

Generalized determinant inspired by QCD

Francesco Giacosa^{1,2}, Michał Zakrzewski³, Shahriyar Jafarzade^{4,5,6} and Robert D. Pisarski⁷

¹*Institute of Physics, Jan Kochanowski University, ulica Uniwersytecka 7, P-25-406 Kielce, Poland*

²*Institute for Theoretical Physics, Goethe-University, Max-von-Laue-Straße 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany*

³*Department of Mathematics, Jan Kochanowski University, ulica Uniwersytecka 7, P-25-406 Kielce, Poland*

⁴*Department of Physics, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287, USA*

⁵*Center for Theoretical Physics, Khazar University, Mehseti 41 Street, AZ1096 Baku, Azerbaijan*

⁶*Composite Materials Research Center, Azerbaijan State Economic University (UNEC), H. Aliyev 135, AZ1063, Baku, Azerbaijan*

⁷*Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973*

Abstract

We present a generalized determinant as a function of N distinct $N \times N$ complex matrices into a complex number. This function, originally introduced in the study of the chiral anomaly in QCD (PRD 97 (2018) 9, 091901 PRD 109 (2024) 7, L071502), in particular in connections to mesons, reduces to the usual determinant when all the matrices are taken as equal. Hence, we denote it as ‘polydeterminant’. Here, we explore its main algebraic properties as well as its geometric interpretation in detail.

1. INTRODUCTION

The determinant is a renowned and essential function in linear algebra that associates an $N \times N$ complex matrix with a complex number [Str09, Zee10].

Various extensions have been put forward [Gel89], such as the hyperdeterminant (a generalization of the determinant for multidimensional arrays, or tensors) [GKZ92, Ott13] and the superdeterminant (also known as the Berezinian, which generalizes the determinant for supermatrices in supersymmetric theories), e.g. [NGE98, BF84]. Another related concept is the ‘Pfaffian’, which is defined for skew-symmetric matrices and, for even-dimensional matrices, satisfies $(\text{Pf}(A))^2 = \det(A)$ [FM09, WN11].

In this work we present a different type of generalization of the determinant. This is a function of N distinct $N \times N$ complex matrices that gives a complex number. When all matrices are set as equal, the usual determinant emerges. This function, that is denoted

as $\epsilon(\dots)$, was briefly (but not explicitly) introduced by Giacosa, Pisarski, and Koenigstein (GPK) in Ref. [GKP18] and in related proceedings [Gia18] when studying certain effective Lagrangians describing mesons. Mesons are bound states of a quark and an antiquark that display the symmetries of QCD. In particular, the function $\epsilon(\dots)$ emerges when the so-called chiral anomaly (a symmetry of the classical version of QCD (Quantum Chromodynamics) broken by quantum fluctuations) is applied to different types of mesons.

More recently, the generalized determinant $\epsilon(\dots)$, denoted later on colloquially ‘polydeterminant’, has been discussed by Giacosa, Pisarski and Jafarzade (GPJ) in Ref. [GJP24] by linking its emergence to instantons, which are non-perturbative Euclidean solutions of equations of motions of QCD [BPST75]. In GPJ a succinct but explicit definition of the ϵ -function is given. The contributions of the original GPK and GPJ papers [GKP18, GJP24] may be jointly referred to as GPKJ. In the subsequent recent review paper about the extended linear sigma model (a low-energy effective model for QCD) some basic properties of this object are listed [GKJ24].

In this work, our aim is to discuss the properties and genesis of the generalized determinant. In fact, the previous papers [GKP18, GJP24] do not present the details of this function, but merely use it to write down the appropriate interaction Lagrange densities. The polydeterminant ϵ turns out to be an interesting and versatile object that deserves careful attention on its own. Moreover, a rigorous understanding of its features is helpful for future applications in theoretical physics (in QCD and other models of particle physics) as well as further mathematical extensions.

The article is organized as follows: In Sect. 2 we provide a definition of the generalized determinant and list its main features; some useful special cases for $N = 2, 3$ are also outlined. In Sect. 3 we present some proofs of the properties listed in Sect. 2. In Sect. 4 we discuss the connection of the polydeterminant to QCD. Finally, in Sec. 5 we present our conclusions and outlooks. Some more lengthy expressions are reported in the Appendix.

2. THE ϵ -FUNCTION AS A GENERALIZED DETERMINANT

2.1. Definition and general properties.

Given N complex $N \times N$ matrices A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N , we define GPKJ polydeterminant function $\epsilon_{GPKJ} \equiv \epsilon : \mathbb{C}^{N^3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as:

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N} \epsilon^{i'_1 i'_2 \dots i'_N} A_1^{i_1 i'_1} A_2^{i_2 i'_2} \dots A_N^{i_N i'_N} \quad (2.1)$$

where the sum is taken over all $i, i' : \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ and where $\epsilon^{i_1 i_2 \dots i_N}$ is the usual Levi-Civita antisymmetric tensor. Another way to express this object involves two N -object permutation σ, μ :

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma, \mu} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \text{sgn}(\mu) A_1^{\sigma(1)\mu(1)} A_2^{\sigma(2)\mu(2)} \dots A_N^{\sigma(N)\mu(N)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Below, we list some of the main properties of this object.

- (1) By choosing $A = A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_N$:

$$\epsilon(A, A, \dots, A) = \det(A). \quad (2.3)$$

Indeed, this has been the main idea behind the construction of the ϵ -function as a ‘generalization’ of the determinant when N matrices are involved. For this reason, we may also refer to the ϵ -function as a ‘polydeterminant’.

- (2) The ϵ -function is symmetric by exchange of any two matrices:

$$\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_i, \dots, A_j, \dots, A_N) = \epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_j, \dots, A_i, \dots, A_N) \quad (2.4)$$

for each $i, j = 1, \dots, N$.

(3) The ϵ -function is linear

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_i = \alpha B_i + \beta C_i, \dots, A_N) &= \\ &= \alpha \epsilon(A_1, \dots, B_i, \dots, A_N) + \beta \epsilon(A_1, \dots, C_i, \dots, A_N),\end{aligned}\quad (2.5)$$

for arbitrary constants α, β .

