

# Toroidal graphs without $K_5^-$ and 6-cycles

Ping Chen\*

Tao Wang†

## Abstract

Cai et al. proved that a toroidal graph  $G$  without 6-cycles is 5-choosable, and proposed the conjecture that  $\text{ch}(G) = 5$  if and only if  $G$  contains a  $K_5$  [J. Graph Theory 65 (2010) 1–15], where  $\text{ch}(G)$  is the choice number of  $G$ . However, Choi later disproved this conjecture, and proved that toroidal graphs without  $K_5^-$  (a  $K_5$  missing one edge) and 6-cycles are 4-choosable [J. Graph Theory 85 (2017) 172–186]. In this paper, we provide a structural description, for toroidal graphs without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. Using this structural description, we strengthen Choi’s result in two ways: (I) we prove that such graphs have weak degeneracy at most three (nearly 3-degenerate), and hence their DP-paint numbers and DP-chromatic numbers are at most four; (II) we prove that such graphs have Alon-Tarsi numbers at most 4. Furthermore, all of our results are sharp in some sense.

Keywords: Toroidal graphs; Weak degeneracy; Alon-Tarsi number; DP-coloring  
MSC2020: 05C15

## 1 Introduction

A  $k$ -list assignment of a graph  $G$  is a mapping  $L$  that assigns a list  $L(v)$  of  $k$  admissible colors to each vertex  $v$  in  $G$ . An  $L$ -coloring of  $G$  is a proper coloring  $\phi$  of  $G$  such that  $\phi(v) \in L(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ . A graph  $G$  is  $k$ -choosable if  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring for each  $k$ -list assignment  $L$ . The choice number, or list chromatic number,  $\text{ch}(G)$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $k$ -choosable.

Thomassen [12] proved that every planar graph is 5-choosable, and Voigt [13] constructed a planar graph that is not 4-choosable. Böhme et al. [2] proved that every toroidal graph is 7-choosable, and a toroidal graph  $G$  has  $\text{ch}(G) = 7$  if and only if  $K_7 \subseteq G$ .

Cai et al. [3] investigated the choosability of toroidal graphs without short cycles. They also conjectured that every toroidal graph without  $K_5$  and 6-cycles is 4-choosable, but Choi [4] disproved this conjecture, and proved a weak version of it. A  $K_5^-$  is a  $K_5$  missing one edge.

**Theorem 1.1** (Choi [4]). Every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles is 4-choosable.

Dvořák and Postle [5] introduced the concept of DP-coloring, which is a generalization of list coloring. They observed that every  $k$ -DP-colorable graph is also a  $k$ -choosable graph. However, it is not known whether toroidal graphs without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles are 4-DP-colorable. In this paper, we focus on this class of graphs, and provide the following structural result that can be used to answer this question positively. A *configuration* is a subgraph possibly with some degree restrictions in the host graph.

---

\*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China

†Center for Applied Mathematics, Henan University, Kaifeng, 475004, P. R. China. Corresponding author: wangtao@henu.edu.cn; <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9732-1617>




Fig. 1: A configuration. Here and in all figures below, a solid quadrilateral represents a 4-vertex.




Fig. 2: Kite graph.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $G$  be a connected toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. Then one of the following holds:

1. The minimum degree is at most three.
2. There is an induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 1.
3. There is an induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2.
4. There is an induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 3.

Using this structural description, we consider two graph parameters, *weak degeneracy* and *Alon-Tarsi number*.

In a greedy algorithm, when a vertex  $u$  is colored with  $\alpha$ , we should remove the colors conflict with  $\alpha$  from the lists of colors available to the neighbors of  $u$ . Then the list size of each neighbor of  $u$  may decrease by 1. If throughout the coloring process, each vertex has at least one available color when it needs to be colored, then we can obtain a proper (DP-) coloring. If at some steps, one can “save” some colors for a neighbor of  $u$ , we may obtain a better upper bound for the (DP-) chromatic number. This idea was used by Bernshteyn and Lee [1] to define a notion of *weak degeneracy*.

**Definition 1** (Delete operation). Let  $G$  be a graph and  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a function. For a vertex  $u \in V(G)$ , the operation  $\text{Delete}(G, f, u)$  outputs the graph  $G' = G - u$  and the function  $f' : V(G') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$f'(v) := \begin{cases} f(v) - 1, & \text{if } uv \in E(G); \\ f(v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An application of the operation Delete is *legal* if the resulting function  $f'$  is nonnegative.




Fig. 3: House graph.




Fig. 4: Forbidden configurations in planar graphs.

**Definition 2** (DeleteSave operation). Let  $G$  be a graph and  $f : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a function. For a pair of adjacent vertices  $u, w \in V(G)$ , the operation  $\text{DeleteSave}(G, f, u, w)$  outputs the graph  $G' = G - u$  and the function  $f' : V(G') \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  given by

$$f'(v) := \begin{cases} f(v) - 1, & \text{if } uv \in E(G) \text{ and } v \neq w; \\ f(v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

An application of the operation  $\text{DeleteSave}$  is *legal* if  $f(u) > f(w)$  and the resulting function  $f'$  is nonnegative.

A graph  $G$  is *weakly  $f$ -degenerate* if it is possible to remove all vertices from  $G$  by a sequence of legal applications of the operations  $\text{Delete}$  or  $\text{DeleteSave}$ . A graph is  *$f$ -degenerate* if it is weakly  $f$ -degenerate with no the  $\text{DeleteSave}$  operation. Given a nonnegative integer  $d$ , we say that  $G$  is *weakly  $d$ -degenerate* if it is weakly  $f$ -degenerate with respect to the constant function of value  $d$ . We say that  $G$  is  *$d$ -degenerate* if it is  $f$ -degenerate with respect to the constant function of value  $d$ . The *weak degeneracy* of  $G$ , denote by  $\text{wd}(G)$ , is the minimum integer  $d$  such that  $G$  is weakly  $d$ -degenerate. The *degeneracy* of  $G$ , denote by  $\text{d}(G)$ , is the minimum integer  $d$  such that  $G$  is  $d$ -degenerate.

BernshTEYN and Lee [1] provided the following inequalities on several graph parameters.

**Proposition 1.** For any graph  $G$ , we always have

$$\chi(G) \leq \text{ch}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{DP}}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{DPP}}(G) \leq \text{wd}(G) + 1 \leq \text{d}(G) + 1,$$

where  $\chi_{\text{DP}}(G)$  is the DP-chromatic number of  $G$ , and  $\chi_{\text{DPP}}(G)$  is the DP-paint number of  $G$ .

Wang et al. [14] studied three families of graphs with weak degeneracy at most three.

