

Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform and microlocal Sobolev singularities of functions

Akira Lee¹ and Shinya Moritoh^{2*}

¹Graduate School of Humanities and Sciences, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan 630-8506.

^{2*}Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University, Nara, Japan 630-8506 (Tel: +81-742-20-3981).

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): moritoh@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp;
Contributing authors: waa_ri@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp;

Abstract

The aim of the paper is to define the microlocal Sobolev singularities of functions using Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform and provide a comparison with Hörmander's microlocal singularities.

Keywords: wavelet transform, microlocal singularity

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B15; Secondary 42C40

1 Introduction

The aim of the paper is to define the microlocal Sobolev singularities of functions using Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform and provide a comparison with Hörmander's microlocal singularities.

Let f be a square integrable function, i.e. $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let the wavelet function ψ be rapidly decreasing, i.e. $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and its Fourier transform $\hat{\psi}$ be compactly supported in the cube $[1/2, 2]^n$. Then the wavelet transform of f is defined as follows (see Pandey-Upadhyay [5]):

$$W_\psi f(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) |\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n| \overline{\hat{\psi}}(\xi_1(t_1 - x_1), \dots, \xi_n(t_n - x_n)) dt,$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})^n$. The inversion formula for Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform reads as follows:

$$f(t) = C_\psi^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})^n} W_\psi f(x, \xi) |\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n| \times \\ \times \psi(\xi_1(t_1 - x_1), \dots, \xi_n(t_n - x_n)) dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n|, \quad (1)$$

where $C_\psi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{\psi}(\omega)|^2 d\omega / |\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n|$ is a finite, nonzero constant.

It is easily seen that the Fourier transform of $W_\psi f(x, \xi)$ with respect to x can be calculated as follows:

$$(W_\psi f(\cdot, \xi))^\wedge(\tau) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W_\psi f(x, \xi) e^{-ix \cdot \tau} dx = \hat{f}(\tau) \overline{\hat{\psi}(\xi_1^{-1} \tau_1, \dots, \xi_n^{-1} \tau_n)}.$$

Since $\hat{\psi}$ is compactly supported in the cube $[1/2, 2]^n$, the function $(W_\psi f(\cdot, \xi))^\wedge(\tau)$ is compactly supported in rectangular parallelepiped $[(1/2)\xi_1, 2\xi_1] \times \cdots \times [(1/2)\xi_n, 2\xi_n]$.

We note that, in the definitions of wavelet transforms, Pandey-Upadhyay [5] uses 1-dimensional dilations in all coordinate directions, while Moritoh [4] uses n -dimensional rotation and 1-dimensional dilation in the radial direction.

In the following section 2, as an analogue of the singularities considered in Moritoh [4], we define the microlocal Sobolev singularities of functions using Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform, and state our main theorem providing a comparison with Hörmander's microlocal singularities. In the proof, we will focus on the corresponding key parts of [4].

2 Definitions, main theorem, and the proof

Let us first recall the definition of Hörmander's microlocal singularities.

Definition 1 (Hörmander [3]). *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(x_0, \xi^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$, and $s \geq 0$. Then, f is said to belong to the Sobolev space H^s microlocally at (x_0, ξ^0) in the sense of Hörmander if there exist a cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ identically equal to 1 in a neighborhood of x_0 and a conical neighborhood $\Gamma(\xi^0)$ of ξ^0 such that*

$$\int_{\Gamma(\xi^0)} |(\phi f)^\wedge(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi < \infty. \quad (2)$$

We now define the microlocal singularities of functions using Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform.

Definition 2. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $(x_0, \xi^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})^n$, and $s \geq 0$. Then, f is said to belong to the Sobolev space H^s microlocally at (x_0, ξ^0) in the sense of PU if there exist a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 and a conical neighborhood $\Gamma(\xi^0)$ of ξ^0 such that*

$$\iint_{U(x_0) \times \Gamma(\xi^0)} |W_\psi f(x, \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \cdots \xi_n| < \infty. \quad (3)$$

In order to state the main theorem, let us assume, for simplicity, that $n = 2$ and that both coordinates of $\xi^0 = (\xi_1^0, \xi_2^0)$ are positive, i.e. $\xi^0 \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$, and define the conical neighborhood $\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$ of ξ^0 , with some margin depending on the wavelet ψ , as follows.

$$\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0) = \{\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2 \mid (1/5)(\xi_1^0/\xi_2^0) < \tau_1/\tau_2 < 5(\xi_1^0/\xi_2^0)\}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $(x_0, \xi^0) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2$, and $s \geq 0$.*

(a) *If (2) holds for a cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ identically equal to 1 in a neighborhood of x_0 and the conical neighborhood $\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$ of ξ^0 defined by (4), then f belongs to the Sobolev space H^s microlocally at (x_0, ξ^0) in the sense of PU.*

(b) *Conversely, if (3) holds for a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 and the conical neighborhood $\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$ defined by (4), then f belongs to the Sobolev space H^s microlocally at (x_0, ξ^0) in the sense of Hörmander.*

Remark 1. *In Moritoh [4], a comparison theorem is stated using wave front sets and considers enlarging the wave front sets only in frequency space. This corresponds to how $\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$ is defined by (4). We also note that $\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$ needs to be a conical neighborhood that is slightly larger than the smallest conical one containing $[(1/2)\xi_1^0, 2\xi_1^0] \times [(1/2)\xi_2^0, 2\xi_2^0]$.*

Proof. We use the method employed in the proof of theorem 1 in [4]. We also note that proposition 2 (local property) in [4] also holds true for Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform: If x_0 does not belong to $\text{supp } f$, then there exists a neighbourhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 such that $W_\psi f(x, \xi)$ is rapidly decreasing in ξ uniformly in $x \in U(x_0)$.

