

THE ELLIPTIC HALL ALGEBRA AND THE DOUBLE DYCK PATH ALGEBRA

NICOLLE GONZÁLEZ, EUGENE GORSKY, AND JOSÉ SIMENTAL

ABSTRACT. We show that the positive half $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ of the elliptic Hall algebra is embedded as a natural spherical subalgebra inside the double Dyck path algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ introduced by Carlsson, Mellit and the second author. For this, we use the Ding-Iohara-Miki presentation of the elliptic Hall algebra and identify the generators inside $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. In order to obtain the entire elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$, we define a “double” $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ of the double Dyck path algebra, together with its positive and negative subalgebras and an involution that exchanges them.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we study the relation between the *elliptic Hall algebra* $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ and the *double Dyck path algebra* $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.

The **elliptic Hall algebra** was introduced by Burban and Schiffmann in [9] and in the last decade found remarkable applications in algebraic geometry [25, 29, 26, 21, 37, 36], mathematical physics [28, 31, 27], combinatorics [5, 6, 7, 8, 22], knot theory [18, 23] and categorification [17, 19].

Although $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ has many equivalent definitions, in this paper we will use the isomorphism [34] between $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ and the so-called **Ding-Iohara-Miki algebra** [12]. This allows us to define $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ by generators e_m, f_m , $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and ψ_m^\pm , $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ modulo certain explicit relations, see Section 2.1. The algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ is graded with all e_m of degree 1, all f_m of degree (-1) and all ψ_m^\pm of degree zero. In particular, one can study the so-called *positive* subalgebras:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^> = \langle e_m \rangle \subset \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} = \langle \psi_m^\pm, e_m \rangle \subset \mathcal{E}_{q,t}.$$

The algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ can be reconstructed from $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ by a formal Drinfeld double construction [9] and admits an anti-involution $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ exchanging the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ with the *negative* part $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\leq} = \langle \psi_m^\pm, f_m \rangle$.

The algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ acts on the space $\text{Sym}_{q,t}$ of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{C}(q,t)$ in infinitely many variables. This polynomial representation was defined and studied by Feigin-Tsybaliuk [13] and Schiffmann-Vasserot [35]; see Section 2.2 for the explicit formulas. In particular, the generators e_m increase the degree of a symmetric function by one, the generators f_m decrease the degree by one, and the generators ψ_m^\pm preserve the degree.

The **double Dyck path algebra** $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ (or rather its cousin $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$) was introduced by Carlsson and Mellit [11] in their proof of celebrated Shuffle Conjecture in algebraic combinatorics, further generalized in [22]. Later work found deep connections between $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$, algebraic geometry of parabolic Hilbert schemes [10], representation theory of double affine Hecke algebras (DAHA) [4, 2, 3, 20], and skein theory [33, 16]. A characteristic feature of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ is a collection

of idempotents $\mathbb{1}_k, k \geq 0$ which decompose any¹ representation V as a direct sum

$$V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k, \quad V_k = \mathbb{1}_k V. \quad (1.1)$$

The generators of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ act between the different subspaces V_k , namely for each k there is:

- an operator $d_+ : V_k \rightarrow V_{k+1}$,
- an operator $d_- : V_k \rightarrow V_{k-1}$,
- an affine Hecke algebra AH_k with generators T_i, z_i acting on the space V_k .

The algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ also has a polynomial representation (1.1) such that $V_0 = \text{Sym}_{q,t}$ and $V_k = \text{Sym}_{q,t}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ for $k > 0$. In our previous work [15] we constructed a large class of *calibrated* representations of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ that, in particular, includes the polynomial representation.

It was observed in [10] and [22] that the polynomial representations of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ and $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ are similar (see Lemma 3.17 for a more precise statement).

Proposition 1.1. *Define the elements*

$$e_m := \mathbb{1}_0 d_- z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.2)$$

Then the action of e_m in the polynomial representation agrees with the action of the eponymic generators in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$, up to a scalar.

The main result of the paper (Theorem 1.4 below) greatly strengthens this result, by showing that in fact the *spherical subalgebra* $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ is generated by the elements e_m and isomorphic to $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$.

1.1. The Double of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. The algebra $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ has a nonnegative grading (with $\deg(d_-) = \deg(T_i) = \deg(z_i) = 0$ and $\deg(d_+) = 1$) which agrees with the grading on the polynomial representation. In particular, any element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ acting on $\text{Sym}_{q,t}$ must increase the degree, and there is no hope of obtaining the generators $f_m \in \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ using $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.

To circumvent this problem, we define a new algebra $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$, a “double” of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ akin to the Drinfeld double construction of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ in which we define the new families of elements ψ_m^\pm and

$$f_m := \mathbb{1}_0 d_+ z_1^m d_- \mathbb{1}_0 \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.3)$$

$\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ also has “positive” and “negative” parts exchanged by an anti-involution Θ , and a family of new idempotents $\mathbb{1}_{-k} = \Theta(\mathbb{1}_k), k \geq 0$. The decomposition in (1.1) transforms into

$$V = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k, \quad V_k = \mathbb{1}_k V. \quad (1.4)$$

Our first main result defines the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ and compares it with the polynomial representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.

Theorem 1.2. *The algebra $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ admits a polynomial representation $V = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k$ with*

$$V_k = \begin{cases} \text{Sym}_{q,t}[y_1, \dots, y_k] & \text{if } k > 0 \\ \text{Sym}_{q,t} & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \text{Sym}_{q,t}[y_1, \dots, y_{|k|}] & \text{if } k < 0. \end{cases}$$

¹We will only consider representations V of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ which are locally finite in the sense that for any $v \in V$, $\mathbb{1}_k v \neq 0$ only for finitely many k .

In particular, the action of the generators e_m , f_m , and ψ_m^\pm on $V_0 = \text{Sym}_{q,t}$ defined in (1.2) and (1.3) agrees with the action of the eponymic generators of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$, up to scalars.

Remark 1.3. More precisely, for $k > 0$ the space $V_k = \text{Sym}_{q,t}[y_1, \dots, y_k] = \text{Sym}_{q,t} \otimes \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ has a natural grading, and V_{-k} is the graded dual space. Since the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ is calibrated, the space V_k comes equipped with a natural graded basis [1] and this allows us to identify V_k^\vee with V_k , see Sections 3.3 and 3.4 for details.

1.2. The Spherical Subalgebra. Next, we study the **spherical subalgebra** $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ in detail. The following is the primary result of the paper.

Theorem 1.4. *There exists an isomorphism $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ which sends the generators e_m, f_m , and ψ_m^\pm to their namesakes in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.*

An immediate consequence of the polynomial representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ being faithful [35, Proposition 4.10], is the following.

Corollary 1.5. *The polynomial representation of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ (and hence of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$) is faithful.*

It remains unknown whether the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$, or even of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$, is faithful.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 consists of several different steps:

In the **first step**, we introduce the subalgebra $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ generated by e_m, f_m , and ψ_m^\pm and prove the following:

Theorem 1.6. *We have the isomorphism $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ which sends the generators e_m, f_m and ψ_m^\pm from (1.2),(1.3) to their eponyms in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.6 occupies Section 4. We first use the relations in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ to prove that the elements $e_m \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ satisfy the defining relations (2.2a) and (2.2h) in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$. Next, we use the involution Θ to prove the analogous result for f_m . The rest of the relations involving the generators ψ_m^\pm follow more or less automatically (in particular, the equation for the commutator $[e_m, f_k]$ is built into the definition of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$). Altogether, this yields a surjective homomorphism

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,t} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,t}.$$

Using Theorem 1.2 and faithfulness of the polynomial representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$, we conclude that this is an isomorphism.

For the **second step**, we define certain elements

$$Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} := \mathbb{1}_0 d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi z_2^{m_2} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 \quad m_i \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (1.5)$$

where $\varphi := \frac{1}{q-1}[d_+, d_-]$. The elements Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} were previously considered (in the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$) in [10, Section 8.2]. Evidently, for $n = 1$ we have $Y_m = e_m \in \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$. However, it is not clear from this definition that $Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$ for $n > 1$. Nevertheless, we prove the following.

Theorem 1.7. *The elements Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} generate the spherical subalgebra $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$.*

In Section 5.1 we describe an explicit inductive procedure for writing an arbitrary element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ as a polynomial in Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} . In fact, we define a “higher level” analogues of Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} in $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$ for $k \geq 0$ and prove that they generate $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$, see Theorem 5.9.

Finally, in the **third step** we prove the containment $Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$ for all n . Presenting Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} as explicit polynomials in e_k seems to be a challenging problem so we choose a

rather indirect approach inspired by [29, 17]. First, we prove some commutation relations for Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} .

Theorem 1.8. *The following relations hold:*

$$Y_{m_1, \dots, m_i, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} - qtY_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, m_{i+1}+1, \dots, m_n} = -Y_{m_1, \dots, m_i} Y_{m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$[e_k, Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n}] = (t-1)(q-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} \sum_{a=1}^{k-m_i} Y_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, k-a, m_i+a, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } k > m_i \\ 0 & \text{if } k = m_i \\ -\sum_{a=1}^{m_i-k} Y_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i-a, k+a, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } k < m_i. \end{cases} \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.9. Theorem 1.8 is motivated by the work of Neguţ who constructed analogous elements $X_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ in [24, Proposition 6.2] and proved in [29] that they satisfy the relations (1.6) and (1.7). See also [6, Proposition 4.2.1].

The proof of these relations occupies Section 5.3. Next, we use the results of [17] and equations (1.6)-(1.7) to prove in Theorem 5.13 that Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} can be expressed as polynomials in e_m .

Corollary 1.10. *The elements Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} are contained in $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}$.*

By combining Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.10 we conclude that $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is indeed generated by the elements e_m . This implies:

Theorem 1.11. *We have $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$.*

By combining Theorems 1.6 and 1.11, we obtain Theorem 1.4 proving that $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.

1.3. Future Directions. Theorem 1.4 opens up several new directions and questions in the representation theory of both $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ and $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. Some of these include:

- (1) Which calibrated representations of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ from [15] can be lifted to representations of $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$? By Theorem 1.4 these would yield representations of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. We plan to address this question in future work [14].
- (2) Given a representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$, is it possible to lift it to a representation of $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ or $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$?
- (3) A product of several d_+ and d_- in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ corresponding to a Dyck path defines an element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$. The action of such elements in the polynomial representation played a crucial role in [11]. Is it possible to define the corresponding element of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ more explicitly? What if we add monomials in z_i between d_{\pm} ?
- (4) Blasiak et al. [8] recently defined lots of interesting elements of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ generalizing Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} . Is it possible to write these elements in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ more explicitly?
- (5) The elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ is part of a large family of **quantum toroidal algebras** which can be assigned to an arbitrary affine Dynkin diagram ($\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ corresponds to \widehat{A}_1). Is it possible to define the analogues of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ or $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ for arbitrary Dynkin diagrams?
- (6) Is the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ faithful?
- (7) The elliptic Hall algebra has an integral form defined in [30], it would be very interesting to define a matching integral form of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. Note that Theorem 1.4 requires working over $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$, since expressing the elements Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} in terms of e_k requires denominators. See Example 4.11 for a sample of this phenomenon.
- (8) There is an $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -action on the elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. Does this action extend to the algebra $\mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$? Some indication of this is given in [22, Section 3].

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Milo Bechtloff Weising, Erik Carlsson, Anton Mellit, Andrei Neguț and Monica Vazirani for many helpful discussions. The work of E. G. was partially supported by the NSF grant DMS-2302305. The work of J. S. was partially supported by CONAHCyT project CF-2023-G-106 and UNAM's PAPIIT Grant IA102124.

2. THE ELLIPTIC HALL ALGEBRA

2.1. Generators and Relations. As mentioned in the introduction, the *elliptic Hall algebra* arises under many guises and names in the literature. Here, we follow [12] and consider the algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ with generators e_m, f_m ($m \in \mathbb{Z}$) and ψ_m^+, ψ_{-m}^- ($m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). It is convenient to package these in various generating series

$$e(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} e_m \mathbf{z}^{-m}, \quad f(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m \mathbf{z}^{-m}, \quad \psi^+(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \psi_m^+ \mathbf{z}^{-m}, \quad \psi^-(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \psi_{-m}^- \mathbf{z}^m.$$

Now, fixing parameters $q_1 = q$, $q_2 = t$ and $q_3 = q^{-1}t^{-1}$ so that $q_1q_2q_3 = 1$, and letting σ_1 and σ_2 denote the elementary symmetric functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= q_1 + q_2 + q_3 = q + t + q^{-1}t^{-1}, \\ \sigma_2 &= q_1q_2 + q_2q_3 + q_1q_3 = qt + q^{-1} + t^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

define the polynomial

$$g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w}) := (\mathbf{z} - q_1\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{z} - q_2\mathbf{w})(\mathbf{z} - q_3\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{z}^3 - \sigma_1\mathbf{z}^2\mathbf{w} + \sigma_2\mathbf{z}\mathbf{w}^2 - \mathbf{w}^3. \quad (2.1)$$

The defining relations of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ are then given by [12]:

$$g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})e(\mathbf{z})e(\mathbf{w}) = -g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})e(\mathbf{w})e(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.2a)$$

$$g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{w}) = -g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})f(\mathbf{w})f(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.2b)$$

$$g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z})e(\mathbf{w}) = -g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})e(\mathbf{w})\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.2c)$$

$$g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z})f(\mathbf{w}) = -g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})f(\mathbf{w})\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z}), \quad (2.2d)$$

$$[e(\mathbf{z}), f(\mathbf{w})] = g(1, 1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{z}^n \mathbf{w}^{-n} \right) (\psi^+(\mathbf{w}) - \psi^-(\mathbf{z})), \quad (2.2e)$$

$$[\psi_i^\pm, \psi_j^\pm] = 0, \quad [\psi_i^\pm, \psi_j^\mp] = 0, \quad (2.2f)$$

$$\psi_0^\pm (\psi_0^\pm)^{-1} = (\psi_0^\pm)^{-1} \psi_0^\pm = 1, \quad (2.2g)$$

$$[e_0, [e_1, e_{-1}]] = 0, \quad (2.2h)$$

$$[f_0, [f_1, f_{-1}]] = 0. \quad (2.2i)$$

Notice that (2.2f) simply says that ψ_i^\pm pairwise commute, and (2.2g) that both ψ_0^+ and ψ_0^- are invertible.

