

SKEW ODD ORTHOGONAL CHARACTERS AND INTERPOLATING SCHUR POLYNOMIALS

NAIHUAN JING, ZHIJUN LI[†], DANXIA WANG, AND CHANG YE, and appendix with XINYU PAN

ABSTRACT. We introduce two vertex operators to realize skew odd orthogonal characters $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ and derive the Cauchy identity for the skew characters via Toeplitz-Hankel-type determinant similar to the Schur functions. The method also gives new proofs of the Jacobi–Trudi identity and Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns for $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$. Moreover, combining the vertex operators related to characters of types C, D ([3, 10]) and the new vertex operators related to B -type characters, we obtain three families of symmetric polynomials that interpolate among characters of $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$. Their transition formulas are also explicitly given among symplectic and/or orthogonal characters and odd orthogonal characters.

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the characters of irreducible representations of the general linear group $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ are the Schur functions $s_\lambda(x)$ indexed by partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and form an orthonormal basis of the ring of symmetric functions in the variables $x := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ [13]. One of us [7] used the vertex operator realization of the Schur functions to study symmetric functions in infinitely many variables, and the same method was extended to other types in [10]. The skew Schur functions $s_{\lambda/\mu}(x)$ indexed by skew partitions λ/μ are a fundamental family of symmetric functions that can be used to study restriction to subrepresentations. To be precise, the skew Schur functions obey the general branching rule [13, (5.9)]

$$(1.1) \quad s_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{\mu \subset \lambda} s_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_{n-k}) s_{\lambda/\mu}(x_{n-k+1}, \dots, x_n),$$

where $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})$ are partitions and skew shapes λ/μ subject to $\mu_i \leq \lambda_i$.

Irreducible characters of the classical groups ($Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$, $SO_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$) are known as the symplectic characters $sp_\lambda(x^\pm)$, (even) orthogonal characters $o_\lambda(x^\pm)$ and odd orthogonal characters $so_\lambda(x^\pm)$ when expressed as symmetric Laurent functions in $x^\pm := (x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n, x_n^{-1})$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ are the partitions indexing the representations [6]. All aforementioned functions admit determinantal expressions, such as Jacobi–Trudi identities and dual Jacobi–Trudi identities. Baker [3] and Jing–Nie [10] constructed the vertex operator realizations for the symplectic and orthogonal characters. In [9], the authors used the vertex algebraic method to derive the combinatorial structures (Jacobi–Trudi formulas, Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns and Cauchy identities) for the skew symplectic characters $sp_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ and skew (even) orthogonal characters $o_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ (or

MSC (2020): Primary: 05E05; Secondary: 17B37.

Keywords: Skew odd orthogonal characters, Jacobi–Trudi identity, BD -interpolating Schur polynomials, Vertex operators.

[†] Corresponding author: zhijun1010@163.com .

type D), and showed they obey the following general branching rules

$$(1.2) \quad sp_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} sp_\mu(x^\pm) sp_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm),$$

$$(1.3) \quad o_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} o_\mu(x^\pm) o_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm),$$

where $x^\pm = (x_1, x_1^{-1} \dots, x_{n-k}, x_{n-k}^{-1})$ and $y^\pm = (y_1, y_1^{-1} \dots, y_k, y_k^{-1})$. More recently Albion, Fischer, Höngesberg, and Schreier-Aigner [1, (3a),(3c)] have given combinatorial proofs for the dual Jacobi–Trudi formulas associated with our formulas corresponding to (1.2)-(1.3) in terms of the elementary symmetric functions, in addition they also obtained the dual Jacobi-Trudi formulas for the orthogonal characters of odd case.

In this paper, we introduce two new vertex operators for the *odd orthogonal characters* $so_\lambda(x^\pm)$ (or type B) and show that they can be used to investigate the remaining ‘odd’ case–vertex operator realizations for the irreducible characters of the classical group $SO_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$. We will show that the generated skew symmetric functions match with Abion et al’s formula [1, 4(b)]. Using the same methodology in [9], we obtain the combinatorial identities for skew odd orthogonal characters $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ as well as the general branching rules

$$(1.4) \quad so_\lambda(x^\pm; y^\pm) = \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{n-k})} so_\mu(x^\pm) so_{\lambda/\mu}(y^\pm).$$

We also find three Toeplitz or Hankel-type determinants related to Schur functions (see Theorem 3.12).

Recently Bisi and Zygouras [4] have found CB (resp. DB)-interpolating Schur polynomials $s_\lambda^{CB}(x; \alpha)$ (resp. $s_\lambda^{DB}(x; \alpha)$), which specialize to characters of type C and B (resp. D and B), and obtained unified identities and transition formulas between the characters of the classical groups. We will construct three families of interpolating Schur polynomials $s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha)$, $s_\lambda^{BC}(x; \alpha)$ and $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; \alpha)$ by vertex operators and show that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda^{BD}(x; 0) &:= so_\lambda(x^\pm), & s_\lambda^{BD}(x; 1) &:= o_\lambda(x^\pm), \\ s_\lambda^{BC}(x; 0) &:= so_\lambda(x^\pm), & s_\lambda^{BC}(x; -1) &:= sp_\lambda(x^\pm), \\ s_\lambda^{CD}(x; 0) &:= sp_\lambda(x^\pm), & s_\lambda^{CD}(x; 1) &:= o_\lambda(x^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

Along the way we also derive unified identities and transition formulas among the irreducible characters of the classical groups.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the vertex operators for the odd orthogonal characters. In section 3, we show that the vertex operator realization derives the Jacobi–Trudi identity, Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns and Cauchy-type identity for skew odd orthogonal characters. In particular, some Toeplitz-Hankel-type determinants related to Schur functions are also given. In Section 4, we obtain three families of interpolating Schur polynomials and discuss the transition formulas among symplectic characters, orthogonal characters and odd orthogonal characters. In the Appendix, we show how to get dual Jacobi–Trudi identity for skew odd orthogonal characters through vertex operators.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{H} be the Heisenberg algebra generated by $\{a_n | n \neq 0\}$ with the central element $c = 1$ subject to the commutation relations [5]

$$(2.1) \quad [a_m, a_n] = m\delta_{m, -n}c, \quad [a_n, c] = 0.$$

The Fock space \mathcal{M} (resp. \mathcal{M}^*) is generated by the vacuum vector $|0\rangle$ (resp. dual vacuum vector $\langle 0|$) and subject to

$$a_n|0\rangle = \langle 0|a_{-n}, \quad n > 0.$$

We define the following vertex operators

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} U(z) &= (1+z) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^n + z^{-n})\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n z^{-n}, \\ U^*(z) &= (1-z) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^n + z^{-n})\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_n^* z^n. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that

$$(2.3) \quad U_n|0\rangle = U_{-n}^*|0\rangle = 0, \quad n > 0,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \langle 0|U_n = \langle 0|U_{-n-1}, \quad \langle 0|U_n^* = -\langle 0|U_{-n+1}^*.$$