(4) By choosing $A = A_1$ and $A_2 = A_3 = \dots = A_N = \mathbf{1}$ (where $\mathbf{1}$ is the $N \times N$ identity matrix) the trace emerges:

$$\epsilon(A, \mathbf{1}, \dots, \mathbf{1}) = \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(A). \quad (2.6)$$

(5) Upon introducing an invertible matrix $U \in GL(N, \mathbb{C})$ and defining $A'_i = UA_iU^{-1}$, one has:

$$\epsilon(A'_1, A'_2, \dots, A'_N) = \epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) \quad (2.7)$$

A special case is realized for U being an unitary matrix $U(N)$, for which $A'_i = UA_iU^\dagger$. This is the case of ‘flavor symmetry’ in QCD, see Sec. 4.

(6) Let $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ denote any non-empty subset of cardinality k . Then we have

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k} \det \left(\sum_{i \in I} A_i \right). \quad (2.8)$$

(7) The determinant of the sum of matrices can be written as the sum of each determinant, and $\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N)$. More precisely, we can express $\det(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_r=n \\ k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r \geq 0}} \binom{N}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r} \epsilon(\underbrace{A_1, A_1, \dots, A_1}_{k_1}, \underbrace{A_2, A_2, \dots, A_2}_{k_2}, \dots, \underbrace{A_r, A_r, \dots, A_r}_{k_r}) \\ = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_r=N} \binom{N}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r} \epsilon(\{A_1\}^{k_1}, \{A_2\}^{k_2}, \dots, \{A_r\}^{k_r}),\end{aligned}\quad (2.9)$$

where

$$\binom{N}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r} := \frac{N!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_r!} \quad (2.10)$$

and where we use the notation¹

$$\{A_1\}^{k_1} = A_1, A_1, \dots, A_1 \quad (2.11)$$

where A_1 is repeated k_1 times and so on.

(8) Upon taking N matrices A_k and an additional matrix M , the following property holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(MA_1, MA_2, \dots, MA_N) &= \det(M) \epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) \\ &= \epsilon(A_1 M, A_2 M, \dots, A_N M).\end{aligned}\quad (2.12)$$

This relation can be seen as an extension of the well known identity $\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B)$.

(9) In general, the ϵ -function can be expressed in terms of traces:

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \geq 0 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + Nn_N = N}} C_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} X^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} \quad (2.13)$$

¹For example $(\{A\}^3) = (A, A, A)$ and $(\{A\}^2, \{B\}^3, \{C\}^1) = (A, A, B, B, B, C)$.

with

$$\begin{aligned}
X^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} &= \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(1)}) \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(2)}) \dots \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(n_1)}) \\
&\quad \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(n_1+1)} A_{\sigma(n_1+2)}) \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(n_1+3)} A_{\sigma(n_1+4)}) \dots \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(n_1+2n_2-1)} A_{\sigma(n_1+2n_2)}) \\
&\quad \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(n_1+2n_2+1)} A_{\sigma(n_1+2n_2+2)} A_{\sigma(n_1+2n_2+1)}) \dots , \tag{2.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where the sum refers to all permutations. Above, the term $X^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N}$ contains the product of n_1 traces of a single matrix A_k , the product of n_2 traces of the type $\text{Tr}(A_k A_l)$, and so on. In particular, it is important to stress that the constraint

$$n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + Nn_N = N \tag{2.15}$$

applies. Then, it follows that $n_N = 0, 1$. For $n_N = 1$ all other entries vanish. In general, many terms of the sum of Eq. 2.14 are identical. The coefficients $C_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N}$ follow from the the Cayley-Hamilton theorem [Str09]:

$$C_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} = \frac{(-1)^{n_1+n_2+\dots+n_N+N}}{1^{n_1} 2^{n_2} \dots N^{n_N} n_1! n_2! \dots n_N!} . \tag{2.16}$$

Two simple special cases are given by:

$$X^{N0\dots0} = \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) \dots \text{Tr}(A_N) , \tag{2.17}$$

$$X^{00\dots1} = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \text{Tr}(A_{\sigma(1)} A_{\sigma(2)} \dots A_{\sigma(N)}) . \tag{2.18}$$

For $\epsilon(A, A, \dots, A) = \det A$ one recovers the usual expression of the determinant in terms of traces with:

$$X^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} = (\text{Tr}(A))^{n_1} (\text{Tr}(A^2))^{n_2} \dots (\text{Tr}(A^N))^{n_N} . \tag{2.19}$$

We show the $N = 2, 3$ specific examples in Sec. 2.2 and the more lengthy expressions for $N = 4, 5$ in the Appendix. As described in Sec. 4, the introduction of chiral symmetry and the related chiral anomaly make clear why the ϵ function is needed.

- (10) Geometric meaning: the object $\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_i, \dots, A_j, \dots, A_N)$ is the average of $N!$ oriented volumes of parallelotopes. Upon denoting the matrix A_k as

$$A_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{k,1} \\ \mathbf{u}_{k,2} \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{u}_{k,N} \end{pmatrix} , \tag{2.20}$$

we may rewrite the ϵ -function as:

$$\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_N) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{N!} \mathcal{V}(u_{\sigma(1),1}, \dots, u_{\sigma(N),N}) , \tag{2.21}$$

where σ refers to a permutation of N elements, $\text{sgn}(\sigma)$ is its signature, and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(1),1}, \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(2),2}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{\sigma(N),N})$ is the (positive) volume of the parallelotope spanned by the vectors $\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(1),1}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(2),2}$, up to $\mathbf{u}_{\sigma(N),N}$.

For example, the first term is the volume of the parallelotope determined by $\mathbf{u}_{1,1}$, $\mathbf{u}_{2,2}$... , $\mathbf{u}_{N,N}$. For $A_1 = A_2 = \dots = A_N = A$, one recovers that $\epsilon(A, \dots, A) = \det(A)$ is the volume of the parallelotope spanned by \mathbf{u}_1 , \mathbf{u}_2 , ... \mathbf{u}_N , as expected.

2.2. The (special) cases $N = 2, 3$.

Here, we report some of the properties for the simplest non-trivial cases $N = 2$ and $N = 3$. These choices appear explicitly in the study of mesonic interactions [Gia18,GJP24, GKJ24].

