# A duality of Ryu-Takayanagi surfaces inside and outside the horizon

Wu-zhong Guo\*, Jin Xu

School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Luoyu Road 1037, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China

February 25, 2025

#### Abstract

We study the Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surfaces associated with timelike subregions in static spacetimes with a horizon. These RT surfaces can extend into the horizon, allowing us to probe the interior of the black hole. The horizon typically divides the RT surface into two distinct parts. We demonstrate that the area of the RT surface inside the horizon can be reconstructed from the contributions of the RT surfaces outside the horizon, along with additional RT surfaces for spacelike subregions that are causally related to the timelike subregions. This result provides a concrete realization of black hole complementarity, where the information from the black hole interior can be reconstructed from the degrees of freedom outside the horizon.

#### 1 Introduction

The event horizon and singularity of a black hole are considered crucial probes of the quantum nature of gravity. Although information within the event horizon cannot be directly transmitted to the exterior, black hole complementarity suggests that the degrees of freedom in the interior are intimately linked to those in the exterior [1, 2].

In the context of AdS/CFT, information within the black hole interior can be probed by observables in the dual field theories. Specifically, the bulk codimension-2 Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) surface, serves as a useful holographic probe, that are associated with the entanglement entropy (EE) of spacelike subregions on the boundary [3][4]. However, for RT surfaces anchored to spacelike subregions, the black hole horizon acts as a barrier [5][6], limiting the extent of the RT surface. Nevertheless, RT surfaces corresponding to subregions on the two boundaries of an eternal black hole can probe the black hole interior [7]. Other interesting holographic probes include correlation functions of heavy operators [8, 9] and complexity [10, 11].

<sup>\*</sup>wuzhong@hust.edu.cn

Recently, it has been proposed that the concept of entanglement entropy can be extended to timelike subregions [12], which may be interpreted as pseudoentropy [13]. There are many works on timelike entanglment in various aspects [14]-[22]. Timelike entanglement entropy can be well-defined and computed in quantum field theories (QFTs) through an analytical continuation of correlation functions. It is also believed that the RT formula could be applied to timelike EE, although the holographic proposal has not yet been fully established. Different proposals for holographic RT surfaces exist. In [12, 23], the authors suggest that RT surfaces for timelike EE is given by both spacelike RT surfaces and their timelike counterparts, while in [24], it is argued that the RT surfaces correspond to extremal surfaces in complexified geometry. In the case of  $AdS_3$ , the two proposals yield equivalent results of timelike EE, but the distinction may emerge in higher-dimensional examples. Despite the incomplete understanding of holographic timelike EE, one intriguing feature is that the RT surfaces could extend into the black hole horizon, thus probing the interior, including the singularity. This could provide a novel probe to investigate the black hole interior [23][25]. In [26], the authors find that timelike EE is related to spacelike EE in some examples of 2-dimensional CFTs, the holographic interpretation of this relation remains unclear.



Figure 1: The black hole horizon divides the RT surface for timelike subregions into two parts. The coordinates z, x and t represent the holographic, spatial, and temporal directions, respectively. The red solid and dashed lines denote the portion of the RT surface inside the horizon, while the black solid line connecting the horizon to the boundary represents the RT surface outside the horizon. The duality relation states that the area of the RT surface inside the horizon equals the area outside the horizon, plus the contribution from RT surfaces for spacelike subregions on the Cauchy surface t = 0(as shown in the right panel).

The work presented in this paper is motivated by studies of timelike EE and its holographic duality. We propose a method to construct the RT surfaces for timelike subregions via an analytical continuation of their Euclidean counterparts, which provides the correct results for timelike EE. This approach naturally leads to RT surfaces extending into a complexified geometry, consistent with the framework outlined in [24]. Additionally, we demonstrate a relation between holographic timelike and spacelike EE, generalizing the result in [26] to higher dimensions. This relation is interpreted as a duality between the RT surface inside and outside the horizon, thereby establishing a connection between the black hole interior and exterior degrees of freedom. This provides a quantitative realization of black hole complementarity.

Consider a static spacetime with a horizon. On the boundary, we choose a timelike strip subregion between the time interval  $[0, t_0]$  (see Fig.2 for illustration). We would like to consider the extreme surfaces anchored to the boundary of the timelike subregion. These extreme surfaces for timelike subregions generally extend into the horizon and approach the singularity of the black hole. However, the RT surfaces for timelike subregions cannot be determined solely by the boundary conditions. In the following, we demonstrate how to construct the specific RT surfaces through analytical continuation of the Euclidean counterparts. An interesting feature is that the RT surfaces naturally extend into the interior of the horizon and the complexified geometry. The area of the RT surface inside the horizon (red line in Fig.1) is related to the area outside the horizon (black line in Fig.1), as well as to the RT surfaces for spacelike regions on the Cauchy surface t = 0 in the regions  $[-t_0, t_0]$ . This relation is closely tied to causality. As noted, RT surfaces associated with spacelike subregions lie outside the horizon, suggesting that the relation can be interpreted as a duality between the RT surfaces inside and outside the horizon.

In the context of black hole complementarity, the information inside the black hole can be reconstructed from the exterior degrees of freedom. The algebra of operators inside the horzion  $\mathcal{R}_{in}$  can be expressed in terms of exterior operators using the pullback-push-forward procedure [27, 28]. Roughly speaking, one can re-express the operators  $\mathcal{O}_{in} \in \mathcal{R}_{in}$  in terms of operators in the past and outside the horizon, in the infalling frame. These operators are then evolved in the exterior frame of the black hole, and ultimately, operators inside the black hole can be written as combinations of those outside the horizon. Our results can also be interpreted as a manifestation of black hole complementarity. Although our construction differs significantly, it also follows the causality constraint. The RT surfaces inside the horizon encode information about the black hole's interior geometry. Our duality relation asserts that this information can be reconstructed using the RT surfaces outside the horizon. This implies that an observer outside the horizon can learn the geometry inside the horizon by measuring the area of the RT surfaces outside the horizon.

