(\underline{e}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \wedge \underline{e}^m \right)_{pqr} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} R^{ij}{}_{kl} \varepsilon_{ijmn} \varepsilon^{klms} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} R^{ij}{}_{kl} \delta_{ijn}^{kls} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= - \left(R^{ij}{}_{ij} \delta_n^s - R^{ij}{}_{in} \delta_j^s + R^{ij}{}_{jn} \delta_i^s \right) \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= - \left(R \delta_n^s - 2R^{is}{}_{in} \right) \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= 2 \left(R^i{}_{tin} - \frac{1}{2} R \eta_{tn} \right) \underline{e}^t \\
\Rightarrow \kappa \underline{T}_{nt}^+ &= G_{tn} \\
\Rightarrow G^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa \underline{T}^{+\nu\mu},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

where in the second line we use $* * \underline{T}_n^+ = \underline{T}_n^+$ since it is a 1-form, G_{tn} is defined as the Einstein tensor including torsion using Lorentz indices, and in the penultimate line both sides act on e_t .

For completeness, we now obtain the second Einstein-Cartan equation (4.17) by varying the spin connection. We first note that the variation $\delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}}$ is a tensor-valued form since it is the difference between two connections, and that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D\delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} &= d\delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} + \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \wedge \delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} + \delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \wedge \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \\
\Rightarrow \delta \underline{\tilde{R}} &= \delta (d\underline{\tilde{\omega}} + \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \wedge \underline{\tilde{\omega}}) \\
&= d\delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} + \delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \wedge \underline{\tilde{\omega}} + \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \wedge \delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}} \\
&= D\delta \underline{\tilde{\omega}},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where we recall the expressions for the exterior covariant derivative of such forms (see e.g. [2] Sections 9.2.3 and 3.3.5). For the gravitational action, we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa \delta S_G(\underline{\tilde{\omega}}) &= \delta \int R \underline{\Omega} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \delta \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int (D\delta \underline{\omega})^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

Now, the quantity $\delta \underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij}$ is a scalar-valued 3-form, so the exterior covariant derivative is the same as the exterior derivative; furthermore, we recall (see e.g. [2] Section 9.2.2) that D is a graded derivation with respect to the exterior product of anti-symmetric tensor-valued forms.

Therefore we may use Stokes' theorem and the assumption that $\delta\tilde{\omega}$ vanishes on the boundary to write

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int d(\delta\underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij}) \\
&= \int D(\delta\underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij}) \\
&= \int (D\delta\underline{\omega})^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij} - 2 \int \delta\underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge (D\underline{e})^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where again the sign change in the order of the dual frame terms is cancelled by that of the permutation symbol. Recalling (see e.g. [2] Section 9.2.4) the definition of torsion in terms of the dual frame as a vector-valued 1-form, we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\kappa\delta S_G(\tilde{\omega}) &= \int \delta\underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge (D\underline{e})^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij} \\
&= \int \delta\underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge \underline{T}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

where \underline{T}^i is the torsion as a vector-valued 2-form.

Proceeding to the matter action, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_M(\tilde{\omega}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta\omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int S_{ij}{}^k \delta\omega^i{}^j{}_k dV \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \langle \underline{S}_{ij}, \delta\underline{\omega}^{ij} \rangle \Omega \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \delta\underline{\omega}^{ij} \wedge * \underline{S}_{ij},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

where \underline{S}_{ij} is a 2-form valued 1-form. Requiring the variation of the entire action to vanish hence yields the EOM