A less trivial consequence of (2.2c) and (2.2d) is that both ψ_0^+ and ψ_0^- commute with all e_m and f_m and hence are central in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. Indeed, looking at the coefficient of $\mathbf{z}^3\mathbf{w}^{-m}$ (for ψ^+) and $\mathbf{z}^0\mathbf{w}^{-m}$ (for ψ^-) on both sides of (2.2c) we obtain that $\psi_0^+ e_m = e_m \psi_0^+$, and similarly that $\psi_0^- f_m = f_m \psi_0^-$. Note, it is important here that the generating series $\psi^+(\mathbf{z})$ and $\psi^-(\mathbf{z})$ are infinite only on one side.

Let us also elaborate on the relation (2.2e). By expanding the formal power series on both sides, we see that (2.2e) is equivalent to:

$$[e_n, f_m] = \begin{cases} g(1, 1)^{-1} \psi_{m+n}^+, & \text{if } m+n > 0 \\ -g(1, 1)^{-1} \psi_{-(m+n)}^- & \text{if } m+n < 0, \\ g(1, 1)^{-1} (\psi_0^+ - \psi_0^-) & \text{if } m+n = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

The following is clear from the relations.

Lemma 2.1. *The algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ has a $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$ -linear anti-involution $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ defined by*

$$\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}(e_m) = f_m, \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{E}}(f_m) = e_m, \quad \Theta_{\mathcal{E}}(\psi_m^{\pm}) = \psi_m^{\pm}.$$

We will work with various subalgebras of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.

Definition 2.2. The *positive subalgebra* $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ has generators $e_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi_m^+, \psi_{-m}^- (m \geq 0)$ satisfying the relations (2.2a), (2.2c), (2.2f), (2.2g), and (2.2h).

Definition 2.3. The *negative subalgebra* $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ has generators $f_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi_m^+, \psi_{-m}^- (m \geq 0)$ satisfying the relations (2.2b), (2.2d), (2.2f), (2.2g), and (2.2i).

We similarly define the subalgebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^> \subset \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ (resp. $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^< \subset \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\leq}$) with generators $e_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (resp. $f_m, m \in \mathbb{Z}$) modulo the relations (2.2a) and (2.2h) (resp. (2.2b) and (2.2i)).

Evidently, the involution $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ exchanges $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ with $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^<$, and $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ with $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\leq}$.

2.2. The Polynomial Representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. Following Feigin and Tsymbaliuk [13], we now describe the polynomial representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ on the space $\text{Sym}_{q,t}$ of symmetric functions over $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$.

Given any partition λ and a box $\square \in \lambda$ in row r and column c , the **(q, t) -content** of this box is the scalar $q^{c-1}t^{r-1}$. In what follows we abuse notation, and denote both the box in the partition and its associated (q, t) -content by \square . Since the position of a box is uniquely determined by its (q, t) -content, we also sometimes abuse notation and write $\lambda \cup x$ to denote the partition obtained from λ by adding the box whose (q, t) -content is equal to x .

So then, taking the basis of $\text{Sym}_{q,t}$ to be the modified Macdonald polynomials $I_{\lambda} := \tilde{H}_{\lambda}(X; q, t)$, the action is given by [13, Lem. 3.1 and Prop. 3.1]:

$$e_m I_{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu=\lambda \cup x} \frac{(q^{\mu_i-1}t^{i-1})^m}{1-qt} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{\mu_j-\mu_i+1}t^{j-i+1})}{(1-q^{\mu_j-\mu_i+1}t^{j-i})} I_{\mu}, \quad (2.4a)$$

$$f_m I_{\mu} = \sum_{\lambda=\mu-x} \frac{(q^{\lambda_i}t^{i-1})^{m-1}}{1-qt} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1}t^{i-j+1}}{1-q^{\lambda_i-\lambda_j+1}t^{i-j}} I_{\lambda}, \quad (2.4b)$$

where the sums are taken over all partitions μ (resp. λ) that can be obtained from λ (resp. μ) by adding (resp. removing) a box, with i equal to the row of the box.

We also have,

$$\psi^{\pm}(\mathbf{z}) I_{\lambda} = \left(-\frac{1-q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1-\mathbf{z}^{-1}} \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \frac{(1-q^{-1}\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-t^{-1}\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-qt\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})}{(1-q\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-t\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-q^{-1}t^{-1}\square\mathbf{z}^{-1})} \right) I_{\lambda}, \quad (2.4c)$$

where the coefficient of I_{λ} in the RHS of (2.4c) is a rational function in \mathbf{z} , and should thus be expanded as a power series in $\mathbf{z}^{\mp 1}$ to obtain the formula for the action of $\psi^{\pm}(\mathbf{z})$.

Theorem 2.4 ([35], Proposition 4.10). *The polynomial representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ is faithful.*

It will be useful to slightly rewrite equations (2.4a)-(2.4c). Define the scalars in $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$,

$$c(\lambda; x) := -\Lambda(-x^{-1} + (1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda x^{-1} + 1) \quad (2.5a)$$

$$c^*(\mu; x) := x^{-1}\Lambda(-(1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda^* x) \quad (2.5b)$$

where λ, μ are partitions with $\mu = \lambda \cup x$, and

$$B_\lambda = \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square, \quad B_\lambda^* = \sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda\left(\sum \phi_{i,j} q^i t^j\right) = \prod (1 - q^i t^j)^{\phi_{i,j}}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $\mu = \lambda \cup \square$ with \square in row i and (q, t) -content of \square is equal to x . Then the coefficients (2.5a) and (2.5b) can be written as*

$$c(\lambda; x) = -(1-t) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} t^{j-i+1})}{(1 - q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} t^{j-i})} = -(1-t) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - q^{\mu_j - \mu_i + 1} t^{j-i+1})}{(1 - q^{\mu_j - \mu_i + 1} t^{j-i})}.$$

and

$$c^*(\mu; x) = \frac{(q^{\lambda_i} t^{i-1})^{-1}}{(1-q)} \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + 1} t^{i-j+1})}{(1 - q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + 1} t^{i-j})}$$

Proof. We expand B_λ and B_λ^* as sums of geometric sequences:

$$B_\lambda = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + \dots + q^{\lambda_j - 1}) t^{j-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1 - q^{\lambda_j}}{1 - q} t^{j-1} = \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-t)} - \frac{1}{1-q} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{\lambda_j} t^{j-1}$$

and similarly

$$B_\lambda^* = \frac{1}{(1-q^{-1})(1-t^{-1})} - \frac{1}{1-q^{-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{-\lambda_j} t^{1-j}.$$

Note that $x = q^{\lambda_i} t^{i-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} -x^{-1} + (1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda x^{-1} + 1 &= -(1-t) \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{\lambda_j} t^{j-1} x^{-1} + 1 \\ &= -\sum_{j \neq i} q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} (t^{j-i} - t^{j-i+1}) - (1-t) + 1 \\ &= -\sum_{j \neq i} q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} (t^{j-i} - t^{j-i+1}) + t. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c(\lambda; x) = -(1-t) \prod_{j \neq i} \frac{(1 - q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} t^{j-i+1})}{(1 - q^{\lambda_j - \lambda_i} t^{j-i})}.$$

For the first equation it suffices to notice that $\mu_i = \lambda_i + 1$ and $\mu_j = \lambda_j$ for $j \neq i$. For the second equation, we write

$$\begin{aligned} -(1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda^* x &= -qt - (1-t)q \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j} t^{i-j} \\ &= -q - \sum_{j \neq i} q^{\lambda_i - \lambda_j + 1} (t^{i-j} - t^{i-j+1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.6. *We have*

$$e_m I_\lambda = -\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-t)} \sum_{\mu=\lambda \cup x} c(\lambda; x) x^m I_\mu, \quad f_m I_\mu = \sum_{\lambda=\mu-x} c^*(\mu; x) x^m I_\lambda$$

Lemma 2.7. *Let*

$$d_\lambda = \left(\prod_{\square \in \lambda} \square \right) \cdot \Lambda(-B_\lambda^* + (1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda B_\lambda^*). \quad (2.6)$$

Then for $\mu = \lambda \cup x$ we have

$$\frac{c(\lambda; x)}{c^*(\mu; x)} = -\frac{(1-q)(1-t)d_\mu}{(1-qt)d_\lambda}.$$

Proof. Indeed, $B_\mu = B_\lambda + x$, $B_\mu^* = B_\lambda^* + x^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d_\mu}{d_\lambda} &= x \Lambda(-x^{-1} + (1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda x^{-1} + (1-q)(1-t)B_\lambda^* x + (1-q)(1-t)) \\ &= -\frac{(1-qt)c(\lambda; x)}{(1-q)(1-t)c^*(\mu; x)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.8. *We have the power series identities*

$$\exp \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbf{w}^m (1-q^m)(1-t^m)(1-(qt)^{-m}) \right] = -\frac{g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}{g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})}$$

and

$$\exp \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^m}{m} \mathbf{w}^{-m} (1-q^m)(1-t^m)(1-(qt)^{-m}) \right] = -\frac{g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})}{g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}.$$

Proof. We prove the first equation, the second follows after swapping \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{w} . Note that

$$(1-q^m)(1-t^m)(1-(qt)^{-m}) = -(q^m + t^m + (qt)^{-m} - q^{-m} - t^{-m} - (qt)^m),$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &\exp \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbf{w}^m (1-q^m)(1-t^m)(1-(qt)^{-m}) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbf{w}^m (q^m + t^m + (qt)^{-m} - q^{-m} - t^{-m} - (qt)^m) \right] \\ &= \frac{(1-q^{-1}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-t^{-1}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-(qt)\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})}{(1-q\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-t\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})(1-(qt)^{-1}\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z}^{-1})} \\ &= -\frac{(\mathbf{w}-q\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{w}-t\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{w}-(qt)^{-1}\mathbf{z})}{(\mathbf{z}-q\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z}-t\mathbf{w}\mathbf{z})(\mathbf{z}-(qt)^{-1}\mathbf{w})} = \frac{g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}{g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.9. *Equation (2.4c) can equivalently be written as follows:*

$$\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z}) I_\lambda = \left(-\frac{1-q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1-\mathbf{z}^{-1}} \right) \prod_{\square \in \lambda} \exp \left[\mp \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{\mp m}}{m} \square^{\pm m} (1-q^m)(1-t^m)(1-(qt)^{-m}) \right].$$

2.3. Monodromy Conditions. For later use, we examine the so-called monodromy conditions, introduced in [15], on the coefficients $c(\lambda; x)$ and $c^*(\mu; x)$ from (2.5a) and (2.5b), respectively. Consider the Young poset P . A *system of coefficients* $\{c(\lambda; x)\}$ on P is an assignment of a value $c(\lambda; x) \in \mathbb{C}(q, t)^\times$ for every covering relation $\lambda \prec \lambda \cup x$ on P . We say that the system of coefficients $\{c(\lambda; x)\}$ satisfies the **monodromy conditions** if, whenever we have chains $\lambda \prec \lambda \cup x \prec \lambda \cup x \cup y$ and $\lambda \prec \lambda \cup y \prec \lambda \cup y \cup x$ in P we have (cf. [15, Lemma 3.24]):

$$\frac{c(\lambda; x)c(\lambda \cup x; y)}{c(\lambda; y)c(\lambda \cup y; x)} = -\frac{(x - ty)(x - qy)(y - qty)}{(y - tx)(y - qx)(x - qty)}. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.10 ([15], Theorem 3.32). *The system of coefficients $c(\lambda; x)$ defined by (2.5a) satisfies the monodromy conditions.*

Now let us deal with the opposite Young poset P^{opp} . A system of coefficients $\{c^*(\mu; x)\}$ is an assignment of a value $c^*(\mu; x)$ for every covering relation $\mu \prec \mu - x$ on P^{opp} . We say that $\{c^*(\mu; x)\}$ satisfies the **dual monodromy conditions** if, whenever we have chains $\mu \prec \mu - x \prec \mu - x - y$ and $\mu \prec \mu - y \prec \mu - y - x$ the following equation is satisfied:

$$\frac{c^*(\mu; x)c^*(\mu - x; y)}{c^*(\mu, y)c^*(\mu - y; x)} = -\frac{(y - tx)(y - qx)(x - qty)}{(x - ty)(x - qy)(y - qty)}. \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.11. *The system of coefficients $c^*(\lambda)$ defined by (2.5b) satisfies the dual monodromy conditions.*

Proof. We use Lemmas 2.7 and 2.10. First, note that by Lemma 2.7 we can write

$$c^*(\mu; x) = -\frac{(1 - qt)d_{\mu-x}}{(1 - q)(1 - t)d_\mu}c(\mu - x; x).$$

So that we have


$$\frac{c^*(\mu; x)c^*(\mu - x; y)}{c^*(\mu, y)c^*(\mu - y; x)} = \frac{\frac{d_{\mu-x}}{d_\mu} \frac{d_{\mu-x-y}}{d_{\mu-x}} c(\mu - x; x)c(\mu - x - y; y)}{\frac{d_{\mu-y}}{d_\mu} \frac{d_{\mu-x-y}}{d_{\mu-y}} c(\mu - y; y)c(\mu - x - y; x)}$$

and the result now follows since $\{c(\lambda; x)\}$ satisfies the monodromy conditions. \square

3. THE DOUBLE OF $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$

As discussed in the introduction, in order to realize the complete elliptic Hall algebra within the double Dyck path algebra it is necessary to enlarge the latter algebra first. Motivated by a similar decomposition for $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ we will define a new doubled algebra whose “positive half” is the usual double Dyck path algebra, together with an involution that exchanges the positive and negative parts.