**Theorem 1.3** (Wang et al. [14]).

1. Every planar graph without any configuration in Fig. 4 is weakly 3-degenerate.
2. Every toroidal graph without any configuration in Fig. 5 is weakly 3-degenerate.
3. Every planar graph without intersecting 5-cycles is weakly 3-degenerate.




Fig. 5: Forbidden configurations in toroidal graphs.

We say that two cycles are *adjacent* if they share at least one edge, and say that they are *normally adjacent* if their intersection is isomorphic to  $K_2$ . Recently, Han et al. [7] studied triangle-free planar graphs without 4-cycles normally adjacent to 4- and 5-cycles.

**Theorem 1.4** (Han et al. [7]). Every triangle-free planar graph without 4-cycles normally adjacent to 4- and 5-cycles is weakly 2-degenerate.

Wang [15] provided the following sufficient conditions for a planar graph to be weakly 2-degenerate.

**Theorem 1.5** (Wang [15]).

1. Let  $G$  be a planar graph without 4-, 6- and 9-cycles. If there are no 7-cycles normally adjacent to 5-cycles, then  $G$  is weakly 2-degenerate.
2. Let  $G$  be a planar graph without 4-, 6-, and 8-cycles. If there are no 3-cycles normally adjacent to 9-cycles, then  $G$  is weakly 2-degenerate.

In this paper, we will prove that every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles is weakly 3-degenerate.

**Theorem 1.6.** Every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles is weakly 3-degenerate.

**Corollary 1.7.** Every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles has DP-paint number at most 4. As a consequence, every such graph is 4-DP-colorable.

Next, we introduce the Alon-Tarsi number, which also has very close relationship with graph coloring parameters. A digraph  $D$  is *Eulerian* if  $d_D^+(v) = d_D^-(v)$  for each vertex  $v \in V(D)$ . In particular, a digraph with no arcs is Eulerian. For a given graph  $G$  and an orientation  $D$  of  $G$ , let  $\text{EE}(D)$  be the family of spanning Eulerian sub-digraphs of  $D$  with an even number of arcs, and let  $\text{OE}(D)$  be the family of spanning Eulerian sub-digraphs of  $D$  with an odd number of arcs. We define the *Alon-Tarsi difference* of  $D$  as

$$\text{diff}(D) = |\text{EE}(D)| - |\text{OE}(D)|.$$

We say that an orientation  $D$  of  $G$  is an *Alon-Tarsi orientation* (AT-orientation for short) if  $\text{diff}(D) \neq 0$ . The *Alon-Tarsi number*  $AT(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the smallest integer  $k$  such that  $G$  has an AT-orientation  $D$  with  $\Delta^+(D) < k$ . The following proposition is from [11].

**Proposition 2.** For any graph  $G$ , we always have

$$\text{ch}(G) \leq \chi_{\text{P}}(G) \leq AT(G),$$

where  $\chi_{\text{P}}(G)$  is the paint number of  $G$ .

Schauz [10] proved that every planar graph has paint number at most five, and Zhu [17] proved that every planar graph has Alon-Tarsi number at most five. Zhu [6] also proved that every planar graph  $G$  has a matching  $M$  such that  $AT(G - M) \leq 4$ .

In Section 5, we prove that every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles has Alon-Tarsi number at most four.

**Theorem 1.8.** Every toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles has Alon-Tarsi number at most four.

The paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we present some structural results on connected toroidal graphs with  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. In Section 3, we prove the structural description Theorem 1.2 using the discharging method. In Section 4, we study weak degeneracy, and prove Theorem 1.6. In Section 5, we consider the Alon-Tarsi number, and prove Theorem 1.8. Finally, we discuss the sharpness of our results in the last section.

## 2 Preliminary results

In this section, we present some preliminary results related to the properties of a connected toroidal graph  $G$  embedded on the torus.

Let  $G$  be a connected toroidal graph that is embedded on the torus. A  $k$ -vertex is a  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k)$ -vertex if its incident faces have sizes  $d_i$  in a cyclic order. We classify 4-vertices into three types: *bad*, *special*, and *good*. A 4-vertex is *bad* if it is a  $(3, 3, 3, 3^+)$ -vertex, *special* if it is a  $(3, 3, 4, 6^+)$ -vertex, and *good* otherwise. We use  $s(f)$  to denote the number of special vertices incident with a face  $f$ , and  $n_{4b}(v)$  to denote the number of bad vertices adjacent to a vertex  $v$ . A face is *light* if all its incident vertices are 4-vertices in  $G$ . Similar definitions can be applied to the concept of *light cycles*. We say that a vertex  $v$  is a  $(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l, \dots)$ -vertex if  $v$  is an  $l^+$ -vertex and consecutively incident with faces  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_l$  such that the size of  $f_i$  is  $d_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . We use  $m_i(v)$  to denote the number of  $i$ -faces incident with a vertex  $v$ , and  $n_j(f)$  to denote the number of  $j$ -vertices incident with a face  $f$ . We use  $b(f)$  to denote the facial boundary of a face  $f$ . For two faces, we can define *adjacent* and *normally adjacent* by considering the boundaries of the two faces.

We establish several important properties of the graph  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a connected toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. If the minimum degree is at least 4, then the following properties hold:

- (i) There are no  $(3, 3, 3, 3, k, \dots)$ -vertices for any positive integer  $k$ .
- (ii) For a 5-face  $f = [x_1x_2x_3x_4x_5]$ , if  $f$  is adjacent to a 3-face  $[x_1x_5x]$ , then  $x = x_3$ .
- (iii) If  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are adjacent in  $G$ , where  $f_1$  is a 3-face and  $f_2$  is a 4-face, then  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  must be normally adjacent.
- (iv) ([4, Proposition 2.3]) If  $f$  is a 6-face and  $x, y, z$  are consecutive vertices on  $b(f)$ , then the following hold:
  - (a)  $b(f)$  consists of two triangles.
  - (b) If  $y$  is not incident with  $f$  twice, then  $xz \in E(G)$ .
- (v) ([4, Proposition 2.4]) Let  $[x_1x_2x_3x_4]$  and  $[x_1x_2y_3y_4]$  be two adjacent 4-faces. Then  $|\{x_3, x_4\} \cap \{y_3, y_4\}| = 1$ . Moreover, if  $y_4 \notin \{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$ , then  $y_3 = x_4$ . As a consequence, there are no normally adjacent 4-faces.