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(*\underline{S}_{ij}) &= \underline{T}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \varepsilon_{ijkl} \\
\Rightarrow \kappa \underline{S}_{ij} &= *(\underline{T}^k \wedge \underline{e}^l \varepsilon_{ijkl}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} T^k{}_{mn} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \left(*(\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n \wedge \underline{e}^l) \right)_t \underline{e}^t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} T^k{}_{mn} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \varepsilon^{pqrs} \frac{1}{3!} (\underline{e}^m \wedge \underline{e}^n \wedge \underline{e}^l)_{pqr} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} T^k{}_{mn} \varepsilon_{ijkl} \varepsilon^{mnl s} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= \frac{1}{2} T^k{}_{mn} \delta_{ijk}^{mns} \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= \left(T^k{}_{ij} \delta_k^s - T^k{}_{ik} \delta_j^s + T^k{}_{jk} \delta_i^s \right) \eta_{ts} \underline{e}^t \\
&= \left(T_{tij} - T^k{}_{ik} \eta_{tj} + T^k{}_{jk} \eta_{ti} \right) \underline{e}^t \\
\Rightarrow \kappa S_{ijt} &= T_{tij} - T^k{}_{ik} \eta_{tj} + T^k{}_{jk} \eta_{ti},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.39}$$

where again in the second line we use $**\underline{S}_{ij} = \underline{S}_{ij}$ since it is a 1-form, and again in the last line both sides act on \underline{e}_t . This completes the derivation of the second Einstein-Cartan equation via variation of the spin connection.

4.4 The Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation

We associate the combined SEM tensor (4.22) with total energy momentum, and therefore would like to obtain it in theories such as Dirac theory which are expressed in terms of e and ω . The Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation, derived in this section, accomplishes this by expressing \bar{T} in terms of T^+ and S .

Using the ECSK EOM (4.33) and the curvature expansion (4.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G_{\nu\mu} &= \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^+ \\
&= R_{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\nu\mu}R \\
&= \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} - \bar{\nabla}_\mu K^\lambda{}_{\nu\lambda} + K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\mu} - K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} \\
&\quad - g_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\lambda \left(g^{\kappa\rho}K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} \left(K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\lambda}K^{\sigma\kappa}{}_\kappa - K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\kappa}K^{\sigma\kappa}{}_\lambda \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

Using the EOM (4.22) and the spin SEM tensor definition (4.21), we thus have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \kappa \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} - \kappa \dot{T}_{\mu\nu} \\
&= \kappa T_{\mu\nu}^+ - \bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} + \bar{\nabla}_\mu K^\lambda{}_{\nu\lambda} - K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\mu} + K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} \\
&\quad + g_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\lambda \left(g^{\kappa\rho}K^\lambda{}_{\kappa\rho} \right) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} \left(K^{\lambda\sigma}{}_\lambda K^\kappa{}_{\sigma\kappa} - K^{\lambda\sigma\kappa}K_{\kappa\sigma\lambda} \right) \\
&\quad - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} + K^\lambda{}_{\mu\sigma}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu} \left(K^{\lambda\rho}{}_\lambda K^\sigma{}_{\rho\sigma} - K^{\lambda\rho\sigma}K_{\sigma\rho\lambda} \right) \\
&= \kappa \dot{T}_{\mu\nu}^+ - \left(g_{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa + \bar{\nabla}_\lambda K^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} - K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda}K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu} - K^\sigma{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\lambda{}_{\nu\sigma} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\bar{\nabla}_\mu K^\lambda{}_{\nu\lambda} - K^\sigma{}_{\nu\mu}K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\lambda} \right) - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} \\
&= \kappa \dot{T}_{\mu\nu}^+ - \bar{\nabla}_\lambda \left(K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa g_{\mu\nu} + K^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} \right) - K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\sigma} \\
&\quad + \bar{\nabla}_\mu K^\lambda{}_{\nu\lambda} - K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu}K^\sigma{}_{\nu\lambda} + K^\sigma{}_{\mu\lambda}K^\lambda{}_{\nu\sigma} - K^\sigma{}_{\nu\mu}K^\lambda{}_{\sigma\lambda} \\
&= \kappa \dot{T}_{\mu\nu}^+ - (\nabla_\lambda - K^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \left(K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa g_{\mu\nu} + K^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} - K^{\kappa\nu}{}_\kappa \delta_\mu^\lambda \right) \\
\Rightarrow \kappa \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa \dot{T}^{+\mu\nu} - (\nabla_\lambda - K^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \left(K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\nu} + K^{\lambda\nu\mu} - K^{\kappa\nu}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\lambda} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