3.1. Definition of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. Consider the following infinite quiver $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$:



where:

- Each loop with label Δ represents two infinite number of loops, labeled Δ_{p_m} and $\Delta_{p_m}^*$ for integers $m > 0$.
- The loop at a vertex k labeled by z represents $|k|$ loops, labeled $z_1, \dots, z_{|k|}$.
- The loop at vertex k labeled by T represents $|k| - 1$ loops, labeled $T_1, \dots, T_{|k|-1}$.
- For a vertex k , we denote the lazy path at k by $\mathbb{1}_k$.

We consider the path algebra $\mathbb{C}(q, t)Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ of $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$, **assuming that each loop z_i at k is invertible in $\mathbb{1}_k\mathbb{C}(q, t)Q_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{1}_k$** . Note that, since $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ has an infinite number of vertices, $\mathbb{C}(q, t)Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is non-unital.

Definition 3.1. The algebra $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ is the quotient of the path algebra $\mathbb{C}(q, t)Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by the following relations, where we set $\varphi := \frac{1}{q-1}[d_+, d_-]$.

(DB⁺) The left action on $\mathbb{1}_k$ with $k > 0$ satisfies:

$$(T_i - 1)(T_i + q) = 0, \quad T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1} \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i - j| > 1) \quad (3.1a)$$

$$T_i^{-1} z_{i+1} T_i^{-1} = q^{-1} z_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq k - 1) \quad (3.1b)$$

$$z_i T_j = T_j z_i \quad (i \notin \{j, j + 1\}), \quad z_i z_j = z_j z_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq k) \quad (3.1c)$$

$$d_-^2 T_{k-1} = d_-^2 \quad (k \geq 2), \quad d_- T_i = T_i d_- \quad (1 \leq i \leq k - 2) \quad (3.1d)$$

$$T_1 d_+^2 = d_+^2, \quad d_+ T_i = T_{i+1} d_+ \quad (1 \leq i \leq k - 1) \quad (3.1e)$$

$$q\varphi d_- = d_- \varphi T_{k-1} \quad (k \geq 2), \quad T_1 \varphi d_+ = q d_+ \varphi \quad (3.1f)$$

$$z_i d_- = d_- z_i, \quad d_+ z_i = z_{i+1} d_+ \quad (3.1g)$$

$$z_1 (q d_+ d_- - d_- d_+) = q t (d_+ d_- - d_- d_+) z_k \quad (3.1h)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_n}] = [\Delta_{p_m}, T_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}, z_j] = [\Delta_{p_m}, d_-] = 0 \quad (3.1i)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}^*, \Delta_{p_n}^*] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, T_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, z_j] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, d_-] = 0 \quad (3.1j)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}^*, \Delta_{p_n}] = 0 \quad (3.1k)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, d_+] = z_1^m d_+, \quad [\Delta_{p_m}^*, d_+] = z_1^{-m} d_+. \quad (3.1l)$$

(DB⁻) The left action on $\mathbb{1}_k$ with $k < 0$ satisfies:

$$(T_i - 1)(T_i + q^{-1}) = 0, \quad T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1}, \quad T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad (|i - j| > 1) \quad (3.2a)$$

$$T_i^{-1} z_{i+1} T_i^{-1} = q z_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq k - 1) \quad (3.2b)$$

$$z_i T_j = T_j z_i \quad (i \notin \{j, j + 1\}), \quad z_i z_j = z_j z_i \quad (1 \leq i, j \leq k) \quad (3.2c)$$

$$d_+^2 T_{|k|-1} = d_+^2 \quad (k < -1), \quad d_+ T_i = T_i d_+ \quad (1 \leq i \leq |k| - 2) \quad (3.2d)$$

$$T_1 d_-^2 = d_-^2, \quad d_- T_i = T_{i+1} d_- \quad (1 \leq i \leq |k| - 1) \quad (3.2e)$$

$$q^{-1} \varphi d_+ = d_+ \varphi T_{|k|-1}, \quad (k < -1), \quad T_1 \varphi d_- = q^{-1} d_- \varphi \quad (3.2f)$$

$$z_i d_+ = d_+ z_i, \quad d_- z_i = z_{i+1} d_- \quad (3.2g)$$

$$z_1 (q^{-1} d_- d_+ - d_+ d_-) = q^{-1} t^{-1} (d_- d_+ - d_+ d_-) z_{|k|} \quad (3.2h)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_n}] = [\Delta_{p_m}, T_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}, z_j] = [\Delta_{p_m}, d_+] = 0 \quad (3.2i)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}^*, \Delta_{p_n}^*] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, T_i] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, z_j] = [\Delta_{p_m}^*, d_+] = 0 \quad (3.2j)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}^*, \Delta_{p_n}] = 0 \quad (3.2k)$$

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, d_-] = -z_1^m d_-, \quad [\Delta_{p_m}^*, d_-] = -z_1^{-m} d_-. \quad (3.2l)$$

Remark 3.2. Note that none of the relations involve the element φ acting on $\mathbb{1}_0$, i.e. none of them involve $\mathbb{1}_0[d_+, d_-]\mathbb{1}_0$.

Remark 3.3. For $k > 0$, relations (3.1a), (3.1b) and (3.1c) say that $\mathbb{1}_k z_i \mathbb{1}_k$ and $\mathbb{1}_k T_j \mathbb{1}_k$ generate a copy of the affine Hecke algebra AH_k with parameter q . Similarly, for $k < 0$ the relations (3.2a), (3.2b) and (3.2c) say that $\mathbb{1}_k z_i \mathbb{1}_k$ and $\mathbb{1}_k T_j \mathbb{1}_k$ generate a copy of the affine Hecke algebra $\text{AH}_{|k|}$ with parameter q^{-1} .

To express the last relation, we need to define some additional elements in $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.

Definition 3.4. For each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define the elements

$$e_m := \mathbb{1}_0 d_- z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0, \quad f_m := \mathbb{1}_0 d_+ z_1^m d_- \mathbb{1}_0, \quad (3.3)$$

with corresponding generating series given by

$$e(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} e_i \mathbf{z}^{-i}, \quad f(\mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_i \mathbf{w}^{-i}.$$

We also define elements $\psi^{\pm m}$, $m \geq 0$ via the generating series $\psi^{\pm}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m \geq 0} \psi_m^{\pm} \mathbf{z}^{\mp m}$, where:

$$\psi^+(\mathbf{z}) := \left(-\frac{1 - q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1 - \mathbf{z}^{-1}} \right) \exp \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbb{1}_0 \Delta_{p_m} \mathbb{1}_0 (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) \right] \quad (3.4)$$

$$\psi^-(\mathbf{z}) := \left(-\frac{1 - q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1 - \mathbf{z}^{-1}} \right) \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^m}{m} \mathbb{1}_0 \Delta_{p_m}^* \mathbb{1}_0 (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) \right] \quad (3.5)$$

Remark 3.5. The $\left(-\frac{1 - q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1 - \mathbf{z}^{-1}} \right)$ factor in (3.4) and (3.5) is motivated by Corollary 2.9, see also Theorem 3.16.

Then, the last relation in $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ states:

(DB⁰) The elements e_m, f_m and ψ_m^{\pm} satisfy,

$$[e(\mathbf{z}), f(\mathbf{w})] = (1 - q^{-1}t^{-1})^{-1} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{z}^n \mathbf{w}^{-n} \right) (\psi^+(\mathbf{w}) - \psi^-(\mathbf{z})) \quad (3.6)$$

In particular, notice that (3.6) is very similar to (2.2e), except for a $-(1 - q)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-1}$ factor. Thus, (3.6) can be equivalently written as an infinite collection of relations as in (2.3). The missing $(1 - q)^{-1}(1 - t)^{-1}$ factor is explained by Corollary 2.6, see also Theorem 3.16.

The *double Dyck path algebra* $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ was originally introduced by Carlsson-Gorsky-Mellit in [10]. In [15] we extended this definition to include a family of commuting operators $\{\Delta_{p_m}\}$ that act like Macdonald operators on the polynomial representation. These algebras can be realized inside $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ as follows.


Definition 3.6. The *double Dyck path algebra* $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ generated by the arrows and vertices in the following subquiver of $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$:

$$0 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_+} \\ \xleftarrow{d_-} \end{array} 1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_+} \\ \xleftarrow{d_-} \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{d_+} \\ \xleftarrow{d_-} \end{array} \dots$$

$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow (z) \\ \uparrow (z) \\ \uparrow (T) \end{array}$


Its extension by Delta operators can thus be seen as follows.

Definition 3.7. Define the *extended double Dyck path algebra* $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$ generated by the arrows and vertices of the following quiver.



In particular, $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ is an enlargement of the $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ -algebra first defined in [15]. In $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ the operators $\Delta_{p_m}^*$ were not included.

Definition 3.8. The algebra $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ is the subalgebra of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$ generated by the following quiver:



Remark 3.9. Comparing the relations (DB^+) with (DB^-) , it follows from the definitions that $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{DB}_{q^{-1},t^{-1}}^{\geq}$.

3.2. An Anti-Involution on $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$. Given the obvious symmetry between $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ and $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ it is natural to search for a map on $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$ which exchanges these subalgebras. In this section, we define such an anti-involution $\Theta : \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \rightarrow \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$. This map is similar to the anti-linear anti-involution $\Theta : \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$ previously defined in [15, Section 7] with some subtle differences, see Remark 3.11.

Lemma 3.10. *The following defines a $\mathbb{C}(q,t)$ -linear anti-involution $\Theta : \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \rightarrow \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$:*

$$\Theta(\mathbb{1}_k) = \mathbb{1}_{-k} \quad \Theta(\mathbb{1}_k T_i \mathbb{1}_k) = \mathbb{1}_{-k} T_{|k|-i}^{-1} \mathbb{1}_{-k} \quad \Theta(\mathbb{1}_k z_j \mathbb{1}_k) = \mathbb{1}_{-k} z_{|k|+1-j} \mathbb{1}_{-k}$$

$$\Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k+1} d_+ \mathbb{1}_k) = \begin{cases} q^{k/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_+ \mathbb{1}_{-k-1} & k \geq 0 \\ q^{(k+1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_+ \mathbb{1}_{-k-1} & k < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k-1} d_- \mathbb{1}_k) = \begin{cases} q^{(k-1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_- \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} & k > 0 \\ q^{k/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_- \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} & k \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Theta(\mathbb{1}_k \Delta_{p_m} \mathbb{1}_k) = \mathbb{1}_{-k} (\Delta_{p_m} + \sum_{i=1}^{|k|} z_i^m) \mathbb{1}_{-k} \quad \Theta(\mathbb{1}_k \Delta_{p_m}^* \mathbb{1}_k) = \mathbb{1}_{-k} (\Delta_{p_m}^* + \sum_{i=1}^{|k|} z_i^{-m}) \mathbb{1}_{-k}.$$

In particular,

$$\Theta(e_k) = f_k, \quad \Theta(\psi_m^{\pm}) = \psi_m^{\pm}. \quad (3.7)$$

Moreover, $\Theta(\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}) = \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ and vice versa.