- (vi) ([4, Claim 2.5]) There are no  $(3, 4, 3, \dots)$ -vertices.
- (vii) ([4, Claim 2.5]) There are no  $(3, 5, 3, \dots)$ -vertices.
- (viii) ([4, Claim 2.6]) There are no  $(3, 3, 4, 5^-, \dots)$ -vertices.
- (ix) ([4, Claim 2.8]) There are no  $(4, 4, 3, 4, k)$ -vertices for any positive integer  $k$ .
- (x) ([4, Claim 2.11]) Each 4-face is incident with at most one special 4-vertex.
- (xi) ([4, Claim 2.12]) If  $v$  is a  $(3, 4, 5^+, 4)$ -vertex, then none of the two 4-faces is incident with a special 4-vertex.
- (xii) ([4, Claim 2.16]) If  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex, then each of the four 3-faces is incident with a  $7^+$ -face.
- (xiii) ([4, Corollaries 2.19 and 2.20]) If  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 3, k)$ -vertex with  $k \geq 4$ , then  $k \geq 6$  and each edge not incident with  $v$  but on the 3-faces is incident with a  $6^+$ -face. Moreover, if  $k = 6$ , then each edge not incident with  $v$  but on the 3-faces is incident with a  $7^+$ -face.
- (xiv) ([4, Corollary 2.22]) There are no adjacent bad vertices.
- (xv) There are no  $(4, 4, 4, \dots)$ -vertices.
- (xvi) Let  $v$  be a  $(3, k, 4, l)$ -vertex with  $k, l \geq 4$ . Then
  - (a)  $k, l \neq 5$  and  $\max\{k, l\} \geq 6$ ; and
  - (b) none of the two 4-faces can be incident with a special 4-vertex if  $k = 4$ .
- (xvii) ([4, Claim 2.14]) Let  $v$  be a  $(3, 3, 5, k)$ -vertex with  $k \geq 5$ . Then  $k \geq 7$ . Furthermore, if there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 1, then the 5-face is incident with a  $5^+$ -vertex.
- (xviii) Let  $v$  be a  $(3, 4, 5, 4)$ -vertex incident with faces  $f_1 = [v_1vv_2]$ ,  $f_2 = [v_2vv_3x]$ ,  $f_3 = [v_3vv_4pq]$  and  $f_4 = [v_4vv_1y]$ . If  $v_3$  is a 4-vertex, then  $v_3$  is a  $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -vertex.
- (xix) For each vertex  $v$ , we always have  $m_{6^+}(v) \geq n_{4b}(v)$ .

**Proof of Lemma 2.1(xv).** Assume that a vertex  $v$  is incident with three consecutive 4-faces, say  $f_1 = [vv_1xv_2]$ ,  $f_2 = [vv_2yv_3]$ , and  $f_3 = [vv_3zv_4]$ . Consider the first case that  $y \notin \{v_1, v_4\}$ . Since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are adjacent 4-faces, we have  $x = v_3$  by Lemma 2.1(v). Similarly, we have  $z = v_2$ . In this case, there is a 6-cycle  $vv_4v_2yv_3v_1v$ , a contradiction. The other case is that  $y \in \{v_1, v_4\}$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $y = v_1$ . Note that Lemma 2.1(v) implies that  $x \neq v_3$ . Similarly, we have  $z = v_2$  since  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are two adjacent 4-faces. If  $x \neq v_4$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_4v_2xv_1v_3v$ , a contradiction. If  $x = v_4$ , then  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1(xv).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1(xvi).** Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  be the four neighbors of  $v$  in a cyclic order, and let  $f_1 = [v_1vv_2]$  be a 3-face, and  $f_3 = [v_3vv_4x]$  be a 4-face. Let  $f_2 = [v_2vv_3 \dots]$  and  $f_4 = [v_4vv_1 \dots]$ .

Assume that  $f_4 = [v_4vv_1zw]$  is a 5-face. By Lemma 2.1(ii),  $f_1$  and  $f_4$  are not normally adjacent, and  $w = v_2$ . If  $x \notin \{v_1, v_2\}$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $v_1v_2v_4xv_3vv_1$ , a contradiction. Then we have  $x \in \{v_1, v_2\}$ . Since  $v_4$  is a  $4^+$ -vertex, we have  $x \neq w$ . It follows that  $x \neq v_2$ , implying  $x = v_1$ . If  $z \neq v_3$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_3v_1zv_2v_4v$ , a contradiction. This implies

that  $z = v_3$ , but  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. Therefore, by symmetry, neither  $f_2$  nor  $f_4$  can be a 5-face. By Lemma 2.1(xv), at most one of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  can be a 4-face. Hence,  $\max\{k, l\} \geq 6$ .

In what follows, we assume that  $f_2 = [v_2vv_3y]$  is a 4-face. Assume that  $x \notin \{v_1, v_2\}$ . By Lemma 2.1(v),  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are not normally adjacent, and  $y = v_4$ . Then there is a 6-cycle  $v_1vv_3xv_4v_2v_1$ , a contradiction. So we may assume that  $x \in \{v_1, v_2\}$ . Note that the 3-face  $f_1$  and the 4-face  $f_2$  are normally adjacent. Suppose that  $x = v_1$ . It follows that  $x \neq v_2$ . By Lemma 2.1(v),  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  are not normally adjacent, and  $y = v_4$ , but  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. This implies that  $x = v_2$ , and hence  $y \neq v_4$  by Lemma 2.1(v).

By Lemma 2.1(vi),  $v_2y$  cannot be incident with a 3-face. Suppose that  $v_3y$  is incident with a 3-face  $[v_3yz]$ . If  $z \neq v_1$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_2yzv_3v$ ; otherwise,  $z = v_1$  and  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, y\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ . Then  $v_3y$  cannot be incident with a 3-face. Since none of  $v_2y$  and  $v_3y$  is incident with a 3-face, we have that  $y$  is not a special vertex. Note that  $x = v_2$ , each of  $x$  and  $v_2$  is incident with two 4-faces  $f_2$  and  $f_3$ , thus none of  $x$  and  $v_2$  is a special vertex. Similarly, each of  $v$  and  $v_3$  is incident with two 4-faces  $f_2$  and  $f_3$ , thus none of  $v$  and  $v_3$  is a special vertex. Finally, we consider the vertex  $v_4$ . Suppose that  $v_4x$  is incident with a 3-face  $[v_4xt]$ . If  $t \notin \{y, v_3\}$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_3yv_2tv_4v$ , a contradiction. If  $t = v_3$ , then  $x$  is a 2-vertex, a contradiction. If  $t = y$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_2v_4yv_3v$ , a contradiction. Then  $v_4x$  is not incident with a 3-face, and  $v_4$  is incident with at most one 3-face, so  $v_4$  is not a special vertex. Therefore, none of  $f_2$  and  $f_3$  is incident with a special vertex. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1(xvi).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1(xvii).** Let  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  be the four neighbors of  $v$  in a cyclic order so that  $f_1 = [v_1vv_2]$ ,  $f_2 = [v_2vv_3]$  be two 3-faces,  $f_3 = [v_3vv_4xy]$  be a 5-face, and  $f_4 = [v_4vv_1\dots]$  be a  $5^+$ -face. By Lemma 2.1(ii), we have that  $x = v_2$ .