To obtain this expression in terms of the spin tensor we could use (4.16), but to ease calculations we instead use (3.21) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{\lambda\mu\lambda} &= \frac{1}{2}(T_{\lambda\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\lambda}) \\
\Rightarrow K^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda} &= -T^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda} \\
\Rightarrow \kappa \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa \dot{T}^{+\mu\nu} - (\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) A^{\lambda\nu\mu}, \\
A^{\lambda\nu\mu} &\equiv K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\nu} + K^{\lambda\nu\mu} - K^{\kappa\nu}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\lambda} \\
&= -T^{\kappa\lambda}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\nu} + T^{\kappa\nu}{}_\kappa g^{\mu\lambda} + \frac{1}{2} \left(T^{\nu\lambda\mu} + T^{\mu\lambda\nu} - T^{\lambda\nu\mu} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

then use (4.17) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa S^{\lambda\nu\mu} &= T^{\mu\lambda\nu} - T^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa} g^{\mu\nu} + T^{\kappa\nu}{}_{\kappa} g^{\mu\lambda} \\ \Rightarrow A^{\lambda\nu\mu} &= \kappa S^{\lambda\nu\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \left(T^{\nu\lambda\mu} - T^{\mu\lambda\nu} - T^{\lambda\nu\mu} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

so that using (4.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\lambda\nu\mu} &= \kappa S^{\lambda\nu\mu} + \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(2S^{\lambda\mu\nu} - g^{\nu\lambda} S^{\kappa\mu}{}_{\kappa} + g^{\nu\mu} S^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(2S^{\lambda\nu\mu} - g^{\mu\lambda} S^{\kappa\nu}{}_{\kappa} + g^{\nu\mu} S^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{4} \left(2S^{\nu\mu\lambda} - g^{\nu\lambda} S^{\kappa\mu}{}_{\kappa} + g^{\lambda\mu} S^{\kappa\nu}{}_{\kappa} \right) \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{2} \left(S^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\nu\mu} - S^{\nu\mu\lambda} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

yielding our final relation

$$\Rightarrow \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = \overset{+}{T}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_{\lambda} + T^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\rho}) \left(S^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\nu\mu} - S^{\nu\mu\lambda} \right). \quad (4.45)$$

This is the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation, our desired expression for the Hilbert SEM tensor in terms of the tetrad SEM tensor and the spin tensor. For completeness, we include a lengthier alternative derivation in Appendix A which is obtained by matching variations, detailing that of [3] with our conventions.

In flat torsionless spacetime, theories such as Dirac theory have actions in which the derivative of the field appears in the same place where the tetrad appears in curved spacetime; therefore the tetrad SEM tensor is equal to the canonical SEM tensor derived from the Noether current under a constant displacement (the negative sign is not present when using the mostly minuses signature). Furthermore, the Noether current associated with Lorentz transformations is identical to the spin tensor, in which context it is called the canonical spin tensor (which does not vanish with torsion as does the spin tensor). In this context the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation is characterized as “improving” the canonical SEM tensor, i.e. transforming it into the Hilbert SEM tensor, which has the desired properties of being symmetric and divergenceless.

4.5 Summary

Summarizing our conventions and results, we have for the connections

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu} &= dx^{\lambda} (\nabla_{\mu} \partial_{\sigma}), \\ \omega^j{}_{i\mu} &= e^j (\nabla_{\mu} e_i), \end{aligned} \quad (4.46)$$

for the torsion

$$\begin{aligned} T^i{}_{jk} &= \omega^i{}_{kj} - \omega^i{}_{jk} - [e_j, e_k]^i, \\ T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} &= e_i^{\lambda} (e_{\nu}^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} - e_{\mu}^j \omega^i{}_{j\nu} + \partial_{\mu} e_{\nu}^i - \partial_{\nu} e_{\mu}^i), \end{aligned} \quad (4.47)$$

and for the contorsion

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\lambda\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} (T_{\mu\lambda\nu} + T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\nu}), \\ \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} &= \bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} + K^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