One can obtain generalized Clifford algebra with the help of the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff (BCH) formula

$$\exp(A)\exp(B) = \exp(B)\exp(A)\exp([A, B]), \quad [[A, B], A] = [[A, B], B] = 0.$$

Proposition 2.1. *Operators U_i, U_i^* satisfy the commutation relations*

$$(2.5) \quad U_i U_j + U_{j+1} U_{i-1} = 0, \quad U_i^* U_j^* + U_{j-1}^* U_{i+1}^* = 0, \quad U_i U_j^* + U_{j+1}^* U_{i+1} = \delta_{i,j}.$$

Proof. Since the three relations are proved similarly, we consider the last equation and let

$$:U(z)U^*(w): = (1+z)(1-w) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} (z^n - w^n)\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^n + z^{-n} - w^n - w^{-n})\right).$$

By the BCH formula,

$$\begin{aligned} U(z)U^*(w) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{w}{z})(1 - zw)} :U(z)U^*(w):, \\ U^*(w)U(z) &= \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{z}{w})(1 - zw)} :U(z)U^*(w):. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\frac{1}{z}U(z)U^*(w) + \frac{1}{w}U^*(w)U(z) = \delta(z-w),$$

where $\delta(z-w) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{-n-1} w^n$. Taking coefficients one can finish the proof. \square

A *generalized partition* $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$ of weight $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$ is a set of weakly decreasing nonnegative integers¹. Nonzero λ_i are called the parts of λ , and the length of λ is the number of parts, denoted by $l(\lambda)$. The conjugate partition λ' is $(\lambda'_1, \dots, \lambda'_m)$ where λ'_i is the number of j such that $\lambda_j \geq i$. A generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ is said to *interlace* the generalized partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{l-1})$, written as $\mu \prec \lambda$, if $\lambda_{i+1} \leq \mu_i \leq \lambda_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq l-1$. For a generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_l)$, let

$$(2.6) \quad |\lambda^{so}\rangle = U_{-\lambda_1} U_{-\lambda_2} \cdots U_{-\lambda_l} |0\rangle, \quad \langle \lambda^{so}| = \langle 0| U_{-\lambda_l}^* \cdots U_{-\lambda_1}^*.$$

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ be the completion of \mathcal{M}^* defined by the filtration $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{*N} = \text{span}\{\langle 0|U_{-\nu_N}^* \cdots U_{-\nu_1}^* | \nu_1 \geq \cdots \geq \nu_N \geq 0, \nu_i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

¹Recall that a partition is a set of weakly decreasing positive integers. Thus a partition is a special case of generalized partitions.

Note that $\langle \lambda^{so} |$ belongs to $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ rather than \mathcal{M}^* . For example, $\langle 0|U_0^*U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^* \neq \langle 0|U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^*$ due to $\langle 0|U_0^* \neq \langle 0|$. Therefore the partition element $\langle 0|U_0^*U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^*$ and $\langle 0|U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^*$ are different in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$.

Note that the condition (2.3) (resp. (2.5)) agrees with [9, (2.3)] (resp. [10, Proposition 3.6] or [9, (2.6)]). Using the same process in [9, Th. 2.3], we have the following orthogonality relation.

Proposition 2.2. *For two generalized partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, one has that*

$$(2.7) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \lambda^{so} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu},$$

where $\langle u|v \rangle$ denotes $\langle u||v \rangle$. Here some parts of λ and μ can be zeros and $\langle 0|1|0 \rangle = 1$.

We remark that though $|\lambda^{so} \rangle$ only depends on its nonzero parts, it has only one dual element in the completed dual $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ with fixed length. For example, the dual element of $U_{-4}U_{-1}|0 \rangle$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ is $\langle 0|U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^*$, while in $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^*$ is $\langle 0|U_0^*U_0^*U_{-1}^*U_{-4}^*$.

Corollary 2.3. *For a generalized partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$,*

$$(2.8) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l)} |\eta^{so} \rangle \langle \eta^{so} | = \langle \mu^{so} |, \quad \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l)} |\eta^{so} \rangle \langle \eta^{so} | | \mu^{so} \rangle \langle = | \mu^{so} \rangle,$$

where the sum is over all generalized partitions $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_l)$.

We use the notation $\binom{a}{b}$ to mean either a or b . For a generalized partition $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$, one can get the following from (2.4) and (2.5)

$$\langle 0 | \binom{U_{-\mu_l}^*}{-U_{\mu_l+1}^*} \cdots \binom{U_{-\mu_i}^*}{-U_{\mu_i+2l+1-2i}^*} \cdots \binom{U_{-\mu_1}^*}{-U_{\mu_1+2l-1}^*} = \langle \mu^{so} |.$$

Note that there is a similar action of S_l on the vectors $\langle 0|U_{-n_l}^* \cdots U_{-n_i}^* \cdots U_{-n_1}^*$ using the commutation relation $U_i^*U_j^* = -U_{j-1}^*U_{i+1}^*$ (2.5). Therefore we have that

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) \langle 0 | \binom{U_{-\mu_{\sigma(l)+\sigma(l)-l}}^*}{-U_{\mu_{\sigma(l)-\sigma(l)+l+1}}^*} \cdots \binom{U_{-\mu_{\sigma(i)+\sigma(i)-i}}^*}{-U_{\mu_{\sigma(i)-\sigma(i)+2l+1-i}}^*} \cdots \binom{U_{-\mu_{\sigma(1)+\sigma(1)-1}}^*}{-U_{\mu_{\sigma(1)-\sigma(1)+2l}}^*} = \langle \mu^{so} |.$$

Similarly, by (2.3) and the commutation relation $U_iU_j = -U_{j+1}U_{i-1}$ (2.5), one also has

$$(2.10) \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) U_{-\mu_{\sigma_1+\sigma_1-1}} \cdots U_{-\mu_{\sigma_i+\sigma_i-i}} \cdots U_{-\mu_{\sigma_l+\sigma_l-l}} |0 \rangle = | \mu^{so} \rangle.$$

3. SKEW ODD ORTHOGONAL CHARACTERS

We extend the procedure in [9] of employing the vertex operator presentations of the odd orthogonal characters and their skew-types to derive the combinatorial expressions such as the Jacobi–Trudi identity, Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns and Cauchy-type identity for the skew odd versions.

The main idea is to express the skew orthogonal characters as matrix coefficients of certain half vertex operators. For the skew Schur polynomials the half vertex operators come from the Bernstein vertex operators [9] (see also [15]). In this section we introduce two types of parametric half vertex operators ((3.5) and (3.7)–(3.9) respectively) for the (skew) odd orthogonal characters and the interpolating Schur polynomials [4].

