

ELLIPSOIDAL DESIGNS AND THE PROUHET–TARRY–ESCOTT PROBLEM

HIDEKI MATSUMURA* AND MASANORI SAWA**

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Ellipsoidal harmonics | 3 |
| 3. Sobolev’s theorem for ellipsoidal designs | 6 |
| 4. Stroud-type bound and rational tight designs | 8 |
| 5. Relation to the PTE problem | 13 |
| 6. Concluding remarks | 21 |
| References | 24 |

ABSTRACT. The notion of ellipsoidal design was first introduced by Pandey (2022) as a full generalization of spherical designs on the unit circle S^1 . In this paper, we elucidate the advantage of examining the connections between ellipsoidal design and the two-dimensional Prouhet–Tarry–Escott (PTE) problem introduced by Alpers and Tijdeman (2007). We first provide a combinatorial criterion for the construction of solutions of the two-dimensional PTE problem from a pair of ellipsoidal designs. We give an arithmetic proof of the Stroud-type bound for ellipsoidal designs, and then establish a classification theorem for designs with equality. Such a classification result is closely related to an open question on the existence of rational spherical 4-designs on S^1 , discussed in Cui, Xia and Xiang (2019). We moreover discover a certain design structure in the Borwein solution of the classical one-dimensional PTE problem. We also give a two-dimensional extension of the Borwein solution, and then discuss connections to the Alpers–Tijdeman solution for the two-dimensional PTE problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the most significant results in the interaction between geometric designs and integral lattices, is the Venkov theorem (see [34]) that derives the existence of spherical designs from shells (or layers) of integral lattices under reasonable assumptions. Since the pioneering work by Venkov, there have been numerous publications on the construction of spherical designs via shells of integral lattices; see for example [20, 29]. Such lattice-based constructions are also of great importance for coding theorists as a spherical analogue of the Assmus–Mattson theorem that provides combinatorial designs from shells of linear codes. For a good introduction to the relationships among designs, codes and lattices, we refer the reader to Conway and Sloane [11], Bannai and Bannai [3] and references therein.

de la Harpe, Pache and Venkov [20] established that any shell of the E_8 -root lattice never yields a spherical 8-design if and only if the Ramanujan tau function $\tau(m)$ never vanishes at all

Date: February 25, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. primary 65D32, 05E99; secondary 14G05, 33C45.

Key words and phrases. Ellipsoidal designs, Prouhet–Tarry–Escott problem, Stroud-type bound, rational points.

This research is supported by KAKENHI 24KJ0183 and KAKENHI 50508182.

positive integers m , which directly relates spherical designs to the famous Lehmer conjecture. Afterwards, many researchers worked on discussing variations of the result by de la Harpe et al., as exemplified by [5], [6] and [22]. A particularly influential work is Bannai and Miezaki [6], which states that any shell of the \mathbb{Z}^2 -lattice is never a spherical 4-design; for a generalization of this result, we refer the reader to Miezaki [26].

Inspired by the aforementioned works, Pandey [30] introduced the notion of ellipsoidal design. A finite set X on the ellipse

$$C_D(r) := \begin{cases} \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + Dy^2 = r\} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + xy + \frac{1+D}{4}y^2 = r\} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

is called an *ellipsoidal n -design* if

$$\frac{1}{\#X} \sum_{(x,y) \in X} f(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} f(x, y) d\tau(x, y) & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\pi} \int_{C_D(r)} f(x, y) d\tau(x, y) & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

for all polynomials f of degree at most n , where $d\tau$ is the invariant measure on $C_D(r)$. In particular when $D = 1$, the point set X is called a *spherical n -design* on S^1 . Pandey established a full generalization of the results by Bannai and Miezaki, and by Miezaki.

Pandey's work is not only crucial in the connections among designs, codes and lattices, but also closely related to a classical Diophantine problem called Prouhet-Tarry-Escott (PTE) problem. Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *PTE problem of degree m and size n* , asks whether there exists a disjoint pair of multisets $\{a_1, \dots, a_m\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ for the system of equations

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^k = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i^k \quad (1 \leq k \leq n).$$

For an early history concerning the PTE problem, we refer the reader to Dickson's book [16, § 24]. Borwein [8, Chapter 11] presents a brief survey on this subject, covering various ideal solutions, i.e. solutions with $n = m + 1$. Existence of ideal solutions, as well as constructions are among the central core topics of the classical PTE problem. Among many others, Borwein [8, p. 88] found the degree-five ideal solution

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} & [\pm(2m + 2n), \pm(-nm - n - m + 3), \pm(nm - n - m - 3)] \\ =_5 & [\pm(2n - 2m), \pm(nm - n + m + 3), \pm(-nm - n + m - 3)], \end{aligned}$$

which, to our surprise, includes an infinite family of ellipsoidal 5-designs (see eq. (11)), as will be clear in the present paper (see Theorem 5.11).

Furthermore, ellipsoidal designs are closely related to the two-dimensional PTE problem introduced by Alpers–Tijdeman [1]. *The two-dimensional PTE problem of degree m and size n* , asks whether there exists a disjoint pair of multisets $\{(a_{11}, a_{12}), \dots, (a_{m1}, a_{m2})\}, \{(b_{11}, b_{12}), \dots, (b_{m1}, b_{m2})\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ for the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1}^{k_1} a_{i2}^{k_2} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i1}^{k_1} b_{i2}^{k_2} \quad (1 \leq k_1 + k_2 \leq n).$$

Alpers–Tijdeman [1, §2.4] found the degree-five ideal solution

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & [(0, 0), (2a + b, b), (3a + b, 3a + 3b), (2a, 6a + 4b), (-b, 6a + 3b), (-a - b, 3a + b)] \\ =_5 & [(2a, 0), (3a + b, 3a + b), (2a + b, 6a + 3b), (0, 6a + 4b), (-a - b, 3a + 3b), (-b, b)], \end{aligned}$$

which again includes an infinite family of ellipsoidal 5-designs (see Theorem 5.15).

Although both theoretical and practical applications, as well as connections to other mathematical subjects have been extensively studied for the classical one-dimensional PTE problem (see e.g. [13], [19] and [21]), only a few such studies are available for the two-dimensional PTE problem. Thus in this paper, we elucidate the advantage of examining the connections between the two-dimensional PTE problem and ellipsoidal designs (see Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 5.1). It is noteworthy (see Theorem 5.5) that there exist infinitely many *rational* ellipsoidal 5-designs for $D = 3$, i.e. designs for which the components of each point are all rational numbers, whereas the existence of rational 4-designs over S^1 is still an open problem; see Remark 5.4 and [14, §1.3] for details.

This paper is organized as follows: §2 is a brief introduction to basic facts on two-dimensional ellipsoidal harmonics that are used throughout this paper. §3 through §5 are the main body of this paper. In §3 we prove Sobolev's theorem for ellipsoidal designs (Theorem 3.2), which provides an equivalent form of the definition of ellipsoidal designs invariant under a finite subgroup of the orthogonal group $O(Q)$ where Q is a positive definite matrix in $M_2(\mathbb{Q})$; see eqs. (6) and (7) for the notation $O(Q)$. In §4, we give an arithmetic proof of the Stroud-type bound for ellipsoidal designs (Theorem 4.1) by combining the invariance of the measure $d\tau$ and a certain fundamental inequality for the two-dimensional PTE problem. We also establish a classification theorem for tight designs (Theorem 4.5), and then prove that there exists a rational tight ellipsoidal design only if $D = 1$ or 3 (Theorem 4.10). In §5 we construct an infinite family of rational tight designs for $D = 3$ (Theorem 5.5). Moreover, we discover a design structure, which comes from ellipsoidal designs, in Borwein's parametric solution (2) of the PTE problem (Theorem 5.11). We also establish a two-dimensional extension of Borwein's solution (Proposition 5.13), and then discuss connections to the Alpers–Tijdeman solution (3) (Theorem 5.15). §6 is the conclusion, where further remarks and open questions will be made.

2. ELLIPSOIDAL HARMONICS

This section is basically along the lines of [30]. Most of the results on two-dimensional ellipsoidal harmonics, given in this section, seem to be known somewhere else, but the authors could not trace it back. This section thus makes a brief introduction to this topics.

For square-free $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$, we define the *norm r ellipses* by

$$C_D(r) := \begin{cases} \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + Dy^2 = r\} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + xy + \frac{1+D}{4}y^2 = r\} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1 (Cf. [30, p. 1246 Remark]). Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-D})$ and \mathcal{O}_K be the ring of integers of K . Then the conditions for $C_D(r)$ are the norms of elements in \mathcal{O}_K . Indeed, if $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, then the norm of $x + y\sqrt{-D} \in \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-D}]$ is

$$N(x + y\sqrt{-D}) = (x + y\sqrt{-D})(x - y\sqrt{-D}) = x^2 + Dy^2.$$

If $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then the norm of $x + y(1 + \sqrt{-D})/2 \in \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[(1 + \sqrt{-D})/2]$ is

$$N\left(x + y\frac{1 + \sqrt{-D}}{2}\right) = \left(x + y\frac{1 + \sqrt{-D}}{2}\right)\left(x + y\frac{1 - \sqrt{-D}}{2}\right) = x^2 + xy + \frac{1 + D}{4}y^2.$$

Let s be the arc-length parameter on $C_D(r)$. We define the measure $d\tau$ on $C_D(r)$ by

$$(4) \quad d\tau(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{x^2/D^2 + y^2}} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{ds}{\sqrt{20x^2 + (D^2 + 2D + 5)y^2 + (20 + 4D)xy}} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.2 (Cf. Proof of [30, eqs. (1.4), (1.5), Theorem 1.1]). The measure $d\tau$ can be expressed by polar coordinates as follows:

We parametrize $C_D(r)$ by

$$(x(\theta), y(\theta)) = \begin{cases} (\sqrt{r} \cos \theta, \sqrt{r} \sin \theta / \sqrt{D}) & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ (\sqrt{r}(\cos \theta - \sin \theta / \sqrt{D}), 2\sqrt{r} \sin \theta / \sqrt{D}) & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Since

$$x'(\theta)^2 + y'(\theta)^2 = \begin{cases} r((-\sin \theta)^2 + (\cos \theta / \sqrt{D})^2) = r(\sin^2 \theta + \cos^2 \theta / D) & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ r(\sin^2 \theta + 5 \cos^2 \theta / D + 2 \sin \theta \cos \theta / \sqrt{D}) & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}), \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(x(\theta), y(\theta)) d\theta & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\pi} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} P(x(\theta), y(\theta)) d\theta & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Pandey [30] generalized spherical designs to ellipsoidal designs.