Varying the parallel transport in the gravitational action via the metric and contorsion, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta S_G(g) + \delta S_G(K) &= -\frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \left(\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} - \kappa \dot{T}^{\mu\nu} \right) \delta g_{\mu\nu} dV \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \left(K^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma} \right) \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV,\end{aligned}\tag{4.49}$$

where the torsionless Einstein tensor is

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{R} g^{\mu\nu}\tag{4.50}$$

and the spin SEM tensor is

$$\kappa \dot{T}^{\mu\nu} = K^{\lambda\mu}{}_{\lambda} K^{\sigma\nu}{}_{\sigma} - K^{\lambda\mu}{}_{\sigma} K^{\sigma\nu}{}_{\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left(K^{\lambda\rho}{}_{\lambda} K^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\sigma} - K^{\lambda\rho\sigma} K_{\sigma\rho\lambda} \right).\tag{4.51}$$

The variation of the matter action may be written

$$\delta S_M(g) + \delta S_M(K) = \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} dV - \frac{1}{2} \int S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} \delta K_{\sigma\lambda\nu} dV,\tag{4.52}$$

where the Hilbert SEM tensor is

$$\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}} + \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial g_{\nu\mu}} \right)\tag{4.53}$$

and the spin tensor is

$$S^{\sigma\lambda\nu} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \left(\frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial K_{\sigma\lambda\nu}} - \frac{\partial \mathfrak{L}_M}{\partial K_{\lambda\sigma\nu}} \right).\tag{4.54}$$

Our EOM are then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa \left(\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} + \dot{T}^{\mu\nu} \right), \\ K^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + K^{\sigma\nu\lambda} - K^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} - K^{\lambda\nu\sigma} &= -\kappa S^{\sigma\lambda\nu},\end{aligned}\tag{4.55}$$

which can be written

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{G}^{\mu\nu} &= \kappa \overset{\circ}{T}^{\mu\nu}, \\ T^{\nu\sigma\lambda} - T^{\mu\sigma}{}_{\mu} g^{\lambda\nu} + T^{\mu\lambda}{}_{\mu} g^{\sigma\nu} &= \kappa S^{\sigma\lambda\nu},\end{aligned}\tag{4.56}$$

where the combined SEM tensor is

$$\overset{\circ}{T}^{\mu\nu} = \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} + \dot{T}^{\mu\nu}.\tag{4.57}$$

If the matter action does not depend on K then $S = 0$, and less obviously $K = 0$, so that the EOM revert to the Einstein field equations $\bar{G} = \kappa \bar{T}$.

Now varying the parallel transport in the gravitational action via the cotetrad and spin connection, we have

$$\delta S_G(\underline{e}) + \delta S_G(\underline{\omega}) = \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \delta \underline{e}^i \wedge \underline{R}^{mn} \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{imnj} + \frac{1}{2\kappa} \int \delta \underline{\omega}^{mn} \wedge \underline{T}^i \wedge \underline{e}^j \varepsilon_{mnij},\tag{4.58}$$

where \underline{R}^{mn} is the Riemann curvature tensor as a matrix of 2-forms and \underline{T}^i is the torsion as a vector-valued 2-form. The variation of the matter action may be written

$$\delta S_M(e) + \delta S_M(\omega) = \int \delta \underline{e}^j \wedge * \underline{T}_j^+ - \frac{1}{2} \int \delta \underline{\omega}^{ij} \wedge * \underline{S}_{ij}, \quad (4.59)$$

where the tetrad SEM tensor is

$$\underline{T}_\mu^+{}^i = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial e_i^\mu}, \quad (4.60)$$

which may be written as a vector valued 1-form \underline{T}^+ , and \underline{S}_{ij} is the spin tensor as a 2-form valued 1-form. The resulting EOM may be written

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ij} &= \kappa \underline{T}_{ji}^+, \\ T_{tij} - T^k{}_{ik} \eta_{tj} + T^k{}_{jk} \eta_{ti} &= \kappa S_{ijt}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.61)$$

where

$$G_{ij} = R_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} R \eta_{ij} \quad (4.62)$$

is the Einstein tensor including torsion. The second EOM is identical to that previously found by varying the contorsion, as expected from (3.22).

