

# K-THEORY AND LEFSCHETZ FORMULA FOR LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

YANLI SONG

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a semi-simple real Lie group of real rank one and  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup in  $G$  such that  $\Gamma \backslash G$  has finite volume. We introduce a new group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , which provides a natural framework for defining index classes of Dirac-type operators on the locally symmetric space  $\Gamma \backslash G/K$ . We show that Dirac operators define elements in the  $K$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  and use Hecke correspondences to study their Lefschetz numbers. Our main result is an explicit formula for the Lefschetz number of Hecke operators.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Acknowledgements	5
2. Notation and preliminary results	5
2.1. Symmetric spaces and spinors	5
2.2. Root system and weight lattices	6
2.3. Iwasawa decomposition	6
2.4. Spectral decomposition of regular representation	7
2.5. Harish-Chandra $L^p$ -Schwartz Spaces	8
3. Elliptic Operators on Symmetric Spaces	8
3.1. Index of Dirac operators	8
3.2. Heat semigroups and spinor laplacians	9
4. Hecke operators and Lefschetz numbers	10
4.1. Hecke operators	10
4.2. Lefschetz numbers	11
5. Decomposition of group $C^*$ -algebras	12
5.1. Parabolic induction and intertwining operators	12
5.2. Reduced group $C^*$ -algebra and tempered dual	14
5.3. Decomposition of $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$	15
6. $K$ -theory of group $C^*$ -algebras	17
6.1. Connes-Kasparov isomorphism	17
6.2. Construction of generators in $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$	19
6.3. Traces on $K$ -theory	20
7. Hecke operators and $KK$ -theory	21
7.1. Hecke ring structure and Kasparov's $KK$ -theory	21
7.2. The Lefschetz map on $K$ -theory	23
8. Classification of elements in $\Xi$	24
8.1. Semisimple elements	24
8.2. Non-semi-simple elements	25
8.3. Epstein zeta function	26
9. Main results: the Lefschetz formulas	27

---

Date: February 25, 2025.

10. Application to multiplicities of discrete series	29
11. Selberg's principle and invariant tempered distribution	30
11.1. Selberg's principle	30
11.2. Discrete series character	32
11.3. Semi-simple orbital integral	33
11.4. Non-semi-simple orbital integral	34
11.5. Weighted orbital integral and weighted character	35
12. Trace formula and proof of main results	37
12.1. Trace Formula for Hecke Operators	37
12.2. Proof of Theorem 9.2 and 9.4	39
References	40

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Locally symmetric spaces arise naturally as quotients of symmetric spaces by discrete groups, playing a fundamental role in diverse areas such as arithmetic geometry, number theory, and mathematical physics. A central problem in this setting is the spectral decomposition of  $L^2$ -spaces associated with these quotients and the study of Hecke operators, which encode deep arithmetic information and provide a powerful tool for investigating automorphic forms and representation theory.

Let  $X = G/K$  be a Riemannian symmetric space of noncompact type, where  $G$  is a connected, noncompact, real semisimple Lie group and  $K$  is a maximal compact subgroup. Consider a Dirac-type operator  $D_\mu = D_\mu^+ \oplus D_\mu^-$  acting on sections of a bundle associated with a  $K$ -module  $V_\mu$ , whose highest weight is  $\mu$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a discrete subgroup of  $G$ , the locally symmetric space  $X_\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash G/K$  inherits an induced operator  $D_\mu^\Gamma = D_\mu^{\Gamma,+} \oplus D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}$ , which is elliptic.

When  $X_\Gamma$  is compact, the Atiyah-Singer index theorem provides an explicit topological formula for the index of  $D_\mu^\Gamma$  in terms of the  $K$ -character  $V_\mu$ . More generally, we consider a Atiyah-Singer Lefschetz fixed point theorem for the Hecke operator. Given any element  $\alpha$  belongs to the commensurator of  $\Gamma$  in  $G$ , we define a double coset  $\Xi = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$  and a Hecke operator  $T_\Xi$  associated to  $\Xi$ . If  $X_\Gamma$  is compact, the Dirac-type operator  $D_\mu^\Gamma$  is Fredholm, in the sense that  $\dim \text{Ker}(D_\mu^{\Gamma,+})$  are finite dimensional. The Lefschetz number is defined as

$$L(T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma) := \text{Tr}(T_\Xi | \text{Ker}(D_\mu^{\Gamma,+})) - \text{Tr}(T_\Xi | \text{Ker}(D_\mu^{\Gamma,-})).$$

Moscovici [Mos84] derived an explicit expression:

$$(1.1) \quad L(T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma) = \sum_{\xi} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\xi \backslash G_\xi) \cdot L(\xi, D_\mu),$$

where the sum runs over representatives of the finitely many central and elliptic  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy classes in  $\Xi$ , and each  $L(\xi, D_\mu)$  is a Lefschetz number for the  $\xi$ -action on  $X$ , given by a topological formula. In a special case when  $\Xi = \Gamma$ , (1.1) gives the  $L^2$ -index formula:

$$(1.2) \quad \text{ind}(D_\mu^\Gamma) = \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot \text{ind}_G(D_\mu),$$

where  $\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)$  denotes the “ $G$ -index,” computed topologically in [CM82a].

However, many of the most interesting locally symmetric spaces are noncompact and exhibit “cusps”. The problem of computing the Lefschetz number becomes complicated considerably by the existence of a continuous spectrum. A natural assumption in this context is that  $X_\Gamma$  has finite Riemannian volume and strictly negative sectional curvature.

According to Theorem 2.1 in [Mos82],  $\dim \text{Ker} \left( D_\mu^{\Gamma,+} \right)$  are still finite dimensional even though the Dirac-type operators  $D_\mu^{\Gamma,\pm}$  may fail to be Fredholm (when  $\mu$  is singular). Thus, Lefschetz numbers  $L \left( T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma \right)$  are well-defined. The main objective of this paper is to extend the formula (1.1) to the noncompact setting, leading to the following:

$$(1.3) \quad L \left( T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma \right) = \sum_{\xi} L \left( \xi, D_\mu \right) + \text{“correction term”},$$

where the correction term accounts for the presence of cusps and is generally no longer topological.

For de Rham operators, Arthur [Art89] provided a solution to (1.3) in the adelic setting. Later, Goresky and MacPherson [GKM97] developed an alternative approach using intersection cohomology techniques. For signature and Dolbeault operators, a Lefschetz formula was obtained by Stern in [Ste94]. Additional treatments of this problem can be found in [Ste89, M83, M85].

In this paper, we focus on general Dirac operators. A pioneering contribution was made by Barbasch and Moscovici [BM83], where they explicitly computed the correction term in the index formula for Dirac operators, i.e., the case when  $\Xi = \Gamma$ . We now outline the key ideas. Consider the spinor Laplacians:

$$\Delta_\mu^{\Gamma,\pm} = D_\mu^{\Gamma,\pm} D_\mu^{\Gamma,\mp}$$

on the locally symmetric space  $X_\Gamma$ . The corresponding heat semigroups satisfy:

$$e^{-t\Delta_\mu^{\Gamma,\pm}} = R^\Gamma \left( h_{t,\mu}^\pm \right),$$

where  $h_{t,\mu}^\pm$  are heat kernels on the symmetric space  $X$ . Although the operators  $R^\Gamma \left( h_{t,\mu}^\pm \right)$  are generally not of trace class, their discrete part,  $R_d^\Gamma \left( h_{t,\mu}^\pm \right)$ , is. Barbasch and Moscovici computed the index by applying the trace formula developed by Warner [War79] and Osborne-Warner [OW81] to  $R_d^\Gamma \left( h_t^+ - h_t^- \right)$ .

To avoid certain combinatorial complications, Barbasch and Moscovici imposed a restrictive assumption that  $\Gamma$  is neat (i.e., the group generated by the eigenvalues of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  contains no roots of unity). This assumption significantly simplifies the structure of  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy classes by ensuring that all semisimple components of mixed elements lie in the (finite) center. As a result, the “correction terms” vanish in surprisingly many cases.

However, this assumption is highly restrictive and is nearly impossible to satisfy when studying Hecke operators. In this paper, we instead require only that  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic discrete subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\Gamma \backslash G$  has finite volume. Following [BM83], we express the Lefschetz number as:

$$L \left( T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma \right) = \text{Tr} \left( T_\Xi \circ R_d^\Gamma \left( h_t^+ - h_t^- \right) \right), \quad t > 0.$$

Instead of relying on the trace formula in [War79, OW81], we employ the *invariant trace formula*, as developed by Arthur [Art81, Art88a, Art88b] for the adelic setting and Hoffmann [Hof99] for the real setting. This approach eliminates the need for intricate heat kernel estimates as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , simplifying the analysis. Finally, we express the traces of  $T_\Xi \circ R_d^\Gamma \left( h_t^+ - h_t^- \right)$  in terms of certain invariant tempered distributions on  $G$ , whose “Fourier transforms” (at least the discrete part) are known.

All these invariant tempered distributions induce maps on  $K_* \left( C_r^* G \right)$ , some of which have been recently studied by Guo-Hochs-Wang in [GHW24]. Compared to the trace formula in [War79, OW81], the invariant trace formula for Hecke operators contains additional terms, some of which are less explicit. Nevertheless, due to Selberg’s principle, we show that all the implicit terms in the invariant trace formula vanish, ensuring that

the correction terms in (1.3) are completely explicit. The final formulas (Theorem 9.2 and 9.4) we obtained involve constant terms in the Laurent expansion of certain Epstein zeta functions, characters of discrete series representations (their restrictions to both compact and non-compact Cartan subgroups), some necessary Lie algebra data, and an additional residue term for singular case. In particular, the correction terms are generally nonzero.

As an application, by taking  $\Xi = \Gamma$ , the index formula in (1.3) leads to a multiplicity formula for discrete series representations in  $R_d^\Gamma$  with sufficiently regular Harish-Chandra parameters, generalizing the results of Osborne, Warner, and DeGeorge [OW78, DeG82]. If one chooses other non-trivial  $\Xi$ , additional refined information about the multiplicities of representations in  $R_d^\Gamma$  can be extracted. By selecting a sufficiently rich collection of Hecke operators, it may be possible to compute the multiplicities of discrete series representations that are not sufficiently regular, or even those of non-tempered representations in  $R_d^\Gamma$ .

The second main ingredient of this paper is the reformulation of the Lefschetz number in the framework of noncommutative geometry. More specifically, we introduce a new group  $C^*$ -algebra, denoted  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , which is defined as the completion of  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the right regular representation  $R^\Gamma$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . By employing Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules, we obtain a decomposition of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , which serves as an analogue of the spectral decomposition for the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*G$  established in [CCH16].

Motivated by the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism, we also study the  $K$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ . Although computing  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$  explicitly is challenging, we establish the existence of a Connes-Kasparov-like map:

$$K\text{-module} \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) : V_\mu \mapsto \text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu).$$

The  $K$ -theoretic Selberg trace formula for compact case was studied in [McSW20].

Next, we show that every Hecke operator  $T_\Xi$  gives rise to an element in the Kasparov  $KK$ -group

$$[T_\Xi] \in KK(C_r^*(G, \Gamma), C_r^*(G, \Gamma)),$$

allowing us to express the Lefschetz number  $L(T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma)$  in terms of the Kasparov  $KK$ -product between  $\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)$  and  $[T_\Xi]$ . This formulation enables us to compute  $L(T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma)$  via a trace map on  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$ . Moreover, we show that the Shimura product of double cosets naturally corresponds to the Kasparov product. Similar structures have been discovered by Mesland-Şengün [McS20, McS18] for  $KK$ -theory of different  $C^*$ -algebras.

Through this study, we aim to provide a unified perspective on index theory, Hecke operators, and  $C^*$ -algebraic techniques in the setting of locally symmetric spaces. Our results contribute to the broader program of understanding representation theory and harmonic analysis through the lens of noncommutative geometry and topological  $K$ -theory.

This paper is organized as follows:

- Section 2: We introduce the necessary background on Lie algebras, symmetric spaces, and spectral decomposition for the regular representation.
- Section 3: We define the Dirac-type operator on (locally) symmetric spaces and study its associated spinor Laplacian.
- Section 4: We introduce Hecke operators and define the Lefschetz number in this context.
- Sections 5 - 7: We reformulate the Lefschetz number using noncommutative geometry and  $K$ -theory. (Readers primarily interested in the trace formula computation may skip these parts.)

- Section 5: We define a new group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  and analyze its spectral decomposition.
- Section 6: We review the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism for reduced group  $C^*$ -algebras and discuss the  $K$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ .
- Section 7: We establish a Hecke ring structure on the Kasparov  $KK$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ .
- Section 8: We classify the elements in the double coset  $\Xi$ .
- Section 9: We state the main results of this paper.
- Section 10: We discuss applications of the Lefschetz formula in computing the multiplicities of representations in the discrete spectrum  $R_d^\Gamma$ .
- Section 11: We explore various invariant tempered distributions on  $G$  and compute their induced maps on  $K$ -theory.
- Section 12: We present the trace formulas for Hecke operators and provide proofs for the main results.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Peter Hochs, Xiang Tang, and Hang Wang for their inspiring discussions. In particular, we are especially grateful to Werner Hoffmann for his patience in explaining his work to us. Our research are partially supported by the National Science Foundation grant DMS-1952557.

## 2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARY RESULTS

**2.1. Symmetric spaces and spinors.** Let  $G$  be a noncompact connected semisimple Lie group with finite center. We shall assume that  $G$  has real rank one. Throughout this paper, it will be additionally supposed that  $G$  is simple and is embedded in the simply connected complex analytic group corresponding to the complexification of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ .

Let  $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$  be the Cartan involution. Define  $K \subset G$  as the fixed point set of  $\theta$  in  $G$ . Then  $K$  is a connected maximal compact subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{k}$ . The involution  $\theta$  acts as an automorphism on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , so that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the eigenspace of  $\theta$  associated with the eigenvalue  $+1$ , while the eigenspace corresponding to the eigenvalue  $-1$  is denoted  $\mathfrak{p}$ . This gives the Cartan decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let  $B : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a symmetric, nondegenerate, bilinear form that is both  $G$  and  $\theta$ -invariant. Assume that  $B$  is positive on  $\mathfrak{p}$  and negative on  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Thus, the restriction  $B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$  induces a Euclidean metric on  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Define the non-compact symmetric space:

$$X = G/K.$$

Since  $K$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  via the adjoint action, the tangent bundle of  $X$  is given by  $TX = G \times_K \mathfrak{p}$ . Equipped with the scalar product induced by  $B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , the space  $X$  becomes a Riemannian manifold. We assume that

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Rank } G = \text{Rank } K,$$

which is equivalent to  $G$  having a compact Cartan subgroup.

We denote by  $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  the basic spin representation of  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$ . Via the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{k}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ ,  $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  becomes a  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}$ -module. Under the assumption in (2.1), we know that  $\dim \mathfrak{p}$  is even, and so the  $\text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p})$ -module  $S_{\mathfrak{p}}$  decomposes into two irreducible components:

$$S_{\mathfrak{p}} = S_{\mathfrak{p}}^+ \oplus S_{\mathfrak{p}}^-.$$

The map  $\text{Ad} : K \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathfrak{p})$  lifts to

$$\widetilde{\text{Ad}} : \widetilde{K} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

for a double cover  $\tilde{K}$  of  $K$ . Let  $\widehat{K}_{\text{Spin}}$  be the set of irreducible representations  $V$  of  $\tilde{K}$  such that  $S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes V$  descends to a representation of  $K$ . Let  $R_{\text{Spin}}(K)$  be the free abelian group generated by  $\widehat{K}_{\text{Spin}}$ . In particular, if  $G/K$  is spin, then  $\widehat{K}_{\text{Spin}} = \widehat{K}$ .

**2.2. Root system and weight lattices.** Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be the Lie algebra of the compact Cartan subgroup  $T$  of  $G$ , and define the root system decomposition:

$$R(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) = R(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}) \sqcup R(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{t}).$$

We denote by  $W_{\mathfrak{g}}$  and  $W_{\mathfrak{k}}$  the associated Weyl group respectively. Fixing a choice of positive root system, we write:

$$R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) = R^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}) \sqcup R^+(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{t}),$$

and define the standard half-sums of positive roots:

$$\rho_{\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})} \alpha, \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{k}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})} \alpha, \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{t})} \alpha.$$

The weight lattice in  $it^*$  is given by:

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{t}}^* = \left\{ \mu \in it^* \mid \frac{2\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) \right\}.$$

We further define:

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^* = \left\{ \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{t}}^* \mid \langle \alpha, \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}} \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}) \right\},$$

and

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*, \text{spin}} = \left\{ \mu \in it^* \mid \frac{2\langle \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{p}}, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in R(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}), \text{ and } \langle \alpha, \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}} \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t}) \right\}.$$

By the Borel-Weil theorem [FH91], there exist one-to-one correspondences:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{K} &\longleftrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^* \\ \widehat{K}_{\text{Spin}} &\longleftrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*, \text{spin}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we define the set of regular weights:

$$\Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*, \text{reg}} = \left\{ \mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^* \mid \langle \alpha, \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}} \rangle > 0, \forall \alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}) \right\} \subset \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^*.$$

**2.3. Iwasawa decomposition.** Choose a subspace  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and a nilpotent subalgebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and let

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$$

be the Iwasawa decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this paper, we assume that the Lie group  $G$  has real rank one, meaning that

$$\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1.$$

Denote by  $A$  and  $N$  the subgroups of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{n}$ , respectively.

