

New classes of IYB groups

F. Cedó

J. Okniński

F. Cedó
Departament de Matemàtiques
Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona
08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain
Ferran.Cedo@uab.cat

J. Okniński
Institute of Mathematics
University of Warsaw
Banacha 2, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland
okninski@mimuw.edu.pl

Keywords: brace, Yang–Baxter equation, solvable group, Sylow tower property, nilpotent groups

2010 MSC: Primary 16T25, 20F16

Abstract

It is proven that every finite group of odd order with all Sylow subgroups of nilpotency class at most two is an involutive Yang-Baxter group (IYB group for short), i.e. it admits a structure of left brace. It is also proven that every finite solvable group of even order with all Sylow subgroups of nilpotency class at most two and abelian Sylow 2-subgroups is an IYB group. These results contribute to the open problem asking which finite solvable groups are IYB, in particular they generalize a result of Ben David and Ginosar [4] concerned with finite solvable groups with abelian Sylow subgroups.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The Yang-Baxter equation is an important equation in mathematical physics and it lies at the foundations of quantum groups. In [9] Drinfeld suggested to study the set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation. Gateva-Ivanova and Van den Bergh [12] and Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev [10] introduced the class of so called involutive non-degenerate set-theoretic solutions of the Yang-Baxter equation and studied these solutions introducing a special class of groups. Rump in [14] introduced a new algebraic structure, called brace, to study this class of solutions. Because of deep connections to several areas of mathematics, this area has attracted a lot of attention during the last twenty years, see for example [5] and the references therein.

Let X be a non-empty set and let $r : X \times X \rightarrow X \times X$ be a map. For $x, y \in X$ we put $r(x, y) = (\sigma_x(y), \gamma_y(x))$. Recall that (X, r) is an involutive, non-degenerate set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation if $r^2 = \text{id}$, all the maps σ_x and γ_y are bijective maps from the set X to itself and

$$r_{12}r_{23}r_{12} = r_{23}r_{12}r_{23},$$

where $r_{12} = r \times \text{id}_X$ and $r_{23} = \text{id}_X \times r$ are maps from X^3 to itself. Because $r^2 = \text{id}$, one easily verifies that $\gamma_y(x) = \sigma_{\sigma_x(y)}^{-1}(x)$, for all $x, y \in X$ (see for example [10, Proposition 1.6]).

Convention. Throughout the paper a solution of the YBE will mean an involutive, non-degenerate, set-theoretic solution of the Yang-Baxter equation.

The permutation group of a solution (X, r) of the YBE, [11], is

$$\mathcal{G}(X, r) = \text{gr}(\sigma_x \mid x \in X).$$

Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev in [10] proved that for every solution (X, r) of the YBE there exists an abelian group A , an action of $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ on A and a bijective 1-cocycle $\mathcal{G}(X, R) \rightarrow A$. Using this, they proved in the same paper that if (X, r) is a finite solution of the YBE, then the group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ is solvable.

A left brace, [14, 6], is a set B with two binary operations, $+$ and \circ , such that $(B, +)$ is an abelian group (the additive group of B), (B, \circ) is a group (the multiplicative group of B), and for every $a, b, c \in B$,

$$a \circ (b + c) + a = a \circ b + a \circ c. \tag{1}$$

In any left brace B the neutral elements $0, 1$ for the operations $+$ and \circ coincide. Moreover, there is an action $\lambda: (B, \circ) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B, +)$, called the lambda map of B , defined by $\lambda(a) = \lambda_a$ and $\lambda_a(b) = -a + a \circ b$, for $a, b \in B$. We shall write $a \circ b = ab$ and a^{-1} will denote the inverse of a for the operation \circ , for all $a, b \in B$. A trivial brace is a left brace B such that $ab = a + b$, for all $a, b \in B$, i.e. all $\lambda_a = \text{id}$. The socle of a left brace B is

$$\text{Soc}(B) = \{a \in B \mid ab = a + b, \text{ for all } b \in B\}.$$

Note that $\text{Soc}(B) = \text{Ker}(\lambda)$, and thus it is a normal subgroup of the multiplicative group of B .

An involutive Yang-Baxter group (IYB group for short) is a finite group isomorphic to the permutation group $\mathcal{G}(X, r)$ of a finite solution of the YBE, [8]. Hence by the above result of Etingof, Schedler and Soloviev, every IYB group is solvable. This is equivalent to saying that the multiplicative group of a finite left brace is solvable [8, Theorem 2.1]. In [8] it is proven that the class of IYB group includes the following: finite abelian-by-cyclic groups, finite nilpotent groups of class 2 (see also [1]), direct products and wreath products of IYB groups, semidirect products $A \rtimes H$ with A a finite abelian group and H an IYB group, Hall subgroups of IYB groups, Sylow subgroups of the symmetric groups Sym_n . As a consequence it is also proven that every finite solvable group is isomorphic to a subgroup of an IYB group, and every finite nilpotent group is isomorphic to a subgroup of a nilpotent IYB group. Finite solvable groups with abelian Sylow subgroups (so called A -groups) are IYB groups, [4, Corollary 4.3] and [7, Theorem 2.1].

