# Kerr-Newman quasinormal modes and Seiberg-Witten theory

Hector O. Silva,<sup>1,2</sup> Jung-Wook Kim (김정욱),<sup>1</sup> and M. V. S. Saketh<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics (Albert Einstein Institute), D-14476 Potsdam, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Illinois Center for Advanced Studies of the Universe,

University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

It was recently suggested the quasinormal-mode spectrum of black holes is related to a class of four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super Yang-Mills theories described by Seiberg-Witten curves, a proposal that has been tested for a number of black hole spacetimes. The aim of this study is to clarify the key ideas of this conjecture to a non-high-energy-physics audience, and test it in a setting that has not yet been explored: the electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations of Kerr-Newman black holes in the Dudley-Finley approximation. In the parameter space we explore, we find numerical evidence that the conjecture is valid for sub-extremal black holes and its slowest damped quasinormal frequencies, thereby providing further support for the conjecture's validity. In addition, we exploit the symmetries of the four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super Yang-Mills theory to obtain a strikingly simple isospectral version of the radial Dudley-Finley equation.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The response of black holes to linear perturbations exhibits a characteristic "ringdown," that is dominated by a linear superposition of complex-valued frequencies known as quasinormal mode frequencies [1, 2]. The computation of black-hole quasinormal modes is usually formulated as a spectral problem: solving for the eigenvalues of a (set of) differential equation(s) with suitable boundary conditions [3].

Recently, a proposal by Aminov, Grassi, and Hatsuda [4] has stirred a lot of interest. In this proposal, the gravitational problem of studying quasinormal modes is addressed from a gauge-theoretic approach to spectral problems, adding another example to the list of intriguing relations between gauge theory and gravity. This approach to quasinormal modes has been tested in various spacetime geometries [5-10], and has also been applied to superradiance [11, 12]. Moreover, the approach was extended to the construction of eigenfunctions from twodimensional conformal field theories, based on the Aldav-Gaiotto-Tachikawa correspondence [13] in Ref. [14]; see also Refs. [6, 15-27] for further applications. Our aim here is to clarify the key ideas of the proposal put forward in Ref. [4] to an audience not familiar with Seiberg-Witten theory [28, 29], and test it in a setup that has not yet been explored: the gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations of the Kerr-Newman spacetime.

The coupled gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations of the Kerr-Newman solution are not separable when decomposed in modes, but they are reducible to a coupled system of partial differential equations, as shown by Chandrasekhar [30]. This "apparent indissolubility of the coupling between spin-1 and spin-2 fields" [31], has prevented an analysis of the quasinormal-mode spectrum of the Kerr-Newman solution until the works by Dias et al. [32–34], who tackled the mode calculations directly from the system of coupled partial differential equations. However, separability is possible in some limits, for example, by working perturbatively in small values of blackhole spin [35–37] or charge-to-mass ratio [38]. Here, we will use an approximation introduced by Dudley and Finley [39, 40] that results in a deformation to the Teukolsky equation [41]. The resulting Dudley-Finley equation is amenable to analysis using the techniques offered by the gauge-theoretic approach to quasinormal-mode computations [4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present a summary of Seiberg-Witten theory and the Aminov-Grassi-Hatsuda conjecture. In Sec. III we review the Dudley-Finley equation, express it in its canonical form, derive a dictionary with four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SU(2) super Yang-Mills theory, and review how quasinormal frequencies are determined using continued fractions, a standard approach in the gravitational physics literature. Then, in Sec. IV, we compare our numerical calculations of the quasinormal frequencies using gauge-theoretical and continued-fraction methods. In Sec. V we explore the hidden symmetries of the Dudley-Finley equation, and use them to obtain a remarkably simple, and isospectral, version thereof. We summarize our findings in Sec. VI, and indicate directions for future work.

For the gravitational equations we use the mostly-plus metric signature, units where c = G = 1, and set 2M = 1, where M is the black hole's mass. For the Seiberg-Witten equations we use  $\hbar = 1$ .

### II. SEIBERG-WITTEN THEORY AND THE AMINOV-GRASSI-HATSUDA CONJECTURE

The Seiberg-Witten theory [28, 29] is an attempt to explain confinement in quantum chromodynamics (QCD) using supersymmetry. Being an asymptotically free theory, QCD is described by different degrees of freedom at high energy scales (UV) and low energy scales (IR). In the UV, QCD is weakly coupled and described by quarks and gluons, which are the fundamental degrees of freedom of the theory. In the IR, QCD is strongly coupled and described by mesons and baryons, which are effective degrees of freedom of the theory. In Seiberg-Witten theory, confinement is understood as condensation of monopoles where the (nonabelian) electric fields become flux tubes due to the dual Meissner effect. The theory provides tools to compute the masses of the particles in the IR description of the theory from its UV description and, in particular, the theory has monopoles in the IR. Confinement is argued by showing that the theory admits a vacuum solution where the monopoles become massless, leading to the magnetic dual of superconductivity. See Ref. [42] for an introduction to the subject.

The Seiberg-Witten curve describes the IR particle spectrum of a (four-dimensional)  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory in the language of algebraic geometry. The Seiberg-Witten curve is a complex 1-dimensional manifold, which is given as the set of solutions to a polynomial of complex variables x and p,

$$F(p,x;E) = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where E parametrizes the possible vacuum solutions (the vacuum moduli space) of the theory.<sup>1</sup> For simplicity, we assume the curve to have genus 1 (i.e., the topology of the torus  $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ ), which is the case for the gauge group SU(2). The curve has two cycles (noncontractible closed paths) which we label as A and B.

The periods  $\Pi_{A,B}$  are defined as integrals over the corresponding cycles,<sup>2</sup>

$$\Pi_{A} = 2\pi i \mathfrak{a} = \oint_{A} p \, \mathrm{d}x \,,$$

$$\Pi_{B} = \mathfrak{a}_{D} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \mathfrak{a}} = \oint_{B} p \, \mathrm{d}x \,,$$
(2)

which compute the (semiclassical) mass of the W-bosons  $(|\mathfrak{a}|)$  and magnetic monopoles  $(|\mathfrak{a}_D|)$ . They constitute the Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) particle spectrum of the theory in the IR. The differential form  $p \, dx$  is known as the Seiberg-Witten differential, and the function  $\mathcal{F}$  appearing in  $\mathfrak{a}_D$  is called the prepotential.

In general, the masses acquire quantum corrections, which are understood as instanton effects in the UV description of the theory. In this approach,  $\mathfrak{a}$ , known as the Coulomb vacuum expectation value (VEV), is an independent variable, and quantum corrections to  $\mathfrak{a}_D = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{F}$ are computed. The prepotential  $\mathcal{F}$  is identified with the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy  $\mathcal{F}^{(N_f)}$  [43], which is computed from a combinatoric formula obtained through supersymmetric localization techniques [44]. The resulting expression for  $\mathfrak{a}_D$  is a perturbative series in  $\Lambda_{N_f}$ , where the power of  $\Lambda_{N_f}$  is related to the number of instantons used in supersymmetric localization.

In the IR, the quantum corrections are computed as corrections to the periods  $\Pi_{A,B}$  due to quantization of the Seiberg-Witten curve,<sup>3</sup>

$$\tilde{F}(\hat{p}, x; E) = 0, \quad \hat{p} = -\mathrm{i}\,\partial_x,$$
(3)

which is understood as the quantization of a classical integrable system corresponding to the curve. This viewpoint is known as the Bethe/Gauge correspondence [43]; see also, Refs. [45, 46]. As an operator equation, Eq. (3) only makes sense when acting on a test wavefunction  $\psi(x)$ ,

$$\tilde{F}(\hat{p}, x; E) \psi(x) = 0, \quad \psi(x) = \exp\left(i \int^x P \, \mathrm{d}x'\right), \quad (4)$$

where P(x; E) is the quantum-corrected p(x; E) variable. The periods (2) become

$$\Pi_A = 2\pi i\mathfrak{a} = \oint_A P \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \quad \Pi_B = \mathfrak{a}_D = \oint_B P \,\mathrm{d}x \,, \quad (5)$$

after quantization; recall we have set  $\hbar = 1$ . The periods can be viewed as complexified action variables in this context.

The key idea of Ref. [4] is based on two observations: (i) the periods  $\Pi_{A,B}$  can be computed in the UV description of the theory as instanton series based on supersymmetric localization techniques, and (ii) the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve (4) solves an eigenvalue problem of E when the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition is imposed on the quantum periods  $\Pi_{A,B}$ ,

$$\Pi_I = 2\pi \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) , \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} , \qquad (6)$$

where the choice of the period I = A, B depends on the domain of x in which we wish to solve the differential equation (4) on. Therefore, an eigenvalue problem of a differential equation having the form Eq. (4) can be converted to an algebraic root-finding problem [Eq. (6)] provided that we know the UV description of the gauge theory leading to the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve Eq. (4).