The set of roots for the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  is denoted by  $R(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ , and we define  $R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$  as the subset of positive roots relative to a fixed ordering. In this setting,

$$R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a}) = \{\lambda, 2\lambda\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{\mathfrak{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} \cdot \lambda + \dim \mathfrak{g}_{2\lambda} \cdot \lambda.$$

Denote the corresponding root spaces as follows:

$$\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_{2\lambda}.$$

We shall also use the notation:

- $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$ ;
- $N_1 = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_1)$ ,  $N_2 = \exp(\mathfrak{n}_2)$ ,  $N = \exp(\mathfrak{n})$ .

In particular,  $N_2$  forms the abelian part of the nilpotent group  $N$ .

Define  $M$  (resp.  $M'$ ) as the centralizer (resp. normalizer) of  $A$  in  $K$ . Then, we set

$$P = MAN, \quad W_A = M'/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Next, consider the set of cuspidal parabolic subgroups of  $G$  up to  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy:

$$E(G, \Gamma) = \{\Gamma\text{-conjugacy classes of } \Gamma\text{-cuspidal parabolic subgroups of } G\}.$$

There are only finitely many elements in  $E(G, \Gamma)$  modulo  $\Gamma$ -conjugacy. Moreover, for any two parabolic subgroups  $P_1, P_2 \in E(G, \Gamma)$  with Langlands decompositions

$$P_1 = M_1 A_1 N_1, \quad P_2 = M_2 A_2 N_2,$$

there exists  $k \in K$  such that

$$P_1 = k P_2 k^{-1}, \quad M_1 = k M_2 k^{-1}, \quad A_1 = k A_2 k^{-1}, \quad N_1 = k N_2 k^{-1}.$$

**2.4. Spectral decomposition of regular representation.** Let  $\Gamma$  be an arithmetic subgroup of  $G$  and

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) < \infty.$$

Denote by  $R^\Gamma$  the right regular representation of  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . For any  $f \in C_c(G)$ , we define:

$$R^\Gamma(f) = \int_G f(x) \cdot R^\Gamma(x) d_G x.$$

The right regular representation  $R^\Gamma$  of  $G$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  decomposes into a discrete and a continuous part:

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \oplus L_c^2(\Gamma \backslash G), \quad R^\Gamma = R_d^\Gamma \oplus R_c^\Gamma.$$

The discrete spectrum  $R_d^\Gamma$  acts on  $L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , decomposing as a direct sum of irreducible representations, each appearing with finite multiplicity:

$$(2.2) \quad L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d} m_\Gamma(\pi) \cdot H_\pi, \quad m_\Gamma(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where  $m_\Gamma(\pi)$  denotes the multiplicity of  $\pi$ . On the other hand, the continuous spectrum  $R_c^\Gamma$  acts on  $L_c^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , forming a direct integral of principal series representations, without irreducible subrepresentations. To be more precise, we have the following spectral decomposition [War79, Section 3]:

**Theorem 2.1.** We have the orthogonal decomposition:

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \oplus L_c^2(\Gamma \backslash G),$$

with

$$L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d} m_\Gamma(\pi) \cdot H_\pi,$$

$$L_c^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \text{id}}} \left[ \int_{i\alpha^*} \text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(\sigma, \nu) d\nu \right]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

Here  $Z_\Gamma$  is the center of  $\Gamma$  and  $\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(\sigma, \nu)$  are parabolic induced representation of  $G$  defined in the next section.

**2.5. Harish-Chandra  $L^p$ -Schwartz Spaces.** We now recall the definition of the Harish-Chandra  $L^p$ -Schwartz spaces  $\mathcal{C}^p(G)$  for  $p > 0$ . This definition involves two spherical functions on  $G$ , namely  $\sigma$  and  $\Theta$ .

For  $x \in G$ , the function  $\sigma(x)$  is defined as the *geodesic distance* between the cosets  $K$  and  $xK$  in the symmetric space  $X = G/K$ . To introduce the function  $\Theta$ , let  $\pi_0$  be the unitary representation of  $G$  induced by the trivial representation of a minimal parabolic subgroup. The trivial representation of  $K$  occurs exactly once in the restriction of  $\pi_0$  to  $K$ , and we denote by  $\xi$  a unit vector in  $\mathcal{H}(\pi_0)$  that is fixed under  $\pi_0|_K$ . Then the function  $\Theta$  is given by the corresponding matrix coefficient:

$$\Theta(x) = \langle \pi_0(x)\xi, \xi \rangle, \quad x \in G.$$

For  $p > 0$ , the space  $\mathcal{C}^p(G)$  consists of all functions  $f \in C^\infty(G)$  such that for all  $m \geq 0$  and for all  $X^\alpha, Y^\beta \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in G} (1 + \sigma(x))^m \Theta(x)^{-2/p} \left| L(X^\alpha)R(Y^\beta)f(x) \right| < \infty,$$

where  $L$  and  $R$  denote the left and right derivatives. In this paper, we sometime denote by  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  for  $p = 2$ .

### 3. ELLIPTIC OPERATORS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

We recall the standard procedure for constructing invariant differential operators on a homogeneous space.

**3.1. Index of Dirac operators.** Let  $V_\mu$  be an irreducible  $\widehat{K}_{\text{spin}}$ -representation with highest weight  $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*, \text{spin}}$ . Define

$$E_\mu^\pm = V_\mu \otimes S_{\mathfrak{p}}^\pm, \quad E_\mu = E_\mu^+ \oplus E_\mu^-.$$

These define homogeneous vector bundles over  $X$ :

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu^\pm := G \times_K E_\mu^\pm \rightarrow X, \quad \mathcal{E}_\mu := G \times_K E_\mu \rightarrow X.$$

Similarly, over the locally symmetric space  $X_\Gamma$ , we set

$$\mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \mu}^\pm := \Gamma \backslash G \times_K E_\mu^\pm \rightarrow X_\Gamma, \quad \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \mu} := \Gamma \backslash G \times_K E_\mu \rightarrow X_\Gamma.$$

As usual, we identify the space of smooth sections  $C^\infty(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  with the  $K$ -invariant part

$$(C^\infty(G) \otimes E_\mu)^K$$

Similarly, the space of square-integrable sections  $L^2(\mathcal{E}_\mu)$  is identified with

$$(L^2(G) \otimes E_\mu)^K.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$  the center of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{\dim \mathfrak{p}}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and define the Dirac operator:

$$(3.1) \quad D_\mu^\pm = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} X_i \otimes c(X_i) \otimes \text{Id}_{V_\mu} \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}) \otimes \text{Hom}(E_\mu^\pm, E_\mu^\mp),$$

where  $c(X)$  denotes Clifford multiplication by  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ . These operators are  $K$ -invariant and hence define two  $G$ -invariant first-order differential operators:

$$D_\mu^\pm : C_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_\mu^\pm) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\mathcal{E}_\mu^\mp),$$

called the *Dirac operators* with coefficients in  $V_\mu$ . They are elliptic, adjoint to each other, and their associated spinor Laplacians, as shown by Parthasarathy [Par72], satisfy the relation:

$$D_\mu^\mp D_\mu^\pm = -R(\Omega) \otimes \text{Id}_{E_\mu^\pm} + (\|\mu + \rho_c\|^2 - \|\rho\|^2) \text{Id}.$$



Here,  $\Omega \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  is the *Casimir element* of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  refers to the Cartan-Killing norm.

Now let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $H_{\pi}$ , and let  $H_{\pi}^{\infty}$  be the subspace of all  $C^{\infty}$ -vectors in  $H_{\pi}$ . With  $D_{\mu}$  given by (3.1), we define the operator  $\pi(D_{\mu})$  on  $(H_{\pi} \otimes E_{\mu})^K$  with (dense) domain  $(H_{\pi}^{\infty} \otimes E_{\mu})^K$  by:

$$\pi(D_{\mu}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\dim \mathfrak{p}} \pi(X_i) \otimes \text{Id}_{V_{\mu}}.$$

For the right regular representation  $R^{\Gamma} = R_d^{\Gamma} \oplus R_c^{\Gamma}$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , we define the associated Dirac operators:

$$(3.2) \quad D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} = R^{\Gamma}(D_{\mu}), \quad D_{\mu,d}^{\Gamma} = R_d^{\Gamma}(D_{\mu}), \quad D_{\mu,c}^{\Gamma} = R_c^{\Gamma}(D_{\mu}).$$

These define the *Dirac operator* on the locally symmetric space:

$$[L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}]^K \cong L^2(X_{\Gamma}, \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \mu}).$$

According to [Mos82, Theorem 2.1], we have:

$$\text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} = \text{Ker } D_{\mu,d}^{\Gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} < \infty.$$

In particular, the *index* of  $D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}$  is well-defined:

$$\text{index } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} = \dim \text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma,+} - \dim \text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma,-}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** The following formula holds:

$$\text{index } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} = \sum_{\pi \in \widehat{G}, \chi_{\pi} = \chi_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{c}}}} m_{\Gamma}(\pi) \left( \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, E_{\mu}^+) - \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, E_{\mu}^-) \right),$$

where  $\chi_{\pi} : \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the *infinitesimal character* of  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , and  $\chi_{\lambda}$  denotes the character of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$  associated with  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ .

*Proof.* [BM83, (1.3.8)] □

**3.2. Heat semigroups and spinor laplacians.** We now turn our attention to the heat semigroups associated with the spinor Laplacians

$$\Delta_{\mu}^{\pm} = D_{\mu}^{\pm} D_{\mu}^{\mp}$$

acting on  $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{\pm}) = \left( L^2(G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm} \right)^K$ . For each  $t > 0$ , the bounded operator

$$h_{t,\mu}^{\pm} = e^{-t\Delta_{\mu}^{\pm}} : L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{\pm}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{\pm})$$

is a smoothing pseudo-differential operator that commutes with the representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{\pm})$ . Hence, it takes the form

$$\left( h_{t,\mu}^{\pm} u \right)(x) = \int_G h_{t,\mu}^{\pm}(x^{-1}y) u(y) dy, \quad u \in \left( L^2(G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm} \right)^K, \quad x \in G,$$

where  $h_{t,\mu}^{\pm} : G \rightarrow \text{End } E_{\mu}^{\pm}$  is a smooth, square-integrable function satisfying the covariance property:

$$h_{t,\mu}^{\pm}(x) = k \circ h_{t,\mu}^{\pm}(k^{-1}xk') \circ k'^{-1}, \quad x \in G, \quad k, k' \in K.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** For any irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , one has

$$\pi \left( h_{t,\mu}^{\pm} \right) = Q_{\pi}^{\pm} \circ e^{t(\pi(\Omega) - \|\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}\|^2 + \|\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\|^2)} \circ Q_{\pi}^{\pm},$$

where  $Q_{\pi}^{\pm}$  denotes the projection of  $H_{\pi} \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm}$  onto its finite-dimensional  $K$ -invariant part  $\left( H_{\pi} \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm} \right)^K$ .

*Proof.* [BM83, Corollary 2.2]. □

The following estimate on the growth of the heat kernel  $p_t$  is valid for any connected unimodular Lie group.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $t > 0$ . Then for all  $p > 0$ ,

$$h_{t,\mu}^\pm \in \left( \mathcal{C}^p(G) \otimes \text{End} E_\mu^\pm \right)^{K \times K}.$$

*Proof.* [BM83, Proposition 2.4]. □

Along with the spinor heat kernels  $h_{t,\mu}^\pm$ , we introduce the one-parameter family of functions

$$(3.3) \quad h_{t,\mu}(x) = \text{Tr}^{E_\mu^+} \left( h_{t,\mu}^+(x) \right) - \text{Tr}^{E_\mu^-} \left( h_{t,\mu}^-(x) \right), \quad x \in G, \quad t > 0.$$

**Theorem 3.4.** The operators  $R_d^\Gamma(h_{t,\mu})$  are of trace class, and one has

$$\text{index} D_\mu^\Gamma = \text{Tr} R_d^\Gamma(h_{t,\mu})$$

for any  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* [BM83, Proposition 3.2]. □

#### 4. HECKE OPERATORS AND LEFSCHETZ NUMBERS

The (co)homology groups associated with an arithmetic group  $\Gamma$  are naturally equipped with *Hecke operators*, which arise from elements in the commensurator  $C_G(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ . The commensurator is defined as:

$$C_G(\Gamma) := \{g \in G : \Gamma \cap g\Gamma g^{-1} \text{ has finite index in both } \Gamma \text{ and } g\Gamma g^{-1}\}.$$

We begin by briefly recalling the definition of Hecke operators on the (co)homology groups considered in this paper. Then, for each element of  $C_G(\Gamma)$ , we construct corresponding elements in the  $KK$ -rings  $KK_*(A, A)$  of certain  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  associated with  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ . These constructed elements induce endomorphisms that serve as analogs of Hecke operators on the  $K$ -groups of  $A$ .

**4.1. Hecke operators.** Fix an element  $\alpha \in C_G(\Gamma)$ . The double coset  $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  decomposes as a disjoint union of  $\Gamma$ -cosets. More precisely, there exist elements  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N \in G$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad \Xi := \Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^N \alpha_i\Gamma, \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

Equivalently, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^N \alpha_i\alpha^{-1}(\Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}),$$

where  $N = [\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}]$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $f \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Considering  $f$  as a  $\Gamma$ -invariant function on  $G$ , we define the *Hecke operator* associated with the double coset  $\Xi$  by

$$T_\Xi(f)(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N f(\alpha_i^{-1}x), \quad x \in G,$$

where the elements  $\alpha_i$  are as in (4.1). One can verify that  $T_\Xi(f) \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , and thus  $T_\Xi$  extends to a bounded operator:

$$T_\Xi : L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G).$$

In particular, if  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , then  $\Xi = \Gamma$  and  $T_\Gamma = \text{id}$ .

One can check from the definition that

$$T_{\Xi} \circ R^{\Gamma}(x) = R^{\Gamma}(x) \circ T_{\Xi}, \quad x \in G.$$

Thus,  $T_{\Xi}$  defines an *intertwining operator*. We define:

$$T_{\Xi}^{\pm} = T_{\Xi} \otimes I \Big|_{(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm})^K},$$

which makes sense because  $T_{\Xi}$  commutes with  $R_{\Gamma}$ . Moreover,

$$D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \pm} \circ T_{\Xi}^{\pm} = T_{\Xi}^{\mp} \circ D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \mp},$$

so that  $T_{\Xi}^{\pm}$  preserves the kernel of  $D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \pm}$ .

#### 4.2. Lefschetz numbers.

**Definition 4.2.** The *Lefschetz number* of  $T_{\Xi}$  with respect to  $D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, +}$  is defined as:

$$(4.3) \quad L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}) := \text{Tr} \left( T_{\Xi}^{+} \Big|_{\text{Ker}(D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, +})} - T_{\Xi}^{-} \Big|_{\text{Ker}(D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, -})} \right) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In particular,

$$L(T_{\Gamma}, D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}) = \text{index } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}.$$

We now establish some fundamental properties of these Lefschetz numbers. Given  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , let  $L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  denote the corresponding isotypical component of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , and let  $R_{\pi}^{\Gamma}$  denote the restriction of  $R^{\Gamma}$  to  $L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ . Then,

$$L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G), \quad R_d^{\Gamma} = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} R_{\pi}^{\Gamma}.$$

Since  $T_{\Xi}$  preserves each isotypical component, we define

$$T_{\Xi, d}^{\pm} = T_{\Xi}^{\pm} \otimes I \Big|_{(L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm})^K},$$

$$T_{\Xi, \pi}^{\pm} = T_{\Xi}^{\pm} \otimes I \Big|_{(L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm})^K},$$

so that

$$T_{\Xi, d}^{\pm} = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} T_{\Xi, \pi}^{\pm}.$$

Moreover,

$$\text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \pm} = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} \text{Ker } D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma, \pm},$$

where  $D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma, \pm}$  is the restriction of  $D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \pm}$  to  $(L_{\pi}^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}^{\pm})^K$ . Since  $\dim \text{Ker } D_{\mu}^{\Gamma, \pm} < \infty$ , it follows that

$$\text{Ker } D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma, \pm} = 0, \quad \text{for all but finitely many } \pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d.$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}) = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} \left( \text{Tr} \left( T_{\Xi, \pi}^{+} \Big|_{\text{Ker } D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma, +}} \right) - \text{Tr} \left( T_{\Xi, \pi}^{-} \Big|_{\text{Ker } D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma, -}} \right) \right).$$

**Theorem 4.3.** The Lefschetz number can be computed using the heat kernel of the spinor Laplacian:

$$L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}) = \text{Tr} (T_{\Xi} \circ R_d^{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu})).$$

for any  $t > 0$ .