### 2 General setup for the Ryu-Takayanagi surface

In this paper we would like to consider the asymptotically  $AdS_{d+1}$  metric

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left( -f(z)dt^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{g(z)} + dx^{2} + d\vec{y}^{2} \right),$$
(1)

where we set the AdS radius L = 1 and  $d\vec{y}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{d-2} dy_i^2$ . The AdS boundary is at z = 0. We assume there exists a Killing horizon  $z = z_h$  with  $f(z_h) = 0$  for the Killing vector  $\partial_t$ . The spacetime is divided into regions inside and outside of the horizon as shown in Fig.1. Generally, let us consider a timelike subregion  $A_L$  to be a strip between  $(t, x, \vec{y})$  and  $(t', x', \vec{y'})$ , denoted by s(t, x; t', x'), see Fig.2.



Figure 2: (a) A general timelike strip. The strip extends along the  $\vec{y}$  direction, with the coordinates on t - x plane (t, x) and (t', x') where  $\vec{y} = 0$ . The separation between these two points are assumed timelike. (b) A special case that the strip lies along x = 0.

The procedure to construct the RT surface for  $A_L$  is as follows. The Euclidean section of the solution (1) can be obtained by  $t \to -i\tau$ . The Euclidean solution is defined for  $z \ge z_h$ . On the boundary we will consider the subsystem  $A_E$  of the strip between  $(\tau, x, \vec{y})$ and  $(\tau', x', \vec{y}')$ , denoted by  $s_E(\tau, x; \tau', x')$ . First, we solve for the RT surface associated with the subsystem  $A_E$ . By symmetry, we can parameterize the RT surface as  $\tau = \tau(z)$ and x = x(z). There exists two conserved constant for the RT surface, which satisfy

$$\tau'(z)^{2} = \frac{p_{\tau}^{2}}{f(z)g(z)[f(z)L^{2}z^{2(1-d)} - (f(z)p_{x}^{2} + p_{\tau}^{2})]},$$
$$x'(z)^{2} = \frac{f(z)p_{x}^{2}}{g(z)[f(z)L^{2}z^{2(1-d)} - (f(z)p_{x}^{2} + p_{\tau}^{2})]}.$$
(2)

In the Euclidean solution  $f(z) \ge 0$ , there exists turning point of the RT surface  $z = z_{\tau} \le z_h$  such that  $\tau'(z_{\tau}), x'(z_{\tau}) \to \infty$ . This leads to the condition

$$f(z_{\tau})L^{2}z_{\tau}^{2(1-d)} - (f(z_{\tau})p_{x}^{2} + p_{\tau}^{2}) = 0.$$
(3)

By using the conditions  $\int_0^{z_\tau} dz \tau'(z) = \frac{\tau - \tau'}{2}$  and  $\int_0^{z_\tau} = \frac{x - x'}{2}$ , one could obtain the RT surface in the Euclidean section.

On the other hand if we consider a strip  $A_L$  in the Lorentzian metric (1), the RT surface with parametertion t = t(z) and x = x(z) would satisfy a relation similar to (2),

$$t'(z)^{2} = \frac{p_{t}^{2}}{f(z)g(z)[f(z)L^{2}z^{2(1-d)} - (f(z)p_{x}^{2} - p_{t}^{2})]},$$
  
$$x'(z)^{2} = \frac{f(z)p_{x}^{2}}{g(z)[f(z)L^{2}z^{2(1-d)} - (f(z)p_{x}^{2} - p_{t}^{2})]}.$$
 (4)

If the separation between (t, x) and (t', x') is timelike, there may not exist a real turing point  $z_t$  for the RT surface. For exmple, for a timelike strip on x = 0 with  $t \in [0, t_0]$ , we have  $p_x = 0$  and  $f(z_t)L^2 z_t^{2(1-d)} + p_t^2 = 0$ , thus the solutions of  $z_t$  are complex numbers for real  $p_t$ . There exists no turning point in the Lorentzian geometry (1). In general, these types of RT surface may pass through the horizon at  $z = z_h$  and possibly extend to the singularity inside the horizon. However, unlike in the Euclidean case, there is no way to fix the values of  $p_t$  and  $p_x$  in the Lorentzian geometry.

A specific choice is analytical continuation of the RT surface  $\tau(z)$  and x(z) in Euclidean metric. By comparing the Eq.(2) with the Wick rotation  $\tau \to it$  and (4), the conserved constants are fixed by

$$p_t^2 = -p_\tau^2|_{\tau \to it, \tau' \to it'},$$
  

$$p_x^2 = p_x^2|_{\tau \to it, \tau' \to it'}.$$
(5)

The turning point would also have a natural analytical result

$$z_t := z_\tau |_{\tau \to it, \tau' \to it'}.$$
 (6)

With these conditions one could solve the RT surface t(z) and x(z). We will present some examples later. The procedure outlined above provides a method to construct specific RT surfaces for timelike subregions. As mentioned, these RT surfaces extend into the horizon, offering a way to probe the interior of the black hole. After the analytical continuation (5), there are no turning points in the Lorentzian geometry. This suggests that the RT surface should be extended into the complexified geometry, consistent with the framework proposed in [24]. The area of the RT surface is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(t,x;t',x') = 2 \int_C dz \mathcal{L},$$
  
$$\mathcal{L} := \frac{R^{d-2}}{z^{2(d-1)}} \frac{\sqrt{f(z)}}{\sqrt{g(z)[f(z)L^2 z^{2(1-d)} - (f(z)p_x^2 - p_t^2)]}},$$
(7)

where R is the IR cut-of for the  $y_i$  directions, C is a path on the complex z plane connecting the boundary  $z = \delta$  to the turning point  $z_t$ , which is generally a branch point of the integrand in (7). However, there is no principled way to fix the path C on the complex z-plane. In this paper, we focus on RT surfaces that extend into the horizon. Thus, a natural choice for the path C is the one shown in Fig.7 with the path avoiding crossing the branch cut. We can then evaluate the area of the RT surface both inside and outside the horizon.

$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{out}} = 2 \int_{\delta}^{z_h} dz \mathcal{L},$$
  
$$\mathcal{A}_{\text{in}} = 2 \int_{z_h}^{z_t} dz \mathcal{L}.$$
 (8)

By definition we have  $\mathcal{A}(t, x; t', x') = \mathcal{A}_{in} + \mathcal{A}_{out}$ .



Figure 3: The choice for the path C in the complex z-plane. To evaluate the integral (7), we need to fix the path C that connecting  $z = \delta$  to  $z = z_t$ . A choice is the dot line. For our motivation we propose to choose path from  $\delta$  to  $z_h$  (solid black line), then  $z_h$  to  $z_t$  (solid red line).