The main claim of Ref. [4] is that the eigenvalue problem for a differential equation that can be reduced to the form

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \frac{1}{z^2(z-1)^2} \sum_{i=0}^4 \hat{A}_i z^i\right] \Psi(z) = 0, \qquad (7)$$

can be solved as an algebraic root-finding problem using four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SU(2) super Yang-Mills theory with  $N_f = 3$  fundamental hypermultiplets, since this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To simplify the introduction we ignored the dependence on  $\Lambda_{N_f}$ , the analogue of  $\Lambda_{\rm QCD}$  from QCD, which characterises the energy scale where the theory becomes strongly coupled. We also ignored contributions from matter fields, for instance, the fundamental hypermultiplets considered in Ref. [4], which are the analogues of quarks in QCD.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  Some definitions were modified from Ref. [4] for coherence.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Quantization may involve variable redefinitions of the classical Seiberg-Witten curve (1). The notation  $\tilde{F}$  reflects this possibility.

gauge theory has a quantum Seiberg-Witten curve that can be converted to the form Eq. (7). The coefficients  $\hat{A}_i$  as a function of IR variables  $\{E, \boldsymbol{m}, \Lambda_3\}$  are given by [see Ref. [4], Eq. (2.29)]

$$\hat{A}_{0} = -\frac{1}{4}(m_{1} - m_{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\hat{A}_{1} = -E - m_{1}m_{2} - \frac{1}{8}m_{3}\Lambda_{3} - \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\hat{A}_{2} = E + \frac{3}{8}m_{3}\Lambda_{3} - \frac{1}{64}\Lambda_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{4},$$

$$\hat{A}_{3} = -\frac{1}{4}m_{3}\Lambda_{3} + \frac{1}{32}\Lambda_{3}^{2},$$

$$\hat{A}_{4} = -\frac{1}{64}\Lambda_{3}^{2},$$
(8)

where  $\boldsymbol{m} = \{m_1, m_2, m_3\}$  is the mass vector. One concrete application of this approach is using the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve to compute quasinormal modes of black holes [4], since the governing equation of linearized perturbations on black hole backgrounds can be converted to the form Eq. (7).

One necessary intermediate step is the translation of the UV variables into IR variables, since the independent variables of the two descriptions are not the same. The Coulomb VEV  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an independent variable in the UV description, but a dependent variable in the IR description. The independent IR variable corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}$  is E, and the relation between the two variables is called the Matone relation [47]

$$E = \mathfrak{a}^2 - \frac{\Lambda_{N_f}}{4 - N_f} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{\text{inst}}^{(N_f)}(\mathfrak{a}, \boldsymbol{m}, \Lambda_{N_f})}{\partial \Lambda_{N_f}}, \qquad (9)$$

which is presented as Eq. (A.16) in Ref. [4]. This relation can be inverted to  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}(E, \boldsymbol{m}, \Lambda_{N_f})$  as a perturbative series in  $\Lambda_{N_f}$ , which corresponds to the period  $\Pi_A = 2\pi i \mathfrak{a}$  computed using IR variables.<sup>4</sup> For the period  $\Pi_B = \mathfrak{a}_D = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{F}^{(N_f)}$ , we use Eq. (A.15) of Ref. [4] and refer the reader to the same reference for its computation.<sup>5</sup>

The period to be quantized from Eq. (6) can be determined from the classical limit where E > 0 is the most dominant variable. In this limit, the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve (7) is approximated by

$$-p(z)^2 + \frac{E}{z(z-1)} \simeq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad p(z) \simeq \sqrt{\frac{E}{z(z-1)}},$$
 (10)

where we place the branch cut between z = 0 and z = 1. 1. The period for the cycle encircling the branch points z = 0 and z = 1 is approximated as

$$\int_0^1 p(x+i0^+) \,\mathrm{d}x + \int_1^0 p(x-i0^+) \,\mathrm{d}x \simeq 2\pi i\sqrt{E}\,,\quad(11)$$

where x is real. From the Matone relation (9) we find  $\Pi_A = 2\pi i \mathfrak{a} \simeq 2\pi i \sqrt{E}$ , thus we quantize  $\Pi_A$  when the relevant domain is  $z \in [0, 1]$ . The period for the cycle encircling the branch point z = 1 and the asymptotic branch point  $z = R \simeq 16\sqrt{2E}/\Lambda_3 \gg 1$  is approximated as<sup>6</sup>

$$\int_{1}^{R} \sqrt{\frac{E}{x(x-1)}} \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{R}^{1} \left[ -\sqrt{\frac{E}{x(x-1)}} \right] \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= 2\sqrt{E} \log(4R) \simeq \sqrt{E} \log\left(\frac{E}{\Lambda_{3}^{2}}\right),$$
(12)

where the extra minus sign in the second integral is due to crossing the branch cut and picking up the values on the second Riemann sheet. This matches the period  $\Pi_B =$  $\partial_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{F} \simeq \sqrt{E} \log \left( E/\Lambda_3^2 \right)$  in the same limit, therefore we quantize  $\Pi_B$  when the relevant domain is  $z \in [1, \infty)$ .

In a similar vein, Ref. [4] also proposes that the eigenvalue problem for a differential equation of the form

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + \frac{1}{z^4} \sum_{i=0}^4 \tilde{A}_i z^i\right] \Psi(z) = 0, \qquad (13)$$

can be solved as an algebraic root-finding problem using four-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SU(2) super Yang-Mills theory with  $N_f = 2$  fundamental hypermultiplets. The coefficients  $\tilde{A}_i$  can be found in Ref. [4], Eq. (2.31). To determine the period to be quantized, we evaluate the classical period between the branch points  $z = \tilde{R}^{-1}, \tilde{R}$ , where  $\tilde{R} \simeq 4\sqrt{E}/\Lambda_2 \gg 1$ .

$$2\int_{\bar{R}^{-1}}^{\bar{R}} \frac{\sqrt{E}}{x} \, \mathrm{d}x \simeq 2\sqrt{E} \log\left(\frac{E}{\Lambda_2^2}\right) \,. \tag{14}$$

Similar to the  $N_f = 3$  case, this matches the period  $\Pi_B = \partial_{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{F} \simeq 2\sqrt{E} \log \left( E/\Lambda_2^2 \right)$  in the same limit; we quantise  $\Pi_B$  when the relevant domain is  $z \in [0, \infty)$ .

While its mathematical foundation, the Bethe/Gauge correspondence, is firmly established [48, 49], the proposal of Ref. [4] should be considered conjectural or incomplete. This is because of the difficulty of identifying the correct period to be quantized by the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition (6) without further input.<sup>7</sup>

The Seiberg-Witten curve was motivated from monodromy properties of  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_D$  as a function of E [28]; they are multivalued in E and generally do not return to their original values when E moves on the complex plane and returns to itself. The periods  $\Pi_{A,B}$  also have this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> We choose the branch  $\mathfrak{a} = +\sqrt{E} + \cdots$  for the inversion, but the other branch choice  $\mathfrak{a} = -\sqrt{E} + \cdots$  is also a valid option, which results in an extra minus sign for the period  $\Pi_B$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This computation involves the logarithm of the gamma functions that should be computed using the *log gamma* function to avoid branch-cut ambiguities. This function is implemented in various packages, e.g. as LogGamma in Mathematica or loggamma in SciPy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This branch cut is due to  $\hat{A}_4 = -\frac{\Lambda_3^2}{64}$ , where we assume  $\Lambda_3 > 0$ . <sup>7</sup> It is possible to construct the wavefunction  $\Psi(x)$  of Eq. (7) from

gauge theory as a two-dimensional conformal field theory correlation function [14], which can be used to relate Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization conditions to the boundary conditions [11].

property, which is inherited from their defining cycles. A concrete example given in the original Seiberg-Witten paper [28] is the cycle *B* becoming the linear combination of cycles B' = 2A - B. In this case, the period  $\Pi_B$  becomes  $\Pi_{B'} = 2\Pi_A - \Pi_B$ , or  $\mathfrak{a}_D \to -\mathfrak{a}_D + 4\pi \mathfrak{i}\mathfrak{a}$ .

This implies that although we have identified the period to be quantized from analysing the classical limit of the curve Eq. (7), it may not be the correct period to be quantized, as the parameters are complex valued and monodromy ambiguity will affect the periods. For example, it may turn out that the correct period to be quantized is  $\Pi_B + \Pi_A$  for some choice of parameters, even though we are interested in the domain  $z \in [0, \infty)$ .