On the other hand, Bachiller in [3] shows an example of a finite p -group G , for a prime p , such that G is not an IYB group. Thus, the following is a natural question.

Question 1.1 *Let G be a finite solvable group. Suppose that all Sylow subgroups of G are IYB groups. Is G an IYB group?*

Actually, if all Sylow subgroups of G coming from a Sylow system on G are left braces, then Theorem 3.7 in [15] gives certain conditions under which these brace structures extend to a structure of left brace on G ; these conditions are formulated in the equivalent language of the so called affine structures.

Note that if the answer to this question is affirmative, then the additive Sylow subgroups P_1, \dots, P_m of the left brace G form a Sylow system of its multiplicative group. Hence $P_i P_j = P_j P_i$ for all i, j . If $x \in P_i$ and $y \in P_j$, then there exist unique elements $z \in P_j$ and $t \in P_i$ such that $xy = zt$. Since in a left brace $xy = \lambda_x(y)\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x)$ and $\lambda_x(y) \in P_j$ and $\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x) \in P_i$, we have that $\lambda_x(y) = z$ and $\lambda_{\lambda_x(y)}^{-1}(x) = t$.

On the other hand, suppose that P is a finite p -group, for a prime p , such that $\text{Aut}(P)$ is not a p -group. Let q be a prime divisor of $|\text{Aut}(P)|$, $q \neq p$. Let $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(P)$ be of order q . Suppose that P is an IYB group. Consider the semidirect product $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$, where

$$(a_1, b_1) \cdot (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 \alpha^{b_1}(a_2), b_1 + b_2).$$

Suppose that the answer to Question 1.1 is affirmative. Since the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ is an IYB group, we have that $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ also is an IYB group. Let $P_1 = P \times \{0\}$ and $P_2 = \{1\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(q)$. There exists a structure of left brace B on $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ such that P_1 is the Sylow p -subgroup of the additive group of B (because P_1 is normal in the multiplicative group of B). Furthermore, there exists $(a, b) \in P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ such that $(a, b)P_2(a, b)^{-1}$ is the Sylow q -subgroup of the

additive group of B . Let $f: B \rightarrow P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ be the map defined by $f(a_1, b_1) = (a, b)^{-1}(a_1, b_1)(a, b)$. Then f is an isomorphism from the multiplicative group of B to the group $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$. We define a structure of left brace B_1 on the group $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ defining a sum $+_1$ on the group $P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$ as follows:

$$(a_1, b_1) +_1 (a_2, b_2) = f(f^{-1}(a_1, b_1) + f^{-1}(a_2, b_2)),$$

for all $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2) \in P \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/(q)$, where $+$ is the sum of the left brace B . Note that f is an isomorphism of left braces from the left brace B to the left brace B_1 . In particular, $f(P_1) = P_1$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of $(B_1, +_1)$ and $P_2 = f((a, b)P_2(a, b)^{-1})$ is the Sylow q -subgroup of $(B_1, +_1)$. By the argument given above, $\lambda_y(x) = yxy^{-1}$ for $x \in P_1, y \in P_2$, in the left brace B_1 , so that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{(1,1)}(a_1, 0) &= (1, 1)(a_1, 0)(1, -1) \\ &= (\alpha(a_1), 1)(1, -1) = (\alpha(a_1), 0). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\beta \in \text{Aut}(P_1)$ be the automorphism defined by $\beta(x, 0) = (\alpha(x), 0)$. Then $\beta \in \text{Aut}(P_1, +, \cdot)$ because $\lambda_{(1,1)} \in \text{Aut}(B_1, +)$. In particular, this proves that there exists a structure of left brace on the group P such that α is an automorphism of this left brace.

The above observation leads to an interesting consequence. Namely, assume that B is an IYB group of cardinality p^n , for a prime p . If there exists some $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(B, \cdot)$ of prime order $q \neq p$ such that $\sigma \notin \text{Aut}(B, +, \cdot)$ for every left brace structure $(B, +, \cdot)$ on B , then the answer to Question 1.1 is negative.

In this paper, we focus on the problem whether finite solvable groups with all Sylow subgroups of nilpotency class at most 2 are IYB groups.

2 Results

It is shown in [8, Theorem 3.3] that if G is a finite group such that $G = AH$, where A is an abelian normal subgroup and H is an IYB subgroup such that $A \cap H = \{1\}$, then G is also an IYB group. This is essentially due to the fact that if we consider the trivial structure of brace on the abelian group A , then every automorphism of the group A is also an automorphism of the trivial brace A . This motivates our first observation.

Lemma 2.1 *Let G be a nilpotent group of class 2 and with derived subgroup G' of odd order. Then there exists a structure of left brace on G such that $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Aut}(G, +, \cdot)$.*

Proof. Since G' has odd order, every element of G' has a unique square root. We define a sum $+$ in G by the rule

$$h_1 + h_2 = h_1 h_2 [h_2, h_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for $h_1, h_2 \in G$. By [1], $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a two-sided brace. Let $f \in \text{Aut}(G)$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} f(h_1 + h_2) &= f(h_1 h_2 [h_2, h_1]^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ &= (f(h_1) f(h_2)) [f(h_2), f(h_1)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= f(h_1) + f(h_2), \end{aligned}$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \in G$. Hence $f \in \text{Aut}(G, +, \cdot)$ and thus $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Aut}(G, +, \cdot)$. ■

As a consequence, we get the following result that generalizes [8, Theorem 3.3].