Remark 3.11. The map Θ is closely related to, but exhibits some subtle differences with, the map from [15, Lemma 7.2], that we call $\tilde{\Theta}$. To start, Θ is $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$ -linear while $\tilde{\Theta}$ is θ -linear, where $\theta : \mathbb{C}(q, t) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(q, t)$ is defined by $\theta(q) = q^{-1}, \theta(t) = t^{-1}$. The reason is that the relations (DB^-) defining $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$ already take θ into account. The second difference is that $\tilde{\Theta}$ interchanges the generators d_+ and d_- , while Θ preserves them. Taking a close look at the relations (DB^-) , however, one sees that the relations defining $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$ also take this into account. Finally, $\tilde{\Theta}$ sends Δ_{p_m} to $-\Delta_{p_m} + z_1^m + \cdots + z_k^m$, which differs from Θ by the sign of Δ_{p_m} . This difference is explained by looking at the relations (3.11) and (3.21), which differ by a sign.

Proof of Lemma 3.10. First, note that the map $z_i \mapsto z_{k+1-i}, T_i \mapsto T_{k-i}^{-1}$ gives an anti-homomorphism of affine Hecke algebras $\text{AH}_k(q) \rightarrow \text{AH}_k(q^{-1})$ that squares to the identity, so that Θ interchanges the relations (3.1a), (3.1b) and (3.1c) with the relations (3.2a), (3.2b) and (3.2c), respectively.

Similarly, direct computation shows that relations (3.1d), (3.1e), and (3.1g) are exchanged under Θ with relations (3.2e), (3.2d), and (3.2g) respectively.

Before moving on, we find some values of Θ .

If $k > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\mathbb{1}_k(d_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_k) &= \mathbb{1}_{-k}(q^{k-1}d_-d_+ - q^k d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k} = q^k \mathbb{1}_{-k}(q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k} \\ \Theta(\mathbb{1}_k(qd_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_k) &= q^k \mathbb{1}_{-k}(d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k}\end{aligned}$$

If $k < 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(\mathbb{1}_k(d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_k) &= q^k \mathbb{1}_{-k}(qd_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_{-k} \\ \Phi(\mathbb{1}_k(q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_k) &= q^k \mathbb{1}_{-k}(d_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_{-k}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, applying Θ to both sides of (3.1h) we obtain

$$\Theta(\mathbb{1}_k z_1(qd_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_k) = q^k \mathbb{1}_{-k}(d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) z_k \mathbb{1}_{-k}$$

and

$$\Theta(qt \mathbb{1}_k(d_+d_- - d_-d_+) z_k \mathbb{1}_k) = qt \mathbb{1}_{-k} q^k z_1(q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_{-k}.$$

Hence, we see that Θ sends relation (3.1h) to relation (3.2h). An analogous computation shows that (3.2h) is exchanged with (3.1h).

Now we need to verify that Θ interchanges relations (3.1f) and (3.2f). Applying Θ to the right-hand side of the left equation in (3.1f) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k-1} q[d_+, d_-] d_- \mathbb{1}_k) &= qq^{(k-1)/2} q^{k-1} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_- (q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_- (q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} d_- (q^{-1}d_-d_+ - q^{-1}d_+d_- + q^{-1}d_+d_- - d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} (q^{-1}d_-[d_-, d_+] + (q^{-1} - 1)d_-d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1}\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, applying Θ to the left-hand side of the same equation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k-1} d_-[d_+, d_-] T_{k-1} \mathbb{1}_k) &= q^k q^{(k-1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k} T_1^{-1} (q^{-1}d_-d_+ - d_+d_-) d_- \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} (q^{-1}T_1^{-1}[d_-, d_+]d_- + (q^{-1} - 1)T_1^{-1}d_+d_-d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} (T_1[d_-, d_+]d_- + (q^{-1} - 1)([d_-, d_+]d_- + d_+d_-d_-)) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{\frac{3k-1}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k} (T_1[d_-, d_+]d_- + (q^{-1} - 1)d_-d_+d_-) \mathbb{1}_{-k+1}\end{aligned}$$

where we used that $q^{-1}T_1^{-1} = T_1 + q^{-1} - 1$ (recall that, since $-k < 0$, the correct quadratic relation for T_1 is $(T_1 - 1)(T_1 + q^{-1}) = 0$), that $T_1^{-1}d_+ = d_+T_1^{-1}$ and that $T_1^{-1}d_-^2 = d_-^2$. Thus, we see that Θ sends the left equation in (3.1f) to the right equation in (3.2f). Analogously, we see that Θ sends the right equation in (3.2f), and that it exchanges the right side of (3.1f) with the left side of (3.2f).

Now we verify relations (3.1i), (3.1j), (3.1k) and (3.1l). Since $z_1^m + \dots + z_k^m$ is central in the affine Hecke algebra $\text{AH}_k(q^{\pm 1})$, it is clear that the first three of these relations are preserved under Θ , with the exception of $[\Delta_{p_m}, d_-] = 0$ that we verify next. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k-1}(\Delta_{p_m}d_-)\mathbb{1}_k) &= q^{(k-1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k}(d_-(\Delta_{p_m} + z_1^m + \dots + z_{k-1}^m)\mathbb{1}_{-k+1}) \\ &= q^{(k-1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k}(d_-\Delta_{p_m} + d_-(z_1^m + \dots + z_{k-1}^m))\mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= q^{(k-1)/2} \mathbb{1}_{-k}(\Delta_{p_m}d_- + z_1^m d_- + (z_2^m + \dots + z_k^m)d_-)\mathbb{1}_{-k+1} \\ &= \Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k-1}(d_-\Delta_{p_m})\mathbb{1}_k). \end{aligned}$$

Let us now check that the relation (3.1l) is preserved. We can write this relation as

$$\mathbb{1}_{k+1}\Delta_{p_m}d_+\mathbb{1}_k = \mathbb{1}_{k+1}d_+\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_k + \mathbb{1}_{k+1}z_1^m d_+\mathbb{1}_k.$$

Applying Θ to the left-hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k+1}\Delta_{p_m}d_+\mathbb{1}_k) &= q^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k}d_+(\Delta_{p_m} + z_1^m + \dots + z_{k+1}^m)\mathbb{1}_{-k-1} \\ &= q^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k}(\Delta_{p_m}d_+ + d_+(z_1^m + \dots + z_{k+1}^m))\mathbb{1}_{-k-1} \\ &= q^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k}(\Delta_{p_m} + z_1^m + \dots + z_k^m)d_+\mathbb{1}_{-k-1} + q^{\frac{k}{2}} \mathbb{1}_{-k}d_+z_{k+1}^m\mathbb{1}_{-k-1} \\ &= \Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k+1}d_+\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_k) + \Theta(\mathbb{1}_{k+1}z_1^m d_+\mathbb{1}_k), \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. Similarly, one can check that Θ sends (3.2l) to (3.1l).

Finally, we need to check that (DB^0) is preserved. To this end, note that $\Theta(e_m) = f_m$, $\Theta(f_k) = e_k$ and $\Theta(\mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_0) = \mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_0$, $\Theta(\mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}^*\mathbb{1}_0) = \mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}^*\mathbb{1}_0$, so that Θ fixes ψ_m for every m and the preservation of (DB^0) follows. \square

3.3. Polynomial Representation of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$. The polynomial representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ appeared originally in the work of Carlsson and Mellit in [11] and thereafter, alongside Gorsky, geometrically formulated in [10]. Following [15], we recall the extension of the polynomial representation $V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k$ to $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$. For convenience, we relabel this representation as $V^+ = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k^+$. The main theorem in [10] gives a convenient basis of V^+ and the action of the generators in this basis.

Consider a pair of Young diagrams $\lambda \subseteq \mu$ such that $\mu \setminus \lambda$ is a union of horizontal strips, and a standard Young tableau T of skew shape $\mu \setminus \lambda$. Recall, that for a box $\square \in \lambda$ in row r and column c the (q,t) -content of this box is given by $q^{c-1}t^{r-1}$. Let w_i be the (q,t) -content for a box labeled by $|\mu \setminus \lambda| - i + 1$ in T , and denote the skew tableau T by the pair (λ, \underline{w}) , where $\underline{w} = (w_{|\mu \setminus \lambda|}, \dots, w_1)$. The basis $\{I_{\lambda, \underline{w}}\}$ of V_k^+ is given by all such tableaux (λ, \underline{w}) of size k . When $k = 0$, the basis $\{I_{\lambda}\}$ of $V_0^+ = \text{Sym}_{q,t}$ coincides with the modified Macdonald basis in $\text{Sym}_{q,t}$, see [10, Theorem 7.0.1]. More generally, it was recently shown that the basis $\{I_{\lambda, \underline{w}}\}$ of $V_k^+ = \text{Sym}_{q,t}[y_1, \dots, y_k]$ coincides with the basis of modified partially symmetric Macdonald polynomials, see [1].

On the basis $\{I_{\lambda, \underline{w}}\}$ the action of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ is given by:

$$z_j I_{\lambda, w} = w_j I_{\lambda, w}, \quad (3.8a)$$

$$\Delta_{p_m} I_{\lambda, w} = \left(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square^m + \sum_{j=1}^k w_j^m \right) I_{\lambda, w}, \quad (3.8b)$$

$$\Delta_{p_m}^* I_{\lambda, w} = \left(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square^{-m} + \sum_{j=1}^k w_j^{-m} \right) I_{\lambda, w}, \quad (3.8c)$$

$$T_i I_{\lambda, w} = \frac{(q-1)w_{i+1}}{w_i - w_{i+1}} I_{\lambda, w} + \frac{w_i - qw_{i+1}}{w_i - w_{i+1}} I_{\lambda, s_i w}, \quad (3.8d)$$

$$d_- I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1)} = I_{\lambda \cup w_k, (w_{k-1}, \dots, w_1)}, \quad (3.8e)$$

$$d_+ I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1)} = -q^k \sum_{\substack{x \text{ an addable} \\ \text{box of } \mu}} c(\lambda \cup \underline{w}; x) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x - tw_i}{x - qt w_i} I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1, x)}, \quad (3.8f)$$

where we abuse the notation by identifying an addable box x with its (q, t) -content, and the coefficients $c(\lambda; x)$ are given by (2.5a). Recall the element e_m from (3.3). Note that

$$e_m I_{\lambda} = d_- z_1^m d_+ I_{\lambda} = d_- z_1^m \sum_x c(\lambda; x) I_{\lambda; x} = \sum_{\mu = \lambda \cup x} c(\lambda; x) x^m I_{\mu}.$$

Comparing to Corollary 2.6, we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.12. *The action of e_m on V_0^+ agrees, up to the scalar $-(1-q)(1-t)$, with the action of its eponym in the elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. More precisely, if e_m denotes the action of $e_m \in \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ and \tilde{e}_m the action of its eponymic generator in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$, then*

$$e_m = -(1-q)(1-t)\tilde{e}_m.$$

3.4. Polynomial Representation of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$. Similarly to the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$, we define a polynomial representation of the non-positive half $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ as follows. We set:

$$V^- := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{-k}^-$$

Where V_{-k}^- is defined as follows. Consider a pair of Young diagrams $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ such that $\lambda \setminus \mu$ is a horizontal strip and $|\lambda \setminus \mu| = -k$. Consider also a standard tableau T of shape $\lambda \setminus \mu$. We denote by w_i the (q, t) -content of the box labeled by $|\lambda \setminus \mu| - i + 1$ in T , and we denote the tableau T by the pair (λ, \underline{w}) . Note that, as opposed to the setting in Section 3.3, here the box w_k is a *removable* box of λ ; w_{k-1} is a removable box of $\lambda - w_k$ and so on. The space V_k has a $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$ -basis given by $I_{\lambda, \underline{w}}^-$, for all such tableaux T .

In this basis, we have:

$$z_j I_{\lambda, w}^- = w_j I_{\lambda, w}^-, \quad (3.9a)$$

$$\Delta_{p_m} I_{\lambda, w}^- = \left(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square^m - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j^m \right) I_{\lambda, w}^-, \quad (3.9b)$$

$$\Delta_{p_m}^* I_{\lambda, w} = \left(\sum_{\square \in \lambda} \square^{-m} - \sum_{j=1}^k w_j^{-m} \right) I_{\lambda, w}^-, \quad (3.9c)$$

$$T_i I_{\lambda, w}^- = \frac{(q^{-1} - 1)w_{i+1}}{w_i - w_{i+1}} I_{\lambda, w}^- + \frac{w_i - q^{-1}w_{i+1}}{w_i - w_{i+1}} I_{\lambda, s_i w}^-, \quad (3.9d)$$

$$d_+ I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1)}^- = I_{\lambda - w_k, (w_{k-1}, \dots, w_1)}^-, \quad (3.9e)$$

$$d_- I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1)}^- = -q^k \sum_{\substack{x \text{ a removable} \\ \text{box of } \mu}} c^*(\lambda - \underline{w}; x) \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{x - t^{-1}w_i}{x - q^{-1}t^{-1}w_i} I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1, x)}^-, \quad (3.9f)$$

where $c^*(\lambda - \underline{w}; x)$ is as defined in (2.5b).