*Proof.* [Mos84, (4.3)]. □

5. DECOMPOSITION OF GROUP  $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

**5.1. Parabolic induction and intertwining operators.** Let  $G$  be a semisimple Lie group of *real rank one*, and let

$$P = MAN$$

denote a *parabolic subgroup* of  $G$ . The *principal series representations* of  $G$  are parametrized by pairs

$$(\sigma, \nu) \in \widehat{M} \times i\mathfrak{a}^*,$$

where

- $\sigma$  is an *irreducible unitary representation* of  $M$ , and
- $\nu$  is an element of the *dual space* of the Lie algebra of  $A$ .

Let  $H_\sigma$  be the Hilbert space on which the representation  $\sigma$  of  $M$  acts. Since  $M$  is *compact* by assumption,  $H_\sigma$  is *finite-dimensional*. For such a pair  $(\sigma, \nu)$ , the induced representation  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  is defined as the Hilbert space of all measurable functions  $u : G \rightarrow H_\sigma$  satisfying

$$u(x \cdot man) = e^{-(\rho_{\mathfrak{a}} + \nu)(a)} \sigma(m)^{-1} u(x), \quad \text{for all } m \in M, a \in A, n \in N, x \in G,$$

$$\|u\|^2 = \int_K \|u(k)\|^2 dk < \infty.$$

The principal series representation  $\pi_{\sigma, \nu}$  acts on  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  via:

$$(\pi_{\sigma, \nu}(x)u)(y) = u(x^{-1}y), \quad u \in \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Since each  $u \in \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  is uniquely determined by its restriction to  $K$ , the space  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  can be identified with the Hilbert space of all measurable functions  $v : K \rightarrow H_\sigma$  satisfying:

$$v(km) = \sigma(m)^{-1} v(k), \quad \text{for all } m \in M, k \in K,$$

$$\|v\|^2 = \int_K \|v(k)\|^2 dk < \infty.$$

Thus, for a fixed  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ , all the representations  $\pi_{\sigma, \nu}$  act on the same Hilbert space. Moreover, they have the same restriction to  $K$ , which we denote by  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ .

Let  $L$  be a Levi subgroup of  $G$ , i.e., a Levi component of a parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , and suppose that  $L \neq G$ . Then  $L$  is the centralizer in  $G$  of a unique maximal (i.e., one-dimensional)  $\mathbb{R}$ -split torus  $A = \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ , and  $L = MA$ . In fact,  $L$  is a Levi component of two distinct parabolic subgroups:  $P = MAN$  and its opposite  $\overline{P} = M\overline{A}\overline{N}$ , with unipotent radicals  $N$  and  $\overline{N}$ .

We briefly review the theory of Knapp-Stein intertwining operators [Kna86, Chapter VII]. Since  $\dim(\mathfrak{a}) = 1$ , the Weyl group  $W_A = M'/M$  has order two. Let  $w \in W_A$  be the nontrivial element. Clearly,  $w\nu = -\nu$  for all  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ . It was pointed out in [War79, P. 13] that for all elements in  $\widehat{M}$  are *ramified*, that is

$$w\sigma = \sigma, \quad \sigma \in \widehat{M}.$$

The *intertwining operators* associated with  $w$  are defined by

$$(5.1) \quad I_P(\sigma, \nu) : H_{\sigma, \nu} \rightarrow H_{\sigma, -\nu},$$

where

$$(I_P(\sigma, \nu)u)(x) = \int_{\overline{N}} u(x\overline{n}) d\overline{n}.$$

These operators satisfy the identity:

$$I_P(\sigma, \nu)\pi_{\sigma, \nu}(x) = \pi_{\sigma, -\nu}(x)I_P(\sigma, \nu).$$

The operator  $I_P(\sigma, \nu)$  admits a meromorphic continuation to  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ . If  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$  and  $\nu \neq 0$ , then  $\pi_{\sigma, \nu}$  is irreducible, and  $I_P(\sigma, \nu)$  is invertible. The Plancherel density  $\mu(\sigma, \nu)$  is defined by

$$\mu(\sigma, \nu)I_P(\sigma, \nu)I_P(\sigma, -\nu) = \text{Id}.$$

It follows from [Kna86, Theorem 14.16, Corollary 14.30] that  $\pi_{\sigma, 0}$  is reducible if and only if  $\sigma$  is  $W_A$ -stable and  $\mu(\sigma, 0) \neq 0$ . Otherwise, if  $\mu(\sigma, 0) = 0$ , the parabolically induced representation decomposes into two *limits of discrete series representations*:

$$\pi_{\sigma, 0} \cong \pi_{\sigma}^+ \oplus \pi_{\sigma}^-.$$

The *normalized intertwining operator* is given by

$$(5.2) \quad U_P(\sigma, \nu) := r^{-1}(\sigma, \nu) \cdot I_P(\sigma, \nu),$$

where  $r(\sigma, \nu)$  is an analytic function satisfying

$$U_P(\sigma, \nu) \circ U_P(\sigma, -\nu) = \text{Id}.$$

In particular,  $U_P(\sigma, \nu)$  is unitary for all  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ .

Consider the decomposition of the right regular representation  $R_M^{\Gamma}$  of  $M$  on  $L^2(Z_{\Gamma} \backslash M)$ . We have:

$$L^2(Z_{\Gamma} \backslash M) \cong \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}}^{\oplus} m_{\Gamma}(\sigma) \cdot H_{\sigma}, \quad m_{\Gamma}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and

$$R_M^{\Gamma} \cong \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}}^{\oplus} m_{\Gamma}(\sigma) \cdot \sigma.$$

The definitions of parabolically induced representations extend naturally to each  $P \in E(G, \Gamma)$  with appropriate modifications in notation.

For any  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  and  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ , we define

$$(5.3) \quad \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G(\sigma, \nu) = \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} m_{\Gamma}(\sigma) \cdot \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu),$$

with

$$\pi_{\sigma, \nu}^{\Gamma} = \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} m_{\Gamma}(\sigma) \cdot \pi_{\sigma, \nu}.$$

By the preceding discussion, the non-trivial element  $w \in W_A$  induces unitary intertwining operators:

$$U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, \nu) := \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} U_P(\sigma, \nu) : \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G(\sigma, \nu) \rightarrow \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G(\sigma, -\nu),$$

which satisfy the functional equation:

$$U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, \nu) \circ U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, -\nu) = \text{id}, \quad \text{for all } (\sigma, \nu) \in \widehat{M} \times i\mathfrak{a}^*.$$

In what follows, we often identify  $\mathfrak{a}^*$  with  $\mathbb{R}$  via the isomorphism

$$\nu \in \mathbb{R} \longmapsto \left( \frac{\nu}{\|\lambda\|} \right) \lambda.$$

Abusing notation, we will use the same letter for both the functional on  $\mathfrak{a}$  and the corresponding real number.

**5.2. Reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra and tempered dual.** Recall that an irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of a Lie group  $G$  is called *tempered* if its matrix coefficient functions lie in  $L^{2+\epsilon}(G)$  for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Equivalently,  $\pi$  is tempered if it contributes to the decomposition of the regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$  into irreducible representations. More formally, this means that  $\pi$  is *weakly contained* in  $L^2(G)$ . The following theorem is due to Langlands [Lan89].

**Theorem 5.1.** Every tempered irreducible representation of  $G$  may be realized as a subrepresentation of a principal series representation.

The classification of irreducible tempered representations of a semisimple Lie group was established by Knapp and Zuckerman [KZ82]. In the case of real rank one, the tempered dual  $\widehat{G}_{\text{temp}}$  consists of three components:

- (1) The *discrete series*, denoted by  $\widehat{G}_d$ .
- (2) Families of parabolically induced representations  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  in which all representations are irreducible.
- (3) Families of parabolically induced representations  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  where  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, 0)$  decomposes into two *limits of discrete series* representations.

If  $\pi$  is a unitary representation of a locally compact group  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ , then the formula

$$\pi(f) = \int_G f(x)\pi(x) d_G x, \quad f \in C_c^\infty(G),$$

defines a representation of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C^*(G)$  as bounded operators on  $H$ . In this way, the category of unitary representations of  $G$  becomes equivalent to the category of (nondegenerate) representations of  $C^*(G)$  ([Dix77, Chapter 13]).

The *full group  $C^*$ -algebra*, denoted by  $C^*(G)$ , is the completion of the group algebra  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the universal norm, defined as:

$$\|f\|_{C^*(G)} = \sup_{\pi} \|\pi(f)\|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all unitary representations  $\pi$  of  $G$ .

The  $C^*$ -algebra framework equips the unitary dual of  $G$  with a topology, in which closed sets correspond bijectively to two-sided ideals  $J \triangleleft C^*(G)$ . The closed set determined by  $J$  consists of all irreducible unitary representations that vanish on  $J$ . One of the most significant closed sets is the tempered dual.

The *reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra*, denoted by  $C_r^*(G)$ , is the completion of  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the right regular representation  $R$  of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$ :

$$R(f) := \int_G f(x)R(x) dx.$$

The norm of an element  $f \in C_c(G)$  in  $C_r^*(G)$  is then given by:

$$\|f\|_{C_r^*(G)} = \|R(f)\|.$$

The *reduced dual* of  $G$  is defined as the closed subset of the unitary dual associated with the kernel of the right regular representation:

$$R : C^*(G) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}(L^2(G)).$$

If  $G$  is a real reductive group, then the representations in the reduced dual are precisely Harish-Chandra's tempered irreducible unitary representations. The tempered dual may be identified with the topological space of irreducible representations of the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G)$ , which is the quotient of the full group  $C^*$ -algebra.

In [CCH16], Clare, Crisp, and Higson proved a decomposition of the reduced group  $C_r^*(G)$ -algebra. They established this decomposition for general reductive Lie groups; here, we state the special case for real rank one.

**Theorem 5.2.** If  $G$  has real rank one, then there is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras:

$$C_r^*(G) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_d} \mathbb{K}(H_\pi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} C_0\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}\left(\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)\right)\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$

*Proof.* [CCH16, Theorem 6.8].  $\square$

*Remark 5.3.* In the above  $C^*$ -algebra decomposition, the Weyl group  $W_A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  acts differently on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)))$  according to the reducibility of  $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  at  $\nu = 0$ .

**5.3. Decomposition of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ .** Building on the ideas discussed above, we introduce a new group  $C^*$ -algebra associated with  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ .

**Definition 5.4.** The *group  $C^*$ -algebra*  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  is defined as the completion of  $C_c(G)$  with respect to the right regular representation  $R^\Gamma$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .

Since the Hilbert space  $L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  carries a representation of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , we obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.5.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts by compact operators on  $L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ .

*Proof.* By [God52, Theorem 2], the  $C^*$ -algebra of a real reductive group acts by compact operators in any irreducible unitary representation of  $G$ . Since  $L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is a direct sum of irreducible  $G$ -representations, each occurring with finite multiplicity, the result follows.  $\square$

To study the continuous part of the representation, we recall the concept of *Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules*, which generalize Hilbert spaces by allowing an inner product that takes values in a  $C^*$ -algebra. See [Bla98] for details.

**Definition 5.6.** Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. A *pre-Hilbert  $B$ -module* is a complex linear space  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with a compatible right  $B$ -module structure, together with a  $B$ -valued inner product:

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_B : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow B.$$

We say  $\mathcal{H}$  is a *Hilbert  $B$ -module* if it is complete with respect to the norm induced by the inner product, defined as  $\|x\|^2 = \langle x, x \rangle_B$ . Moreover, if  $\mathcal{H}$  is also a (left) module over another  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , satisfying compatibility conditions, we call  $\mathcal{H}$  a *Hilbert  $A$ - $B$  bimodule*.

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $A, B, C$  be  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be a Hilbert  $A$ - $B$  bimodule and  $\mathcal{H}_2$  a Hilbert  $B$ - $C$  bimodule. Consider the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2$ , equipped with a natural  $C$ -module structure and an inner product given by:

$$\langle x_1 \odot x_2, y_1 \odot y_2 \rangle_C := \langle x_2, \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle_B \cdot y_2 \rangle_C,$$

for all  $x_1, y_1 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $x_2, y_2 \in \mathcal{H}_2$ . Factoring out the  $C$ -submodule

$$\mathcal{N} = \{z \in \mathcal{H}_1 \odot \mathcal{H}_2 \mid \langle z, z \rangle = 0\}$$

and completing in the norm  $\|z\| = \|\langle z, z \rangle\|^{1/2}$ , we obtain a Hilbert  $A$ - $C$ -module denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes_B \mathcal{H}_2$ .

Following [Cla13], Clare introduced a Hilbert  $(C_r^*(G), C_r^*(L))$ -module,  $C_r^*(G/N)$ , where  $P = MAN$  and  $L = MA$ . As a Banach space,  $C_r^*(G/N)$  is the completion of the space of smooth, compactly supported functions on  $G/N$ . The convolution action is given by:

$$(f_0 * f)(gN) = \int_G f_0(h) f(h^{-1}gN) dh.$$

**Proposition 5.8.** Let  $\tau$  be a tempered unitary representation of  $L$  on a Hilbert space  $H$ . The parabolically induced representation  $\text{Ind}_P^G \tau$  is unitarily equivalent to the representation of  $G$  on the Hilbert space  $C_r^*(G/N) \otimes_{C_r^*(L)} H$ .

*Proof.* See [Cla13, Corollary 1].  $\square$

**Proposition 5.9.** The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts by compact operators on the Hilbert module  $C_r^*(G/N)$ .

*Proof.* In [CCH16], Crisp-Clare-Higson proved that for any  $f_0 \in C_c(G)$ , the convolution action defines a compact operator. Since  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  is the completion of  $C_c(G)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** If  $C_r^*(L)$  acts through compact operators on a Hilbert module  $\mathcal{H}$ , then  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts through compact operators on the parabolically induced Hilbert module  $\text{Ind}_P^G \mathcal{H}$ .

*Proof.* See [CCH16, Corollary 4.6].  $\square$

We now reformulate the construction of (5.3) using  $C^*$ -Hilbert modules. For any  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$ , define the Hilbert  $C_0(\widehat{A})$ -module:

$$\mathcal{H}_\sigma := C_0(\mathbb{R} \cong \widehat{A}, H_\sigma),$$

where  $C_0(\widehat{A})$  consists of continuous functions from the dual  $\widehat{A}$  into the Hilbert space  $H_\sigma$ , vanishing at infinity. The  $C_0(\widehat{A})$ -module structure is given by pointwise multiplication, and the  $C_0(\widehat{A})$ -valued inner product is defined as the pointwise Hilbert space inner product.

The  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(L)$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  through the family of representations  $\sigma \otimes \varphi$  of  $L = MA$  on the Hilbert space  $H_\sigma$ . For any  $f \in C_r^*(L)$  and  $h \in C_0(\widehat{A}, H_\sigma)$ , the action is given by

$$(f \cdot h)(\varphi) = (\sigma \otimes \varphi)(f)h(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in \widehat{A}.$$

Since  $C_r^*(L)$  acts by compact operators on the Hilbert module  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ , it follows that  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts by compact operators on

$$\text{Ind}_P^G \mathcal{H}_\sigma := C_0(\mathbb{R}, \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)) \cong C_r^*(G/N) \otimes_{C_r^*(L)} \mathcal{H}_\sigma.$$

Motivated by (5.3), we define the induced representation:

$$\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(\sigma) := \bigoplus_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma),$$

and its corresponding Hilbert  $C^*$ -module:

$$(5.4) \quad \text{Ind}_\Gamma^G \mathcal{H}_\sigma := \bigoplus_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \text{Ind}_P^G \mathcal{H}_\sigma,$$

on which  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts through compact operators. Additionally, the intertwining group  $W_A \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  acts on  $\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G \mathcal{H}_\sigma$ .

We now state the decomposition of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , which serves as an analog of Theorem 5.2.

**Theorem 5.11.** Let  $G$  be a semi-simple Lie group of real rank one, and let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) < \infty$ . Then there exists a  $C^*$ -algebra isomorphism:

$$C_r^*(G, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} \mathbb{K}(H_\pi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \text{id}}} \left[ C_0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}(\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(\sigma))) \right]^{\mathbb{Z}_2}.$$



*Proof.* The proof follows the argument in [CCH16, Proposition 5.17]. Since  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts by compact operators on each  $H_\pi$  for  $\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d$ , we obtain a (unique)  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism:

$$\Phi_\pi : C_r^*(G, \Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}(H_\pi).$$

Similarly, for any  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  with  $\sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \text{id}$ , there exists a (unique)  $C^*$ -algebra homomorphism:

$$\Phi_\sigma : C_r^*(G, \Gamma) \longrightarrow C_0\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}\left(\text{Ind}_\Gamma^G(\sigma)\right)\right),$$

such that

$$\Phi_\sigma(f)(v) = \pi_{\sigma, v}(f), \quad f \in C_c(G), \quad v \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

By the decompositions in (2.2) and (2.1), one can see that  $\Phi$  is surjective (see also [CCH16, Proposition 5.15]).

If the kernel of  $\Phi$  were nonzero, there would exist an irreducible representation of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  that does not vanish on it, contradicting the fact that all irreducible representations belong either to  $(\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d$  or to the Eisenstein series representations (5.3). Thus,  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism, completing the proof.  $\square$

## 6. K-THEORY OF GROUP $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Studying the tempered dual as a topological space, and simultaneously investigating the reduced  $C^*$ -algebra, leads to profound insights. One of the most striking results is an isomorphism in  $K$ -theory, conjectured by Connes and Kasparov. This Connes-Kasparov isomorphism is now understood as a special case of the more general Baum-Connes conjecture, which concerns the  $K$ -theory of the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra for any locally compact group. The Baum-Connes conjecture also applies to discrete groups, forming an essential part of modern research in noncommutative geometry and operator algebras. For further details, see [BCH94, Section 4].