Proposition 2.2 *Let G be a finite group with a normal nilpotent subgroup N of nilpotency class at most 2 and with derived subgroup N' of odd order and a subgroup H such that $G = NH$ and $N \cap H = \{1\}$. If H is an IYB group, then G is also an IYB group.*

Proof. Note that G is the inner semidirect product of N by H . If N is abelian, then by [8, Theorem 3.3] G is an IYB group.

Let $(H, +, \cdot)$ be a structure of left brace on the group H . Suppose that N is nilpotent of nilpotency class 2. By Lemma 2.1, there exists a structure of left brace $(N, +, \cdot)$ on the group N such that $\text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(N, +, \cdot)$. We define the sum $+$ on G by

$$ah_1 + bh_2 = (a + b)(h_1 + h_2)$$

for all $a, b \in N$ and $h_1, h_2 \in H$. Since $\text{Aut}(N) = \text{Aut}(N, +, \cdot)$, we have that $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a left brace, it is the inner semidirect product of the left brace $(N, +, \cdot)$ by the left brace $(H, +, \cdot)$ (see [5, Section 3]). Therefore, the result follows. ■

Recall that a finite group G has the Sylow tower property if there exists a normal series

$$\{1\} = G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_n = G$$

such that G_{i+1}/G_i is isomorphic to a Sylow subgroup of G for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. In this case G is solvable and G_1 is a normal Sylow subgroup of G .

In [4, Corollary 4.3] it is stated that every finite solvable A -group is an IYB group. However, its proof is only valid with the additional hypothesis that the finite solvable A -group has the Sylow tower property. The proof of the following result is an easy generalization of the argument used in the proof of [4, Corollary 4.3].

Theorem 2.3 *Let G be a finite group of odd order or such that all Sylow 2-subgroups of G are abelian. If G has the Sylow tower property and all the Sylow subgroups of G have nilpotency class at most 2, then G is an IYB group.*

Proof. Since G has the Sylow tower property, there exists a normal series

$$\{1\} = G_0 \subseteq G_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq G_n = G$$

such that G_{i+1}/G_i is isomorphic to a Sylow subgroup of G for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Note that n is the number of distinct prime divisors of the order of G . We shall prove the result by induction on n . For $n = 1$, $G = G_1$ is a p -group for some prime p and by the hypothesis it has nilpotency class at most 2. Hence G is an IYB group in this case. Suppose $n > 1$ and that the result holds for $n - 1$. Let p_1, \dots, p_n be the distinct prime divisors of the order of G , such that G_{i+1}/G_i is a p_{i+1} -group for all $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. By Schur-Zassenhaus theorem, G_1 has a complement H in G . Thus $G = G_1H$ is the inner semidirect product of G_1 by H . It is easy to see that $H \cong G/G_1$ has the Sylow tower property and the number of prime divisors of the order of H is $n - 1$. Since the Sylow subgroups of H are also Sylow subgroups of G , by the induction hypothesis H is an IYB group. Since G_1 has nilpotency class at most 2, by Proposition 2.2, G is an IYB group. Therefore, the result follows by induction. ■

A natural question that arises is: what happens if G has a non-abelian 2-subgroup and G has the Sylow tower property?

In [7, Theorem 2.1], to prove that finite solvable A -groups are IYB groups, the special structure of A -groups described in [16] is used. Thus, in order to deal with groups without Sylow tower property, we first describe a special structure of a finite solvable group such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2. This will be the key to prove that finite groups of odd order such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2 are IYB groups. Recall that the Fitting subgroup $F(G)$ of a group G is the maximal normal nilpotent subgroup of G , [13].

Theorem 2.4 *Let G be a finite solvable group such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2. Then there exist nilpotent subgroups N_1, \dots, N_k and subgroups $G = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_{k-1} \supseteq M_k = \{1\}$ such that*

- (i) $G = N_1 \cdots N_i M_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (ii) $M_{i-1} = N_i M_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (iii) N_i is normal in M_{i-1} , for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (iv) $((\dots ((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i$ is normal in M_i and a central subgroup of $F(M_{i-1})F(M_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. Let p_1, \dots, p_m be the distinct prime divisors of $|G|$. In this proof, for every subgroup S of G , a Sylow p_r -subgroup of S will mean a p_r -subgroup of S of cardinality p_r^t , where $|S| = p_r^t k$ and p_r is not a divisor of k . Note that if p_r is not a divisor of $|S|$, then $\{1\}$ is a Sylow p_r -subgroup of S .

If G is nilpotent, then we may put $k = 1$, $N_1 = G$ and $M_1 = \{1\}$ and the result follows in this case.