### 3 Connecting with holographic timelike EE and the duality

Before constructing the duality relation in explicit examples, let us explain why we expect such a relation to exist. First, we note that the RT surfaces we have constructed can be interpreted as the holographic timelike EE for the strip subregions in the black hole geometry.

Timelike EE is well-defined and computable in QFTs, even though we may not fully understand its holographic duality. In 2-dimensional QFTs, the EE can be evaluated using the twist operator formalism, which allows the EE to be translated into calculating correlators involving local twist operators in Euclidean QFTs [29]. For timelike subregions, it is natural to define the timelike EE by analytically continuing correlators involving twist operators. Standard methods in QFTs exist for obtaining Lorentzian correlators, as discussed in, e.g., [30].

Our procedure for constructing the RT surface for timelike subregions can be interpreted as the bulk duality of the analytical continuation of twist operator correlators. We demonstrate this procedure with explicit examples, showing that it yields the expected results for timelike EE. In higher dimensions, twist operators are generally non-local. For the strip s(t, x; t', x') considered in this paper, the EE can be understood as correlators of non-local operators at the boundaries  $\Sigma_n(t, x)$  and  $\Sigma_n(t', x')$ . Consider the specific case  $A_L = s(0, 0; t_0, 0)$ , as illustrated in Fig.2(b). The timelike EE is associated with the correlator  $S_E \sim \langle \Sigma_n(t_0, 0) \Sigma_n(0, 0) \rangle_{QFT^n}$ , where the subscript denotes *n*-copies of the theory. By causality, the operators  $\Sigma_n(t_0, 0)$  can be decomposed as operators on the Cauchy surface t = 0 within the region  $x \in [-t_0, t_0]$ . Consequently,  $S_E$  is expected to be represented by correlators on the Cauchy surface, which may also exhibit bulk geometric duality. However, directly decomposing  $\Sigma_n(t_0, 0)$  is generally infeasible. In the following, we construct these relations through explicit examples. For the cases we consider, it appears that only the EE and its first-order temporal derivative are required.

To build the relation, we also need a spacelike subregion of the strip s(0, x; 0, x')with  $x, x' \in [-t_0, t_0]$ . The corresponding RT surfaces are spacelike. One can evaluate  $\mathcal{A}(0, x; 0, x')$  and  $\partial_t \mathcal{A}(0, x; 0, x')$ , which are related solely to information outside the black hole horizon. In the following, we will show that the timelike EE  $\mathcal{A}(0, 0; t_0, 0)$  is solely related to this information of spacelike EE. Thus,  $\mathcal{A}_{in}$  can be constructed by only using information outside the horizon.

#### 4 Examples

Poincaré coordinate The simplest example is the pure AdS<sub>3</sub> in Poincare coordinate with metric  $ds^2 = \frac{-dt^2 + dz^2 + dx^2}{z^2}$ . Let us construct the timelike RT surface associated with the interval  $[0, t_0]$  on the slice x = 0. Performing the procedure in previous section, we can obtain  $p_{\tau_0} = \frac{1}{2\tau_0}$ . By using (5), we have

$$p_{t_0}^2 = \frac{1}{4t_0^2}.$$
(9)

One could solve for the RT surface in Lorentzian metric and obtain  $z_t = i\frac{t_0}{2}$ . There exists a horizon at infity  $z = +\infty$  in the Poincaré coordinate. Through some calculations, the area of the RT surface inside the horizon is given by  $\mathcal{A}_{in} = i\pi$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{out} = 2\log \frac{t_0}{\delta}$ . By definition, the total length of the RT surface is  $\mathcal{A}(0,0;t_0,0) = \mathcal{A}_{in} + \mathcal{A}_{out}$ . It is straightforward to show that the following relation holds,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm in} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{A}(0, -t_0; 0, 0) + \mathcal{A}(0, 0; 0, t_0) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int_{-t_0}^{t_0} dx \partial_t \mathcal{A}(0, x; 0, 0) - \mathcal{A}_{\rm out}.$$
(10)

By RT formula  $S = \frac{\mathcal{A}(0,0;t_0,0)}{4G}$ , we obtain the expected result for the timelike EE of the interval  $[0, t_0]$ . The relation (10) is precisely the timelike and spacelike EE relation constructed in [26] for the CFT vacuum state. Notably, the right-hand side of (10) involves only the RT surfaces outside the horizon  $(z = \infty)$ .

BTZ black hole and AdS-Rindler The metric of BTZ black hole is given by

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[ -f(z)dt^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} + dx^{2} \right],$$
(11)

with  $f(z) = 1 - \frac{z^2}{z_h^2}$ , where  $z = z_h$  is the horizon of black hole. The singularity is at  $z = \infty$ . We consider the timelike interval  $[0, t_0]$  on x = 0. For the strip  $s(0, 0; \tau_0, 0)$  we

can obtain  $p_{\tau_0} = \frac{\sin \frac{\tau_0}{z_h}}{z_h(1-\cos \frac{\tau}{z_h})}$ . By using (5) we have

$$p_{t_0}^2 = \frac{1}{z_h^2} \left( \coth \frac{t_0}{2z_h} \right)^2.$$
(12)

The turing point is given by  $z_{t_0} = i \sinh \frac{t_0}{2z_h}$ . The length of RT surface inside and outside the horizon is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm in} = 2\log\left[\left(1 + \coth\frac{t_0}{z_h}\right)\sinh\frac{t_0}{2z_h}\right] + i\pi,$$
$$\mathcal{A}_{\rm out} = 2\log\left[\frac{2z_h}{\delta}\frac{1}{1 + \coth\frac{t_0}{2z_h}}\right].$$
(13)

See the Appendix for calculation details. The above result yields the expected value for the timelike EE of the interval  $[0, t_0]$ . It can be shown that the RT surfaces inside and outside the horizon satisfy the relation (10).

For  $z_h = 1$ , the metric corresponds to AdS-Rindler coordinates, which cover only a portion of AdS. A causal horizon exists at z = 1. The results for AdS-Rindler can be obtained by taking  $z_h \rightarrow 1$  in the BTZ case.

