A muon tagging with Flash ADC waveform baselines

D. H. Lee,^{*a*} M. K. Cheoun,^{*b*} J. H. Choi,^{*c*} J. Y. Choi,^{*d*} T. Dodo,^{*e*,*h*} J. Goh,^{*f*} K. Haga,^{*g*} M. Harada,^{*g*} S. Hasegawa,^{*h*,*g*} W. Hwang,^{*f*} T. Iida,^{*i*} H. I. Jang,^{*j*} J. S. Jang,^{*k*} K. K. Joo,^{*d*} D. E. Jung,^{*l*} S. K. Kang,^{*m*} Y. Kasugai,^{*g*} T. Kawasaki,^{*n*} E. M. Kim,^{*d*} S. B. Kim,^{*o*} S. Y. Kim,^{*d*} H. Kinoshita,^{*g*} T. Konno,^{*n*} C. Little,^{*p*} T. Maruyama,^{*a*} E. Marzec,^{*p*} S. Masuda,^{*g*} S. Meigo,^{*g*} D. H. Moon,^{*d*} T. Nakano,^{*q*} M. Niiyama,^{*r*} K. Nishikawa,^{*a*} M. Y. Pac,^{*c*} B. J. Park,^{*s*} H. W. Park,^{*d*} J. B. Park,^{*b*} Jisu Park,^{*d*} J. S. Park,^{*s*} R. G. Park,^{*d*} S. J. M. Peeters,^{*t*} C. Rott,^{*u*,*l*} J. W. Ryu,^{*s*} K. Sakai,^{*g*} S. Sakamoto,^{*g*} T. Shima,^{*q*} C. D. Shin, *^{*a*} J. Spitz,^{*p*} F. Suekane,^{*e*} Y. Sugaya,^{*q*} K. Suzuya,^{*g*} Y. Takeuchi,^{*i*} W. Wang,^{*o*} W. Wei,^{*o*} Y. Yamaguchi,^{*g*} M. Yeh,^{*v*} I. S. Yeo,^{*c*} and I. Yu^{*l*}

2-4 Shirakata, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

^aHigh Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK),

¹⁻¹ Oho, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-0801, Japan

^bDepartment of Physics, Soongsil University,

³⁶⁹ Sangdo-ro, Dongjak-gu, Seoul, 06978, Korea

^cLaboratory for High Energy Physics, Dongshin University,

^{67,} Dongshindae-gil, Naju-si, Jeollanam-do, 58245, Korea

^dDepartment of Physics, Chonnam National University,

^{77,} Yongbong-ro, Buk-gu, Gwangju, 61186, Korea

^eResearch Center for Neutrino Science, Tohoku University,

⁶⁻³ Azaaoba, Aramaki, Aoba-ku, Sendai 980-8578, Japan

^fDepartment of Physics, Kyung Hee University,

^{26,} Kyungheedae-ro, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Korea

^gJ-PARC Center, JAEA,

²⁻⁴ Shirakata, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

^hAdvanced Science Research Center, JAEA,

ⁱFaculty of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tsukuba,

Tennodai 1-1-1, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, 305-8571, Japan

^jDepartment of Fire Safety, Seoyeong University,

¹ Seogang-ro, Buk-gu, Gwangju, 61268, Korea

^kGIST College, Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology,

¹²³ Cheomdangwagi-ro, Buk-gu, Gwangju, 61005, Korea

¹Department of Physics, Sungkyunkwan University,

^{2066,} Seobu-ro, Jangan-gu, Suwon-si, Gyeonggi-do, 16419, Korea

^mSchool of Liberal Arts, Seoul National University of Science and Technology,

²³² Gongneung-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul, 139-743, Korea

ⁿDepartment of Physics, Kitasato University,

¹ Chome-15-1 Kitazato, Minami Ward, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, 252-0329, Japan

^{*}Corresponding author.

^oSchool of Physics, Sun Yat-sen (Zhongshan) University, Haizhu District, Guangzhou, 510275, China ^pUniversity of Michigan, 500 S. State Street, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, U.S.A. ^qResearch Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, 10-1 Mihogaoka, Ibaraki, Osaka, 567-0047, Japan ^rDepartment of Physics, Kyoto Sangyo University, Motoyama, Kamigamo, Kita-Ku, Kyoto-City, 603-8555, Japan ^sDepartment of Physics, Kyungpook National University, 80 Daehak-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu, 41566, Korea ^tDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton, BN1 9RH, U.K. ^uDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, University of Utah, 201 Presidents' Cir, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, U.S.A ^vBrookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973-5000, U.S.A.