Definition 2.3. Let $X \subset C_D(r)$ be a finite non-empty subset and $d\tau$ be the measure in eq. (4). X is an *ellipsoidal n -design* if

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{\#X} \sum_{(x,y) \in X} P(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \frac{\sqrt{D}}{\pi} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

for all polynomials $P(x, y)$ of degree $\leq t$. In particular when $D = 1$, the point set X is called a *spherical n -design* on S^1 .

Definition 2.4. We define a vector space of bivariate homogeneous polynomials by

$$H_{D,j}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y] := \begin{cases} \langle \operatorname{Re}(x + y\sqrt{-D})^j, \operatorname{Im}(x + y\sqrt{-D})^j \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \left\langle \operatorname{Re} \left(x + y \frac{1+\sqrt{-D}}{2} \right)^j, \operatorname{Im} \left(x + y \frac{1+\sqrt{-D}}{2} \right)^j \right\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

For a positive definite matrix $Q = {}^t Q \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$, we define the *Laplacian* Δ_Q of Q by

$$\Delta_Q := \sum_{i,j=1}^2 q^{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

Here, $Q^{-1} = (q^{i,j})$. In what follows, let

$$(6) \quad Q := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1+D}{4} \end{pmatrix} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

The following result, not mentioned in Pandey [30], develops a relation between $H_{D,j}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ and Δ_Q .

Proposition 2.5. $H_{D,j}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ coincides with the space of Q -harmonic polynomials of degree j defined by

$$\{f(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y] \mid \deg f = j \text{ and } \Delta_Q f = 0\}.$$

Proof. We reduce to the case $D = 1$, which is classically well-known (see [17] for example).

Let

$$P := \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{D} \end{pmatrix} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 0 & \sqrt{D}/2 \end{pmatrix} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}), \end{cases} \quad \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} = P \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta' := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x'^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y'^2}.$$

Then it follows by the chain rule that $\Delta' = \Delta_Q$. This completes the proof. \square

As in the case of spherical designs, the integrations in eq. (5) are invariant under the action of the orthogonal group. We define the *orthogonal group* $O(Q)$ by

$$(7) \quad O(Q) := \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \mid {}^t A Q A = Q\}.$$

Proposition 2.6. (1) Suppose that $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Then $O(Q)$ is generated by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\phi := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sqrt{D} \sin \phi \\ \frac{\sin \phi}{\sqrt{D}} & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

and $d\tau$ is $O(Q)$ -invariant.

(2) Suppose that $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $O(Q)$ is generated by

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_\phi := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi - \frac{\sin \phi}{\sqrt{D}} & -\frac{(D+1)}{2\sqrt{D}} \sin \phi \\ \frac{2\sin \phi}{\sqrt{D}} & \cos \phi + \frac{\sin \phi}{\sqrt{D}} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $d\tau$ is $O(Q)$ -invariant.

Proof. Let P be as in the proof of Proposition 2.5. Suppose that $A \in O(Q)$. Since ${}^t A Q A = Q$ and $Q = {}^t P P$, we have $P A P^{-1} \in O(2)$. Note that $O(Q)$ is generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}.$$

The invariance of $d\tau$ can be checked by direct calculations as in Remark 2.2. \square

The following provides an equivalent form of the definition of ellipsoidal n -designs:

Theorem 2.7 ([30, Theorem 1.1]). *Let X be a finite non-empty subset of $C_D(r)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) X is an ellipsoidal n -design.
- (2) It follows that

$$(8) \quad \sum_{(x,y) \in X} P(x, y) = 0$$

for all $P(x, y) \in H_{D,j}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ with $0 < j \leq t$.

As in the classical spherical harmonics ([4, Theorem 1.1.3 (2)]), the space of homogeneous polynomials can be represented by harmonic polynomials.

Theorem 2.8 ([30, Lemma 2.1, Proof of Theorem 1.1]). *Let $D \geq 1$ be a square-free integer and $P_j^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ be the set of homogeneous polynomials of degree j .*

(1) If $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$, then

$$P_j^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (x^2 + Dy^2)^k H_{D, j-2k}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y].$$

(2) If $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then

$$P_j^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} \left(x^2 + xy + \frac{1+D}{4}y^2 \right)^k H_{D, j-2k}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y].$$

In particular, the space of polynomials when restricted to $C_D(r)$ of degree $\leq j$ is a direct sum of $H_{D, k}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ with $0 < k \leq j$.

Remark 2.9. In [30, Lemma 2.1 (1)], $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ should be $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. In the proof for $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $(x', y') = (x + y/2, 2y/\sqrt{D})$ should be $(x', y') = (x + y/2, \sqrt{D}y/2)$.

The following concept was introduced by Pandey [30] as a full generalization of spherical T -designs (see Miezaki [26]).

Definition 2.10. Let $X \subset C_D(r)$ be a finite non-empty subset and $T \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a (possibly infinite) subset. A finite non-empty subset $X \subset C_D(r)$ is an *ellipsoidal T -design* if eq. (8) holds for all $P(x, y) \in H_{D, j}^{\mathbb{R}}[x, y]$ with $j \in T$.

Definition 2.11. We define the *norm r shells* in $C_D(r)$ by

$$(9) \quad \Lambda_D^r := C_D(r) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Theorem 2.12 ([30, Theorem 1.2]). *If $D \in \{1, 2, 3, 7, 11, 19, 43, 67, 163\}$, then every non-empty shells Λ_D^r are ellipsoidal T_D -designs, where*

$$T_D := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 4\mathbb{Z}^+ & (D = 1), \\ \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 6\mathbb{Z}^+ & (D = 3), \\ \mathbb{Z}^+ \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}^+ & (\text{otherwise}). \end{cases}$$

Example 2.13. The minimal shell of the Eisenstein lattice

$$\Lambda_3^1 = \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$$

is an ellipsoidal 5-design.

3. SOBOLEV'S THEOREM FOR ELLIPSOIDAL DESIGNS

Throughout this section, let Q be a positive definite matrix defined by eq. (6). Let $G \subset O(Q)$ be a finite subgroup and

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^2) := \{P(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}[x, y] \mid \deg P \leq n\}.$$

We define the action of $M \in G$ on P by

$$P^M(x, y) := P((x, y)^t(M^{-1})).$$

We say that a polynomial P is *G -invariant* if $P^M = P$ for all $M \in G$. We denote the set of G -invariant polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^2)^G$.

The following theorem is a classical result due to Sobolev:

Theorem 3.1 ([32]). *Let $G \subset O(2)$ be a finite subgroup and $X \subset S^1$ be a G -invariant finite subset. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is a spherical n -design on S^1 .
- (2) Eq. (5) for $D = 1$ holds for all polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^G(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

The following is the main result in this section, which is a generalization of Sobolev's theorem for S^1 .

Theorem 3.2. *Let $G \subset O(Q)$ be a finite subgroup and $X \subset C_D(r)$ be a G -invariant finite subset. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) X is an ellipsoidal n -design.
- (2) Eq. (5) holds for all polynomials $P \in \mathcal{P}_n^G(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Since (1) \Rightarrow (2) is clear, it is sufficient to prove (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Suppose that (2) holds. Then for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbb{R}^2)$, note that $(1/\#G) \sum_{M \in G} P^M(x, y)$ is G -invariant. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) &= \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{M \in G} \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} \left(\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{M \in G} P^M(x, y) \right) d\tau(x, y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\#X} \sum_{(x, y) \in X} \left(\frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{M \in G} P^M(x, y) \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\#X} \frac{1}{\#G} \sum_{(x, y) \in X} \sum_{M \in G} P(x, y) \\
&= \frac{1}{\#X} \sum_{(x, y) \in X} P(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

The second equality and the fourth equality follows by the G -invariance of the measure $d\tau$ and X respectively. This completes the proof. The case $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is similar. \square

By using Theorem 3.2, we can prove the following theorem:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}$ be the cyclic group generated by $A_{2\pi/(2m+1)}$ and $X = (x, y)^{C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}}$ be the orbit of a point $(x, y) \in C_D(r)$ by $C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}$. Then X is an ellipsoidal $2m$ -design.*

To prove this, we determine the basis of $\mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2)^G$.