A related phenomenon is the dictionary ambiguity, where multiple choices of the Seiberg-Witten parameters yield the same quantum Seiberg-Witten curve. A concrete example is the sign ambiguity of the first two mass parameters  $\pm m_{1,2}$ , where both sign choices lead to the same quantum Seiberg-Witten curve, since the  $\hat{A}_i$ coefficients (8) of Eq. (7) only depends on the combinations  $(m_1 - m_2)^2$  and  $m_1m_2$ . The dependence of quantum Seiberg-Witten curve's boundary conditions on the choice of the dictionary has been studied in Refs. [11, 50].

Before concluding the overview on quantum Seiberg-Witten curves and how they can be used to obtain quasinormal modes of black holes, let us comment on the relation between this approach and the Wentzel-Kramers-Brillouin (WKB) approach. After all, WKB methods have been used to this very same problem since the 1980s [51, 52]. The Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition (6) should be familiar to a reader with knowledge in WKB methods. In fact, we arrive at the same differential equations when the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve (4) is chosen to have the form  $\tilde{F} = -\hat{p}^2 + Q(x) = d^2/dx^2 +$ Q(x). This is not a coincidence: one way of demonstrating the Bethe/Gauge correspondence is to compute the quantum corrections using (exact) WKB methods applied to the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve and showing that they match the instanton calculations [46, 53]. The approach of using quantum Seiberg-Witten curves to compute quasinormal modes can be viewed as reversing the flow of logic; we solve the (exact) WKB problem using instanton calculus, based on other demonstrations of the Bethe/Gauge correspondence. It has been argued that instanton calculus has an advantage over the WKB approach since the former is exact in  $\hbar$  and expected to be a convergent expansion in the instanton counting parameter  $\Lambda_{N_f}$  (in the semiclassical regime  $|\Lambda_{N_f}/\mathfrak{a}| \ll 1$  [49]), while the latter is known to be an asymptotic series in the formal expansion parameter  $\hbar$  having vanishing radius of convergence [4].

We show in subsequent sections that linearized vector and tensor perturbations on Kerr-Newman backgrounds can be converted to the form Eq. (7) in the Dudley-Finley approximation, therefore the problem can be approached using quantum Seiberg-Witten curves. We present a parameter dictionary that is consistent with the period choice based on the classical limit, study the numerical convergence of the Seiberg-Witten approach to quasinormal modes, and leave the study of period ambiguities/dictionary ambiguities to the future.

## III. PERTURBATIONS OF KERR-NEWMAN BLACK HOLES

In light of the current status of the correspondence between black-hole quasinormal modes and Seiberg-Witten theory proposed in Ref. [4], it is reasonable to study whether the correspondence holds in different examples. In this section, we present the example we will analyze the spin-weighted perturbations of the Kerr-Newman solution in the Dudley-Finley approximation [39, 40].

## A. The Dudley-Finley equation

Dudley and Finley [39, 40] studied the separability of the linear perturbations of the family of solutions of the Einstein-Maxwell theory obtained by Plebański and Damiański [54]; see also Ref. [55] or Sec. 21.1.2 of the monograph [56]. This family of solutions includes all electrovacuum spacetimes of Petrov-type D, of which the Kerr-Newman metric [57] is its most famous specimen. The coupled gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations of the Kerr-Newman solution are not separable when decomposed in modes; see Ref. [31], Sec. 111, or Ref. [58], Sec. 1, for a discussion.<sup>8</sup> Nonetheless, Refs. [39, 40], showed that mode-separability is possible if either the background metric or the electric field are kept fixed. The resulting equation that describes the radial dependence of the perturbations is a deformation of the Teukolsky equation [41] known as the Dudley-Finley equation. The angular dependence of the perturbations is described by the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics.

The Dudley-Finley equation is

$$\Delta^{-s} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r} \left[ \Delta^{s+1} \frac{\mathrm{d}_{s} R_{\ell m}}{\mathrm{d}r} \right] + \frac{1}{\Delta} \left[ K^{2} - \mathrm{i}s \frac{\mathrm{d}\Delta}{\mathrm{d}r} K + \Delta \left( 2\mathrm{i}s \frac{\mathrm{d}K}{\mathrm{d}r} - \lambda_{\ell m} \right) \right]_{s} R_{\ell m} = 0, \qquad (15)$$

where we defined

$$K = (r^2 + a^2) \omega - am, \quad \Delta = (r - r_+)(r - r_-), \quad (16)$$

and

$$r_{\pm} = (1 \pm b)/2$$
, where  $b = \sqrt{1 - 4(a^2 + Q^2)}$ , (17)

are the locations of the outer  $(r_+)$  and inner  $(r_-)$  horizons in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, and  ${}_s\lambda_{\ell m} = {}_sA_{\ell m} +$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> This poses challenges in proving the linear stability of the Kerr-Newman solution. However, see Ref. [58] for an alternative approach to address this problem based on physical-space methods.

 $(a\omega)^2 - 2am\omega$ . Here,  ${}_sA_{\ell m}$  is a separation constant and it corresponds to the eigenvalue of the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonic equation; see Eq. (19) next. The spin-weight parameter *s* has values 0, -1 and -2 for scalar, electromagnetic, and gravitational perturbations, respectively. The black hole's angular momentum per unit mass is given by *a*, and is bound to the interval

$$0 \le a < \sqrt{1 - 4Q^2}/2\,,\tag{18}$$

in our units, 2M = 1. The Dudley-Finley equation is exact only when s = 0 or Q = 0. The former case describes the perturbations of a massless scalar field to the Kerr-Newman background, whereas in the latter case we recover the Teukolsky equation [41].

In general, when  $a \neq 0$ , the separation constant  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m}$  has to be determined, for a given value of  $\omega$ , by solving the equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}u} \left[ (1-u^2) \frac{\mathrm{d}_s S_{\ell m}}{\mathrm{d}u} \right] + \left[ (a\omega u)^2 - 2a\omega su + s + {}_s A_{\ell m} - \frac{(m+su)^2}{1-u^2} \right] {}_s S_{\ell m} = 0, \qquad (19)$$

where  $u = \cos \vartheta$ , related to the polar angle  $\vartheta$  of Boyer-Lindquist coordinates, and imposing boundary conditions such that the eigenfunctions  ${}_{s}S_{\ell m}$  are finite at the regular points  $u = \pm 1$ . These eigenfunctions are known as the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics, and  $c = a\omega$ is the spheroidicity parameter c which is complex valued in general. For vanishing spheroidicity,  ${}_{s}S_{\ell m}$  become the spin-weighted spherical harmonics, with eigenvalues

$${}_{s}A_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell+1) - s(s+1), \quad \text{for} \quad c = 0.$$
 (20)

Analytical corrections in c to the foregoing equation can be obtained perturbatively; see for instance Refs. [59–62].

Before proceeding, one may ask: what is the regime of validity of the Dudley-Finley approximation? This question was studied by Berti and Kokkotas in the nonrotating limit of the Dudley-Finley equation [63]. In this limit, they compared the quasinormal frequencies obtained from the Dudley-Finley equation against the respective frequencies obtained from a perturbed Reissner-Nordström black hole where one "freezes" either the metric or electromagnetic perturbations.

To provide some context, we recall that it is known from the works of Zerilli [64], Moncrief [65–67], and Chandrasekhar and Xanthopoulos [68, 69] that the coupled linear metric and electromagnetic perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström solution are separable. Specifically, the perturbations of axial and polar parities can each be reduced to a pair of coupled differential equations that describe the interaction between gravitational and electromagnetic perturbations. Each pair of equations can then be decoupled by introducing a suitable linear combination of the original perturbations variables. Remarkably, Chandrasekhar showed that each decoupled equation describing perturbations of one parity have the same quasinormal mode spectra as its counterpart describing perturbations of the other parity [70]. For this reason, calculations of the quasinormal frequencies of the Reissner-Nordström solution often make use of the decoupled equations of axial parity which are simpler in form.

In Ref. [63], Berti and Kokkotas took the *coupled* equations of axial parity, and imposed on them that either the electromagnetic or gravitational perturbation vanished. They found that the quasinormal frequencies of the resultant equations agree well with the respective results obtained using the Dudley-Finley equation for s = -1 and -2 as long as  $Q \leq 1/4$ . Surprisingly, the agreement between the two approximations is not exact. This suggests that the approach of Dudley and Finley is not equivalent to simply fixing either the background metric or electric field [63]. Nonetheless, Ref. [63] also found that the Dudley-Finley equation reproduces within 1% the quasinormal frequencies of the coupled system of equations when  $Q \leq 1/4$ , and argued that the same level of accuracy would hold for the Kerr-Newman solution as long as the black-hole spin is sufficiently small; see also Ref. [36]. However, Mark et al. [38] found that the Dudley-Finley equation no longer predicts the quasinormal-frequencies accurately as the spin increases, with the exception of a special set of modes of rapidly rotating black holes.