Suppose that  $f_4 = [v_4vv_1zw]$  is a 5-face. Consider the 3-face  $f_1$  and the 5-face  $f_4$ , by Lemma 2.1(ii), we have that  $w = v_2$ . Then  $x = w = v_2$ , the vertex  $v_4$  must be a 2-vertex, a contradiction. Suppose that  $f_4$  is a 6-face. By Lemma 2.1(iv),  $b(f_4)$  consists of two triangles. Note that  $v$  cannot be incident with  $f_4$  twice, then  $v_1v_4$  is an edge. Note that  $v_1 \neq x$  since  $x = v_2$ . If  $v_1 \neq y$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_4xyv_3v$ , a contradiction. If  $v_1 = y$ , then  $G[\{v, v_4, x, y, v_3\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $f_4$  is a  $7^+$ -face, and  $k \geq 7$ .

If  $v_1 \neq y$ , then  $x$  is adjacent to each of  $y, v_3, v, v_4, v_1$ , and then  $d(x) \geq 5$ . So we may assume that  $v_1 = y$ . Then  $G[\{v_3, v, v_4, x, y\}]$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 6. Note that neither  $v_3v_4$  nor  $v_4y$  is an edge, otherwise there is a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $G[\{v_3, v, v_4, x, y\}]$  is isomorphic to Fig. 6. Since there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to Fig. 1, the five vertices on  $b(f_3)$  cannot be all 4-vertices, thus  $f_3$  is incident with a  $5^+$ -vertex. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1(xvii).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1(xviii).** Let  $t$  be the fourth neighbor of  $v_3$ . Suppose that  $v_3x$  is incident with a 3-face. Then  $t = v_1$ , otherwise  $vv_1v_2xtv_3v$  is a 6-cycle. Since  $t \neq q$  and  $t = v_1$ , we have that  $p = v_1$ , otherwise there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_3qpv_4v$ . If  $x \neq v_4$ , then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_4v_1v_2xv_3v$ . Then  $x = v_4$ , but now  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $v_3x$  is incident with two  $4^+$ -faces.

Suppose that  $v_3q$  is incident with a 3-face. By Lemma 2.1(ii), we have that  $t = v_4$ . Now, there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_2xv_3v_4v$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $v_3q$  is incident with two  $4^+$ -faces. Therefore,  $v_3$  is incident with four  $4^+$ -faces. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1(xviii).  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 2.1(xix).** Let  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d(v)}$  be the neighbors of  $v$  in a cyclic order, and let




Fig. 6: A subgraph.

$f_i$  be the face incident with  $vv_i$  and  $vv_{i+1}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq d(v)$ . Clearly, the inequality holds for  $n_{4b}(v) = 0$ . Let  $v_i$  be a bad vertex. By the definition,  $vv_i$  is incident with at least one 3-face.

The first case is that  $vv_i$  is incident with exactly one 3-face, say  $f_i$ . Then  $v_iv_{i+1}$  is an edge. By Lemma 2.1(xiii), each of  $f_{i-1}$  and  $f_{i+1}$  is a  $6^+$ -face, and at least one of them is a  $7^+$ -face. Lemma 2.1(xiv) implies that exactly one of  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , say  $v_i$ , is a bad vertex.

Now, consider the second case that  $vv_i$  is incident with two 3-faces. Then  $v_{i-1}v_i$  and  $v_iv_{i+1}$  are edges. By Lemma 2.1(xii), each of  $f_{i-2}$  and  $f_{i+1}$  is a  $6^+$ -face. Lemma 2.1(xiv) implies that exactly one of  $v_{i-1}$ ,  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , say  $v_i$ , is a bad vertex.

By the above discussion, we can obtain that the number of incident  $6^+$ -faces is not less than the number of adjacent bad vertices. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1(xix).  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we prove the structural description presented in Theorem 1.2. Assume that  $G$  is a counterexample to Theorem 1.2. This means that none of the structures described in Theorem 1.2 exist in  $G$ . We will use the discharging method to derive a contradiction.

Before proceeding with the discharging process, we will introduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** There is no subgraph (not necessarily induced) isomorphic to the configuration shown in Fig. 3.

**Proof.** Suppose to the contrary that there is a subgraph isomorphic to the configuration shown in Fig. 3. Assume that  $x_1x_2x_3x_4x_1$  is the 4-cycle,  $x_1x_4x_5x_1$  is the 3-cycle, and all the five vertices are 4-vertices in  $G$ . Since there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration shown in Fig. 2, we have that  $x_1x_3, x_2x_4 \notin E(G)$  or  $x_1x_3, x_2x_4 \in E(G)$ . If  $x_1x_3, x_2x_4 \in E(G)$ , then  $G[\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}]$  is isomorphic to the configuration shown in Fig. 1 or it contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. If  $x_1x_3, x_2x_4 \notin E(G)$ , then  $G[\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}]$  is isomorphic to the configuration shown in Fig. 3 or it contains a configuration shown in Fig. 2, both of which lead to contradictions.  $\square$

We assign an initial charge  $\omega(v) = d(v) - 6$  to each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , and an initial charge  $\omega(f) = 2d(f) - 6$  to each face  $f \in F(G)$ . By Euler's formula and Handshaking lemma, we can see that the sum of the initial charges is zero, i.e.,

$$\sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(v) - 6) + \sum_{f \in F(G)} (2d(f) - 6) = 0$$

In the following, we design some appropriate discharging rules to redistribute the charges, obtaining a final charging function  $\omega'$  on  $V(G) \cup F(G)$ , such that  $\omega'(x) \geq 0$  for each  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ . Moreover, there exists a vertex such that its final charge is positive. Note that



the sum of the charges is preserved in the discharging procedure, then

$$0 = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G) \cup F(G)} \omega'(x) > 0,$$

this contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Let  $\epsilon$  and  $\eta$  be sufficiently small positive real numbers, and let  $0 < \lambda \leq \rho < 1$ . We use the following discharging rules.

**R1** Let  $f$  be a 4-face incident with a vertex  $v$ .

**R1.1**  $\tau(f \rightarrow v) = \eta$  if  $v$  is a  $5^+$ -vertex.

**R1.2** Let  $v$  be a 4-vertex.

(i)  $\tau(f \rightarrow v) = 1$  if  $v$  is special

(ii)  $\tau(f \rightarrow v) = \frac{2-s(f)-\eta \times n_{5^+}(f)}{n_4(f)-s(f)}$  otherwise.