Higher dimensional examples Let us firstly consider the pure  $\operatorname{AdS}_d(d \geq 3)$ . The metric is given by (1) with f(z) = g(z) = 1. There exists a horizon at  $z = \infty$ . We consider the strip  $s(0, 0; t_0, 0)$ . As the same procedure we can evaluate the RT surface in the Euclidean metric for the subsystem  $s_E(0, 0; \tau_0, 0)$ . We find

$$p_{\tau}^2 = z_{\tau}^{2-2d}, \quad \text{with} \quad z_{\tau} = \frac{\tau_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}.$$
 (14)

With the analytical continuation  $\tau_0 \rightarrow it_0$ , we obtain

$$p_t^2 = i^{2(2-d)} \left( \frac{t_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})} \right)^{2-2d}.$$
(15)

The turning point can also be obtained by analytical continuation  $z_t = \frac{it_0\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}$ . The area of the RT surface is given by

$$\mathcal{A}(0,0;t_0,0) = \frac{2R^{d-2}}{(d-2)\delta^{d-2}} + 2\kappa_d \frac{R^{d-2}(-i)^d}{t_0^{d-2}},\tag{16}$$

with  $\kappa_d = \frac{\pi \frac{d-1}{2} 2^{d-2}}{d-2} \left(\frac{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}\right)^{d-1}$ . See the Appendix for calculation details. The results are consistent with [24, 31]. For the spacelike strip, the first-order temporal derivative satisfies the following relation [31],

$$x^{d-2}\partial_t \mathcal{A}(0,x;0,0) = 2i\kappa_d(d-2)\pi\delta(x).$$
(17)

Just like the  $AdS_3$  case, the RT surface approaches the horizon  $z = \infty$  and extends into the complexified geometry. The areas of the RT surface inside and outside the horizon can be evaluated. Guided by Eq. (17) and inspired by the  $AdS_3$  relation (10), we construct the following equation

$$\mathcal{A}(0,0;t_0,0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{A}(0,-t_0;0,0) + \mathcal{A}(0,0;0,t_0) \right) \\ + \frac{i[(-i)^{d-2}-1]}{t_0^{d-2}(d-2)\pi} \int_{-t_0}^{t_0} dx x^{d-2} \partial_t \mathcal{A}(0,x;0,0).$$
(18)

One can directly verify the above formula using Eqs. (16) and (17). Notably, in the limit  $d \rightarrow 2$ , the formula reduces to the AdS<sub>3</sub> case given by Eq. (10).

Now, let us consider the black hole background with  $f(z) = g(z) = 1 - \frac{z^d}{z_h^d}$ . We will still consider the timelike strip  $s(0,0;t_0,0)$ . In this case, obtaining an analytical expression for the RT surface area is challenging. However, for small strips satisfying  $t_0 \ll z_h$ , the problem can be solved perturbatively. In this section, we set  $z_h = 1$  and assume  $t_0 \ll 1$ , keeping only the leading-order contributions.

Both the timelike and spacelike RT surfaces receive thermal corrections. Let  $\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x)$  denote the area of the RT surface for the spacelike strip with width x on the Cauchy surface t = 0. For  $x \ll 1$ , the leading-order thermal correction is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x) = \mathcal{A}(0,0;0,x) + \delta \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x),$$
  
with  $\delta \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x) = \alpha_d x^2 + O(x^{2+d}),$  (19)

where  $\delta A_{bh}$  represents the thermal correction to the EE of the spacelike strip. The results for  $\alpha_d$  are provided in the Appendix.

For the timelike strip  $s(0, 0; t_0, 0)$  we can also obtain the thermal correction. The procedure is same as before. We consider the strip  $s_E(0, 0; \tau_0, 0)$  in the Euclidean spacetime. By some calculations we obtain the turining point

$$z_{\tau_0} = \frac{\tau_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})} + \zeta_d \tau_0^{d+1} + O(\tau^{2d+1}),$$
(20)

where  $\zeta_d$  is the constant depending only on d. The explicit results are provided in the Appendix. After performing the analytical continuation  $\tau \to it$ , we obtain the turning point  $z_{t_0} = z_{\tau_0}|_{\tau_0 \to it_0}$ . We consider the RT surface connecting  $z = \delta$  to  $z_{t_0}$ , with the path C on complex z-plane chosen similarly to the vacuum case. Given this path, we can then evaluate the area of the RT surface.

$$\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;t_0,0) = \mathcal{A}(0,0;t_0,0) + \beta_d t_0^2 + O(t_0^{2+d}),$$
(21)

where  $\beta_d$  is a constant that depends only on d. The explicit results are provided in the Appendix.

We now demonstrate that the relation (17) remains valid in the black hole background. Consider a strip s(t, x; 0, 0) with  $t \ll 1$  while ensuring  $-t^2 + x^2 > 0$ , i.e., a spacelike strip. The holographic EE can be computed perturbatively in this regime. Due to time-reversal symmetry, thermal corrections to the RT surface  $\mathcal{A}_{bh}(t, x; 0, 0)$  cannot introduce terms linear in t, the leading-order corrections must be at most  $O(t^2)$ . Consequently, we find  $\partial_t \mathcal{A}_{bh}(t, x; 0, 0)|_{t\to 0} = \partial_t \mathcal{A}(t, x; 0, 0)|_{t\to 0}$ , implying that thermal corrections do not affect the first-order temporal derivative of the spacelike RT surface.

Notably, the corrections to the spacelike and timelike strips differ, i.e.,  $\alpha_d \neq \beta_d$ . However, we find that they obey the universal relation

$$\frac{\beta_d}{\alpha_d} = -\frac{d-3}{d-1}.\tag{22}$$

Thus the relation (18) can be modified as

$$\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;t_{0},0) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,-t_{0};0,0) + \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,t_{0}) \right) \\ - \frac{d-2}{d-1} \left( \delta \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,-t_{0};0,0) + \delta \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,t_{0}) \right) \\ + \frac{i[(-i)^{d-2}-1}{t_{0}^{d-2}(d-2)\pi} \int_{-t_{0}}^{t_{0}} dx x^{d-2} \partial_{t} \mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,x;0,0) + O(t_{0}^{d+2}).$$
(23)

The right-hand side of the above equation only includes information outside the black hole horizon. For d = 2 there are no thermal corrections on the right-hand side, which is consistent with the formula (10) for the BTZ black hole. We can divide the RT surface for the timelike strip into the inside and outside parts of the horizon. Thus, the RT surface inside the horizon can be constructed using quantities outside the horizon.

