

HALL POLYNOMIALS FOR WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE LINES

JIAYI CHEN, BANGMING DENG AND SHIQUAN RUAN

Dedicated to Professor Claus Michael Ringel on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. This paper deals with the triangle singularity defined by the equation $f = X_1^{p_1} + X_2^{p_2} + X_3^{p_3}$ for weight triple (p_1, p_2, p_3) , as well as the category of coherent sheaves over the weighted projective line \mathbb{X} defined by f . We calculate Hall polynomials associated to extensions bundles, line bundles and torsion sheaves over \mathbb{X} . By using derived equivalence, this provides a unified conceptual method for calculating Hall polynomials for representations of tame quivers obtained by Szántó and Szöllősi [J. Pure Appl. Alg. **228** (2024)].

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Hall algebra was first studied by Steinitz [33] and later by Hall [14] in the context of finite abelian p -groups. It turns out that this algebra is isomorphic to the ring of symmetric functions and plays an important role in the study of representations of symmetric groups and general linear groups; see [20]. In 1990, Ringel [24] introduced the Hall algebra $H(R)$ of a finitary ring R , which is the free abelian group with basis the set of isomorphism classes of finite R -modules, and the structure constants are given by the so-called Hall numbers

$$F_{M,N}^L := |\{X \subseteq L \mid X \cong N, L/X \cong M\}|.$$

where L, M, N are finite R -modules. Ringel then proved that the Hall algebra of a finite dimensional representation-finite hereditary algebra over a finite field \mathbf{k} is isomorphic to the positive part of the corresponding quantum group. By defining a bialgebra structure on the Hall algebra $H(R)$ of an arbitrary finite dimensional hereditary algebra R , Green [12] proved that the composition subalgebra of $H(R)$ generated by simple modules gives a realization of the positive part of the corresponding quantum group.

In [23], Ringel showed that Hall numbers for representation-directed algebras over finite fields \mathbf{k} are actually integer polynomials in the cardinality $|\mathbf{k}|$, called Hall polynomials, and he further calculated Hall polynomials for three indecomposable modules over a representation-finite hereditary algebra in [25]. Since then, much subsequent work is concerned with the existence of Hall polynomials and explicit formulas of certain Hall polynomials. For example, the existence of Hall polynomials for representations of tame quivers was studied in [26, 13, 43, 16, 7, 6], and Hall polynomials for some special classes of representations of tame quivers were calculated in [44, 34, 35, 37, 38].

We remark that Hall polynomials have significant applications in the study of cluster algebras, as well as for counting rational points of quiver moduli and for constructing canonical bases of affine quantum groups; see, e.g., [1, 2, 21, 3, 35, 5, 42, 41].

Date: February 25, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 17B37, 16G20, 18G60.

Key words and phrases. Hall polynomial; Hall algebra; coherent sheaf; extension bundle; Weighted projective line.

The notions of weighted projective lines and their coherent sheaf categories were introduced by Geigle and Lenzing [11] in order to provide geometric counterparts to canonical algebras and their module categories studied by Ringel [22]. The Hall algebra of a weighted projective line was studied by Schiffmann [30] and further by Dou–Jiang–Xiao in connection with quantum loop algebras. The existence of Hall polynomials for coherent sheaves over a weighted projective line of domestic type was proved in [7].

It is well-known that the category of representations of a tame quiver and that of coherent sheaves over the associated weighted projective line bear many similarities. For example, they both are hereditary abelian categories and have equivalent bounded derived categories. It seems to us that it is sometimes convenient to work with weighted projective lines instead of tame quivers since the category of coherent sheaves admits a natural torsion pair consisting of vector bundles and torsion sheaves, respectively.

In this paper we mainly consider weighted projective lines \mathbb{X} of type (p_1, p_2, p_3) over a finite field \mathbf{k} of q elements and aim to calculate Hall polynomials associated with line bundles, extension bundles (i.e., indecomposable bundles of rank 2) and torsion sheaves over \mathbb{X} . As applications, we obtain some Hall polynomials for representations of tame quivers.

We first consider an easy case where the Hall numbers only involve line bundles and torsion sheaves over an arbitrary weighted projective line \mathbb{X} . Next we treat the main case where \mathbb{X} is a weighted projective line of type (p_1, p_2, p_3) and an extension bundle arises as an extension of two line bundles. Namely, assume L_1, L_2 are line bundles and $E = E_L\langle\vec{x}\rangle$ is an extension bundle defined by a line bundle L and an element $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$. Then the Hall number $F_{L_1 L_2}^E$ has a close relation with the automorphism groups of torsion sheaves S which fit into the following commutative diagram with exact row and column sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & L(\vec{\omega}) & = & L(\vec{\omega}) & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & L_2 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & L_1 & \longrightarrow & L(\vec{x}) & \longrightarrow & S \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & 0 & & 0
 \end{array}$$

By repeatedly making use of Green's formula, we will prove that

$$F_{L_2 L_1}^E = f_n(q) \quad \text{with } n = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(L_1, L_2) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2),$$

where $f_n(q)$ is defined in (4.3); see [38]. Furthermore, we describe Hall polynomials associated with two extension bundles and an indecomposable torsion sheaf. This requires a bit more detailed analysis and calculations. Finally, with the help of the embeddings from both Hall algebra of a tame quiver and that of the associated weighted projective line \mathbb{X} into the corresponding derived Hall algebra, we obtain Hall polynomials for tame quivers which are consistent with the results in [38].

Our calculation is based on a detail description of extension bundles on \mathbb{X} due to Kussin–Lenzing–Meltzer [17], see also [9]. In comparison with the proofs given in [38], our approach seems to be simpler and more conceptual since we have a unified way to describe the homomorphisms among extension bundles, line bundles and

torsion sheaves. Moreover, our results are valid for all weighted projective lines of three weights, which may be of domestic, tubular or wild type.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 recalls the definitions of coherent sheaves on a weighted projective line and Hall algebra of a finitary category and states some basic facts. The main calculations of Hall polynomials are given in Sections 3 and 4, and we obtain Hall polynomials involving line bundles, extension bundles, and torsion sheaves. In Section 5, we consider Hall polynomials in bounded derived categories of weighted projective lines which give rise to Hall polynomials for representations of tame quivers.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND SOME PREPARATORY RESULTS

In this section we review the category of coherent sheaves over a weighted projective line and its basic properties, and introduce the Ringel–Hall algebra of a finitary category and, in particular, Green’s formula in the hereditary case. Orthogonal exceptional pair associated to an exceptional object in an abelian category is also defined.

2.1. Coherent sheaves on weighted projective lines. Let \mathbf{k} be an arbitrary field. A *weighted projective line* \mathbb{X} over \mathbf{k} is specified by giving a *weight sequence* $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t)$ of positive integers, and a collection $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_t)$ of distinct points in the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{k})$ which can be normalized as $\lambda_1 = \infty, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 1$. More precisely, let $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}(\mathbf{p})$ be the rank one abelian group with generators $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \dots, \vec{x}_t$ and the relations

$$p_1\vec{x}_1 = p_2\vec{x}_2 = \dots = p_t\vec{x}_t =: \vec{c},$$

where \vec{c} is called the *canonical element* of \mathbb{L} . Denote by S the commutative algebra

$$S = \mathbf{k}[X_1, X_2, \dots, X_t]/\mathfrak{a} := \mathbf{k}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t],$$

where $\mathfrak{a} = (f_3, \dots, f_t)$ is the ideal generated by $f_i = X_i^{p_i} - X_2^{p_2} + \lambda_i X_1^{p_1}, i = 3, \dots, t$. Put $I = \{1, 2, \dots, t\}$. Then S is \mathbb{L} -graded by setting

$$\deg(x_i) = \vec{x}_i \text{ for each } i \in I.$$

Moreover, each element $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$ has the normal form $\vec{x} = \sum_{i \in I} l_i \vec{x}_i + l \vec{c}$ with $0 \leq l_i < p_i$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by \mathbb{L}_+ the positive cone of \mathbb{L} which consists of those \vec{x} with $l \geq 0$. Finally, the weighted projective line associated with \mathbf{p} and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ is defined to be $\mathbb{X} = \text{Proj}^{\mathbb{L}} S$.

According to [11], the set of nonzero prime homogeneous elements in S is partitioned into two sets: the exceptional primes x_1, \dots, x_t and the ordinary primes $f(x_1^{p_1}, x_2^{p_2})$, where $f(T_1, T_2)$ is a prime homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbf{k}[T_1, T_2]$ which are distinct from T_1, T_2 and $T_2 - \lambda_i T_1$ for $i \in I$. The exceptional primes correspond to the points $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$, called exceptional points and denoted by x_1, \dots, x_t , respectively, while the ordinary primes correspond to the remaining closed points of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbf{k})$, called ordinary points. For convenience, we denote by \mathbb{H}_k the set of ordinary points. For each $z \in \mathbb{H}_k$, its degree $\deg z$ is defined to be the degree of the corresponding prime homogeneous polynomial.

The category of coherent sheaves on \mathbb{X} can be defined as the quotient of the category $\text{mod}^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$ of finitely generated \mathbb{L} -graded S -modules over the Serre subcategory $\text{mod}_0^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$ of finite length modules, that is,

$$\text{coh } \mathbb{X} := \text{mod}^{\mathbb{L}}(S) / \text{mod}_0^{\mathbb{L}}(S).$$

The free module S gives the structure sheaf \mathcal{O} . Each line bundle is given by the grading shift $\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})$ for a uniquely determined element $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$, and there is an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{O}(\vec{x}), \mathcal{O}(\vec{y})) \cong S_{\vec{y}-\vec{x}}.$$

Moreover, $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ is a hereditary abelian category with Serre duality of the form

$$D \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(Y, X(\vec{\omega})),$$

where $D = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(-, \mathbf{k})$, and $\vec{\omega} := (t-2)\vec{c} - \sum_{i \in I} \vec{x}_i$ is called the *dualizing element* of \mathbb{L} . This implies the existence of almost split sequences in $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ with the Auslander–Reiten translation τ given by the grading shift with $\vec{\omega}$.

Recall that $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ admits a splitting torsion pair $(\mathrm{coh}_0 \mathbb{X}, \mathrm{vect} \mathbb{X})$, where $\mathrm{coh}_0 \mathbb{X}$ and $\mathrm{vect} \mathbb{X}$ are full subcategories of torsion sheaves and vector bundles, respectively. Moreover, $\mathrm{coh}_0 \mathbb{X}$ decomposes as a direct product of orthogonal tubes

$$\mathrm{coh}_0 \mathbb{X} = \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{T}_i \times \prod_{z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{k}}} \mathcal{T}_z,$$

where each \mathcal{T}_z is a homogeneous tube for $z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$, which is equivalent to the category of nilpotent representations of the Jordan quiver over the residue field \mathbf{k}_z , while each \mathcal{T}_i is a non-homogeneous tube for $i \in I$, which is equivalent to the category of nilpotent representations of the cyclic quiver with p_i vertices. For each $i \in I$, let $S_{i,j} = S_{i,j}^{(1)}$ be the simple objects in \mathcal{T}_i , where $0 \leq j \leq p_i - 1$, such that

$$\tau S_{i,j} = S_{i,j-1}.$$

Further, for $n \geq 1$, we denote by $S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ the unique indecomposable object in \mathcal{T}_i whose top object is $S_{i,j}$ and length equals to n . While for each $z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$, let $S_z = S_z^{(1)}$ be the unique simple object in \mathcal{T}_z , and denote by $S_z^{(n)}$ the indecomposable object in \mathcal{T}_z of length n .