Lemma 3.13. *The equations (3.9a)–(3.9f) define a representation of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ on V^- .*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq} \cong \mathbb{DB}_{q^{-1}, t^{-1}}^{\geq}$ this follows from [15, Theorem 3.25], as follows. The poset E required in *loc. cit.* is the opposite Young poset, and we need to verify that the coefficients $c^*(\lambda; x)$ satisfy the monodromy conditions for q^{-1}, t^{-1} . Since

$$-\frac{(x - t^{-1}y)(x - q^{-1}y)(y - q^{-1}t^{-1}x)}{(y - t^{-1}x)(y - q^{-1}x)(x - t^{-1}q^{-1}y)} = -\frac{(y - tx)(y - qx)(x - qty)}{(x - ty)(x - qy)(y - qtx)}$$

the result now follows from Lemma 2.11. \square

Remark 3.14. The representation V^- has the following natural interpretation in terms of the map Θ . For any $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ -representation W , the $\mathbb{C}(q, t)$ -dual W^* becomes a $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ -representation via Θ . In the case of the polynomial representation $V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k$ we can do better. Instead of looking at the full dual V^* , we look at the space of locally finite (for the action of z 's and Δ 's) vectors in the graded dual $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k^*$, which defines a $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ -subrepresentation

$$V_{l.f.}^* = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_{k,l.f.}^* \subseteq \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k^*.$$

The polynomial representation V of $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ is calibrated [15] and, moreover, it is the representation associated to the Young poset with weighting given by the sum of the (q, t) -contents of boxes, see [15, Section 3.6.3]. By [15, Theorem 7.10], the space $V_{l.f.}^*$ is the calibrated representation associated to the opposite Young poset. The basis $I_{\lambda, (w_k, \dots, w_1)}^-$ corresponds to a rescaling of the dual basis $I_{\mu, (w_1, \dots, w_k)}^*$, see [15, Theorem 3.26 and Lemma 3.29].

Similarly to Lemma 3.12 we obtain the following result.

Lemma 3.15. *The action of $f_m \in \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ defined by (3.3) on V_0 agrees with the action of its eponym in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.*

3.5. Polynomial Representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. We now define the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$, by gluing the $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ -representation V^+ and the $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\leq}$ -representation V^- along their zeroth component. We define:

$$V = (V^+ \oplus V^-) / \langle I_\lambda - I_\lambda^-; \lambda \in P \rangle.$$

Note that $V = \bigoplus_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} V_k$, where

$$V_k = \begin{cases} V_k^+, & k > 0 \\ V_k^-, & k < 0 \\ (V_0^+ \oplus V_0^-) / \langle I_\lambda - I_\lambda^-; \lambda \in P \rangle, & k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.16. *The formulas (3.8a)–(3.8f) and (3.9a)–(3.9f) define a representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ on V .*

Proof. We only need to check the relation (3.6). From Lemma 3.12, we see that the operators e_m on V_0 agree, up to the scalar $-(1-q)(1-t)$, with the action of the eponymic elliptic Hall algebra operators of Feigin-Tsybaliuk on V_0 , with a similar result for f_m by Lemma 3.15. By Corollary 2.9 and their definition ((3.4), (3.5)), the action of the ψ_m^\pm -operators also agrees with their action of their namesake elements of the elliptic Hall algebra. At this point the result follows since, by [13, Theorem 3.1], the operators coming from the elliptic Hall algebra satisfy the relation (2.2e). Hence, the operators coming from the double Dyck path algebra also satisfy (3.6). \square

The proof of 3.16 hints at a very close connection between the elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ and the algebra $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. Indeed, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.17. *Consider the polynomial representation V of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ and the polynomial representation $V_0 \subseteq V$ of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. The action of the elements e_m, f_m, ψ_m^\pm of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ on V_0 agree, up to a scalar, with the action of their eponyms in $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$.*

4. VERIFICATION OF THE EHA RELATIONS IN THE DYCK PATH ALGEBRA

In this section we show that the elements e_m, ψ_m^\pm and f_m satisfy the relations of the elliptic Hall algebra, and thus $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ arises as a subalgebra of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.

Recall from Definition 3.4 the elements $e_m = \mathbb{1}_0 d_- z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$ and $f_m = \mathbb{1}_0 d_+ z_1^m d_- \mathbb{1}_0 \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$. We also recall the elements ψ_k^\pm , see (3.4) and (3.5).

Definition 4.1. We define $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}$ as the subalgebra of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ generated by e_m, f_m, Δ_{p_m} and $\Delta_{p_m}^*$. This algebra has several useful subalgebras:

- $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^> \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is the subalgebra generated by e_m .
- $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is the subalgebra generated by e_m and $\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_m}^*$.
- $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^< \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^- \mathbb{1}_0$ is the subalgebra generated by f_m .
- $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\leq} \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is the subalgebra generated by f_m and $\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_m}^*$.

Equation (3.7) immediately implies:

Lemma 4.2. *We have $\Theta(e_m) = f_m$ and $\Theta(f_m) = e_m$, so Θ preserves $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}$ and exchanges $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^>$ with $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^<$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ with $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\leq}$).*

4.1. First Main Result. The following theorem is the primary result of this section:

Theorem 4.3. *The elements $e_m, \psi_m^\pm \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^\geq \mathbb{1}_0$ satisfy relations (2.2a), (2.2c), (2.2h), (2.2f), and (2.2g).*

Equations (2.2f) and (2.2g) follow from definitions. Equation (2.2c) is proved in Lemma 4.16, Equation (2.2a) is proved in Lemma 4.8 and Equation 2.2h is proved in Lemma 4.13. Before moving on to prove Theorem 4.3, we examine a few important consequences of it.

Corollary 4.4. *The elements $f_m, \psi_m^\pm \in \mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^\leq$ satisfy relations (2.2b), (2.2d), (2.2i), (2.2f), and (2.2g).*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 4.3 by applying the anti-involution Θ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 4.2 the involutions Θ and $\Theta_{\mathcal{E}}$ agree. \square

Corollary 4.5. *There exist isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq, \quad \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\leq \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\leq, \quad \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\leq, \quad \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\leq \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq. \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}.$$

Proof. Since all relations of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq$ are satisfied in $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq$, we get a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq$. On the other hand, if $V = \bigoplus_{k=0}^\infty V_k$ is the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ then V_0 is the polynomial representation of $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq$ and by Lemma 3.17 we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \text{End}(V_0) \end{array}$$

But the polynomial representation is faithful for $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ by Theorem 2.4, so the maps $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq \rightarrow \text{End}(V_0)$ and $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^\geq \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^\geq$ are both injective.

The proof of the second isomorphism is similar, and the third and fourth ones follow by applying Θ . This implies that we have a surjective homomorphism $\mathcal{E}_{q,t} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$. By applying Theorem 2.4 again, we conclude $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{q,t}$. \square

4.2. Quadratic Relations. In this section we check the relations (2.2a).

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that $a(z_1, z_2)$ is a symmetric Laurent polynomial in z_1, z_2 . Then*

$$d_-^2(z_1 - qz_2)a(z_1, z_2)d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = 0.$$

Proof. Recall that $a(z_1, z_2)$ is central in the affine Hecke algebra AH_2 . We have $q^{-1}z_1 = T_1^{-1}z_2T_1^{-1}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2(z_1 - qz_2)a(z_1, z_2)d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 &= qd_-^2T_1^{-1}z_2T_1^{-1}a(z_1, z_2)d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 - qd_-^2z_2a(z_1, z_2)d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 \\ &= qd_-^2T_1^{-1}z_2a(z_1, z_2)T_1^{-1}d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 - qd_-^2z_2a(z_1, z_2)d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $d_-^2T_1 \mathbb{1}_2 = d_-^2 \mathbb{1}_2, T_1 d_+^2 = d_+^2$, we can delete both T_1^{-1} and the terms will cancel out. \square

Lemma 4.7. *We have*

$$e_{k+1}e_m - te_k e_{m+1} = d_-^2(q^{-1}z_1 - tz_2)z_1^k z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0.$$

Proof. Recall the relation (3.1h) which for $k = 1$ has the form

$$z_1(qd_+d_- - d_-d_+) \mathbb{1}_1 = qt(d_+d_- - d_-d_+)z_1 \mathbb{1}_1.$$

We can divide both sides by q and rewrite this as

$$q^{-1}z_1d_-d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 - td_-d_+z_1 \mathbb{1}_1 = z_1d_+d_- \mathbb{1}_1 - td_+d_-z_1 \mathbb{1}_1. \quad (4.2)$$

Now we multiply both sides by $d_-z_1^k$ on the left and $z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$ on the right:

$$q^{-1}d_-z_1^k z_1 d_-d_+ z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 - td_-z_1^k d_-d_+ z_1 z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = d_-z_1^k z_1 d_+d_- z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 - td_-z_1^k d_+d_- z_1 z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0.$$

The right hand side equals $e_{k+1}e_m - te_k e_{m+1}$, while in the left hand side we can use the identities $z_1d_- = d_-z_1, d_+z_1 = z_2d_+$ and obtain

$$q^{-1}d_-^2 z_1^{k+1} z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 - td_-^2 z_1^k z_2^{m+1} d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = d_-^2 (q^{-1}z_1 - tz_2) z_1^k z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0.$$

□

Lemma 4.8. *Relation (2.2a) holds in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.*

Proof. We can unpack equation (2.2a) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+3}e_m - \sigma_1 e_{n+2}e_{m+1} + \sigma_2 e_{n+1}e_{m+2} - e_n e_{m+3} = \\ - e_{m+3}e_n + \sigma_1 e_{m+2}e_{n+1} - \sigma_2 e_{m+1}e_{n+2} + e_m e_{n+3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

To prove it, we regroup the terms in the left hand side:

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n+3}e_m - \sigma_1 e_{n+2}e_{m+1} + \sigma_2 e_{n+1}e_{m+2} - e_n e_{m+3} = \\ (e_{n+3}e_m - te_{n+2}e_{m+1}) - (q + (qt)^{-1})(e_{n+2}e_{m+1} - te_{n+1}e_{m+2}) + t^{-1}(e_{n+1}e_{m+2} - te_n e_{m+3}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.7 this equals

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2 [z_1^2 - (q + (qt)^{-1})z_1 z_2 + t^{-1}z_2^2] (q^{-1}z_1 - tz_2) z_1^n z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = \\ td_-^2 (z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - (qt)^{-1}z_2)(z_2 - (qt)^{-1}z_1) z_1^n z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0. \end{aligned}$$

To get the right hand side of (4.3), we simply swap m and n and change sign. Therefore to prove (4.3) we need to check that

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2 (z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - (qt)^{-1}z_2)(z_2 - (qt)^{-1}z_1) z_1^n z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = \\ -d_-^2 (z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - (qt)^{-1}z_2)(z_2 - (qt)^{-1}z_1) z_1^m z_2^n d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently,

$$d_-^2 (z_1 - qz_2)(z_1 - (qt)^{-1}z_2)(z_2 - (qt)^{-1}z_1) (z_1^n z_2^m + z_1^m z_2^n) d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = 0.$$

This follows from Lemma 4.6 since the polynomial $(z_1 - (qt)^{-1}z_2)(z_2 - (qt)^{-1}z_1)(z_1^n z_2^m + z_1^m z_2^n)$ is symmetric in z_1, z_2 . □

4.3. Cubic Relations. In this section, we show that the cubic relation (2.2h) holds in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$. We start with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *For all $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ we can write*

$$z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} = (q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) \alpha + (z_1 - q z_2) \beta$$

for some Laurent polynomial α and some symmetric Laurent polynomial β .

Proof. Dividing by a power of $(z_1 z_2)$ reduces us either to the case $m_1 \geq 0, m_2 = 0$ or $m_1 = 0, m_2 \geq 0$.