Suppose that G is not nilpotent. Let $\pi_1: G \rightarrow G/F(G)$ be the natural map. Let $H_1 = \pi_1^{-1}(Z(F(G/F(G))))$. Note that H_1 is a normal subgroup of G , $F(G)$ is a proper subgroup of H_1 such that $H_1/F(G)$ is abelian. Let $N_1 = H_1'$ be the derived subgroup of H_1 . Then $N_1 \subseteq F(G)$. Let $P_{1,1}, \dots, P_{1,m}$ be a Sylow

system of H_1 , that is $H_1 = P_{1,1} \cdots P_{1,m}$, $P_{1,r}$ is a Sylow p_r -subgroup of H_1 and $P_{1,j}P_{1,r} = P_{1,r}P_{1,j}$, for all j, r . Then $M_1 = M_G(H_1) = N_G(P_{1,1}) \cap \cdots \cap N_G(P_{1,m})$ is a system normalizer of H_1 relative to G . Thus by a result of Hall, $G = M_1N_1$, see [16, page 24].

Since $P_{1,r}$ has nilpotency class at most 2, the derived subgroup $P'_{1,r}$ of $P_{1,r}$ is central in $P_{1,r}$. Furthermore, $P'_{1,r} \subseteq N_1 \subseteq F(G)$. Moreover, since $F(G)$ is nilpotent and normal in G , $F(G)$ is a direct product of its Sylow subgroups, so that $P'_{1,r}$ is central in $F(G)$. Since $H_1/F(G)$ is abelian and H_1 is normal in G , we have that $P_{1,r}F(G)$ is normal in G . We shall prove that $P'_{1,r}$ is normal in G . Let $g \in G$. Since $P_{1,r}$ is a Sylow subgroup of $P_{1,r}F(G)$, there exists $h \in F(G)$ such that $gP_{1,r}g^{-1} = hP_{1,r}h^{-1}$. Hence $gP'_{1,r}g^{-1} = hP'_{1,r}h^{-1} = P'_{1,r}$, because $P'_{1,r}$ is central in $F(G)$. Hence $P'_{1,r}$ is normal in G .

Let $B_1 = P'_{1,1} \cdots P'_{1,m}$. Then $\bar{B}_1 \subseteq N_1$ and B_1 is normal in G and central in $F(G)$, because so is every $P'_{1,r}$. Let $\bar{G} = G/B_1$, $\bar{H}_1 = H_1/B_1$, $\bar{F}(\bar{G}) = F(G)/B_1$ and $\bar{N}_1 = N_1/B_1$. Note that \bar{N}_1 is the derived subgroup of \bar{H}_1 . Since \bar{H}_1 is an A -group and a normal subgroup of \bar{G} , by [16, (4.4)], \bar{N}_1 is a complement in \bar{G} to any system normalizer of \bar{H}_1 relative to \bar{G} . In particular $(M_1B_1) \cap N_1 = B_1$. Hence, $N_1 \cap M_1 \subseteq B_1$.

Let N be a nilpotent subgroup of M_1 . Let $b \in B_1 \cap N$. There exist $x_1 \in P'_{1,1}, \dots, x_m \in P'_{1,m}$ such that $b = x_1 \cdots x_m$. Note that every x_r is a power of b . Thus $x_r \in P'_{1,r} \cap N$. Since $N \subseteq M_1 \subseteq N_G(P_{1,r})$, we have that $P_{1,r}N$ is a subgroup of G and $P_{1,r}$ is normal in $P_{1,r}N$. If P is the Sylow p_r -subgroup of N , then $P_{1,r}P$ is a Sylow p_r -subgroup of $P_{1,r}N$. Since $P_{1,r}P$ has nilpotency class at most 2, $P'_{1,r}$ is central in $P_{1,r}P$. Hence $P'_{1,r} \subseteq C_G(P)$. Therefore $P'_{1,r} \cap N \subseteq Z(P) \subseteq Z(N)$. Hence $x_r \in P'_{1,r} \cap N$ is central in N . Thus b is central in N . It follows that $B_1 \cap N \subseteq Z(N)$. In particular, $B_1 \cap F(M_1) \subseteq Z(F(M_1))$. Since $N_1 \cap M_1$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of M_1 contained in B_1 , we have that

$$N_1 \cap M_1 = B_1 \cap M_1 = B_1 \cap F(M_1) \subseteq Z(F(M_1)).$$

Since $B_1 \subseteq Z(F(G))$, we have that $N_1 \cap M_1 \subseteq Z(F(G)F(M_1))$, as desired.