It is known that the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbb{X})$ of $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ is a free abelian group with a basis $[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})]$ with $0 \leq \vec{x} \leq \vec{c}$, where $[X]$ denotes the class of an object $X \in \mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ in $K_0(\mathbb{X})$. Set $\delta = [L(\vec{c})] - [L]$. The Euler form on $K_0(\mathbb{X})$ is given by

$$\langle [X], [Y] \rangle = \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) - \dim_{\mathbf{k}} \mathrm{Ext}^1(X, Y)$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$. For simplicity, we sometimes write $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle [X], [Y] \rangle$. Its symmetrization is defined by

$$(X, Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle Y, X \rangle.$$

In this paper we mainly deal with the category $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$ of coherent sheaves over a weighted projective line \mathbb{X} of weight type (p_1, p_2, p_3) with $p_i \geq 2$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. In this case, we have the following explicit expression for line bundles in $\mathrm{coh} \mathbb{X}$.

Proposition 2.1 ([9]). *Assume that $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^3 l_i \vec{x}_i + l \vec{c}$ is in normal form. Then we have in $K_0(\mathbb{X})$,*

$$[\mathcal{O}(\vec{x})] = \sum_{i=1}^3 [\mathcal{O}(l_i \vec{x}_i)] + l[\mathcal{O}(\vec{c})] - (l+2)[\mathcal{O}].$$

2.2. Orthogonal exceptional pairs. Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category over a field \mathbf{k} . An object $E \in \mathcal{A}$ is called exceptional if $\mathrm{Ext}^1(E, E) = 0$ and $\mathrm{End}(E) \cong \mathbf{k}$. A pair of exceptional objects (E_1, E_2) is called orthogonal if

$$\mathrm{Hom}(E_1, E_2) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}(E_2, E_1) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) = 0.$$

A theorem of Schofield (see [26]) makes it possible to construct exceptional objects as extensions of orthogonal exceptional pairs. This procedure is often called

Schofield induction which is a very useful tool in the study of representation theory of algebras.

Following [37], an orthogonal exceptional pair (E_1, E_2) is said to be associated to an exceptional object E if there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2 \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow E_1 \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then, in this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(E_1, E_2) &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E_2, E) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(E, E_1) = 1, \\ \langle E_2, E_1 \rangle &= 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = -1. \end{aligned}$$

By a result of Kussin, Lenzening and Meltzer [17], each indecomposable vector bundle of rank two in $\operatorname{coh} \mathbb{X}$ is an extension bundle, that is, isomorphic to the middle term of the following “unique” non-split exact sequence

$$(2.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L(\vec{\omega}) \longrightarrow E_L\langle \vec{x} \rangle \longrightarrow L(\vec{x}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where L is a line bundle, $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$ with $0 \leq \vec{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 2)\vec{x}_i$. Moreover, all the extension bundles $E_L\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ are exceptional in $\operatorname{coh} \mathbb{X}$. Hence, they are determined by their classes in the Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbb{X})$. For simplicity, we denote $E_{\mathcal{O}}\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ by $E\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ in the rest of this paper.

The following is a key observation on the feature of extension bundles.

Proposition 2.2 ([9]). *Assume that $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{L}$ and $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^3 l_i \vec{x}_i$ with $0 \leq l_i \leq p_i - 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $E\langle \vec{x} \rangle = E\langle \vec{y} \rangle(\vec{z})$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\vec{y} = \vec{x}$ and $\vec{z} = 0$;
- (ii) $\vec{y} = l_j \vec{x}_j + \sum_{i \neq j} (p_i - 2 - l_i) \vec{x}_i$ and $\vec{z} = \sum_{i \neq j} (l_i + 1) \vec{x}_i - \vec{c}$ for some $1 \leq j \leq 3$.

Proposition 2.3. *Assume that L is a line bundle and $E = E_L\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ is an extension bundle for $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$. Then $(L(\vec{x}), L(\vec{\omega}))$ is an orthogonal exceptional pair associated to the exceptional sheaf E , that is,*

$$\operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), L(\vec{\omega})) = \operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) = \operatorname{Ext}^1(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) = 0.$$

Proof. It is known that the dimension of $\operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x}))$ is determined by $\vec{x} - \vec{\omega}$. Since \vec{x} is an element in \mathbb{L} with $0 \leq \vec{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 2)\vec{x}_i$ and $\vec{\omega} = \vec{c} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{x}_i$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{x}_i - \vec{c} \leq \vec{x} - \vec{\omega} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 1)\vec{x}_i - \vec{c}.$$

Hence, $\operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) = 0$.

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{x}_i - 2\vec{c} \leq \vec{\omega} - \vec{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 1)\vec{x}_i - 2\vec{c}.$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), L(\vec{\omega})) = 0$.

By the Serre duality, we have

$$\operatorname{Ext}^1(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) \cong D \operatorname{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), L(2\vec{\omega})).$$

Since $-\vec{c} \leq 2\vec{\omega} - \vec{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 2)\vec{x}_i - \vec{c}$, it follows that $\operatorname{Ext}^1(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) = 0$. \square

2.3. Ringel–Hall algebra of a finitary category. In the rest of this paper, we take the field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, a finite field of q elements. Let \mathcal{A} be an essentially small abelian category over \mathbf{k} . Assume \mathcal{A} is finitary, that is,

$$|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(M, N)| < \infty, \quad |\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(M, N)| < \infty, \quad \forall M, N \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Let $\mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ be the set of isomorphism classes in \mathcal{A} . If no confusion arises, we also use $[M]$ to denote the isomorphism class of an object M . The *Ringel–Hall algebra* (or *Hall algebra* for short) $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is defined to be the \mathbb{Q} -vector space with the basis $\{[M] \mid [M] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})\}$, endowed with the multiplication defined by (see [24])

$$[A] \cdot [B] = \sum_{[M] \in \mathrm{Iso}(\mathcal{A})} F_{AB}^M \cdot [M], \quad \forall A, B \in \mathcal{A},$$

where

$$F_{AB}^M = |\{X \subseteq M \mid X \cong B, M/X \cong A\}|.$$

Note that the associativity of multiplication follows from the equalities

$$F_{ABC}^M := \sum_{[X]} F_{AB}^X F_{XC}^M = \sum_{[Y]} F_{AY}^M F_{BC}^Y,$$

where $A, B, C, M \in \mathcal{A}$.

Furthermore, for given objects $A, B, M \in \mathcal{A}$, let

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B)_M \subseteq \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B)$$

denote the subset consisting of extensions whose middle term is isomorphic to M . By a_M we denote the cardinality of the automorphism group $\mathrm{Aut}(M)$ of M . The Riedtmann–Peng’s formula states that

$$F_{AB}^M = \frac{|\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, B)_M|}{|\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, B)|} \cdot \frac{a_M}{a_A a_B}.$$

If, moreover, \mathcal{A} is hereditary, then for each quadruple (M, N, X, Y) of objects in \mathcal{A} , the following famous *Green’s formula* holds:

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{[E]} F_{MN}^E F_{XY}^E \frac{1}{a_E} = \sum_{[A],[B],[C],[D]} q^{-\langle A, D \rangle} F_{AB}^M F_{CD}^N F_{AC}^X F_{BD}^Y \frac{a_A a_B a_C a_D}{a_M a_N a_X a_Y},$$

where $\langle A, D \rangle = \dim \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A, D) - \dim \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(A, D)$ is the Euler form in \mathcal{A} ; see [12, Thm 2].

2.4. Two recursion formulas. The orthogonal exceptional pairs provide an effective way to calculate Hall numbers in this paper. Keep the notation in 2.3, i.e., \mathcal{A} is a finitary abelian category over a finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$. We now give two recursion formulas related to orthogonal exceptional pairs which will be used later on.

Proposition 2.4. *Let (E_1, E_2) be an orthogonal exceptional pair in \mathcal{A} associated to an exceptional object E . Then for any $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$F_{X'E}^X = \sum_{[Z_1]} F_{X'E_1}^{Z_1} F_{Z_1 E_2}^X - \sum_{[Z_2]} F_{X'E_2}^{Z_2} F_{Z_2 E_1}^X.$$

Proof. Since $F_{E_1 E_2}^Z = 1$ for $Z \cong E$ or $E_1 \oplus E_2$, and $F_{E_1 E_2}^Z = 0$ otherwise, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X'E_1 E_2}^X &= \sum_{[Z]} F_{E_1 E_2}^Z F_{X'Z}^X \\ &= F_{E_1 E_2}^E F_{X'E}^X + F_{E_1 E_2}^{E_1 \oplus E_2} F_{X', E_1 \oplus E_2}^X = F_{X'E}^X + F_{X', E_1 \oplus E_2}^X. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $F_{X'E_1E_2}^X = \sum_{[Z_1]} F_{X'E_1}^{Z_1} F_{Z_1E_2}^X$. Thus,

$$F_{X'E}^X = \sum_{[Z_1]} F_{X'E_1}^{Z_1} F_{Z_1E_2}^X - F_{X',E_1 \oplus E_2}^X.$$

Similarly, $F_{E_2E_1}^Z = 1$ for $Z \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$, and $F_{E_2E_1}^Z = 0$ otherwise, so

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X'E_2E_1}^X &= \sum_{[Z]} F_{E_2E_1}^Z F_{X'Z}^X \\ &= F_{E_2E_1}^{E_1 \oplus E_2} F_{X',E_1 \oplus E_2}^X = F_{X',E_1 \oplus E_2}^X. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $F_{X'E_2E_1}^X = \sum_{[Z_2]} F_{X'E_2}^{Z_2} F_{Z_2E_1}^X$. This implies that

$$F_{X'E}^X = \sum_{[Z_1]} F_{X'E_1}^{Z_1} F_{Z_1E_2}^X - \sum_{[Z_2]} F_{X'E_2}^{Z_2} F_{Z_2E_1}^X.$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a hereditary abelian category and (E_1, E_2) be an orthogonal exceptional pair in \mathcal{A} associated to an exceptional object E . Then for any two objects $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X'X}^E &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} \\ &\quad \times (F_{X_2X_1}^{E_2} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_1} - F_{X_2X_1}^{E_1} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_2}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the Green's formula associated to the quadruple (E_1, E_2, X', X) :

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{[W]} F_{X'X}^W F_{E_1E_2}^W \frac{1}{a_W} \\ &= \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2X_1}^{E_2} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_1} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'} a_{E_1} a_{E_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