We first define the following half vertex operators

$$(3.1) \quad \Gamma_+(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} z^n\right),$$

$$(3.2) \quad \Gamma_-(z) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right),$$

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_+(z) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{2n}}{n} z^n\right).$$

Next for the alphabets $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$, $x^\pm = (x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_N, x_N^{-1})$ and the parameter α , we introduce the following operators:

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_+(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_+(x_i),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \Gamma_+(x^\pm) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_+(x_i) \Gamma_+(x_i^{-1}),$$

$$(3.6) \quad \Gamma_-(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N \Gamma_-(x_i),$$

$$(3.7) \quad \Gamma_+(x^\pm; \alpha) = \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \Gamma_+(\alpha),$$

$$(3.8) \quad \bar{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha) = \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha) = \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \tilde{\Gamma}_+(\alpha).$$

3.1. Jacobi–Trudi identity. The odd orthogonal characters $so_\nu(x^\pm)$, the irreducible characters for the odd orthogonal group $SO(2N+1, \mathbb{C})$, are given by the Weyl formula [6, (24.28)] [14, P. 38]

$$(3.10) \quad so_\nu(x^\pm) = \frac{\det(x_i^{\nu_j + (N-j+\frac{1}{2})} - x_i^{-\nu_j - (N-j+\frac{1}{2})})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{N-j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_i^{-(N-j+\frac{1}{2})})_{i,j=1}^N}.$$

Using the same method in [9, Proposition 3.3], we derive the Jacobi–Trudi identity for the skew odd orthogonal characters as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *For the generalized partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$, one has that*

$$(3.11) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \lambda^{so} \rangle = so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \det(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+N}$$

where

$$(3.12) \quad a_{ij} = \begin{cases} h_{\lambda_i - \mu_j - i + j}(x^\pm) & 1 \leq j \leq l, \\ h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l + 1}(x^\pm) & l + 1 \leq j \leq l + N. \end{cases}$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of the vertex operator $U^*(z)$ that

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - x_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j}} \langle 0 | U^*(x_N) \cdots U^*(x_1) \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{i - \frac{1}{2} - N}}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+\frac{1}{2})} - x_i^{N-j+\frac{1}{2}})_{i,j=1}^N} \langle 0 | U^*(x_N) \cdots U^*(x_1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Vandermonde type identity [10, (4.6)]:

$$(3.14) \quad \det(x_i^{j-1} - x_i^{2N-j})_{i,j=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} (1 - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_j - x_i) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - x_i x_j).$$

In view of (2.9) for partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)$, the coefficient of $\langle \nu^{so} |$ in $\langle 0 | U^*(x_N) \cdots U^*(x_1) | 0 \rangle$ is

$$(3.15) \quad \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^N (x_i^{-\nu_{\sigma(i)} + \sigma(i) - i} - x_i^{\nu_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + 2N + 1 - i}) = \det(x_i^{-\nu_j + j - i} - x_i^{\nu_j - j + 2N + 1 - i})_{i,j=1}^N.$$

Consequently

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | 0 \rangle &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{so} | \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{i - \frac{1}{2} - N} \det(x_i^{-\nu_j + j - i} - x_i^{\nu_j - j + 2N + 1 - i})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+1)} - x_i^{N-j+1})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{so} | \frac{\det(x_i^{-\nu_j - (N-j+\frac{1}{2})} - x_i^{\nu_j + (N-j+\frac{1}{2})})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{-(N-j+\frac{1}{2})} - x_i^{N-j+\frac{1}{2}})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{so} | \frac{\det(x_i^{\nu_j + (N-j+\frac{1}{2})} - x_i^{-\nu_j - (N-j+\frac{1}{2})})_{i,j=1}^N}{\det(x_i^{N-j+\frac{1}{2}} - x_i^{-(N-j+\frac{1}{2})})_{i,j=1}^N} \\ &= \sum_{\nu=(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} \langle \nu^{so} | so_\nu(x^\pm), \end{aligned}$$

where we have recalled the bialternant formula of the odd orthogonal character $so_\nu(x^\pm)$ associated to the partition ν (3.10). Invoking (2.9) again and also by the Jacobi–Trudi formula for the odd orthogonal characters [6, Proposition 24.33]

$$so_\nu(x^\pm) = \det(h_{\nu_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\nu_i - i - j + 1}(x^\pm))_{1 \leq i, j \leq N},$$

where $h_n(x^\pm)$ [3, 10, 17] is defined by ²

$$(3.17) \quad \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 - x_i z)(1 - x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h_n(x^\pm) z^n,$$

where $h_n(x^\pm) = 0$ for $n < 0$. We obtain another expression for $\langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | 0 \rangle$:

$$(3.18) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | 0 \rangle = \sum_{n_1 \geq -N+1, n_2 \geq -N+2, \dots, n_N \geq 0} \langle 0 | U_{-n_N}^* \cdots U_{-n_1}^* \prod_{i=1}^N (h_{n_i}(x^\pm) + h_{n_i - 2i + 1}(x^\pm)) | 0 \rangle.$$

Using the vertex operator $U^*(z)$, we can write that

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} U^*(z) \Gamma_+(x^\pm) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 - x_i z)(1 - x_i^{-1} z)} \Gamma_+(x^\pm) U^*(z) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x^\pm) z^i \Gamma_+(x^\pm) U^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$U_{-n}^* \Gamma_+(x^\pm) = \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \sum_{i \geq 0} h_i(x^\pm) U_{-n-i}^*.$$

²The generating function corresponds to ϕ_{sp}^{-1} in [11].

It follows from (3.18) and (2.9) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \rangle &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0} h_{i_1}(x^\pm) \cdots h_{i_l}(x^\pm) \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) U_{-\mu_l - i_l}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1 - i_1}^* \\
&= \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_l \geq 0 \\ i_{l+1} \geq -N+1, \dots, i_{l+N} \geq 0}} \langle 0 | U_{-i_{l+N}}^* \cdots U_{-i_{l+1}}^* U_{-\mu_l - i_l}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1 - i_1}^* \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=1}^l h_{i_k}(x^\pm) \prod_{k=l+1}^{l+N} (h_{i_k}(x^\pm) + h_{i_k - 2(k-l)+1}(x^\pm)) \\
(3.20) \quad &= \sum_{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})} \langle \lambda^{so} | \sum_{\sigma \in S_{l+N}} \prod_{k=1}^l h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \mu_k - \sigma(k) + k}(x^\pm) \\
&\quad \cdot \prod_{k=l+1}^{l+N} (h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \sigma(k) + k}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_{\sigma(k)} - \sigma(k) - k + 2l + 1}(x^\pm)) \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})} \langle \lambda^{so} | \det(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+N},
\end{aligned}$$

where a_{ij} was defined in (3.12) and in the last two equations $\lambda_{\sigma(i)} - \sigma(i) + 2(l+N) + 1 - i$ is greater than $l+N-i$. \square

From (2.8) and the proof of Proposition 3.1, we know that for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$

$$\begin{aligned}
so_\lambda(y^\pm; x^\pm) &= \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(y^\pm; x^\pm) | \lambda^{so} \rangle = \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(y^\pm) \sum_{\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)} |\mu^{so} \rangle \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\
(3.21) \quad &= \sum_{\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)} so_\mu(y^\pm) so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm),
\end{aligned}$$

where $y^\pm = (y_1^\pm, \dots, y_l^\pm)$, $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$.