E-mail: cdshin@post.kek.jp

ABSTRACT: This manuscript describes an innovative method to tag the muons using the baseline information of the Flash ADC (FADC) waveform of PMTs in the JSNS² (J-PARC Sterile Neutrino Search at J-PARC Spallation Neutron Source) experiment. This experiment is designed for the search for sterile neutrinos, and a muon tagging is an essential key component for the background rejection since the detector of the experiment is located over-ground, where is the 3rd floor of the J-PARC Material and Life experimental facility (MLF). Especially, stopping muons inside the detector create the Michel electrons, and they are important background to be rejected. Utilizing this innovative method, more than 99.8% of Michel electrons can be rejected even without a detector veto region. This technique can be employed for any experiments which uses the similar detector configurations.

KEYWORDS: Neutrino detectors; Scintillators; scintillation and light emission processes (solid, gas and liquid scintillators); Gamma detectors (scintillators, CZT, HPGe, HgI etc)

Contents

I	Intr	oduction	1
2	Backgrounds induced by muons		2
3	A n	A new method to tag muons	
	3.1	Control sample for this study	3
	3.2	Definition of the nominal FADC counts of the waveform baseline	3
	3.3	Number of PMTs which have shifted baselines	3
	3.4	Efficiencies	5
4	Sun	nmary	5

1 Introduction

The JSNS² experiment [1, 2] proposed in 2013 searches for sterile neutrinos, which could explain anomalous observations made over more than 20 years [3–6]. The experiment consists of a liquid scintillator detector which contains 17 tonnes of Gadolinium loaded liquid scintillator (Gd-LS) to search for $\bar{v}_{\mu} \rightarrow \bar{v}_{e}$ oscillations with 24 m baseline. The detector is located on the 3rd floor of the J-PARC Material and Life Science Experimental Facility (MLF). A intense \bar{v}_{μ} flux is created at the mercury target of the MLF via muon decay-at-rest (μ DAR) when the 3 GeV proton beam hits the target. The 3 GeV proton beam is delivered by the J-PARC Rapid Cycle Synchrotron (RCS) with a precise timing structure separating 2 beam bunches by 600 ns at a rate of 25 Hz. This timing structure is essential to separate the neutrino events from background. The liquid scintillator is ideally suited to detect the \bar{v}_{e} since the free protons inside the liquid scintillator can induce the Inverse-Beta-Decay (IBD) reaction: $\bar{v}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$. This reaction provides two coincident signals created by the prompt signal from the positrons and the delayed one from the gammas produced by n-Gd capture reaction. Data taking started from 2020, and as of June 2024 data from 4.9×10²² Proton-On-Target (POT) has been acquired.

The detector consists of a target, a gamma catcher and a cosmic ray veto layers. The target contains 17 tonnes of Gd-LS, while other layers contain 31 tonnes of pure liquid scintillator (pure-LS, no Gd). The latter two layers have a nominal thickness of 25 cm, and the target Gd-LS is contained by the cylindrical acrylic vessel that has a 2.5 m height and a 3.2 m diameter. The veto layer is utilized to detect the incoming particles from outside of the detector, especially muons. Ninety-six 10-inch R7081 Photo-Multiplier-Tubes (PMTs) [7, 8] located in the catcher region surround the acrylic vessel to detect the neutrino events, and twenty-four PMTs stay at the veto region. PMT signals are recorded by 28 CAEN v1721 500 MS/s FADC modules. Using Front-End-Electronics (FEEs) modules donated by the Double-Chooz experiment, JSNS² data acquisition system has effectively four volts dynamic range and 16 bits resolutions with the sampling rate of 500 MHz [9, 10].

Further details on the $JSNS^2$ detector are also found in the reference [10].

2 Backgrounds induced by muons

The JSNS² detector is located above ground, thus cosmic ray induced backgrounds are significant. This background consists of cosmic ray muons at about 2 kHz including stopping muons at a rate of~ 100 Hz. The detector veto region rejects the muons very efficiently with more than 99%, however some muons fail to create an observable veto signal in the case that the muon passes through the detector chimney region located in the center of the detector. Another cause for untagged muons in the detector veto region originates from the JSNS² trigger dead time, that is necessary to avoid overlapping FADC time windows. Veto-untagged muons that create a Michel electron cause a significant source of the background in JSNS² [11]. High energy muons can also cause deep inelastic scattering events, which contain Michel electrons and neutrons in sequence. To tag and reject the muons of these backgrounds, a new technique was implemented in JSNS², described in the next section.