This can be depicted in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & X' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & E_1 & \longrightarrow & X_4 \end{array}$$

where all row and column sequences are short exact sequences (For simplicity, we omit the terms 0 here). Since $\text{Ext}^1(E_1, E_2) \cong \mathbf{k}$, we have either $W \cong E$ or $W \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$ when $F_{E_1E_2}^W \neq 0$. A direct calculation gives that

$$F_{E_1E_2}^{E_1 \oplus E_2} = F_{E_1E_2}^E = 1, a_E = a_{E_1} = a_{E_2} = q-1, a_{E_1 \oplus E_2} = (q-1)^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2X_1}^{E_2} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_1} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'} \cdot (q-1)^2} \\ &= F_{X'X}^E F_{E_1E_2}^E \frac{1}{q-1} + F_{X'X}^{E_2 \oplus E_1} F_{E_1E_2}^{E_2 \oplus E_1} \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \\ &= F_{X'X}^E \frac{1}{q-1} + F_{X'X}^{E_2 \oplus E_1} \frac{1}{(q-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by applying Green's formula associated to the quadruple (E_2, E_1, X', X) , we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{[W]} F_{X'X}^W F_{E_2E_1}^W \frac{1}{a_W} \\ &= \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2X_1}^{E_1} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_2} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'} a_{E_2} a_{E_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ext}^1(E_2, E_1) = 0$, the left-hand side contains only one possible nonzero term, that is, $W \cong E_1 \oplus E_2$, and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{[W]} F_{X'X}^{E_2 \oplus E_1} \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \\ &= \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2X_1}^{E_1} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_2} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'} \cdot (q-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By combining two equalities above together, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{X'X}^E &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1], [X_2], [X_3], [X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} \\ &\quad \times (F_{X_2X_1}^{E_2} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_1} - F_{X_2X_1}^{E_1} F_{X_4X_3}^{E_2}). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. HALL POLYNOMIALS FOR LINE BUNDLES AND TORSION SHEAVES

This section is devoted to calculating Hall numbers for line bundles and torsion sheaves on a weighted projective line over a finite field \mathbf{k} by applying Green's formula. It turns out that these numbers are indeed polynomials in the cardinality of the ground field \mathbf{k} and thus, called Hall polynomials.

Throughout this section, \mathbb{X} always denotes a weight projective line of weight type $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_t)$ over a field \mathbf{k} . We keep all the notation in the previous section.

3.1. Hom and Ext spaces of line bundles and torsion sheaves. In this subsection we calculate the dimensions of morphism spaces from line bundles to torsion sheaves. To this aim, we need some notations. Given a rational number m/n , define

$$\lfloor \frac{m}{n} \rfloor = \max\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \leq m/n\}, \quad \lceil \frac{m}{n} \rceil = \min\{a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \geq m/n\}.$$

Further, fix a positive integer p , and for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $0 \leq \tilde{m} \leq p-1$ such that $\tilde{m} \equiv m \pmod{p}$. Then, for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad & \lceil -\frac{n}{p} \rceil = -\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor, \quad \lceil \frac{n+1}{p} \rceil = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor + 1, \\ & \lceil \frac{n-\tilde{m}}{p} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n-m}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor, \\ & \lceil \frac{n+\tilde{m}}{p} \rceil = \lceil \frac{n+m}{p} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{m}{p} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from 2.1 the exceptional tubes \mathcal{T}_i ($i \in I$) in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. In what follows, for $i \in I, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n \geq 1$, $S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ should be understood as $S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ with $p = p_i$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{T}_i be an exceptional tube in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ of rank $p_i = p$, and $S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}$ be indecomposable torsion sheaves for some $n, m \geq 1$ and $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

(1) If $n \geq m \geq 1$, then

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}) = \lceil \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{k-j}{p} \rfloor,$$

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(m)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}) = \lfloor \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{k-j}{p} \rceil.$$

(2) If $m \geq n \geq 1$, then

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}) = \lceil \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n-m+k-j}{p} \rfloor,$$

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(m)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}) = \lfloor \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{n-m+k-j}{p} \rceil.$$

(3) For any $n, m \geq 1$,

$$\langle S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)} \rangle = \lceil \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{k-j}{p} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n-j+k}{p} \rfloor - \lceil \frac{m-n+j-k}{p} \rceil.$$

Proof. (1) Since each morphism $S_{i,j}^{(n)} \rightarrow S_{i,k}^{(m)}$ factors through the canonical embedding $S_{i,j}^{(m-(k-j)^\sim)} \rightarrow S_{i,k}^{(m)}$, we obtain the equalities

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,j}^{(m-(k-j)^\sim)}) = \lceil \frac{m-(k-j)^\sim}{p} \rceil.$$

By (3.1), we obtain that

$$\lceil \frac{m-(k-j)^\sim}{p} \rceil = \lceil \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{k-j}{p} \rfloor.$$

Furthermore, by Serre duality,

$$\dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(m)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k-1}^{(m)}) = \lceil \frac{m-k+1+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{k-1-j}{p} \rfloor.$$

Again by (3.1), this equals to

$$\lfloor \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rfloor + 1 + \lceil \frac{k-j}{p} \rceil - 1 = \lfloor \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{k-j}{p} \rceil.$$

(2) In this case, each morphism $S_{i,j}^{(n)} \rightarrow S_{i,k}^{(m)}$ factors through the canonical embedding $S_{i,j}^{(n-(n-m+k-j)^\sim)} \rightarrow S_{i,k}^{(m)}$. Thus, we obtain the equalities

$$\dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}) = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,j}^{(n-(n-m+k-j)^\sim)}) = \lceil \frac{n-(n-m+k-j)^\sim}{p} \rceil.$$

This implies by (3.1) that

$$\lceil \frac{n-(n-m+k-j)^\sim}{p} \rceil = \lceil \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n-m+k-j}{p} \rfloor.$$

Applying Serre duality gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(m)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}) &= \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k-1}^{(m)}) \\ &= \lceil \frac{m-k+1+j}{p} \rceil + \lfloor \frac{n-m+k-1-j}{p} \rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

which equals to $\lfloor \frac{m-k+j}{p} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{n-m+k-j}{p} \rceil$ by (3.1).

(3) It follows immediately from (1), (2) and the equality

$$\langle S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)} \rangle = \dim \operatorname{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}) - \dim \operatorname{Ext}^1(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(m)}).$$

□

Lemma 3.2. *Let L be a line bundle and S, S' be indecomposable torsion sheaves supported at the same point. Assume S and S' have length n and n' , respectively, with $n \leq n'$. If $\text{Hom}(L, \text{top } S') \neq 0$, then*

$$\text{Hom}(L, S) \cong \text{Hom}(S', S) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}(L, S') \cong \text{End}(S').$$

Proof. If $S = S_z^{(n)}$ is an indecomposable torsion sheaf of class $dn\delta$ lying in a homogeneous tube \mathcal{T}_z , where $z \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{k}}$ with $\deg z = d$, then we obtain exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_z^{(1)}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_z^{(t)}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_z^{(t-1)}) \longrightarrow 0$$

for $2 \leq t \leq n$. We conclude that

$$\text{Hom}(L, S) \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \text{Hom}(L, S_z^{(1)}) \cong \mathbf{k}^{dn} \cong \text{Hom}(S', S).$$

If $S = S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ is an indecomposable torsion sheaf lying in an exceptional tube \mathcal{T}_i of rank p_i for some $i \in I$, then there are exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j-n+t-1}^{(t-1)}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j-n+t}^{(t)}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j-n+t}^{(1)}) \longrightarrow 0$$

for $2 \leq t \leq n$. Thus,

$$\text{Hom}(L, S) \cong \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j}^{(1)}) \oplus \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j-1}^{(1)}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j-n+1}^{(1)}).$$

Assume $\text{top } S' = S_{i,j'}^{(1)}$ for some $0 \leq j' \leq p_i - 1$. Then $S' = S_{i,j'}^{(n')}$, and by Lemma 3.1,

$$\text{Hom}(L, S) \cong \mathbf{k}^m \cong \text{Hom}(S', S),$$

where $m = \lceil \frac{n-(j-j')}{p_i} \rceil$.

This finishes the proof of the first isomorphism, while the second one follows immediately by replacing S by S' . \square

Consequently, we obtain the following result, which indicates that we can use the formulas in Lemma 3.1 to calculate the homomorphisms (resp. extensions) between line bundles and torsion sheaves. The strategy will be used frequently later on.

Corollary 3.3. *Let L be a line bundle such that $\text{Hom}(L, S_{i,j}) \neq 0$ for some simple exceptional sheaf $S_{i,j}$. Then for $n \geq 1$,*

$$\text{Hom}(L, S_{i,k}^{(n)}) \cong \text{Hom}(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,k}^{(n)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(n)}, L) \cong \text{Ext}^1(S_{i,k}^{(n)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}).$$

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 3.2, while the second one follows from Serre duality. \square

3.2. Hall numbers for line bundles and torsion sheaves. We first consider some easy cases where only line bundles and torsion sheaves are involved. In this subsection, \mathbb{X} is an arbitrary weight projective line over a finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$.

Proposition 3.4. *Assume that L is a line bundle, $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{L}$ and $S = \bigoplus_k S_k$ is a torsion sheaf, where S_k are all indecomposable. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$(3.2) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L(\vec{x}) \longrightarrow L(\vec{y}) \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (1) $[L(\vec{x})] + [S] = [L(\vec{y})]$ in $\text{K}_0(\mathbb{X})$;
- (2) the S_k lie in pairwise distinct tubes;
- (3) $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{y}), \text{top } S_k) \neq 0$ for each k .

Moreover, in this case,

$$F_{SL(\vec{x})}^{L(\vec{y})} = 1.$$

Proof. First, the exact sequence (3.2) gives that $[L(\vec{x})] + [S] = [L(\vec{y})]$. Further, the existence of the epimorphism $L(\vec{y}) \rightarrow S$ implies that S_k must be taken from pairwise distinct tubes, c.f. [31, Exam. 4.12]. Thus, there is an epimorphism from $L(\vec{y})$ to S_k for each k . We conclude that $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{y}), \text{top } S_k) \neq 0$.

Conversely, $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{y}), \text{top } S_k) \neq 0$ implies that there exists an epimorphism $\pi_k : L(\vec{y}) \rightarrow S_k$. By [31, Exam. 4.12], there is an epimorphism

$$(\pi_k) : L(\vec{y}) \longrightarrow S = \bigoplus_k S_k,$$

and its kernel K is a line bundle. Thus, $[K] + [S] = [L(\vec{y})]$. This together with $[L(\vec{x})] + [S] = [L(\vec{y})]$ implies that $K \cong L(\vec{x})$ and hence, we obtain an exact sequence of form (3.2). Consequently, $F_{SL(\vec{x})}^{L(\vec{y})} = 1$. \square

Note that in the proposition above, if $[S_k] \neq c\delta$ for each $c \geq 0$, then the condition that $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{y}), \text{top } S_k) \neq 0$ follows from the other two conditions.

Next, we consider the case where the middle term is given by a direct sum of a line bundle and a torsion sheaf. It turns out that if such an exact sequence does not split, then the associated Hall number is related to the cardinalities of automorphism groups.