Next, suppose that $i \geq 1$ and we have constructed subgroups $G = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq M_i$ and nilpotent subgroups N_1, \dots, N_i of G such that M_1, \dots, M_{i-1} are not nilpotent, N_j is the derived subgroup of $H_j = \pi_j^{-1}(Z(F(M_{j-1})/F(M_{j-1})))$, where $\pi_j: M_{j-1} \rightarrow M_{j-1}/F(M_{j-1})$ is the natural map, $M_j = M_{M_{j-1}}(H_j) = N_{M_{j-1}}(P_{j,1}) \cap \cdots \cap N_{M_{j-1}}(P_{j,m})$ is a system normalizer of H_j relative to M_{j-1} , where $P_{j,r}$ is a Sylow p_r -subgroup of H_j and $P_{j,1}, \dots, P_{j,m}$ is a Sylow system of H_j , for all $1 \leq j \leq i$, $B_j = P'_{j,1} \cdots P'_{j,m}$ and

- (i) $G = N_1 \cdots N_i M_i$, for all $1 \leq l \leq i$,
- (ii) $M_{l-1} = N_l M_l$, for all $1 \leq l \leq i$,
- (iii) N_l is normal in M_{l-1} , for all $1 \leq l \leq i$,
- (iv) $((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \cdots \cap M_{l-1})N_l) \cap M_l$ is normal in M_l and a central subgroup of $F(M_{l-1})F(M_l)$, for all $1 \leq l \leq i$.

We also assume that

$$((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{l-1})N_l) \cap M_l = ((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{l-1})B_l) \cap M_l$$

and that the Sylow p_r -subgroup of

$$((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{l-1})B_l) \cap M_l$$

is contained in the p_r -subgroup $P'_{1,r}P'_{2,r} \cdots P'_{l,r}$ of G , for all $1 \leq l \leq i$ and $1 \leq r \leq m$. Note that this holds for $i = 1$.

If M_i is nilpotent, then we define $k = i + 1$, $N_{i+1} = M_i$ and $M_{i+1} = \{1\}$ and the result follows in this case.

Suppose that M_i is not nilpotent.

Let $\pi_{i+1}: M_i \rightarrow M_i/F(M_i)$ be the natural map. Let

$$H_{i+1} = \pi_{i+1}^{-1}(Z(F(M_i/F(M_i)))).$$

Note that H_{i+1} is a normal subgroup of M_i , $F(M_i)$ is a proper subgroup of H_{i+1} such that $H_{i+1}/F(M_i)$ is abelian. Let $N_{i+1} = H'_{i+1}$ be the derived subgroup of H_{i+1} . Then $N_{i+1} \subseteq F(M_i)$. Let $P_{i+1,1}, \dots, P_{i+1,m}$ be a Sylow system of H_{i+1} such that $P_{i+1,j}$ is a Sylow p_j -subgroup of H_{i+1} . Then $M_{i+1} = M_{M_i}(H_{i+1}) = N_{M_i}(P_{i+1,1}) \cap \dots \cap N_{M_i}(P_{i+1,m})$ is a system normalizer of H_{i+1} relative to M_i . Then by a result of Hall, $M_i = M_{i+1}N_{i+1}$, see [16, page 24]. Hence N_{i+1} is a normal subgroup of M_i and

$$G = N_1 \cdots N_i M_i = N_1 \cdots N_i M_{i+1} N_{i+1} = N_1 \cdots N_{i+1} M_{i+1}.$$

Since $F(M_i)$ is the maximal nilpotent normal subgroup of M_i , we have that H_{i+1} is not nilpotent. Hence $M_{i+1} \neq M_i$ (as otherwise all $P_{i+1,j}$ are normal subgroups in M_i , hence in H_{i+1} , and H_{i+1} would be nilpotent).

Let $B_{i+1} = P'_{i+1,1} \cdots P'_{i+1,m}$. Similarly as we have proved for B_1 , one shows that $B_{i+1} \subseteq N_{i+1}$, B_{i+1} is normal in M_i and B_{i+1} is a central subgroup of $F(M_i)$.

Since, by (iv),

$$((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i$$

is a normal subgroup of M_i contained in $Z(F(M_i))$, we have that

$$(((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i)B_{i+1}$$

is normal in M_i and a central subgroup of $F(M_i)$. Since

$$((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i = ((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i)B_{i+1} \\ &= (((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)B_{i+1} \end{aligned}$$

is a central subgroup of $F(M_i)$. Let

$$B = (((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)B_{i+1}.$$

Since, by our inductive hypothesis, the Sylow p_r -subgroup of

$$(((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i$$

is contained in the p_r -subgroup $P'_{1,r}P'_{2,r} \cdots P'_{i,r}$ of G , for all $1 \leq r \leq m$, and since B is abelian, the Sylow p_r -subgroup of B is contained in $P'_{1,r}P'_{2,r} \cdots P'_{i,r}P'_{i+1,r}$, which is a p_r -subgroup of G because $P'_{i+1,r} \subseteq M_l \subseteq N_G(P_{l,r})$, for all $1 \leq l \leq i$. Let $\overline{M}_i = M_i/B$,

$$\overline{H}_{i+1} = (((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)H_{i+1}/B,$$

$$\overline{F(M_i)} = F(M_i)/B \text{ and}$$

$$\overline{N}_{i+1} = (((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}/B.$$

Note that \overline{N}_{i+1} is the derived subgroup of \overline{H}_{i+1} . Since \overline{H}_{i+1} is an A -group and a normal subgroup of \overline{M}_i , by [16, (4.4)], \overline{N}_{i+1} is a complement in \overline{M}_i to any system normalizer of \overline{H}_{i+1} relative to \overline{M}_i . In particular

$$(M_{i+1}B) \cap (((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) = B.$$

Hence,

$$(((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})B_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1} \subseteq B.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1} \\ &= B \cap M_{i+1} = ((\dots((B_1 \cap M_1)B_2) \cap \dots \cap M_i)B_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and its Sylow p_r -subgroup is contained in $P'_{1,r}P'_{2,r} \cdots P'_{i+1,r}$.