**6.1. Connes-Kasparov isomorphism.** We briefly recall the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism. Let  $G$  be a semisimple Lie group with maximal compact subgroup  $K$ . Consider the Dirac operator constructed in Section 3:

$$D_\mu = D_\mu^+ \oplus D_\mu^-,$$

acting on the Hilbert space:

$$L^2(G/K, \mathcal{E}_\mu) \cong [L^2(G) \otimes S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes V_\mu]^K.$$

For a fixed  $t > 0$ , define the operator:

$$(6.1) \quad P_t := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-tD_\mu^- D_\mu^+} & \left( \frac{\text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^- D_\mu^+}}{D_\mu^- D_\mu^+} \right) e^{-\frac{t}{2} D_\mu^- D_\mu^+} D_\mu^- \\ e^{-\frac{t}{2} D_\mu^+ D_\mu^-} \left( \frac{\text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^+ D_\mu^-}}{D_\mu^+ D_\mu^-} \right) D_\mu^+ & \text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^+ D_\mu^-} \end{pmatrix}.$$

One can verify that  $P_t$  is an idempotent, and that the following defines an index class in  $K$ -theory:

$$\text{ind}_G(D_\mu) := P_t - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix} \in K_*(C_r^*G).$$

**Theorem 6.1** (Connes-Kasparov). The map

$$[V_\mu] \mapsto \text{ind}_G(D_\mu) : R_{\text{spin}}(K) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*G)$$

is an isomorphism.

As a special case of the Baum-Connes conjecture for Lie groups, the Connes-Kasparov conjecture was proved by Lafforgue [Laf02] for semisimple Lie groups and later extended by Chabert-Echterhoff-Nest [CEN03] to almost connected Lie groups. A representation-theoretic approach to proving this result was initially outlined by A. Wassermann [Was87], following pioneering work by Penington-Plymen [PP83]. This representation-theoretic route was only recently completed in full detail in the works of [CHST24, CHS24].

Under the assumption that  $G$  has real rank one and equal rank, the decomposition of the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra in Theorem 5.2 takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} C_r^*(G) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_d} \mathbb{K}(H_\pi) \oplus \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \left[ C_0\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}\left(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma\right)\right) \right]^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Morita}} \bigoplus_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_d} \mathbb{C} \oplus \bigoplus_{\text{some } \sigma} C_0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus \bigoplus_{\text{some } \sigma} C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2. \end{aligned}$$

The continuous part of the decomposition, namely

$$\left[ C_0\left(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{K}\left(\text{Ind}_P^G H_\sigma\right)\right) \right]^{\mathbb{Z}_2},$$

is Morita equivalent to:

$$\begin{cases} C_0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}_2), & \text{if } \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes v) \text{ is irreducible for } v = 0; \\ C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{if } \text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes v) \text{ is reducible for } v = 0. \end{cases}$$

In the latter case, the parabolically induced representation splits as:

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma \otimes v) \cong \pi_\sigma^+ \oplus \pi_\sigma^-, \quad v = 0,$$

where the two components correspond to limit of discrete series representations.

The  $K$ -theory groups associated with these algebras are:

$$K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \quad K_*(C_0(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}_2)) \text{ is trivial.}$$

Thus, the generators of  $K_*(C_r^*G)$  are precisely the discrete series representations and limit of discrete series representations of  $G$ . More specifically:

- (1) For any  $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*,\text{reg}}$ , Atiyah-Schmid [AS77] proved that either  $\text{Ker}(D_\mu^+)$  or  $\text{Ker}(D_\mu^-)$  defines a discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ .
- (2) For any  $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^* \setminus \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*,\text{reg}}$ , while  $\text{Ker}(D_\mu^\pm)$  is trivial, the index class  $[\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)] \in K_*(C_r^*G)$  is non-trivial and corresponds to a limit of discrete series representation. To be precise, since  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is regular with respect to  $R(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{t})$ , there cannot be two adjacent noncompact roots in the Dynkin diagram such that  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is singular with respect to both. Since  $G$  has real rank one, it follows that  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$  is singular with respect to exactly one simple noncompact root, say  $\beta$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}^-$  be the subspace of  $\mathfrak{t}$  orthogonal to  $\beta$ . We choose  $\mathfrak{m}$  so that  $\mathfrak{t}^-$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the irreducible  $M$ -representation with highest weight  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}|_{\mathfrak{t}^-}$ . It follows that the parabolically induced representation:

$$\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, 0)$$

is reducible and decomposes into two limit of discrete series representations, both having the same Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ .

**6.2. Construction of generators in  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$ .** The Connes-Kasparov isomorphism provides a complete computation of the  $K$ -theory of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*G$ . However, for locally symmetric spaces, computing the  $K$ -theory of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  is significantly more challenging.

From the  $C^*$ -algebra decomposition in Theorem 5.11, we observe that every irreducible representation in  $(\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d$  contributes to a generator. However, the discrete spectrum remains poorly understood. Despite this difficulty, the Selberg trace formula, which serves as the primary investigative tool, provides valuable insights. We now proceed with the construction of some generators in  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$ .

Let  $V_\mu \in \widehat{K}_{\text{Spin}}$  with highest weight  $\mu \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{k}^{*, \text{spin}}$ . Define the Dirac operator on the locally symmetric space  $X_\Gamma$  by

$$D_\mu^\Gamma = D_\mu^{\Gamma,+} \oplus D_\mu^{\Gamma,-},$$

acting on the Hilbert space

$$L^2(X_\Gamma, \mathcal{E}_{\Gamma, \mu}) \cong [L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes V_\mu]^K.$$

For any  $t > 0$ , define the operator:

$$(6.2) \quad Q_t := \begin{pmatrix} e^{-tD_\mu^{\Gamma,-}D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}} & \left( \frac{\text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^{\Gamma,-}D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}}}{D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}} \right) e^{-\frac{t}{2}D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}} D_\mu^{\Gamma,-} \\ e^{-\frac{t}{2}D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}} \left( \frac{\text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^{\Gamma,+}D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}}}{D_\mu^{\Gamma,+}D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}} \right) D_\mu^{\Gamma,+} & \text{Id} - e^{-tD_\mu^{\Gamma,+}D_\mu^{\Gamma,-}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 6.2.** The operator

$$Q_t - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Id} \end{pmatrix}$$

defines an element in  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$ . We denote it by  $\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)$ .

*Proof.* The operator  $Q_t$  is an idempotent, so it remains to check that each entry in  $Q_t$  belongs to  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ . We prove this using Fourier transformation. Recall that

$$\Delta_\mu^\pm = D_\mu^\pm D_\mu^\mp, \quad D_\mu^{\Gamma,\pm} D_\mu^{\Gamma,\mp} = R^\Gamma(\Delta_\mu^\pm).$$

Let  $f$  be a Schwartz function on  $\mathbb{R}$ . By [HR00, Proposition 10.3.5],

$$f(\Delta_\mu^\pm) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is\Delta_\mu^\pm} \hat{f}(s) ds.$$

For any  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , it follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f(\Delta_\mu^\pm)) &= Q_\pi^\pm \circ \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{is(\pi(\Omega) - \|\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}\|^2 + \|\rho_\mathfrak{g}\|^2)} \hat{f}(s) ds \right) \circ Q_\pi^\pm \\ &= Q_\pi^\pm \circ f(\pi(\Omega) - \|\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}\|^2 + \|\rho_\mathfrak{g}\|^2) \circ Q_\pi^\pm, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q_\pi^\pm$  denotes the projection of  $H_\pi \otimes E_\mu^\pm$  onto its finite-dimensional  $K$ -invariant part  $(H_\pi \otimes E_\mu^\pm)^K$ .

Since  $Q_\pi^\pm$  are finite-rank operators, it suffices to show that  $f(\Delta_\mu^\pm)$  vanishes at infinity in the spectrum of  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ . That is,

$$(6.3) \quad (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d \sqcup \bigsqcup_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \text{id}}} (\mathbb{R}/Z_2)_\sigma.$$

For each component  $(\mathbb{R}/Z_2)_\sigma$ , the Casimir operator  $\Omega$  acts as:

$$\pi_{\sigma, \nu}(\Omega) = -\|\sigma\|^2 + \nu^2, \quad \nu \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

Since  $f$  is a Schwartz function, we conclude that  $f\left(\Delta_\mu^\pm\right)$  is  $C_0$  on each  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}_2)_\sigma$ . By the extended Parthasarathy's Dirac inequality [HPz06, Theorem 3.5.3], we know that

$$\left[\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu) \otimes S_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes V_\mu\right]^K \neq 0$$

implies that

$$\|\sigma\| \leq \|\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}\|.$$

Since  $\mu$  is a fixed, we conclude that  $f\left(\Delta_\mu^\pm\right)$  vanishes on all but finitely many components. Thus,  $f\left(\Delta_\mu^\pm\right)$  is  $C_0$  on the continuous part.

On the other hand, by the Langlands classification, we know that  $(\overline{\Gamma \backslash G})_d$  consists of discrete series representations and those are subquotients of a parabolically induced representation  $\mathrm{Ind}_P^G(\sigma, \nu)$  with  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  and  $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ . For discrete series representation, there are at most finitely many by the extended Parthasarathy's Dirac inequality. For those are subquotients of a parabolically induced representation, the same argument as before. We can now conclude that  $f\left(\Delta_\mu^\pm\right)$  vanishes at infinity on  $(\overline{\Gamma \backslash G})_d$ . □

*Remark 6.3.* There is a natural map

$$\mathrm{ind}_G(D_\mu) \mapsto \mathrm{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu) : K_*(C_r^*G) \rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)).$$

However, this map is neither surjective nor injective.

**6.3. Traces on  $K$ -theory.** We first recall the definition of the natural trace on the commutant

$$\mathcal{R}_G \subset \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$$

of the right regular representation  $L$  of the Lie group  $G$ . The von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{R}_G$  is naturally equipped with a faithful, normal, semi-finite trace  $\mathrm{Tr}_G$ , which, given a choice of a (two-sided) Haar measure  $dg$  on  $G$ , is uniquely determined by the property:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_G(R(f)R(f)^*) = \int_G |f(g)|^2 dg$$

for any  $f \in L^2(G)$  such that  $R(f)$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2(G)$ . Here,  $R$  denotes the right regular representation of  $G$ .

Connes-Moscovici proved in [CM82b, Corollary 2.3] that for any  $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_G(f) = f(e).$$

Applying the Fourier transform, we obtain:

$$(6.4) \quad \mathrm{Tr}_G(f) = \int_{\pi \in \widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}} \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi)) d\pi,$$

where  $d\pi$  denotes the Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}_{\mathrm{temp}}$ .

**Theorem 6.4** (Connes-Moscovici). The trace  $\mathrm{Tr}_G$  induces a map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_G : K_*(C_r^*(G)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_G(\mathrm{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}),$$

where

$$(6.5) \quad d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{\dim_{\mathfrak{p}}}{2}} 2^{\left(\frac{\dim_{\mathfrak{p}}}{2} - 1\right)/2}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})} (\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha)}{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k})} (\rho_{\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha)},$$

which denotes the formal degree of the discrete series representation with parameter  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ .

We now prove an analog of the above theorem for  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ .

**Theorem 6.5.** The trace of  $R^\Gamma$  induces a map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma: K_* (C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

*Proof.* As an analog of (6.4), it follows from Theorem 5.11 that the map induced by the trace of  $R^\Gamma$  on the Fourier transform side is given by:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma (R^\Gamma(f)) = \sum_{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d} m_\Gamma(\pi) \cdot \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi)) + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \overline{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \mathrm{id}}} m_\Gamma(\pi_{\sigma, \nu}) \int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}(\widehat{f}(\pi_{\sigma, \nu})) dv.$$

Thus, one can verify that  $\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma$  defines a trace on  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  satisfying the following properties:

(1) Positivity: The map

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma: [C_r^*(G, \Gamma)]_+ \rightarrow [0, +\infty],$$

where  $[C_r^*(G, \Gamma)]_+$  is the collection of positive elements (possibly unbounded).

(2) Lower-semicontinuity: For any norm-convergent sequence  $(a_n)$  in  $[C_r^*(G, \Gamma)]_+$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n \right) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma(a_n).$$

(3) Densely defined: The collection

$$\{a \in [C_r^*(G, \Gamma)]_+ \mid \mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma(a) < \infty\}$$

is dense in  $[C_r^*(G, \Gamma)]_+$ .

By [WY20, Section 2.3], the map  $\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma$  extends to a well-defined homomorphism:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_\Gamma: K_* (C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

□

## 7. HECKE OPERATORS AND $KK$ -THEORY

Recently, Mesland-Şengün [McS20, McS18] introduced the Hecke module structure within  $KK$ -theory and studied the topological  $K$ -theory of the locally symmetric spaces  $X_\Gamma$ . They showed that the Shimura product of double cosets naturally corresponds to the Kasparov product. In this section, we extend their study to examine the Hecke module structure on the  $K$ -theory of the group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ .

**7.1. Hecke ring structure and Kasparov's  $KK$ -theory.** We begin by recalling the definition of Kasparov's  $KK$ -theory. See [Kas88] for details.

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be graded  $C^*$ -algebras. The set  $\mathbb{E}(A, B)$  consists of all pairs  $(\mathcal{H}, F)$ , where:

- $\mathcal{H}$  is a countably generated Hilbert  $A$ - $B$  bimodule.
- $F \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfies:

$$[F, a], \quad (F^2 - 1)a, \quad (F - F^*)a \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

The elements of  $\mathbb{E}(A, B)$  are called Kasparov modules for  $(A, B)$ .

**Definition 7.2.** The Kasparov  $KK$ -group  $KK(A, B)$  is defined as the set of equivalence classes of  $\mathbb{E}(A, B)$  under homotopy equivalence and unitary equivalence. In particular,  $KK(\mathbb{C}, A)$  coincides with the  $K$ -theory of  $A$ .

One of the key properties of Kasparov's  $KK$ -theory is the Kasparov product:

**Theorem 7.3** ([Kas88]). Let  $A, B$ , and  $C$  be  $C^*$ -algebras. There exists a Kasparov product:

$$\otimes_B : KK(A, B) \times KK(B, C) \rightarrow KK(A, C),$$

which is bilinear, contravariantly functorial in  $A$ , and covariantly functorial in  $B$ .

Let  $C_G(\Gamma)$  denote the commensurator of  $\Gamma$  as before, and let  $\alpha \in C_G(\Gamma)$  correspond to the Hecke operator:

$$T_{\Xi} \in \mathbb{B}(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)).$$

We introduce a  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ -valued inner product on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  as follows: for any two vectors  $v, w \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ , define:

$$\langle v, w \rangle_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)}(g) := \langle v, R^\Gamma(g)w \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)}, \quad g \in G.$$

By the definition of the reduced group  $C^*$ -algebra  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ , the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  naturally inherits a Hilbert  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ -module structure. Thus, we can view  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  as a Hilbert  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ - $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  bimodule.

Since  $T_{\Xi}$  is bounded, and  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$  acts by compact operators on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  from the left, we conclude that:

$$[T_{\Xi}] := (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G), T_{\Xi})$$

defines an element in the Kasparov  $KK$ -group:

$$KK_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma), C_r^*(G, \Gamma)).$$

Let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $C_G(\Gamma)$  containing  $\Gamma$ . Following Shimura, we define the Hecke ring  $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma, S]$  as the free abelian group on the double cosets  $\Gamma g \Gamma$  (with  $g \in S$ ), equipped with the product:

$$(7.1) \quad \Xi_\alpha \cdot \Xi_\beta := \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \beta \Gamma = \sum_{k=1}^K m_k \cdot \Gamma \alpha_{i(k)} \beta_{j(k)} \Gamma,$$

where

$$m_k := \#\{(i, j) : \alpha_i \beta_j = \alpha_{i(k)} \beta_{j(k)}\}, \quad \bigcup_{i, j} \Gamma \alpha_i \beta_j \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^K \Gamma \alpha_{i(k)} \beta_{j(k)} \Gamma.$$

For well-definedness and further details, see [Shi71, Chapter 3].

**Theorem 7.4.** For any two double cosets  $\Xi_\alpha$  and  $\Xi_\beta$ , there is an isomorphism of Hilbert bimodules:

$$[T_{\Xi_\alpha}] \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} [T_{\Xi_\beta}] \cong \bigoplus_{k=1}^K [T_{\Xi_k}]^{\oplus m_k},$$

where  $\Xi_k = \Gamma \alpha_{i(k)} \beta_{j(k)} \Gamma$  as in (7.1). Consequently, the map:

$$T : \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma, S] \rightarrow KK_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma), C_r^*(G, \Gamma)), \quad \Xi \mapsto T_{\Xi},$$

extends to a ring homomorphism.