The first EOM $G_{ij} = \kappa \underline{T}_{ji}^+$ may be expanded by writing G in terms of \bar{G} and K , the former of which may be written in terms of \bar{T} and K , by which we obtain the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation

$$\kappa \bar{T}_{\mu\nu} = \kappa \underline{T}_{\mu\nu}^+ - (\nabla_\lambda - K^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \left(K^{\kappa\lambda}{}_{\kappa} g^{\mu\nu} + K^{\lambda\nu\mu} - K^{\kappa\nu}{}_{\kappa} g^{\mu\lambda} \right), \quad (4.63)$$

which can be written in terms of the spin tensor as

$$\bar{T}^{\mu\nu} = \underline{T}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \left(S^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\nu\mu} - S^{\nu\mu\lambda} \right). \quad (4.64)$$

When considering an action defined in terms of e and ω , such as that of Dirac theory, this relation allows us to obtain the Hilbert SEM tensor \bar{T} from calculations of \underline{T}^+ and S . The spin SEM tensor \underline{T}^+ may also be obtained from S via (4.16) and (4.21). Summing these yields the combined SEM tensor \bar{T} , which being proportional to the torsionless Einstein tensor \bar{G} , allows us to determine energy and momentum as defined by geodesic accelerations for such an action.

A The Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation via matched variations

Here we derive the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation in a way which details that of [3] with our conventions, starting with the observation that the variation of the parallel transport or connection is equivalent to the independent variations of e and ω , which induce variations of g and the torsion T . When varying ω , we recall (3.22) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S_M(\omega) &= \int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial \omega^i{}_{j\mu}} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x \\ &= \int \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_M}{\partial K^i{}_{j\mu}} \frac{\partial K^i{}_{j\mu}}{\partial \omega^i{}_{j\mu}} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x, \end{aligned} \quad (A.1)$$

since we can convert indices inside $\delta K^\lambda_{\sigma\mu}$ with the tetrad held constant, and the anti-symmetry of the integrand is already present.

Now, recall that varying K while holding g constant is equivalent to varying the torsion T while holding g constant; we therefore may express the variational derivative of the matter action with respect to the torsion in terms of the spin tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_M(K) &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \delta K_{\lambda\mu\nu} d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int \mathfrak{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \delta (T_{\mu\lambda\nu} + T_{\nu\lambda\mu} - T_{\lambda\mu\nu}) d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int (\mathfrak{S}^{\mu\lambda\nu} + \mathfrak{S}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \mathfrak{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu}) \delta T_{\lambda\mu\nu} d^4x \\
\Rightarrow \delta S_M(T) &= -\frac{1}{8} \int (\mathfrak{S}^{\mu\lambda\nu} + \mathfrak{S}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \mathfrak{S}^{\lambda\mu\nu} - \mathfrak{S}^{\nu\lambda\mu} - \mathfrak{S}^{\nu\mu\lambda} + \mathfrak{S}^{\lambda\nu\mu}) \delta T_{\lambda\mu\nu} d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int (\mathfrak{S}^{\mu\lambda\nu} + \mathfrak{S}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \mathfrak{S}^{\nu\lambda\mu}) \delta T_{\lambda\mu\nu} d^4x \\
&\equiv - \int \mathfrak{N}^{\lambda\mu\nu} \delta T_{\lambda\mu\nu} d^4x \\
\Rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{\lambda\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{4} (\mathfrak{S}^{\mu\lambda\nu} + \mathfrak{S}^{\mu\nu\lambda} - \mathfrak{S}^{\nu\lambda\mu}), \\
\mathfrak{S}^{\mu\nu\lambda} &= 2 (\mathfrak{N}^{\nu\mu\lambda} - \mathfrak{N}^{\mu\nu\lambda}).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

In the fourth line above we have relabeled dummy indices and then anti-symmetrized in order to reflect a variation of the torsion 2-form underlying the torsion tensor, which ensures that $\mathfrak{N}^{\lambda\mu\nu}$ is anti-symmetric in its last two indices.