#### 5 Discussion

The RT surfaces for timelike subregions can cross the horizon, thereby exploring the interior structure of the black hole. In this paper, we demonstrate how to construct the RT surface for the timelike strip via analytical continuation. We also uncover a duality between the RT surfaces inside and outside the black hole horizon, which can be interpreted as a realization of the concept of black hole complementarity: the information inside the horizon can be reconstructed from the degrees of freedom outside the horizon.

In our construction, it seems necessary to consider the RT surface in the complexified geometry. The role of the complexified geometry in understanding the interior of black holes remains unclear. In this paper, we mainly focus on the static black hole case, where f = g in the general metric (1). If matter is included in the bulk, generally we would have  $f \neq g$ . It has been shown in [9] that the metric near the singularity would resemble a more general Kasner universe [32, 33]. It would be interesting to investigate whether it is possible to construct the duality relation in this case and explore the

properties of the singularity through this duality. Additionally, it would be intriguing to explore whether a similar duality exists in the dynamic case, such as when considering the evolution of a black hole with Hawking radiation [34, 35, 36]. The duality relation we have established may provide insight into the relationship between interior degrees of freedom and Hawking radiation.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank Song He, Yan Liu, Rong-Xin Miao, Jia-Rui Sun Tadashi Takayanagi, Run-Qiu Yang, Jiaju Zhang and Yu-Xuan Zhang for useful discussions. WZG would also like to express my gratitude to the Gauge/Gravity Duality 2024 conference, where part of the content of this work was presented. WZG is supposed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.12005070 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under Grants NO.2020kfyXJJS041.

### A RT surfaces for BTZ black hole and AdS-Rindler wedge

In this section, we present the detailed calculations for the area of RT surfaces in the BTZ black hole and the AdS-Rindler wedge.

The metric of the 3-dimensional AdS-Rindler wedge can be seen as the case  $z_h = 1$ . The AdS-Rindler coordinate is generally written as

$$ds^{2} = -(r^{2} - 1)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{r^{2} - 1} + r^{2}dx^{2},$$
(24)

 $1 < r < +\infty, -\infty < x < +\infty$  and  $-\infty < t < +\infty$ . This coordinate system only covers part of the global coordinates. A horizon exists at z = 1. By performing the coordinate transformation  $r = \frac{1}{z}$ , the metric becomes

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[ -f(z)dt^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} + dx^{2} \right],$$
(25)

where  $f(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{z^2}$ . The AdS-Rindler coordinate is given by (11) with  $z_h = 1$ .

Consider the Euclidean section of the metric of BTZ,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left[ f(z)d\tau^{2} + \frac{dz^{2}}{f(z)} + dx^{2} \right],$$
(26)

where  $\tau$  is the Euclidean time with  $\tau \sim \tau + 2\pi z_h$ . The boundary CFT is thus in the thermal state with inverse temperature  $\beta = 2\pi z_h$ .

As discussed in the main text, our focus is on the RT surface associated with the timelike interval  $[0, t_0]$  and the temporal derivative of the RT surface for the spacelike interval  $[x_0, x_1]$ . The results presented above pertain to the RT surface corresponding to the spacetime interval between the points (t, x) and (t', x'). Our approach involves evaluating these results in the Euclidean metric (26), after which the necessary results are obtained through the analytical continuation  $\tau \to it$ .

Let us consider an interval  $A_E$  between  $(\tau, x)$  and  $(\tau', x')$  on the boundary of Euclidean AdS-Rindler (26). We would like to evaluate the RT surface for  $A_E$ , which can be parameterized as  $\tau = \tau(z)$  and x = x(z). There exists two conserved constants for the RT surface,

$$p_{\tau} = \frac{f(z)^{3/2} \tau'(z)}{z\sqrt{1+f(z)x'(z)+f(z)\tau'(z)^2}},$$

$$p_x = \frac{f(z)^{1/2}x'(r)}{z\sqrt{1+f(z)x'(z)+f(z)\tau'(z)^2}}.$$
(27)

There exists turning point of the geodesic with  $z = z_*$  satisfying  $\tau'(z_*) = \infty$  and  $x'(z_*) = \infty$ . We also have the relation

$$-f(z_*)L^2 + f(z_*)p_x^2 z_*^2 + p_\tau^2 z_*^2 = 0,$$
(28)

and the conditions

$$\int_0^{z_*} dz \tau'(z) dz = \frac{\tau - \tau'}{2}, \quad \int_0^{z_*} dz x'(z) dz = \frac{x - x'}{2}.$$
 (29)

With these conditions we can obtain

$$p_{\tau} = \frac{\sin \frac{\tau - \tau'}{z_h}}{\cosh \frac{x - x'}{z_h} - \cos \frac{\tau - \tau'}{z_h}},$$

$$p_x = \frac{\sinh \frac{x - x'}{z_h}}{\cosh \frac{x - x'}{z_h} - \cos \frac{\tau - \tau'}{z_h}},$$

$$z_* = z_h \sqrt{\frac{\cosh \frac{x - x'}{z_h} - \cos \frac{\tau - \tau'}{z_h}}{1 + \cosh \frac{x - x'}{z_h}}}.$$
(30)

The length of the geodesic line in the Euclidean section is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = 2z_h \log\left(\frac{4z_h^2 \sinh\left(\frac{\bar{w}}{2z_h}\right) \sinh\left(\frac{w}{2z_h}\right)}{\delta^2}\right),\tag{31}$$

where  $w = x + i\tau$  and  $\bar{w} = x - i\tau$ . Taking  $z_h = \frac{\beta}{2\pi}$  into the above equation and using RT formula, we obtain the expected result for EE in thermal state with inverse temperature  $\beta$ . One could obtain the results for the timelike regions by using the analytical continuation  $\tau \to it$ .

We can also obtain the same results by following the procedure discussed in the main text. Consider the interval on the time coordinate  $[0, t_0]$ . One could obtain  $p_t$ ,  $p_x$  and  $z_t$  through analytical continuation. The results are

$$p_t = \frac{\coth \frac{t_0}{2z_H}}{z_h}, \quad p_x = 0, \quad z_t = iz_h \sinh \frac{t_0}{2z_H}.$$
 (32)

With these, one can solve for the timelike RT curve t = t(z). The length of geodesic line is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = 2 \int_C dz \frac{z_h}{z} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_t^2 z^2 z_H^2 - (z^2 - z_h^2)}},$$
(33)

where the path C is chosen as shown in Fig.4.



Figure 4: The path chosen for the integration is given by (33).