**R2** Let  $f$  be a 5-face incident with a vertex  $v$ .

(a)  $\tau(f \rightarrow v) = \frac{1}{2}$  if  $d(v) \geq 5$

(b)  $\tau(f \rightarrow v) = \frac{4-\frac{1}{2}n_{5^+}(f)}{n_4(f)}$  if  $d(v) = 4$ .

**R3** Every  $6^+$ -face  $f$  sends  $\frac{2d(f)-6}{d(f)}$  to each incident vertex.

**R4** Every  $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -vertex sends  $\frac{1}{20}$  to each adjacent vertex.

**R5** Every good 4-vertex sends its remaining charge uniformly to each adjacent bad 4-vertex.

**R6** Every 5-vertex sends  $\lambda = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$  to each adjacent  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex, and  $\mu = \frac{4}{7} - \epsilon$  to each adjacent  $(3, 3, 3, 4^+)$ -vertex.

**R7** Every  $6^+$ -vertex sends  $\rho$  to each adjacent bad 4-vertex.

Firstly, we show that  $\omega'(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ . Note that  $\omega'(f) = \omega(f) = 2 \times 3 - 6 = 0$  for each 3-face  $f$ . By Lemma 2.1(x), every 4-face is incident with at most one special 4-vertex, then its final charge is at least zero by R1. By R2 and R3, each  $5^+$ -face has a nonnegative final charge.

Let  $v$  be a  $6^+$ -vertex. Note that  $v$  is incident with at least one  $4^+$ -face by Lemma 2.1(i). If  $v$  is not adjacent to a bad 4-vertex, then it does not send out any charge, but it receives at least  $\eta$  from each incident  $4^+$ -face, thus  $\omega'(v) \geq d(v) - 6 + \eta \geq \eta$ . Now, assume that  $v$  is adjacent to a bad 4-vertex. By Lemma 2.1(xix), we have that  $m_{6^+}(v) \geq n_{4b}(v)$ . By R3 and R7,  $v$  receives at least 1 from each incident  $6^+$ -face, and sends  $\rho$  to each adjacent bad 4-vertex. Then  $\omega'(v) \geq d(v) - 6 + m_{6^+}(v) - \rho \times n_{4b}(v) > 0$ .

Let  $v$  be a 5-vertex. Then  $\omega(v) = 5 - 6 = -1$ . Suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to at least three bad 4-vertices. Then there are two adjacent bad 4-vertices  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$ , say  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . By Lemma 2.1(xiv),  $f_1$  is a  $4^+$ -face. This implies that both  $f_2$  and  $f_5$  are 3-faces. By Lemma 2.1(xiv), neither  $v_3$  nor  $v_5$  is a bad 4-vertex. Lemma 2.1(xiii) implies that both  $f_3$  and  $f_4$  are  $6^+$ -faces. Then  $v_4$  is not a bad vertex, and  $v$  is adjacent to exactly two bad 4-vertices, a contradiction. Hence,  $v$  is adjacent to at most two bad 4-vertices. By Lemma 2.1(xii),  $v$  is adjacent to at most one  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex. Suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to a  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex, say  $v_1$ . Then  $f_1$  and  $f_5$  are 3-faces. Lemma 2.1(xii) implies that both  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  are  $7^+$ -faces. By R3, each of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{8}{7}$  to  $v$ . It follows that  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2 - \lambda - \mu = \frac{\epsilon}{2} > 0$ . So we may assume that  $v$  is not adjacent to a  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex, and it is adjacent to at most two  $(3, 3, 3, 4^+)$ -vertices.

- $n_{4b}(v) \geq 1$ . Then  $v$  is incident with at least two  $6^+$ -faces by Lemma 2.1(xiii). By R3, each incident 6-face sends 1 to  $v$ , and each incident  $7^+$ -face sends at least  $\frac{8}{7}$  to  $v$ . If  $v$  is adjacent to exactly one  $(3, 3, 3, 4^+)$ -vertex, then  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + 1 \times 2 - \mu > 0$ . Suppose that  $v$  is adjacent to exactly two  $(3, 3, 3, 4^+)$ -vertices. Note that  $v$  is a 5-vertex in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1(xiii), there is a bad 4-vertex  $v_i$ , say  $v_1$ , such that  $vv_1$  is incident with exactly one 3-face. Without loss of generality, let  $f_1$  be a 3-face. By Lemma 2.1(xiii), at least one of  $f_2$  and  $f_5$  is a  $7^+$ -face. It follows that  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + 1 + \frac{8}{7} - 2\mu = 2\epsilon > 0$ .
- $n_{4b}(v) = 0$ . Note that  $v$  is incident with at most three 3-faces, otherwise there is a 6-cycle in  $G$ . Then  $v$  is incident with at least two  $4^+$ -faces. If  $v$  is incident with a  $6^+$ -face, then it receives at least 1 from an incident  $6^+$ -face, and at least  $\eta$  from another  $4^+$ -face, this implies that  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + \eta + 1 > 0$ . So we may assume that  $v$  is not incident with a  $6^+$ -face. Then all the incident  $4^+$ -faces are 4- or 5-faces. By R1 and R2, each incident 4-face sends  $\eta$  to  $v$ , and each incident 5-face sends  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $v$ . By Lemma 2.1(vi) and (vii), all the incident 3-faces are consecutive.

- $m_3(v) = 3$ . Since all the incident 3-faces are consecutive, we may assume that  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  are 3-faces. By Lemma 2.1(viii), both  $f_4$  and  $f_5$  are 5-faces. Let  $f_4 = [v_4vv_5xy]$  and  $f_5 = [v_5vv_1st]$  be the two 5-faces. By Lemma 2.1(ii), we have that  $x = v_3$  and  $t = v_2$ . Then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1v_2v_5v_3v_4v$ , a contradiction.
- $m_3(v) = 2$ . Since all the incident 3-faces are consecutive, we may assume that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are 3-faces. By Lemma 2.1(viii), both  $f_3$  and  $f_5$  are 5-faces. By R1 and R2, each of  $f_3$  and  $f_5$  sends  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $v$ , and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\eta$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 + \eta > 0$ .
- $m_3(v) = 1$ . Let  $f_1$  be a 3-face. If  $v$  is incident with at least two 5-faces, then  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 + 2\eta > 0$ . Suppose that  $v$  is incident with at most one 5-face. By Lemma 2.1(xv),  $f_3$  or  $f_4$  is a 5-face, and the other three faces are 4-faces. But this contradicts Lemma 2.1(ix).
- $m_3(v) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1(xv),  $v$  is incident with at most three 4-faces, then it is incident with at least two 5-faces. Then  $\omega'(v) \geq -1 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 + 3\eta > 0$ .