3 A new method to tag muons

In order to reduce the backgrounds associated with the veto-untagged muons, we developed an innovative technique. If a 10-inch PMT in JSNS² receives a highly luminous scintillation light signal from an energetic particle such as a muon, the baseline of the waveform after the particle is shifted. This shift on the baseline continues longer than 10 μ s in JSNS². Fig. 1 shows the typical shift of waveform baselines between the parent muon and decayed Michel electron of one PMT. JSNS² uses the 2 μ s of FADC time gate. This is due to the charge recovery process after a large




Figure 1: The typical baseline shift of the PMT waveforms.

signal for a coupling capacitor in the splitter circuit, which are usually used to extract the signals from plus high-voltage PMTs. This phenomenon is widely known, and a reference [12] describes it in detail. In the JSNS² case, the circuits of PMT bleeders [8], splitters [13], Front-End-Electronics (FEE [13, 14]) and cables lengths of PMTs (RG303U, typically 22 meters) and signals (RG58C/U, typically 15 meters) affect the time constant. Note that the splitter and FEE circuits were donated from the Double-Chooz experiment to the JSNS², and they are used for JSNS².

We use the number of PMTs which show this kind of baseline shifts of waveforms to tag the muons. Hereafter, the method to tag the muon and the corresponding efficiencies are described.

3.1 Control sample for this study

To create a control sample of parent muons and their decay Michel electrons for this study, delayed events are selected with an energy range of 20-60 MeV within 10 μ s from the parent muons as shown in Fig. 2. The parent muons are defined by the PMTs in the veto region, which have more than 100 photo-electrons (p.e.) in the top or the bottom 12 PMTs. The timing difference between the parent muons and the Michel electrons is shown in the left panel. The fitting of this distribution is performed with a constant and a correlated components, and the fitted result of the correlated component is ~ 2.17 μ s. This energy range is the identical to the IBD signal of the sterile neutrino search. This control sample is utilized for the evaluation of the tagging efficiency and the fake rate of the signal sample later.




Figure 2: The left panel shows the timing difference between the parent muons and the Michel electrons. The right panel shows the energy spectra of the Michel electrons.

3.2 Definition of the nominal FADC counts of the waveform baseline

In JSNS², each PMT has different waveform baselines and small fluctuations even without muon events, therefore we define the nominal FADC counts of the waveform baseline for each PMT for the quiet events at first. To define the nominal FADC count of the waveform baseline, the beginning of waveforms of the parent muon events of Michel electron pair before muons' arrival to the detector was used assuming there are no previous events. Fig. 3 (black) shows the typical FADC count of a waveform baseline for one PMT. During the charge computing process of the events, the pedestal (baseline) value of the FADC waveform was determined by 60 ns duration out of 2000 ns time window of FADC with the minimum baseline variance for each PMT. A Gaussian approximation cannot describe the distribution, thus we define a nominal baseline FADC count region as the value which contains 98.2 % of events from the mean. The means and the region of "nominal" baseline are different in each PMT, hence they are calculated individually. Most of the PMTs have ± 2 FADC counts from the mean value to contain 98.2 % of the events.

For reference, the shifted baselines from Michel electron events in the same PMT are shown as Fig. 3 (black). A clear difference is observed in the distributions.

3.3 Number of PMTs which have shifted baselines

Shifted waveform baselines are expected to appear not only in one but can also appear in multiple PMTs. Thus, the selection criteria on the number of PMTs (N_{PMT}) which have shifted baselines are




Figure 3: Typical waveform baseline FADC count (red) and shifted waveform baseline FADC count (black) of one PMT.

examined using the control samples. Parent muon events are supposed to have nominal waveform baselines because they are defined by pedestal prior to muons arrivals, while the sequential decay electrons have the shifted baselines. Fig. 4 shows the number of PMTs which have shifted baselines. The red histogram shows the distributions from parent muons, while the black corresponds to the Michel electrons. If we use a cut, $N_{PMT} < 2$, 99.86±0.05% of Michel electron pairs are tagged,




Figure 4: Number of PMTs which have shifted baselines. Red: parent muons, black: Michel electrons.

however only 4.9 ± 0.2 % of parent muons are mistakenly tagged. Note that this number extracted from parent muons represents the activities which have no previous activities, thus it can be widely used for the normal activities such as IBD events in the sterile neutrino searches. Parent muons may have unrecorded muons events, resulting in a source of inefficiency.

3.4 Efficiencies

Our muon tagging method depends on the energy of the parent muons, and the time difference between parent muons and the Michel electrons, thus the efficiency is a function of these variables and can also be evaluated by the control sample made by Michel electron pairs. The left plot of Fig. 5 shows the two dimensional plot on parent muon energy dependence of N_{PMT} which have shifted baselines. A clear correlation is seen. The right plot shows the tagging efficiency of the muons as a function of parent muon energy using the cut with $N_{PMT} < 2$. Parent muons which have more than 70 MeV have 100 % tagging efficiency. Note that parent muons with energies above 70 MeV are the dominant sample in JSNS².




Figure 5: Left : Parent muon energies (horizontal) vs number of PMTs which have shifted waveform baselines (vertical). Right : Rejection efficiency as a function of energy of the parent muons.