It can be shown directly that the integration yields the correct results for the timelike EE. It is also straightforward to calculate  $\mathcal{A}_{in}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{out}$  by the definition (8).

## B Calculation Details for the Higher-Dimensional Strip in the Vacuum State

In this section, we present the details of the calculation for the higher-dimensional strip. For the vacuum state, where f = g = 1, analytical results can be obtained. We will primarily focus on d = 3 and d = 4, highlighting the significant differences between even and odd-dimensional cases.

Consider the strip  $s_E(0,0;\tau_0,0)$ . By using the Eq.(2) and the conditions that  $\int_0^{z_\tau} \tau'(z) dz = \frac{\tau_0}{2}$ , where  $z_\tau$  is the turning point of the RT surface. One could obtain

$$p_{\tau} = z_{\tau}^{2-2d},\tag{34}$$

with

$$z_{\tau} = \frac{\tau_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}.$$
(35)

With the continuation  $\tau \to it$ , we find

$$p_t^2 = (-)^{2-d} \left( \frac{t_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})} \right)^{2-2d}.$$
(36)

Note that  $p_t$  is real for even d, while it is impinary for odd d. This leads to a significantly different behavior in odd and even dimensions. The turning point  $z_t$  can also be obtained through analytical continuation  $z_t = z_{\tau}|_{\tau \to it}$ ,

$$z_t = \frac{it_0 \Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}.$$
(37)

With these results one could evaluate the area for the RT surface. From Eq.(7) we will have

$$\mathcal{A} = \int_C dz \mathcal{L} \text{ with } \frac{1}{z^{d-1}\sqrt{1+p_t^2 z^{2(d-1)}}},$$
(38)

where C is the path connecting  $z = \delta$  to  $z_t$ .

On the complex z-plane the integrand in (38) will have pole at z = 0 and branch points

$$z_{b,k} = z_t e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{2(d-1)}}, \quad \text{with} \quad k = 0, ..., 2d - 3.$$
 (39)



Figure 5: The path chosen for the integration is given by (38) with d = 3.

For d = 3 and d = 4, the branch cuts are shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6. For d = 3 a branch cut exists along the Re(z) coordinate, whereas no such cut appears for d = 4.



Figure 6: The path chosen for the integration is given by (38) with d = 4.

We can now evaluate the area of the RT surface by carefully selecting the integration path  $C: \delta \to z_t$ . Our goal is to construct an RT surface that extends to the horizon, which, in Poincaré coordinates, is located at  $z = \infty$ . The chosen paths are illustrated in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6.

Let us first consider the case of d = 3. It can be easily shown that the contribution from the path  $C_{\infty}$  is zero. After some calculations, we obtain

$$\int_{C_r} dz \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{\delta} - \frac{2\left(\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2\right)}{t_0\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2} + \frac{2i\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2}{t_0\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2},$$
$$\int_{C_i} dz \mathcal{L} = \frac{2\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2}{t_0\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2},$$
(40)

9

where  $\delta$  is the UV cut-off. Thus we obtain the area of the RT surface

$$\mathcal{A}_{d=3} = \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{2i\pi\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^2}{t_0\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^2}.$$
(41)

For the d = 4 case, it is also easy to show that the contributions from  $C_{\infty}$  vanish.

The contributions from the remaining paths are given by

$$\int_{C_r} dz \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2\delta^2} + \frac{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{11}{6}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2}{45t_0^2\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)^2},$$

$$\int_{C_{b,1}+C_{b,2}} dz \mathcal{L} = \frac{i\left(\sqrt{3}-3i\right)\pi^{3/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)}{4t_0^2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)},$$

$$\int_{C_i} dz \mathcal{L} = -\frac{i\pi^2\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{6}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{5}{3}\right)}{2^{1/3}t_0^2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{7}{6}\right)}$$
(42)

Thus the area of the RT surface in the d = 4 case is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{d=4} = \frac{1}{2\delta^2} + \frac{2\pi^{3/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^3}{t_0^2\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^3}.$$
(43)

By our definition we can take  $\int_{C_r} dz \mathcal{L}$  as the length of the RT surface outside the horizon. The total area of the RT surface is consistent with the results expected to timelike strip, discussed in [24, 31].

In the vacuum case, the RT surface t = t(z) can be solved analytically. By (4) we have

$$t(z) = \int_0^z dz t'(z), \text{ with } t'(z) = \frac{\pm i p_t}{\sqrt{z^{2(1-d)} + p_t^2}}.$$
(44)

The integration can be obtained analytically,

$$t(z) = t_0 \pm \frac{i}{d} \frac{z^d}{z_t^{d-1}} \, _2F_1\left[\frac{1}{2}, \frac{d}{2(d-1)}, \frac{3d-2}{2(d-1)}, \left(\frac{z}{z_t}\right)^{2(d-1)}\right],$$

In general, the RT surface extends into the complexified geometry, even for real values of z.

For d = 3 with  $z \in (\delta, \infty)$ , t(z) starts from the boundary  $z = \delta$ . In the region  $z \in (\delta, z_{b,3})$  the real part of t(z) is constant  $t_0$ , but its imaginary part will increase with z. Then the imginary part of t(z) would be constant in  $z \in (z_{b,3}, +\infty)$ , the real part of t(z) will approach to infinity as  $z \to +\infty$ .

For d = 4 it is easy to see that the RT surface t(z) is real for  $z \in (\delta, +\infty)$ . Thus the RT surface in this region will explore the Lorentzian metric and approach to the horizon.