Lemma 3.4. *In the above setting, let $G := C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2)^G = \begin{cases} \langle (x^2 + Dy^2)^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ \langle (x^2 + xy + \frac{1+D}{4}y^2)^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Let

$$B_{2k\pi/(2m+1)} := \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1} & -\sin \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1} \\ \sin \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1} & \cos \frac{2k\pi}{2m+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad P := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{D} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{pmatrix} := P \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $H := C_{2m+1}^{(E_2)}$, where E_2 is the identity matrix of order 2. Since $A_{2k\pi/(2m+1)} = P^{-1}B_{2k\pi/(2m+1)}P$ by the proof of Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2)^G &= \{f \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f^{P^{-1}B_{2k\pi/(2m+1)}P}(x, y) = f(x, y) \text{ for all } k\} \\ &= \{f \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2) \mid f^{B_{2k\pi/(2m+1)}}(x', y') = f(x', y') \text{ for all } k\} \\ &= \mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2)^H \\ &= \langle (x'^2 + y'^2)^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} \\ &= \langle (x^2 + Dy^2)^j \mid 0 \leq j \leq m \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. The case $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ is similar. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Let $G := C_{2m+1}^{(\mathbb{Q})}$. Since X is G -invariant, it is sufficient to prove

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{D}} \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y) = \frac{1}{2m+1} \sum_{(x, y) \in X} P(x, y)$$

for all $P \in \mathcal{P}_{2m}(\mathbb{R}^2)^G$ by Theorem 3.2. By Lemma 3.4, for all j , the both sides of eq. (10) for $P(x, y) = (x^2 + Dy^2)^j$ are r^j . This completes the proof. \square

4. STROUD-TYPE BOUND AND RATIONAL TIGHT DESIGNS

In this section, we present an arithmetic proof of the Stroud-type bound for ellipsoidal designs (see Pandey [30, p. 1247]), which is an extension of the Stroud-type bound for classical spherical designs ([15, p. 364]), and then show a classification theorem for tight designs.

Theorem 4.1 ([30, p. 1247 Remark (2)]). *If there exists an ellipsoidal n -design with m points, then $m \geq n + 1$.*

By using the invariance of the measure $d\tau$ on $C_D(r)$ and the following inequality for the PTE problem, we give an arithmetic proof.

Theorem 4.2. (1) (Cf. [8, Chapter 11, Theorem 1], [18, Theorem 4.1.7]) *If*

$$[a_1, \dots, a_m] =_n [b_1, \dots, b_m]$$

is a solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem, then $m \geq n + 1$.

(2) ([18, Theorem 4.1.9]) *If*

$$[(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1r}), \dots, (a_{m1}, \dots, a_{mr})] =_n [(b_{11}, \dots, b_{1r}), \dots, (b_{m1}, \dots, b_{mr})]$$

is a solution of the r -dimensional PTE problem (see §5 for the definition), then $m \geq n + 1$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\#X = m$ and

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x, y) \in X} P(x, y) = \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y)$$

be an ellipsoidal n -design. Then by the invariance of the measure, we have

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x', y') \in M^{-1}X} P(x', y') = \int_{C_D(r)} P(x, y) d\tau(x, y)$$

for all $M \in O(Q)$. Since $O(Q)$ is an infinite group acting transitively on $C_D(r)$, we can take $M \in O(Q)$ such that X and $M^{-1}X$ are disjoint if necessary. Therefore,

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in X} P(x,y) = \sum_{(x',y') \in M^{-1}X} P(x',y')$$

is a solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem. Therefore, $m \geq n + 1$ by Theorem 4.2 (2). \square

Remark 4.3. In general, let G be an infinite group acting transitively on a set Ω . Then for all designs with respect to a G -invariant measure on Ω , we can obtain the same bound as in Theorem 4.1 from the inequality for the r -dimensional PTE problem (Theorem 4.2 (2)).

Definition 4.4. An ellipsoidal n -design with $n + 1$ points is called a *tight n -design*.

Next, we prove a classification theorem for tight ellipsoidal n -designs; the proof is similar to that of the main theorem of [23].

Theorem 4.5. *Let $X \subset C_D(r)$ be a tight n -design. Then there exists some $(x,y) \in C_D(r)$ such that $X = (x,y)^{C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}}$.*

Let

$$(x',y') := \begin{cases} (x, \sqrt{D}y) & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ (x + y/2, \sqrt{D}y/2) & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}). \end{cases}$$

Then $C_D(r)$ and $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = \sqrt{r}\}$ are bijective by $(x,y) \mapsto x' + y'\sqrt{-1}$. With this identification, we obtain the following:

Lemma 4.6 (Cf. [23, Lemma 1]). *Let $X = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\} \subset C_D(r)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- (1) X is an ellipsoidal n -design.
- (2) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i^k = 0.$$

- (3) for all $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq m} \xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \dots \xi_{i_k} = 0.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, (1) and (2) are equivalent. By Newton's identity, (2) and (3) are equivalent. \square

For $1 \leq k \leq m$, we define $\xi_k^* := \xi_k e^{-\sqrt{-1}\theta}$, where

$$\sqrt{r}^m e^{\sqrt{-1}m\theta} = \xi_1 \dots \xi_m \quad (0 \leq m\theta < 2\pi).$$

Remark 4.7. The multiplication by $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ in \mathbb{C} corresponds to the action of matrix A_θ in Proposition 2.6.

- (1) Suppose that $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Let $(x_k, y_k) \in C_D(r)$ and $\xi_k^* := x_k + y_k \sqrt{-D}$. Then

$$A_\theta \begin{pmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_k \cos \theta - y_k \sqrt{D} \sin \theta \\ x_k \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{D}} + y_k \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds to $\xi_k^* e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} = \xi_k$.

- (2) Suppose that $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let $(x_k, y_k) \in C_D(r)$ and $\xi_k^* := x_k + y_k(1 + \sqrt{-D})/2$. Then

$$A_\phi \begin{pmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_k \left(\cos \theta - \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{D}} \right) - y_k \frac{(D+1)}{2\sqrt{D}} \sin \theta \\ x_k \frac{2\sin \theta}{\sqrt{D}} + y_k \left(\cos \theta + \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{D}} \right) \end{pmatrix}$$

corresponds to $\xi_k^* e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} = \xi_k$.

In the following setting, we define

$$f(z) := \prod_{k=1}^m (z - \xi_k^*)$$

and write

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k a_{m-k} z^{m-k}.$$

Then by Lemma 4.6, X is an ellipsoidal n -design if and only if $a_i = 0$ for all $m-n \leq i \leq m-1$.

Lemma 4.8 (Cf. [23, Lemma 2]). *The following hold:*

- (1) We have $a_0 = \sqrt{r^m}$.
- (2) For all $0 \leq k \leq m$, we have $a_{m-k} = r^{-m/2+k} \overline{a_k}$.
- (3) The following conditions are equivalent:
 - (a) X is an ellipsoidal n -design.
 - (b) $a_{m-1} = \cdots = a_{m-n} = 0$.
 - (c) $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$.

Proof. (1) By definition, $a_0 = \xi_1^* \cdots \xi_m^* = \xi_1 \cdots \xi_m e^{-\sqrt{-1}m\theta} = \sqrt{r^m}$.

(2) By (1) and $|\xi_i^*|^2 = |\xi_i|^2 = r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m-k} &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} \xi_{i_1}^* \xi_{i_2}^* \cdots \xi_{i_k}^* \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq m} \frac{\sqrt{r^m} \xi_{i_1}^* \xi_{i_2}^* \cdots \xi_{i_k}^*}{\xi_1^* \xi_2^* \cdots \xi_m^*} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-k} \leq m} \frac{\sqrt{r^m}}{\xi_{j_1}^* \xi_{j_2}^* \cdots \xi_{j_{m-k}}^*} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-k} \leq m} \frac{\sqrt{r^m}}{r^{m-k} \xi_{j_1}^* \xi_{j_2}^* \cdots \xi_{j_{m-k}}^*} \\ &= r^{-\frac{m}{2}+k} \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{m-k} \leq m} \overline{\xi_{j_1}^* \xi_{j_2}^* \cdots \xi_{j_{m-k}}^*} \\ &= r^{-\frac{m}{2}+k} \overline{a_k}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) This follows from (2) and Lemma 4.6. □

Now we prove a stronger version of Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. Let $X = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m\} \subset C_D(r)$ be an n -design with $m \leq 2n+1$. Then we prove that $X \subset (x, y)^{C_{2m+1}^{(Q)}}$ for some $(x, y) \in C_D(r)$.

Indeed, since $a_0 = \sqrt{r^m}$ and $a_1 = \dots = a_{m-1} = 0$ by Lemma 4.8,

$$f(z) = (z - \xi_1^*) \cdots (z - \xi_m^*) = z^m + (-1)^m \sqrt{r^m}.$$

Suppose that m is odd. Since $f(\xi_k^*) = 0$, we have $\xi_k^{*m} = \sqrt{r^m}$. Therefore, $\xi_k^* = \sqrt{r}e^{2l\pi\sqrt{-1}/m}$ for some l . Thus, $\xi_k = \sqrt{r}e^{(2l\pi/m+\theta)\sqrt{-1}}$. Hence ξ_k is a vertex of the regular m -gon inscribed in the circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = r\}$. By pulling it back to $C_D(r)$, we obtain the assertion.

Suppose that m is even. Then $\xi_k^{*m} + \sqrt{r^m} = 0$. Therefore, $\xi_k^* = \sqrt{r}e^{(2l+1)\pi\sqrt{-1}/m}$ for some l . Hence $\xi_k = \xi_k^*e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}$ is a vertex of the regular m -gon inscribed in the circle $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = r\}$. By pulling it back to $C_D(r)$, we obtain the assertion. \square

Example 4.9. Note that the parametrization in Remark 2.2 can be obtained from

$$P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where P is the matrix in the proof of Proposition 2.6.