Our variations and induced variations are then

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta S_M(\Gamma) = \delta S_M(e) + \delta S_M(\omega) &= - \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_\mu^i \delta e_i^\mu d^4x - \frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}_i^{j\mu} \delta \omega^i_{j\mu} d^4x \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} d^4x - \int \mathfrak{N}_\lambda^{\mu\nu} \delta T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} d^4x.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Now, the first term in the second line of (A.3) can be written

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} d^4x &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu\nu} \delta (e_i^\mu e_j^\nu \eta^{ij}) d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu\nu} \eta^{ij} (e_j^\nu \delta e_i^\mu + e_i^\mu \delta e_j^\nu) d^4x \\
&= - \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_{\mu\nu} e^{i\nu} \delta e_i^\mu d^4x \\
&= - \int \bar{\mathfrak{T}}_\mu^i \delta e_i^\mu d^4x,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where we have used the symmetry of both η and \mathfrak{T} . Note that this is consistent with the first line of (A.3), since the variation of the torsion also includes terms in the variation of the tetrad.

Our calculation thus comes down to writing the torsion variation in terms of variations of e and ω , so that we may equate them. With some perseverance, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \delta T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} d^4x &= \int \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \delta \left(e_i^\lambda e_\nu^k \omega^i{}_{k\mu} - e_i^\lambda e_\mu^j \omega^i{}_{j\nu} + e_i^\lambda \partial_\mu e_\nu^i - e_i^\lambda \partial_\nu e_\mu^i \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \delta \left(e_i^\lambda e_\nu^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + e_i^\lambda \partial_\mu e_\nu^i \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \left(\mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \left(\delta e_i^\lambda e_\nu^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + e_i^\lambda \delta e_\nu^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + e_i^\lambda e_\nu^j \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + \delta e_i^\lambda \partial_\mu e_\nu^i \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \delta e_\nu^i \left(\nabla_\mu \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} + \omega^j{}_{i\mu} \mathfrak{N}_j{}^{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\mu} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\rho\nu} - \Gamma^\nu{}_{\rho\mu} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\rho} \right) \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \left(e_i^\lambda e_\nu^j \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} - \left(\nabla_\mu \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\rho\mu} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\rho\nu} \right) \delta e_\nu^i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e_i^\lambda \omega^i{}_{j\mu} \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \delta e_\nu^j - \omega^j{}_{i\mu} \mathfrak{N}_j{}^{\mu\nu} \delta e_\nu^i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \left(e_\nu^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} \delta e_i^\lambda + \partial_\mu e_\nu^i \delta e_i^\lambda \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\rho} \Gamma^\nu{}_{\rho\mu} \delta e_\nu^i \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \left(-\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} - \left(\nabla_\mu \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\rho{}_{\mu\rho} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} \right) \delta e_\nu^i \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\omega^i{}_{j\mu} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} - \omega^i{}_{j\mu} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} \right) \delta e_\nu^j \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} \left(e_\nu^j \omega^i{}_{j\mu} - e_\mu^j \omega^i{}_{j\nu} + \partial_\mu e_\nu^i - \partial_\nu e_\mu^i \right) \left(-e_i^\lambda e_k^\lambda \delta e_\rho^k \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} \left(\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\nu\mu} - \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \right) \delta e_\lambda^i \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \left(-\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} - \left(\nabla_\mu + T^\rho{}_{\mu\rho} \right) \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} \delta e_\nu^i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} T^\rho{}_{\mu\nu} e_k^\lambda \delta e_\rho^k \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \delta e_\lambda^i \right) d^4x \\
&= 2 \int \left(-\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta \omega^i{}_{j\mu} + \left(\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho} \right) \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\lambda} \delta e_\mu^i \right) d^4x,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