### C Calculation Details for the Higher-Dimensional Strip in the Black Hole

In this section, we provide details of the calculation for the RT surface in a higherdimensional black hole. We set  $z_h = 1$  and assume the strip satisfies  $t_0 \ll 1$ . This allows us to perturbatively compute the area of the RT surface for the timelike strip. Consider



Figure 7: Plot of the RT surface t(z) for real z in the d = 3 case.

a strip  $s_E(0,0;\tau_0,0)$  in Euclidean QFTs with  $\tau_0 \ll 1$ . The turning point  $z_{\tau_0}$  can be obtained using (2) and (3), along with the condition

$$\int_{0}^{z_{\tau_0}} \tau'(z) dz = \frac{\tau_0}{2}.$$
(45)

One could perturbatively solve  $z_{\tau_0}$  as

$$z_{\tau_0} = \zeta_0 \tau_0 + \zeta_d \tau_0^{d+1}, \tag{46}$$

with

$$\zeta_{0} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})}{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})},$$
  
$$\zeta_{d} = -\frac{\zeta_{0}^{d+1}\left(2d-2 + \frac{(d-3)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2(d-1)})\Gamma(\frac{d}{d-1})}{\Gamma(\frac{1-3d}{2-2d})\Gamma(\frac{d}{2(d-1)})}\right)}{4(d-1)^{2}}.$$
 (47)

With the analytical continuation  $\tau_0 \to it_0$ , the turning point  $z_{t_0}$  can be determined. The RT surface t = t(z) can also be obtained perturbatively. The conserved constant  $p_t$  can be obtained by using

$$f(z_t)z_t^{2(1-d)} + p_t^2 = 0.$$
(48)

From Eq.(7) we will have

$$\mathcal{A} = 2 \int_C dz \mathcal{L} \text{ with } \frac{1}{z^{d-1} \sqrt{f(z) + p_t^2 z^{2(d-1)}}},$$
 (49)

d	$\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;t_0,0)$	$\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x_0)$	$\beta_d/\alpha_d$
3	$rac{2}{\delta}+rac{iig(8\pi^3ig)}{t_0\Gammaig(rac{1}{4}ig)^4}$	$\frac{2}{\delta} + \frac{x_0^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4}{64\pi^2} - \frac{8\pi^3}{x_0 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4}$	0
4	$\frac{1}{\delta^2} + \frac{4\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})^3}{t_0^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})^3} - \frac{t_0^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})^2\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})^2}{20\sqrt{2}\pi^2\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})}$	$\frac{1}{\delta^2} + \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}x_0^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{3})\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})}{15\Gamma(\frac{5}{6})^2\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})^2} - \frac{\pi^{3/2}\Gamma(\frac{2}{3})\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})^2}{4x_0^2(\Gamma(\frac{1}{6})\Gamma(\frac{7}{6})^2)}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$
5	$\frac{2}{3\delta^3} - \frac{i\pi^2\Gamma(\frac{13}{8})\Gamma(\frac{5}{8})^3}{480t^3\Gamma(\frac{9}{8})^4} - \frac{t^2\Gamma(\frac{1}{8})^2\Gamma(\frac{9}{8})}{12\ 2^{3/4}\pi\Gamma(\frac{5}{8})\Gamma(\frac{3}{4})}$	$\frac{\frac{2}{3\delta^3} - \frac{\pi^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{13}{8}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)^3}{480 x_0^3 \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^4} + \frac{10\sqrt[4]{2} x_0^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{9}{8}\right)^3}{3\pi \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{13}{8}\right)}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$
6	$ \left  \begin{array}{c} \frac{1}{2\delta^4} - \frac{\pi^{5/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^5}{972t_0^4 \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{10}\right)^5} - \frac{27t_0^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{10}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{10}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{6}{5}\right)}{200\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{8}{5}\right)^2 \Gamma\left(\frac{17}{10}\right)} \right. \right. $	$\frac{1}{2\delta^4} - \frac{\pi^{5/2}\Gamma(\frac{8}{5})^5}{972x_0^4\Gamma(\frac{11}{10})^5} + \frac{45x_0^2\Gamma(\frac{6}{5})\Gamma(\frac{11}{10})^2}{14\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{7}{10})\Gamma(\frac{8}{5})^2}$	$-\frac{3}{5}$

Table 1: List of the perturbative results for  $\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;t_0,0)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;0,x_0)$  for d = 3, 4, 5, 6.

where C is the path connecting  $z = \delta$  to  $z_t$ . One can choose the path C as in the vacuum case. A more practical approach to evaluating the integral is to first obtain the result for the Euclidean case and then apply analytical continuation.

The area for the RT surface in the Euclidean metric is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_E = 2 \int_{\delta}^{z_{\tau_0}} dz \frac{1}{z^{d-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{z^{2d} z_{\tau_0}^{2-2d} \left(1 - z_{\tau_0}^d\right) - z^{d+2} + z^2}}.$$

One could work out this integration perturbatively

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_E &= \frac{2}{(d-2)\delta^{d-2}} - \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2(d-1)}\right)}{z_{\tau_0}^{d-2}(d-2)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right)} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\pi}z_{\tau_0}^2 \left[\frac{(d-3)\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{d-1}\right)}{(d-1)\Gamma\left(\frac{d+1}{2(d-1)}\right)} + \frac{4\Gamma\left(\frac{3d-2}{2(d-1)}\right)}{d\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2(d-1)}\right)}\right] \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (46) into the above expression, we can obtain the area of the RT surface in the Euclidean metric. By applying the continuation  $\tau_0 \rightarrow it_0$ , the results in Lorentzian geometry  $\mathcal{A}_{bh}(0,0;t_0,0)$  can be derived. The calculations are straightforward, though the expression is lengthy. We will present some results for d = 3, 4, 5, 6 in Table.1.

Similarly, one could calculate the RT surface for spacelike strip  $s(0,0;0,x_0)$ . Assume  $x_0 \ll 1$  the results can be obtained perturbatively. One could solve for the turning point  $z_x$  by using (2) with  $p_{\tau} = 0$  and the condition  $\int_0^{z_x} dz x'(z) = \frac{x_0}{2}$ . The area can be calculated by

$$\mathcal{A}_s = 2 \int_{\delta}^{z_x} dz \frac{1}{z^{d-2}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{(z^d - 1)\left(z^{2d} z_x^{2-2d} - z^2\right)}}.$$
(50)

We only show some results for d = 3, 4, 5, 6 in Table.1.