(1) By Theorem 4.5, the tight 5-design

$$\Lambda_3^1 = \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$$

corresponds to the regular hexagon

$$\left\{ (\pm 1, 0), \left(\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right), \left(-\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \right\}$$

via

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(2) By Theorem 4.5, the tight 5-design

$$\left\{ \pm \left(\frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1}, \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+t+1} \right), \pm \left(\frac{t^2-1}{t^2+t+1}, -\frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1} \right), \pm \left(-\frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1}, \frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1} \right) \right\}$$

in Theorem 5.5 corresponds to the regular hexagon

$$\left\{ \pm \left(\frac{t^2+4t+1}{2(t^2+t+1)}, \frac{\sqrt{3}(t^2-1)}{2(t^2+t+1)} \right), \pm \left(\frac{t^2-2t-2}{2(t^2+t+1)}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}t(t+2)}{2(t^2+t+1)} \right), \pm \left(\frac{-2t^2-2t+1}{2(t^2+t+1)}, \frac{\sqrt{3}(2t+1)}{2(t^2+t+1)} \right) \right\}$$

via

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let ϕ be as in the proof of Theorem 5.5. Then note that the latter regular hexagon is a rotation of the regular hexagon in (1) by ϕ since

$$P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} P = A_\phi$$

by the proof of Proposition 2.6.

Pandey [30, p. 1247 Remark] remarks that there is a one-to-one correspondence between spherical n -designs and ellipsoidal n -designs. However, the rationality of design is not preserved under the linear transformation P since $P \notin M_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Indeed, all rational tight 5-designs over $C_3(r)$ are pull-backs of irrational tight 5-designs over S^1 . Therefore, to find rational designs, it is more natural to consider ellipsoidal designs rather than spherical designs. See Section 5 for details.

In the rest of this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.10. *If there exists a rational tight design over $C_D(r)$, then $D = 1$ or $D = 3$.*

By Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 4.5, if X is a tight design, then $A_{2\pi/(n+1)} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$.

Lemma 4.11. *If X is a tight design, then $A_{2\pi/(n+1)} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \subset C_D(r)$ is a rational tight ellipsoidal n -design. Then, by Theorem 4.5, there exists $(x, y) \in X \cap \mathbb{Q}^2$ such that $(x, y)^{A_{2\pi/(n+1)}} \in \mathbb{Q}^2$.

(1) Suppose that $D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$. Since

$$A_{2\pi/(n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/(n+1) & -\sqrt{D} \sin 2\pi/(n+1) \\ \frac{\sin 2\pi/(n+1)}{\sqrt{D}} & \cos 2\pi/(n+1) \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 2.6,

$$A_{2\pi/(n+1)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & \frac{x}{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/(n+1) \\ \sqrt{D} \sin 2\pi/(n+1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof since $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & -y \\ y & \frac{x}{D} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{x^2 + Dy^2}{D} = \frac{r}{D} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

(2) Suppose that $D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Since

$$A_{2\pi/(n+1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/(n+1) - \frac{\sin 2\pi/(n+1)}{\sqrt{D}} & -\frac{(D+1)}{2\sqrt{D}} \sin 2\pi/(n+1) \\ \frac{2 \sin 2\pi/(n+1)}{\sqrt{D}} & \cos 2\pi/(n+1) + \frac{\sin 2\pi/(n+1)}{\sqrt{D}} \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 2.6,

$$A_{2\pi/(n+1)} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & -\frac{2x+(D+1)y}{D} \\ y & \frac{2x+y}{D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\pi/(n+1) \\ \sqrt{D} \sin 2\pi/(n+1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

This completes the proof since $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2$ and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} x & -\frac{2x+(D+1)y}{D} \\ y & \frac{2x+y}{D} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2(x^2 + xy + \frac{1+D}{4}y^2)}{D} = \frac{2r}{D} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times.$$

□

However, if θ is a rational multiple of 2π , then the values of $\cos \theta$ and $\sin \theta$ are restricted.

Theorem 4.12 ([28, Corollary 3.12]). *If $\theta \in 2\pi\mathbb{Q}$ and $\sin \theta \in \mathbb{Q}$ (resp. $\cos \theta \in \mathbb{Q}$), then $\sin \theta \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 1/2\}$ (resp. $\cos \theta \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 1/2\}$).*

Proof of Theorem 4.10. Suppose that $X \subset C_D(r)$ is a rational tight ellipsoidal n -design. Then, by Lemma 4.11, there exists $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\sin 2\pi/(n+1) = t\sqrt{D}, \quad \cos 2\pi/(n+1) = \pm\sqrt{1-t^2D} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

By Theorem 4.12, $\sqrt{1-t^2D} = 0$ or 1 or $1/2$.

- (1) If $\sqrt{1-t^2D} = 0$, then $t = \pm 1/\sqrt{D} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since D is a square-free integer, we must have $D = 1$.
- (2) If $\sqrt{1-t^2D} = 1$, then $t = 0$ by $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Therefore, $\sin 2\pi/(n+1) = 0$ and $\cos 2\pi/(n+1) = 1$, which contradicts $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (3) If $\sqrt{1-t^2D} = 1/2$, then $t = \pm\sqrt{3}/(2\sqrt{D})$. Since D is a square-free integer, we must have $D = 3$. □

5. RELATION TO THE PTE PROBLEM

Mishima et al. [27] developed a simple criterion for the construction of solutions of the classical one-dimensional PTE problem via designs on the real line. In this section, we give a criterion to construct a solution of the PTE problem from a pair of ellipsoidal designs (Proposition 5.1). We discover a certain design structure in Borwein's parametric solution of the PTE problem, and moreover discuss a two-dimensional extension, in connection with the parametric solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem found by Alpers and Tijdeman [1] (Theorems 5.11 and 5.15). These results create novel two-way connections between ellipsoidal design theory and the two-dimensional PTE problem.

Proposition 5.1. *If there exists a pair of ellipsoidal n -designs with m points, then so does a solution of degree n and size m for the two-dimensional PTE problem.*

Proof. Let

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x,y) \in X} P(x,y) = \int_{C_D(r)} P(x,y) d\tau(x,y), \quad \frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x',y') \in Y} P(x',y') = \int_{C_D(r)} P(x,y) d\tau(x,y)$$

be a pair of ellipsoidal n -designs. Since $O(Q)$ is an infinite group acting transitively on $C_D(r)$, we can take $M \in O(Q)$ such that X and $M^{-1}Y$ are disjoint if necessary. Since $d\tau$ is $O(Q)$ -invariant,

$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{(x'',y'') \in M^{-1}Y} P(x'',y'') = \int_{C_D(r)} P(x,y) d\tau(x,y)$$

is also an ellipsoidal n -design. Therefore,

$$\sum_{(x,y) \in X} P(x,y) = \sum_{(x'',y'') \in M^{-1}Y} P(x'',y''),$$

which yields a solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem. Since $O(Q)$ is an infinite group acting transitively on $C_D(r)$, we can also obtain a parametric solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem by taking various M . □

Remark 5.2. (1) By Theorem 2.12, the minimal shell Λ_3^1 of the Eisenstein lattice is a 5-design. Thus, by combining with Proposition 2.6 (2), we obtain a parametric solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem. It was Mishima et al. [27] who first observed a one-dimensional version of Proposition 5.1.

- (2) In general, let G be an infinite group acting transitively on a set Ω . Then for any pair of designs with respect to a G -invariant measure on Ω , we can construct a solution of the r -dimensional PTE problem.

Definition 5.3. An ellipsoidal design is said to be *rational* if the coordinates of points are all rational numbers. Similarly, we define a *rational solution* to the PTE problem.

Remark 5.4. For $D = 1$, it is not known whether there exists a rational 4-design (see Cui et al. [14, §1.3]). They also mention that finding spherical designs X such that the inner products between points in X are all rational is tantamount to finding rational designs on some ellipsoid.

As opposed to the suggestion by Cui et al., infinitely many rational designs for $D \neq 1$ are included in the following parametric solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem (see also Example 4.9):

Theorem 5.5. *There exists an infinite family of rational ellipsoidal 5-design of the form*

$$(11) \quad \left\{ \pm \left(\frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1}, \frac{(t^2-1)}{t^2+t+1} \right), \pm \left(\frac{(t^2-1)}{t^2+t+1}, -\frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1} \right), \pm \left(-\frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1}, \frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1} \right) \right\}.$$

In particular, there exists a parametric rational ideal solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem of the form

$$(12) \quad \left[\pm \left(\frac{2t_1+1}{t_1^2+t_1+1}, \frac{(t_1^2-1)}{t_1^2+t_1+1} \right), \pm \left(\frac{(t_1^2-1)}{t_1^2+t_1+1}, -\frac{t_1(t_1+2)}{t_1^2+t_1+1} \right), \pm \left(-\frac{t_1(t_1+2)}{t_1^2+t_1+1}, \frac{2t_1+1}{t_1^2+t_1+1} \right) \right] \\ =_5 \left[\pm \left(\frac{2t_2+1}{t_2^2+t_2+1}, \frac{(t_2^2-1)}{t_2^2+t_2+1} \right), \pm \left(\frac{(t_2^2-1)}{t_2^2+t_2+1}, -\frac{t_2(t_2+2)}{t_2^2+t_2+1} \right), \pm \left(-\frac{t_2(t_2+2)}{t_2^2+t_2+1}, \frac{2t_2+1}{t_2^2+t_2+1} \right) \right],$$

where $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Proof. For any rational number t , the intersection of the line $y = t(x - 1)$ and the ellipse $C_3(1)$ are $(1, 0)$ and $((t^2 - 1)/(t^2 + t + 1), -t(t + 2)/(t^2 + t + 1))$. The transformation that sends $(0, -1)$ to $((t^2 - 1)/(t^2 + t + 1), -t(t + 2)/(t^2 + t + 1))$ is A_ϕ with

$$(\cos \phi, \sin \phi) = \left(\frac{t^2 + 4t + 1}{2(t^2 + t + 1)}, \frac{\sqrt{3}(t^2 - 1)}{2(t^2 + t + 1)} \right).$$