For $N = 2$ the explicit expression reads

$$\epsilon(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ij} \epsilon^{i'j'} A^{ii'} B^{jj'} . \quad (2.22)$$

In this special case, the previously mentioned properties take the form: (1) The determinant emerges as $\epsilon(A, A) = \det(A)$; (2) Invariance under exchange $\epsilon(A, B) = \epsilon(B, A)$; (3) Linearity: $\epsilon(A_1 + A_2, B) = \epsilon(A_1, B) + \epsilon(A_2, B)$; (4) The trace emerges as $\epsilon(A, 1) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(A)$; (5) For $A' = UAU^{-1}$ and $B' = UBU^{-1}$ where $U \in GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ one has $\epsilon(A', B') = \epsilon(A, B)$; (6) factorization: $\epsilon(MA, MB) = \det(M)\epsilon(A, B)$. Points (7) and (8) can be summarized by the following relations:

$$\det(A + B) = \det(A) + \det(B) + 2\epsilon(A, B) . \quad (2.23)$$

Point (9) requires a more detailed analysis. The expression in terms of traces reads

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(A_1, A_2) &= C_{20} \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) + C_{01} \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) - \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2)) , \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$

with:

$$C_{20} = \frac{(-1)^{2+0+2}}{1^2 2^0 2! 0!} = \frac{1}{2} ; C_{01} = \frac{(-1)^{0+1+2}}{1^0 2^1 0! 1!} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (2.25)$$

Finally, according to point (10) the geometric interpretation is the average of the area of two parallelograms.

Next, for $N = 3$ the explicit form reads:

$$\epsilon(A, B, C) = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon^{ijk} \epsilon^{i'j'k'} A^{ii'} B^{jj'} C^{kk'} . \quad (2.26)$$

The following properties hold: (1) The determinant emerges as $\epsilon(A, A, A) = \det(A)$; (2) Invariance under exchange : $\epsilon(A, B, C) = \epsilon(B, A, C) = \epsilon(C, B, A)$; (3) Linearity: $\epsilon(A_1 + A_2, B, C) = \epsilon(A_1, B, C) + \epsilon(A_2, B, C)$; (4) Trace: $\epsilon(A, 1, 1) = \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(A)$; (5) For $A' = UAU^{-1}$, $B' = UBU^{-1}$, and $C' = UCU^{-1}$ where $U \in GL(3, \mathbb{C})$ implies $\epsilon(A', B', C') = \epsilon(A, B, C)$; (6) Factorization: $\epsilon(MA, MB, MC) = \det(M)\epsilon(A, B, C)$. Points (7) and (8) emerge a special case of Eq. (2.9):

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A + B + C) &= \det(A) + \det(B) + \det(C) + \\ &6\epsilon(A, B, C) + 3\epsilon(A, A, B) + 3\epsilon(A, A, C) + \\ &3\epsilon(A, B, B) + 3\epsilon(A, C, C) + 3\epsilon(B, C, C) + 3\epsilon(B, B, C) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(A, B, C) &= \det(A + B + C) - \det(A + B) - \det(A + C) - \det(B + C) \\ &\quad - \det A - \det B - \det C. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Next, for point (9) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(A, B, C) &= C_{300} \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(C) + \\ &\frac{C_{110}}{3} (\text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(BC) + \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(AC) + \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(AB)) + \\ &\frac{C_{001}}{2} (\text{Tr}(ABC) + \text{Tr}(ACB)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

with

$$C_{300} = \frac{(-1)^{3+0+0+3}}{1^3 2^0 3^0 3! 0! 0!} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad (2.30)$$

$$C_{110} = \frac{(-1)^{1+1+0+3}}{1^1 2^1 3^0 1! 1! 0!} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad (2.31)$$

$$C_{001} = \frac{(-1)^{0+0+1+3}}{1^0 2^0 3^1 0! 0! 1!} = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (2.32)$$

Finally, point (10) means that the geometric interpretation is the average of the volumes of three parallelepipeds.

There are certain interesting additional relations for the case $N = 3$ that we list below.

$$\epsilon(A, A, B) = \frac{1}{18} \left(2 \det(2A + B) - \det(2B + A) - 15 \det(A) + 6 \det(B) \right). \quad (2.33)$$

From this relation, we derive

$$\epsilon(A, A, 1) = \frac{1}{18} \left(2 \det(2A + 1) - \det(2 \cdot 1 + A) - 15 \det(A) + 6 \det(1) \right).$$

For the traceless matrices A , we obtain the following relation:

$$\epsilon(A, A, 1) = -\frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(A^2) \quad (2.34)$$

Moreover:

$$\det(A + B) = \det A + \det B + 3 [\epsilon(A, A, B) + \epsilon(A, B, B)]. \quad (2.35)$$

The coordinate-system invariance implies also the validity of the formula

$$\epsilon(A, A, 1) = 2(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_2 \lambda_3) = \sigma_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3), \quad (2.36)$$

where $\lambda_i \in \text{spec}(A)$ and σ_2 denotes *symmetric polynomial* of order² 2.

3. PROOFS OF SOME PROPERTIES

We introduce a useful notation for some proofs:

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) := \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j A_1^{i_1 j_1} A_2^{i_2 j_2} \dots A_N^{i_n j_n}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $i, j : \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$.

- (1) By the very definition of determinant, out of Eq. (2.1) (or, equivalently, from (2.2)) we have $\epsilon(A, A, \dots, A) = \det A$.
- (2) The function $\epsilon : \mathbb{C}^{N^3} \simeq M_{N \times N}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is symmetric and N linear. Switching $A_k^{i_k j_k}$ with $A_l^{i_l j_l}$ in (3.1) does not modify the value of ϵ . To adjust to the definition, one has to replace i and j with i' and j' , where i' and j' denote the sequences with i_k interchanged with i_l and j_k interchanged with j_l , respectively. But this operation does not change the value of the product $\epsilon^i \epsilon^j$, because ϵ^i and ϵ^j are either both equal to 1 or both equal to -1 , depending on the parity of the permutation. Since transpositions generate the whole permutation group, it follows that the function ϵ is symmetric.

²The other two symmetric polynomials of three variables, $\sigma_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) := \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3$ and $\sigma_3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) := \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3$, are associated with the trace and the determinant, respectively.

(3) Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Put $\alpha A + \beta B$ in the first argument, to get

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon(\alpha A + \beta B, A_2, \dots, A_N) &= \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j (\alpha A^{i_1 j_1} + \beta B^{i_1 j_1}) A_2^{i_2 j_2} \dots A_N^{i_N j_N} \\ &= \alpha \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j A^{i_1 j_1} A_2^{i_2 j_2} \dots A_N^{i_N j_N} \\ &\quad + \beta \frac{1}{N!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j B^{i_1 j_1} A_2^{i_2 j_2} \dots A_N^{i_N j_N} \\ &= \alpha \epsilon(A, A_2, \dots, A_N) + \beta \epsilon(B, A_2, \dots, A_N).\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$

Thus ϵ is linear w.r.t. the first argument. But we know that ϵ is symmetric, so it's linear w.r.t. any argument and hence N -linear. The property is proved. \square

(4) The formula (2.6) follows from straightforward computation.