Let N be a nilpotent subgroup of M_{i+1} . Let $b \in N \cap B$. There exist $x_{j,1} \in P'_{j,1}, \dots, x_{j,m} \in P'_{j,m}$, for $1 \leq j \leq i+1$ such that

$$b = x_{1,1} \cdots x_{i+1,1} \cdots x_{1,m} \cdots x_{i+1,m}.$$

Since B is abelian, $x_{1,r}x_{2,r} \cdots x_{i+1,r}$ is a power of b and thus $x_{1,r}x_{2,r} \cdots x_{i+1,r} \in N \cap B$. We shall prove that $x_{1,r}x_{2,r} \cdots x_{i+1,r} \in Z(N)$. Since $N \subseteq N_G(P_{1,r}) \cap \dots \cap N_G(P_{i+1,r})$ and $P_{j+1,r} \subseteq N_G(P_{j,r})$, we have that $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}N$ is a subgroup of G and $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}$ is normal in $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}N$. If Q is the Sylow p_r -subgroup of N , then $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}Q$ is a Sylow p_r -subgroup of $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}N$. Since $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}Q$ has nilpotency class at most 2, $P'_{1,r} \cdots P'_{i+1,r}$ is central in $P_{1,r} \cdots P_{i+1,r}Q$. Hence $P'_{1,r} \cdots P'_{i+1,r} \subseteq C_G(Q)$. Therefore

$$(P'_{1,r} \cdots P'_{i+1,r}) \cap N \subseteq Z(Q) \subseteq Z(N).$$

Hence $x_{1,r} \cdots x_{i+1,r} \in (P'_{1,r} \cdots P'_{i+1,r}) \cap N$ is central in N . Thus b is central in N . So it follows that $N \cap B \subseteq Z(N)$. Since $((((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1} = B \cap M_{i+1}$ is a normal nilpotent subgroup of M_{i+1} contained in B , this implies that

$$(((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1} \subseteq Z(F(M_{i+1})).$$

Since B is central in $F(M_i)$, we get that

$$(((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i)N_{i+1}) \cap M_{i+1} \subseteq Z(F(M_i)F(M_{i+1})).$$

Therefore, the result follows by induction. \blacksquare

Now we are ready to generalize Theorem 2.3 without the hypothesis on the Sylow tower property. We note that the proofs of the following results are based on a much more complicated construction.

Theorem 2.5 *Let G be a finite group of odd order such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2. Then G is an IYB group.*

Proof. By Feit–Thompson theorem, G is solvable. By Theorem 2.4, there exist nilpotent subgroups N_1, \dots, N_k and subgroups $G = M_0 \supseteq M_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq M_{k-1} \supseteq M_k = \{1\}$ such that

- (i) $G = N_1 \cdots N_i M_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (ii) $M_{i-1} = N_i M_i$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (iii) N_i is normal in M_{i-1} , for all $1 \leq i \leq k$,
- (iv) $((\dots((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \dots \cap M_{i-1})N_i) \cap M_i$ is normal in M_i and a central subgroup of $F(M_{i-1})F(M_i)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$.

In particular $G = N_1 \cdots N_k$ and $M_i = N_{i+1} \cdots N_k = N_k \cdots N_{i+1}$ for $i < k - 1$. We define a sum on each N_i by the rule

$$x + y = xy[y, x]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in N_i$. Note that $(N_i, +, \cdot)$ is a left brace by [1]. Thus, we may assume that $k > 1$. We define a sum on G by the rule

$$(x_1 \cdots x_k) + (y_1 \cdots y_k) = (x_1 + y_1) \cdots (x_k + y_k), \quad (3)$$

for all $x_1, y_1 \in N_1, \dots, x_k, y_k \in N_k$. First, we shall prove that this sum is well-defined. So assume that $x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1 \in N_1, \dots, x_k, y_k, z_k, t_k \in N_k$ are elements such that

$$x_1 \cdots x_k = z_1 \cdots z_k \text{ and } y_1 \cdots y_k = t_1 \cdots t_k.$$

Note that, by (iv),

$$z_1^{-1} x_1 = z_2 \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_2^{-1} \in N_1 \cap M_1 \subseteq Z(F(G)F(M_1)),$$

and $z_2 \in N_2 \subseteq F(M_1)$, so that $z_1^{-1}x_1$ commutes with z_2 . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^{-1}x_1z_2^{-1}x_2 \\ &= z_2^{-1}z_1^{-1}x_1x_2 \\ &= z_3 \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_3^{-1} \in ((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap M_2 \subseteq Z(F(M_1)F(M_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Then, using induction it is easy to see that $z_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots z_1^{-1}x_1 \cdots x_{i-1}$ commutes with z_i and