Remark 3.2. Equation (3.21) can be viewed as the general branching rule for the odd orthogonal characters which justify why $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ is called the skew odd orthogonal character (cf. [11]).

Remark 3.3. The skew Jacobi–Trudi formulas for the symplectic group Sp_{2n} and the orthogonal group SO_{2n} were derived by the authors in [9]. Albion, Fischer, Höngesberg, and Schreier-Aigner then used lattice paths to prove these skew Jacobi–Trudi formulas and also the one for SO_{2n+1} [1, (4b)], which agrees with the right equation in (3.11).

3.2. Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns. For two partitions $\gamma \subset \eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_{k+1})$, the skew Schur function [13, p.72] at two variables t_1, t_2 can be written as

$$(3.22) \quad s_{\eta/\gamma}(t_1, t_2) = \det(h_{\eta_i - \gamma_j - i + j}(t_1, t_2))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k+1} = \sum_{\eta \prec \beta \prec \gamma} t_1^{|\beta| - |\eta|} t_2^{|\gamma| - |\beta|}.$$

Lemma 3.4. For partitions $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+1})$, one has

$$(3.23) \quad so_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) = \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}$$

summed over all partitions α such that $\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda$ and $\alpha_{l+1} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq \alpha_{l+1} \leq \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}$ ³.

³Using exactly the same method in [9, Lemma 4.4], one can also prove the result.

Proof. Proposition 3.1 implies that

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} so_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) &= \langle \nu^{so} | \Gamma_+(t^\pm) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\ &= \det \left(h_{\lambda_i - \nu_j - i + j}(t^\pm) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+1} + \det \left(h_{(\lambda_i - 1) - \vartheta_j - i + j}(t^\pm) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vartheta = (\nu_1 - 1, \dots, \nu_l - 1, 0)$ and $\lambda - (1)^{l+1} = (\lambda_1 - 1, \dots, \lambda_{l+1} - 1)$. It is easy to see that if $\nu_l = 0$, the last two columns of the second determinant in (3.24) are equivalent, i.e., the determinant is nonzero only for ϑ being a partition. Thus

$$(3.25) \quad so_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) = s_{\lambda/\nu}(t^\pm) + s_{\lambda - (1)^{l+1}/\vartheta}(t^\pm).$$

By (3.22), we have

$$(3.26) \quad \begin{aligned} s_{\lambda/\nu}(t, t^{-1}) &= \sum_{\nu \prec \alpha \prec \lambda} t^{2|\alpha| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}, \\ s_{\lambda - (1)^{l+1}/\vartheta}(t^\pm) &= \sum_{\vartheta \prec \beta \prec \lambda - (1)^{l+1}} t^{2|\beta| - |\lambda| - |\nu| + 2l + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\pi = (\beta_1 + 1, \dots, \beta_l + 1, \beta_{l+1} + \frac{1}{2})$. Then we have $\nu \prec \pi \prec \lambda$ with $\pi_{l+1} \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \pi_{l+1} \leq \min\{\lambda_{l+1} - \frac{1}{2}, \nu_l - \frac{1}{2}\}$. Since π_{l+1} is a half integer, the interval could also be $0 \leq \pi_{l+1} \leq \min\{\lambda_{l+1}, \nu_l\}$. Thus we can rewrite (3.26) by

$$s_{\lambda - (1)^{l+1}/\vartheta}(t^\pm) = \sum_{\nu \prec \pi \prec \lambda} t^{2|\pi| - |\lambda| - |\nu|}.$$

Then by (3.25), we can finish the proof. \square

Theorem 3.5. For partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) \subset \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{l+N})$, one has

$$(3.27) \quad so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \sum_{\mu = z_0 \prec z_1 \prec \dots \prec z_{2N} = \lambda} \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{2|z_{2i-1}| - |z_{2i}| - |z_{2i-2}|}$$

where partitions $z_k = (z_{k,1}, \dots, z_{k, l + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil})$ satisfy the odd orthogonal Gelfand–Tsetlin pattern

$$z_{k+1, j} \leq z_{k, j-1} \leq z_{k+1, j-1} \quad \text{for} \quad 2 \leq j \leq l + \lceil \frac{k+1}{2} \rceil$$

subject to $z_{2i-1, l+i} \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq z_{2i-1, l+i} \leq \min\{z_{2i, l+i}, z_{2i-2, l+i-1}\}$.

Remark 3.6. The Gelfand–Tsetlin relation (3.27) for the skew odd orthogonal character agrees with [2, Definition 6.14].

3.3. Cauchy-type identity. From the definitions of vertex operator $U(z)$ (2.2) and half vertex operator $\Gamma_-(z)$ (3.2), one has

$$(3.28) \quad \Gamma_-(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} U(z) \Gamma_+(z) \Gamma_+(z^{-1}),$$

where half vertex operator $\Gamma_+(z)$ is defined by (3.1). By half vertex operator $\Gamma_-(\{y\})$ (3.6) and the BCH formula, we have that⁴

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma_-(y)|0\rangle &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} (1 + y_i)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{y_j}{y_i}\right)^{-1} U(y_1) \cdots U(y_N) |0\rangle \\ &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} (1 + y_i)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j)^{-1} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq N} s_\lambda(y) |\lambda^{so}\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

⁴One can follow the proof of [9, Lemma 5.1] for more details.

where $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N)$, and we used relation (2.10) and the bialternant definition for Schur polynomials

$$s_\lambda(y) = \frac{\det \left(y_i^{\lambda_j - j + i} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - \frac{y_j}{y_i})} = \frac{\det \left(y_i^{\lambda_j + N - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}{\det \left(x_i^{N - j} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}}.$$

For $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$, using the BCH formula, one has

$$(3.30) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \Gamma_-(y) | 0 \rangle = \prod_{i, j=1}^N (1 - x_i y_j)^{-1} (1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)^{-1}.$$

Propositions 2.2 & 3.1 and (3.29) also give

$$(3.31) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) \Gamma_-(y) | 0 \rangle = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} (1 + y_i)^{-1} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j)^{-1} \sum_{l(\lambda) \leq N} so_\lambda(x^\pm) s_\lambda(y).$$

Therefore we have that

Proposition 3.7. *Let $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$. Then*

$$(3.32) \quad \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N)} so_\mu(x^\pm) s_\mu(y) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^N (1 + y_k) \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} (1 - y_k y_l)}{\prod_{i, j=1}^N (1 - x_i y_j) (1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)}.$$

Remark 3.8. Relation (3.32) is the classical Cauchy identity for odd orthogonal characters.