*Proof.* The elements  $[T_{\Xi_\alpha}]$  and  $[T_{\Xi_\beta}]$  are realized as Kasparov modules:

$$(L^2(\Gamma \backslash G), T_{\Xi_\alpha}), \quad (L^2(\Gamma \backslash G), T_{\Xi_\beta}).$$

Since

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong L^2(\Gamma \backslash G),$$

the theorem follows from (7.1).  $\square$

**7.2. The Lefschetz map on  $K$ -theory.** Taking the Kasparov product with  $[T_{\Xi}]$ , we obtain the following map:

$$KK_*(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} KK_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma), C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \rightarrow KK_*(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*(G, \Gamma)).$$

**Definition 7.5.** We define the Lefschetz map:

$$L_{\Xi} : K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

as the following composition:

$$K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\otimes [T_{\Xi}]} K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}_{\Gamma}} \mathbb{C}.$$

**Theorem 7.6.** We have the equality:

$$L_{\Xi}(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) = L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\Gamma}).$$

*Proof.* For any  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ , the space

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi} := \text{Hom}_G(H_{\pi}, L^2(\Gamma \backslash G))$$

is finite-dimensional. In particular,

$$m_{\Gamma}(\pi) = \dim \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi}.$$

The Hecke operator  $T_{\Xi}$  acts on

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} (\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi} \otimes H_{\pi}) \oplus \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} (\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \otimes \text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G(\sigma, v)) dv.$$

Since  $T_{\Xi}$  is an intertwining operator, we can decompose it as:

$$T_{\Xi} = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} T_{\Xi, \pi} \otimes \text{Id}_{\pi} + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} T_{\Xi, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \otimes \text{Id}_{\pi_{\sigma, v}} dv.$$

where  $T_{\Xi, \pi} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi})$  is defined by

$$T_{\Xi, \pi}(u) = T_{\Xi} \circ u, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi}.$$

On the other hand, the Dirac operator  $D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}$  acts on

$$\begin{aligned} & [L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}]^K \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} (\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi} \otimes [H_{\pi} \otimes E_{\mu}]^K) \oplus \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} (\mathcal{A}_{\Gamma, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \otimes [\text{Ind}_{\Gamma}^G(\sigma, v) \otimes E_{\mu}]^K) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it decomposes as:

$$D_{\mu}^{\Gamma} = \sum_{\pi \in (\widehat{\Gamma \backslash G})_d} \text{Id} \otimes D_{\mu, \pi}^{\Gamma} + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{Id}}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} \text{Id} \otimes D_{\mu, \pi_{\sigma, v}}^{\Gamma} dv.$$

Taking the Kasparov product,

$$KK_*(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \otimes KK_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma), C_r^*(G, \Gamma)) \rightarrow KK_*(\mathbb{C}, C_r^*(G, \Gamma)).$$

The Hilbert modules satisfy the relation:

$$[L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}]^K \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong [L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_{\mu}]^K.$$

Using the unbounded  $KK$ -product [Kuc97], the element

$$[\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})] \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} [T_{\Xi}]$$

can be represented by the Hilbert module over  $C_r^*(G, \Gamma)$ :

$$[L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes E_\mu]^K.$$

together with the operator:

$$\sum_{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d} T_{\Xi, \pi} \otimes D_{\mu, \pi}^\Gamma + \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_\Gamma} = \text{id}}} \int_0^\infty T_{\Xi, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \otimes D_{\mu, \pi_{\sigma, v}}^\Gamma dv.$$

By taking trace  $\text{Tr}_\Gamma$  over  $K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma))$ , we obtain as in Theorem 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned} L_\Xi(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)) &= \text{Tr}_\Gamma([\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)] \otimes_{C_r^*(G, \Gamma)} [T_\Xi]) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d \\ \pi \in \text{Ker} D_\mu^{\Gamma, +}}} \text{Tr}(T_{\Xi, \pi}) - \sum_{\substack{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d \\ \pi \in \text{Ker} D_\mu^{\Gamma, -}}} \text{Tr}(T_{\Xi, \pi}) \\ &= L(T_\Xi, D_\mu^\Gamma). \end{aligned}$$

□

## 8. CLASSIFICATION OF ELEMENTS IN $\Xi$

In this section, we will classify elements in double coset  $\Xi = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$  for some  $\alpha \in C_G(\Gamma)$ . See details in [Hof89, Section 7] or [OW81, Section 5].

**8.1. Semisimple elements.** To begin with, recall that a semi-simple element  $\xi \in G$  is classified as:

- central if  $\xi$  belongs to the center  $Z_G$  of  $G$ .
- elliptic if  $\xi$  is conjugate to an element of  $K$ .
- hyperbolic if  $\xi$  is neither central or elliptic.

We decompose the elements in the double coset  $\Xi = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ . Define:

$$\Xi_S := \{\xi \in \Xi \mid \forall P \in E(G, \Gamma), \{\xi\}_\Gamma \cap P = \emptyset\}.$$

All elements in  $\Xi_S$  are semisimple and the centralizer  $\Gamma_\xi$  is a uniform lattice in  $G_\xi$ . The remaining elements form the parabolic part:

$$\Xi_P := \Xi - \Xi_S.$$

Note that  $\Xi_P$  may very well contain semi-simple elements. We further refine:

$$\Xi_P = \Xi_P(*) \sqcup \Xi_P(**),$$

where  $\Xi_P(*)$  consists of semisimple elements and  $\Xi_P(**)$  consists of non-semisimple elements.

On the other hand, we have a regular and singular decomposition of  $\Xi_P$  as follow

- Regular elements:

$$\Xi_P(r) := \{\xi \in \Xi_P \mid N_\xi = \{1\}, \text{ and } \xi \in P\}.$$

One can check that  $\Gamma_\xi$  fail to be a lattice in  $G_\xi$  for any  $\xi \in \Xi_P(r)$ .

- Singular elements:

$$\Xi_P(s) := \Xi_P - \Xi_P(r).$$

By [Hof89, Lemma 7.1], all regular elements in  $\Xi_P(r)$  are semisimple, i.e.,

$$\Xi_P(r) \subseteq \Xi_P(*) .$$

We decompose the singular part:

$$\Xi_P(s) = \Xi_P(s, *) \sqcup \Xi_P(s, **).$$



where:

- $\Xi_P(s, *)$  consists of semisimple singular elements. The semi-simple elements in  $\Xi_P(s, *)$  have the property that  $\Gamma_\xi$  is a non-uniform lattice in  $G_\xi$
- $\Xi_P(s, **)$  consists of non-semisimple singular elements.

Finally, we have

$$\Xi = \begin{cases} \text{Semi-simple part :} & \begin{cases} \text{Uniform lattice in } G_\xi & \Xi_S, \\ \text{Non-uniform lattice in } G_\xi & \Xi_P(s, *), \\ \text{Not a lattice in } G_\xi & \Xi_P(r). \end{cases} \\ \text{Non-semi-simple part :} & \Xi_P(s, **). \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, all semi-simple elements are decomposed as:

$$\Xi_S \sqcup \Xi_P(s, *) \sqcup \Xi_P(r) = \Xi_C \sqcup \Xi_E \sqcup \Xi_H,$$

where:

- $\Xi_C$ : central elements.
- $\Xi_E$ : elliptic elements.
- $\Xi_H$ : hyperbolic elements.

By [Hof89, p. 382],  $\Xi_E$  and  $\Xi_C$  are finite sets.

**8.2. Non-semi-simple elements.** For non-semi-simple elements

$$\xi \in \Xi_P(s, **),$$

we have the Jordan decomposition

$$\xi = \eta u.$$

where  $\eta$  is semi-simple,  $u$  is unipotent, and they commute. Here the centralizer  $N_\eta$  of  $\eta$  in  $N$  is nontrivial. Since  $\xi \in \Gamma \cap P$  for some  $P \in E(G, \Gamma)$ , we know that  $u \in N$  and  $L$  can be chosen that  $\eta \in L$ . This Levi component containing  $\eta$  is determined up to conjugation by elements of  $N_\eta$ .

For the adjoint action of  $A$  on  $\mathfrak{n}_\eta$ , there is a root space decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{n}_\eta = \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1} + \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2}, \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_1, \quad \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} \subseteq \mathfrak{n}_2.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{n}_2$  is abelian. A *Lie triple* is defined as a triple  $(X, H, Y) \neq (0, 0, 0)$  of elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying the relations:

$$[H, X] = 2X, \quad [H, Y] = -2Y, \quad [X, Y] = H.$$

For  $\lambda \in \{1, 2\}$ , let  $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta,\lambda}$  be the set of elements  $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,\lambda} = \mathfrak{g}_{\eta,\lambda}$  such that there exists  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{\eta,-\lambda}$  forming a Lie triple:

$$(8.1) \quad (X, H, Y).$$

Defining their exponentiated versions:

$$\overset{\circ}{N}_{\eta,1} = \exp\left(\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta,1}\right), \quad \overset{\circ}{N}_{\eta,2} = \exp\left(\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta,2}\right)$$

For such a Lie triple, set

$$Z_0 := X - Y \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

It has been shown in [Bar79] that conjugacy classes of unipotent elements are one-to-one correspondent to conjugacy classes of Lie triples, as well as conjugacy classes of  $Z_0$ . By [Hof89, Lemma 9.1], the set of  $\text{Ad}(L_\eta)$ -orbits in  $\overset{\circ}{\mathfrak{n}}_{\eta,\lambda}$  are finite.

For  $t > 0$ , define

$$\xi_t = \eta z_t, \quad z_t = \exp(tZ_0).$$

We can use the family of semi-simple elements  $\xi_t$  to approximate  $\xi$ .

**Proposition 8.1.** There is a real number  $t_0$  such that for any  $t \leq t_0$ , the centralizer  $G_{\xi_t} = G_{\xi_0}$ , the centralizer  $G_{z_t} = G_{Z_0}$  and  $G_{\xi_0} \subseteq G_\eta$  where the element

$$(8.2) \quad \xi_0 = \eta \cdot \exp t_0 Z_0.$$

*Proof.* [Bar76, Proposition 3.4.1] □

**8.3. Epstein zeta function.** Another key component of the geometric side of the trace formula is the appearance of certain zeta function constants associated with the discrete group  $\Gamma$  (or the double coset  $\Xi$  in the case of Hecke operators). Our restriction to the real rank one case leads to a significant simplification compared to the general setting considered in [Hof87]. In the terminology of [OW81, Section 9], all elements in the real rank one case are “orbitally regular”. As a result, the only zeta function that contributes to the description of the unipotent term in the trace formula is the Epstein zeta function.

Let us briefly review the construction. For details, we refer to [Hof87, OW81]. For cuspidal subgroups  $P$  with Levi component  $L = MA$ , put

- $\Xi_L :=$  projection of  $\Xi \cap P$  along  $N$ .
- $\Xi_M :=$  projection of  $\Xi \cap P$  along  $AN$ . Since  $M$  is compact, we know that  $\Xi_M$  is a finite set.

The projection  $\Xi_L \rightarrow \Xi_M$  is finite to one. In particular,  $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_M$ . One should keep in mind that  $\Xi_L$  and  $\Xi_M$  depend on  $P$ , although this is not reflected in the notation.

Let  $\eta \in \Xi_L$ . If  $\xi \in \Xi \cap \eta N$  with Jordan decomposition  $\xi = su$ , then  $s \in \{\eta\}_N$  and  $u \in N_s$ , thus  $\xi \in sN_s$ . Introduce

$$\mathcal{S}_\eta = \{s \in \{\eta\}_N : s \text{ is the semi-simple component of some } \xi \in \Xi \cap \eta N\}.$$

Denote by  $n_s$  the element in  $N$  with  $n_s s n_s^{-1} = \eta$ . Put

$$\Gamma(s) = n_s (\Gamma \cap N_s) n_s^{-1}, \quad \Xi(s) = n_s (s^{-1} \Xi \cap N_s) n_s^{-1}.$$

The above sets are invariant under  $(\Gamma_M)_\eta$ . Moreover,  $\Gamma(s)$  is a uniform lattice in  $N_\eta$ , and  $\Xi(s)$  is a union of finitely many  $\Gamma(s)$ -cosets.

Now suppose that

$$\dim(N_{\eta,2}) = 1$$

We define *Epstein zeta function* by

$$\zeta_\eta^\pm(s, z) = \text{vol}(N_\eta/\Gamma(s)) \sum_{\{\xi\}_{(\Gamma_M)_\eta} \subset \Xi(s) \cap N_{\eta,2}^\pm} \frac{\text{vol}(M_{\xi_\eta}/(\Gamma_M)_{\xi_\eta})}{\|\log \xi\|^{\dim n_\eta + z}}.$$

[Hof87, Lemma 8] shows that  $\zeta_\eta^\pm(s, z)$  is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } z > 0$  and admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane whose only possible singularities are simple poles at 0 and  $-1$ .

**Definition 8.2.** For any  $\eta \in \Xi_L$ , we define

$$\zeta_\eta^\pm(z) = \sum_{\{s\}_{\Gamma \cap N} \subset \mathcal{S}_\eta} \zeta_\eta^\pm(s, z).$$

We denote by  $C_\eta^\pm$  the constant term of  $\zeta_\eta^\pm(z)$  at  $z = 0$ .

## 9. MAIN RESULTS: THE LEFSCHETZ FORMULAS

Suppose  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group of real rank one. Let  $\Xi = \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$  be a double coset for some  $\alpha \in C_G(\Gamma)$ . Take  $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^{*, \text{spin}}$  and an index class:

$$\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu}) \in K_*(C_r^*(G, \Gamma)).$$

We now state the formula for the Lefschetz number:

$$L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\mu}^{\Gamma}) = L_{\Xi}(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})).$$

**Lemma 9.1.** If  $\text{Rank} K \neq \text{Rank} G$ , then

$$L(T_{\Xi}, D_{\Gamma}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* See [Mos84, Theorem 3.4]. □

This observation eliminates, in an admittedly trivial way, the case when  $\text{Rank} K \neq \text{Rank} G$ . From now on, we assume:

$$\text{Rank} K = \text{Rank} G.$$

The double coset  $\Xi$  decomposes as:

$$\Xi = \underbrace{\Xi_C \sqcup \Xi_E \sqcup \Xi_H}_{\text{semi-simple}} \sqcup \underbrace{\Xi_P(s, **)}_{\text{non-semisimple}}.$$

For brevity, we denote  $R^+ = R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t})$ . For any elliptic element  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , set:

$$R^+(\xi) = R^+ \cap R(\mathfrak{g}_{\xi}, \mathfrak{t}).$$

**Theorem 9.2.** If  $\mu$  is regular, that is,

$$\langle \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}, \alpha \rangle > 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}),$$

then the Lefschetz number

$$L_{\Xi}(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) \in \mathbb{C}$$

is given by the sum of the following terms:

(1) **Central contribution** (see Theorem 11.7):

$$\delta(\mu, \Xi) \cdot \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}),$$

where

$$\delta(\mu, \Xi) = \begin{cases} \#[\Xi_C] & \text{if } \zeta_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}} |_{\Xi_C} \equiv 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $\zeta_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}}$  is the central character of the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and  $d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}})$  is the formal degree given in (6.5).

(2) **Elliptic contribution** (see Theorem 11.6):

$$(9.1) \quad (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \sum_{\{\xi\}_{\Gamma} \in \Xi_E} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{\xi} \backslash G_{\xi}) \cdot d_{\xi}^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{k}}/W_{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}}} \det(w) \cdot \left( \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\xi)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}), \alpha \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}})(\xi)}}{e^{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)} \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R^+(\xi)} (1 - e^{-\beta(\xi)})} \right),$$

where  $d_{\xi}$  is a constant depending only on the choice of the Haar measure on  $G_{\xi}$  (for one such choice,  $d_{\xi}$  is given in [HC66]).

(3) **Parabolic Contribution I** (see Corollary 11.13):

$$(9.2) \quad \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L(s)} (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot \delta(\eta) \cdot \left( c_\eta^+ \cdot C_\eta^+ + c_\eta^- \cdot C_\eta^- \right) \\ \times \sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{k}} \left( \left[ \langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), Z_0 \rangle \right]^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1}}{2}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in R^+(\xi_0)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), \beta \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k})}(\eta) \right).$$

Here the constants  $C_\eta^\pm$  can be found in Definition 8.2,  $c_\eta^\pm$  are defined in Theorem 11.10 and Remark 11.12, and

$$\delta(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathfrak{g}_\eta \cong \mathfrak{su}(n, 1). \text{ In this case } \dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} = 1, \text{ and we take} \\ & X \text{ to be a representative of the non-trivial unipotent} \\ & \text{orbit in } \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} \text{ such that } \{X, H, Y\} \text{ and } \{-X, H, -Y\} \\ & \text{are two non-conjugated Lie triples, } Z_0 = X - Y \\ & \text{and } \xi_0 \text{ is defined in (8.2);} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(4) **Parabolic Contribution II** (see Theorem 11.15):

$$(9.3) \quad \frac{(-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2} + 1}}{2} \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L} \text{vol}(M_\eta / (\Gamma_M)_\eta) \cdot |\det \text{Ad}_\mathfrak{n}(\eta)|^{-1/2} \\ \times \# [\Xi \cap \eta N : \Gamma \cap N] \cdot \Omega_{\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}}(\eta),$$

where  $\Omega_{\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  is defined (11.3).