### B. Canonical form and Seiberg-Witten dictionary

Having presented the Dudley-Finley equation and discussed its regime of validity, we know establish its connection to Seiberg-Witten theory. The Dudley-Finley equation (15) can be rewritten in the form Eq. (7) through the redefinition

$${}_{s}R_{\ell m} = \Delta^{-(s+1)/2} {}_{s}\Psi_{\ell m} , \qquad (21)$$

together with the change of coordinates

$$r = r_{+} + (r_{+} - r_{-})(z - 1).$$
(22)

The  $A_i$  coefficients corresponding to the  $\hat{A}_i$  coefficients of Eq. (7) are

$$A_{0} = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\left[2am - i(r_{+} - r_{-})s + 2(Q^{2} - r_{-})\omega\right]^{2}}{4(r_{+} - r_{-})^{2}},$$

$$A_{1} = {}_{s}A_{\ell m} + s(s+1) - 2(1-Q^{2})\omega^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{r_{+} - r_{-}} \left\{ \left[2 - 6Q^{2} - (2r_{-} + 3)a^{2}\right]\omega^{2} - 2\left[am + is(2a^{2} + Q^{2})\right]\omega + 2iams\right\},$$

$$A_{2} = -{}_{s}A_{\ell m} - s(s+1) - 3is(r_{+} - r_{-})\omega$$

$$+ (6r_{-} - 5a^{2} - 6Q^{2})\omega^{2},$$

$$A_{3} = 2(is + 2r_{-}\omega)(r_{+} - r_{-})\omega,$$

$$A_{4} = (r_{+} - r_{-})^{2}\omega^{2}.$$
(23)

We can now relate the Seiberg-Witten parameters  $\{E, m, \Lambda_3\}$  to the Dudley-Finley-equation parameters

 $\{a, Q, \omega, m, s, {}_{s}A_{\ell m}\}$  by matching the coefficients  $A_i$ , listed in Eq. (23), with the coefficients  $\hat{A}_i$ , listed in Eq. (8). One possible solution is

$$\Lambda_{3} = -8i(r_{+} - r_{-})\omega,$$

$$E = -\frac{1}{4} - {}_{s}A_{\ell m} - s(s+1) + (2 - a^{2} - 2Q^{2})\omega^{2},$$

$$m_{1} = -s - i\omega, \quad m_{3} = s - i\omega,$$

$$m_{2} = i \left[2am - (1 - 2Q^{2})\omega\right]/(r_{+} - r_{-}).$$
(24)

The physical domain  $r \in [r_+, \infty)$  corresponds to the domain  $z \in [1, \infty)$ , therefore we impose the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition (6) to the *B* cycle. Similar to the Kerr case [4], the extremal limit corresponds to  $\Lambda_3 \to 0$  and  $m_2 \to \infty$  limit for the  $N_f = 3$ dictionary, cf. Eq. (24), where the combination  $m_2\Lambda_3$ remains finite. This combination can be identified as  $\Lambda_2^2 = m_2\Lambda_3$ , when the theory is matched to  $N_f = 2$ . We focus on the nonextremal case in this work and leave the study of extremal case for future work.

We emphasize that Eq. (24) is not the unique solution because of the symmetries of the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve (8), namely:

- 1. the mass exchange  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2$ .
- 2. the mass-sign flip  $m_{1,2} \rightarrow -m_{1,2}$ .
- 3. the simultaneous sign flip  $m_3 \rightarrow -m_3$  and  $\Lambda_3 \rightarrow -\Lambda_3$ .

These three twofold symmetries generate  $2^3 = 8$  solutions. However, only half of the solutions are distinct since the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}$  is symmetric under permutation of the masses  $m_i$ . The different ent choices for the dictionary predict different quasinormal frequencies when the Bohr-Sommerfeld quantization condition (6) is imposed on  $\Pi_B$ , and is related to the fact that the parameters  $m_{1,2}$  ( $m_3$  and  $\Lambda_3$ ) control the boundary conditions imposed at the horizon (at infinity) [11, 50]. This also could be an instance of the monodromy ambiguity discussed in Sec. II, which we leave the detailed study of for the future.

Because the spin-weighted spheroidal harmonic equation (19) can also be rewritten in the form of Eq. (7), the eigenvalue problem for  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m}$  can also be solved using instanton calculations [4]. The physical domain  $u \in [-1, 1]$ is mapped to the domain  $z \in [0, 1]$  and, therefore, the quantization condition Eq. (6) is imposed on the A cycle. This is identified as imposing the condition  $\mathfrak{a} = i(\ell+1/2)$ , and Ref. [4] found it leads to the relation

$${}_{s}A_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell+1) - s(s+1) - c^{2} + \Lambda_{3} \partial_{\Lambda_{3}} \mathcal{F}_{inst}^{(3)}(i\ell+i/2, \boldsymbol{m}, \Lambda_{3})|_{\Lambda_{3}=16c}, \quad (25) \boldsymbol{m} = \{-m, -s, -s\},$$

which is derived from the Matone relation (9); the eigenvalue  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m}$  only appears in E in the parameter dictionary Eq. (4.11) of Ref. [4]. Unlike the radial case, the

three twofold symmetries of the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve (8) lead to the same expression for the angular eigenvalue  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m}$ .

# C. Calculation of quasinormal modes with Leaver's method

To validate the quasinormal frequencies of the Dudley-Finley equation obtained through Seiberg-Witten theory, we computed the same quantities using Leaver's method [71]. Our calculation using Leaver's method is not original and it was first done in Ref. [63]. In this section, we provide a concise account of this calculation.

The starting point consist in noticing that by imposing quasinormal-mode boundary conditions on the function  ${}_{s}R_{\ell m}$ , we find the mode functions behave as

$$\lim_{r \to r_+} {}_{s} R_{\ell m} \simeq (r - r_+)^{-s - \mathrm{i}\sigma_+},$$

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} {}_{s} R_{\ell m} \simeq r^{-1 - 2s + \mathrm{i}\omega} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\omega r},$$
(26)

near the event horizon  $r_+$  and at spatial infinity, respectively. Here we introduced  $\sigma_+ = [\omega(r_+ - Q^2) - am]/b$ , and recall that the inner and outer horizon locations  $r_{\pm}$ are given by Eq. (17). A solution to Eq. (15) satisfying these boundary conditions can be written in the form [71]

$${}_{s}R_{\ell m} = e^{i\omega r} (r - r_{-})^{-1 - s + i\omega + i\sigma_{+}} (r - r_{+})^{-s - i\sigma_{+}} \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \left(\frac{r - r_{+}}{r - r_{-}}\right)^{n}.$$
(27)

Substituting Eq. (27) into Eq. (15) yields a three-term recursion relation for the coefficients  $a_n$  that we write in a form analogous to Leaver's [71]:

$$\alpha_0^r a_1 + \beta_0^r a_0 = 0, 
\alpha_n^r a_{n+1} + \beta_n^r a_n + \gamma_n^r a_{n-1} = 0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(28)

The recursion coefficients are:

$$\alpha_n^r = n^2 + (c_0 + 1)n + c_0, 
\beta_n^r = -2n^2 + (c_1 + 2)n + c_3, 
\gamma_n^r = n^2 + (c_2 - 3)n + c_4 - c_2 + 2,$$
(29)

where we defined the intermediate constants  $c_i$ 

$$c_0 = 1 - s - i\omega - \frac{2i}{b} \left[ \frac{\omega}{2} (1 - 2Q^2) - am \right],$$
 (30a)

$$c_1 = -4 + 2i\omega(2+b) + \frac{4i}{b} \left[ \frac{\omega}{2} (1-2Q^2) - am \right], \quad (30b)$$

$$c_2 = s + 3 - 3i\omega - \frac{21}{b} \left[ \frac{\omega}{2} (1 - 2q^2) - am \right], \qquad (30c)$$

$$c_{3} = \omega^{2}(4 + 2b - a^{2} - 4Q^{2}) - 2am\omega - s - 1 + i\omega(2 + b)$$

$$-{}_{s}A_{\ell m} + \frac{a + 2}{b} \left[\frac{a}{2}(1 - 2Q^2) - am\right], \qquad (30d)$$

$$c_4 = s + 1 - 2\omega^2 - (2s + 3)i\omega - \frac{4\omega + 2i}{b} \left[\frac{\omega}{2}(1 - 2Q^2)\right]$$

$$-am$$
]. (30e)

Eqs. (29)–(30) are equivalent to Eq. (6) in Ref. [63], but we write them here in a way that makes the Kerr limit (Q = 0) easier to compare with Eqs. (24)–(26) of Leaver [71].