(5) Function $\epsilon : \mathbb{C}^{N^3} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is invariant with respect to the choice of basis.

Algebraically, the invariance with respect to the choice of basis is represented by the fact that the value of $\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N)$ is the same as the value of $\epsilon(UA_1U^{-1}, UA_2U^{-1}, \dots, UA_NU^{-1})$, where $U \in GL(N, \mathbb{C})$. In coordinates, we have

$$(UA_kU^{-1})^{i_1 j_1} = U_{i_k i'_k} A_k^{i'_k j'_k} U^{j'_k j_k}, \quad (3.3)$$

whereby $U^{j'_k j_k}$ we denote the entrances of the inverse matrix U^{-1} and by $U_{i_k i'_k}$ we denote the entrances of the matrix U . Let us denote

$$\epsilon' = \epsilon(UA_1U^{-1}, UA_2U^{-1}, \dots, UA_NU^{-1}). \quad (3.4)$$

Now, plugging in the conjugated matrices into (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon' &:= \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j U_{i_1 i'_1} A_1^{i'_1 j'_1} U^{j'_1 j_1} \dots U_{i_N i'_N} A_N^{i'_N j'_N} U^{j'_N j_N} \\ &:= \frac{1}{n!} \epsilon^i \epsilon^j U_{i_1 i'_1} \dots U_{i_N i'_N} A_1^{i'_1 j'_1} \dots A_N^{i'_N j'_N} U^{j'_1 j_1} \dots U^{j'_N j_N} \\ &:= \frac{1}{N!} \det U \epsilon^{i'} \epsilon^j U_{i_1 i'_1} \dots U_{i_N i'_N} A_1^{i'_1 j'_1} \dots A_N^{i'_N j'_N} \det U^{-1} \epsilon^{i'} \\ &:= \epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N),\end{aligned}\quad (3.5)$$

where in the third line we used the formulas³

$$\epsilon^i U_{i_1 i'_1} \dots U_{i_N i'_N} = \det U \epsilon^{i'} \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$\epsilon^j U^{j'_1 j_1} \dots U^{j'_N j_N} = \det U^{-1} \epsilon^j. \quad (3.7)$$

The result is proved. \square

(6) From the properties (3.2) and (3.12), it follows that the function ϵ is essentially associated to commutative algebra (despite matrices, or operators, being generally non-commutative) and as such, it resembles many properties of symmetric tensors. This manifests, for example, in the possibility of expressing ϵ in terms of combination of determinants. In particular, we have the following formula.

Let $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ denote any nonempty subset of cardinality k . Then we have

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k} \det \left(\sum_{i \in I} A_i \right). \quad (3.8)$$

³See e.g. page 941 of "Mathematical methods for physics and engineering" by K. F. Riley, M. P. Hobson and S. J. Bence.

The proof of identity (3.8) is based on the following observation. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N denote commuting variables. Then the expression

$$\sum_{I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^N, \quad (3.9)$$

is divisible by x_i for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$. To see this, let us first rewrite (3.9) as

$$\sum_{I \subset \{2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k-1} \left(x_1 + \sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^N + \sum_{I \subset \{2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^N, \quad (3.10)$$

where now $I \subset \{2, \dots, N\}$ is a subset of k elements, where $k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. Now, if we put $x_1 = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{I \subset \{2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k-1} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^N + \sum_{I \subset \{2, \dots, N\}} (-1)^{N-k} \left(\sum_{i \in I} x_i \right)^N = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

so (3.9) is clearly divisible by x_1 . But the expression (3.9) is symmetric w.r.t. all variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N , so we get the result. \square

Proof of the property. Using the principle of commutativity and linearity, we can plug in matrix A_i in place of variable x_i , to get the result. \square

- (7) Function $\epsilon : \mathbb{C}^{N^3} \simeq M_{N \times N}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the combinatorial formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_r = N} \binom{N}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r} \epsilon(\{A_1\}^{k_1}, \{A_2\}^{k_2}, \dots, \{A_r\}^{k_r}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\binom{N}{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r} := \frac{N!}{k_1! k_2! \dots k_r!} \quad (3.13)$$

and where we again use the notation as in (2.11). Using the first property and putting $A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N$ as an argument of determinant, we see that it is invariant w.r.t. permutations. Thus the combinatorics of $\det(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N)$ expanded with use of (3.1), obeys the same law as usual power $(A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_N)^N$. The property is proved. \square

- (8) Another property (which again resembles similarity to commutative algebra, instead of modules over a non-commutative ring) is the following. If $M \in \mathbb{C}^{N^2}$ is any matrix, then

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(MA_1, MA_2, \dots, MA_N) &= \det M \cdot \epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) \\ &= \epsilon(A_1 M, A_2 M, \dots, A_N M). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The proof can be given directly, but we can also use the commutativity and linearity of ϵ to get the result in much simpler way.

Proof If $\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N)$ corresponds to the product of the formal variables $x_1 x_2 \dots x_N$ and M corresponds to a formal variable y , then

$$y x_1 y x_2 \dots y x_N = y^N x_1 x_2 \dots x_N.$$

But y^N corresponds to the determinant $\det M$. Since the multiplication of the formal variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N and y is commutative, the result follows. \square

- (9) The expression of $\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_N)$ in terms of traces is a direct consequence of property (7), upon rewriting the determinants in terms of traces. The coefficients of Eq. (2.16) coincide with the Cayley-Hamilton theorem ensuring that the usual expression for $\det(A_1)$ emerges when $A_1 = \dots = A_N$ is set. The property follows

from the requirement of point (2), i.e. invariance under the exchange of arbitrary entries. This property has also been numerically verified for $N = 2, 3, 4, 5$ (for the latter two cases see Appendix).