Note that $\Lambda_3^1 = \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1), (1, -1), (-1, 1)\}$ is a 5-design by Theorem 2.12 and $d\tau$ is invariant under

$$A_\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1} & -\frac{(t^2-1)}{t^2+t+1} \\ \frac{(t^2-1)}{t^2+t+1} & \frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

by Proposition 2.6 (2). Therefore, $A_\phi \Lambda_3^1$ is also a rational design for all $t \in \mathbb{Q}$. By combining this with Proposition 5.1, we obtain the desired rational ideal solutions of the two-dimensional PTE problem. \square

Corollary 5.6. *There exists a parametric rational ideal solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem of the form*

$$(13) \quad \left[\pm \frac{(2t_1+1)}{t_1^2+t_1+1}, \pm \frac{(t_1^2-1)}{t_1^2+t_1+1}, \pm \frac{t_1(t_1+2)}{t_1^2+t_1+1} \right] \\ =_5 \left[\pm \frac{(2t_2+1)}{t_2^2+t_2+1}, \pm \frac{(t_2^2-1)}{t_2^2+t_2+1}, \pm \frac{t_2(t_2+2)}{t_2^2+t_2+1} \right],$$

where $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Borwein [8] found the following two-parametric solution:

Theorem 5.7 ([8, p. 88] Borwein's solution). *There exists a parametric ideal solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem of the form*

$$(14) \quad [\pm(2m+2n), \pm(nm+n+m-3), \pm(nm-n-m-3)] \\ =_5 [\pm(2n-2m), \pm(-nm+n-m-3), \pm(-nm-n+m-3)].$$

The r -dimensional PTE problem of degree m and size n (see [1]), asks whether there exists a disjoint pair of multisets $\{(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1r}), \dots, (a_{m1}, \dots, a_{mr})\}, \{(b_{11}, \dots, b_{1r}), \dots, (b_{m1}, \dots, b_{mr})\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^r$ for the system of equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_{i1}^{k_1} \cdots a_{ir}^{k_r} = \sum_{i=1}^m b_{i1}^{k_1} \cdots b_{ir}^{k_r} \quad (1 \leq k_1 + \cdots + k_r \leq n).$$

Definition 5.8 (Cf. [8, p. 86, 87], [1, p. 405]). (1) A solution $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m] =_n [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m]$ of the r -dimensional PTE problem *contains* a solution $[\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m] =_n [\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_m]$ over \mathbb{Q} if there exist $M \in M_r(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$ such that

$$\{\mathbf{a}_i M\} + \mathbf{e} = \{\mathbf{c}_i\}, \quad \{\mathbf{b}_i M\} + \mathbf{e} = \{\mathbf{d}_i\}$$

as multisets.

- (2) Two solutions of the r -dimensional PTE problem are *equivalent* if they contain each other i.e., map each other by a linear transform.
- (3) A solution $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m] =_n [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m]$ of the r -dimensional PTE problem is *symmetric* if $\{\mathbf{a}_i\} = \{-\mathbf{a}_i\}$ and $\{\mathbf{b}_i\} = \{-\mathbf{b}_i\}$ as multisets.

Lemma 5.9. *If a symmetric solution $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m] =_n [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m]$ of the r -dimensional PTE problem contains a symmetric one $[\mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_m] =_n [\mathbf{d}_1, \dots, \mathbf{d}_m]$, then $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$.*

Proof. This follows from $\sum_i \mathbf{a}_i = \sum_i \mathbf{c}_i = \mathbf{0}$. □

Definition 5.10. A solution $[\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_m] =_n [\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m]$ of the r -dimensional PTE problem is *linear* if there exists $S \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $\sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{0}$.

In what follows, we compare our parametric solution (13) with Borwein's solution.

Theorem 5.11. *Borwein's parametric solution contains our parametric solution (13) over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. Let

$$x_1 = 2(n+m), \quad x_2 = -nm - n - m + 3, \quad y_1 = 2(n-m), \quad y_2 = nm - n + m + 3,$$

$$a_1 = \frac{2s+1}{s^2+s+1}, \quad a_2 = \frac{s^2-1}{s^2+s+1}, \quad b_1 := \frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1}, \quad b_2 := \frac{t^2-1}{t^2+t+1}, \quad b_3 = \frac{-t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1}.$$

By Lemma 5.9, it is sufficient to prove that for all $s, t \in \mathbb{Q}$, there exists $A \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ such that

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} Ax_1 &= a_1, \\ Ax_2 &= a_2, \\ Ay_1 &= b_1, \\ Ay_2 &= b_2 \text{ or } b_3. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we prove that the fourth equation of (15) follows from the first three.

Let $A \neq 0$. Then $n = (a_1 + b_1)/(4A)$ and $m = (a_1 - b_1)/(4A)$ by the first and the third equations of (15). We substitute it into the second equation of (15) to obtain

$$(16) \quad a_2 = A(-nm - n - m + 3) = -\frac{(a_1^2 - b_1^2)}{16A} - \frac{a_1}{2} + 3A,$$

i.e.,

$$48A^2 - 8(a_1 + 2a_2)A - (a_1^2 - b_1^2) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$A = \frac{(a_1 + 2a_2) \pm \sqrt{4a_1^2 + 4a_1a_2 + 4a_2^2 - 3b_1^2}}{12}.$$

Note that

$$(17) \quad a_1 + 2a_2 = \frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{s^2 + s + 1}$$

and

$$4a_1^2 + 4a_1a_2 + 4a_2^2 - 3b_1^2 = 4 - 3\frac{(2t+1)^2}{(t^2+t+1)^2} = \frac{(2t^2+2t-1)^2}{(t^2+t+1)^2}$$

since $a^2 + a_1a_2 + a_2^2 = 1$ for $(a_1, a_2) \in C_3(1)$. Therefore, A is one of the following four cases:

(1) Suppose that

$$(18) \quad A = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{s^2 + s + 1} + \frac{2t^2 + 2t - 1}{t^2 + t + 1} \right) = \frac{(2st + s + t - 1)(2st + s + t + 2)}{12(s^2 + s + 1)(t^2 + t + 1)}.$$

By assumptions,

$$a_1 + b_1 = \frac{(s+t+1)(2st+s+t+2)}{(s^2+s+1)(t^2+t+1)}, \quad a_1 - b_1 = \frac{(t-s)(2st+s+t-1)}{(s^2+s+1)(t^2+t+1)}.$$

Thus, if $(2st + s + t - 1)(2st + s + t + 2) \neq 0$, then we have

$$n = \frac{a_1 + b_1}{4A} = \frac{3(s+t+1)}{2st+s+t-1}, \quad m = \frac{a_1 - b_1}{4A} = \frac{3(t-s)}{2st+s+t+2}.$$

By the first equation of (15) and eq. (16),

$$a_2 = -Anm - \frac{a_1}{2} + 3A.$$

By combining this with the third equation of (15),

$$Ay_2 = A(nm - n + m + 3) = -\frac{a_1}{2} - a_2 - \frac{b_1}{2} + 6A.$$

By eqs. (17) and (18),

$$Ay_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-a_1 - 2a_2 - \frac{(2t+1)}{t^2+t+1} + \left(a_1 + 2a_2 + \frac{2t^2+2t-1}{t^2+t+1} \right) \right) = b_2.$$

Here, note that by using the first three equalities of (15), the last step can be verified without calculating m and n .

(2) Suppose that

$$A = \frac{1}{12} \left(\frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{s^2 + s + 1} - \frac{2t^2 + 2t - 1}{t^2 + t + 1} \right) = \frac{(s-t)(s+t+1)}{4(s^2+s+1)(t^2+t+1)}.$$

If $(s-t)(s+t+1) \neq 0$, then we have

$$n = \frac{a_1 + b_1}{4A} = \frac{2st + s + t + 2}{s-t}, \quad m = \frac{a_1 - b_1}{4A} = \frac{-(2st + s + t - 1)}{s+t+1}.$$

Therefore, by the same argument as in Case (1),

$$Ay_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(-a_1 - 2a_2 - \frac{(2t+1)}{t^2+t+1} + \left(a_1 + 2a_2 - \frac{2t^2+2t-1}{t^2+t+1} \right) \right) = b_3.$$

In what follows, we treat the cases when the numerators of A in Cases (1) and (2) are 0.

(3) First, we deal with the case when $(2st + s + t - 1)(2st + s + t + 2) = 0$. If $s = -1/2$, then $(2st + s + t - 1)(2st + s + t + 2) = -9/4 \neq 0$, which is a contradiction.

(a) Suppose that $2st + s + t - 1 = 0$, i.e., $t = -(s - 1)/(2s + 1)$. Let

$$(19) \quad A := \frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{6(s^2 + s + 1)}.$$

Then the simultaneous equation has the solution

$$(20) \quad (m, n) = \left(0, \frac{3(2s + 1)}{2s^2 + 2s - 1} \right).$$

Since $s = (1 - t)/(2t + 1)$, we obtain $Ay_2 = b_3$ by eqs. (19) and (20).

(b) Suppose that $2st + s + t + 2 = 0$, i.e., $t = -(s + 2)/(2s + 1)$. Let

$$(21) \quad A := \frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{6(s^2 + s + 1)}.$$

Then the simultaneous equation has the solution

$$(22) \quad (m, n) = \left(\frac{3(2s + 1)}{2s^2 + 2s - 1}, 0 \right).$$

Since $s = -(t + 2)/(2t + 1)$, we obtain $Ay_2 = b_3$ by eqs. (21) and (22).

(4) Next, we deal with the case when $(s - t)(s + t + 1) = 0$.

(a) Suppose that $t = s$. Let

$$(23) \quad A := \frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{6(s^2 + s + 1)}.$$

Then the equation has the solution

$$(24) \quad (m, n) = \left(0, \frac{3(2s + 1)}{2s^2 + 2s - 1} \right).$$

Since $s = t$, we obtain $Ay_2 = b_2$ by eqs. (23) and (24).