*Remark 9.3.* In the Lefschetz formula, the central and elliptic contribution are topological (see Theorem 11.9), and the remaining are not.

**Theorem 9.4.** If  $\mu$  is singular, meaning that there exists  $\alpha \in R(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{t})$  such that

$$\langle \mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}, \alpha \rangle = 0,$$

then  $\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}$  determines a representation  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  such that the parabolic induced representation decomposes as:

$$\pi_{\sigma,0} \cong \pi_\sigma^+ \oplus \pi_\sigma^-.$$

The Lefschetz number

$$L_\Xi(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu))$$

equals the sum of:

- (1) **Elliptic contribution:** same as in (9.1).
- (2) **Parabolic contribution I:** same as in (9.2).
- (3) **Residue contribution:**

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(T_{\alpha, \pi_{\sigma,0}} \circ U^{\Gamma,+}(\sigma, 0)).$$

*Remark 9.5.* In [BM83], Barbasch and Moscovici work with the case  $\Xi = \Gamma$  and made an addition assumption that  $\Gamma$  is neat (i.e., the group generated by the eigenvalues of any  $\xi \in \Gamma$  contains no roots of unity). In their setting, the trace formula simplifies significantly [OW81]. Specifically, one can verify that:

$$\Gamma_L(s) = \Gamma_L = \Gamma_M = \{e\}, \quad \Gamma_E = \Gamma_L(r) = \emptyset.$$

Barbasch and Moscovici applied the trace formula obtained in [War79] and proved that if  $\mu$  is regular, then the index is given by the sum of the following contributions:

- Central contribution:

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}).$$

- Parabolic contribution I:

$$\begin{cases} C_2(\Gamma) c_n \varepsilon(\Psi_\mu) \dim V_\mu, & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2n, 1), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- Parabolic contribution II:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2} + 1}}{2} \kappa(\Gamma) \varepsilon_0(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}) \sum_{w \in W_{\mathfrak{k}}} \det(w) \text{sign } k(w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}})), & \text{if } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(2, 1) \text{ or } \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

One can find the definitions of the above constants in [BM83, Theorem 7.1].

## 10. APPLICATION TO MULTIPLICITIES OF DISCRETE SERIES

A fundamental problem is the decomposition of the regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  into irreducible representations. In particular, we aim to compute the multiplicities  $m_\Gamma(\pi)$  in the following decomposition:

$$L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cong \bigoplus_{\pi \in (\Gamma \backslash G)_d} m_\Gamma(\pi) \cdot H_\pi, \quad m_\Gamma(\pi) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The computation of  $m_\Gamma(\pi)$  has a long history. If  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is compact and  $\pi$  belongs to the integrable discrete series representations, Langlands [Lan66] obtained a closed finite formula for  $m_\Gamma(\pi)$ . This result for the compact case was further generalized by Hott and Parthasarathy in [HP74].

If  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is noncompact, the problem becomes considerably more complicated due to the existence of a continuous spectrum. Moreover, the presence of unipotent elements in  $\Gamma$  necessitates the consideration of additional distributions, some of which are invariant. Using Selberg's trace formula, Osborne and Warner [OW78] derived a multiplicity formula for integral discrete series representations. Later, Barbasch and Moscovici [BM83] extended Osborne-Warner's result to all discrete series representations with sufficiently large Harish-Chandra parameters.

To be more precise, by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\text{Tr}_\Gamma(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_d(\mu)} m_\Gamma(\pi) \cdot m(\pi, \mu),$$

where

$$m(\pi, \mu) = \left( \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_\pi, E_\mu^+) - \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_\pi, E_\mu^-) \right),$$

and  $\Pi_d(\mu)$  denotes the packet consisting of the set of irreducible representations with the same infinitesimal character as  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}$ .

In [BSB83], Baldoni Silva and Barbasch computed the number  $m(\pi, \mu) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for all  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$  and  $\mu \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{k}}^*$ . In particular, if  $\mu$  is sufficiently regular, meaning that

$$\langle \mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}} - \rho_{\mathfrak{g}}, \alpha \rangle > 0, \quad \alpha \in R^+(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{t}),$$

then the only class in  $\Pi_d(\mu)$  is the discrete series representation  $\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}}$ , and  $m(\pi, \mu) = 1$ . Consequently, the formula for  $\text{Tr}_\Gamma(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu))$  provides a formula for the multiplicity of the discrete series representation with sufficiently regular Harish-Chandra parameters.

The following corollary generalizes [BM83, Corollary 7.2] and the formula in [OW78].

**Corollary 10.1.** Suppose  $G$  is a semisimple Lie group of real rank one and  $\Gamma$  is an arithmetic, torsion-free subgroup of  $G$  such that  $\Gamma \backslash G$  has finite volume. For any sufficiently regular  $\mu$ , the multiplicity of the discrete series  $\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}}$  in  $L_d^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$  is the sum of

$$\text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}),$$

and

$$\sum_{P \in \mathcal{E}(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L(s)} (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot \delta(\eta) \cdot (c_\eta^+ \cdot C_\eta^+ + c_\eta^- \cdot C_\eta^-) \\ \times \sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{k}} \left( \left[ \overline{\langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), Z_0 \rangle} \right]^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1}}{2}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in R^+(\xi_0)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), \beta \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k})}(\eta) \right),$$

and

$$\frac{(-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2} + 1}}{2} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{E}(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L} \text{vol}(M_\eta / (\Gamma_M)_\eta) \cdot |\det \text{Ad}_\mathfrak{n}(\eta)|^{-1/2} \\ \times \# [\Xi \cap \eta N : \Gamma \cap N] \cdot \Omega_{\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}}(\eta).$$

If  $\mu$  is not sufficiently regular, then the packet  $\Pi_d(\mu)$  may contain more than one irreducible representation, some of which may be non-tempered. For example, let  $\Gamma$  be a torsion-free, discrete subgroup of  $G = SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Let

$$D_k^+, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

be the holomorphic discrete series representations of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Then, we have

$$m_\Gamma(D_k^+) = \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_k)), \quad k \geq 2$$

and

$$(10.1) \quad m_\Gamma(D_1^+) - m_\Gamma(\text{trivial representation}) = \text{Tr}_\Gamma(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_1)).$$

This example illustrates that the pattern for  $k = 1$  differs from that for  $k \geq 2$ , since  $D_1^+$  and the trivial representation have the same Harish-Chandra parameter.

On the spectral side, the Lefschetz number is given by

$$L_\Xi(\text{ind}_\Gamma(D_\mu)) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(\mu)} \text{Tr}(T_{\Xi, \pi}) \cdot m(\pi, \mu).$$

By selecting a sufficiently rich collection of Hecke operators  $T_\Xi$ , it may be possible to separate the contributions of  $\pi \in \Pi(\mu)$  and precisely determine the multiplicity of a single  $m_\Gamma(\pi)$ .

## 11. SELBERG'S PRINCIPLE AND INVARIANT TEMPERED DISTRIBUTION

In this section, we review the Selberg's principle and examine several invariant tempered distributions that arise in the trace formula.

**11.1. Selberg's principle.** Suppose that  $G$  is a semi-simple Lie group of real rank one. We assume that  $G$  has a compact Cartan subgroup  $T$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ . We denote by  $\Lambda_\mathfrak{t}^*$  the weight lattice in  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  as before.

A tempered distribution  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $G$  is said to be *invariant* if it satisfies

$$\mathcal{F}(f^y) = \mathcal{F}(f), \quad \text{where } f^y(x) = f(yxy^{-1}), \quad x, y \in G.$$

**Lemma 11.1.** If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an invariant tempered distribution on  $G$ , then it induces a map

$$\mathcal{F}_* : K_*(C_r^*G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

*Proof.* It has been proved in [GHW24, Lemma 5.4] that  $\mathcal{F}$  defines a continuous trace on  $\mathcal{C}(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  is a dense subalgebra in  $C_r^*G$  and is closed under holomorphic calculus [Laf02], we obtain the isomorphism

$$K_*(\mathcal{C}(G)) \cong K_*(C_r^*G).$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

When the Lie group  $G$  has real rank one, Arthur [Art70] proved that the Fourier transform induces a topological isomorphism from  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  onto  $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{G}_{\text{temp}})$ . Consequently, the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution can be interpreted as a continuous linear functional on  $\mathcal{L}(\widehat{G}_{\text{temp}})$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is an invariant tempered distribution on  $G$ , its Fourier expansion has the form:

$$(11.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(f) &= \underbrace{\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{t}}^*} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) \cdot \Theta_{\lambda}(f)}_{\text{discrete part}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta_{\sigma, \nu}(f) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\sigma, \nu) d\nu}_{\text{continuous part}} \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{(d)}(f) + \mathcal{F}^{(c)}(f), \quad f \in \mathcal{L}(G). \end{aligned}$$

The Selberg principle is a vanishing result that plays a key role in our computations within the trace formula.

**Lemma 11.2** (Selberg's Principle). Let  $h_{\mu, t}$  be the function defined in (3.3). For any  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  and  $\nu \in i\mathfrak{a}^*$ , we have:

$$\Theta_{\sigma, \nu}(h_{\mu, t}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2,

$$\Theta_{\sigma, \nu}(h_{\mu, t}^{\pm}) = \dim(E_{\mu}^{\pm}) \cdot e^{t(\|\sigma\|^2 - \|\nu\|^2 - \|\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}\|^2 + \|\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}\|^2)}$$

Since  $\dim(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^+) = \dim(S_{\mathfrak{p}}^-)$ , the lemma follows immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 11.3** (K-theoretic Selberg's Principle). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an invariant, tempered distribution on  $G$  with an induced map

$$\mathcal{F}_* : K_*(C_r^*G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

In terms of the Fourier expansion in (11.1), we have:

$$\mathcal{F}_*(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}).$$

*Proof.* From the discussion in Section 6 and Lemma 11.2, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_*^{(c)}(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) &= \mathcal{F}_*^{(c)}(h_{t, \mu}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Theta_{\sigma, \nu}(h_{t, \mu}) \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\sigma, \nu) d\nu = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{F}_*(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{t}}^*} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\lambda) \cdot \Theta_{\lambda}(f).$$

On the other hand, by [BM83, (3.5)],

$$\Theta_{\pi}(h_{t, \mu}) = \begin{cases} \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, E_{\mu}^+) - \dim \text{Hom}_K(H_{\pi}, E_{\mu}^-) & \text{if } \chi_{\pi} = \chi_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, we conclude:

$$\mathcal{F}_*(\text{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}) \cdot \left( \dim \text{Hom}_K(\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}, E_{\mu}^+) - \dim \text{Hom}_K(\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}, E_{\mu}^-) \right),$$

where  $\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}$  is the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}$ . Finally, from [AS77, Section 4], we have:

$$\dim \text{Hom}_K(\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}, E_{\mu}^+) - \dim \text{Hom}_K(\omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{t}}}, E_{\mu}^-) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**11.2. Discrete series character.** Let  $T$  be a compact Cartan subgroup of  $G$  and  $H$  be a non-compact Cartan subgroup. Let  $\omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  denote the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}$ . For each  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , the operator

$$\omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(f) = \int_G f(g) \cdot \omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(g) dg$$

is a well-defined bounded linear operator. Moreover,  $\omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(f)$  is of trace class, and the map

$$f \mapsto \text{Tr}(\omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(f))$$

defines a distribution on  $G$ . We denote this distribution by  $\Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  and refer to it as the character of  $\omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$ . According to Harish-Chandra's fundamental results, we have the following properties:

- $\Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  is an invariant tempered distribution on  $G$ .
- $\Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  is a locally  $L^1$  function on  $G$  and is real-analytic on the set of regular semisimple elements of  $G$ , particularly on  $T^{\text{reg}}$  and  $H^{\text{reg}}$ .
- For any  $t \in T^{\text{reg}}$ , the character is given by:

$$\Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{k}} \det(w) \cdot e^{w(\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k})}(t)}{\Delta_T(t)},$$

where

$$\Delta_T(t) = \text{Det}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}^{1/2}(\text{Id} - \text{Ad}_t).$$

- On  $H^{\text{reg}}$ , the character  $\Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  is determined as follows. Define:

$$A^+ = \{a \in A \mid e^\lambda(a) > 1, \quad \lambda \text{ real positive root}\},$$

$$A^- = \{a \in A \mid e^\lambda(a) < 1, \quad \lambda \text{ real positive root}\}.$$

Split  $H = H_K A$  with  $H_K = H \cap K$ , and define:

$$H^+ = (H_K \cdot A^+) \cap H^{\text{reg}}, \quad H^- = (H_K \cdot A^-) \cap H^{\text{reg}}.$$

For any  $\mu \in \Lambda_\mathfrak{k}^*$ , define the sign function:

$$c(\mu, H^+) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } \mu(\sqrt{-1}(E_\lambda - E_{-\lambda})) > 0, \\ +1 & \text{if } \mu(\sqrt{-1}(E_\lambda - E_{-\lambda})) < 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu(\sqrt{-1}(E_\lambda - E_{-\lambda})) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and define  $c(\mu, H^-) = -c(\mu, H^+)$ , where  $E_{\pm\lambda}$  are the normalized vectors in  $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm\lambda}$ . Let  $\mathbf{c}: H \rightarrow T$  denote the Cayley transform. Then, for any  $h \in H^{\text{reg}}$ , we have:

$$(11.2) \quad \Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(h) = \frac{\sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{g}} \det(w) \cdot c(w\mu: J^\pm) \cdot e^{w\mu(\mathbf{c}(h))}}{\Delta_H(h)},$$

where the sign  $\pm$  is chosen based on whether  $h \in H^+$  or  $H^-$ , and

$$\Delta_H(h) = \text{Det}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}}^{1/2}(\text{Id} - \text{Ad}_h).$$

*Remark 11.4.* From the above result, we see that the function

$$(11.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(h) &:= \Delta_H(h) \cdot \Theta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(h) \\ &= \sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{g}} \det(w) \cdot c(w\mu: J^\pm) \cdot e^{w\mu(\mathbf{c}(h))} \end{aligned}$$

extends to a smooth function on  $H$ .



**11.3. Semi-simple orbital integral.** Let  $\xi \in G$ , and let  $Z_\xi \subseteq G$  be its centralizer. Let  $d(gZ_\xi)$  be the left-invariant measure on  $G/Z_\xi$  determined by a Haar measure  $d_G$  on  $G$ .

**Definition 11.5.** The *orbital integral* of a measurable function  $f$  on  $G$  with respect to  $\xi$  is given by

$$\tau_\xi(f) := \int_{G/Z_\xi} f(g\xi g^{-1}) d(gZ_\xi),$$

provided the integral converges.

Harish-Chandra proved that the integral converges for  $f$  in the Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra  $\mathcal{C}(G)$  [HC66, Theorem 6]. Furthermore, results from [RR72] show that  $\tau_\xi$  defines an invariant tempered distribution on  $G$  and is also a measure on  $G$ .

A central problem in representation theory is obtaining Fourier inversion formulas in the sense of Harish-Chandra for the distributions  $\tau_\xi$ , that is, explicit formulas in (11.1). This means expressing  $\tau_\xi$  as a series of tempered invariant eigen-distributions of  $G$ . Such formulas have been obtained in [SW73] for  $\xi$  semisimple and  $G$  of real rank one. For  $\xi$  regular and  $G$  of rank greater than one, formulas are obtained in [Her79].

Since orbital integrals are invariant tempered distributions, they define maps:

$$(11.4) \quad \tau_\xi : K_*(C_r^*G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

While orbital integrals appear on the geometric side of the trace formula, their spectral side counterparts are characters. The character of a discrete series representation and the map (11.4) are related by the following theorem.

**Theorem 11.6** (Character Formula for Elliptic Orbital Integral). If  $\xi$  is elliptic, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) &= (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot d_\xi^{-1} \sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{k}/W_{\mathfrak{k}_\xi}} \det(w) \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\xi)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), \alpha \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k})(\xi)}}{e^{\rho_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)} \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R^+(\xi)} (1 - e^{-\beta(\xi)})} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $d_\xi$  is a constant depending only on the choice of the Haar measure on  $G_\xi$ . In particular, if  $\xi \in T^{\text{reg}}$ , then

$$(11.5) \quad \tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot \Theta_{\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}}.$$

*Proof.* We provide a sketch; full details can be found in [Mos84, Theorem 4.14]. By  $G$ -invariance, we may conjugate  $\xi$  so that  $\xi \in T$ .

If  $\xi \in T^{\text{reg}}$ , the formula was proved in [HW19, Theorem 3.1]. For singular  $\xi$ , the character  $\Theta_{\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}}$  diverges, but the regularized orbital integral

$$\Delta_T(\xi) \cdot \tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu))$$

remains continuous on  $T$ .

Define the differential operator on  $\mathfrak{t}$ :

$$\Psi_\xi = \prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\xi)} H_\alpha \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $H_\alpha$  is determined by

$$B(H, H_\alpha) = \alpha(H), \quad \forall H \in \mathfrak{t}.$$

Harish-Chandra showed in [HC66] that

$$\tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = \frac{e^{\rho_\mathfrak{g}(\xi)}}{d_\xi \cdot \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R^+(\xi)} (e^{\beta(\xi)} - 1)} \cdot \lim_{\substack{\eta \rightarrow \xi \\ \eta \in T^{\text{reg}}}} \Psi_\xi(\Delta_T(\eta) \cdot \tau_\eta(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu))).$$

□

**Theorem 11.7** (Central Orbital Integral). If  $\xi$  is central, then

$$\tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = \zeta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}(\xi) \cdot d(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}).$$

where  $\zeta_{\mu+\rho_\mathfrak{k}}: Z_G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denotes the central character of the discrete series representation with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}$ , and  $d(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k})$  is its formal degree.