Let us introduce the function $f_n(x)$ by its generating series

$$(3.33) \quad \prod_{1 \leq i \leq N} \frac{1}{(1 - x_i z)(1 - x_i z^{-1})} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f_n(x) z^n.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.34) \quad f_n(x) = f_{-n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} h_i(x) h_{i+n}(x).$$

Proposition 3.9. *For generalized partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$, one has that*

$$(3.35) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_-(y) | \nu^{so} \rangle = SO_{\mu/\nu}^*(y),$$

where

$$(3.36) \quad SO_{\mu/\nu}^*(y) = \det \left(f_{\nu_i - \mu_j - i + j}(y) - f_{\nu_i + \mu_j - i - j + 2l + 1}(y) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}.$$

Proof. It follows from definition that

$$(3.37) \quad \begin{aligned} U^*(z) \Gamma_-(y) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(1 - y_i z)(1 - y_i z^{-1})} \Gamma_-(y) U^*(z) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_i(y) z^i \Gamma_-(y) U^*(z), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.33) in the second equation. The equation (3.37) implies that

$$U_{-n}^* \Gamma_-(y) = \Gamma_-(y) \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f_i(y) U_{-n-i}^*.$$

Thus by (2.9) and (3.34),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_-(y) \\
&= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_l \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{i_1}(y) \cdots f_{i_l}(y) \langle 0 | U_{-\mu_l - i_l}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1 - i_1}^* \\
&= \sum_{\nu} \langle \nu^{so} | \sum_{\sigma \in S_l} \varepsilon(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^l \left(f_{\nu_{\sigma(j)} - \mu_j - \sigma(j) + j}(y) - f_{\nu_{\sigma(j)} + \mu_j + 2l + 1 - \sigma(j) - j}(y) \right) \\
&= \sum_{\nu} \langle \nu^{so} | \det \left(f_{\nu_i - \mu_j - i + j}(y) - f_{\nu_i + \mu_j - i - j + 2l + 1}(y) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the orthogonality relation (2.7), the result is proved. \square

Theorem 3.10. *For generalized partition μ with length l and λ with length less than $l + N$, one has*

$$(3.38) \quad \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} so_{\rho/\mu}(x^{\pm}) SO_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l)} so_{\lambda/\tau}(x^{\pm}) SO_{\mu/\tau}^*(y),$$

where $x^{\pm} = (x_1^{\pm}, \dots, x_N^{\pm})$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_K)$ for any fixed $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Proof. Using (2.8), for generalized partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$, we have

$$(3.39) \quad \langle \nu^{so} | \sum_{\eta=(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)} |\eta^{so}\rangle \langle \eta^{so}| = \langle \nu^{so} |.$$

On the one hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.40) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^{\pm}) \Gamma_-(y) | \lambda^{so} \rangle &= \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^{\pm}) \sum_{l(\rho)=l+N} |\rho^{so}\rangle \langle \rho^{so} | \Gamma_-(y) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\
&= \sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} so_{\rho/\mu}(x^{\pm}) SO_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.36) and (3.11). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.41) \quad & \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^{\pm}) \Gamma_-(y) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_-(y) \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l)} |\tau^{so}\rangle \langle \tau^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^{\pm}) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1 - x_i y_j)(1 - x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l)} so_{\lambda/\tau}(x^{\pm}) SO_{\mu/\tau}^*(y).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing (3.40) with (3.41), we have derived (3.38). \square

Similar to skew odd orthogonal characters, one also has the Cauchy-type identities for skew symplectic and orthogonal characters⁵.

⁵There are slightly different Cauchy-type identities for skew symplectic/orthogonal characters in [9, Theorem 5.8], where we have used different expressions to hand $\langle \mu^{sp} | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \nu^{sp} \rangle$ and $\langle \mu^o | \Gamma_-(\{y\}) | \nu^o \rangle$.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_K)$. For generalized partition μ with length l and λ with length less than $l + N$, then*

(3.42)

$$\sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} sp_{\rho/\mu}(x^\pm) SP_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l)} sp_{\lambda/\tau}(x^\pm) SP_{\mu/\tau}^*(y),$$

(3.43)

$$\sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{l+N})} o_{\rho/\mu}(x^\pm) O_{\rho/\lambda}^*(y) = \prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^K \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)} \sum_{\tau=(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l)} o_{\lambda/\tau}(x^\pm) O_{\mu/\tau}^*(y),$$

where

$$SP_{\alpha/\beta}^*(x) = \det \left(f_{\beta_i - \alpha_j - i + j}(x) - f_{\beta_i + \alpha_j - i - j + 2(l+1)}(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l(\alpha)},$$

$$O_{\alpha/\beta}^*(x) = \det \left(f_{\beta_i - \alpha_j - i + j}(x) + f_{\beta_i + \alpha_j - i - j + 2l}(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l(\alpha)}.$$

Setting $\lambda = \mu = 0$, (3.38) and $K = N$, (3.42) and (3.43) are reduced to

$$\sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N)} sp_\rho(x^\pm) SP_\rho^*(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)},$$

$$\sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N)} so_\rho(x^\pm) SO_\rho^*(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)},$$

$$\sum_{\rho=(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_N)} \rho o_\rho(x^\pm) O_\rho^*(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)}.$$

Recall the classical Cauchy identities [11, Lemma 1.5.1] for symplectic characters, orthogonal characters and odd orthogonal characters

$$\sum_{\rho} sp_\rho(x^\pm) s_\rho(y) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)},$$

$$\sum_{\rho} so_\rho(x^\pm) s_\rho(y) = \prod_{j=1}^N (1 + y_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)},$$

$$\sum_{\rho} o_\rho(x^\pm) s_\rho(y) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^N \frac{1}{(1-x_i y_j)(1-x_i^{-1} y_j)},$$

summed over all partitions ρ with $l(\rho) \leq N$. We then have the following identities related to Schur functions.

Theorem 3.12. *For partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$, one has*

$$(3.44) \quad \det \left(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x) - f_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l + 2}(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)} s_\lambda(x),$$

$$(3.45) \quad \det \left(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x) - f_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l + 1}(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} (1 + x_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)} s_\lambda(x),$$

$$(3.46) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \delta_{\lambda_l, 0}} \det \left(f_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x) + f_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2l}(x) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} = \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)} s_\lambda(x).$$

Remark 3.13. Such determinants are also called Toeplitz or Hankel-type determinants [16, Sec. 7].

Remark 3.14. Let \mathfrak{l}_∞ be the Levi subalgebra of type $A_{+\infty}$ obtained by removing a short (resp. long) simple root α_0 . Note that (3.33) enjoys stability condition that $f_n(x_1, \dots, x_N)|_{x_N=0} = f_n(x_1, \dots, x_{N-1})$, so the limit $l \rightarrow \infty$ makes sense for the identities in Theorem 3.12. When $l \rightarrow \infty$, the right side of (3.45) or (3.46) is the character of a generalized Verma module over $U_q(\mathfrak{r}_\infty)$ induced from a highest weight \mathfrak{l}_∞ -module with highest weight corresponding to λ , and it is also the character of the set of pairs of semistandard Young tableaux (S, T) of shape $\epsilon\tau$ and λ with $\epsilon = 1$ for $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{b}$ and $\epsilon = 2$ for $\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{c}$, respectively [12, P.710, Remark 3.6]. Theorem 3.12 shows that these characters can be written as determinantal expressions.