(b) Suppose that $t = -s - 1$. Let

$$(25) \quad A := \frac{2s^2 + 2s - 1}{6(s^2 + s + 1)}.$$

Then the equation has the solution

$$(26) \quad (m, n) = \left(\frac{3(2s + 1)}{2s^2 + 2s - 1}, 0 \right).$$

Since $s = -t - 1$, we obtain $Ay_2 = b_2$ by eqs. (25) and (26).

This completes the proof. □

Remark 5.12. (1) In the proof of Theorem 5.11, we can also solve the first three equations of (15) by Mathematica [35].

(2) There is a solution in Borwein's parametric solution that is not contained in our parametric solution. Indeed, if we substitute $(m, n) = (2, 4)$ in Borwein's solution, then we obtain

$$[\pm 1, \pm 11, \pm 12] =_5 [\pm 4, \pm 9, \pm 13]$$

of [8, p. 87]. This solution is not equivalent to our solution (13) over \mathbb{Q} . Indeed, suppose contrary. Then there exists $A \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 532A^2 &= 2A^2(1^2 + 11^2 + 12^2) \\ &= 2 \left(\left(\frac{2t+1}{t^2+t+1} \right)^2 + \left(-\frac{(t^2-1)}{t^2+t+1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{t(t+2)}{t^2+t+1} \right)^2 \right) = 4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A^2 = 1/133$, which contradicts $A \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We now turn to a two-dimensional extension of Borwein's solution.

Proposition 5.13 (Two-dimensional Borwein solution). *There exists a parametric rational ideal solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} (27) \quad & [\pm(2(n+m), -nm - n - m + 3), \pm(-nm - n - m + 3, nm - n - m - 3), \pm(nm - n - m - 3, 2(n+m))] \\ & =_5 [\pm(2(n-m), nm - n + m + 3), \pm(nm - n + m + 3, -nm - n + m - 3), \pm(-nm - n + m - 3, 2(n-m))] \\ & =_5 [\pm(2(n-m), -nm - n + m - 3), \pm(-nm - n + m - 3, nm - n + m + 3), \pm(nm - n + m + 3, 2(n-m))]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We can check it by direct calculation. Indeed, the sums of x^k or y^k for $0 \leq k \leq 5$ coincides by Theorem 5.7. By the symmetry, the sums of odd monomials are 0. The sums of xy , x^3y , x^2y^2 and xy^3 are $-2(m^2+3)(n^2+3)$, $-2(m^2+3)^2(n^2+3)^2$, $2(m^2+3)^2(n^2+3)^2$ and $-2(m^2+3)^2(n^2+3)^2$ respectively. \square

In what follows, we prove that the Alpers–Tijdeman solution (3) contains the two-dimensional Borwein solution (27), hence our solution (12) over \mathbb{Q} by Theorem 5.11.

Theorem 5.14 ([1, §2.4] Alpers–Tijdeman solution). *There exists a parametric rational ideal solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem of the form*

$$\begin{aligned} & [(0, 0), (2a + b, b), (3a + b, 3a + 3b), (2a, 6a + 4b), (-b, 6a + 3b), (-a - b, 3a + b)] \\ & =_5 [(2a, 0), (3a + b, 3a + b), (2a + b, 6a + 3b), (0, 6a + 4b), (-a - b, 3a + 3b), (-b, b)] \end{aligned}$$

We denote the first six points of the Alpers–Tijdeman solution by $\{(\alpha_i, \beta_i)\}_{i=1}^6$. Suppose that (3) contains (12) over \mathbb{Q} . Since (12) is symmetric, there exist

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in M_2(\mathbb{Q}), \quad \mathbf{e} := \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^2$$

such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 6(A+3B)a + 12Bb \\ 6(C+3D)a + 12Db \end{pmatrix} + 6 \begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^6 M \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_i \\ \beta_i \end{pmatrix} + 6\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0},$$

i.e.

$$\begin{pmatrix} E \\ F \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(A+3B)a - 2Bb \\ -(C+3D)a - 2Db \end{pmatrix}.$$

After the above linear transformation, the solution (3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (28) \quad & [\pm(-(A+3B)a - 2Bb, -(C+3D)a - 2Db), \\ & \pm((-A+3B)a + (-A+B)b, (-C+3D)a + (-C+D)b), \pm(2Aa + (A+B)b, 2Ca + (C+D)b)] \\ & =_5 [\pm((A-3B)a - 2Bb, (C-3D)a - 2Db), \\ & \pm(-2Aa + (-A+B)b, -2Ca + (-C+D)b), \pm((A+3B)a + (A+B)b, (C+3D)a + (C+D)b)], \end{aligned}$$

which is a symmetric solution with three linear points in each side.

Theorem 5.15. *The Alpers–Tijdeman solution (3) contains the two-dimensional Borwein solution (27) over \mathbb{Q} , hence our parametric solution (12) over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. (1) We prove that the solution (28) contains the solution

$$(29) \quad [\pm(2(n+m), -nm - n - m + 3), \pm(-nm - n - m + 3, nm - n - m - 3), \pm(nm - n - m - 3, 2(n+m))] \\ =_5 [\pm(2(n-m), nm - n + m + 3), \pm(nm - n + m + 3, -nm - n + m - 3), \pm(-nm - n + m - 3, 2(n-m))],$$

i.e. for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Q}$, there exist $A, B, C, D, a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \pm(-(A+3B)a - 2Bb, -(C+3D)a - 2Db) &= \pm(2(n+m), -nm - n - m + 3), \\ \pm((-A+3B)a + (-A+B)b, (-C+3D)a + (-C+D)b) &= \pm(-nm - n - m + 3, nm - n - m - 3), \\ \pm(2Aa + (A+B)b, 2Ca + (C+D)b) &= \pm(nm - n - m - 3, 2(n+m)), \\ \pm((A-3B)a - 2Bb, (C-3D)a - 2Db) &= \pm(2(n-m), nm - n + m + 3), \\ \pm(-2Aa + (-A+B)b, -2Ca + (-C+D)b) &= \pm(nm - n + m + 3, -nm - n + m - 3), \\ \pm((A+3B)a + (A+B)b, (C+3D)a + (C+D)b) &= \pm(-nm - n + m - 3, 2(n-m)). \end{aligned}$$

By the linearity, the third (resp. the sixth) equation of (30) follow from the first and the second (resp. the fourth and the fifth) equations. By the symmetry, it is sufficient to prove eq. (30) for positive signs. To solve the first, second and the fourth equations of (30), we solve the following system of equations over \mathbb{Q} :

$$(31) \quad (-A - 3B)a - 2Bb = 2(n+m), \\ (32) \quad (-A + 3B)a + (-A + B)b = -nm - n - m + 3, \\ (33) \quad (A - 3B)a - 2Bb = 2(n-m), \\ (34) \quad (-C - 3D)a - 2Db = -nm - n - m + 3, \\ (35) \quad (-C + 3D)a + (-C + D)b = nm - n - m - 3, \\ (36) \quad (C - 3D)a - 2Db = nm - n + m + 3.$$

Suppose $m = 0$. Let $a = 0$ and $b = 1$. Then $B = -n$ by eqs. (31) and (33), and $A = -3$ by eq. (32). We have $D = (n-3)/2$ by eqs. (34) and (36). Thus $C = 3(n+1)/2$ by eq. (35).

The fifth equation of (30) follows by substituting the values of A, B, C, D, a and b into eqs. (28) and (29). Therefore, the Alpers–Tijdeman solution contains the solution (29).

Suppose $m \neq 0$. Let $a = 1$. Then by eqs. (31) and (33), we have

$$(37) \quad A = -2m, \quad b = -n/B - 3/2.$$

Substituting eq. (37) into eq. (32),

$$3B^2 + (2mn - 6)B - 4mn = 0.$$

Thus, $B = 2$ or $B = -2mn/3$. By taking $B = -2mn/3$, we have $b = -3(m-1)/(2m)$.

By eqs. (34) and (36), we have

$$C = m(n+1), \quad D = m(n-3)/3.$$

The fifth equation of (30) follows by substituting the values of A, B, C, D, a and b into eqs. (28) and (29). Therefore, the Alpers–Tijdeman solution contains the solution (29).

(2) We prove the solution (28) contains the solution

$$(38) \quad [\pm(2(n+m), -nm - n - m + 3), \pm(-nm - n - m + 3, nm - n - m - 3), \pm(nm - n - m - 3, 2(n+m))] \\ =_5 [\pm(2(n-m), -nm - n + m - 3), \pm(-nm - n + m - 3, nm - n + m + 3), \pm(nm - n + m + 3, 2(n-m))].$$

By the same argument as in Case (1), it is sufficient to solve the following system of equations over \mathbb{Q} :

$$(39) \quad (-A - 3B)a - 2Bb = 2(n+m), \\ (40) \quad (-A + 3B)a + (-A + B)b = -nm - n - m + 3, \\ (41) \quad (A - 3B)a - 2Bb = 2(n-m), \\ (42) \quad (-C - 3D)a - 2Db = -nm - n - m + 3, \\ (43) \quad (C - 3D)a - 2Db = -nm - n + m - 3.$$

Let $a = 1$. Then by eqs. (39) and (41), we have

$$(44) \quad A = -2m, \quad b = -n/B - 3/2.$$

Substituting eq. (44) to eq. (40), $B = 2$ or $B = -2mn/3$ by the proof of Case (1). Take $B = 2$. By eqs. (42) and (43) and $b = -(n+3)/2$, we have

$$C = m - 3, \quad D = -m - 1.$$

Therefore, by substituting the values of A, B, C, D, a and b into eqs. (28) and (29), we can check that the Alpers–Tijdeman solution contains the solution (38). \square

Theorem 5.11 states that Borwein’s parametric solution includes a design structure. How about the design structure in another parametric solution?