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^{-1}x_1z_2^{-1}x_2 \cdots z_i^{-1}x_i \\ &= z_i^{-1} \cdots z_1^{-1}x_1 \cdots x_i \\ &= z_{i+1} \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_{i+1}^{-1} \\ &\in (((N_1 \cap M_1)N_2) \cap \cdots \cap M_{i-1})N_i \cap M_i \subseteq Z(F(M_{i-1})F(M_i)), \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & z_1^{-1}x_1z_2^{-1}x_2 \cdots z_i^{-1}x_i \\ &= z_i^{-1} \cdots z_1^{-1}x_1 \cdots x_i = z_{i+1} \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_{i+1}^{-1} \in C_G(N_i N_{i+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $1 \leq i < k$. Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned} & t_1^{-1}y_1t_2^{-1}y_2 \cdots t_i^{-1}y_i \\ &= t_i^{-1} \cdots t_1^{-1}y_1 \cdots y_i = t_{i+1} \cdots t_k y_k^{-1} \cdots y_{i+1}^{-1} \in C_G(N_i N_{i+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all $1 \leq i < k$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_1 + y_1) \cdots (x_k + y_k) \\ &= x_1 y_1 [y_1, x_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} x_2 y_2 [y_2, x_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots x_k y_k [y_k, x_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= z_1 (z_2 \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_2^{-1}) t_1 (t_2 \cdots t_k y_k^{-1} \cdots y_2^{-1}) [y_1, x_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} x_2 y_2 [y_2, x_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdots x_k y_k [y_k, x_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= z_1 t_1 [y_1, x_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} (z_2 \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_2^{-1}) x_2 (t_2 \cdots t_k y_k^{-1} \cdots y_2^{-1}) y_2 [y_2, x_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad \cdots x_k y_k [y_k, x_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \cdots = z_1 t_1 [y_1, x_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} z_2 t_2 [y_2, x_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots z_k t_k [y_k, x_k]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the third equality above we use the fact that the elements $t_1, [y_1, x_1]^{-1} \in N_1$ and $x_2 \in N_2$ commute with $z_2 \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_2^{-1}$ and $t_2 \cdots t_k y_k^{-1} \cdots y_2^{-1}$, which is a consequence of (4) and (5) for $i = 1$. Then, in the next equalities one applies (4) and (5) for the subsequent values of i . But (4) implies also that

$$\begin{aligned} z_i^{-1}x_i &= (x_{i-1}^{-1}z_{i-1} \cdots x_1^{-1}z_1)(z_{i+1} \cdots z_k x_k^{-1} \cdots x_{i+1}^{-1}) \\ &\in (C_G(N_{i-1}N_i)C_G(N_i N_{i+1})) \cap N_i \subseteq Z(N_i), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly $t_i^{-1}y_i \in Z(N_i)$. Hence $[y_i, x_i] = [t_i, z_i]$, for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore

$$(x_1 + y_1) \cdots (x_k + y_k) = (z_1 + t_1) \cdots (z_k + t_k)$$

and the sum on G is well-defined.

Note that the restriction of the sum in G to N_i is exactly the sum on N_i defined previously. Since each $(N_i, +, \cdot)$ is a left brace, it is easy to see that $(G, +)$ is an abelian group, in particular $-x_1 \cdots x_n = (-x_1) \cdots (-x_n)$. Let $a_1, b_1, c_1 \in N_1 \dots, a_k, b_k, c_k \in N_k$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1 \cdots a_k (b_1 \cdots b_k + c_1 \cdots c_k) \tag{6} \\
&= a_1 \cdots a_k (b_1 + c_1) \cdots (b_k + c_k) \\
&= a_1 \cdots a_k b_1 c_1 [c_1, b_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots b_k c_k [c_k, b_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= a_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k b_1 c_1 [c_1, b_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot a_2 \cdots a_k b_2 c_2 [c_2, b_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdots b_k c_k [c_k, b_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= a_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k b_1 c_1 [c_1, b_1]^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot a_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k) b_2 c_2 [c_2, b_2]^{\frac{1}{2}} (a_3 \cdots a_k)^{-1} \cdots a_k b_k c_k [c_k, b_k]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= a_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k b_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1} + a_2 \cdots a_k c_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1}) \\
&\quad \cdot a_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k b_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k)^{-1} + a_3 \cdots a_k c_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k)^{-1}) \cdots a_k (b_k + c_k) \\
&= (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k b_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1} + a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k c_1 (a_2 \cdots a_k)^{-1} - a_1) \\
&\quad \cdot (a_2 a_3 \cdots a_k b_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k)^{-1} + a_2 a_3 \cdots a_k c_2 (a_3 \cdots a_k)^{-1} - a_2) \\
&\quad \cdots (a_k b_k + a_k c_k - a_k) \\
&= a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k b_1 b_2 \cdots b_k + a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k c_1 c_2 \cdots c_k - a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k
\end{aligned}$$

where the last three equalities follow by (2), because N_i are left braces, and by (3) and Lemma 2.1 (as conjugation in $N_i \cdots N_k$ induces an automorphism on the normal subgroup N_i), respectively. Hence $(G, +, \cdot)$ is a left brace and the result follows. ■