The left plot of Fig. 6 shows a two dimensional plot of the time difference (ΔT) between parent muons and Michel electrons vs N_{PMT} which have shifted baselines. No clear correlation is seen at this case. The right plot shows the tagging efficiency of the muons as a function of the ΔT using the cut with $N_{PMT} < 2$. Small reduction of the efficiency from around more than 6 μ s is found, however, the much better than 94 % tagging efficiency is obtained even in 6~10 μ s region. The parent muon and Michel electron events are recorded in the same FADC timing window in the case of ΔT less than 2 μ s. That case is rejected in JSNS² because of the small probability of overlapping between searching signals and muons.

4 Summary

A new innovative technique to tag muons using the baseline information of the FADC waveform was developed by the JSNS² experiment. With this method, more than 99.8 % muons can be tagged while only less than 5% of physics events are lost. This technique can be used to tag any hidden muons, even if they are missed by the detector veto region. This methodology could be applied by other experiments which suffer from background muons, especially if Michel electrons are associated with parent muons.




Figure 6: Left : ΔT (μ s : horizontal) vs number of PMTs which have shifted waveform baselines (vertical). Right : Rejection efficiency as a function of ΔT .

Acknowledgments

We deeply thank the J-PARC for their continuous support, especially for the MLF and the accelerator groups to provide an excellent environment for this experiment. We acknowledge the support of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology (MEXT) and the JSPS grants-in-aid: 16H06344, 16H03967, 23K13133, 24K17074 and 20H05624, Japan. This work is also supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF): 2016R1A5A1004684, 17K1A3A7A09015973,017K1A3A7A09016426,2019R1A2C3004955,2016R1D1A3B02010606, 017R1A2B4011200, 2018R1D1A1B07050425, 2020K1A3A7A09080133, 020K1A3A7A09080114, 2020R1I1A3066835, 2021R1A2C1013661, NRF-2021R1C1C2003615, 2021R1A6A1A03043957, 2022R1A5A1030700, RS-2023-00212787 and RS-2024-00416839. Our work has also been supported by a fund from the BK21 of the NRF. The University of Michigan gratefully acknowledges the support of the Heising-Simons Foundation. This work conducted at Brookhaven National Laboratory was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC02-98CH10886. The work of the University of Sussex is supported by the Royal Society grant no. IESnR3n170385. We also thank the Daya Bay Collaboration for providing the Gd-LS, the RENO collaboration for providing the LS and PMTs, CIEMAT for providing the splitters, Drexel University for providing the FEE circuits and Tokyo Inst. Tech for providing FADC boards.

References

- JSNS² collaboration, M. Harada et al, Proposal: A Search for Sterile Neutrino at J-PARC Materials and Life Science Experimental Facility, arXiv:1310.1437.
- [2] JSNS² collaboration, S. Ajimura et al., Technical Design Report (TDR): Searching for a Sterile Neutrino at J-PARC MLF (E56, JSNS²), arXiv:1705.08629.
- [3] LSND collaboration, Evidence for anti-muon-neutrino —> anti-electron-neutrino oscillations from the LSND experiment at LAMPF, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77 (1996) 3082 [nucl-ex/9605003].

- [4] BEST Collaboration, V. V. Barinov et al, Search for electron-neutrino transitions to sterile states in the BEST experiment, *Phys. Rev. C* 105 (2022) 065502
- [5] MiniBooNE collaboration, A. A. Aguilar Arevalo et al, Significant Excess of ElectronLike Events in the MiniBooNE Short-Baseline Neutrino Experiment, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121** (2018) 221801
- [6] G. Mention, et al, The Reactor Antineutrino Anomaly, Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 073006
- [7] Data sheet of PMT R7081 by Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan, https://www.hamamatsu.com/content/dam/hamamatsu-photonics/sites/documents/99_ SALES_LIBRARY/etd/LARGE_AREA_PMT_TPMH1376E.pdf
- [8] T. Matsubara, et al, Evaluation of 400 low background 10-in. photo-multiplier tubes for the Double Chooz experiment *Nucl. Inst. Meth. A* 661 (2012) 16-25
- [9] JSNS² collaboration, J. S. Park et al, The JSNS2 data acquisition system, *JINST* 15 (2020) no.09, T09002.
- [10] JSNS² collaboration, S. Ajimura, et al, The JSNS² Detector, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* 1014 (2021) 165742
- [11] Presentation on JSNS² in Neutrino 2022 conference by J.S.Park. https://zenodo.org/records/6681910#.YsZEloTP2Uk
- [12] The Handbook of Photo Multiplier Tubes by Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan. https://www.hamamatsu.com/content/dam/hamamatsu-photonics/sites/documents/99_ SALES_LIBRARY/etd/PMT_handbook_v4E.pdf
- [13] Double-Chooz collaboration, H. de Kerret, et al, The Double Chooz antineutrino detectors, emphEuro. Phys. Journal C 82 (2022) 804
- [14] Courtesy of Prof. Chuck Lane at Drexel Univ.