Proposition 3.5. *Assume that L, L' are line bundles and S is a torsion sheaf. Then there exist a torsion sheaf S' (may be zero) and an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L' \oplus S' \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if S' is a subsheaf of S such that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow S/S' \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case, by setting $S'' = S/S'$, we have

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = |\text{Hom}(L', S')| \cdot F_{S''S'}^S \frac{a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_S}.$$

In particular, if S is indecomposable, then

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = \begin{cases} |\text{Hom}(L, S)|, & \text{if } S \cong S'; \\ a_{S'}, & \text{if } S \not\cong S'. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By applying Green's formula to the quadruple (L', S', S, L) , we obtain that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{[W]} F_{L'S'}^W F_{SL}^W \frac{1}{a_W} \\ = \sum_{[X_1], [X_2], [X_3], [X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2 X_1}^{S'} F_{X_3 X_1}^L F_{X_4 X_2}^S F_{X_4 X_3}^{L'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_L a_{L'} a_S a_{S'}};$$

see the following commutative diagram:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & X_4 \end{array}$$

where all row and column sequences are short exact sequences. Then $W \cong L' \oplus S'$ and $X_1 = 0$. Thus, $X_2 \cong S'$ and $X_3 \cong L$. Since X_4 is a torsion sheaf and there is an epimorphism from the line bundle L' to X_4 , the indecomposable direct summands of X_4 lie in distinct tubes, and they are uniquely determined by S and S' . In other

words, X_4 is unique (up to isomorphism) and so, $X_4 \cong S''$. Further, $F_{L'S'}^{L' \oplus S'} = 1$ since $\text{Hom}(S', L') = 0$. In conclusion, the equality in (3.3) is reduced to the equality

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = a_{L' \oplus S'} \cdot F_{S''L}^{L'} F_{S''S'}^S \frac{a_{L'} a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_L a_{L'} a_S a_{S'}}.$$

Hence, $F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} \neq 0$ if and only if $F_{S''L}^{L'} F_{S''S'}^S \neq 0$. This proves the first assertion.

In this case, by Proposition 3.4 we have $F_{S''L}^{L'} = 1$. It follows that

$$(3.5) \quad F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = |\text{Hom}(L', S')| \cdot F_{S''S'}^S \frac{a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_S}.$$

Now assume that S is indecomposable, then so are S' and S'' . This implies that $F_{S''S'}^S = 1$. If $S' \cong S$, then $S'' = 0$ and

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = |\text{Hom}(L', S')| = |\text{Hom}(L, S)|.$$

If $S' \not\cong S$, then $\text{top } S = \text{top } S''$, and so $\text{Hom}(L', \text{top } S'') = \text{Hom}(L', \text{top } S) \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

$$\text{Hom}(L', S) \cong \text{End}(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}(L', S'') \cong \text{End}(S'').$$

The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L', S') \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L', S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L', S'') \longrightarrow 0$$

together with (3.5) gives that

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = \frac{|\text{End}(S)|}{|\text{End}(S'')|} \cdot \frac{a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_S}.$$

Since both S and S'' are indecomposable and uniserial, we have that

$$|\text{End}(S)| = q^{s+1}, \quad |\text{End}(S'')| = q^{t+1}, \quad a_S = (q-1)q^s, \quad \text{and} \quad a_{S''} = (q-1)q^t,$$

where $s = \dim \text{rad}(S)$ and $t = \dim \text{rad}(S'')$. Therefore,

$$F_{SL}^{L' \oplus S'} = \frac{|\text{End}(S)|}{|\text{End}(S'')|} \cdot \frac{a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_S} = \frac{a_S}{a_{S''}} \cdot \frac{a_{S'} a_{S''}}{a_S} = a_{S'}.$$

□

Proposition 3.6. *Assume that L, L' are line bundles and S, S', S'' are torsion sheaves (or zero sheaves). Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \oplus S \longrightarrow L' \oplus S' \longrightarrow S'' \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if there are sheaves S_1 and S_2 with exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow L' \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow S_1 \rightarrow S'' \rightarrow S_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case, we have

$$F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} = \frac{|\text{Hom}(L', S')|}{|\text{Hom}(L', S)|} \cdot \sum_{X_2} F_{X_2 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 X_2}^{S''} \frac{a_{X_2} a_{S_2}}{a_{S''}}.$$

In particular, if both S' and S'' are indecomposable, then

$$F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} = \begin{cases} |\text{Hom}(L, S'')|, & \text{if } L \cong L'; \\ a_{S'/S}, & \text{if } L \not\cong L'. \end{cases}$$

Proof. First of all, Green's formula associated to the quadruple $(L', S', S'', L \oplus S)$ has the form

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{[W]} F_{L'S'}^W F_{S''L \oplus S}^W \frac{1}{a_W} \\ = \sum_{[X_1], [X_2], [X_3], [X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2 X_1}^{S'} F_{X_3 X_1}^{L \oplus S} F_{X_4 X_2}^{S''} F_{X_4 X_3}^{L'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{L \oplus S} a_L a_{S'} a_{S''}}$$

which is depicted by the following commutative diagram

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & S' & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L \oplus S & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & S'' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & L' & \longrightarrow & X_4 \end{array}$$

Since X_1 is a torsion sheaf and X_3 is a subsheaf of L' , this implies that $X_3 \cong L$ and $X_1 \cong S$. As a quotient sheaf of L' , the indecomposable direct summands of X_4 lie in distinct tubes, and they are uniquely determined by S, S' and S'' . In other words, X_4 is a torsion sheaf, written as S_2 , and there is an exact sequence

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow L' \longrightarrow X_4 = S_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

Since $F_{L'S}^{L \oplus S} = 1 = F_{L'S'}^{L' \oplus S'}$, the formula (3.6) is reduced to the equality

$$(3.9) \quad F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} = q^{-\langle S_2, S \rangle} \sum_{[X_2]} F_{X_2 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 X_2}^{S''} \frac{a_S a_{X_2} a_{S_2} a_L \cdot a_{L' \oplus S'}}{a_{S'} a_{L \oplus S} a_{S''} a_{L'}}$$

Therefore, $F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} \neq 0$ if and only if there exists a torsion sheaf S_1 such that $F_{S_1 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 S_1}^{S''} \neq 0$. This proves the first statement.

Applying $\text{Hom}(-, S)$ to the sequence in (3.8) yields an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(S_2, S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L', S) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(L, S) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}^1(S_2, S) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then (3.9) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} &= \frac{|\text{Ext}^1(S_2, S)|}{|\text{Hom}(S_2, S)|} \frac{|\text{Hom}(L', S')|}{|\text{Hom}(L, S)|} \cdot \sum_{[X_2]} F_{X_2 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 X_2}^{S''} \frac{a_{X_2} a_{S_2}}{a_{S''}} \\ &= \frac{|\text{Hom}(L', S')|}{|\text{Hom}(L', S)|} \cdot \sum_{[X_2]} F_{X_2 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 X_2}^{S''} \frac{a_{X_2} a_{S_2}}{a_{S''}}. \end{aligned}$$

If, in addition, both S' and S'' are indecomposable, then S is indecomposable, and X_2 is a uniquely determined indecomposable torsion sheaf, written as S_1 . Moreover,

$$F_{S_1 S}^{S'} = 1 = F_{S_2 S_1}^{S''}.$$

If $L \cong L'$, then $S_2 = 0$, $S'' \cong S_1$, and

$$F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} = |\text{Hom}(L', S_1)| = |\text{Hom}(L, S'')|.$$

If $L \not\cong L'$, i.e., $S_2 \neq 0$, then $\text{Hom}(L', \text{top } S_2) = \text{Hom}(L', \text{top } S'') \neq 0$. Since S'' and S_2 are indecomposable and uniserial, we have by Lemma 3.2 that

$$\frac{|\text{Hom}(L', S')|}{|\text{Hom}(L', S)|} = \frac{|\text{Hom}(L', S'')|}{|\text{Hom}(L', S_2)|} = \frac{|\text{End}(S'')|}{|\text{End}(S_2)|} = \frac{a_{S''}}{a_{S_2}}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$F_{S''L \oplus S}^{L' \oplus S'} = \frac{a_{S''}}{a_{S_2}} \cdot F_{S_1 S}^{S'} F_{S_2 S_1}^{S''} \frac{a_{S_1} a_{S_2}}{a_{S''}} = a_{S_1} = a_{S'/S}.$$

□

4. HALL POLYNOMIALS INVOLVING EXTENSION BUNDLES

In this section we assume that \mathbb{X} is a weighted projective line of weight type (p_1, p_2, p_3) over a finite field $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_q$, and focus on calculating Hall numbers for the case where extension bundles are concerned with. As in the previous section, these numbers are again polynomials in $q = |\mathbf{k}|$.

By (2.1) in Section 2, each bundle of rank 2 is an extension of two line bundles $L(\vec{\omega})$ and $L(\vec{x})$ for $0 \leq \vec{x} \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 (p_i - 2)\vec{x}_i$. We first describe the morphism and extension spaces between $L(\vec{\omega})$ and $L(\vec{x})$.

By the orthogonality of $(L(\vec{x}), L(\vec{\omega}))$, we immediately obtain that $F_{L(\vec{x})L(\vec{\omega})}^W = 1$ if $W \cong E$ or $W \cong L(\vec{x}) \oplus L(\vec{\omega})$, and $F_{L(\vec{x})L(\vec{\omega})}^W = 0$ for the remaining cases. Moreover, $F_{L(\vec{\omega})L(\vec{x})}^W = 1$ if $W \cong L(\vec{x}) \oplus L(\vec{\omega})$, and $F_{L(\vec{\omega})L(\vec{x})}^W = 0$ otherwise.

Lemma 4.1. *Let L_1 and L_2 be two line bundles in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. Then*

$$\text{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2) = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2) \neq 0$ and $\text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) \neq 0$. Then

$$\det L_1 - \det L_2 + \vec{\omega} \geq 0, \quad \det L_2 - \det L_1 + \vec{\omega} \geq 0.$$

This implies that $2\vec{\omega} = 2\vec{c} - 2\sum_{i=1}^3 \vec{x}_i \geq 0$, a contradiction. \square

By applying Proposition 2.5 to the orthogonal exceptional pair $(L(\vec{x}), L(\vec{\omega}))$ associated to $E = E_L\langle \vec{x} \rangle$ in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$, we obtain that for any $X, X' \in \text{coh } \mathbb{X}$,

$$(4.1) \quad F_{X'X}^E = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} \times (F_{X_2X_1}^{L(\vec{\omega})} F_{X_4X_3}^{L(\vec{x})} - F_{X_2X_1}^{L(\vec{x})} F_{X_4X_3}^{L(\vec{\omega})}).$$

In order to calculate $F_{X'X}^E$, we write

$$(4.2) \quad F_{X'X}^E = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_1 &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} F_{X_2X_1}^{L(\vec{\omega})} F_{X_4X_3}^{L(\vec{x})}, \\ \Psi_2 &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3X_1}^X F_{X_4X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} F_{X_2X_1}^{L(\vec{x})} F_{X_4X_3}^{L(\vec{\omega})}. \end{aligned}$$

The automorphism groups of torsion sheaves and their cardinalities play a role in the calculation of Hall numbers. We first present some formulas given in [38, Prop. 6.1]. As pointed out in Section 2, there are exactly three exceptional tubes $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_3$ of ranks p_1, p_2, p_3 , respectively, in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. Then the sums of classes of all simple objects in $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}_2$ and \mathcal{T}_3 in $\text{K}_0(\mathbb{X})$ are the same, equal to δ . For $1 \leq i \leq 3$, take an indecomposable torsion sheaf S_i in \mathcal{T}_i with the class $\sigma_i < \delta$.