where we use the anti-symmetry of \mathfrak{N} and relabel dummy indices throughout, and in the fourth line we use the divergence theorem recalling that δe_ν^i vanishes on the boundary to find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} e_i^\lambda \partial_\mu (\delta e_\nu^i) d^4x &= \int \partial_\mu \left(\mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} e_i^\lambda \delta e_\nu^i \right) d^4x - \int \delta e_\nu^i \partial_\mu \left(\mathfrak{N}_\lambda{}^{\mu\nu} e_i^\lambda \right) d^4x \\
&= - \int \delta e_\nu^i \partial_\mu \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\nu} d^4x,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

and then express the partial derivative in terms of the covariant one.

Equating the terms in $\delta\omega$ after anti-symmetrizing yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}_i{}^{j\mu} \delta\omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x &= -2 \int \frac{1}{2} (\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{j\mu} - \mathfrak{N}_j{}^{i\mu}) \delta\omega^i{}_{j\mu} d^4x \\
&= -\frac{1}{4} \int (\mathfrak{S}^{ji\mu} + \mathfrak{S}^{j\mu i} - \mathfrak{S}^{\mu ij} - \mathfrak{S}^{ij\mu} - \mathfrak{S}^{i\mu j} + \mathfrak{S}^{\mu ji}) \delta\omega_{ij\mu} d^4x \quad (\text{A.7}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int \mathfrak{S}^{ij\mu} \delta\omega_{ij\mu} d^4x
\end{aligned}$$

as expected, using the anti-symmetry of the first two indices in \mathfrak{S} . Equating the terms in δe yields

$$-\int \mathfrak{F}_\mu{}^+{}^i \delta e_i^\mu d^4x = -\int \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_\mu{}^i \delta e_i^\mu d^4x - 2 \int (\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\lambda} \delta e_\mu^i d^4x. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In order to match variations we calculate that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\lambda} \delta e_\mu^i &= -\mathfrak{N}_i{}^{\mu\lambda} e_\nu^i e_\mu^j \delta e_j^\nu \\
&= -\mathfrak{N}_\nu{}^{j\lambda} \delta e_j^\nu \\
&= -\mathfrak{N}_\mu{}^{i\lambda} \delta e_i^\mu \\
&= \mathfrak{N}_\mu{}^{\lambda i} \delta e_i^\mu
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

and recall that the covariant derivative of both the metric and tetrad vanishes, yielding the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation

$$\begin{aligned}
-\mathfrak{F}_\mu{}^+{}^i &= -\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_\mu{}^i - 2(\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \mathfrak{N}_\mu{}^{\lambda i} \\
\Rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{F}}^{\mu\nu} &= \mathfrak{F}^{\mu\nu} - 2(\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) \mathfrak{N}^{\mu\lambda\nu} \\
\Rightarrow \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} &= T^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\lambda + T^\rho{}_{\lambda\rho}) (S^{\lambda\mu\nu} + S^{\lambda\nu\mu} - S^{\nu\mu\lambda}).
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

Note that unlike our previous one, this derivation does not use the gravitational action, and therefore is valid for any such action. In particular, we may consider a gravitational Lagrangian which consists of the torsionless scalar curvature \bar{R} , despite the matter action depending upon a covariant derivative ∇ which includes torsion. For such a gravitational action we have $\dot{T} = 0$, so that the EOM are $\bar{G} = \kappa\bar{T}$ and $S = 0$, but the Belinfante-Rosenfeld relation nevertheless holds for the matter action; when the EOM are satisfied, we therefore have $\bar{G} = \kappa\bar{T} = \kappa\overset{+}{T}$, and hence the tetrad SEM tensor will be symmetric and divergenceless.

References

- [1] Göckeler, M. and Schücker, T.: Differential Geometry, Gauge Theories, and Gravity. Cambridge University Press (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511628818>
- [2] Marsh, A.: Mathematics for Physics: An Illustrated Handbook. World Scientific Publishing (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1142/10816>
- [3] Popławski, N. J.: Classical Physics: Spacetime and fields. arXiv:0911.0334v3. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0911.0334>