Theorem 5.16 ([10, pp. 629–630] Chernick’s solution). *There exists a parametric ideal solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem of the form*

$$[\pm(-5m^2 + 4mn - 3n^2), \pm(-3m^2 + 6mn + 5n^2), \pm(-m^2 - 10mn - n^2)] \\ =_5 [\pm(-5m^2 + 6mn + 3n^2), \pm(-3m^2 - 4mn - 5n^2), \pm(-m^2 + 10mn - n^2)].$$

Theorem 5.17. *Chernick’s parametric solution is not equivalent to our parametric solution (13) over \mathbb{Q} .*

Proof. Suppose contrary. Then there exists $A \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that

$$14A^2(5m^2 + 2mn + n^2)(m^2 - 2mn + 5n^2) = 4$$

since

$$(-5m^2 + 4mn - 3n^2)^2 + (-3m^2 + 6mn + 5n^2)^2 + (-m^2 - 10mn - n^2)^2 \\ = 7(5m^2 + 2mn + n^2)(m^2 - 2mn + 5n^2).$$

If $n = 0$, then $70A^2m^4 = 4$, which contradicts $A, m \in \mathbb{Q}$. Thus $n \neq 0$. Let $x := m/n$, $y := 2/(An)$ and consider the smooth curve

$$C : y^2 = 14(5x^2 + 2x + 1)(x^2 - 2x + 5)$$

of genus 1. Since $C(\mathbb{Q}_7) = \emptyset$, we conclude that $C(\mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$.

Indeed, suppose that there exists $(x, y) \in C(\mathbb{Q})$. If $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, then by reducing $x \pmod{7}$, the 7-adic valuation of the right hand side is 1, which is impossible. Thus, $x \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Write $x = u/7^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\text{ord}_7(u) = 0$. Then

$$(7^{2n}y)^2 = 14(5u^2 + 2u \cdot 7^n + 7^{2n})(u^2 - 2 \cdot 7^n + 5 \cdot 7^{2n}).$$

Since $\text{ord}_7(u) = 0$, the 7-adic valuation of the right hand side is 1, which is impossible. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 5.18. We can also check $C(\mathbb{Q}_7) = \emptyset$ by “IsLocallySoluble” command of MAGMA [9].

6. CONCLUDING REMARKS

There exists an ellipsoidal n -design (with possibly sufficiently many points) by the following theorem:

Theorem 6.1 ([2]). *Let f_1, \dots, f_l be continuous functions on a closed interval $[a, b]$. Then there exist $x_1, \dots, x_N \in [a, b]$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f_k(x_i) = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f_k(t) dt \text{ for every } k = 1, \dots, l.$$

Indeed, we can take $[a, b] = [0, 2\pi]$ and

$$f_{i,j}(t) := \begin{cases} (\sqrt{r} \cos t)^i (\sqrt{r} \sin t / \sqrt{D})^j & (D \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}), \\ (\sqrt{r}(\cos t - \sin t / \sqrt{D}))^i (2\sqrt{r} \sin t / \sqrt{D})^j & (D \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \end{cases}$$

with $0 \leq i + j \leq n$ as f_k 's in our case. For a refinement of [2] including the asymptotic behavior of the number of points of spherical designs, we refer the readers to [7, Theorem 1].

Cui et al. [14, Theorem 1.3] showed the existence of designs over S^1 whose one component is in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{q} \mid q \text{ is a prime})$. Similar results can also be obtained for ellipsoidal designs, but we will not go into them in this paper.

* * *

Mishima et al. [27] classified all designs of degree 5 with 6 rational points for Chebyshev measure $(1 - t^2)^{-1/2} dt / \pi$ and then obtained the following parametric ideal solutions for the classical PTE problem:

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left[\pm \frac{(2t_1^2 - 22t_1 - 13)}{14(t_1^2 + t_1 + 1)}, \pm \frac{(-13t_1^2 - 4t_1 + 11)}{14(t_1^2 + t_1 + 1)}, \pm \frac{(11t_1^2 + 26t_1 + 2)}{14(t_1^2 + t_1 + 1)} \right] \\ & =_5 \left[\pm \frac{(2t_2^2 - 22t_2 - 13)}{14(t_2^2 + t_2 + 1)}, \pm \frac{(-13t_2^2 - 4t_2 + 11)}{14(t_2^2 + t_2 + 1)}, \pm \frac{(11t_2^2 + 26t_2 + 2)}{14(t_2^2 + t_2 + 1)} \right] \quad (t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}). \end{aligned}$$

This ideal solution is not equivalent to our parametric solution (13) over \mathbb{Q} . Suppose contrary. Then by considering sums of squares, there exists $A \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $3A^2 = 4$, i.e., $A^2 = 4/3$, which is a contradiction.

It is remarkable that the parametric solution (45) is obtained from $C_3(3/4)$. Indeed,

Proposition 6.2. *Let*

$$x'_1 := \frac{2t^2 - 22t - 13}{14(t^2 + t + 1)}, \quad x'_2 := \frac{-13t^2 - 4t + 11}{14(t^2 + t + 1)}, \quad x'_3 := \frac{11t^2 + 26t + 2}{14(t^2 + t + 1)}.$$

Then,

$$\{\pm(x'_1, x'_2), \pm(x'_2, x'_3), \pm(x'_3, x'_1)\} \subset C_3(3/4)$$

is an ellipsoidal 5-design.

Proof. Since

$$x_1'^2 + x_1'x_2' + x_2'^2 = x_2'^2 + x_2'x_3' + x_3'^2 = x_3'^2 + x_3'x_1' + x_1'^2 = \frac{3}{4},$$

we obtain

$$\pm(x'_1, x'_2), \pm(x'_2, x'_3), \pm(x'_3, x'_1) \in C_3(3/4).$$

Since $\Lambda_3^{3/4} = \emptyset$, Theorem 2.12 is not directly applicable, but the conditions on moments can be checked by direct calculation by using Remark 2.2 (2). \square

By applying the ‘product formula’ (see [33]) to 5-designs with 6 rational points as in (45), we can construct a 5-design with 6^2 rational points for the product measure $\prod_{i=1}^2 (1 - x_i)^{-1/2} dx dy / \pi^2$, and thereby obtain a solution of the two-dimensional PTE problem of degree 5 and size 36. Whereas, the parametric solution (45) given by Propositions 5.1 and 6.2 has only 6 rational points. These observations, together with theoretical results given in Section 5, imply that the study of interrelations between the PTE problem and the design theory is very promising.

For example, the following problem is very important:

Problem 6.3. *Does Borwein’s parametric solution contain other design structures?*

To study the interrelations between the r -dimensional PTE problem and geometric designs is also left for a challenging future work.

* * *

Coppersmith et al. [12] obtained ideal solutions of the PTE problem over imaginary quadratic fields with class number 1. They constructed ideal solutions with degree 9, 11 over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-1}]$, degree 8 over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-2}]$ and degree 8, 11 over $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-3}]$. On the other hand, we can construct the following curious parametric solution over imaginary quadratic fields with class number possibly ≥ 2 :

Proposition 6.4. *There exists a parametric ideal solution of the PTE problem of the form*

$$(46) \quad \left[z_1, \frac{-z_1 - 3 \pm \sqrt{-3z_1^2 - 6z_1 - 5}}{2} \right] =_2 \left[z_2, \frac{-z_2 - 3 \pm \sqrt{-3z_2^2 - 6z_2 - 5}}{2} \right].$$

Proof. Consider the following complex integration:

$$a_k := -\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}} \int_{S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}} z^k e^{-\frac{2}{z}} dz.$$

Then it is sufficient to determine z_1, z_2, z_3 such that

$$(47) \quad \frac{1}{3}z_1^k + \frac{1}{3}z_2^k + \frac{1}{3}z_3^k = a_k$$

for $k = 1, 2$. Since $a_k = (-2)^k / (k + 1)!$ by the residue theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} z_1 + z_2 + z_3 &= 3a_1 = -3, \\ z_1^2 + z_2^2 + z_3^2 &= 3a_2 = 2. \end{aligned}$$

By the first equality, $z_3 = -z_1 - z_2 - 3$. We substitute it into the second to obtain

$$2z_1^2 + 2z_2^2 + 2z_1z_2 + 6z_1 + 6z_2 + 7 = 0.$$

Then we obtain

$$z_1 = \frac{-z_2 - 3 \pm \sqrt{-3z_2^2 - 6z_2 - 5}}{2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain eq. (46). \square

By specializing z_1 and z_2 , we can obtain solutions of the PTE problem of degree 2 over imaginary quadratic fields with class number ≥ 2 .

Definition 6.5. A solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem is called a *solution of class number h* if all the solutions are in a number field of class number h . For the r -dimensional PTE problem, it means that all the components of solutions are in a number field of class number h .