(10) One of the classical definitions of determinant (see e.g. [Gel89]) is given by

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) A^{1,\sigma(1)} A^{2,\sigma(2)} \dots A^{N,\sigma(N)},$$

with σ running over all permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Recalling that the oriented volume of the set of N vectors in N -dimensional space is given by determinant and plugging in (3.15) into (2.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma} \frac{\text{sgn}(\sigma)}{N!} \mathcal{V}(u_{\sigma(1),1}, \dots, u_{\sigma(N),N}) = \\ & \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \left(\sum_{\tau} \text{sgn}(\tau) A_{\sigma(1)}^{1,\tau(1)} A_{\sigma(2)}^{2,\tau(2)} \dots A_{\sigma(n)}^{n,\tau(n)} \right) = \\ & \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \text{sign}(\sigma) \cdot \text{sign}(\tau) A_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1),\tau(1)} A_2^{\sigma^{-1}(2),\tau(2)} \dots A_n^{\sigma^{-1}(n),\tau(n)} = \\ & \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{i,j} \epsilon^i \cdot \epsilon^j A_1^{i_1,j_1} A_2^{i_2,j_2} \dots A_n^{i_n,j_n} = \epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_N), \end{aligned}$$

where, in the last line, we used the fact that ϵ^i corresponds to the sign of the permutation given by $(1, 2, \dots, N) \rightarrow (i(1), i(2), \dots, i(N))$. \square

4. DISCUSSION: LINK TO QCD

The polydeterminant function $\epsilon(\dots)$ arises from the necessity of incorporating the chiral anomaly into the interactions of mesons. In order to be explicit but without introducing unnecessary details concerning effective mesonic theories and models, let us consider at first, for a given $N_f = N$ number of quark flavors⁴, a single $N \times N$ matrix A . This matrix contains a multiplet of mesonic fields, such as pions and kaons; see e.g. [GKJ24] and refs. therein. The basic physical requirement is to construct objects which are invariant by the so-called chiral transformation realizing the famous chiral symmetry, e.g. [GML60])

$$A \rightarrow U_L A U_R^\dagger, \quad (4.1)$$

where U_L and U_R are special unitary matrices belonging to the groups $SU(N)_L$ and $SU(N)_R$, respectively. We recall the matrices U_L and U_R can be expressed as $U_{L(R)} = e^{-i\theta_{L(R)}^a t^a}$ with $a = 1, \dots, N^2 - 1$ with t^a being Hermitian traceless matrices that fulfill $\text{Tr}(t^a t^b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta^{ab}$. We stress that the matrices U_L and U_R are, in general, distinct from each other. Enforcing them to be equal, $U = U_L = U_R$ we recover the flavor transformation $A \rightarrow U A U^\dagger$, see e.g. the textbook [Mos89]. Flavor symmetry is an extension of the very famous isospin symmetry postulated long ago by W. Heisenberg [Hei32] and shortly after formalized by E. Wigner [Wig37].

In the chiral limit (the limit in which the quarks are taken as massless), the classical counterpart of QCD is invariant under the broader symmetry $U(N)_L \times U(N)_R$. However, the symmetry under $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ is broken in QCD by quantum loops, realizing the so-called axial or chiral anomaly [tH76, tH86, tH99]. This is a consequence of quantum loops or equivalently of the fact that the interaction measure is, in general, not invariant under $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ [FS17].

Being more specific, a generic $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R$ transformation amounts to

$$A \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_L t^0} A e^{i\theta_R t^0} = e^{-i \frac{\theta_L - \theta_R}{\sqrt{2N}}} A, \quad (4.2)$$

⁴In QCD one distinguishes the light quark flavors (u, d, s) from the heavy ones (c, b, t) [N⁺24]. The case $N_f = N = 2$ refers to the quarks u, d , while $N_f = 3$ to u, d, s .

where $\theta_{L,R}$ are the corresponding $U(1)$ group parameters and $t^0 = 1/\sqrt{2N}$. We may express $U(1)_L \times U(1)_R = U(1)_V \times U(1)_A$, where $U(1)_V$ (in QCD the ‘baryon number’) corresponds to the choice $\theta_V = \theta_L = \theta_R$ and $U(1)_A$ (the so-called chiral transformation) to $\theta_A = \theta_L = -\theta_R$. The transformation under $U(1)_V$ reduces to the identity in the present case. On the other hand, $U(1)_A$ leads to the phase transformation

$$A \rightarrow e^{-i\theta_A \sqrt{2/N}} A . \quad (4.3)$$

Quantum fluctuations break this transformation. In order to take this breaking into account, we look for terms that are invariant under $SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R$ but break $U(1)_A$. It is clear that terms of the type $\text{Tr}(A^\dagger A)$, $\text{Tr}\left((A^\dagger A)^2\right)$, $\text{Tr}(A^\dagger A A^\dagger A)$, \dots are invariant under $U(N)_L \times U(N)_R$, thus also under $U(1)_A$. These are viable terms that are often used in effective models [FJS05, PKW⁺13, GKJ24]. On the other hand, the object

$$\det(A) \quad (4.4)$$

is invariant under $SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R$ but breaks $U(1)_A$:

$$\det(A) \rightarrow \det\left(e^{-i\theta_A \sqrt{2/N}} A\right) = e^{-i\theta_A \sqrt{2N}} \det(A) \neq \det(A) . \quad (4.5)$$

This is indeed why the determinant has been used for decades as a viable description of the chiral anomaly [tH86]. In particular, when A represents mesonic fields and is properly embedded into effective Lagrange densities for mesonic interactions, it leads to a correct phenomenology of the mesons $\eta(545)$ and $\eta'(958)$, e.g. [RSS81, GKJ24]. The chiral anomaly via terms involving the determinants is also matter of recent works of the QCD phase diagram of QCD [PR24, GKK⁺25].

An important property concerns the dimension involved in the interaction terms. We recall that the dimension of a term in the Lagrangian density amounts to Energy⁴. Typically, the matrix operator A carries the dimension of Energy ^{n} with $n = 1, 2, \dots$. In the simplest case, $n = 1$, then $\text{Tr}(A^\dagger A)$ scales as Energy² and $\text{Tr}\left((A^\dagger A)^2\right)$ as Energy⁴. On the other hand, $\det(A)$ scales as Energy ^{N} . The extension to a different n -value is straightforward.

The question is how to proceed if there is more than one matrix A . This is indeed the case in QCD since different mesonic chiral multiplets do exist, see e.g. the possibilities listed in Ref. [GKP18]. Let us consider for simplicity two distinct matrices, A_1 and A_2 , both of them transforming as $A_k \rightarrow U_L A_k U_R^\dagger$ with $k = 1, 2$. They may refer to distinct mesonic fields. Objects of the type

$$\text{Tr}\left(A_1^\dagger A_2\right) , \text{Tr}\left(\left(A_1^\dagger A_2\right)^2\right) , \text{Tr}\left(A_1^\dagger A_1 A_2^\dagger A_2\right) , \dots \quad (4.6)$$

are chirally invariant under $U(N)_R \times U(N)_L$ and as such do not break $U(1)_A$.