Consider the group $G = (\mathbb{Z}/(7)) \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/(3))$, where

$$(a_1, a_2)(b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + 2^{a_2} b_1, a_2 + b_2),$$

for all $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(7)$ and all $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/(3)$. In this group, using the notation of Theorem 2.4, we have that $F(G) = (\mathbb{Z}/(7)) \times \{0\}$, $H_1 = G$, $N_1 = F(G)$. We take the following Sylow system of H_1 :

$$P_{1,1} = F(G), \quad P_{1,2} = \{0\} \times \mathbb{Z}/(3).$$

Then $M_1 = N_G(P_{1,1}) \cap N_G(P_{1,2}) = G \cap P_{1,2} = P_{1,2}$. Hence $N_2 = M_1$ and $M_2 = \{(0, 0)\}$. Note that N_1 and N_2 are abelian in this case. Now, the sum on G , defined as in Theorem 2.4, is

$$(a_1, a_2) + (b_1, b_2) = (a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2),$$

for all $a_1, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}/(7)$ and all $a_2, b_2 \in \mathbb{Z}/(3)$. Thus, the structure of left brace on G is the semidirect product (see [5, Section 3]) of the trivial braces $\mathbb{Z}/(7)$ by $\mathbb{Z}/(3)$. Now we have

$$((0, 1) + (0, 1))(1, 0) + (1, 0) = (0, 2)(1, 0) + (1, 0) = (5, 2)$$

and

$$(0, 1)(1, 0) + (0, 1)(1, 0) = (2, 1) + (2, 1) = (4, 2) \neq (5, 2).$$

Hence G is not a two-sided brace, in contrast to the situation described in Lemma 2.1 and applied in the subsequent proofs.

Theorem 2.6 *Let G be a finite solvable group of even order such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2 and all Sylow 2-subgroups are abelian. Then G is an IYB group.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.5. Note that the restriction of the sum on the Sylow 2-subgroup of each N_i is defined by

$$a + b = ab[a, b]^{\frac{1}{2}} = ab$$

because all Sylow 2-subgroups of G are abelian by hypothesis. ■

We conclude with a special case of Question 1.1 that seems natural in the context of our main results.

Question 2.7 *Let G be a finite solvable group such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2. Is G an IYB group?*

Theorem 2.5 shows that if the order of G is odd, then the answer is affirmative. Theorem 2.6 gives an affirmative answer if the Sylow 2-subgroups of G are abelian. Let G be a finite solvable group such that all Sylow subgroups have nilpotency class at most 2. If a Sylow 2-subgroup P of G admits a structure of left brace such that $\text{Aut}(P) = \text{Aut}(P, +, \cdot)$ then an approach as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 allows to prove that G is an IYB group (this condition is used in the fifth equality of (6)). On the other hand, all left braces with multiplicative group isomorphic to the dihedral group of order 8 are described in [2] and a direct verification of these braces shows that in all of them there exists a multiplicative automorphism which is not an additive homomorphism. Therefore, another approach would be needed to solve Question 2.7 in full generality.

Acknowledgments. The first author was partially supported by the grant MINECO PID2023-147110NB-I00 (Spain).

References

- [1] J.C. Ault and J. F. Watters, Circle groups of nilpotent rings, Amer. Math. Monthly 80 (1973), 48–52.
- [2] D. Bachiller, Classification of braces of order p^3 , J. Pure and Appl. Algebra 219 (2015) 3568–3603.
- [3] D. Bachiller, Counterexample to a conjecture about braces, J. Algebra 453 (2016), 160–176.

- [4] N. Ben David and Y. Ginosar, On groups of I-type and involutive Yang–Baxter groups, *J. Algebra* 458 (2016), 197–206.
- [5] F. Cedó, Left braces: solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation, *Adv. Group Theory Appl.* 6 (2018), 33–90.
- [6] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, Braces and the Yang–Baxter equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 327 (2014), 101–116.
- [7] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and J. Okniński, An abundance of simple left braces with abelian multiplicative Sylow subgroups, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* 36 (2020), 1309–1332.
- [8] F. Cedó, E. Jespers and Á. del Río, Involutive Yang–Baxter groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 362 (2010), 2541–2558.
- [9] V. G. Drinfeld, On some unsolved problems in quantum group theory. *Quantum Groups*, Lecture Notes Math. 1510, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, 1–8.
- [10] P. Etingof, T. Schedler and A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *Duke Math. J.* 100 (1999), 169–209.
- [11] T. Gateva-Ivanova and P. Cameron, Multipermutation solutions of the Yang–Baxter equation, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 309 (2012), 583–621.
- [12] T. Gateva-Ivanova and M. Van den Bergh, Semigroups of *I*-type, *J. Algebra* 206 (1998), 97–112.
- [13] D.J.S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, second edition, Springer, 1995.
- [14] W. Rump, Braces, radical rings, and the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, *J. Algebra* 307 (2007), 153–170.
- [15] W. Rump, Construction of finite braces, *Ann. Comb.* 23 (2019), 391–416
- [16] D. R. Taunt, On *A*-groups, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 45 (1949), 24–42.