*Proof.* [BM83, Section 4.2]. □

**Theorem 11.8** (Hyperbolic Orbital Integral). If  $\xi$  is hyperbolic, then

$$\tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By [SW73], we know that  $\tau_\xi$  has no discrete part contribution for hyperbolic elements. The theorem follows immediately from the Selberg principle in Theorem 11.3. □

To summarize, for all semisimple elements, we have explicit formulas for the orbital integral in terms of roots. Moreover, Hochs-Wang [HW18, Proposition 4.12] proved an Atiyah-Bott fixed point-type formula:

**Theorem 11.9** (Topological Formula for Semi-Simple Orbital Integrals). If  $\xi$  is a semisimple element in  $G$ , then

$$\tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = \int_{X^\xi} c^\xi \cdot \frac{\hat{A}(X^\xi) \text{Ch}([V_\mu|_{X^\xi}](\xi))}{\det(1 - \xi e^{-R^N/2\pi i})^{1/2}}.$$

Here,  $c^\xi$  is the cut-off function for the  $G_\xi$ -action on  $X^\xi$ ,  $N$  is the normal bundle of  $X^\xi \hookrightarrow X$ , and  $R^N$  is its curvature.

**11.4. Non-semi-simple orbital integral.** When the lattice  $\Gamma$  is not uniform, elements in  $\Gamma$  are no longer necessarily semi-simple. Consequently, we must consider orbital integrals of arbitrary elements in the Selberg trace formula, as derived in [War79].

The approach involves expressing the unipotent orbital integral as a limit of semisimple orbital integrals. To make this precise, suppose  $u = \exp(X)$  is a unipotent element. Then  $X$  can be embedded in a Lie triple  $\{X, H, Y\}$  such that  $\theta X = -Y$ , where  $\theta$  is the Cartan involution on  $G$ . Define

$$Z_0 = X - Y \in \mathfrak{k}, \quad \mathfrak{U} = X + Z_Y,$$

where  $Z_Y$  is the centralizer of  $Y$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is shown in [RR72] that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is transverse to the adjoint action of  $G$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the union  $\bigcup_{t>0} O(t(X - Y))$  contains the orbit of  $X$  in its closure. This allows the orbital integral for  $X$  to be related to the one for  $tZ_0$  ( $t > 0$ ), which are orbital integrals of elliptic elements. The Fourier transform of the orbital integral of  $u = \exp(X)$  can be found in [Bar79, Theorem 7.1].

For an arbitrary element  $\xi \in G$ , let

$$\xi = \eta u, \quad u = \exp(X)$$

be the Jordan decomposition, where  $\eta$  is semisimple,  $X$  is unipotent, and  $s$  commutes with  $u$ . For  $t > 0$ , define

$$\xi_t = z_t, \quad z_t = \exp(tZ_0).$$

The orbital integral of  $\xi$  can be approximated by the semisimple orbital integral of  $\xi_t$ . Let  $\xi_0$  be the element defined in (8.2). The following theorem is due to Barbasch [Bar76, Theorem 4.3.2] or [Bar79, Theorem 7.1].

**Theorem 11.10.** Suppose that  $\xi = \eta \exp(X)$ . There exists a constant  $c_\xi$  such that

$$\tau_\xi(f) = c_\xi \cdot \sum_{\mu \in \Lambda_\xi^+} \left( \left[ \overline{\langle \mu, Z_0 \rangle} \right]^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1}}{2}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in R^+(\xi_0)} \langle \mu, \beta \rangle \cdot e^\mu(\eta) \right) \cdot \Theta_\mu(f) + \tau_\xi^{(c)}(f),$$

where the  $c_\xi$  is the composite of certain other constants, each of which is explicitly computable, except, perhaps, for one, namely, the volume of

$$G_\eta \cdot Z_0 \cap (X + \mathfrak{g}_{\eta,Y})$$

in a canonically defined finite measure arising from fiber integration; details can be found in [Bar76].

**Lemma 11.11.** The discrete part of the orbital integral  $\tau_\xi$  vanishes unless

$$\mathfrak{g}_\eta \cong \mathfrak{su}(n, 1) \quad \text{and} \quad X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2}.$$

*Proof.* By [Bar79, Lemma 8.1], the orbital integral has no discrete series contribution if there exists a Weyl group element  $w \in W_{\mathfrak{g}_\eta}$  such that the Lie triple  $\{X, H, Y\}$  is conjugate to  $\{-X, H, -Y\}$ .

It is shown in [Bar79, Theorem 3.2] that this is always the case unless

$$\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2}.$$

The condition  $\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} = 1$  implies that  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta \cong \mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 11.12.* For the case of  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta \cong \mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$ , the constant  $c_{\xi=\eta \exp(X)}$  depends only on the connected component of  $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2} \setminus \{0\}$ . Thus, we denoted it by  $c_\eta^\pm$ . One can check that

$$c_\eta^+ = (-1)^n \cdot c_\eta^-.$$

Combining Theorem 11.3, Theorem 11.10 and Lemma 11.11, we obtain the following result:

**Corollary 11.13 (Non-Semi-Simple Orbital Integral).** Suppose that  $\xi = \eta \exp(X)$  with  $\eta$  semisimple and  $X$  unipotent. Then

$$\tau_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = 0$$

unless  $\mathfrak{g}_\eta \cong \mathfrak{su}(n, 1)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,2}$ . In this case, let  $\{X, H, Y\}$  be the associated Lie triple, and define  $Z_0 = X - Y$ . For  $\xi^\pm = \eta \exp(\pm X)$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{\xi^\pm}(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = & (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \cdot c_\eta^\pm \cdot \sum_{w \in W_\mathfrak{k}} \left( \left[ \overline{\langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), Z_0 \rangle} \right]^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{n}_{\eta,1}}{2}} \right. \\ & \left. \times \prod_{\beta \in R^+(\xi_0)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k}), \beta \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_\mathfrak{k})}(\eta) \right). \end{aligned}$$

**11.5. Weighted orbital integral and weighted character.** Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ . By the Iwasawa decomposition, one can define maps  $H_P, H_{\overline{P}}: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$  as follows:

$$H_P(kan) = \log a, \quad H_{\overline{P}}(ka\overline{n}) = \log a, \quad \forall k \in K, a \in A, n \in N, \overline{n} \in \overline{N}.$$

Let  $\lambda_P$  be the positive multiple of the roots of  $\mathfrak{a}$  in  $\mathfrak{n}$  satisfying

$$\lambda_P(H) = \pm |H|, \quad H \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Define the function

$$w(x) = \lambda_P(H_P(x) - H_{\overline{P}}(x)),$$

which is positive-valued and satisfies

$$w(xm) = w(x), \quad m \in M.$$

**Definition 11.14.** For  $\xi \in MA$ , the *weighted orbital integral* is defined as

$$(11.6) \quad \tau_\xi^w(f) = \int_{G/G_\xi} f(x\xi x^{-1}) \cdot w(x) dx, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(G).$$

The integral is absolutely convergent and defines a non-invariant tempered distribution on  $G$ . It is independent of  $\lambda_P$  and proportional to the Haar measure on  $G$ .

The Fourier transform of the weighted orbital integral has been computed in [AHS86, Her82, Art76a, War85, AM12, Hof97, Art94].

In Theorem 11.6, we established that the restriction of the character of a discrete series representation to  $T^{\text{reg}}$  relates to elliptic orbital integrals. However, its restriction to the non-compact Cartan subgroup  $H$  cannot be detected by hyperbolic orbital integrals (Theorem 11.8). Surprisingly, Arthur proved in [Art76b] that it is instead related to weighted orbital integrals.

**Theorem 11.15.** Suppose that  $\xi = ma \in H$ . Then,

$$(11.7) \quad \tau_\xi^w(f) = - \sum_{\omega \in \widehat{G}_d} \Omega_\omega(h) \cdot \Theta_\omega(f) + \text{continuous part.}$$

*Proof.* See [War85, Theorem 14.1] or [Art76b].  $\square$

*Remark 11.16.* In equation (11.7), the formula holds for  $A$ -regular points  $\xi = ma$  with  $a \neq 1$ . Based on considerations from the Selberg trace formula, one may need to take the limit  $a \rightarrow 1$  and consider  $\tau_m^w(f)$ . Warner extended equation (11.7) to the case  $a = 1$  in [War85, Section 15].

Recall the normalized intertwining operator defined in equation (5.2):

$$U_w : H_{\sigma, \nu} \rightarrow H_{\sigma, -\nu}.$$

If  $\phi$  is a meromorphic function of  $\nu$ , we use the abbreviation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \phi(\nu) = \left. \frac{d}{dz} \phi(z \cdot \nu) \right|_{z=0}.$$

For  $\sigma \in \widehat{M}$  with  $\mu(\sigma, 0) \neq 0$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ , we define:

**Definition 11.17.** The *weighted character* is given by

$$\widetilde{\Theta}_{\sigma, \nu}(f) = -\text{Tr} \left( \pi_{\sigma, \nu}(f) \circ U(\sigma, \nu)^{-1} \circ \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} U(\sigma, \nu) \right),$$

where the derivative is taken for the operator in  $H_{\sigma, \nu}$ . The weighted character  $\widetilde{\Theta}$  is a non-invariant tempered distribution, proportional to the Haar measure on  $G$  and to  $\lambda_P$ . It does not depend on the Haar measure on  $\overline{N}$  used to define  $U(\sigma, \nu)$ .

In [Art81, Section 10], Arthur defined invariant distributions. In our real rank one setting, this procedure simplifies significantly.

**Definition 11.18.** For any  $\xi \in L$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ , we define an invariant tempered distribution by the formula:

$$\widetilde{W}(\xi, f) = \tau_\xi^w(f) - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} \overline{\Theta_{\sigma, \nu}(\xi)} \cdot \widetilde{\Theta}_{\sigma, \nu}(f) d\nu.$$

In particular, this induces a map:

$$\widetilde{W}_\xi : K_* (C_r^* G) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}.$$

**Corollary 11.19.** For any  $\xi \in L$ ,

$$\widetilde{W}_\xi(\text{ind}_G(D_\mu)) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim p}{2} + 1} \Omega_{\mu + \rho_\xi}(\xi).$$

*Proof.* By conjugation, we may assume that  $\xi \in H$ , where  $H$  is the non-compact Cartan subgroup. By definition, the invariant distribution  $\widetilde{W}_\xi$  and the non-invariant distribution  $\tau_\xi^w$  have the same discrete part. The corollary follows from Theorem 11.15 and 11.3.  $\square$

*Remark 11.20.* It has been shown in [DeG82, Lemma 5] that

$$\Omega_{\mu+\rho_\xi}(e) = 0, \quad \text{unless } \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \text{ or } \mathfrak{su}(2, 1).$$

## 12. TRACE FORMULA AND PROOF OF MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove the main result. The primary tool we use is the invariant trace formula for Hecke operators. The general case for the adelic setting was developed by Arthur in [Art81, Art88a, Art88b]. In this paper, we employ the trace formula for real groups, which was established by Hoffmann [Hof89, Hof99]. It is worth noting that the specific formula we use is simpler than Hoffmann's general result, as we impose the additional assumption that  $G$  has real rank one.

We assume that  $G$  is a semi-simple Lie group of real rank one. Take  $\alpha \in C_G(\Gamma)$  and let  $\Xi = \Gamma\alpha\Gamma$  be a double coset.

**12.1. Trace Formula for Hecke Operators.** Recall the decomposition of  $\Xi$ :

$$\Xi = \underbrace{\Xi_S \sqcup \Xi_P(r) \sqcup \Xi_P(s, *) \sqcup \Xi_P(s, **)}_{\text{semi-simple part}}.$$

We begin with the following lemma.

**Lemma 12.1.** If  $G$  is of real rank one and equal rank, then

$$\Xi_P(r) \subseteq \Xi_H.$$

That is, every element in  $\Xi_P(r)$  is hyperbolic. We split

$$\Xi_H = \Xi_P(r) \sqcup \Xi_H^s, \quad \Xi_H^s = \Xi_P \setminus \Xi_P(r).$$

*Proof.* Take  $\xi \in \Xi_P(r)$ . Since  $\xi$  is semi-simple, it follows from [Hof99, Lemma 7.10] that  $\xi$  is  $N$ -conjugate to an element in  $L$ . Let  $\eta \in \{\xi\}_N \cap L \neq \emptyset$ . We know that  $\eta$  is regular in the sense that  $N_\eta = \{1\}$ . The assumption that  $G$  has real rank one and equal rank excludes the case  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, 1)$ . It has been shown in [OW81, Page 26] that the centralizers  $N_m$  are non-trivial for all  $m \in M$  when  $\mathfrak{g} \neq \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, 1)$ . Thus,

$$\eta = ma \in L = MA,$$

with  $a \neq 1$  in  $A$ . Consequently,  $\xi$  can be conjugated to an element in the non-compact Cartan subgroup and must be hyperbolic.  $\square$

**Theorem 12.2 (Non-Invariant Trace Formula).** For any  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1(G)$ , the trace of  $T_\Xi \circ R_d^\Gamma(f)$  equals the sum of the following parts:

(1) **Semi-simple orbital integrals in  $\Xi_C \sqcup \Xi_E \sqcup \Xi_H^s$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} C_\Xi(f) &= \text{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \sum_{\{\xi\}_\Gamma \subset \Xi_C} \tau_\xi(f), \\ E_\Xi(f) &= \sum_{\{\xi\}_\Gamma \subset \Xi_E} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\xi \backslash G_\xi) \cdot \tau_\xi(f), \\ H_\Xi(f) &= \sum_{\{\xi\}_\Gamma \subset \Xi_H^s} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\xi \backslash G_\xi) \cdot \tau_\xi(f). \end{aligned}$$

(2) **Semi-simple orbital integrals from  $\Xi_P(r)$ :**

$$R_{\Xi}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\xi\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L(r)} \alpha_{\xi}^{\Xi} \cdot \tau_{\xi}(f),$$

where  $\alpha_{\xi}^{\Xi}$  are some constants defined in [Hof99, Page 105].

(3) **Unipotent orbital integrals:**

$$U_{\Xi}(f) = \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L(s)} \sum_{\{X\}_{L_{\eta}} \subset \overset{\circ}{N}_{\eta,1} \cup \overset{\circ}{N}_{\eta,2}} C_P(\Xi, s, X) \cdot \tau_{\eta \exp(X)}(f),$$

where  $C_P(\Xi, \eta, X)$  are defined as the constant or residue terms of certain Zeta functions associated with  $\Xi$ .

(4) **Weighted orbital integrals:**

$$W_{\Xi}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L} \text{vol}(M_{\eta}/(\Gamma_M)_{\eta}) \\ \times |\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\eta)|^{-1/2} \cdot \# [\Xi \cap \eta N : \Gamma \cap N] \cdot \tau_{\eta}^w(f).$$

(5) **Intertwining term:**

$$I_{\Xi}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}} \int_{i\mathfrak{a}^*} \text{Tr} \left( \pi_{\sigma, v}(f) \circ T_{\alpha, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \circ U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, -v) \circ \frac{d}{dv} U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, v) \right) dv.$$

(6) **Residue term:**

$$J_{\Xi}(f) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \text{id}}} \text{Tr}(\pi_{\sigma, 0}(f) \circ T_{\alpha, \pi_{\sigma, 0}} \circ U^{\Gamma}(\sigma, 0)).$$

*Remark 12.3.* In [Hof89, Hof99], the trace formula in Theorem 12.2 does not require  $\Gamma$  to be arithmetic but was originally stated only for functions  $f \in C_c(G)$ . Under the assumption that  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic, the non-adelic trace formula can be seen as a special case of the adelic trace formula. In [FLM11, FL16, Hof08], the adelic trace formula has been extended to Harish-Chandra  $L^1$ -Schwartz classes. In fact, if Hoffmann's formula could be extended to a broader class of functions with non-compact support (which seems to be feasible by similar argument in [War79, Section 8]), it might be possible to drop the assumption that  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic.