Our goal is to implement the chiral anomaly when two or more distinct matrices are present. One may of course use $\det A_1$ as well as $\det A_2$ (both with dimension Energy ^{N}), as well as their product $\det(A_1) \det(A_2), \dots$ (with dimension Energy ^{$2N$}). Yet, that is not the most general way to express chiral anomalous terms. The polydeterminant ϵ -function comes at rescue. In fact, we may consider:

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_2) . \quad (4.7)$$

If A_1 and A_2 carry dimension energy, the former term also carries dimension N , just as $\det(A_1)$. Note, any other combination with one matrix A_1 and $N - 1$ matrices A_2 is identical to the one above. Different objects are obtained by considering N_1 matrices A_1 and $N_2 = N - N_1$ matrices A_2 :

$$\epsilon\left(\underbrace{A_1, \dots, A_1}_{N_1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{A_2, \dots, A_2}_{N_2 \text{ times}}\right) . \quad (4.8)$$

Clearly, $\epsilon(A_1, \dots, A_1) = \det A_1$ is obtained for $N_1 = N$ and $N_2 = 0$ and $\epsilon(A_2, \dots, A_2) = \det A_2$ for $N_1 = 0$ and $N_2 = N$.

In the specific case $N = 2$, besides $\epsilon(A_1, A_1) = \det(A_1)$ and $\epsilon(A_2, A_2) = \det(A_2)$, we have $\epsilon(A_1, A_2)$. As discussed previously, this quantity can be expressed as

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2) = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) - \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2)) . \quad (4.9)$$

Each single term of the expression above is *not* invariant neither under $SU(N)_R \times SU(N)_L$ nor under $U(1)_A$. *Quite remarkably*, the combination above fulfills $SU(N)_R \times SU(N)_L$ but breaks $U(1)_A$ just as the determinant does:

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2) \rightarrow e^{-i2\theta A} \epsilon(A_1, A_2) . \quad (4.10)$$

For the case $N = 3$ and besides the standard terms $\det(A_k)$ or their products such as $\det A_1 \cdot \det A_2$ we might consider

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_1, A_2) , \epsilon(A_1, A_2, A_2) . \quad (4.11)$$

Explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(A_1, A_1, A_2) = \\ \frac{1}{6} \text{Tr}(A_1) (\text{Tr}(A_2))^2 - \frac{1}{6} (\text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2^2) + 2 \text{Tr}(A_2) \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2)) + \frac{1}{3} \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2^2) . \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Again, each single term is not invariant under $SU(N)_L \times SU(N)_R$, but the combination above is such. In turn, the axial anomaly is broken with

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_1, A_2) \rightarrow e^{-i\theta A \sqrt{6}} \epsilon(A_1, A_1, A_2) . \quad (4.13)$$

The case $N = N_f = 3$ is very useful in practice since there are three light quark flavors in Nature. A Lagrangian term of the type $\epsilon(A_1, A_1, A_2)$ contain chirally symmetric but axial anomalous interaction terms. Note, the case $N = 4$ may be also of interest in the future (in fact, even if the charm mass strongly breaks chiral symmetry explicitly, as shown in Ref. [EGR15], certain decay properties still fulfill it.)

Finally, it is easy and important to include into the $\epsilon(\dots)$ -polydeterminant matrices which also carry Lorentz indices. If, for instance, we have $A_{1\mu}$ as a Lorentz vector and $A_{2\mu\nu}$ as a Lorentz tensor, the object

$$\epsilon(A_{1\mu}, A_{1\nu}, A_2^{\mu\nu}) \quad (4.14)$$

is a Lorentz scalar, provided that the usual Einstein sum is performed.

It is evident that upon varying Lorentz structure and particle types, there are many terms that can be constructed by using the ϵ -function. This property is used repeatedly in Refs. [GJP24, GKJ24].

5. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have introduced and described a certain generalization of the determinant that naturally appears in the context of effective mesonic theories that embody the chiral anomaly. We have focused our attention on the main properties of this object and given the corresponding proofs.

The introduced polydeterminant is an interesting function on its own and may find other applications besides the ones linked to QCD's effective models. Further extensions may include the development of an analogous object for hyper-matrices (thus, for tensors). Moreover, the passage from mesonic (and hence bosonic) fields to fermionic objects represents an interesting outlook.

Acknowledgments: A special acknowledgment goes to A. Koenigstein, with whom Ref. [GKP18] was prepared. The authors thank W. Broniowski, M. Masternak, A. Koenigstein, P. Kovacs, M. Kyziol, F. Rennecke, M. Rzeszut, K. Schechter, M. Skekalski, and L. Tinti, for useful discussion. R.D.P. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy

under contract DE-SC0012704 and thanks the Alexander v. Humboldt Foundation for their support.

APPENDIX A. ALTERNATIVE EXPRESSION AND CASES $N = 4, 5$

In this appendix, we present an alternative general form of the polydeterminant ϵ in terms of traces as well as the explicit rather involved specific expressions for $N = 4, 5$.

Another way to express the polydeterminant in terms of traces takes the form

$$\epsilon(A_1, A_2, \dots, A_N) = \sum_{\substack{n_1, \dots, n_N \geq 0 \\ n_1 + 2n_2 + \dots + Nn_N = N}} \frac{(-1)^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_N + N}}{N!} Y^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N} &= \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Tr}(A_{n_1}) \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(A_{n_1+1} A_{n_1+2}) \text{Tr}(A_{n_1+3} A_{n_1+4}) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Tr}(A_{n_1+2n_2-1} A_{n_1+2n_2}) \\ &\quad \text{Tr}(A_{n_1+2n_2+1} A_{n_1+2n_2+2} A_{n_1+2n_2+3}) \cdot \dots \text{ distinct terms,} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where ‘distinct terms’ refer to those permutations that deliver, for generic arbitrary matrices, different results.

For instance,

$$Y^{N0 \dots 0} = \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Tr}(A_N) \quad (\text{A.3})$$

contains one single term (all permutations lead to the very same term). On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(N-2)1 \dots 0} &= \text{Tr}(A_1) \text{Tr}(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Tr}(A_{N-2}) \text{Tr}(A_{N-1} A_N) \\ &\quad + \text{Tr}(A_N) \text{Tr}(A_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \text{Tr}(A_{N-2}) \text{Tr}(A_{N-1} A_1) + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

contains $N!/(N-2)! = N(N-1)$ distinct terms.

The last term is:

$$Y^{00 \dots 1} = \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2 \dots A_N) + \text{Tr}(A_2 A_1 \dots A_N) + \dots \quad (\text{A.5})$$

contains $N!/N = (N-1)!$ distinct terms.