Let $\lambda = \emptyset$, we have

Corollary 3.15. For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_l)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(f_{-i+j}(x) - f_{-i-j+2l+2}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)}, \\ \det(f_{-i+j}(x) - f_{-i-j+2l+1}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq j \leq l} (1 + x_j)} \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)}, \\ \frac{1}{2} \det(f_{-i+j}(x) + f_{-i-j+2l}(x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq l} &= \frac{1}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} (1 - x_i x_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.16. The RHS of the three identities in Corollary 3.15 relate to the classical Littlewood identities

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda' \text{ even}} s_\lambda(x) &= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j}, \\ \sum_{\lambda} s_\lambda(x) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{1 - x_i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq l} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j}, \\ \sum_{\lambda \text{ even}} s_\lambda(x) &= \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq l} \frac{1}{1 - x_i x_j}, \end{aligned}$$

thus it is interesting to uncover the relation of Corollary 3.15 with [16, Theorem 7.1].

4. INTERPOLATING SCHUR POLYNOMIALS AND TRANSITIONS FOR CLASSICAL CHARACTERS

Using vertex operators (2.2) and (4.1), we can directly obtain transition laws between irreducible characters of SO_{2N} , Sp_{2N} and SO_{2N+1} . We then construct three families of interpolating Schur polynomials that interpolate among characters of type B , C and D .

The following vertex operators were introduced in [3, 10]

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad Y(z) &= \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_n z^{-n}, \\
Y^*(z) &= (1 - z^2) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Y_n^* z^n, \\
W(z) &= (1 - z^2) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n z^{-n}, \\
W^*(z) &= \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{-n}}{n} z^n\right) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{n} (z^{-n} + z^n)\right) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} W_n^* z^n.
\end{aligned}$$

For a given generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, let

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda^{sp}\rangle &= Y_{-\lambda_1} Y_{-\lambda_2} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_N} |0\rangle, & \langle \lambda^{sp} | &= \langle 0 | Y_{-\lambda_N}^* \cdots Y_{-\lambda_1}^*, \\
|\lambda^o\rangle &= W_{-\lambda_1} W_{-\lambda_2} \cdots W_{-\lambda_N} |0\rangle, & \langle \lambda^o | &= \langle 0 | W_{-\lambda_N}^* \cdots W_{-\lambda_1}^*.
\end{aligned}$$

4.1. Transitions. It follows from [9, Proposition 3.3] and [9, Proposition 3.8] that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle &= sp_\lambda(x^\pm), \\
\langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \lambda^o \rangle &= o_\lambda(x^\pm),
\end{aligned}$$

where $sp_\lambda(x^\pm)$ (resp. $o_\lambda(x^\pm)$) is the symplectic (resp. orthogonal) character. By (2.2) and (4.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad U_n = Y_n + Y_{n+1}, \quad W_n = U_n - U_{n+1}, \quad Y_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i U_{n+i}, \quad U_n = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} W_{n+i},$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad |\lambda^{so}\rangle &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} Y_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N} |0\rangle, \\
|\lambda^o\rangle &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} (-1)^{|\varepsilon|} U_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots U_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots U_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N} |0\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$. Due to the fact that $Y_{m-1} Y_m = U_{m-1} U_m = 0$ for any integer m , operators $Y_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N}$ and $U_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots U_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots U_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N}$ are nonzero unless $\lambda - \varepsilon = (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon_1, \dots, \lambda_i - \varepsilon_i, \dots, \lambda_N - \varepsilon_N)$ is a generalized partition. Combining (3.11), (4.4) and (4.2), we have the following transition formulas.

Theorem 4.1. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$,

$$(4.5) \quad so_\lambda(x^\pm) = \sum_{\mu = \lambda - \varepsilon} sp_\mu(x^\pm),$$

$$(4.6) \quad o_\lambda(x^\pm) = \sum_{\mu = \lambda - \varepsilon} (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} so_\mu(x^\pm),$$

where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$, $\varepsilon_i = 0, 1$.

From (4.3), we also have

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\lambda^{sp}\rangle &= \sum_{n_i \geq 0} (-1)^{n_1 + \dots + n_N} U_{-\lambda_1 + n_1} \cdots U_{-\lambda_i + n_i} \cdots U_{-\lambda_N + n_N} |0\rangle, \\ |\lambda^{so}\rangle &= \sum_{n_i \geq 0} W_{-\lambda_1 + n_1} \cdots W_{-\lambda_i + n_i} \cdots W_{-\lambda_N + n_N} |0\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the process in deriving Theorem 4.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *For any partition λ , one has that*

$$\begin{aligned} sp_\lambda(x^\pm) &= \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} (-1)^{|\lambda/\mu|} so_\mu(x^\pm), \\ so_\lambda(x^\pm) &= \sum_{\mu \prec \lambda} o_\mu(x^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. ***BD-interpolating Schur polynomials*** $s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha)$. For a generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, define

$$(4.8) \quad s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha) = \langle 0 | \bar{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha) | \lambda^{so} \rangle,$$

where $\bar{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha)$ is defined by (3.8). Using the BCH formula, one has

$$(4.9) \quad \Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha)U(z) = (1 - \alpha z)U(z)\Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha),$$

i.e. $\Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha)U_n = (U_n - \alpha U_{n+1})\Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha)$, therefore

$$(4.10) \quad \Gamma_+^{-1}(\alpha) | \lambda^{so} \rangle = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} (-\alpha)^{|\varepsilon|} U_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots U_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots U_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N} |0\rangle.$$

For the case $\alpha = 1$, one has $\Gamma_+^{-1}(1) | \lambda^{so} \rangle = | \lambda^o \rangle$ by (4.4). The case $\alpha = 0$ force that $\varepsilon_i = 0$, in other words, $\Gamma_+^{-1}(0) | \lambda^{so} \rangle = | \lambda^{so} \rangle$. Therefore, the symmetric polynomials $s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha)$ interpolate between characters of type *B* and *D* in the sense that

$$(4.11) \quad s_\lambda^{BD}(x; 0) = so_\lambda(x^\pm), \quad s_\lambda^{BD}(x; 1) = o_\lambda(x^\pm).$$

We now provide a determinantal expression for $s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\bar{h}_i(x^\pm; \alpha)$ be the generalized homogeneous functions defined by $\frac{(1-\alpha z)}{\prod_i^N (1-x_i z)(1-x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{h}_i(x^\pm; \alpha) z^i$. Then for $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$,*

$$(4.12) \quad s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha) = \det \left(\bar{h}_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm; \alpha) + \bar{h}_{\lambda_i - i - j + 1}(x^\pm; \alpha) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}.$$