For $m_1 = m_2 = 0$ we have an identity

$$(q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2)(z_1^{-1} + t z_2^{-1}) - q^{-1} t (z_1 - q z_2)(z_1^{-1} + z_2^{-1}) = (t + q^{-1})(1 - t),$$

so

$$1 = \frac{1}{(t + q^{-1})(1 - t)} [(q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2)(z_1^{-1} + t z_2^{-1}) - q^{-1} t (z_1 - q z_2)(z_1^{-1} + z_2^{-1})] \quad (4.4)$$

For $m_1 = 1, m_2 = 0$ we have an identity

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{q^{-1}(1 - t)} [(q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) - q^{-1} t (z_1 - q z_2)],$$

and for $m_1 = 0, m_2 = 1$ we get

$$z_2 = \frac{1}{(1 - t)} [(q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) - q^{-1} (z_1 - q z_2)].$$

The result follows from induction by observing that

$$z_1^{m_1+1} = z_1^{m_1} (z_1 + z_2) - z_1^{m_1-1} (z_1 z_2), \quad z_2^{m_2+1} = z_2^{m_2} (z_1 + z_2) - z_2^{m_2-1} (z_1 z_2).$$

□

Corollary 4.10. *We have $d_-^2 z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} d_+^2 \in \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^>$.*

Proof. We can use Lemma 4.9 and rewrite this as

$$d_-^2 z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} d_+^2 = d_-^2 [(q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) \alpha + (z_1 - q z_2) \beta] d_+^2.$$

where α is a Laurent polynomial and β is a symmetric Laurent polynomial. By Lemma 4.7 $d_-^2 (q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) \alpha d_+^2$ is in $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^>$ while by Lemma 4.6 we get $d_-^2 (z_1 - q z_2) \beta d_+^2 = 0$. □

Example 4.11. By Lemma 4.7 we get

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 e_{-1} - t e_0^2 &= d_-^2 (q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) z_2^{-1} d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0, \\ e_0^2 - t e_{-1} e_1 &= d_-^2 (q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) z_1^{-1} d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0. \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.4) and Lemma 4.6 we get

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 &= \frac{1}{(t + q^{-1})(1 - t)} d_-^2 (q^{-1} z_1 - t z_2) (z_1^{-1} + t z_2^{-1}) d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{(t + q^{-1})(1 - t)} [e_0^2 - t e_{-1} e_1 + t (e_1 e_{-1} - t e_0^2)] \\ &= \frac{1 - t^2}{(t + q^{-1})(1 - t)} e_0^2 + \frac{t}{(t + q^{-1})(1 - t)} [e_1, e_{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.12. *We have the relation $d_-^2 d_+^2 d_- d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = d_- d_+ d_-^2 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0$ in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.*

Proof. We simplify $d_-^2 \varphi d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0$ in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2 \varphi d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 &= d_-^2 (\varphi d_+) d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = q d_-^2 T_1^{-1} d_+ \varphi d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = q d_-^2 d_+ \varphi d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 \\ &= \frac{q}{q-1} (d_-^2 d_+^2 d_- d_+ - d_-^2 d_+ d_- d_+^2) \mathbb{1}_0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d_-^2 \varphi d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 &= d_- (d_- \varphi) d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = q d_- \varphi d_- T_1^{-1} d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = q d_- \varphi d_- d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 \\ &= \frac{q}{q-1} (d_- d_+ d_-^2 d_+^2 - d_-^2 d_+ d_- d_+^2) \mathbb{1}_0. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing these, we get the desired relation. \square

Lemma 4.13. *The cubic relation (2.2h) holds in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.12 the operator $d_-^2 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0$ commutes with $e_0 = d_- d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$. By Example 4.11 this implies that $[e_1, e_{-1}]$ commutes with e_0 as well, and $[e_0, [e_1, e_{-1}]] = 0$. \square

Remark 4.14. A different proof of Lemma 4.12 (and hence of the cubic relation (2.2h)) follows from the work of Novarini [33]. Indeed, [33, Proposition 2.5.6] implies that the operators $L_a = \mathbb{1}_0 d_- \varphi^a d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$, $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ pairwise commute. On the other hand, $L_0 = \mathbb{1}_0 d_- d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$ and

$$L_1 = \mathbb{1}_0 d_- \varphi d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = \frac{1}{q-1} (L_0^2 - \mathbb{1}_0 d_-^2 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0),$$

so L_0 commutes with $\mathbb{1}_0 d_-^2 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0$. See also Remark 5.12.

4.4. Commutation of $e(\mathbf{z})$ and $\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z})$. In this subsection we verify the relations (2.2c).

Lemma 4.15. *We have*

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, e_k] = e_{k+m}, [\Delta_{p_m}^*, e_k] = e_{k-m} \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, f_k] = -f_{k+m}, [\Delta_{p_m}^*, f_k] = -f_{k-m}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. Recall that $[\Delta_{p_m}, d_-] = [\Delta_{p_m}, z_1] = 0$ and $[\Delta_{p_m}, d_+] = z_1^m d_+$. This implies

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, e_k] = [\Delta_{p_m}, d_- z_1^k d_+] = d_- z_1^k [\Delta_{p_m}, d_+] = d_- z_1^k z_1^m d_+ = e_{k+m}.$$

The proof for $\Delta_{p_m}^*$ is similar, and the relations (4.6) follow by applying Θ . \square

Lemma 4.16. *The relations (2.2c) are satisfied in $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^\geq$.*

Proof. We introduce auxiliary formal series

$$X^+(\mathbf{z}) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \Delta_{p_m} (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m})$$

and

$$X^-(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^m}{m} \Delta_{p_m}^* (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}).$$

such that $\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z}) = \left(-\frac{1 - q^{-1}t^{-1}\mathbf{z}^{-1}}{1 - \mathbf{z}^{-1}} \right) \exp[X^\pm(\mathbf{z})]$. We claim that

$$[X^+(\mathbf{z}), e(\mathbf{w})] = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbf{w}^m (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) e(\mathbf{w}) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$[X^-(\mathbf{z}), e(\mathbf{w})] = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^m}{m} \mathbf{w}^{-m} (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) e(\mathbf{w}). \quad (4.8)$$

Indeed, we have $[\Delta_{p_m}, e_k] = e_{k+m}$ by Lemma 4.15 which can be written as

$$[\Delta_{p_m}, e(\mathbf{w})] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} [\Delta_{p_m}, e_k] \mathbf{w}^{-k} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{w}^{-k} e_{k+m} = \mathbf{w}^m e(\mathbf{w}).$$

Similarly, $[\Delta_{p_m}^*, e(\mathbf{w})] = \mathbf{w}^{-m} e(\mathbf{w})$ and the equations (4.7)-(4.8) follow.

The generating function $\psi^+(\mathbf{z})$ is a power series in \mathbf{z}^{-1} , and $\psi^-(\mathbf{z})$ is a power series in \mathbf{z} . Both power series are invertible, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\psi^+(\mathbf{z})} e(\mathbf{w}) &= \text{Ad}_{\exp(X^+(\mathbf{z}))} e(\mathbf{w}) = \exp[\text{ad}X^+(\mathbf{z})] e(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \exp \left[- \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^{-m}}{m} \mathbf{w}^m (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) \right] e(\mathbf{w}) = - \frac{g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}{g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})} e(\mathbf{w}) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.7) and Lemma 2.8.

Similarly, by (4.8) and swapping \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{w} in Lemma 2.8 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ad}_{\psi^-(\mathbf{z})} e(\mathbf{w}) &= \text{Ad}_{\exp(X^-(\mathbf{z}))} e(\mathbf{w}) = \exp[\text{ad}X^-(\mathbf{z})] e(\mathbf{w}) \\ &= \exp \left[\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{z}^m}{m} \mathbf{w}^{-m} (1 - q^m)(1 - t^m)(1 - (qt)^{-m}) \right] e(\mathbf{w}) = - \frac{g(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{z})}{g(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w})} e(\mathbf{w}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.17. Some references define $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ using additional generators similar to our $\Delta_{p_m}, \Delta_{p_m}^*$. For example, [32, Definition 3.2] uses the generators $h_m, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ which can be translated to our conventions as

$$h_m = \begin{cases} \Delta_{p_m} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ -\Delta_{p_{|m|}}^* & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then [32, eq. (3.3)-(3.4)] agrees with our (4.5) and (4.6), while the definition of $\psi^\pm(\mathbf{z})$ in terms of h_m in [32, eq. (3.7)] agrees with our (3.4)-(3.5).

5. THE SPHERICAL SUBALGEBRA

We have seen that the algebra $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^> \subseteq \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ (resp. $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} \subseteq \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq} \mathbb{1}_0$ and $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \subseteq \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$) is isomorphic to the positive half $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>$ (resp. non-negative half $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ and entire $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$) of the elliptic Hall algebra. In this section, we will show that, in fact, every element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ (resp. $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq} \mathbb{1}_0$ and $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$) can be written as a polynomial in the elements e_k (resp. $\{e_k, \psi_m^\pm\}$ and $\{e_k, f_k, \psi_m^\pm\}$). The following is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.1. *We have the following equalities:*

$$\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^> = \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} = \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad \mathbb{E}_{q,t} = \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0.$$

and consequently, by Corollary 4.5, the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^> \cong \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} \cong \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t}^{\geq} \mathbb{1}_0, \quad \mathcal{E}_{q,t} \cong \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{DB}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0.$$

Remark 5.2. Thanks to Theorem 5.1, we have functors

$$G^> : \mathbb{B}_{q,t}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>\text{-mod}, \quad G^{\geq} : \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\geq}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}\text{-mod}, \quad G : \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{q,t}\text{-mod},$$

with their respective left adjoints

$$F^> : \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^>\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_{q,t}\text{-mod}, \quad F^{\geq} : \mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\geq}\text{-mod}, \quad F : \mathcal{E}_{q,t}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\text{-mod}.$$

We note, however, that none of the functors are equivalences: in [15, Remark 4.2] we constructed a nonzero representation of $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ that is annihilated by the element $\mathbb{1}_0$. This representation can in turn be used to construct a nonzero $\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ -representation that is annihilated by $\mathbb{1}_0$.

Let us sketch the strategy to prove Theorem 5.1. First, we observe that it is enough to show the equality $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^> = \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$. Indeed, if this is true then applying the involution Θ from Lemma 3.10, we will have also that $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^< = \mathbb{1}_0(\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^<)\mathbb{1}_0$. So $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$ is generated by the elements e_k, f_k and $\mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_0, \mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}^*\mathbb{1}_0$, which implies that $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} = \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$. In turn, by restricting to the non-negative part of these algebras, this implies that $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{\geq} = \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{D}\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\geq}\mathbb{1}_0$.

The crux of the argument is then to show that every element in $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$ can be written as a polynomial in e_k . First, in Section 5.1 we find a quite large generating set $\{Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \mid n > 0, m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$. In Sections 5.2 and 5.3 we will show that the Y elements satisfy, among themselves and with the e -elements, the relations (49) and (50) in [17]. By [17, Theorem 2.13], this implies that every Y -element is generated by the e -elements, and this will finish the proof of Theorem 5.1.

Remark 5.3. The elliptic Hall algebra $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ is equipped with a coproduct and an antipode [9] which make it a Hopf algebra. As a consequence, the category of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ -modules is equipped with a monoidal structure. Both the coproduct and antipode preserve the non-negative part $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$, so that in particular the category of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ -modules also admits a monoidal structure.

In [15] we have defined a “generically” monoidal structure on the category of calibrated representations of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$. This structure does not come from a coproduct on $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}$, as the product of two representations is usually larger than the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces:

$$V_k(E_1 \times E_2) = \bigoplus_{m+n=k} \text{Ind}_{\text{AH}_m \otimes \text{AH}_n}^{\text{AH}_{m+n}} V_m(E_1) \otimes V_n(E_2).$$

See [15, Section 6] for more details. The definition of monoidal structure also depends on two rational functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

However, $V_0(E_1 \times E_2) = V_0(E_1) \otimes V_0(E_2)$, therefore for (calibrated) representations of $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}\mathbb{1}_0$ the monoidal structure does agree with the tensor product of the underlying vector spaces. One can check by comparing [9, Lemma 4.11] with [15, Theorem 6.14] that the monoidal structures for $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}^{\geq}$ and $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^{\text{ext}}\mathbb{1}_0$ agree for an appropriate choice of functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 .

5.1. Special Elements. In this section, we find a (quite large) generating set for the algebra $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$. Recall that we have the element $\varphi = \frac{1}{q-1}[d_+, d_-]$, that we may think of as an extra generator of $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$.

Definition 5.4. We call an element of $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_k$ *special* if it can be written as a product of d_-, φ and z_i (but not T_i or d_+).

We call an element of $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_k$ *factorizable* if it can be written as the product XY for $X \in \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$ not a unit and $Y \in \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_k$.

Note that the special elements are only defined for $k \geq 1$. We also define the following elements:

$$A_{m_1, \dots, m_n} := \mathbb{1}_0 d_- (z_1^{m_1} \varphi \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_{n-1}} \varphi z_1^{m_n}) \mathbb{1}_1 \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_1 \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} := \mathbb{1}_0 d_- (z_1^{m_1} \varphi \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_{n-1}} \varphi z_1^{m_n}) d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = A_{m_1, \dots, m_n} d_+ \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0. \quad (5.2)$$

Here m_i are arbitrary integers, and $n \geq 1$. Note that $A_0 = \mathbb{1}_0 d_- \mathbb{1}_1$ and $Y_m = e_m$. The following is also clear from the definitions.

Proposition 5.5. *The elements $A_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_1$ are special, and any special element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_1$ equals A_{m_1, \dots, m_n} for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

We recall some useful relations for φ .

Lemma 5.6. *a) For $1 < i \leq k$ we have $z_i \varphi = \varphi z_{i-1}$ and $T_i \varphi = \varphi T_{i-1}$.*

b) We have $\varphi^2 T_{k-1} = T_1 \varphi^2$.

Proof. a) We have $z_i d_+ d_- = d_+ z_{i-1} d_- = d_+ d_- z_{i-1}$ and $z_i d_- d_+ = d_- z_i d_+ = d_- d_+ z_{i-1}$. The second equation is proved similarly.

b) This is proved in [10, Lemma 3.1.11]. \square

Recall that, at the vertex k of the quiver $Q_{\mathbb{Z}}$ (see Section 3.1), the algebra generated by z_1, \dots, z_k and T_1, \dots, T_{k-1} is the affine Hecke algebra AH_k . For $w \in S_k$ with a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_\ell}$ we consider the corresponding element $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_\ell} \in \text{AH}_k$. It is well known that AH_k has a basis

$$T_w z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_k^{a_k}, \quad w \in S_k, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5.3)$$

In particular, any expression $f(z_1, \dots, z_k) T_v$ can be re-expanded in the basis (5.3) which we refer to as “pushing $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ through T_v .”