Let  $v$  be a 4-vertex. Then  $\omega(v) = 4 - 6 = -2$ . If  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 4, 6^+)$ -vertex, then the incident 4-face sends 1 to this special 4-vertex  $v$ , and the incident  $6^+$ -face sends at least 1 to  $v$ , thus

$$\omega'(v) \geq -2 + 1 + 1 = 0. \quad (1)$$

Assume that  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex. Note that  $v_1v_3, v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$ , otherwise  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ . Since there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2,  $v$  is adjacent to at least two  $5^+$ -vertices. By Lemma 2.1(xii), each of  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4$  and  $v_4v_1$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. Then each 4-vertex in  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is a good 4-vertex. Moreover, each 4-vertex in  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is adjacent to exactly one bad 4-vertex, say  $v$ . By R5, each adjacent good 4-vertex sends at least  $-2 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2 = \frac{2}{7}$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega'(v) \geq -2 + 2\lambda + \frac{2}{7} \times 2 = \epsilon > 0$ .

Assume that  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 3, 4^+)$ -vertex. Let  $f_1, f_2$  and  $f_3$  be 3-faces. By Lemma 2.1(xiii),  $f_4$  is a  $6^+$ -face, and each of  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_4$  is incident with a  $6^+$ -face. Then each of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  and  $v_4$  is incident with at least two  $6^+$ -faces. By definition, each 4-vertex in  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is a good 4-vertex, each 4-vertex in  $\{v_2, v_3\}$  is adjacent to exactly one bad 4-vertex, say  $v$ , and each 4-vertex in  $\{v_1, v_4\}$  is adjacent to at most two bad 4-vertices. By Lemma 2.1(xiii), each of  $v_1$  and  $v_4$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. By R3 and R5, each 4-vertex in  $\{v_1, v_4\}$  sends at least  $(-2 + 1 + \frac{8}{7})/2 = \frac{1}{14}$  to  $v$ . By R6 and R7, each  $5^+$ -vertex in  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  sends at least  $\mu$  to  $v$ .

- **Assume that  $f_4$  is a 6-face.** Since  $v$  cannot be incident with  $f_4$  twice, we have that  $v_1v_4$  is an edge in  $G$ . Moreover,  $v_1$  or  $v_4$  is incident with  $f_4$  twice. It follows that  $v_1$  or  $v_4$ , say  $v_1$ , is a  $5^+$ -vertex. Similar to the case that  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 3, 3)$ -vertex, we have that  $v_1v_3, v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$ , and  $v$  is adjacent to at least two  $5^+$ -vertices. By Lemma 2.1(xiii), each of  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3$  and  $v_3v_4$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. By R3 and R5, each 4-vertex in  $\{v_2, v_3\}$  sends at least  $-2 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2 = \frac{2}{7}$  to  $v$ . Therefore,  $\omega'(v) \geq -2 + 1 + 2\mu + \frac{2}{7} + \frac{1}{14} = \frac{1}{2} - 2\epsilon > 0$ .
- **Assume that  $f_4$  is a  $7^+$ -face.** By R3,  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{8}{7}$  to  $v$ . If  $v$  is adjacent to at least two  $5^+$ -vertices, then  $\omega'(v) \geq -2 + \frac{8}{7} + 2\mu = \frac{2}{7} - 2\epsilon > 0$ . So we may assume that  $v$  is adjacent to at most one  $5^+$ -vertex. Since  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains no  $K_5^-$ , we have that  $v_1v_3 \notin E(G)$  or  $v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$ .
  - **Suppose that  $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 4$ .** Without loss of generality, assume that  $v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$ . Since there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2, we have that  $v_4$  is a  $5^+$ -vertex. It follows that  $v_1$  is a 4-vertex. Since  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3\}]$  is not isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2, then  $v_1v_3$  is an edge in  $G$ . Suppose that  $v_1v_2$  is incident with a 6-face  $[v_1v_2u \dots v_1]$ . Since  $v_2$  is a 4-vertex, we have that  $v_2$  is incident with the 6-face once. Then there is a 6-cycle  $vv_1uv_2v_3v_4v$ , or  $u = v_4$  and  $v_2v_4 \in E(G)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $v_1v_2$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. Similarly, we can prove that each of  $v_2v_3$  and  $v_3v_4$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. Then each of  $v_1, v_2, v_3$  and  $v_4$  is incident with two  $7^+$ -faces. Moreover, each of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  is a good 4-vertex adjacent to exactly one bad 4-vertex, say  $v$ . By R3 and R5, each of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  sends at least  $-2 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2 = \frac{2}{7}$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega'(v) \geq -2 + \frac{8}{7} + \mu + \frac{2}{7} \times 2 > 0$ .
  - **Suppose that one of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ , say  $v_2$ , is a  $5^+$ -vertex.** If  $v_1v_3, v_1v_4 \in E(G)$ , then  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains a  $K_5^-$ , a contradiction. If exactly one of  $v_1v_3$  and  $v_1v_4$  is an edge, then there is an induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2, a contradiction. It follows that  $v_1v_3, v_1v_4 \notin E(G)$ . Similar to the above cases, we can prove that each of  $v_1v_2, v_2v_3$  and  $v_3v_4$  is incident with a  $7^+$ -face. Moreover,  $v_3$  is adjacent to exactly one bad 4-vertex, and each of  $v_1$  and  $v_4$  is adjacent to at most two bad 4-vertices. By R3 and R5,  $v_3$  sends at least  $-2 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2 = \frac{2}{7}$  to  $v$ , and each of  $v_1$  and  $v_4$  sends at least  $(-2 + \frac{8}{7} \times 2)/2 = \frac{1}{7}$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega'(v) \geq -2 + \frac{8}{7} + \mu + \frac{2}{7} + \frac{1}{7} \times 2 = \frac{2}{7} - \epsilon > 0$ .

In what follows, we assume that  $v$  is a good vertex. Then  $v$  is incident with at most two 3-faces. By Lemma 2.1(x), each 4-face is incident with at most one special 4-vertex. By R1, each incident 4-face sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $v$ . By R2, each incident 5-face sends at least  $\frac{4}{5}$  to  $v$ . By R3, each incident  $6^+$ -face sends at least 1 to  $v$ . Let  $\omega^*(v)$  be the charge of  $v$  by only applying R1, R2, R3 and R4. By R5, it suffices to prove  $\omega^*(v) \geq 0$ .