The series (27) converges and the boundary conditions (26) are satisfied if  $\omega$  is a solution to the continued fraction

$$0 = \beta_0^r - \frac{\alpha_0^r \gamma_1^r}{\beta_1^r -} \frac{\alpha_1^r \gamma_2^r}{\beta_2^r -} \frac{\alpha_2^r \gamma_3^r}{\beta_3^r -} \cdots,$$
(31)

for given values of a, Q, s, m, and  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m}$ . The latter is obtained by solving a similar continued-fraction equation associated to Eq. (19); see Ref. [71], Eqs. (20) and (21).

To obtain a quasinormal frequency, the two (radial and angular) continued fractions must be be satisfied simultaneously. The problem of finding a quasinormal mode then becomes a root-finding problem. Our computations were performed in C++. We used Muller's method [72] to perform root finding, using the pseudocode from the "Numerical Recipes," Chapter 9.2 [73]. We found excellent agreement with the results of Ref. [63].

#### IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now compare the values of the quasinormal mode frequencies calculated from Seiberg-Witten theory, described in Sec. III B, and using the continued fraction method, described in Sec. III C. We focus on the fundamental  $\ell = 2$  and m = 0 quasinormal frequencies for illustrative values of black-hole spin a and charge Q.

The Seiberg-Witten computations were performed in Mathematica, where the built-in function FindRoot was used to find the roots. The most time-consuming step is computing the instanton part of the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy  $\mathcal{F}_{inst}^{(N_f)}$  from the instanton partition function  $Z^{(N_f)}$ , which is obtained as the limit

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{inst}}^{(N_f)} = -\lim_{\epsilon_2 \to 0} \epsilon_2 \log Z^{(N_f)} \,. \tag{32}$$

The bottleneck is due to large cancellations between huge rational expressions, which invalidates the simple substitution  $\epsilon_2 = 0$  for taking the limit.

In Table I we show the values of  $\omega_{20}$ , for three combinations of (a, Q), namely (0, 0.4), (0.1, 0.1), (0.3, 0.1), and (0.48, 0.1), computed using both Seiberg-Witten theory ("SW") and Leaver's continued fraction method ("CF"). For the former, we report the quasinormal frequencies obtained by including up to k = 2, 6, and 10 terms in the instanton series in nonperturbative part of the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy. We see that the real and imaginary parts of  $\omega_{20}$  in the Seiberg-Witten calculation oscillate as k increases while approaching the continuedfraction result. The convergence becomes slower for higher spin and charge values. Contrary to Ref. [4], we



FIG. 1. Trajectory of the fundamental gravitational quasinormal mode  $\omega_{20}$  ( $\ell = 2$  and m = 0) on the complex plane as we increase the number of terms k in the instanton series from 1 to 10. We show results for the illustrative pairs of spin and charge (a, Q) = (0.3, 0) and (0.3, 0.1), represented by the solid and dashed lines, respectively. The crosses indicate the values of  $\omega_{20}$  obtained using the continued fraction method.

have not used a Padé resummation in  $\Lambda_3$  to improve the convergence of the instanton contribution to the free energy  $\mathcal{F}_{inst}^{(N_f)}$ . In Fig. 1 we show the convergence of the Seiberg-

In Fig. 1 we show the convergence of the Seiberg-Witten computation towards the result of using Leaver's method, as we increase k. As an illustration, we consider a spin value of a = 0.3 and charges Q = 0 (solid line) and Q = 0.1 (dashed line) for which we obtain the quasinormal frequencies  $\omega_{20} = 0.776108 - 0.171989i$  and  $\omega_{20} = 0.783669 - 0.172315i$  using Leaver's method, respectively. The bottom-left panel shows the trajectories of the quasinormal frequencies (parametrized by k) in the complex plane. The result obtained using the continued fraction method is indicated by the crosses. The upper-left and bottom-right panels show the real and imaginary parts of  $\omega_{20}$  as functions of k. In these two panels, the vertical and horizontal lines correspond to the real and imaginary parts of  $\omega_{20}$  obtained using Leaver's method.

## V. HIDDEN SYMMETRIES OF THE DUDLEY-FINLEY EQUATIONS

Following Ref. [74] (see Appendix A), we can exchange mass parameters to obtain a simpler differential equation that has the same quasinormal mode spectrum as the original Dudley-Finley equation (15). In particular, the exchange  $m_2 \leftrightarrow m_3$  yields the new  $A'_i$  coefficients

$$A'_{0} = \frac{1}{4} - s^{2},$$
  

$$A'_{1} = {}_{s}A_{\ell m} + s(s+2) + a\omega (a\omega - 2m)$$

Method	k	(a = 0, Q = 0.4)	(a = 0.1, Q = 0.1)	(a = 0.3, Q = 0.1)	(a = 0.48, Q = 0.1)
	2	0.875247 - 0.193670i	0.749438 - 0.184967i	0.773619 - 0.179956i	0.829683 - 0.155271i
SW	6	0.890217 - 0.196240i	0.759516 - 0.184067i	0.788964 - 0.179467i	0.858038 - 0.168951i
	10	0.884788 - 0.178199i	0.756254 - 0.175017i	0.781192 - 0.167787i	0.835389 - 0.142464i
$\mathbf{CF}$	•••	0.886468 - 0.186603i	0.756664 - 0.178053i	0.783669 - 0.172315i	0.850244 - 0.147806i

TABLE I. Comparison of fundamental (n = 0) gravitational quasinormal frequencies  $2M\omega_{20}$  ( $\ell = 2$  and m = 0) of the Dudley-Finley equation, for illustrative values of spin *a* and charge *Q*. The Seiberg-Witten values (SW) converge to continued fraction values (CF) with increasing *k*. The convergence is slower for the set of parameters (a, Q) closer to extremality.

$$A'_{2} = -_{s}A_{\ell m} - s(s+1) - a\omega (5a\omega - 6m) , \qquad (33)$$
  

$$A'_{3} = 4\omega \left(-am + 2a^{2}\omega + Q^{2}\omega\right) ,$$
  

$$A'_{4} = (r_{+} - r_{-})^{2}\omega^{2} ,$$

which are simpler than the original  $A_i$  coefficients in Eq. (23). We thus have a function  $\Psi$  that obeys Eq. (7), but with the coefficients  $A'_i$ . Next, we define

$$\Psi(z) = \sqrt{f(z)} \,\phi(z) \,, \quad f(z) = 1 - z^{-1} \,, \qquad (34)$$

where z was defined in Eq. (22). This results in a simple Regge–Wheeler-like equation for  $\phi$ , i.e.,

$$\left[f\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\left(f\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\right) + \omega^2 - V(z)\right]\phi(z) = 0,\qquad(35)$$

with effective potential

$$V = f \left[ 4(c^2 + d^2) + \frac{4c(m-c)}{z} + \frac{sA_{\ell m} + s(s+1) - c(2m-c)}{z^2} - \frac{s^2 - 1}{z^3} \right],$$
(36)

where  $c = a\omega$  and  $d = Q\omega$ . This equation generalizes the chargeless case presented in Ref. [74], Eqs. (1) and (2), to nonzero Q. The potential (36) is real, contrary to Eq. (15). In the nonrotating limit c = 0 and  ${}_{s}A_{\ell m} = \ell(\ell+1) - s(s-1)$ , and Eq. (36) reduces to

$$V = f\left[ (2Q\omega)^2 + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{z^2} - \frac{s^2 - 1}{z^3} \right].$$
 (37)

For  $Q \neq 0$ , this potential does not recover the gravitoelectromagnetic perturbation equations of the Reissner-Nordström solution when we impose either gravitational or electromagnetic perturbation to be zero; see Ref. [63], Eq. (20). This is consistent with the conclusion of Berti and Kokkotas, discussed in Sec. III A, that the Dudley-Finley approximation does not completely freeze either perturbations. Finally, taking the additional limit Q = 0, we find z = r, and Eq. (37) reduces to the Regge-Wheeler potential for generic massless bosonic perturbations of a Schwarzschild black hole.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We studied the recently proposed connection between Seiberg-Witten theory and black-hole quasinormal frequencies [4], in the context of the gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations of the Kerr-Newman solution in the Dudley-Finley approximation. For sub-extremal black holes and in the parameter space we surveyed, we found that the lowest damped quasinormal frequencies computed using the gauge-theoretical tools agree with those obtain using the continued fraction method [63, 71]. Our results give further support for the validity of the proposal of Aminov, Grassi and Hatsuda [4]. In addition, we obtained a simple equation that is isospectral to the radial Dudley-Finley equation following Refs. [50, 74]. Where do we go from here?