In [BM83], Barbasch and Moscovici applied the special case of Theorem 12.2 to the function

$$h_{t, \mu} = \text{Tr}^{E_{\mu}^+} \left( h_{t, \mu}^+ \right) - \text{Tr}^{E_{\mu}^-} \left( h_{t, \mu}^- \right).$$

That is, they obtained the formula:

$$\text{Tr} \left( R_d^{\Gamma} \left( h_{t, \mu} \right) \right) = C_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + E_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + H_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + R_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) \\ + U_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + W_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + I_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}) + J_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu}).$$

Among the terms above, the most challenging to analyze are the *weighted orbital integral* term  $W_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu})$  and the *intertwining term*  $I_{\Gamma}(h_{t, \mu})$ , as they are not invariant. In particular, the Selberg principle (Theorem 11.3) does not apply to these two terms. To address this, Barbasch and Moscovici decomposed  $h_{t, \mu}$  as follows:

$$h_{t, \mu} = h_{t, \mu}^{(d)} + h_{t, \mu}^{(c)},$$

where  $h_{t, \mu}^{(d)}$  and  $h_{t, \mu}^{(c)}$  represent the *discrete* and *continuous* parts of the Plancherel expansion for  $h_{t, \mu}$ , respectively. Explicitly, these components are given by:

$$h_t^{(d)}(x) = \sum_{\omega \in \widehat{G}_d} d(\omega) \cdot \text{Tr}(\omega(h_t) \omega(x)^{-1}), \quad x \in G,$$

where  $d(\omega)$  denotes the formal degree of  $\omega$ ; and

$$h_t^{(c)}(x) = \sum_{\sigma \in \widehat{M}} \int_{i\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{Tr}(\pi_{\sigma,v}(h_t) \pi_{\sigma,v}(x)^{-1}) \mu_{\sigma}(v) dv, \quad x \in G,$$

where  $\mu_{\sigma}$  is the Plancherel density.

One of the main observations in [BM83] is that if  $\mu$  is regular, then the limits

$$(12.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} W_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}^{(c)}) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}^{(c)}) = 0$$

hold, which leads to the final formula for  $\mathrm{Tr}(R_d^{\Gamma}(h_{t,\mu}))$ .

However, we emphasize that if  $\mu$  is singular or if  $\Xi \neq \Gamma$ , the limits

$$W_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}^{(c)}), \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}^{(c)})$$

might not be zero, and their explicit computation remains challenging. To overcome this difficulty, we will employ the following formula.

**Theorem 12.4** (Invariant Trace Formula for Hecke Operators). Under the same setting as Theorem 12.2, the following formula holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}(R_d^{\Gamma}(f)) &= C_{\Xi}(f) + E_{\Xi}(f) + H_{\Xi}(f) + R_{\Xi}(f) \\ &\quad + U_{\Xi}(f) + \widetilde{W}_{\Xi}(f) + \widetilde{I}_{\Xi}(f) + J_{\Xi}(f). \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}_{\Xi}(f) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{\eta\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L} \mathrm{vol}(M_{\eta}/(\Gamma_M)_{\eta}) \\ &\quad \times |\det \mathrm{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\eta)|^{-1/2} \cdot \# [\Xi \cap \eta N : \Gamma \cap N] \cdot \widetilde{W}_{\eta}(f), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widetilde{I}_{\Xi}(f) = \frac{1}{4\pi i} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \widehat{M} \\ \sigma|_{Z_{\Gamma}} = \mathrm{id}}} \int_{ia^*} \mathrm{Tr} \left( T_{\alpha, \pi_{\sigma, v}} \circ \mathbf{S}(\sigma, -v) \circ \frac{d}{dv} \mathbf{S}(\sigma, v) \right) \cdot \Theta_{\sigma, v}(f) dv.$$

Here  $\mathbf{S}(\sigma, v)$  are some operators defined in [Hof99, Section 6].

*Remark 12.5.* The key advantage of Theorem 12.4 is that each term in the trace formula is now invariant, making the analysis more structured. However, the computation of the operator  $\mathbf{S}(\sigma, v)$  remains intricate and less explicit. Despite this complexity, we note that the contribution of  $\widetilde{I}_{\Xi}$  vanishes due to Theorem 11.3, which simplifies our computations significantly.

**12.2. Proof of Theorem 9.2 and 9.4.** Applying Theorem 12.4 to the function  $h_{t,\mu}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Xi}(\mathrm{ind}_{\Gamma}(D_{\mu})) &= \mathrm{Tr}(T_{\Xi} \circ R_d^{\Gamma}(h_{t,\mu})) \\ &= C_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + E_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + H_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + R_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) \\ &\quad + U_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + \widetilde{W}_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + \widetilde{I}_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) + J_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}). \end{aligned}$$

We compute the above terms separately:

(1) By Theorem 11.7,

$$C_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = \delta(\mu, \Xi) \cdot \mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash G) \cdot d(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}),$$

which vanishes if  $\mu$  is singular.

(2) By Theorem 11.6,

$$E_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = (-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \sum_{\{\xi\}_{\Gamma} \in \Xi_E} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{\xi} \backslash G_{\xi}) \cdot d_{\xi}^{-1} \\ \times \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathfrak{k}} / W_{\mathfrak{k}_{\xi}}} \det(w) \cdot \left( \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in R^+(\xi)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}), \alpha \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}})(\xi)}}{e^{\rho_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)} \prod_{\beta \in R^+ \setminus R^+(\xi)} (1 - e^{-\beta(\xi)})} \right).$$

(3) By Theorem 11.8

$$H_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = 0.$$

(4) By Theorem 11.8 and Lemma 12.1,

$$R_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = 0.$$

(5) By Corollary 11.13,

$$U_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{s\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L(s)} (-1)^{r+r_s + \frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}} \\ \times \delta(s) \cdot \left\{ C_P(\Xi, s, X) \cdot c_{s, X}^{-1} + C_P(\Xi, s, -X) \cdot c_{s, -X}^{-1} \right\} \\ \times \sum_{w \in \widetilde{W}_{\mathfrak{k}}} \left( \left[ \langle w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}), Z_0 \rangle \right]^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{n}_{s,1}}{2}} \cdot \prod_{\beta \in R^+(\xi_0)} \langle w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}), \beta \rangle \cdot e^{w(\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}})(s)} \right)$$

(6) By Corollary 12.4,

$$\widetilde{W}_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{\dim \mathfrak{p}}{2}}}{2} \sum_{P \in E(G, \Gamma)} \sum_{\{s\}_{\Gamma_M} \subset \Xi_L} \text{vol}(M_s / (\Gamma_M)_s) \cdot |\det \text{Ad}_{\mathfrak{n}}(s)|^{-1/2} \\ \times \#[\Xi \cap sN : \Gamma \cap N] \cdot \Omega_{\mu + \rho_{\mathfrak{k}}}(s),$$

which also vanishes if  $\mu$  is singular.

(7) By Theorem 11.3,

$$\widetilde{I}_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = 0.$$

(8) If  $\mu$  is regular, then it follows from Theorem 11.3 that

$$J_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = 0.$$

Otherwise,

$$J_{\Xi}(h_{t,\mu}) = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} (T_{\alpha, \pi_{\sigma, 0}} \circ U^{\Gamma, +}(\sigma, 0)).$$

## REFERENCES

- [AHS86] James Arthur, Rebecca A. Herb, and Paul J. Sally, Jr. The Fourier transform of weighted orbital integrals on  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbf{R})$ . In *The Selberg trace formula and related topics (Brunswick, Maine, 1984)*, volume 53 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 17–37. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [AM12] Anton Alekseev and Eckhard Meinrenken. Dirac structures and Dixmier-Douady bundles. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (4):904–956, 2012.
- [Art70] James Greig Arthur. *HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF TEMPERED DISTRIBUTIONS ON SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS OF REAL RANK ONE*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1970. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Yale University.
- [Art76a] James Arthur. The characters of discrete series as orbital integrals. *Invent. Math.*, 32(3):205–261, 1976.
- [Art76b] James Arthur. The characters of discrete series as orbital integrals. *Invent. Math.*, 32(3):205–261, 1976.
- [Art81] James Arthur. The trace formula in invariant form. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 114(1):1–74, 1981.
- [Art88a] James Arthur. The invariant trace formula. I. Local theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(2):323–383, 1988.
- [Art88b] James Arthur. The invariant trace formula. II. Global theory. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(3):501–554, 1988.
- [Art89] James Arthur. The  $L^2$ -Lefschetz numbers of Hecke operators. *Invent. Math.*, 97(2):257–290, 1989.



- [Art94] James Arthur. On the Fourier transforms of weighted orbital integrals. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 452:163–217, 1994.
- [AS77] Michael Atiyah and Wilfried Schmid. A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. *Invent. Math.*, 42:1–62, 1977.
- [Bar76] Dan Mihai Barbasch. *FOURIER INVERSION FORMULAS OF ORBITAL INTEGRALS*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1976. Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- [Bar79] Dan Barbasch. Fourier inversion for unipotent invariant integrals. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 249(1):51–83, 1979.
- [BCH94] Paul Baum, Alain Connes, and Nigel Higson. Classifying space for proper actions and  $K$ -theory of group  $C^*$ -algebras. In  *$C^*$ -algebras: 1943–1993 (San Antonio, TX, 1993)*, volume 167 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 240–291. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [Bla98] Bruce Blackadar.  *$K$ -theory for operator algebras*, volume 5 of *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 1998.
- [BM83] Dan Barbasch and Henri Moscovici.  $L^2$ -index and the Selberg trace formula. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 53(2):151–201, 1983.
- [BSB83] M. W. Baldoni Silva and D. Barbasch. The unitary spectrum for real rank one groups. *Invent. Math.*, 72(1):27–55, 1983.
- [CCH16] Pierre Clare, Tyrone Crisp, and Nigel Higson. Parabolic induction and restriction via  $C^*$ -algebras and Hilbert  $C^*$ -modules. *Compos. Math.*, 152(6):1286–1318, 2016.
- [CEN03] Jérôme Chabert, Siegfried Echterhoff, and Ryszard Nest. The Connes-Kasparov conjecture for almost connected groups and for linear  $p$ -adic groups. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (97):239–278, 2003.
- [CHS24] Pierre Clare, Nigel Higson, and Yanli Song. On the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism, II. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 19(1):111–141, 2024.
- [CHST24] Pierre Clare, Nigel Higson, Yanli Song, and Xiang Tang. On the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism, I. *Jpn. J. Math.*, 19(1):67–109, 2024.
- [Cla13] Pierre Clare. Hilbert modules associated to parabolically induced representations. *J. Operator Theory*, 69(2):483–509, 2013.
- [CM82a] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. The  $L^2$ -index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(2):291–330, 1982.
- [CM82b] Alain Connes and Henri Moscovici. The  $L^2$ -index theorem for homogeneous spaces of Lie groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 115(2):291–330, 1982.
- [DeG82] David Lee DeGeorge. On a theorem of Osborne and Warner. Multiplicities in the cuspidal spectrum. *J. Functional Analysis*, 48(1):81–94, 1982.
- [Dix77] Jacques Dixmier.  *$C^*$ -algebras*, volume Vol. 15 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York-Oxford, 1977. Translated from the French by Francis Jollett.
- [FH91] William Fulton and Joe Harris. *Representation theory*, volume 129 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. A first course, Readings in Mathematics.
- [FL16] Tobias Finis and Erez Lapid. On the continuity of the geometric side of the trace formula. *Acta Math. Vietnam.*, 41(3):425–455, 2016.
- [FLM11] Tobias Finis, Erez Lapid, and Werner Müller. On the spectral side of Arthur’s trace formula—absolute convergence. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 174(1):173–195, 2011.
- [GHW24] Hao Guo, Peter Hochs, and Hang Wang. A higher index on finite-volume locally symmetric. *arXiv: 2407.16275*, 2024.
- [GKM97] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson. Discrete series characters and the Lefschetz formula for Hecke operators. *Duke Math. J.*, 89(3):477–554, 1997.
- [God52] Roger Godement. A theory of spherical functions. I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 73:496–556, 1952.
- [HC66] Harish-Chandra. Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters. *Acta Math.*, 116:1–111, 1966.
- [Her79] Rebecca A. Herb. Fourier inversion of invariant integrals on semisimple real Lie groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 249(2):281–302, 1979.
- [Her82] Rebecca A. Herb. An inversion formula for weighted orbital integrals. *Compositio Math.*, 47(3):333–354, 1982.
- [Hof87] Werner Hoffmann. The nonsemisimple term in the trace formula for rank one lattices. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 379:1–21, 1987.
- [Hof89] Werner Hoffmann. The trace formula for Hecke operators over rank one lattices. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 84(2):373–440, 1989.

- [Hof97] Werner Hoffmann. The Fourier transforms of weighted orbital integrals on semisimple groups of real rank one. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 489:53–97, 1997.
- [Hof99] Werner Hoffmann. An invariant trace formula for rank one lattices. *Math. Nachr.*, 207:93–131, 1999.
- [Hof08] Werner Hoffmann. Geometric estimates for the trace formula. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 34(3):233–261, 2008.
- [HP74] R. Hotta and R. Parthasarathy. Multiplicity formulae for discrete series. *Invent. Math.*, 26:133–178, 1974.
- [HPz06] Jing-Song Huang and Pavle Pandžić. *Dirac operators in representation theory*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2006.
- [HR00] Nigel Higson and John Roe. *Analytic K-homology*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Oxford Science Publications.
- [HW18] Peter Hochs and Hang Wang. A fixed point formula and Harish-Chandra’s character formula. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 116(1):1–32, 2018.
- [HW19] Peter Hochs and Hang Wang. Orbital integrals and  $K$ -theory classes. *Ann. K-Theory*, 4(2):185–209, 2019.
- [Kas88] Gennadi Kasparov. Equivariant  $KK$ -theory and the Novikov conjecture. *Invent. Math.*, 91(1):147–201, 1988.
- [Kna86] Anthony Knapp. *Representation theory of semisimple groups*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, Oxford, 1986.
- [Kuc97] Dan Kucerovsky. The  $KK$ -product of unbounded modules. *K-Theory*, 11(1):17–34, 1997.
- [KZ82] Anthony Knapp and Gregg J. Zuckerman. Classification of irreducible tempered representations of semisimple groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 116(2):389–455, 1982.
- [Laf02] Vincent Lafforgue.  $K$ -théorie bivariante pour les algèbres de Banach et conjecture de Baum-Connes. *Invent. Math.*, 149(1):1–95, 2002.
- [Lan66] R. P. Langlands. Dimension of spaces of automorphic forms. In *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Boulder, Colo., 1965)*, pages 253–257. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966.
- [Lan89] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [M83] Werner Müller. Spectral theory for Riemannian manifolds with cusps and a related trace formula. *Math. Nachr.*, 111:197–288, 1983.
- [M85] Werner Müller.  $L^2$ -index of elliptic operators on manifolds with cusps of rank one, volume 85-6 of *Report MATH*. Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR, Institut für Mathematik, Berlin, 1985. With German and Russian summaries.
- [McS18] Bram Mesland and Mehmet Haluk Şengün. Hecke modules for arithmetic groups via bivariant  $K$ -theory. *Ann. K-Theory*, 3(4):631–656, 2018.
- [McS20] Bram Mesland and Mehmet Haluk Şengün. Hecke operators in  $KK$ -theory and the  $K$ -homology of Bianchi groups. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 14(1):125–189, 2020.
- [McSW20] Bram Mesland, Mehmet Haluk Şengün, and Hang Wang. A  $K$ -theoretic Selberg trace formula. In *Operator theory, operator algebras and their interactions with geometry and topology—Ronald G. Douglas memorial volume*, volume 278 of *Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.*, pages 403–424. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, [2020] ©2020.
- [Mos82] Henri Moscovici.  $L^2$ -index of elliptic operators on locally symmetric spaces of finite volume. In *Operator algebras and K-theory (San Francisco, Calif., 1981)*, volume 10 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 129–137. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1982.
- [Mos84] Henri Moscovici. Lefschetz formulae for Hecke operators. In *Lie group representations, III (College Park, Md., 1982/1983)*, volume 1077 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 321–358. Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [OW78] M. Scott Osborne and Garth Warner. Multiplicities of the integrable discrete series: the case of a nonuniform lattice in an  $R$ -rank one semisimple group. *J. Functional Analysis*, 30(3):287–310, 1978.
- [OW81] M. Scott Osborne and Garth Warner. The Selberg trace formula. I.  $\Gamma$ -rank one lattices. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 324:1–113, 1981.
- [Par72] Rajagopalan Parthasarathy. Dirac operator and the discrete series. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:1–30, 1972.

- [PP83] M. G. Penington and R. J. Plymen. The Dirac operator and the principal series for complex semisimple Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 53(3):269–286, 1983.
- [RR72] R. Ranga Rao. Orbital integrals in reductive groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 96:505–510, 1972.
- [Shi71] Goro Shimura. *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions*, volume No. 1 of *Kanô Memorial Lectures*. Iwanami Shoten Publishers, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971. Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, No. 11.
- [Ste89] Mark Stern.  $L^2$ -index theorems on locally symmetric spaces. *Invent. Math.*, 96(2):231–282, 1989.
- [Ste94] Mark Stern. Lefschetz formulae for arithmetic varieties. *Invent. Math.*, 115(2):241–296, 1994.
- [SW73] Paul J. Sally, Jr. and Garth Warner. The Fourier transform on semisimple Lie groups of real rank one. *Acta Math.*, 131:1–26, 1973.
- [War79] Garth Warner. Selberg’s trace formula for nonuniform lattices: the  $R$ -rank one case. In *Studies in algebra and number theory*, volume 6 of *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.*, pages 1–142. Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.
- [War85] Garth Warner. Noninvariant integrals on semisimple groups of  $\mathbf{R}$ -rank one. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 64(1):19–111, 1985.
- [Was87] Antony Wassermann. Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes-Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires connexes réductifs. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 304(18):559–562, 1987.
- [WY20] Rufus Willett and Guoliang Yu. *Higher index theory*, volume 189 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020.