- $\mathbf{m_3}(v) = 0$ . By Lemma 2.1(xv),  $v$  is incident with at least two  $5^+$ -faces. By R2 and R3, each incident  $5^+$ -face sends at least  $\frac{4}{5}$  to  $v$ . Recall that each incident 4-face sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $v$ . Obviously,  $v$  is not adjacent to any bad 4-vertex. Then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{4}{5} \times 2 + \frac{1}{3} \times 2 - \frac{1}{20} \times 4 = \frac{1}{15} > 0$ .
- $\mathbf{m_3}(v) = 2$ . If the two 3-faces are not adjacent in  $G$ , then  $v$  is incident with two  $6^+$ -faces by Lemma 2.1(vi) and (vii), thus

$$\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + 1 \times 2 = 0. \quad (2)$$

Assume that  $v$  is incident with two adjacent 3-faces, say  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ . Note that  $v$  is not a special vertex. By Lemma 2.1(viii),  $v$  is incident with two  $5^+$ -faces. Suppose that  $f_3$  is a

5-face. By Lemma 2.1(xvii),  $f_4$  is a  $7^+$ -face, and  $f_3$  is incident with at least one  $5^+$ -vertex. By R2, the 5-face  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{4-\frac{1}{2}}{4} = \frac{7}{8}$  to  $v$ . Hence,  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{8}{7} + \frac{7}{8} = \frac{1}{56} > 0$ . By symmetry, we may assume that both  $f_3$  and  $f_4$  are  $6^+$ -faces. Then

$$\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + 1 \times 2 = 0. \quad (3)$$

- $\mathbf{m}_3(v) = 1$ . If  $v$  is incident with three  $5^+$ -faces, then it receives at least  $\frac{4}{5}$  from each incident  $5^+$ -face, and then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{4}{5} \times 3 = \frac{2}{5} > 0$ . If  $v$  is incident with at least two  $6^+$ -faces, then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + 1 \times 2 + \frac{1}{3} > 0$ . So we may assume that  $v$  is incident with a 4-face and at most one  $6^+$ -face. Without loss of generality, let  $f_1$  be a 3-face.

- Assume that  $v$  is a  $(3, 4, 5^+, 4)$ -vertex. By Lemma 2.1(xi), none of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  is incident with a special 4-vertex. If each of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{2-\eta}{3}$  to  $v$ , then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{2-\eta}{3} \times 2 + \frac{4}{5} = \frac{2-10\eta}{15} > 0$ . So we may assume that  $f_2$  or  $f_4$ , say  $f_2$ , sends less than  $\frac{2-\eta}{3}$  to  $v$ . By R1,  $f_2$  is incident with four 4-vertices, and it sends  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $v$ . By Lemma 2.1(iii),  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are normally adjacent. By Lemma 3.1, we have  $d(v_1) \geq 5$ . By R1,  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{2-\eta}{3}$  to  $v$ . If  $f_3$  is a  $6^+$ -face, then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{1}{2} + 1 + \frac{2-\eta}{3} = \frac{1-2\eta}{6} > 0$ . So we may further assume that  $f_3$  is a 5-face. This means that  $v$  is a  $(3, 4, 5, 4)$ -vertex. By Lemma 2.1(xviii),  $v_3$  is a  $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -vertex. Note that a  $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -vertex is not adjacent to a bad 4-vertex. By R4,  $v_3$  sends  $\frac{1}{20}$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2-\eta}{3} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{1}{20} = \frac{1-20\eta}{60} > 0$ .
- Assume that  $f_3$  is a  $5^+$ -face, and one of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  is a  $5^+$ -face. Recall that  $v$  is incident with a 4-face, we may assume that  $f_2$  is a 4-face. If  $f_2$  sends at least  $\frac{1-\eta}{2}$  to  $v$ , then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{1-\eta}{2} + \frac{4}{5} \times 2 = \frac{1-5\eta}{10} > 0$ . So we may assume that  $f_2$  is incident with four 4-vertices, and one of which is a special 4-vertex. Since there is no subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 3, we have that  $d(v_1) \geq 5$ . By the discharging rules,  $f_2$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to  $v$ , and  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{4}{5}$  to  $v$ , and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{7}{8}$  to  $v$ . Then  $\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{5} + \frac{7}{8} > 0$ .
- Assume that  $f_3$  is a 4-face. Since  $v$  is incident with at most one  $6^+$ -face, Lemma 2.1(xvi) implies that  $v$  is a  $(3, 4, 4, 6^+)$ -vertex, and none of the two incident 4-faces is incident with a special 4-vertex. By the discharging rules, each incident 4-face sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $v$ , and the  $6^+$ -face sends at least 1 to  $v$ . Then

$$\omega^*(v) \geq -2 + \frac{1}{2} \times 2 + 1 = 0. \quad (4)$$

We have proved that  $\omega'(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in V(G) \cup F(G)$ , and every  $5^+$ -vertex has a positive final charge. Next, we show that there is a vertex  $v$  such that  $\omega'(v) > 0$ . Suppose that  $\omega'(v) = 0$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ . Then  $G$  is 4-regular, and no vertex is a bad 4-vertex. This implies that  $\omega'(v) = \omega^*(v)$  for all  $v \in V(G)$ , and no vertex is a  $(4^+, 4^+, 4^+, 4^+)$ -vertex. By the above discussion, a 4-vertex may have final charge zero only in the four labeled equations.

In (1),  $\omega'(v) = 0$  implies that  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 4, 6^+)$ -vertex, then there is a subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 3, this contradicts Lemma 3.1.

In (2),  $\omega'(v) = 0$  implies that  $v$  is a  $(3, 6, 3, 6)$ -vertex. Since  $v$  is a 4-vertex, it is incident with each incident 6-face once, then  $v_1v_2v_3v_4v_1$  is a 4-cycle. Since  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  contains no  $K_5^-$ , we have that  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  is an induced wheel, and there is a subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2 (note that  $G$  is 4-regular), a contradiction.

In (3),  $\omega'(v) = 0$  implies that  $v$  is a  $(3, 3, 6, 6)$ -vertex. Similar to the above,  $G[\{v, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}]$  is an induced wheel, and there is a subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2, a contradiction.

In (4),  $\omega'(v) = 0$  implies that  $v$  is a  $(3, 4, 4, 6)$ -vertex. Then there is a subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 3, a contradiction.

Therefore, there is a vertex having positive final charge, this completes the proof.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1.6

To prove the main result stated in Theorem 1.6, we need the following lemma. A *GDP-tree* is a connected graph in which every block is either a cycle or a complete graph.