In general, the number of distinct terms within $Y^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N}$ amounts to

$$N! |C^{n_1 n_2 \dots n_N}|. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Next, for $N = 4$, the explicit expression reads

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(A, B, C, D) &= \frac{1}{24} \left(\text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(D) - \left(\text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(CD) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(BD) + \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(D) \text{Tr}(BC) + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(AD) + \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(D) \text{Tr}(AC) + \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(D) \text{Tr}(AB) \right) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}(AB) \text{Tr}(CD) + \text{Tr}(AD) \text{Tr}(BC) + \text{Tr}(AC) \text{Tr}(BD) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(BCD) + \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(BDC) + \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(CDA) + \text{Tr}(B) \text{Tr}(CAD) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(DAB) + \text{Tr}(C) \text{Tr}(DBA) + \text{Tr}(D) \text{Tr}(ABC) + \text{Tr}(D) \text{Tr}(ACB) - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\text{Tr}(ABCD) + \text{Tr}(ABDC) + \text{Tr}(ACBD) + \text{Tr}(ACDB) + \text{Tr}(ADBC) + \text{Tr}(ADCB) \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It reduces to the following known relation in the limit of $A = B = C = D$

$$\det A = \frac{1}{24} \left(\text{Tr}(A)^4 - 6 \text{Tr}(A^2) (\text{Tr}(A))^2 + 3 (\text{Tr}(A^2))^2 + 8 \text{Tr}(A) \text{Tr}(A^3) - 6 \text{Tr}(A^4) \right).$$

For the case of $N = 5$, the ϵ function acting on the 5×5 complex matrices reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\epsilon(A, B, C, D, E) = & \frac{1}{120} \left[\text{Tr}(ABCDE) - \text{Tr}(AB)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(AC)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(E) - \right. \\
& \text{Tr}(AD)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(AE)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(D) - \text{Tr}(BD)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(BE)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(D) - \\
& \text{Tr}(BC)\text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(CD)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(E) - \text{Tr}(CE)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(D) - \text{Tr}(DE)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(C) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ABC)\text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(ACB)\text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(ABD)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(ADB)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(E) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ABE)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(D) + \text{Tr}(AEB)\text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(D) + \text{Tr}(ACD)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(ADC)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(E) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ACE)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(D) + \text{Tr}(AEC)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(D) + \text{Tr}(ADE)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(C) + \text{Tr}(AED)\text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(C) + \\
& \text{Tr}(BCD)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(BDC)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(E) + \text{Tr}(BCE)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(D) + \text{Tr}(BEC)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(D) + \\
& \text{Tr}(BDE)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(C) + \text{Tr}(BED)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(C) + \text{Tr}(CDE)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B) + \text{Tr}(CED)\text{Tr}(A)\text{Tr}(B) + \\
& \text{Tr}(A)(\text{Tr}(BC))(\text{Tr}(DE)) + \text{Tr}(A)(\text{Tr}(BD))(\text{Tr}(CE)) + \text{Tr}(A)(\text{Tr}(BE))(\text{Tr}(CD)) + \\
& \text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(AC)\text{Tr}(DE) + \text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(AD)\text{Tr}(CE) + \text{Tr}(B)\text{Tr}(AE)\text{Tr}(CD) + \\
& \text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(AB)\text{Tr}(DE) + \text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(AD)\text{Tr}(BE) + \text{Tr}(C)\text{Tr}(AE)\text{Tr}(BD) + \\
& \text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(AB)\text{Tr}(CE) + \text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(AC)\text{Tr}(BE) + \text{Tr}(D)\text{Tr}(AE)\text{Tr}(BC) + \\
& \text{Tr}(E)\text{Tr}(AB)\text{Tr}(CD) + \text{Tr}(E)\text{Tr}(AC)\text{Tr}(BD) + \text{Tr}(E)\text{Tr}(AD)\text{Tr}(BC) - \\
& \text{Tr}(A)\left(\text{Tr}(EBCD) + \text{Tr}(EBDC) + \text{Tr}(ECBD) + \text{Tr}(ECDB) + \text{Tr}(EDBC) + \text{Tr}(EDCB)\right) - \\
& \text{Tr}(B)\left(\text{Tr}(AECD) + \text{Tr}(AEDC) + \text{Tr}(ACED) + \text{Tr}(ACDE) + \text{Tr}(ADEC) + \text{Tr}(ADCE)\right) - \\
& \text{Tr}(C)\left(\text{Tr}(ABED) + \text{Tr}(ABDE) + \text{Tr}(AEBD) + \text{Tr}(AEDB) + \text{Tr}(ADBE) + \text{Tr}(ADEB)\right) - \\
& \text{Tr}(D)\left(\text{Tr}(ABCE) + \text{Tr}(ABEC) + \text{Tr}(ACBE) + \text{Tr}(ACEB) + \text{Tr}(AEBC) + \text{Tr}(AECB)\right) - \\
& \text{Tr}(E)\left(\text{Tr}(ABCD) + \text{Tr}(ABDC) + \text{Tr}(ACBD) + \text{Tr}(ACDB) + \text{Tr}(ADBC) + \text{Tr}(ADCB)\right) - \\
& \left(\text{Tr}(ABC)\text{Tr}(DE) + \text{Tr}(ACB)\text{Tr}(DE) + \text{Tr}(ABD)\text{Tr}(CE) + \text{Tr}(ADB)\text{Tr}(CE)\right) - \\
& \left(\text{Tr}(ABE)\text{Tr}(CD) + \text{Tr}(AEB)\text{Tr}(CD) + \text{Tr}(ACD)\text{Tr}(BE) + \text{Tr}(ADC)\text{Tr}(BE)\right) - \\
& \left(\text{Tr}(ACE)\text{Tr}(BD) + \text{Tr}(AEC)\text{Tr}(BD) + \text{Tr}(ADE)\text{Tr}(BC) + \text{Tr}(AED)\text{Tr}(BC)\right) - \\
& \left(\text{Tr}(BCD)\text{Tr}(AE) + \text{Tr}(BDC)\text{Tr}(AE) + \text{Tr}(BCE)\text{Tr}(AD) + \text{Tr}(BEC)\text{Tr}(AD)\right) - \\
& \left(\text{Tr}(BDE)\text{Tr}(AC) + \text{Tr}(BED)\text{Tr}(AC) + \text{Tr}(CDE)\text{Tr}(AB) + \text{Tr}(CED)\text{Tr}(AB)\right) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ABCDE) + \text{Tr}(ABCED) + \text{Tr}(ABDCE) + \text{Tr}(ABDEC) + \text{Tr}(ABECD) + \text{Tr}(ABEDC) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ACBDE) + \text{Tr}(ACBED) + \text{Tr}(ACDBE) + \text{Tr}(ACDEB) + \text{Tr}(ACEBD) + \text{Tr}(ACEDB) + \\
& \text{Tr}(ADBCE) + \text{Tr}(ADBEC) + \text{Tr}(ADCBE) + \text{Tr}(ADCEB) + \text{Tr}(ADEBC) + \text{Tr}(ADECB) + \\
& \left. \text{Tr}(AEBCD) + \text{Tr}(AEBDC) + \text{Tr}(AECBD) + \text{Tr}(AECDB) + \text{Tr}(AEDBC) + \text{Tr}(AEDCB) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