For $0 \leq k \leq 3$, let $\mathcal{S}_n^{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k}$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of all torsion sheaves S such that

- (1) the class of S is $n\delta + \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_k$,
- (2) its indecomposable summands are taken from pairwise distinct tubes, and
- (3) S contains exactly one indecomposable summand from each exceptional tube of $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_k$.

Finally, set

$$s_n^{(k)}(q) = \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[S] \in \mathcal{S}_n^{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_k}} a_S.$$

By convention, set $s_0^{(k)}(q) = (q-1)^{k-1}$ and $s_{-1}^{(k)}(q) = 0$.

Further, define

$$(4.3) \quad f_n(q) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} (2i-1) q^{n+1-i} + (-1)^n (n+1), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

and set $f_0(q) = 1$, $f_{-n}(q) = 0$.

Proposition 4.2 ([38, Prop. 6.1]). *For $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq 3$, we have the following equalities*

$$s_n^{(k)}(q) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+k-1} (-1)^{i-1} i q^{2n+k-i} - (-1)^k (n+1).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n^{(0)}(q) - s_{n-2}^{(3)}(q) &= f_{2n-1}(q), & \text{for } n \geq 1; \\ s_{n-1}^{(3)}(q) - s_n^{(0)}(q) &= f_{2n}(q), & \text{for } n \geq 1; \\ s_n^{(1)}(q) - s_{n-1}^{(2)}(q) &= f_{2n}(q), & \text{for } n \geq 0; \\ s_n^{(2)}(q) - s_n^{(1)}(q) &= f_{2n+1}(q), & \text{for } n \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad (q-1) \sum_{t \geq 0} q^t f_{n-2t}(q) = f_{n+1}(q) + (-1)^n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0.$$

In the following we view each $f_n(q)$ either as a polynomial in q or as a number evaluating at the cardinality $q = |\mathbf{k}|$ of a finite field \mathbf{k} . For notational simplicity, we sometimes write f_n instead of $f_n(q)$.

With the help of notations above, we are ready to calculate Hall numbers (polynomials) involving extension bundles.

4.1. Hall polynomial $F_{L_2 L_1}^E$ for line bundles L_1 and L_2 . In this subsection, we calculate Hall number $F_{L_2 L_1}^E$, where E is an extension bundle and L_1 and L_2 are line bundles. It turns out that it is determined by the value $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle$.

Theorem 4.3. *Assume that L_1, L_2 , and L are line bundles and $E = E_L(\vec{x})$ is an extension bundle with $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$. If $[L_1] + [L_2] = [E]$, then $F_{L_2 L_1}^E = f_{\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle}(q)$.*

Proof. We first consider the case $L_1 \cong L(\vec{x})$ or $L(\vec{\omega})$. If $L_1 \cong L(\vec{x})$, then $L_2 \cong L(\vec{\omega})$. In this case, by Proposition 2.3, we have

$$\langle L_1, E \rangle = \langle [L_1], [L_1] + [L_2] \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{L_2 L_1}^E = 0.$$

If $L_1 \cong L(\vec{\omega})$, then $L_2 \cong L(\vec{x})$, and by Proposition 2.3 again,

$$\langle L_1, E \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad F_{L_2 L_1}^E = 1.$$

Now suppose that $L_1 \not\cong L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})$. If $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle < 0$, then $\text{Ext}^1(L_1, L_2) \neq 0$ and hence, $\text{Ext}^1(L_2, L_1) = 0$. Thus, $F_{L_2 L_1}^E = 0$.

Assume $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle \geq 0$. Then

$$1 \leq \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle + \langle L_1, L_1 \rangle = \langle L_1, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle + \langle L_1, L(\vec{x}) \rangle.$$

This implies that $\text{Hom}(L_1, L(\vec{\omega})) \neq 0$ or $\text{Hom}(L_1, L(\vec{x})) \neq 0$. In the case when $\text{Hom}(L_1, L(\vec{\omega})) \neq 0$, let $L_1 = L(\vec{\omega} - \vec{y})$, $L_2 = L(\vec{x} + \vec{y})$ with $\vec{y} = \sum_{i=1}^3 l'_i \vec{x}_i + l' \vec{c} > 0$ and $\vec{x} = \sum_{i=1}^3 l_i \vec{x}_i$ with $0 \leq l_i \leq p_i - 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $l'_i = 0$ or $l'_i = p_i - 1 - l_i$.

It follows that $\vec{x} + \vec{y} - \vec{\omega} \geq 0$ and so $\text{Hom}(L_1, L(\vec{x})) \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists $\vec{z} = \sum_{i=1}^3 (l_i + 1)\vec{x}_i + l\vec{c}$ such that $L_1 = L(\vec{x} - \vec{z}), L_2 = L(\vec{\omega} + \vec{z})$. The case $\text{Hom}(L_1, L(\vec{x})) \neq 0$ can be treated similarly.

As conclusion, there is a subset $J \subseteq \{1, 2, 3\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= L\left(\sum_{j \notin J} l_j \vec{x}_j + \sum_{i \in J} (p_i - 1)\vec{x}_i - (l + |J|)\vec{c}\right), \\ L_2 &= L\left(\sum_{j \notin J} (p_j - 1)\vec{x}_j + \sum_{i \in J} l_i \vec{x}_i + (l + |J| - 2)\vec{c}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle = \dim \text{Hom}(L_1, L_2) = 2l + |J| - 1$.

We claim that $F_{S_1 L(\vec{\omega})}^{L_2} F_{S_1 L_1}^{L(\vec{x})} \neq 0$ if and only if $S_1 \in \mathcal{S}_l^{\{\sigma_i\}_{i \in J}}$. For convenience, assume $L = \mathcal{O}$ and denote by $\sigma_i = [S_{i, p_i - 1}^{(p_i - 1 - l_i)}]$. Then $[L_2] - [L(\vec{\omega})] = \sum_{i \in J} \sigma_i + l\delta$. For $\varphi = \prod_{i \in J} x_i^{p_i - 1 - l_i} \cdot f_l : L(\vec{\omega}) \rightarrow L_2$ or $L_1 \rightarrow L(\vec{x})$, where $f_l \in \mathbf{k}[T_1, T_2]$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $l\vec{c}$ (Note that the coefficients of \vec{x}_j ($j \notin J$) in L_2 and $L(\vec{x})$ are different). Hence, no direct summand of $\text{Coker } \varphi$ lies in \mathcal{T}_j .

Similarly, $F_{S_2 L(\vec{x})}^{L_2} F_{S_2 L_1}^{L(\vec{\omega})} \neq 0$ if and only if $S_2 \in \mathcal{S}_{l+|J|-2}^{\{\sigma_j\}_{j \notin J}}$. Then by (4.2), we obtain that

$$F_{L_2 L_1}^E = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 = s_l^{(|J|)}(q) - s_{l+|J|-2}^{(3-|J|)}(q) = f_{2l+|J|-1}(q) = f_{\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle}(q),$$

where both Proposition 4.2 and the equality $\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle = 2l + |J| - 1$ are used. \square

Under the assumption $[L_1] + [L_2] = [E]$, we have

$$\langle L_1, E \rangle = \langle L_1, L_1 \rangle + \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle = 1 + \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle$$

and

$$\langle E, E \rangle = 1 = \langle L_1, L_1 \rangle + \langle L_1, L_2 \rangle + \langle L_2, L_1 \rangle + \langle L_2, L_2 \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle + \langle L_2, L_1 \rangle = -1.$$

As a consequence,

$$\langle L_1, L_2 \rangle \geq 0 \iff \langle L_2, L_1 \rangle \leq -1 \iff \langle L_1, E \rangle \geq 1.$$

4.2. Hall polynomials $F_{S E'}^E$ for S lying in a homogeneous tube. In this subsection, we consider Hall polynomials $F_{S E'}^E$, where E and E' are extension bundles and S is an indecomposable torsion sheaf taken from a homogeneous tube \mathcal{T}_z .

Proposition 4.4. *Let E, E' be extension bundles and S be an indecomposable torsion sheaf taken from a homogenous tube \mathcal{T}_z with $\deg z = d$. Assume $[E'] + [S] = [E]$ and $S = S_z^{(n)}$ for some $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$F_{S E'}^E = f_{dn} - f_{dn-1} + (q^d - 1) \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{d(t-1)} (f_{d(n-2t)} - f_{d(n-2t)-1}).$$

Proof. In view of (4.1) and (4.2), we calculate the corresponding values Ψ_1 and Ψ_2 . Write $E = E_L \langle \vec{x} \rangle$ for some line bundle L and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$. Consider the following diagram with each row and column being short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & L(\vec{\omega}) & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E' & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & L(\vec{x}) & \longrightarrow & X_4 \end{array}$$

Then $W \cong E$ or $W \cong L(\vec{x}) \oplus L(\vec{\omega})$. Furthermore, X_2, X_4 are indecomposable torsion sheaves or zero and X_1, X_3 are line bundles. If $X_2 = 0$, then $X_1 = L(\vec{\omega}), X_3 = L(\vec{x} - dn\vec{c})$, but $\text{Ext}^1(L(\vec{x} - dn\vec{c}), L(\vec{\omega})) = 0$. This contradicts that fact that E' is an extension bundle. Therefore, $X_2 \neq 0$ and

$$\Psi_1 = (q-1) \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2 X_1}^{L(\vec{\omega})} F_{X_3 X_1}^{E'} F_{X_4 X_2}^S F_{X_4 X_3}^{L(\vec{x})} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{E'} a_S a_{L(\vec{\omega})} a_{L(\vec{x})}}.$$

We focus on non-zero terms on the right-hand side. Then $X_4 = S_z^{(t)}$ for some $0 \leq t < n$, and hence,

$$X_2 \cong S_z^{(n-t)}, X_1 \cong L(\vec{\omega} - d(n-t)\vec{c}), \text{ and } X_3 \cong L(\vec{x} - dt\vec{c}).$$

By Theorem 4.3,

$$F_{L(\vec{x}-dt\vec{c})L(\vec{\omega}-d(n-t)\vec{c})}^{E'} = f_{\langle L(\vec{\omega}-d(n-t)\vec{c}), L(\vec{x}-dt\vec{c}) \rangle} = f_{d(n-2t)}.$$

Since $\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle = -dt$ and

$$\frac{a_{S_z^{(n-t)}} a_{S_z^{(t)}}}{a_{S_z^{(n)}}} = \begin{cases} \frac{q^d - 1}{q^d}, & \text{if } 1 \leq t < n; \\ 1, & \text{if } t = 0, \end{cases}$$

we obtain that

$$(4.5) \quad \Psi_1 = f_{dn} + \frac{q^d - 1}{q^d} \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{dt} f_{d(n-2t)}.$$