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose $k \geq 1$ and $A \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$ is special. Then for any $M \in \text{AH}_k$ we can write the product AM as a linear combination of special elements.*

Proof. If $k = 1$ then M is a polynomial in z_1 and there is nothing to prove. So we will assume $k \geq 2$.

First, we make several easy reductions. If A ends with a monomial in z_1, \dots, z_k then we can absorb it into M , so we can assume that A ends with d_- or φ .

Without loss of generality we can assume $M = T_w z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_k^{a_k}$ as in (5.3). Then $AM = AT_w z_1^{a_1} \cdots z_k^{a_k}$ and it is sufficient to consider the case $a_1 = \dots = a_k = 0$. To sum up, it is sufficient to prove the statement for $M = T_i, 1 \leq i \leq k-1$.

We prove this by induction in the total number of d_- and φ in A . The base of induction is $A = d_-$ where $k = 1$ and there is nothing to prove.

For the inductive step, we have the following cases:

Case 1: $A = A' d_-$ and $i < k-1$. Then $AT_i = A' d_- T_i = A' T_i d_-$ and the assumption of induction applies to $A' T_i$.

Case 2: $A = A' \varphi$ and $i < k-1$. Then $AT_i = A' \varphi T_i = A' T_{i+1} \varphi$ by Lemma 5.6 and the assumption of induction applies to $A' T_{i+1}$.

Case 3: $A = A' d_- f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) d_-$ and $i = k-1$. First, we can get rid of f by writing

$$AT_{k-1} = A' d_-^2 f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) T_{k-1},$$

pushing $f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})$ through T_{k-1} and arguing as above. Now

$$A' d_-^2 T_{k-1} = A' d_-^2.$$

Case 4: $A = A'\varphi f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1})d_-$ and $i = k - 1$. Similarly to Case 3 we can assume $f(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}) = 1$. Now

$$A'\varphi d_- T_{k-1} = qA'\varphi d_- T_{k-1}^{-1} + (1-q)A'\varphi d_- = A'd_- \varphi + (1-q)A'\varphi d_-.$$

Case 5: $A = A'd_- f(z_1, \dots, z_k)\varphi$ and $i = k - 1$. We can again eliminate $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ using the relations $d_- z_1 = z_1 d_-$ and $z_j \varphi = \varphi z_{j-1}$ for $j > 1$. Now

$$A'd_- \varphi T_{k-1} = qA'\varphi d_-.$$

Case 6: $A = A'\varphi f(z_1, \dots, z_k)\varphi$ and $i = k - 1$. We can again eliminate $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ similarly to Case 5. Now

$$A'\varphi^2 T_{k-1} = A'T_1 \varphi^2$$

by Lemma 5.6(b) and the assumption of induction applies to $A'T_1$. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose $k \geq 2$ and $A \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$ is special. Then we can write the product Ad_+ as a linear combination of special and factorizable elements.*

Proof. Again, we prove it by induction in the total number of d_- and φ in A . We have the following cases:

Case 1: $A = A'd_- f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$. Then

$$Ad_+ = A'd_- f(z_1, \dots, z_k) d_+$$

and we can eliminate $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by using the relations $d_- z_1 = z_1 d_-$ and $z_j d_+ = d_+ z_{j-1}$ for $j > 1$. Now

$$A'd_- d_+ = A'd_+ d_- - (q-1)A'\varphi,$$

where $A' \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_{k-1}$. If $k > 2$, we can apply the assumption of induction to $A'd_+$. If $k = 2$ then $A' \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_1$ and $A'd_+ \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$, so $A'd_+ d_-$ is factorizable.

Case 2: $A = A'\varphi f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$. Then

$$Ad_+ = A'\varphi f(z_1, \dots, z_k) d_+$$

and we can eliminate $f(z_1, \dots, z_k)$ by using the relations $\varphi z_1 = z_2 \varphi$ and $z_j d_+ = d_+ z_{j-1}$ for $j > 1$. Now

$$A'\varphi d_+ = qA'T_1^{-1} d_+ \varphi.$$

By Lemma 5.7 we can write $A'T_1^{-1} = \sum A'_j$ where A'_j are special, and then apply the assumption of induction to $A'_j d_+$. \square

Theorem 5.9. *a) For $k > 0$ any element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$ can be written as a linear combination of special and factorizable elements.*

b) For $k = 0$ any element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ can be written as a linear combination of Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} and factorizable elements.

c) The subalgebra $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is generated by the elements Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} .

Proof. Part (c) is a consequence of (b). We prove parts (a) and (b) for any monomial in $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ by induction in the total number of d_+, d_- and φ . The base case is $\mathbb{1}_0 d_-$ which is clearly special.

For the step of induction, consider $A \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_k$ which satisfies the conditions of the theorem. If $k = 0$ then A can be only followed by d_- , and Ad_- is factorizable. From now we assume that $k \geq 1$ and A is special.

Clearly, Ad_- and $A\varphi$ are special. If $M \in \text{AH}_k$ then by Lemma 5.7 we can write AM as a linear combination of special elements. If $k \geq 2$ by Lemma 5.8 we can write Ad_+ as a linear combination of special and factorizable elements.

Finally, if $k = 1$ and $A \in \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_1$ is special, then $A = A_{m_1, \dots, m_n}$ for some $m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$Ad_+ = A_{m_1, \dots, m_n} d_+ = Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n}.$$

□

5.2. Relations for $Y_{\underline{m}}$. In this section we prove that $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ is generated by e_k . By Theorem 5.9(c) it is sufficient to prove that for all m_1, \dots, m_n the element Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} can be written as a polynomial in e_k . For this, we need some relations for $Y_{\underline{m}}$.

Theorem 5.10. *The following relations hold in $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$:*

$$Y_{m_1, \dots, m_i, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} - qt Y_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_{i+1}+1, \dots, m_n} = -Y_{m_1, \dots, m_i} Y_{m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$[e_k, Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n}] = (t-1)(q-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \begin{cases} \sum_{a=1}^{k-m_i} Y_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, k-a, m_i+a, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } k > m_i \\ 0 & \text{if } k = m_i \\ -\sum_{a=1}^{m_i-k} Y_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i-a, k+a, m_{i+1}, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } k < m_i. \end{cases} \quad (5.5)$$

We prove Theorem 5.10 below in Section 5.3.

Example 5.11. For $n = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{m_1, m_2} &= d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi z_1^{m_2} d_+ = \frac{1}{q-1} d_- z_1^{m_1} d_+ d_- z_1^{m_2} d_+ - \frac{1}{q-1} d_- z_1^{m_1} d_- d_+ z_1^{m_2} d_+ \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} e_{m_1} e_{m_2} - \frac{1}{q-1} d_-^2 z_1^{m_1} z_2^{m_2} d_+^2. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.12. We can compare the relations (5.4) and (5.5) with earlier computations:

- The relation (5.4) implies

$$Y_{m_1, m_2} - qt Y_{m_1-1, m_2+1} + e_{m_1} e_{m_2} = 0$$

which is equivalent to Lemma 4.7.

- By (5.5) we get

$$[e_1, e_{-1}] = (q-1)(t-1)(Y_{0,0} + Y_{-1,1})$$

while

$$Y_{0,0} - qt Y_{-1,1} = -e_0^2, \quad Y_{-1,1} = q^{-1} t^{-1} Y_{0,0} + q^{-1} t^{-1} e_0^2.$$

By combining these, we get

$$[e_1, e_{-1}] - q^{-1} t^{-1} (q-1)(t-1) e_0^2 = (1 + q^{-1} t^{-1})(q-1)(t-1) Y_{0,0}$$

which is equivalent to (4.11).

- Finally, by (5.5) we get $[e_0, Y_{0,0}] = 0$ which is equivalent to the cubic relation (2.2h).

Theorem 5.13. *We have $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^>$.*

Proof. By definition we have $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}^> \subset \mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$, so we need to prove the reverse inclusion.

By Theorem 5.9 any element of $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0$ can be written as a polynomial in Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} . On the other hand, by [17, Proposition 2.13] the relations (5.4) and (5.5) imply that Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} can be written as polynomials in e_k . □

Remark 5.14. By [29, Proposition 4.9] the relations (5.4) and (5.5) imply the quadratic and cubic relations (2.2a), (2.2h) for $e_k = Y_k$. So Theorem 5.10 yields yet another proof of Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 5.15. *We have $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{B}_{q,t}^- \mathbb{1}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^<$ and $\mathbb{1}_0 \mathbb{D} \mathbb{B}_{q,t} \mathbb{1}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$.*

Proof. By applying the involution Θ we conclude that $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^-\mathbb{1}_0 = \mathbb{E}_{q,t}^{<}$.

By definition, we have $\mathbb{E}_{q,t} \subset \mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$. Any monomial in $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$ can be written as a product of monomials in $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0$, $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{B}_{q,t}^-\mathbb{1}_0$ and $\mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}\mathbb{1}_0$, $\mathbb{1}_0\Delta_{p_m}^*\mathbb{1}_0$. By the above, all these are contained in $\mathbb{E}_{q,t}$, so $\mathbb{1}_0\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}\mathbb{1}_0 \subset \mathbb{E}_{q,t}$. \square

We have proved Theorem 5.1 assuming Theorem 5.10, whose proof is contained in the next section.

Remark 5.16. In [8] Blasiak et al. consider more general elements of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ (in the form of shuffle algebra) parametrized by a tuple of integers (m_1, \dots, m_n) and a triple of Dyck paths R_q, R_t, R_{qt} . It would be interesting to find the analogues of such elements in $\mathbb{DB}_{q,t}$.

5.3. Proof of Theorem 5.10. We will use the notation

$$h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{z_1^m - z_2^m}{z_1 - z_2}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Note that $h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2)$ is symmetric in z_1, z_2 and we have

$$h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} z_1^{m-1} + \dots + z_2^{m-1} & \text{if } m > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ -(z_1^m z_2^{-1} + \dots + z_1^{-1} z_2^m) = -(z_1 z_2)^m h_{-m-1}(z_1, z_2) & \text{if } m < 0. \end{cases}$$

Also, we have a recursion

$$h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) = z_2^{m-1} + z_1 h_{m-2}(z_1, z_2). \quad (5.6)$$

Lemma 5.17. *We have*

$$z_1^a T_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1} z_2^a - q^{-1}(1-q) h_{a-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$z_2^a T_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1} z_1^a + q^{-1}(1-q) h_{a-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1. \quad (5.8)$$

Proof. We have $z_1 = qT_1^{-1}z_2T_1^{-1}$, so

$$z_2 T_1^{-1} = q^{-1} T_1 z_1 = q^{-1}(1-q)z_1 + T_1^{-1} z_1$$

where we used the quadratic relation $T_1 = (1-q) + qT_1^{-1}$.

If $a = 0$ then (5.8) is clear. For $a \neq 0$ we prove it by induction by observing

$$z_2^a T_1^{-1} = z_2^{a-1} (q^{-1}(1-q)z_1 + T_1^{-1}z_1) = q^{-1}(1-q)z_2^{a-1}z_1 + (z_2^{a-1}T_1^{-1})z_1$$

and using (5.6). Finally, (5.7) follows from (5.8) since

$$(z_1^a + z_2^a)T_1^{-1} = T_1^{-1}(z_1^a + z_2^a). \quad \square$$

Lemma 5.18. *We have*

$$\varphi d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 = d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 + q^{-1}(1-q) d_- h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 &= q^{-1} d_- \varphi z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 = q^{-1} d_- z_2^k \varphi d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 = d_- z_2^k T_1^{-1} d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 \\ &= d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 + q^{-1}(1-q) d_- h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we apply the first relation in (3.1f), then Lemma 5.6(a), followed by the second relation in (3.1f), and finally Lemma 5.17. \square

Corollary 5.19. *We have*

$$z_1^m \varphi \left[d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \right] \mathbb{1}_1 = \left[d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \right] z_1^m \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 - q^{-1}(1-q) d_- (z_1 z_2)^k h_{m-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1.$$

Proof. By Lemma 5.18 we get

$$z_1^m \varphi d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^a d_+ \mathbb{1}_1 = z_1^m d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 + q^{-1}(1-q) z_1^m d_- h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1.$$

We can rewrite the terms as

$$\begin{aligned} z_1^m d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 &= d_- z_1^m T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 \\ &= d_- T_1^{-1} z_2^m z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 - q^{-1}(1-q) d_- h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 \\ &= d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ z_1^m \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 - q^{-1}(1-q) d_- h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1^k d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q^{-1}(1-q) z_1^m d_- h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 = q^{-1}(1-q) d_- z_1^m h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \varphi \mathbb{1}_1.$$

The result now follows from the identity

$$z_1^m h_{k-1}(z_1, z_2) - z_1^k h_{m-1}(z_1, z_2) = -(z_1 z_2)^k h_{m-k-1}(z_1, z_2).$$

□

Lemma 5.20. *We have*

$$A_{m_1, \dots, m_i, 0} - qt A_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, 1} = -A_{m_1, \dots, m_i} d_+ d_- . \quad (5.9)$$

Proof. Note that

$$A_{m_1, \dots, m_i, 0} - qt A_{m_1, \dots, m_i-1, 1} = d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi \cdots z_1^{m_i-1} \varphi z_1^{m_i-1} (z_1 \varphi - qt \varphi z_1) \mathbb{1}_1.$$

Now the equation (3.1h) can be rewritten as $z_1 \varphi \mathbb{1}_1 + z_1 d_+ d_- \mathbb{1}_1 = qt \varphi z_1 \mathbb{1}_1$, or equivalently $(z_1 \varphi - qt \varphi z_1) \mathbb{1}_1 = -z_1 d_+ d_- \mathbb{1}_1$, and the result follows. □

Lemma 5.21. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{m_1, \dots, m_i, 0} \left[d_- (z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \right] \mathbb{1}_1 = \\ q A_{m_1, \dots, k, m_i} - q A_{m_1, \dots, m_i, k} + \begin{cases} q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{m_i-k} A_{m_1, \dots, m_i-a, k+a} & \text{if } m_i > k \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i = k \\ -q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{k-m_i} A_{m_1, \dots, k-a, m_i+a} & \text{if } m_i < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. We divide in the three cases appearing in the statement of the lemma.