which reduces to the following relation for the 5×5 matrices in the limit of $A = B = C = D = E$

$$\begin{aligned}
\det A = & \frac{1}{120} \left(\text{Tr}(A)^5 - 10 \text{Tr}(A^2)\text{Tr}(A)^3 + 20 \text{Tr}(A^3)\text{Tr}(A)^2 + 15 (\text{Tr}(A^2))^2 \text{Tr}(A) - \right. \\
& \left. 30 \text{Tr}(A^4)\text{Tr}(A) - 20 \text{Tr}(A^2)\text{Tr}(A^3) + 24 \text{Tr}(A^5) \right) \quad (\text{A.7})
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [BF84] N. B. Backhouse and A. G. Fellouris. ON THE SUPERDETERMINANT FUNCTION FOR SUPERMATRICES. *J. Phys. A*, 17:1389–1395, 1984.
- [BPST75] A. A. Belavin, Alexander M. Polyakov, A. S. Schwartz, and Yu. S. Tyupkin. Pseudoparticle Solutions of the Yang-Mills Equations. *Phys. Lett. B*, 59:85–87, 1975.
- [EGR15] Walaa I. Eshraim, Francesco Giacosa, and Dirk H. Rischke. Phenomenology of charmed mesons in the extended Linear Sigma Model. *Eur. Phys. J. A*, 51(9):112, 2015.
- [FJS05] Amir H. Fariborz, Renata Jora, and Joseph Schechter. Toy model for two chiral nonets. *Phys. Rev. D*, 72:034001, 2005.
- [FM09] Peter J. Forrester and Anthony Mays. Pfaffian point process for the gaussian real generalised eigenvalue problem. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 430(3):868–892, 2009.
- [FS17] Kenji Fukushima and Vladimir Skokov. Polyakov loop modeling for hot QCD. *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.*, 96:154–199, 2017.
- [Gel89] I.M. Gelfand. *Lectures on Linear Algebra*. Dover Books on Mathematics Series. Dover Publications, 1989.
- [Gia18] Francesco Giacosa. Revisiting the axial anomaly for light mesons and baryons. *PoS, Hadron2017:045*, 2018.
- [GJP24] Francesco Giacosa, Shahriyar Jafarzade, and Robert D. Pisarski. Anomalous interactions between mesons with nonzero spin and glueballs. *Phys. Rev. D*, 109(7):L071502, 2024.
- [GKJ24] Francesco Giacosa, Péter Kovács, and Shahriyar Jafarzade. Ordinary and exotic mesons in the extended Linear Sigma Model. 7 2024.
- [GKK⁺25] Francesco Giacosa, Győző Kovács, Péter Kovács, Robert D. Pisarski, and Fabian Rennecke. Anomalous U(1)A couplings and the Columbia plot. *Phys. Rev. D*, 111(1):016014, 2025.
- [GKP18] Francesco Giacosa, Adrian Koenigstein, and Robert D. Pisarski. How the axial anomaly controls flavor mixing among mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 97(9):091901, 2018.
- [GKZ92] I.M Gelfand, M.M Kapranov, and A.V Zelevinsky. Hyperdeterminants. *Advances in Mathematics*, 96(2):226–263, 1992.
- [GML60] Murray Gell-Mann and M Levy. The axial vector current in beta decay. *Nuovo Cim.*, 16:705, 1960.
- [Hei32] W. Heisenberg. On the structure of atomic nuclei. *Z. Phys.*, 77:1–11, 1932.
- [Mos89] U. Mosel. *Fields, symmetries, and quarks*. Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [N⁺24] S. Navas et al. Review of particle physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 110(3):030001, 2024.
- [NGE98] H. Neufeld, J. Gasser, and G. Ecker. The one loop functional as a Berezinian. *Phys. Lett. B*, 438:106–114, 1998.
- [Ott13] Giorgio Ottaviani. Introduction to the hyperdeterminant and to the rank of multidimensional matrices. 2013.
- [PKW⁺13] Denis Parganlija, Peter Kovacs, Gyorgy Wolf, Francesco Giacosa, and Dirk H. Rischke. Meson vacuum phenomenology in a three-flavor linear sigma model with (axial-)vector mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 87(1):014011, 2013.
- [PR24] Robert D. Pisarski and Fabian Rennecke. Conjectures about the Chiral Phase Transition in QCD from Anomalous Multi-Instanton Interactions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 132(25):251903, 2024.
- [RSS81] C. Rosenzweig, A. Salomone, and J. Schechter. A Pseudoscalar Glueball, the Axial Anomaly and the Mixing Problem for Pseudoscalar Mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 24:2545–2548, 1981.
- [Str09] Gilbert Strang. *Introduction to Linear Algebra*. Wellesley-Cambridge Press, 4th edition, 2009.
- [tH76] Gerardus 't Hooft. Symmetry breaking through Bell-Jackiw anomalies. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 37:8–11, 7 1976.
- [tH86] Gerardus 't Hooft. How instantons solve the U(1) problem. *Phys. Rept.*, 142(6):357–387, 9 1986.
- [tH99] Gerard 't Hooft. The Physics of instantons in the pseudoscalar and vector meson mixing. 3 1999.
- [Wig37] E. Wigner. On the Consequences of the Symmetry of the Nuclear Hamiltonian on the Spectroscopy of Nuclei. *Phys. Rev.*, 51:106–119, 1937.
- [WN11] E. Wimmer and M. A. Nielsen. Efficient numerical computation of the pfaffian for dense skew-symmetric matrices. *arXiv*, 2011.
- [Zee10] Anthony Zee. *Quantum field theory in a nutshell*. Princeton University Press, 2 2010.