To calculate Ψ_2 , consider similarly the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \longrightarrow & L(\vec{x}) & \longrightarrow & X_2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E' & \longrightarrow & W & \longrightarrow & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_3 & \longrightarrow & L(\vec{\omega}) & \longrightarrow & X_4 \end{array}$$

Then $W \cong L(\vec{x}) \oplus L(\vec{\omega})$ and $X_2 \neq 0$ as above. Therefore,

$$\Psi_2 = (q-1) \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_2 X_1}^{L(\vec{x})} F_{X_3 X_1}^{E'} F_{X_4 X_2}^S F_{X_4 X_3}^{L(\vec{\omega})} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{E'} a_S a_{L(\vec{\omega})} a_{L(\vec{x})}}.$$

By considering non-zero terms in the right-hand side, we have $X_4 = S_z^{(t)}$ for some $0 \leq t < n$, and then

$$X_2 \cong S_z^{(n-t)}, X_1 \cong L(\vec{x} - d(n-t)\vec{c}), \text{ and } X_3 \cong L(\vec{\omega} - dt\vec{c}).$$

Again, by Theorem 4.3,

$$F_{L(\vec{\omega}-dt\vec{c})L(\vec{x}-d(n-t)\vec{c})}^{E'} = f_{\langle L(\vec{x}-d(n-t)\vec{c}), L(\vec{\omega}-dt\vec{c}) \rangle} = f_{d(n-2t)-1}.$$

From the equality $\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle = -dt$ it follows that

$$(4.6) \quad \Psi_2 = f_{dn-1} + \frac{q^d - 1}{q^d} \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{dt} f_{d(n-2t)-1}.$$

Combining the formulas (4.5) and (4.6), we obtain

$$F_{SE'}^E = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 = f_{dn} - f_{dn-1} + (q^d - 1) \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{d(t-1)} (f_{d(n-2t)} - f_{d(n-2t)-1}).$$

□

4.3. Hall polynomials $F_{SE'}^E$ for S lying in an exceptional tube. Let E, E' be two extension bundles with $E = E_L(\vec{x})$ for a line bundle L and some $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{L}$. Set $N = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \langle E', E \rangle \rfloor - 1$, and write $\vec{x} - \vec{\omega} = \sum_{k=1}^3 l_k \vec{x}_k - \vec{c}$ with $1 \leq l_k \leq p_k - 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3$. Let $S = S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ be an indecomposable torsion sheaf taken from an exceptional tube \mathcal{T}_i for a fixed $i \in I$.

Lemma 4.5. *Keep notations as above. Assume $[E'] + [S] = [E]$.*

- (1) *If $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), \text{top } S) \neq 0$, then $n \not\equiv l_i \pmod{p_i}$, and $N = \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor$.*
- (2) *If $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), \text{top } S) \neq 0$, then $n \not\equiv p_i - l_i \pmod{p_i}$, and $N = \lfloor \frac{n-(p_i-l_i)}{p_i} \rfloor$.*

Proof. We only prove assertion (1). The proof of (2) is similar.

We first claim that $n \not\equiv l_i \pmod{p_i}$. Otherwise, suppose $n = l_i + tp_i$ for some $t \geq 0$. Then $[L(\vec{x})] - [S] = [L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i)] - t\delta$, and hence,

$$[E'] = [E] - [S] = [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x})] - [S] = [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i)] - t\delta.$$

Note that $\langle L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i) \rangle = 0 = \langle L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i), L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\langle E', E' \rangle = \langle [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i)] - t\delta, [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x} - l_i \vec{x}_i)] - t\delta \rangle = 2.$$

This contradicts the fact that E' is an exceptional object.

Further, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle E', E \rangle &= \langle [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x})] - [S], [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x})] \rangle \\ &= 1 - \langle [S], [L(\vec{\omega})] + [L(\vec{x})] \rangle \\ &= 1 + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S, L(\vec{\omega})) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S, L(\vec{x})) \\ &= 1 + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,j-l_i}^{(n)}) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{i,j}^{(n)}, S_{i,j}^{(n)}) \\ &= 1 + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_i} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{l_i}{p_i} \rceil \\ &= 2 + \lfloor \frac{n}{p_i} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$N = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \langle E', E \rangle \rfloor - 1 = 1 + \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor - 1 = \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor. \quad \square$$

Proposition 4.6. *Let E, E' be extension bundles and $S = S_{i,j}^{(n)}$ be an indecomposable torsion sheaf taken from an exceptional tube as above. Assume $[E'] + [S] = [E]$ and $\text{Hom}(E, \text{top } S) \neq 0$. Then*

$$F_{SE'}^E = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N = -1; \\ f_{N+1} - f_N + (-1)^N, & \text{if } N \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $N = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \langle E', E \rangle \rfloor - 1$.

Proof. In view of (4.1), we now calculate $F_{SE'}^E = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2$. Since $\text{Hom}(E, \text{top } S) \neq 0$, we have

$$\text{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), \text{top } S) \neq 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), \text{top } S) \neq 0.$$

We only consider the first case, and the second one can be treated similarly.

Without loss of generality, we may take $i = 1$, i.e., $S = S_{1,j}^{(n)}$, and write

$$\vec{x} - \vec{\omega} = \sum_{k=1}^3 l_k \vec{x}_k - \vec{c}$$

with $1 \leq l_k \leq p_k - 1$ for $1 \leq k \leq 3$. In this case, $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{x}), S_{1,j}) \neq 0$ and $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), S_{1,j-l_1}) \neq 0$.

We first calculate the non-zero terms of

$$\Psi_1 := \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1],[X_2],[X_3],[X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3 X_1}^{E'} F_{X_4 X_2}^S \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{E'} a_S} F_{X_2 X_1}^{L(\vec{\omega})} F_{X_4 X_3}^{L(\vec{x})}.$$

If $X_2 = 0$, then $X_4 = S_{1,j}^{(n)}$, $X_1 = L(\vec{\omega})$ and $X_3 = L(\vec{x} - n\vec{x}_1)$. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 &:= -\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle = -\langle S_{1,j}^{(n)}, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle = \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{1,j}^{(n)}, L(\vec{\omega})) \\ &= \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{1,j}^{(n)}, S_{1,j-l_1}^{(n)}) = \lfloor \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rfloor + 1 = N+1, \quad (\text{by Lemma 4.5}) \\ n_2 &:= \langle X_1, X_3 \rangle = \langle [L(\vec{\omega})], [L(\vec{x})] - [S_{1,j}^{(n)}] \rangle = -\langle [L(\vec{\omega})], [S_{1,j}^{(n)}] \rangle \\ &= -\dim \text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), S_{1,j}^{(n)}) = -\dim \text{Hom}(S_{1,j-l_1}^{(n)}, S_{1,j}^{(n)}) = -\lceil \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rceil, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{E'} a_S} = \frac{a_S a_{L(\vec{\omega})} a_{L(\vec{x}-n\vec{x}_1)}}{a_{E'} a_S} = q-1.$$

If $X_2 \neq 0$, then $X_4 = S_{1,j}^{(l)}$ and $X_2 = S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}$ for some $0 \leq l \leq n-1$. Hence, $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), \text{top } X_2) \neq 0$, which implies $j-l \equiv j-l_1 \pmod{p_1}$, and thus, $l = l_1 + tp_1$ for some $t \geq 0$. In this case, $X_1 = L(\vec{\omega} - (n-l)\vec{x}_1)$ and $X_3 = L(\vec{x} - l\vec{x}_1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} n_3 &:= -\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle = -\langle S_{1,j}^{(l)}, L(\vec{\omega} - (n-l)\vec{x}_1) \rangle \\ &= t - \langle [S_{1,j}^{(l_1)}], [L(\vec{\omega})] - [S_{1,j}^{(n)}] + [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle \\ &= t - (-1) + (\lceil \frac{n}{p_1} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{l_1}{p_1} \rfloor) - 1 \\ &= t - \lceil \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n}{p_1} \rceil, \\ n_4 &:= \langle X_1, X_3 \rangle = \langle [L(\vec{\omega} - (n-l)\vec{x}_1)], [L(\vec{x} - l\vec{x}_1)] \rangle \\ &= \langle [L(\vec{\omega})] - [S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}], [L(\vec{x})] - [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle \\ &= \langle [L(\vec{\omega})], [L(\vec{x})] \rangle - \langle [L(\vec{\omega})], [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle - \langle [S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}], [L(\vec{x})] \rangle + \langle [S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}], [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle \\ &= 0 - \dim \text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), S_{1,j}^{(l)}) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}, L(\vec{x})) + \langle [S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}], [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle \\ &= -\dim \text{Hom}(S_{1,j-l_1}^{(l)}, S_{1,j}^{(l)}) + \dim \text{Ext}^1(S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}, S_{1,j}^{(n-l)}) + \langle [S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}], [S_{1,j}^{(l)}] \rangle \\ &= -t + (\lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor - t) + (\lfloor \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor) \\ &= N - 2t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_{E'} a_S} &= \frac{(q-1)^2}{q-1} \times \frac{a_{S_{1,j}^{(l)}} a_{S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}}}{a_{S_{1,j}^{(n)}}} \\ &= (q-1) \frac{(q-1) q^{\dim \text{End}(S_{1,j}^{(l)})-1} \cdot (q-1) q^{\dim \text{End}(S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)})-1}}{(q-1) q^{\dim \text{End}(S_{1,j}^{(n)})-1}} \\ &= (q-1)^2 q^{-1} q^{\dim \text{End}(S_{1,j}^{(l)}) + \dim \text{End}(S_{1,j-l}^{(n-l)}) - \dim \text{End}(S_{1,j}^{(n)})} \\ &= (q-1)^2 q^{-1} q^{\lceil \frac{l}{p_1} \rceil + \lceil \frac{n-l}{p_1} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{p_1} \rceil} \\ &= (q-1)^2 q^{\lceil \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rceil - \lceil \frac{n}{p_1} \rceil}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq t = \frac{l-l_1}{p_1} \leq \frac{n-l_1-1}{p_1}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad \Psi_1 &= \frac{1}{q-1} q^{n_1} f_{n_2} \cdot (q-1) + \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{t \geq 0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-l_1-1}{p_1} \rfloor} q^{-n_3} f_{n_4} \cdot (q-1)^2 q^{\lceil \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{p_1} \rfloor} \\
&= q^{n_1} f_{n_2} + \sum_{t \geq 0} (q-1) q^t f_{n_1-1-2t} \\
&= q^{n_1} f_{n_2} + f_{n_1} + (-1)^{n_1-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here the last equality follows from (4.4).