One direction would be to study the extremal case, in which the Dudley-Finley equation maps to the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve for  $N_f = 2$ , cf. Eq.(13). From a physical point of view, however, the problem is somewhat less interesting as the Dudley-Finley equation becomes a poor approximation to actual dynamics of gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations in this limit.

Going beyond the Dudley-Finley approximation, the gravitoelectromagnetic perturbations on Kerr-Newman metric form a system of coupled differential equations, as we described in Sec. III A. If a quantum integrable system that corresponds to a pair of coupled differential equations exists, and if the system further admits a gauge-theoretic description à la Bethe/Gauge correspondence, the Seiberg-Witten-quasinormal-mode correspondence may provide an alternative method of computing quasinormal modes of Kerr-Newman black holes without resorting to the approximation of Dudley and Finley and complementary to Refs. [32–34]. We leave the exploration of this topic to future work.

Another interesting topic to explore would be to study the asymptotic behavior of quasinormal frequencies through the lens of the Seiberg-Witten-quasinormal-mode correspondence. It is known that the real part of quasinormal mode frequencies of the Schwarzschild solution asymptotically approach a constant value as the overtone number  $n \to \infty$  [75–80] which was once conjectured to convey information on the quantum nature

of black holes [81, 82]; see Ref. [83], Sec. 10.1, for a discussion. Regardless, while the parameter dictionary Eq. (24) is unsuitable for studying asymptotic behaviour of quasinormal modes because the expansion parameter  $\Lambda_3$  diverges in the asymptotic limit, it is possible that an alternative expansion exists where the asymptotic limit is convergent.<sup>9</sup> We leave the study of how such an expansion can be obtained from the dictionary (24) through various dualities satisfied by supersymmetric gauge theories for future work.

Another direction would be to build on the extension explored by Ref. [14], i.e., applying the Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa correspondence [13] to construct the solutions to the perturbation equations which can be used, e.g., to obtain resummed expressions for several observables in perturbation theory. For Kerr black holes, this has been used to obtain post-Minkowskian-resummed expressions for the greybody factor [14], or the gravitational Compton amplitude [20, 24]. The extension of Seiberg-Witten correspondence to the Kerr-Newman case can now be used to extend these studies for the case of chargedspinning black holes in the Dudley-Finley approximation. Subsequently, the resummed Compton amplitude may also be used to obtain resummed expressions for the tidal response following earlier works [24, 84–91]. In particular, this could help test the near-far factorization in the Compton amplitude [86, 92], look for unique features in their tidal response, and fix coefficients in an effective worldline action for charged-spinning black holes. Such works could further highlight the usefulness of the Seiberg-Witten correspondence towards analytical studies in classical gravitational physics.

Finally, there are some improvements that can be implemented on the Seiberg-Witten side. The computational bottleneck on the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve approach is evaluation of the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free While the formulas for the instanton partienergy. tion function  $Z^{(N_f)}$  can be evaluated very efficiently, the limiting procedure required to compute the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy (32) is very slow in general. As we remarked in Sec. IV, this is caused by the large number of cancellations between coefficients of  $Z^{(N_f)}$ , which are rational functions. We can expect to speed up the computation of the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy by using specialized techniques for simplifying rational functions, such as functional reconstruction using finite fields. This technique has been proven powerful in Feynman diagram calculations [93, 94]. Another possibility is to use continued-fraction methods for computing the Nekrasov-Shatashvili free energy [95] as advocated recently in Ref. [26]. Such an improvement would be necessary to study quantum Seiberg-Witten curves at higher orders in the instanton series.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank Gleb Aminov, Alba Grassi, and Yasuyuki Hatsuda for valuable discussions and sharing the codes used for the calculations presented in Ref. [4] which we used to validate some of our results. We thank the members of the interdepartmental "bh-hep-th" reading group at the Max Planck Institute for Gravitational Physics, in particular Nikita Misuna, Raj Patil, and Giovanni Tambalo, for the many lively discussions. We also acknowledge discussions with Rita Teixeira da Costa. J.-W.K would like to thank Saebyeok Jeong and Ki-Hong Lee for discussions and sharing codes for checking some of our calculations. H.O.S. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - Project No.: 386119226.

## Appendix A: Point spectrum and mass exchange

In Ref. [50], the symmetry of the point spectrum for Eq. (7) with respect to swapping of  $m_1, m_2, m_3$  for appropriate boundary conditions was shown. This is expected from the invariance of the Seiberg-Witten theory under the exchange, but not obvious from the differential equation itself. The symmetry of the point spectrum under exchange of  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  is obvious from the equation itself, as the coefficients  $A_i$  are all invariant for  $m_1 \leftrightarrow m_2$ . This is not however clear for the exchange of  $m_{1,2}$  and  $m_3$ . In this Appendix, we thus briefly repeat the presentation used in Ref. [50] justifying the symmetry of the point spectrum under exchange of  $m_1, m_2$  and  $m_3$ . This is mainly to point out the sign-choices required to ensure the right boundary conditions, and its relation to the dictionary Eq. (24) derived by us.

We first identify and remove the asymptotic behavior in the limits  $z \to 1$  and  $z \to \infty$ , corresponding to the quasinormal mode boundary conditions when the Dudley-Finley dictionary Eq. (24) is used. For  $z \to 1$ , we find that the solution of Eq. (7) is

$$\Psi(z) \sim (z-1)^{\frac{1}{2}[1\pm(m_1+m_2)]}.$$
 (A1)

By choosing the plus sign in the equation above, and substituting the dictionary (24) [along with Eq. (21)] in Eq. (A1), we obtain

$$R(r) \sim (r - r_+)^{-s - i\sigma_+}, \quad \Psi(z) \sim (z - 1)^{\frac{1-s}{2} - i\sigma_+}, \quad (A2)$$

where  $\sigma_+ = [\omega(r_+ - Q^2) - am]/b$ . This corresponds to the appropriate incoming boundary conditions at the horizon. Similarly, in the limit  $z \to \infty$ , Eq. (7) becomes

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} - \left(\frac{\Lambda_3^2}{64} + \frac{m_3\Lambda_3}{4z}\right)\right]\Psi(z) = 0, \quad z \to \infty$$
 (A3)

with solutions  $\Psi(z) \sim e^{\pm \Lambda_3 z/8} z^{\pm m_3}$ . Choosing the minus sign here along with the dictionary in Eq. (24) yields

 $<sup>^{9}</sup>$  We thank Alba Grassi for bringing this point to our attention.

the solution  $R(r) \sim e^{i\omega r}$ , as  $r \to \infty$ , which corresponds to outgoing boundary conditions at spatial infinity. We now substitute

$$\Psi(z) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{8}\Lambda_3(z-1)\right] z^{\frac{1}{2}(-2m_3-1-m_1-m_2)} (z-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+m_1+m_2)} g(z), \qquad (A4)$$

in Eq. (A3), such that the function g is regular at  $z \to 1$ and  $z \to \infty$  for quasinormal mode frequencies. We obtain the following equation for g:

$$\left\{ z(z-1) \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}z^2} + [B_1 z(z-1) + B_2 (z-1) + B_3] \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z} + B_4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}\right) + B_5 \right\} g(z) = 0, \qquad (A5)$$

- C. V. Vishveshwara, Scattering of Gravitational Radiation by a Schwarzschild Black-hole, Nature 227, 936 (1970).
- [2] W. H. Press, Long Wave Trains of Gravitational Waves from a Vibrating Black Hole, Astrophys. J. Lett. 170, L105 (1971).
- [3] S. Chandrasekhar and S. L. Detweiler, The quasi-normal modes of the Schwarzschild black hole, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 344, 441 (1975).
- [4] G. Aminov, A. Grassi, and Y. Hatsuda, Black Hole Quasinormal Modes and Seiberg–Witten Theory, Annales Henri Poincaré 23, 1951 (2022), arXiv:2006.06111 [hep-th].
- [5] M. Bianchi, D. Consoli, A. Grillo, and J. F. Morales, QNMs of branes, BHs and fuzzballs from quantum SW geometries, Phys. Lett. B 824, 136837 (2022), arXiv:2105.04245 [hep-th].
- [6] M. Bianchi, D. Consoli, A. Grillo, and J. F. Morales, More on the SW-QNM correspondence, JHEP 01, 024, arXiv:2109.09804 [hep-th].
- [7] D. Fioravanti and D. Gregori, A new method for exact results on Quasinormal Modes of Black Holes (2021), arXiv:2112.11434 [hep-th].
- [8] D. Fioravanti, D. Gregori, and H. Shu, Integrability, susy SU(2) matter gauge theories and black holes (2022), arXiv:2208.14031 [hep-th].
- [9] M. Bianchi, G. Di Russo, A. Grillo, J. F. Morales, and G. Sudano, On the stability and deformability of top stars, JHEP 12, 121, arXiv:2305.15105 [gr-qc].
- [10] M. Bianchi, C. Di Benedetto, G. Di Russo, and G. Sudano, Charge instability of JMaRT geometries, JHEP 09, 078, arXiv:2305.00865 [hep-th].
- [11] X.-H. Ge, M. Matsumoto, and K. Zhang, Duality between Seiberg-Witten theory and black hole superradiance, JHEP 05, 336, arXiv:2402.17441 [hep-th].
- [12] A. Cipriani, C. Di Benedetto, G. Di Russo, A. Grillo, and G. Sudano, Charge (in)stability and superradiance of Topological Stars, JHEP 07, 143, arXiv:2405.06566 [hep-th].
- [13] L. F. Alday, D. Gaiotto, and Y. Tachikawa, Liouville Correlation Functions from Four-dimensional Gauge Theories, Lett. Math. Phys. **91**, 167 (2010), arXiv:0906.3219