First, let us prove (a). Our assumption is that, for a cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ identically equal to 1 in a neighborhood of x_0 , the following holds true.

$$\int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |(\phi f)^\wedge(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\xi < \infty. \quad (5)$$

Let a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 be contained in the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \phi(x) = 1\}$ and let a conical neighborhood $\Gamma(\xi^0)$ of ξ^0 be defined by $\{\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^2 \mid (4/5)(\xi_1^0/\xi_2^0) < \tau_1/\tau_2 < (5/4)(\xi_1^0/\xi_2^0)\}$. Using the same argument as for the first half of the proof of theorem 1 in [4], it suffices to show only the following.

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \Gamma(\xi^0)} |W_\psi(\phi f)(x, \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| < \infty.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \Gamma(\xi^0)} |(\phi f)^\wedge(\tau) \hat{\psi}(\xi_1^{-1}\tau_1, \xi_2^{-1}\tau_2)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s d\tau d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| < \infty. \quad (6)$$

Changing the variable ξ to the variable ω , where $\omega_1 = \xi_1^{-1}\tau_1$ and $\omega_2 = \xi_2^{-1}\tau_2$, and taking the support condition for $\hat{\psi}(\omega)$ into account, we can see that $d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| = d\omega / |\omega_1 \omega_2|$

and $1 + |\xi|^2 \leq 4(1 + |\tau|^2)$. Moreover, when $\xi \in \Gamma(\xi^0)$, from the equality $\tau_1/\tau_2 = (\omega_1/\omega_2)(\xi_1/\xi_2)$ we see that τ_1/τ_2 is between $(1/4)(4/5)$ and $4(5/4)$ times the ratio ξ_1^0/ξ_2^0 , i.e. $\tau \in \Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)$. Therefore, the left side of (6) is bounded from above by

$$\int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |(\phi f)^\wedge(\tau)|^2 4^s (1 + |\tau|^2)^s \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{\psi}(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega / |\omega_1 \omega_2| \right) d\tau,$$

which is finite from our assumption (5).

Next, let us prove (b). Our assumption is that, for a neighborhood $U(x_0)$ of x_0 , the following holds true.

$$\iint_{U(x_0) \times \Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |W_\psi f(x, \xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^s dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be compactly supported in $U(x_0)$ and be identically equal to 1 in some neighborhood of x_0 . Then, taking the inversion formula (1) into account, and using the same argument as for the second half of the proof of theorem 1 in [4], it suffices to show only the following: The function defined as

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(t) &= C_\psi^{-1} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} \phi(x) W_\psi f(x, \xi) |\xi_1 \xi_2| \psi(\xi_1(t_1 - x_1), \xi_2(t_2 - x_2)) dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

belongs to the Sobolev space $H^s(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

By putting $g(x, \xi) = \phi(x) W_\psi f(x, \xi) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{s/2}$, our assumption (7) can be rewritten as

$$\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times \Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |g(x, \xi)|^2 dx d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| < \infty. \quad (9)$$

The Fourier transform of (8) is equal to

$$\hat{f}_1(\tau) = C_\psi^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} (g(\cdot, \xi))^\wedge(\tau) \hat{\psi}(\xi_1^{-1} \tau_1, \xi_2^{-1} \tau_2) (1 + |\xi|^2)^{-s/2} d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2|.$$

Therefore, by using the Schwarz inequality, the same argument immediately following (6), the Fubini theorem, the Plancherel theorem and our assumption (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{f}_1(\tau)|^2 (1 + |\tau|^2)^s d\tau \\ & \leq C_\psi^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |(g(\cdot, \xi))^\wedge(\tau)|^2 d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| \right) \times \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{\psi}(\xi_1^{-1} \tau_1, \xi_2^{-1} \tau_2)|^2 \left(\frac{1 + |\tau|^2}{1 + |\xi|^2} \right)^s d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| \right) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_\psi^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(\int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} |(g(\cdot, \xi))^\wedge(\tau)|^2 d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| \right) \times \\
&\quad \times 4^s \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{\psi}(\omega_1, \omega_2)|^2 d\omega / |\omega_1 \omega_2| \right) d\tau \\
&= 4^s C_\psi^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_\psi(\xi^0)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g(x, \xi)|^2 dx \right) d\xi / |\xi_1 \xi_2| < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2. From the above, it is easy to see that function spaces with dominating mixed smoothness are worth considering by using Pandey-Upadhyay's wavelet transform.

Remark 3. We note the paper [2] in relation to our study. In Han-Paul-Shukla [2], continuous shearlet transforms are used to characterize the microlocal Sobolev wave front sets, the 2-microlocal spaces, and local Hölder spaces. See also the original reference Guo-Kutyniok-Labate [1].

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to Professor Kensuke Fujinoki (Kanagawa University) for his helpful comments and suggestions on this paper at the Wavelet Seminar organized by him.

References

- [1] K. Guo, G. Kutyniok and D. Labate, *Sparse multidimensional representations using anisotropic dilation and shear operators*, Wavelets and splines: Athens 2005, 189–201, Mod. Methods Math. Nashboro Press, Brentwood, TN, 2006.
- [2] B. Han, S. Paul and N. K. Shukla, *Microlocal analysis and characterization of Sobolev wavefront sets using shearlets*, Constr. Approx. **55** (2022), no. 2, 661–704.
- [3] L. Hörmander, *Fourier integral operators. I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), no. 1–2, 79–183.
- [4] S. Moritoh, *Wavelet transforms in Euclidean spaces —their relation with wave front sets and Besov, Triebel-Lizorkin spaces—*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **47** (1995), no. 4, 555–565.
- [5] J. N. Pandey and S. K. Upadhyay, *The continuous wavelet transform and window functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), no. 11, 4759–4773.