Proof. Using relation (3.16) and the orthonormal relation (2.7), one has

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha) &= \langle 0 | \bar{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_N)} so_\nu(x^\pm) \langle \nu^{so} | \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} (-\alpha)^{|\varepsilon|} U_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots U_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots U_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N} |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} (-\alpha)^{|\varepsilon|} so_{\lambda - \varepsilon}(x^\pm) \\ &= \det \left(h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_i - i - j + 1}(x^\pm) - \alpha(h_{\lambda_i - 1 - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\lambda_i - i - j}(x^\pm)) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \\ &= \det \left(\bar{h}_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm; \alpha) + \bar{h}_{\lambda_i - i - j + 1}(x^\pm; \alpha) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last two equations have used the Jacobi–Trudi identity

$$so_\nu(x^\pm) = \det \left(h_{\nu_i - i + j}(x^\pm) + h_{\nu_i - i - j + 1}(x^\pm) \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

and the fact $\bar{h}_n(x^\pm; \alpha) = h_n(x^\pm) - \alpha h_{n-1}(x^\pm)$. \square

Remark 4.4. The proof of Theorem 4.3 also gives the transition formula between the BD -interpolating Schur polynomials and odd orthogonal characters

$$(4.14) \quad s_\lambda^{BD}(x; \alpha) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^N} (-\alpha)^{|\varepsilon|} so_{\lambda - \varepsilon}(x^\pm).$$

4.3. BC -interpolating Schur polynomials $s_\lambda^{BC}(x; \alpha)$. For $\Gamma_+(\alpha)$ (3.1), it is easy to check that (by vertex operator calculus)

$$(4.15) \quad \Gamma_+(0)|\lambda^{so}\rangle = |\lambda^{so}\rangle, \quad \Gamma_+(-1)|\lambda^{so}\rangle = |\lambda^{sp}\rangle,$$

where the second equation is due to (4.4).

Using (3.7), (4.2) and a similar method as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda^{BC}(x; \alpha) &= \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm; \alpha) | \lambda^{so} \rangle \\ &= \det \left(h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x; \alpha) + h_{\lambda_i - i - j + 1}(x; \alpha) \right)_{i, j=1}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $h_i(x^\pm; \alpha)$ are defined by $\frac{1}{(1-\alpha z) \prod_{i=1}^N (1-x_i z)(1-x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} h_i(x^\pm; \alpha) z^i$.

Due to (4.15), we call $s_\lambda^{BC}(x; \alpha)$ the BC -interpolating Schur polynomials in the sense that $s_\lambda^{BC}(x; 0) = so_\lambda(x^\pm)$ and $s_\lambda^{BC}(x; -1) = sp_\lambda(x^\pm)$.

4.4. CD -interpolating Schur polynomials $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; \alpha)$. Relations (4.1) tell us that

$$W_n = Y_n - Y_{n-2}.$$

For a generalized partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, one has that

$$(4.16) \quad |\lambda^o\rangle = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,2\}^N} (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^l \delta_{\varepsilon_i \neq 0}} Y_{-\lambda_1 + \varepsilon_1} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i} \cdots Y_{-\lambda_N + \varepsilon_N} |0\rangle,$$

where $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$. From the definition of $\tilde{\Gamma}_+(\alpha)$ (3.3), we have

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_+(0)|\lambda^{sp}\rangle = |\lambda^{sp}\rangle, \quad \tilde{\Gamma}_+(1)|\lambda^{sp}\rangle = |\lambda^o\rangle.$$

Using (3.9), (4.2) and the proof of Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\lambda^{CD}(x; \alpha) &= \langle 0 | \tilde{\Gamma}_+(x^\pm; \alpha) | \lambda^{sp} \rangle \\ &= \det \left(\tilde{h}_{\lambda_i - i + j}(x^\pm; \alpha) + \delta_{j > 1} \tilde{h}_{\lambda_i - i - j + 2}(x^\pm; \alpha) \right)_{i, j=1}^N, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{h}_i(x^\pm; \alpha)$ satisfy $\frac{(1-\alpha z^2)}{\prod_{i=1}^N (1-x_i z)(1-x_i^{-1} z)} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{h}_i(x^\pm; \alpha) z^i$.

Equations (4.17) show that $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; 0) = sp_\lambda(x^\pm)$ and $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; 1) = o_\lambda(x^\pm)$, i.e., symmetric polynomials $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; \alpha)$ interpolate between characters of type C and D . We call $s_\lambda^{CD}(x; \alpha)$ the CD -interpolating Schur polynomials.

APPENDIX A. DUAL JACOBI-TRUDI IDENTITY

BY NAIHUAN JING, ZHIJUN LI, XINYU PAN, DANXIA WANG AND CHANG YE

Abion et al's [1] have recently proved the dual Jacobi-Trudi identity for skew odd orthogonal characters $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ by the lattice path method. In the above we have used vertex algebraic method to realize the skew odd orthogonal characters $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$ and derive various combinatorial structures including the Jacobi-Trudi formula. In this appendix, we give a vertex operator approach for the dual version as well.

For partition $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l)$, we introduce the following partition element of \mathcal{M}

$$(A1) \quad |\underline{\nu}^{so}\rangle = U_{\nu_1}^* \cdots U_{\nu_l}^* |0\rangle.$$

Proposition A.1. *For the generalized partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_l)$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ with $\lambda_1 \leq l$, one has that*

$$(A2) \quad \langle \mu^{so} | \lambda^{so} \rangle = (-1)^{|\mu|} \delta_{\lambda' \mu},$$

where some parts of λ and μ can be zeros.

Proof. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\langle \mu^{so} | \lambda^{so} \rangle = \langle 0 | U_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1}^* U_{\lambda_1}^* \cdots U_{\lambda_k}^* | 0 \rangle$$

is nonzero unless there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_{l+k}$ such that

$$(A3) \quad \langle 0 | U_{-\mu_l}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1}^* U_{\lambda_l}^* \cdots U_{\lambda_k}^* = \varepsilon(\sigma) \langle 0 | \underbrace{U_0^* \cdots U_0^*}_{l+k}.$$

Using the relation (2.9), we have

$$(A4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma(i) - i &= -\mu_{i-k}, & k+1 \leq i \leq k+l \\ \sigma(i) - i &= \lambda_{k+1-i}, & 1 \leq i \leq k, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact $2(l+k) + 1 - \sigma(i) - i > l$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. In fact, (A4) is equivalent to the bijection [8, (2.22)]

$$(A5) \quad \{k+l-\mu_l, \dots, k+1-\mu_1; \lambda_1+k, \dots, \lambda_k+1\} \xleftrightarrow{\sigma} \{1, \dots, k+l\}.$$

From the proof of [8, Proposition 2.3], we know that $\lambda' = \mu$ and $\varepsilon(\sigma) = (-1)^{|\mu|}$, which have completed the proof. \square

Proposition A.2. *For $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$ and partition η with $\eta_1 \leq N$, one has that*

$$(A6) \quad \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\eta}^{so} \rangle = (-1)^{|\eta|} so_{\eta'}(x^\pm).$$

Proof. By (3.16) and (A2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\eta}^{so} \rangle &= \sum_{\nu} so_{\nu}(x^\pm) \langle \nu^{so} | \underline{\eta}^{so} \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\eta|} so_{\eta'}(x^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

\square

For $l(\lambda) \leq l + N$ and $y^\pm = (y_1^\pm, \dots, y_l^\pm)$, $x^\pm = (x_1^\pm, \dots, x_N^\pm)$, we have

$$(A7) \quad \begin{aligned} so_{\lambda}(y^\pm; x^\pm) &= (-1)^{|\lambda|} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(y^\pm; x^\pm) | \underline{\lambda}'^{so} \rangle \\ &= (-1)^{|\lambda|} \langle 0 | \Gamma_+(y^\pm) \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)} |\mu^{so}\rangle \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\lambda}'^{so} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\mu=(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)} so_{\mu}(y^\pm) (-1)^{|\lambda|} \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\lambda}'^{so} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $(-1)^{|\lambda|} \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\lambda}^{so} \rangle$ is another vertex operator realization of skew odd orthogonal character $so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm)$.