**Lemma 4.1** ([1, Lemma 5.5]). Assume  $G$  is a graph which is not weakly  $(h-1)$ -degenerate. Let  $U \subseteq \{u \in V(G) : d(u) = h(u)\}$ . If  $G - U$  is weakly  $(h-1)$ -degenerate, then every component of  $G[U]$  is a GDP-tree.

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Assume that  $G$  is a minimum counterexample to Theorem 1.6, i.e.,  $G$  is not weakly 3-degenerate but every proper subgraph is weakly 3-degenerate. Since  $G$  is a minimum counterexample, it must be connected, and its minimum degree is at least four. Suppose that  $G$  contains an induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 1. Note that the induced subgraph is a 2-connected subgraph that is neither a complete graph  $K_5$  nor a cycle. This contradicts Lemma 4.1, thus there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 1. Similarly, we can prove that there is no induced subgraph isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 2 or Fig. 3. This contradicts Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 1.8

To prove Theorem 1.8, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1** (Lu et al. [9]). Let  $D$  be a digraph with  $V(D) = X_1 \cup X_2$  and  $X_1 \cap X_2 = \emptyset$ . If all arcs between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are oriented from  $X_1$  to  $X_2$ , then  $D$  is an AT-orientation if and only if both  $D[X_1]$  and  $D[X_2]$  are AT-orientations.

**Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Assume that  $G$  is a counterexample to Theorem 1.8 with  $|V(G)|$  as small as possible. By Theorem 1.2, there is an induced subgraph  $\Gamma$  isomorphic to a configuration as described in Theorem 1.2. By the minimality,  $AT(G - V(\Gamma)) \leq 4$ . By the definition of Alon-Tarsi number, there exists an AT-orientation  $D'$  of  $G - V(\Gamma)$  with maximum out-degree at most three. We can extend  $D'$  to an AT-orientation  $D$  of  $G$  with maximum out-degree at most three. Firstly, we orient all the edges between  $V(\Gamma)$  and  $\overline{V(\Gamma)}$  from  $V(\Gamma)$  to  $\overline{V(\Gamma)}$ .

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a vertex of degree at most three in  $G$ . The obtained orientation is an orientation  $D$  of  $G$ . Then  $\text{diff}(D) = \text{diff}(D') \neq 0$ , and the maximum out-degree is at most three. Therefore,  $AT(G) \leq 4$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is isomorphic to the configuration in Fig. 1, Fig. 2 or Fig. 3. We orient all the edges in  $\Gamma$  as depicted in Fig. 7. Note that the orientation of  $\Gamma$  is an AT-orientation, and the maximum out-degree of the orientation  $D$  of  $G$  is at most three. By Lemma 5.1,  $D$  is an AT-orientation, and  $AT(G) \leq 4$ , a contradiction.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.  $\square$




Fig. 7: Orientations.

## 6 Concluding remark

In [4], Choi provided two infinite families of toroidal graphs. One of them has no  $K_5^-$  but has chromatic number 5, while the other has no 6-cycle but has chromatic number 5. It is worth to note that  $\text{ch}(G) \leq \text{wd}(G) + 1$  and  $\text{ch}(G) \leq AT(G)$ . The two families of toroidal graphs show that they are not weakly 3-degenerate, and have Alon-Tarsi number greater than four. Then the conditions in Theorems 1.6 and 1.8 are sharp in some sense.

Theorem 1.2 can be applied to other graph parameters as well. A strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal is a common generalization of DP-coloring and  $L$ -forested coloring. For the definitions of DP-coloring,  $L$ -forested coloring, and strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal, we refer the reader to [8]. Since the four configurations described in Theorem 1.2 are reducible configurations for the following result, we can easily obtain it as Theorems 1.6 and 1.8.

**Theorem 6.1.** Let  $G$  be a toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. Let  $H$  be a cover of  $G$  and  $f$  be a function from  $V(H)$  to  $\{0, 1, 2\}$ . If  $f(v, 1) + f(v, 2) + \dots + f(v, s) \geq 4$  for each  $v \in V(G)$ , then  $H$  has a strictly  $f$ -degenerate transversal.

As a consequence, one can obtain the following result on list vertex arboricity.

**Corollary 6.2** (Zhu et al. [16]). Let  $G$  be a toroidal graph without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles. Then the list vertex arboricity is at most two.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank the two anonymous referees for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions on the manuscript. This research was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Henan Province (No. 242300420238).

## References

- [1] A. Bernshteyn and E. Lee, Weak degeneracy of graphs, *J. Graph Theory* 103 (4) (2023) 607–634.
- [2] T. Böhme, B. Mohar and M. Stiebitz, Dirac’s map-color theorem for choosability, *J. Graph Theory* 32 (4) (1999) 327–339.
- [3] L. Cai, W. Wang and X. Zhu, Choosability of toroidal graphs without short cycles, *J. Graph Theory* 65 (1) (2010) 1–15.
- [4] I. Choi, Toroidal graphs containing neither  $K_5^-$  nor 6-cycles are 4-choosable, *J. Graph Theory* 85 (1) (2017) 172–186.

- [5] Z. Dvořák and L. Postle, Correspondence coloring and its application to list-coloring planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 to 8, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 129 (2018) 38–54.
- [6] J. Grytczuk and X. Zhu, The Alon-Tarsi number of a planar graph minus a matching, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 145 (2020) 511–520.
- [7] M. Han, T. Wang, J. Wu, H. Zhou and X. Zhu, Weak degeneracy of planar graphs and locally planar graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 30 (4) (2023) Paper No. 4.18.
- [8] F. Lu, Q. Wang and T. Wang, Cover and variable degeneracy, *Discrete Math.* 345 (4) (2022) 112765.
- [9] H. Lu and X. Zhu, The Alon-Tarsi number of planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 and  $l$ , *Discrete Math.* 343 (5) (2020) 111797.
- [10] U. Schauz, Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (1) (2009) P#77.
- [11] U. Schauz, Flexible color lists in Alon and Tarsi’s theorem, and time scheduling with unreliable participants, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (1) (2010) P#13.
- [12] C. Thomassen, Every planar graph is 5-choosable, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 62 (1) (1994) 180–181.
- [13] M. Voigt, List colourings of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 120 (1-3) (1993) 215–219.
- [14] Q. Wang, T. Wang and X. Yang, Variable degeneracy of graphs with restricted structures, arXiv:2112.09334, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2112.09334>.
- [15] T. Wang, Weak degeneracy of planar graphs without 4- and 6-cycles, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 334 (2023) 110–118.
- [16] A. Zhu, D. Chen, M. Chen and W. Wang, Vertex-arboricity of toroidal graphs without  $K_5^-$  and 6-cycles, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 310 (2022) 97–108.
- [17] X. Zhu, The Alon-Tarsi number of planar graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 134 (2019) 354–358.