where the coefficients  $B_i$  (i = 1, ..., 5) are related to those given in Ref. [50] by the transformations  $\Lambda_3 \to -\Lambda_3$ and  $m_i \to -m_i$ . Reference [50] went on to show that Eq. (A5) has a point spectrum that is invariant under  $m_i \leftrightarrow m_j$ . Since the transformation relating our  $B_i$ to theirs commutes with the operation of swapping the masses, the rest of the argument for the invariance of the point spectrum goes through unchanged in a similar manner to Ref. [50].

[hep-th].

- [14] G. Bonelli, C. Iossa, D. P. Lichtig, and A. Tanzini, Exact solution of Kerr black hole perturbations via CFT2 and instanton counting: Greybody factor, quasinormal modes, and Love numbers, Phys. Rev. D 105, 044047 (2022), arXiv:2105.04483 [hep-th].
- [15] D. Consoli, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and R. Poghossian, CFT description of BH's and ECO's: QNMs, superradiance, echoes and tidal responses, JHEP 12, 115, arXiv:2206.09437 [hep-th].
- [16] G. Aminov, P. Arnaudo, G. Bonelli, A. Grassi, and A. Tanzini, Black hole perturbation theory and multiple polylogarithms, JHEP 11, 059, arXiv:2307.10141 [hepth].
- [17] J. Barragán Amado, K. Kwon, and B. Gwak, Absorption cross section in gravity's rainbow from confluent Heun equation, Class. Quant. Grav. 41, 035005 (2024), arXiv:2307.12824 [gr-qc].
- [18] Y. Lei, H. Shu, K. Zhang, and R.-D. Zhu, Quasinormal modes of C-metric from SCFTs, JHEP 02, 140, arXiv:2308.16677 [hep-th].
- [19] F. Fucito and J. F. Morales, Post Newtonian emission of gravitational waves from binary systems: a gauge theory perspective, JHEP 03, 106, arXiv:2311.14637 [gr-qc].
- [20] Y. F. Bautista, G. Bonelli, C. Iossa, A. Tanzini, and Z. Zhou, Black hole perturbation theory meets CFT2: Kerr-Compton amplitudes from Nekrasov-Shatashvili functions, Phys. Rev. D 109, 084071 (2024), arXiv:2312.05965 [hep-th].
- [21] F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, and R. Russo, Gravitational wave forms for extreme mass ratio collisions from supersymmetric gauge theories (2024), arXiv:2408.07329 [hepth].
- [22] M. Bianchi, G. Dibitetto, and J. F. Morales, Gauge theory meets cosmology (2024), arXiv:2408.03243 [hep-th].
- [23] G. Aminov and P. Arnaudo, Black hole scattering amplitudes via analytic small-frequency expansion and monodromy (2024), arXiv:2409.06681 [hep-th].
- [24] Y. F. Bautista, Y.-T. Huang, and J.-W. Kim, Absorptive Effects in Black Hole Scattering (2024), arXiv:2411.03382 [hep-th].
- [25] M. Matone and N. Dimakis, Quantum Mechanics from

General Relativity and the Quantum Friedmann Equation (2024), arXiv:2411.07961 [hep-th].

- [26] A. Cipriani, G. Di Russo, F. Fucito, J. F. Morales, H. Poghosyan, and R. Poghossian, Resumming Post-Minkowskian and Post-Newtonian gravitational waveform expansions (2025), arXiv:2501.19257 [gr-qc].
- [27] P. Arnaudo, A. Grassi, and Q. Hao, On quivers, spectral networks and black holes (2025), arXiv:2502.01526 [hepth].
- [28] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Electric-magnetic duality, monopole condensation, and confinement in N = 2 supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Nucl. Phys. B **426**, 19 (1994), [Erratum: Nucl.Phys.B 430, 485–486 (1994)], arXiv:hep-th/9407087.
- [29] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Monopoles, duality and chiral symmetry breaking in N = 2 supersymmetric QCD, Nucl. Phys. B **431**, 484 (1994), arXiv:hep-th/9408099.
- [30] S. Chandrasekhar, The Gravitational Perturbations of the Kerr Black Hole. I. The Perturbations in the Quantities which Vanish in the Stationary State, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 358, 421 (1978).
- [31] S. Chandrasekhar, The mathematical theory of black holes (1985).
- [32] O. J. C. Dias, M. Godazgar, and J. E. Santos, Linear Mode Stability of the Kerr-Newman Black Hole and Its Quasinormal Modes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 151101 (2015), arXiv:1501.04625 [gr-qc].
- [33] O. J. C. Dias, M. Godazgar, J. E. Santos, G. Carullo, W. Del Pozzo, and D. Laghi, Eigenvalue repulsions in the quasinormal spectra of the Kerr-Newman black hole, Phys. Rev. D 105, 084044 (2022), arXiv:2109.13949 [grqc].
- [34] O. J. C. Dias, M. Godazgar, and J. E. Santos, Eigenvalue repulsions and quasinormal mode spectra of Kerr-Newman: an extended study, JHEP 07, 076, arXiv:2205.13072 [gr-qc].
- [35] P. Pani, E. Berti, and L. Gualtieri, Gravitoelectromagnetic Perturbations of Kerr-Newman Black Holes: Stability and Isospectrality in the Slow-Rotation Limit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 241103 (2013), arXiv:1304.1160 [gr-qc].
- [36] P. Pani, E. Berti, and L. Gualtieri, Scalar, Electromagnetic and Gravitational Perturbations of Kerr-Newman Black Holes in the Slow-Rotation Limit, Phys. Rev. D 88, 064048 (2013), arXiv:1307.7315 [gr-qc].
- [37] J. L. Blázquez-Salcedo and F. S. Khoo, Quasinormal modes of slowly rotating Kerr-Newman black holes using the double series method, Phys. Rev. D 107, 084031 (2023), arXiv:2212.00054 [gr-qc].
- [38] Z. Mark, H. Yang, A. Zimmerman, and Y. Chen, Quasinormal modes of weakly charged Kerr-Newman spacetimes, Phys. Rev. D 91, 044025 (2015), arXiv:1409.5800 [gr-qc].
- [39] A. L. Dudley and J. D. Finley, Separation of Wave Equations for Perturbations of General Type-D Space-Times, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 1505 (1977).
- [40] A. L. Dudley and J. D. Finley, III, Covariant Perturbed Wave Equations in Arbitrary Type D Backgrounds, J. Math. Phys. 20, 311 (1979).
- [41] S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a rotating black hole. 1. Fundamental equations for gravitational electromagnetic and neutrino field perturbations, Astrophys. J. 185, 635 (1973).
- [42] Y. Tachikawa, N=2 supersymmetric dynamics for pedestrians (2013) arXiv:1312.2684 [hep-th].