Theorem A.3. For partitions $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ and λ with $l(\lambda) \leq l + N$ and $\lambda_1 \leq s$, one has

$$(A8) \quad so_{\lambda/\mu}(x^\pm) = \det \left(e_{\lambda'_i - \mu'_j - i + j}(x^\pm) + e_{\lambda'_i + \mu'_j - i - j - 2l + 1}(x^\pm) \right)_{i,j=1}^s.$$

Proof. Recalling the definition of vertex operator $U^*(z)$ and $\Gamma_+(x^\pm)$, we have that

$$\Gamma_+(x^\pm) U^*(z) = \prod_{i=1}^N (1 - x_i z) (1 - x_i^{-1} z) U^*(z) \Gamma_+(x^\pm),$$

i.e., $\Gamma_+(x^\pm) U_n^* = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i e_i(x^\pm) U_{n-i}^* \Gamma_+(x^\pm)$, where $e_i(x^\pm)$ is the elementary symmetric function defined by the generating series $\prod_{i=1}^N (1 + x_i z) (1 + x_i^{-1} z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e_i(x^\pm) z^i$. We thus have

$$(A9) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) | \underline{\lambda}^{so} \rangle &= \langle \mu^{so} | \Gamma_+(x^\pm) U_{\lambda'_1}^* \cdots U_{\lambda'_s}^* | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_s \geq 0} (-1)^{i_1 + \dots + i_s} e_{i_1}(x^\pm) \cdots e_{i_s}(x^\pm) \langle 0 | U_{-\mu_1}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1}^* U_{\lambda'_1 - i_1}^* \cdots U_{\lambda'_s - i_s}^* | 0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

here we have used the fact $\Gamma_+(x^\pm) | 0 \rangle = | 0 \rangle$. The orthogonality relation (2.7) tells us that

$$\langle 0 | U_{-\mu_1}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1}^* U_{\lambda'_1 - i_1}^* \cdots U_{\lambda'_s - i_s}^* | 0 \rangle$$

is zero unless

$$\langle 0 | U_{-\mu_1}^* \cdots U_{-\mu_1}^* U_{\lambda'_1 - i_1}^* \cdots U_{\lambda'_s - i_s}^* = \epsilon \langle 0 | \underbrace{U_0^* \cdots U_0^*}_{l+s}$$

up to sign. Then by (2.9), we have

$$(A10) \quad \begin{cases} -\mu_i = \sigma(s+i) - (s+i) & 1 \leq i \leq l, \\ \lambda'_j - i_j = \sigma(s+1-j) - (s+1-j) \ \& \ -\sigma(s+1-j) + 2l + s + j & 1 \leq j \leq s. \end{cases}$$

(A10) is equivalent to

$$(A11) \quad \begin{cases} l + s + 1 - \sigma(s+i) = \mu_i + l - i + 1 & 1 \leq i \leq l, \\ l + s + 1 - \sigma(s+1-j) = -\lambda'_j + i_j + l + j \ \& \ \lambda'_j - i_j - l - 1 - j & 1 \leq j \leq s, \end{cases}$$

where the left of (A11) is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, l+s\}$. By [13, (1.7)],

$$\mu_i + l - i + 1 \quad (1 \leq i \leq l), \quad l - 1 + k - \mu'_k \quad (1 \leq k \leq s)$$

is also a permutation of $\{1, \dots, l+s\}$, so there exists $\tau \in S_s$ such that

$$l - 1 + \tau(j) - \mu'_{\tau(j)} = -\lambda'_j + i_j + l + j \ \& \ \lambda'_j - i_j - l - 1 - j \quad 1 \leq j \leq s,$$

i.e.,

$$i_j = \lambda'_j - \mu'_{\tau(j)} + \tau(j) - j \ \& \ \lambda'_j + \mu'_{\tau(j)} - \tau(j) - j - 2l + 1 \quad 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

In addition, $\epsilon = (-1)^{|\mu| + \tau}$ from the proof of Proposition A.1. Then using (2.9) we have proved the theorem. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. P. Albion, I. Fischer, H. Höngesberg, F. Schreier-Aigner, *Skew symplectic and orthogonal characters through lattice paths*, European J. Combin. 122 (2024), 104000.
- [2] A. Ayer, I. Fischer, *Bijjective proofs of skew Schur polynomial factorizations*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 174(40) (2020), 105241.
- [3] T. H. Baker, *Vertex operator realization of symplectic and orthogonal S-functions*, J. Phys. A. 29(12) (1996), 3099-3117.
- [4] E. Bisi, N. Zygouras, *Transition between characters of classical groups, decomposition of Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns and last passage percolation*, Adv. Math. 404 (2022), 108453.
- [5] I. B. Frenkel, V. G. Kac, *Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models*, Invent. Math. 62 (1980), 23-66.
- [6] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [7] N. Jing, *Vertex operators, symmetric functions, and the spin group Γ_n* , J. Algebra 138(2) (1991), 340-398.
- [8] N. Jing, Z. Li, *A note on Cauchy's formula*, Adv. Appl. Math. 153 (2024), Article ID 102630.
- [9] N. Jing, Z. Li, D. Wang *Skew symplectic and orthogonal Schur functions*, SIGMA 20 (2024), 041.
- [10] N. Jing, B. Nie, *Vertex operators, Weyl determinant formulae and Littlewood duality*, Ann. Combin. 19(3) (2015), 427-442.
- [11] K. Koike, I. Terada, *Young diagrammatic methods for the representation theory of the classical groups of types B_n, C_n, D_n* , J. Algebra 107(2) (1987), 466-511.
- [12] J. H. Kwon, *Littlewood identity and crystal bases*, Adv. Math. 230 (2012) 699-745.
- [13] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1995.
- [14] E. Meckes, *The random matrix theory of the classical compact groups*, Cambridge University Press, 2019.
- [15] A. Okounkov, *Infinite wedge and random partitions*, Sel. Math. 7 (2001) 57-81.
- [16] J. R. Stembridge, *Nonintersecting paths, Pfaffians, and plane partitions*, Adv. Math. 83(1) (1990), 96-131.
- [17] H. Weyl, *Classical groups: their invariants and representations*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1946.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NC 27695, USA

Email address: jing@ncsu.edu

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: zhijun1010@163.com

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: dxwangmath@126.com

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: yechang@zjhu.edu.cn

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 313000, CHINA

Email address: pxypanxinyu@163.com