Case 1: $m_i > k$. In this case

$$(z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 = (z_1 z_2)^k \left(\sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} z_1^{m_i-k-1-a} z_2^a \right) z_1 = \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} z_1^{m_i-a} z_2^{k+a},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_- (z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ &= \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} d_- z_1^{m_i-a} z_2^{k+a} d_+ = \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} z_1^{m_i-a} d_- d_+ z_1^{k+a} \\ &= \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} z_1^{m_i-a} d_+ d_- z_1^{k+a} - (q-1) \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} z_1^{m_i-a} \varphi z_1^{k+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the left hand side of (5.10) equals

$$\sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} A_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i-a} d_+ d_- z_1^{k+a} - (q-1) \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} A_{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}, m_i-a, k+a}.$$

By (5.9) we can rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{m_i-k-1} [-qA_{m_1, \dots, m_i-a, k+a} + qtA_{m_1, \dots, m_i-a-1, k+a+1}] = \\ & qA_{m_1, \dots, k, m_i} - qA_{m_1, \dots, m_i, k} + q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{m_i-k} A_{m_1, \dots, m_i-a, k+a}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $m_i = k$. In this case $h_{m_i-k-1} = 0$ and there is nothing to prove.

Case 3: $m_i < k$. In this case

$$(z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 = -(z_1 z_2)^{m_i} h_{k-m_i-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 = - \sum_{a=0}^{k-m_i-1} z_1^{k-a} z_2^{m_i+a},$$

and similarly to Case 1 the left hand side of (5.10) equals

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{a=0}^{k-m_i-1} A_{m_1, \dots, k-a} d_+ d_- z_1^{m_i+a} + (q-1) \sum_{a=0}^{k-m_i-1} A_{m_1, \dots, k-a, m_i+a} = \\ & - \sum_{a=0}^{k-m_i-1} [-qA_{m_1, \dots, k-a, m_i+a} + qtA_{m_1, \dots, k-a-1, m_i+a+1}] = \\ & -qA_{m_1, \dots, m_i, k} + qA_{m_1, \dots, k, m_i} - q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{k-m_i} A_{m_1, \dots, k-a, m_i+a}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Multiplying both sides of (5.10) by $\varphi z_1^{m_i+1} \dots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ \mathbb{1}_0$ on the right, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 5.22. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi \dots z_1^{m_{i-1}} \varphi \left[d_- (z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \right] \varphi z_1^{m_i+1} \dots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ = \\ & qY_{m_1, \dots, k, m_i, \dots, m_n} - qY_{m_1, \dots, m_i, k, \dots, m_n} + \begin{cases} q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{m_i-k} Y_{m_1, \dots, m_i-a, k+a, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } m_i > k \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i = k \\ -q(t-1) \sum_{a=1}^{k-m_i} Y_{m_1, \dots, k-a, m_i+a, \dots, m_n} & \text{if } m_i < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.23. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^m d_+ d_- z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = \\ & (q-1) z_1^m \varphi z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 + d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ z_1^m d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 - q^{-1} (1-q) d_- (z_1 z_2)^k h_{m-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have

$$z_1^m d_+ d_- z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = (q-1) z_1^m \varphi z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 + z_1^m d_- d_+ z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0.$$

The last term can be rewritten as

$$z_1^m d_- d_+ z_1^k d_+ \mathbb{1}_0 = d_- z_1^m z_2^k d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 = d_- z_1^m z_2^k T_1^{-1} d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 =$$

$$d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k z_2^m d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0 - q^{-1}(1-q)d_-(z_1 z_2)^k h_{m-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+^2 \mathbb{1}_0.$$

□

We are ready to prove Theorem 5.10.

Proof of Theorem 5.10. The first relation (5.4) follows from Lemma 5.20.

To prove the second relation (5.5) we combine all the previous results in this section. Let

$$G_i = d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi \cdots z_1^{m_{i-1}} \varphi \left[d_- T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ \right] z_1^{m_i} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+$$

and

$$D_i = d_- z_1^{m_1} \varphi \cdots z_1^{m_{i-1}} \varphi \left[d_-(z_1 z_2)^k h_{m_i-k-1}(z_1, z_2) z_1 d_+ \right] \varphi z_1^{m_{i+1}} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+.$$

By Lemma 5.23 we get

$$Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} e_k = (q-1)Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n, k} + G_n - q^{-1}(1-q)D_n.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= d_-^2 T_1^{-1} z_1^k d_+ z_1^{m_1} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ = d_-^2 z_1^k d_+ z_1^{m_1} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ = d_- z_1^k d_- d_+ z_1^{m_1} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ \\ &= d_- z_1^k d_+ d_- z_1^{m_1} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ - (q-1)d_- z_1^k \varphi z_1^{m_1} \cdots \varphi z_1^{m_n} d_+ \\ &= e_k Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n} - (q-1)Y_{k, m_1, \dots, m_n}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 5.19 we get

$$G_i = G_{i-1} - q^{-1}(1-q)D_{i-1}$$

and

$$G_1 - G_n = q^{-1}(1-q) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} D_i.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [e_k, Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n}] &= G_1 + (q-1)Y_{k, m_1, \dots, m_n} - (q-1)Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n, k} - G_n + q^{-1}(1-q)D_n \\ &= (q-1)Y_{k, m_1, \dots, m_n} - (q-1)Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n, k} + q^{-1}(1-q) \sum_{i=1}^n D_i. \end{aligned}$$

We can expand D_i as a linear combination of Y 's by Lemma 5.22, and the result agrees with the right hand side of (5.5) up to the sum

$$(q-1)Y_{k, m_1, \dots, m_n} - (q-1)Y_{m_1, \dots, m_n, k} + q^{-1}(1-q) \sum_{i=1}^n [qY_{m_1, \dots, k, m_i, \dots, m_n} - qY_{m_1, \dots, m_i, k, \dots, m_n}] = 0.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Milo Bechtloff Weising and Daniel Orr. Stable-limit partially symmetric Macdonald functions and parabolic flag Hilbert schemes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.13642*, 2024.
- [2] Milo James Bechtloff Weising. Delta operators on almost symmetric functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.09846*, 2024.
- [3] Milo James Bechtloff Weising. Double Dyck path algebra representations from DAHA. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.02843*, 2024.
- [4] Milo James Bechtloff Weising. Stable-limit non-symmetric Macdonald functions. *Alg. Combinatorics*, to appear.
- [5] Francois Bergeron, Adriano Garsia, Emily Sergel Leven, and Guoce Xin. Compositional (km, kn) -shuffle conjectures. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (14):4229–4270, 2016.

- [6] Jonah Blasiak, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. A proof of the extended delta conjecture. *Forum Math. Pi*, 11:Paper No. e6, 28, 2023.
- [7] Jonah Blasiak, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H. Seelinger. A shuffle theorem for paths under any line. *Forum Math. Pi*, 11:Paper No. e5, 38, 2023.
- [8] Jonah Blasiak, Mark Haiman, Jennifer Morse, Anna Pun, and George H Seelinger. LLT polynomials in the Schiffmann algebra. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, (0), 2024.
- [9] Igor Burban and Olivier Schiffmann. On the Hall algebra of an elliptic curve, I. *Duke Math. J.*, 161(7):1171, 2012.
- [10] Erik Carlsson, Eugene Gorsky, and Anton Mellit. The $\mathbb{A}_{q,t}$ algebra and parabolic flag Hilbert schemes. *Math. Ann.*, 376(3-4):1303–1336, 2020.
- [11] Erik Carlsson and Anton Mellit. A proof of the shuffle conjecture. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 31(3):661–697, 2018.
- [12] B. Feigin, E. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, and E. Mukhin. Quantum continuous \mathfrak{gl}_∞ : semiinfinite construction of representations. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 51(2):337–364, 2011.
- [13] B. L. Feigin and A. I. Tsymbaliuk. Equivariant K -theory of Hilbert schemes via shuffle algebra. *Kyoto J. Math.*, 51(4):831–854, 2011.
- [14] Nicolle González, Eugene Gorsky, and José Simental. Representation of $\mathcal{E}_{q,t}$ coming from $\mathbb{B}_{q,t}$ (in preparation).
- [15] Nicolle González, Eugene Gorsky, and José Simental. Calibrated representations of the double Dyck path algebra. *Mathematische Annalen*, pages 1–86, 2024.
- [16] Nicolle González and Matthew Hogancamp. A skein theoretic Carlsson-Mellit algebra. (in preparation).
- [17] Eugene Gorsky and Andrei Neguț. The trace of the affine Hecke category. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 126(6):2013–2056, 2023.
- [18] Eugene Gorsky and Andrei Neguț. Refined knot invariants and Hilbert schemes. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 104(3):403–435, 2015.
- [19] Eugene Gorsky and Andrei Neguț. Hecke categories, idempotents, and commuting stacks. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 309(3):1–30, 2025.
- [20] Bogdan Ion and Dongyu Wu. The stable limit DAHA and the double Dyck path algebra. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 23(1):379–424, 2024.
- [21] Oscar Kivinen and Cheng-Chiang Tsai. Shalika germs for tamely ramified elements in GL_n . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.02509*, 2022.
- [22] Anton Mellit. Toric braids and (m, n) -parking functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 170(18):4123–4169, 2021.
- [23] Hugh Morton and Peter Samuelson. The HOMFLYPT skein algebra of the torus and the elliptic Hall algebra. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 166(5):801–854, 2017.
- [24] Andrei Neguț. The shuffle algebra revisited. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2014(22):6242–6275, 2014.
- [25] Andrei Neguț. Moduli of flags of sheaves and their K -theory. *Algebraic Geometry*, 2(1):19–43, 2015.
- [26] Andrei Neguț. Shuffle algebras associated to surfaces. *Selecta Mathematica*, 25(3):36, 2019.
- [27] Andrei Neguț. Exts and the AGT relations. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 106(9):1265–1316, 2016.
- [28] Andrei Neguț. The q -AGT–W relations via shuffle algebras. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 358:101–170, 2018.
- [29] Andrei Neguț. Hecke correspondences for smooth moduli spaces of sheaves. *Publications mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 135(1):337–418, 2022.
- [30] Andrei Neguț. An integral form of quantum toroidal \mathfrak{gl}_1 . *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.04852*, 2022.
- [31] Andrei Neguț. Toward AGT for parabolic sheaves. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2022(9):6512–6539, 2022.
- [32] Andrei Neguț. The R-matrix of the quantum toroidal algebra. *Kyoto Journal of Mathematics*, 63(1):23–49, 2023.
- [33] Chiara Novarini. *DAHA and Dyck path algebras*. PhD thesis, University of Vienna, 2024.
- [34] Olivier Schiffmann. Drinfeld realization of the elliptic Hall algebra. *Journal of Algebraic Combinatorics*, 35(2):237–262, 2012.
- [35] Olivier Schiffmann and Eric Vasserot. The elliptic Hall algebra and the k -theory of the Hilbert scheme of \mathbb{A}^2 . *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 162(2):279–366, 2013.
- [36] Yu Zhao. The Feigin-Odesskii wheel conditions and sheaves on surfaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.07870*, 2019.

- [37] Yu Zhao. On the K-theoretic Hall algebra of a surface. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(6):4445–4486, 2021.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA BERKELEY , CA 94720-3840, U.S.A.

Email address: nicolle@math.berkeley.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS, ONE SHIELDS AVENUE, DAVIS, CA, USA

Email address: egorskiy@math.ucdavis.edu

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO. CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, CDMX, MÉXICO

Email address: simental@im.unam.mx