Example 6.6. (1) Let $(z_1, z_2) = (-2, 0)$ in eq. (46). Then we obtain the following ideal solution of the PTE problem of class number 2:

$$\left[-2, \frac{-1 \pm \sqrt{-5}}{2}\right] =_2 \left[0, \frac{-3 \pm \sqrt{-5}}{2}\right].$$

(2) Let $(z_1, z_2) = (-3, 1)$ in eq. (46). Then we obtain the following ideal solution of the PTE problem of class number 4:

$$\left[-3, \pm \frac{\sqrt{-14}}{2}\right] =_2 \left[1, \frac{-4 \pm \sqrt{-14}}{2}\right].$$

Actually, the integration a_k appeared in eq. (46) is the k -th moment of Bessel polynomials

$$y_n(z) := \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(n+k)!}{(n-k)!k!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^k.$$

An ellipsoidal design for $D = 1$, i.e. a spherical design over S^1 , is closely related to Gegenbauer polynomials (for example see [31, Section 5]). As with Gegenbauer polynomials, Bessel polynomials are also in the class of *classical orthogonal polynomials* (see [31, §2.1] for the definition) and are orthogonal with respect to the weight function

$$w(z) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{-1}}e^{-\frac{2}{z}},$$

where the path of integration is $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}$, i.e.,

$$\int_{S^1} y_m(z)y_n(z)w(z)dz = 0$$

for $m \neq n$ (cf. [24, p. 104]). In [25], the first author proved the existence and non-existence of ‘weighted’ designs for the weight function of Bessel polynomials, as an extension of results of Sawa–Uchida [31] for classical orthogonal polynomials such as Hermite, Legendre and Jacobi polynomials.

From designs for Bessel polynomials, we also found the following ideal solution of degree 3:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\pm \frac{\sqrt{-13}}{3}, \frac{-6 + \sqrt{-11}}{3}, \frac{-6 - \sqrt{-11}}{3}\right] \\ =_3 & \left[\frac{-3 + 2\sqrt{-1}}{3}, \frac{-2 - 3\sqrt{-1}}{3}, \frac{-7 - \sqrt{7} - \sqrt{-8 + 2\sqrt{7}}}{6}, \frac{-7 + \sqrt{7} + \sqrt{-8 - 2\sqrt{7}}}{6}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

We close this paper by mentioning some open questions, to which we intend to return.

- Problem 6.7.** (1) *Does there exist an infinite family of designs of degree ≥ 3 for Bessel polynomials? If this is the case, how large can be the class number of the corresponding parametric solution of the one-dimensional PTE problem?*
- (2) *Explore the connection between ellipsoidal designs and designs for Bessel polynomials.*

Acknowledgements. The authors thank Profs. Akihiko Yukié, Hiroaki Narita, Akihiro Munemasa, Koji Tasaka, Yukihiro Uchida and Kosuke Minami for helpful comments and discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Andreas Alpers and Robert Tijdeman, *The two-dimensional Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem*, J. Number Theory **123** (2007), no. 2, 403–412, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2006.07.001. MR2301222
- [2] Juan Arias de Reyna, *A generalized mean-value theorem*, Monatsh. Math. **106** (1988), no. 2, 95–97, DOI 10.1007/BF01298830. MR0968327
- [3] Eiichi Bannai and Etsuko Bannai, *A survey on spherical designs and algebraic combinatorics on spheres*, European J. Combin. **30** (2009), no. 6, 1392–1425, DOI 10.1016/j.ejc.2008.11.007. MR2535394
- [4] ———, *Algebraic combinatorics on spheres (Japanese)*, third edition, Maruzen Shuppan, 2018.
- [5] Eiichi Bannai, Masao Koike, Masashi Shinohara, and Makoto Tagami, *Spherical designs attached to extremal lattices and the modulo p property of Fourier coefficients of extremal modular forms*, Mosc. Math. J. **6** (2006), no. 2, 225–264, 406, DOI 10.17323/1609-4514-2006-6-2-225-264 (English, with English and Russian summaries). MR2270613
- [6] Eiichi Bannai and Tsuyoshi Miezaki, *Toy models for D. H. Lehmer’s conjecture*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **62** (2010), no. 3, 687–705. MR2648059
- [7] Andriy Bondarenko, Danylo Radchenko, and Maryna Viazovska, *Optimal asymptotic bounds for spherical designs*, Ann. of Math. (2) **178** (2013), no. 2, 443–452, DOI 10.4007/annals.2013.178.2.2. MR3071504
- [8] Peter Borwein, *Computational excursions in analysis and number theory*, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR1912495
- [9] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, DOI 10.1006/jsco.1996.0125. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR1484478
- [10] Jack Chernick, *Ideal Solutions of the Tarry-Escott Problem*, Amer. Math. Monthly **44** (1937), no. 10, 626–633, DOI 10.2307/2301481. MR1524121
- [11] John Horton Conway and Neil J. A. Sloane, *Sphere packings, lattices and groups*, 3rd ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 290, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999. With additional contributions by E. Bannai, R. E. Borcherds, J. Leech, S. P. Norton, A. M. Odlyzko, R. A. Parker, L. Queen and B. B. Venkov. MR1662447
- [12] Don Coppersmith, Michael John Mossinghoff, Danny Scheinerman, and Jeffrey Mark Vanderkam, *Ideal solutions in the Prouhet–Tarry–Escott problem* (2023), available at [arXiv:2304.11254](https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.11254).
- [13] Craig Costello, Michael Meyer, and Michael Naehrig, *Sieving for twin smooth integers with solutions to the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem*, Advances in cryptology—EUROCRYPT 2021. Part I, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 12696, Springer, Cham, [2021] ©2021, pp. 272–301, DOI 10.1007/978-3-030-77870-5_10. MR4284266
- [14] Zhen Cui, Jiacheng Xia, and Ziqing Xiang, *Rational designs*, Adv. Math. **352** (2019), 541–571, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2019.06.012. MR3964155
- [15] Philippe Delsarte, Jean-Marie Goethals, and Johan Jacob Seidel, *Spherical codes and designs*, Geometriae Dedicata **6** (1977), no. 3, 363–388, DOI 10.1007/bf03187604. MR485471
- [16] Leonard Eugene Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers, II*, Carnegie Institution of Washington, 1923.
- [17] Arthur Erdélyi, Wilhelm Magnus, Fritz Oberhettinger, and Francesco G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental functions. Vols. I, II*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. MR0058756
- [18] Viviana Ghiglione, *Switching Components in Discrete Tomography: Characterization, Constructions, and Number-Theoretical Aspects (Dissertation)*, Lehrstuhl für Angewandte Geometrie und Diskrete Mathematik (2019).

- [19] Lajos Hajdu, Ágoston Papp, and Robert Tijdeman, *The Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem, indecomposability of polynomials and Diophantine equations*, Ramanujan J. **58** (2022), no. 4, 1075–1093, DOI 10.1007/s11139-022-00555-7. MR4451512
- [20] Pierre de la Harpe, Claude Pache, and Boris Borisovich Venkov, *Construction of spherical cubature formulas using lattices*, Algebra i Analiz **18** (2006), no. 1, 162–186, DOI 10.1090/S1061-0022-07-00946-6; English transl., St. Petersburg Math. J. **18** (2007), no. 1, 119–139. MR2225217
- [21] Santos Hernández and Florian Luca, *Integer roots chromatic polynomials of non-chordal graphs and the Prouhet-Tarry-Escott problem*, Graphs Combin. **21** (2005), no. 3, 319–323, DOI 10.1007/s00373-005-0617-0. MR2190791
- [22] Masatake Hirao, Hiroshi Nozaki, and Koji Tasaka, *Spherical designs and modular forms of the D_4 lattice*, Res. Number Theory **9** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 77, 18, DOI 10.1007/s40993-023-00479-1. MR4662467
- [23] Yiming Hong, *On spherical t -designs in \mathbf{R}^2* , European J. Combin. **3** (1982), no. 3, 255–258, DOI 10.1016/S0195-6698(82)80036-X. MR0679209
- [24] Harry Levern Krall and Orrin Frink, *A new class of orthogonal polynomials: The Bessel polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **65** (1949), 100–115, DOI 10.2307/1990516. MR28473
- [25] Hideki Matsumura, *Quadrature formulas for Bessel polynomials*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **34** (2023), no. 3, 622–636, DOI 10.1016/j.indag.2023.01.001. MR4576863
- [26] Tsuyoshi Miezeki, *On a generalization of spherical designs*, Discrete Math. **313** (2013), no. 4, 375–380, DOI 10.1016/j.disc.2012.11.012. MR3004471
- [27] Teruyuki Mishima, Xiao-Nan Lu, Masanori Sawa, and Yukihiro Uchida, *Hilbert-Kamke equations and geometric designs of degree five for classical orthogonal polynomials (in preparation)*.
- [28] Ivan Niven, *Irrational numbers*, The Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. No. 11, Mathematical Association of America, ; distributed by John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1956. MR0080123
- [29] Claude Pache, *Shells of selfdual lattices viewed as spherical designs*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 1085–1127, DOI 10.1142/S0218196705002797. MR2197823
- [30] Badri Vishal Pandey, *Modular forms and ellipsoidal T -designs*, Ramanujan J. **58** (2022), no. 4, 1245–1257, DOI 10.1007/s11139-022-00572-6. MR4451518
- [31] Masanori Sawa and Yukihiro Uchida, *Algebro-geometric aspects of the Christoffel-Darboux kernels for classical orthogonal polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **373** (2020), no. 2, 1243–1264, DOI 10.1090/tran/7998. MR4068263
- [32] Sergei Lvovich Sobolev, *Cubature formulas on the sphere which are invariant under transformations of finite rotation groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **146** (1962), 310–313 (Russian). MR0141225
- [33] Arthur H. Stroud, *Approximate calculation of multiple integrals.*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1971. MR0327006
- [34] Boris Borisovich Venkov, *Even unimodular extremal lattices*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. **165** (1984), 43–48 (Russian). Algebraic geometry and its applications. MR0752931
- [35] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica, Version 11.1.1.0*, Champaign, IL (2017).

Email address: hmatsumura@tmu.ac.jp

* GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1 MINAMI-OSAWA, HACHIOJISHI, TOKYO 192-0397, JAPAN

Email address: sawa@people.kobe-u.ac.jp

**GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SYSTEM INFORMATICS, KOBE UNIVERSITY, 1-1 ROKKODAI, NADA, KOBE 657-8501, JAPAN