Next we consider the non-zero terms of

$$\Psi_2 := \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{[X_1], [X_2], [X_3], [X_4]} q^{-\langle X_4, X_1 \rangle} F_{X_3 X_1}^X F_{X_4 X_2}^{X'} \frac{a_{X_1} a_{X_2} a_{X_3} a_{X_4}}{a_X a_{X'}} F_{X_2 X_1}^{L(\vec{x})} F_{X_4 X_3}^{L(\vec{\omega})}.$$

Since $\text{Ext}^1(L(\vec{\omega}), L(\vec{x})) = 0$ and $\text{Hom}(L(\vec{\omega}), S_{1,j}) = 0$, we have $X_4 = 0$. Thus, $X_2 = S = S_{1,j}^{(n)}$, $X_3 = L(\vec{\omega})$ and $X_1 = L(\vec{x} - n\vec{x}_1)$. In this case,

$$(4.8) \quad \Psi_2 = \frac{1}{q-1} F_{SL(\vec{x}-n\vec{x}_1)}^{L(\vec{x})} F_{L(\vec{\omega})L(\vec{x}-n\vec{x}_1)}^{E'} \frac{aS a_{L(\vec{\omega})} a_{L(\vec{x}-n\vec{x}_1)}}{a_{E'} a_S} = f_{n_5},$$

where

$$n_5 = \langle [L(\vec{x})] - [S_{1,j}^{(n)}], [L(\vec{\omega})] \rangle = \lfloor \frac{n-l_1}{p_1} \rfloor = N.$$

By Proposition 2.5 together with (4.7) and (4.8), we have

$$F_{SE'}^E = \Psi_1 - \Psi_2 = q^{n_1} f_{n_2} + f_{n_1} + (-1)^{n_1-1} - f_{n_5}.$$

If $N = -1$, i.e., $1 \leq n < l_1$, then $n_1 = n_2 = 0$, and hence,

$$F_{SE'}^E = f_0 + f_0 + (-1) - f_{-1} = 1.$$

If $N \geq 0$, then $n > l_1$ since $n \not\equiv l_i \pmod{p_i}$ by Lemma 4.5. Therefore, $n_2 < 0$ and thus,

$$F_{SE'}^E = f_{N+1} - f_N + (-1)^N.$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 4.7. *Keep notations as above. Since $F_{SE'}^E$ is a polynomial in $q = |\mathbf{k}|$ of degree $N+1$, we have $F_{SE'}^E(q) \neq 0$ when $q \gg 0$. In this case, there exists a short exact sequence*

$$(4.9) \quad 0 \longrightarrow E' \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow S \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if $[E'] + [S] = [E]$ and $\text{Hom}(E, \text{top } S) \neq 0$.

However, this is not true in general. For example, let \mathbb{X} be the weighted projective line of weight type $(2, 2, 2)$. Let $S = S_{i,j}^{(2)}$ be an indecomposable torsion sheaf taken from an exceptional tube and E be the Auslander bundle given by the non-split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}(\vec{\omega}) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then we have $\langle \tau E, E \rangle = 3$, $[\tau E] + [S] = [E]$, and $\text{Hom}(E, \text{top } S) \neq 0$. By Proposition 4.6 we obtain $N = 0$ and $F_{SE'}^E = f_1(q) = q - 2$. Hence, if $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{F}_2$, then $F_{SE'}^E = 0$. Therefore, there does not exist such an exact sequence of the form (4.9).

5. DERIVED HALL ALGEBRAS AND HALL POLYNOMIALS FOR TAME QUIVERS

In this section we recall from [39, 40] the definition of the derived Hall algebra of the bounded derived category of a finitary abelian category. In terms of the isomorphism between derived Hall algebras of tame quivers and weighted projective lines of domestic type, we apply the results in the previous sections to obtain Hall polynomials for representations of tame quivers which are calculated in [34, 38] by a case-by-case analysis.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finitary abelian category over a finite field \mathbf{k} and $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ be the bounded derived category of \mathcal{A} . For any three objects X, Y, L in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$, let $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)_L$ denote the subset of $\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y)$ consisting of morphisms $X \rightarrow Y$ whose cone is isomorphic to L , and set

$$\{X, Y\} := \prod_{i>0} |\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(X[i], Y)|^{(-1)^i}.$$

For $X \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$, let $\tilde{a}_X := |\text{Aut}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(X)|$ be the cardinality of the automorphism group. Recall from [39, 40] that the *derived Hall number* associated with three objects L, X, Y in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ is defined as

$$(5.1) \quad G_{XY}^L := \frac{|\text{Hom}(L, X)_{Y[1]}|}{\tilde{a}_X} \cdot \frac{\{L, X\}}{\{X, X\}} = \frac{|\text{Hom}(Y, L)_X|}{\tilde{a}_Y} \cdot \frac{\{Y, L\}}{\{Y, Y\}},$$

which can be described by the following derived Riedtmann–Peng formula (c.f., [32, Prop. 3.3]):

$$G_{XY}^L = \frac{|\text{Hom}(X, Y[1])_{L[1]}| \{X, Y[1]\} \{L, L\}}{\{X, X\} \{Y, Y\}} \cdot \frac{a_L}{a_X a_Y}.$$

The derived Hall algebra $\mathcal{DH}(\mathcal{A})$ of \mathcal{A} is by definition the \mathbb{Q} -vector space with the basis $\{u_{[X]} \mid [X] \in \text{Iso}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))\}$, endowed with multiplication defined by

$$u_{[X]} \cdot u_{[Y]} = \sum_{[L] \in \text{Iso}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))} G_{XY}^L u_{[L]}.$$

It is an associative algebra, that is, for given objects X, Y, Z, M in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{[L] \in \text{Iso}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))} G_{XY}^L G_{LZ}^M = \sum_{[L] \in \text{Iso}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))} G_{XL}^M G_{YZ}^L,$$

where both sums are taken over the set $\text{Iso}(D^b(\mathcal{A}))$ of isomorphism classes of objects in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$.

Derived Hall algebras are closely related to Hall algebras. Indeed, we have the following embedding of algebras.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a hereditary abelian category. Then there exists an algebra embedding*

$$\varphi : \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{A}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DH}(\mathcal{A}),$$

taking

$$[X] \longmapsto \{X, X\} \cdot u_{[X]}.$$

Proof. This follows directly from the definitions and the equalities

$$|\text{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(X, Y[1])_{L[1]}| = |\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^1(X, Y)_L|, \quad \forall X, Y, L \in \mathcal{A}.$$

□

It is well-known that for each tame quiver Q without oriented cycles, there is a weighted projective line $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}_Q$ of domestic type such that the module category $\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod}$ of finite dimensional left modules over the path algebra $\mathbf{k}Q$ and $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$ are

derived equivalent. Note that the correspondence between the types of Q and \mathbb{X} is given as follows

Q	$\tilde{\mathbb{A}}_{p,q}$	$\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_n$	$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_6$	$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_7$	$\tilde{\mathbb{E}}_8$
\mathbb{X}	(p, q)	$(2, 2, n - 2)$	$(2, 3, 3)$	$(2, 3, 4)$	$(2, 3, 5)$

From now onwards, let Q be a tame quiver without oriented cycles and $K_0(\mathbf{k}Q)$ denote the Grothendieck group of $\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod}$. By [8], the module category $\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod}$ admits full subcategories \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{I} which consist of preprojective, regular and preinjective $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules, respectively. Then under the canonical derived equivalence $D^b(\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod}) \cong D^b(\text{coh } \mathbb{X})$, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{I} correspond to the vector bundles or their shifts, and \mathcal{R} corresponds to the torsion sheaves in $\text{coh } \mathbb{X}$. We will simply identify \mathcal{R} with $\text{coh}_0\text{-}\mathbb{X}$ and use the same notation to denote the tubes in \mathcal{R} as in $\text{coh}_0\text{-}\mathbb{X}$. In particular, for an indecomposable regular $\mathbf{k}Q$ -module R in a tube \mathcal{T} , by $\text{top } R$ we denote its relative top in \mathcal{T} .

By [4, Prop. 5]), there is an isomorphism $\mathcal{DH}(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}) \cong \mathcal{DH}(\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod})$ of derived Hall algebras. Thus, we can reformulate the results in Sections 3 and 4 in terms of representations of Q . This indeed gives several results on Hall polynomials obtained in [36, 38]; see the following propositions. Since their proofs are very similar, we omit most of them.

Proposition 5.2. *Assume that P, P' are both indecomposable modules of defect -1 in \mathcal{P} and $R = \bigoplus_k R_k$ is a module in \mathcal{R} with indecomposable summands R_k from pairwise distinct tubes. Then there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if $[P] + [R] = [P']$ in $K_0(\mathbf{k}Q)$ and $\text{Hom}(P', \text{top } R_k) \neq 0$. In this case, we have

$$F_{RP}^{P'} = 1.$$

Proof. Consider the canonical derived equivalence by

$$G : \mathcal{DH}(\mathbf{k}Q\text{-mod}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{DH}(\text{coh } \mathbb{X}).$$

Then $G(P), G(P')$ are line bundles with $G([P]) + G([R]) = G([P'])$, and $G(R) = \bigoplus_k G(R_k)$ satisfies $\text{Hom}(G(P'), \text{top } G(R_k)) \neq 0$. By Proposition 3.4, we have $F_{G(R)G(P)}^{G(P')} = 1$ and thus, $F_{RP}^{P'} = 1$. \square

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that P, P' are both indecomposable modules of defect -1 in \mathcal{P} and R is an indecomposable module in \mathcal{R} . Then there exist a module R' (may be zero) in \mathcal{R} and a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P' \oplus R' \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if R' is a submodule of R such that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow P \longrightarrow P' \longrightarrow R/R' \longrightarrow 0.$$

In this case, we have

$$F_{RP}^{P' \oplus R'} = \begin{cases} |\text{Hom}(P, R)|, & \text{if } R \cong R'; \\ a_{R'}, & \text{if } R \not\cong R'. \end{cases}$$

The two propositions above are a supplement of [36, Thm 4.1] where R is a direct sum of indecomposable representations taken from a fixed homogeneous tube. The following result is a reformulation of Proposition 3.6.

Proposition 5.4. *Assume that P, P' are both indecomposable modules of defect -1 in \mathcal{P} and R, R', R'' are indecomposable modules in \mathcal{R} (or zero modules). Then there exists a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow P \oplus R \longrightarrow P' \oplus R' \longrightarrow R'' \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if there are modules R_1, R_2 and short exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow R' \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow P' \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow 0, \quad 0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow R'' \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

In this case, we have

$$F_{R''P \oplus R}^{P' \oplus R'} = \begin{cases} |\mathrm{Hom}(P, R'')|, & \text{if } P \cong P'; \\ a_{R/R'}, & \text{if } P \not\cong P'. \end{cases}$$

The following three propositions are reformulations of Theorem 4.3, Proposition 4.4, and Proposition 4.6, respectively.