#### References

- C. R. Stephens, G. 't Hooft and B. F. Whiting, "Black hole evaporation without information loss," Class. Quant. Grav. 11, 621-648 (1994) [arXiv:gr-qc/9310006 [gr-qc]].
- [2] L. Susskind, L. Thorlacius and J. Uglum, "The Stretched horizon and black hole complementarity," Phys. Rev. D 48, 3743-3761 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9306069 [hepth]].
- [3] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, "Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT," Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 181602 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603001 [hep-th]].
- [4] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, "A Covariant holographic entanglement entropy proposal," JHEP 07, 062 (2007) [arXiv:0705.0016 [hep-th]].
- [5] V. E. Hubeny, "Extremal surfaces as bulk probes in AdS/CFT," JHEP 07 (2012), 093 [arXiv:1203.1044 [hep-th]].
- [6] N. Engelhardt and A. C. Wall, "Extremal Surface Barriers," JHEP 03, 068 (2014) [arXiv:1312.3699 [hep-th]].
- [7] T. Hartman and J. Maldacena, "Time Evolution of Entanglement Entropy from Black Hole Interiors," JHEP 05 (2013), 014 [arXiv:1303.1080 [hep-th]].
- [8] L. Fidkowski, V. Hubeny, M. Kleban and S. Shenker, "The Black hole singularity in AdS / CFT," JHEP 02 (2004), 014 [arXiv:hep-th/0306170 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. Frenkel, S. A. Hartnoll, J. Kruthoff and Z. D. Shi, "Holographic flows from CFT to the Kasner universe," JHEP 08 (2020), 003 [arXiv:2004.01192 [hep-th]].
- [10] D. Stanford and L. Susskind, "Complexity and Shock Wave Geometries," Phys. Rev. D 90, no.12, 126007 (2014) [arXiv:1406.2678 [hep-th]].
- [11] A. R. Brown, D. A. Roberts, L. Susskind, B. Swingle and Y. Zhao, "Holographic Complexity Equals Bulk Action?," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no.19, 191301 (2016) [arXiv:1509.07876 [hep-th]].
- [12] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi and Y. Taki, "Pseudoentropy in dS/CFT and Timelike Entanglement Entropy," Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, no.3, 031601 (2023) [arXiv:2210.09457 [hep-th]].
- [13] Y. Nakata, T. Takayanagi, Y. Taki, K. Tamaoka and Z. Wei, "New holographic generalization of entanglement entropy," Phys. Rev. D 103 (2021) no.2, 026005 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.026005 [arXiv:2005.13801 [hep-th]].
- [14] S. J. Olson and T. C. Ralph, "Entanglement between the future and past in the quantum vacuum," Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 (2011), 110404 [arXiv:1003.0720 [quantph]].

- [15] J. Cotler, C. M. Jian, X. L. Qi and F. Wilczek, "Superdensity Operators for Spacetime Quantum Mechanics," JHEP 09 (2018), 093 [arXiv:1711.03119 [quant-ph]].
- [16] P. Wang, H. Wu and H. Yang, "Fix the dual geometries of  $T\bar{T}$  deformed CFT<sub>2</sub> and highly excited states of CFT<sub>2</sub>," Eur. Phys. J. C **80** (2020) no.12, 1117 [arXiv:1811.07758 [hep-th]].
- [17] A. Lerose, M. Sonner and D. A. Abanin, "Scaling of temporal entanglement in proximity to integrability," Phys. Rev. B 104 (2021) no.3, 035137 [arXiv:2104.07607 [quant-ph]].
- [18] G. Giudice, G. Giudici, M. Sonner, J. Thoenniss, A. Lerose, D. A. Abanin and L. Piroli, "Temporal Entanglement, Quasiparticles, and the Role of Interactions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **128** (2022) no.22, 220401 [arXiv:2112.14264 [cond-mat.stat-mech]].
- [19] K. Narayan, "de Sitter space, extremal surfaces, and time entanglement," Phys. Rev. D 107 (2023) no.12, 126004 doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.126004 [arXiv:2210.12963 [hep-th]].
- [20] B. Liu, H. Chen and B. Lian, "Entanglement entropy of free fermions in timelike slices," Phys. Rev. B 110 (2024) no.14, 144306 [arXiv:2210.03134 [cond-mat.statmech]].
- [21] P. Glorioso, X. L. Qi and Z. Yang, "Space-time generalization of mutual information," JHEP 05 (2024), 338 [arXiv:2401.02475 [quant-ph]].
- [22] A. Milekhin, Z. Adamska and J. Preskill, "Observable and computable entanglement in time," [arXiv:2502.12240 [quant-ph]].
- [23] K. Doi, J. Harper, A. Mollabashi, T. Takayanagi and Y. Taki, "Timelike entanglement entropy," JHEP 05, 052 (2023) [arXiv:2302.11695 [hep-th]].
- [24] M. P. Heller, F. Ori and A. Serantes, "Geometric interpretation of timelike entanglement entropy," [arXiv:2408.15752 [hep-th]].
- [25] T. Anegawa and K. Tamaoka, "Black hole singularity and timelike entanglement," JHEP 10 (2024), 182 [arXiv:2406.10968 [hep-th]].
- [26] W. z. Guo, S. He and Y. X. Zhang, "Relation between timelike and spacelike entanglement entropy," [arXiv:2402.00268 [hep-th]].
- [27] I. Heemskerk, D. Marolf, J. Polchinski and J. Sully, "Bulk and Transhorizon Measurements in AdS/CFT," JHEP 10 (2012), 165 [arXiv:1201.3664 [hep-th]].
- [28] L. Susskind, "The Transfer of Entanglement: The Case for Firewalls," [arXiv:1210.2098 [hep-th]].
- [29] P. Calabrese and J. L. Cardy, "Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory," J. Stat. Mech. 0406 (2004), P06002 [arXiv:hep-th/0405152 [hep-th]].

- [30] T. Hartman, S. Jain and S. Kundu, "Causality Constraints in Conformal Field Theory," JHEP 05 (2016), 099 [arXiv:1509.00014 [hep-th]].
- [31] J. Xu and W. z. Guo, "Imaginary part of timelike entanglement entropy," JHEP 02 (2025), 094 [arXiv:2410.22684 [hep-th]].
- [32] E. Kasner, "Geometrical theorems on Einstein's cosmological equations," Am. J. Math. 43 (1921), 217-221
- [33] V. A. Belinski and I. M. Khalatnikov, "Effect of Scalar and Vector Fields on the Nature of the Cosmological Singularity," Sov. Phys. JETP 36 (1973), 591
- [34] A. Almheiri, N. Engelhardt, D. Marolf and H. Maxfield, "The entropy of bulk quantum fields and the entanglement wedge of an evaporating black hole," JHEP 12, 063 (2019) [arXiv:1905.08762 [hep-th]].
- [35] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena and Y. Zhao, "The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry," JHEP 03, 149 (2020) [arXiv:1908.10996 [hep-th]].
- [36] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, "The entropy of Hawking radiation," Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, no.3, 035002 (2021) [arXiv:2006.06872 [hep-th]].