- [43] N. A. Nekrasov and S. L. Shatashvili, Quantization of Integrable Systems and Four Dimensional Gauge Theories, in 16th International Congress on Mathematical Physics (2009) pp. 265–289, arXiv:0908.4052 [hep-th].
- [44] N. A. Nekrasov, Seiberg-Witten prepotential from instanton counting, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7, 831 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0206161.
- [45] A. Gorsky, I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A. Mironov, and A. Morozov, Integrability and Seiberg-Witten exact solution, Phys. Lett. B 355, 466 (1995), arXiv:hepth/9505035.
- [46] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nekrasov Functions and Exact Bohr-Sommerfeld Integrals, JHEP 04, 040, arXiv:0910.5670 [hep-th].
- [47] M. Matone, Instantons and recursion relations in N=2 SUSY gauge theory, Phys. Lett. B 357, 342 (1995), arXiv:hep-th/9506102.
- [48] S. Jeong and N. Nekrasov, Opers, surface defects, and Yang-Yang functional, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 24, 1789 (2020), arXiv:1806.08270 [hep-th].
- [49] A. Grassi, J. Gu, and M. Mariño, Non-perturbative approaches to the quantum Seiberg-Witten curve, JHEP 07, 106, arXiv:1908.07065 [hep-th].
- [50] M. Casals and R. T. da Costa, Hidden Spectral Symmetries and Mode Stability of Subextremal Kerr(-de Sitter) Black Holes, Commun. Math. Phys. **394**, 797 (2022), arXiv:2105.13329 [gr-qc].
- [51] B. F. Schutz and C. M. Will, Black hole normal modes: a semianalytic approach, Astrophys. J. Lett. 291, L33 (1985).
- [52] S. Iyer and C. M. Will, Black Hole Normal Modes: A WKB Approach. 1. Foundations and Application of a Higher Order WKB Analysis of Potential Barrier Scattering, Phys. Rev. D 35, 3621 (1987).
- [53] A. Mironov and A. Morozov, Nekrasov Functions from Exact BS Periods: The Case of SU(N), J. Phys. A 43, 195401 (2010), arXiv:0911.2396 [hep-th].
- [54] J. F. Plebanski and M. Demianski, Rotating, charged, and uniformly accelerating mass in general relativity, Annals Phys. 98, 98 (1976).
- [55] J. B. Griffiths and J. Podolsky, A New look at the Plebanski-Demianski family of solutions, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 15, 335 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0511091.
- [56] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003).
- [57] E. T. Newman, R. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A. Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence, Metric of a Rotating, Charged Mass, J. Math. Phys. 6, 918 (1965).
- [58] E. Giorgi, Electromagnetic-gravitational perturbations of Kerr–Newman spacetime: The Teukolsky and Regge–Wheeler equations, J. Hyperbol. Diff. Equat. 19, 1 (2022), arXiv:2002.07228 [math.AP].
- [59] W. H. Press and S. A. Teukolsky, Perturbations of a Rotating Black Hole. II. Dynamical Stability of the Kerr Metric, Astrophys. J. 185, 649 (1973).
- [60] E. D. Fackerell and R. G. Crossman, Spin-weighted angular spheroidal functions, Journal of Mathematical Physics 18, 1849 (1977).
- [61] E. Seidel, A Comment on the Eigenvalues of Spin Weighted Spheroidal Functions, Class. Quant. Grav. 6, 1057 (1989).

- [62] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and M. Casals, Eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of spin-weighted spheroidal harmonics in four and higher dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 73, 024013 (2006), [Erratum: Phys.Rev.D 73, 109902 (2006)], arXiv:gr-qc/0511111.
- [63] E. Berti and K. D. Kokkotas, Quasinormal modes of Kerr-Newman black holes: Coupling of electromagnetic and gravitational perturbations, Phys. Rev. D 71, 124008 (2005), arXiv:gr-qc/0502065.
- [64] F. J. Zerilli, Perturbation analysis for gravitational and electromagnetic radiation in a Reissner-Nordström geometry, Phys. Rev. D 9, 860 (1974).
- [65] V. Moncrief, Odd-parity stability of a Reissner-Nordström black hole, Phys. Rev. D 9, 2707 (1974).
- [66] V. Moncrief, Stability of Reissner-Nordström black holes, Phys. Rev. D 10, 1057 (1974).
- [67] V. Moncrief, Gauge-invariant perturbations of Reissner-Nordström black holes, Phys. Rev. D 12, 1526 (1975).
- [68] S. Chandrasekhar and B. C. Xanthopoulos, On the Metric Perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström Black Hole, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 367, 1 (1979).
- [69] B. C. Xanthopoulos, Metric and Electromagnetic Perturbations of the Reissner-Nordström Black Hole, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 378, 73 (1981).
- [70] S. Chandrasekhar, On One-Dimensional Potential Barriers Having Equal Reflexion and Transmission Coefficients, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series A 369, 425 (1980).
- [71] E. W. Leaver, An analytic representation for the quasinormal modes of Kerr black holes, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 402, 285 (1985).
- [72] D. E. Muller, A method for solving algebraic equations using an automatic computer, Math. Tables Aids Comput. 10, 208 (1956).
- [73] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes 3rd Edition: The Art of Scientific Computing*, 3rd ed. (Cambridge University Press, 2007).
- [74] Y. Hatsuda, An alternative to the Teukolsky equation, Gen. Rel. Grav. 53, 93 (2021), arXiv:2007.07906 [gr-qc].
- [75] H.-P. Nollert, Quasinormal modes of Schwarzschild black holes: The determination of quasinormal frequencies with very large imaginary parts, Phys. Rev. D 47, 5253 (1993).
- [76] N. Andersson, On the asymptotic distribution of quasinormal-mode frequencies for Schwarzschild black holes, Classical and Quantum Gravity 10, L61 (1993).
- [77] L. Motl, An Analytical computation of asymptotic Schwarzschild quasinormal frequencies, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 6, 1135 (2003), arXiv:gr-qc/0212096.
- [78] L. Motl and A. Neitzke, Asymptotic black hole quasinormal frequencies, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 7, 307 (2003), arXiv:hep-th/0301173.
- [79] N. Andersson and C. J. Howls, The Asymptotic quasinormal mode spectrum of nonrotating black holes, Class.

Quant. Grav. 21, 1623 (2004), arXiv:gr-qc/0307020.

- [80] J. Natário and R. Schiappa, On the classification of asymptotic quasinormal frequencies for *d*-dimensional black holes and quantum gravity, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 8, 1001 (2004), arXiv:hep-th/0411267.
- [81] J. W. York, Jr., Dynamical Origin of Black Hole Radiance, Phys. Rev. D 28, 2929 (1983).
- [82] S. Hod, Bohr's correspondence principle and the area spectrum of quantum black holes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 4293 (1998), arXiv:gr-qc/9812002.
- [83] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, and A. O. Starinets, Quasinormal modes of black holes and black branes, Class. Quant. Grav. 26, 163001 (2009), arXiv:0905.2975 [gr-qc].
- [84] M. V. S. Saketh, J. Steinhoff, J. Vines, and A. Buonanno, Modeling horizon absorption in spinning binary black holes using effective worldline theory, Phys. Rev. D 107, 084006 (2023), arXiv:2212.13095 [gr-qc].
- [85] M. V. S. Saketh, Z. Zhou, and M. M. Ivanov, Dynamical tidal response of Kerr black holes from scattering amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 109, 064058 (2024), arXiv:2307.10391 [hep-th].
- [86] M. M. Ivanov and Z. Zhou, Vanishing of Black Hole Tidal Love Numbers from Scattering Amplitudes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 091403 (2023), arXiv:2209.14324 [hep-th].
- [87] M. V. S. Saketh, Z. Zhou, S. Ghosh, J. Steinhoff, and D. Chatterjee, Investigating tidal heating in neutron stars via gravitational Raman scattering, Phys. Rev. D 110, 103001 (2024), arXiv:2407.08327 [gr-qc].
- [88] S. Chakrabarti, T. Delsate, and J. Steinhoff, New perspectives on neutron star and black hole spectroscopy and dynamic tides (2013), arXiv:1304.2228 [gr-qc].
- [89] G. Creci, T. Hinderer, and J. Steinhoff, Tidal properties of neutron stars in scalar-tensor theories of gravity, Phys. Rev. D 108, 124073 (2023), arXiv:2308.11323 [gr-qc].
- [90] Y. F. Bautista, A. Guevara, C. Kavanagh, and J. Vines, Scattering in black hole backgrounds and higher-spin amplitudes. Part II, JHEP 05, 211, arXiv:2212.07965 [hepth].
- [91] Y. F. Bautista, M. Khalil, M. Sergola, C. Kavanagh, and J. Vines, Post-Newtonian observables for alignedspin binaries to sixth order in spin from gravitational self-force and Compton amplitudes, Phys. Rev. D 110, 124005 (2024), arXiv:2408.01871 [gr-qc].
- [92] M. M. Ivanov, Y.-Z. Li, J. Parra-Martinez, and Z. Zhou, Gravitational Raman Scattering in Effective Field Theory: A Scalar Tidal Matching at O(G<sup>3</sup>), Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 131401 (2024), arXiv:2401.08752 [hep-th].
- [93] A. von Manteuffel and R. M. Schabinger, A novel approach to integration by parts reduction, Phys. Lett. B 744, 101 (2015), arXiv:1406.4513 [hep-ph].
- [94] T. Peraro, Scattering amplitudes over finite fields and multivariate functional reconstruction, JHEP 12, 030, arXiv:1608.01902 [hep-ph].
- [95] H. Poghosyan, Recursion relation for instanton counting for SU(2) N = 2 SYM in NS limit of Ω background, JHEP 05, 088, arXiv:2010.08498 [hep-th].