Proposition 5.5 ([38, Thm. 7.1]). *Assume that P_1, P_2 are indecomposable modules of defect -1 in \mathcal{P} and P is an indecomposable module of defect -2 in \mathcal{P} . Assume $[P_1] + [P_2] = [P]$ in $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathbf{k}Q)$ and the Euler form $\langle P_1, P_2 \rangle = n \geq 0$. Then*

$$F_{P_2P_1}^P = f_n(q).$$

Proposition 5.6 ([38, Thm. 7.3]). *Assume that P, P' are indecomposable modules of defect -2 in \mathcal{P} and R is an indecomposable module in \mathcal{R} of class $dn\delta$ taken from a homogeneous tube \mathcal{T}_z with $\deg z = d$. Assume $[P'] + [R] = [P]$ in $\mathrm{K}_0(\mathbf{k}Q)$. Then*

$$F_{RP'}^P = f_{dn} - f_{dn-1} + (q^d - 1) \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{d(t-1)} (f_{d(n-2t)} - f_{d(n-2t)-1}).$$

Proposition 5.7 ([38, Thm. 7.5]). *Assume that P, P' are indecomposable modules of defect -2 in \mathcal{P} and R is an indecomposable module in \mathcal{R} taken from an exceptional tube. Assume $[P'] + [R] = [P]$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(P, \mathrm{top} S) \neq 0$, and set $N = \lfloor \frac{1}{2} \langle P', P \rangle \rfloor - 1$. Then*

$$F_{RP'}^P = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } N = -1; \\ f_{N+1} - f_N + (-1)^N, & \text{if } N \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. We only need to deal with the Euler form. In the first case in Proposition 4.6, we have

$$\langle E', E \rangle = \langle E, E \rangle - \langle S, E \rangle = 1 - \langle S, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle - \langle S, L(\vec{x}) \rangle,$$

where $-\langle S, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle = \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor + 1$ and $-\langle S, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle - 1 \leq -\langle S, L(\vec{x}) \rangle \leq -\langle S, L(\vec{\omega}) \rangle$.

Hence, $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \langle P', P \rangle \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{n-l_i}{p_i} \rfloor + 1$. The second case can be treated similarly. Therefore, we can transform the Hall polynomials in Proposition 4.6 to those in $\mathbf{k}Q$ -mod. \square

To obtain the Hall polynomials involving preinjective $\mathbf{k}Q$ -modules, we apply the following result from [29], which indicates the relationship between derived Hall numbers under rotation of triangles.

Lemma 5.8 ([29, Lem. 2.1]). *Let \mathcal{A} be a finitary abelian category over \mathbf{k} . Then for $X, Y, L \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$, we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \frac{G_{XY}^L}{a_L \cdot \{L, L\}} = \frac{G_{Y[1]L}^X}{a_X \cdot \{X, X\}} = \frac{G_{LX[-1]}^Y}{a_Y \cdot \{Y, Y\}}.$$

In particular, if $X, Y, L \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{G_{XY}^L}{a_L} = \frac{G_{Y[1]L}^X}{a_X} = \frac{G_{LX[-1]}^Y}{a_Y}.$$

Let P (resp., \tilde{P}) be an indecomposable module in \mathcal{S} of defect -1 (resp., -2); I, I_1, I_2 (resp., \tilde{I}) indecomposable modules in \mathcal{S} of defect 1 (resp., 2); R, R_1, R_2 indecomposable regular modules; and R^h (resp., R^e) an indecomposable regular module from a homogeneous (resp., an exceptional) tube.

By Lemma 5.8 together with Propositions 5.2–5.7 and an easy calculations of automorphism groups, we obtain the following formulas of Hall polynomials, under the assumption that the corresponding exact sequences exist.

Proposition 5.9 ([38, Thms. 7.2, 7.4 & 7.6]). *Keep the notations above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} F_{IP}^R &= \frac{a_R}{q-1}, & F_{I_1R}^{I_2} &= 1, \\ F_{IP \oplus R_1}^{R_2} &= \frac{a_{R_1} a_{R_2}}{a_{P \oplus R_1}} = \frac{a_{R_2}}{(q-1) |\text{Hom}(P, R_1)|}, \\ F_{I\tilde{P}}^P &= f_{n-1}(q), \text{ for } n = \langle \tilde{P}, P \rangle \geq 1, \\ F_{I\tilde{P}}^{R^h} &= \frac{a_{R^h}}{q-1} (f_{dn} - f_{dn-1} + (q^d - 1) \sum_{t \geq 1} q^{d(t-1)} (f_{d(n-2t)} - f_{d(n-2t-1)})), \end{aligned}$$

where R^h is of class $dn\delta$ taken from a homogeneous tube \mathcal{T}_z with $\deg z = d$, and

$$F_{I\tilde{P}}^{R^e} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_{R^e}}{q-1}, & \text{if } N = -1; \\ \frac{a_{R^e}}{q-1} (f_{N+1} - f_N + (-1)^N), & \text{if } N \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

for $N = \lfloor -\frac{1}{2} \langle \tilde{I}, \tilde{P} \rangle \rfloor + 1$.

Acknowledgements. Bangming Deng was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 1203100). Shiquan Ruan was partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Xiamen (Grant No. 3502Z20227184), Fujian Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 2024J010006 and 2022J01034), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271448), and the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Universities of China (Grant No. 20720220043).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Caldero and F. Chapoton, *Cluster algebras as Hall algebras of quiver representations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **81** (2006), 595–616.
- [2] P. Caldero and B. Keller, *From triangulated categories to cluster algebras*, Invent. Math. **172** (2008), no. 1, 169–211.
- [3] P. Caldero and M. Reineke, *On the quiver Grassmannian in the acyclic case*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), no. 11, 2369–2380.
- [4] T. Cramer, *Double Hall algebras and derived equivalences*, Adv. Math., **224** (2010), 1097–1120.
- [5] B. Deng, J. Du and J. Xiao, *Generic extensions and canonical bases for cyclic quivers*, Canad. J. Math. **59** (2007), 1260–1283.
- [6] B. Deng and L. Han, *Hall polynomials for tame quivers with automorphism*, J. Algebra **596** (2022), 219–249.
- [7] B. Deng and S. Ruan, *Hall polynomials for tame type*, J. Algebra **475** (2017), 171–206.
- [8] V. Dlab and C. M. Ringel, *Indecomposable Representations of Graphs and Algebras*, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., no. 173, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1976.
- [9] Q. Dong and S. Ruan, *On two open questions for extension bundles*, J. Algebra **662** (2025), 407–430.
- [10] R. Dou, Y. Jiang and J. Xiao, *The Hall algebra approach to Drinfeld’s presentation of quantum loop algebras*, Adv. Math. **231** (2012), no. 5, 2593–2625.
- [11] W. Geigle and H. Lenzing, *A class of weighted projective curves arising in representation theory of finite dimensional algebras*, Singularities, representations of algebras, and Vector bundles, Springer Lect. Notes Math., **1273** (1987), 265–297.

- [12] J. A. Green, *Hall algebras, hereditary algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **120** (2) (1995), 361–377.
- [13] J. Guo, *The Hall polynomials of a cyclic serial algebra*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 743–751.
- [14] P. Hall, *The algebra of partitions*, in: *Proceedings of the 4th Canadian Mathematical Congress, Banff 1957*, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1959, pp. 147–159.
- [15] D. Happel, *Triangulated categories in the representation theory of finite-dimensional algebras*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, **119** (1988).
- [16] A. Hubery, *Hall polynomials for affine quivers*, Represent. Theory **14** (2010), 355–378.
- [17] D. Kussin, H. Lenzing and H. Meltzer, *Triangle singularities, ADE-chains and weighted projective lines*, Adv. Math. **237** (2013), 194–251.
- [18] H. Lenzing, *A K-theoretic study of canonical algebras*, Representation theory of algebras (Cocoyoc, 1994), 433–454, CMS Conf. Proc., 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [19] H. Lenzing, *Weighted projective lines and applications*. Representations of Algebras and Related Topics, European Mathematical Society, 153–187.
- [20] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [21] M. Reineke, *Counting rational points of quiver moduli*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 70456, 19 pp.
- [22] C. M. Ringel, *Tame Algebras and Integral Quadratic Forms*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 1099, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [23] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras*, in: *Topics in Algebra, Part 1*, S. Balcerzyk et al. (eds.), Banach Center Publications, no. 26, 1990, pp. 433–447.
- [24] C. M. Ringel, *Hall algebras and quantum groups*, Invent. Math. **101** (1990), 583–592.
- [25] C. M. Ringel, *Hall polynomials for the representation-finite hereditary algebras*, Adv. Math. **84** (1990), 137–178.
- [26] C. M. Ringel, *The composition algebra of a cyclic quiver. Towards an explicit description of the quantum group of type \tilde{A}_n* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **66** (1993), 507–537.
- [27] C. M. Ringel, *Exceptional objects in hereditary categories*, Representation theory of groups, algebras, and orders (Constanta, 1995), 150–158, An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta Ser. Mat. 4 (1996).
- [28] C. M. Ringel, *The theorem of Bo Chen and Hall polynomials*, Nagoya J. **183** (2006), 143–160.
- [29] S. Ruan, *A short proof of Green’s formula*, J. Algebra **581** (2021), 45–49.
- [30] O. Schiffmann, *Noncommutative projective curves and quantum loop algebras*, Duke Math. J. **121** (2004), 113–168.
- [31] O. Schiffmann, *Lectures on Hall algebras*, In: Geometric methods in representation theory. II, 1–141, Sémin. Congr., 24-II, Soc. Math. France, Paris (2012).
- [32] J. Sheng, X. Chen and F. Xu, *On two Hall algebra approaches to odd periodic triangulated categories*, Sci. China Math. **61** (2018), 317–324.
- [33] E. Steinitz, *Zur Theorie der Abel’schen Gruppen*, Jahrsber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. **9**(1901), 80–85.
- [34] Cs. Szántó, *Hall numbers and the composition algebra of the Kronecker algebra*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **9** (2006), 465–495.
- [35] Cs. Szántó, *On the cardinalities of Kronecker quiver Grassmannians*, Math. Zeit. **269** (2011), 833–846.
- [36] Cs. Szántó. *On some Ringel-Hall products in tame cases*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), 2069–2078.
- [37] Cs. Szántó and I. Szöllösi. *Schiffmann sequences in the Euclidean case*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **225** (5) (2021) 106586, 123 pp.
- [38] Cs. Szántó and I. Szöllösi, *On some Ringel-Hall polynomials associated to tame indecomposable modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **228** (5) (2024).
- [39] B. Toën, *Derived Hall algebras*, Duke Math. J. **135** (2006), 587–615.
- [40] J. Xiao and F. Xu, *Hall algebras associated to triangulated categories*, Duke Math. J. **143** (2) (2008), 357–373.
- [41] J. Xiao and H. Xu, *Tame quivers and affine bases II: Nonsimply-laced cases*, J. Algebra **657** (2024), 840–882.
- [42] J. Xiao, H. Xu and M. Zhao, *Tame quivers and affine bases I: A Hall algebra approach to the canonical bases*, J. Algebra **633** (2023), 510–562.
- [43] G. Zhang, *Canonical bases for the quantum extended Kac-Moody algebras and Hall polynomials*, arXiv:0901.1720.
- [44] P. Zhang, *PBW-basis for the composition algebra of the Kronecker algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **527** (2000), 97–116.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, P.R.CHINA
Email address: jiayichen.xmu@foxmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, P.R.CHINA
Email address: bmdeng@tsinghua.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, XIAMEN 361005, P.R.CHINA
Email address: sgruan@xmu.edu.cn