

# NEW CLASSES OF PERMUTATION TRINOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS WITH EVEN CHARACTERISTIC

KIRPA GARG, SARTAJ UL HASAN, AND CHANDAN KUMAR VISHWAKARMA

ABSTRACT. The construction of permutation trinomials of the form  $X^r(X^{\alpha(2^m-1)} + X^{\beta(2^m-1)} + 1)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$  where  $\alpha > \beta$  and  $r$  are positive integers, is an active area of research. To date, many classes of permutation trinomials with  $\alpha \leq 6$  have been introduced in the literature. Here, we present three new classes of permutation trinomials with  $\alpha > 6$  and  $r \geq 7$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ . Additionally, we prove the nonexistence of a class of permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$  of the same type for  $r = 9$ ,  $\alpha = 7$ , and  $\beta = 3$  when  $m > 3$ . Moreover, we show that the newly obtained classes are quasi-multiplicative inequivalent to both the existing permutation trinomials and to one another. Furthermore, we provide a proof for the recent conjecture on the quasi-multiplicative equivalence of two classes of permutation trinomials, as proposed by Yadav, Gupta, Singh, and Yadav (Finite Fields Appl. 96:102414, 2024).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  be the finite field with  $q$  elements. Throughout this paper, we assume  $q = 2^m$ , where  $m$  is a positive integer, unless stated otherwise. A polynomial  $f(X)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$  is called a permutation polynomial of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if its associated mapping  $X \mapsto f(X)$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Permutation polynomials over finite fields have been a significant area of research for many years due to their broad applications in various fields of engineering and mathematics, including cryptography, coding theory, and combinatorial design theory. For a survey of recent developments and contributions in this area, the reader is referred to [7, 15, 21].

There has been significant interest in permutation polynomials, particularly those with nice algebraic forms and additional desirable properties. While a random permutation polynomial can be readily constructed using Lagrange interpolation, such polynomials rarely exhibit a concise or structured form. Among permutation polynomials, monomials are the simplest and can be easily classified. In contrast, the classification of permutation binomials and trinomials presents a greater challenge. For

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12E20, 11T06.

*Key words and phrases.* Finite fields, permutation trinomials, quasi-multiplicative equivalence.

The work of S. U. Hasan and C. K. Vishwakarma is partially supported by the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Government of India, under grant CRG/2022/005418.

explicit constructions of permutation binomials and trinomials, the reader is referred to [6–8, 11–14, 16, 22, 25, 26, 28].

It is well known that permutation binomials with identity coefficients do not exist over finite fields of even characteristic. Motivated by this, several researchers have investigated classes of permutation trinomials of the form  $X^r(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{\beta(q-1)} + 1)$  over finite fields of even characteristic; see, for example, [6, 14, 23, 25] and the references therein. In 2016, Gupta and Sharma [6] introduced four new classes of such permutation trinomials with parameters satisfying  $\alpha \leq 4$  and  $r \leq 5$ . Subsequently, additional classes of permutation trinomials of the same form were proposed in [14, 25], extending the parameter range to  $\alpha \leq 6$  and  $r \leq 5$ . More recently, Yadav, Gupta, Singh, and Yadav investigated the possibilities of  $r$  and  $\beta$  for  $\alpha = 6$  and identified three new classes of permutation trinomials of this type. It is worth noting that all the existing permutation trinomials of this form have been studied only for  $\alpha \leq 6$  and  $r \leq 7$ . In this paper, we explore additional classes of permutation trinomials of this form having  $\alpha > 6$  and  $r \geq 7$ .

The methodology employed in this paper for constructing permutation trinomials is primarily adapted from [16, 23]. On the other hand, to establish the nonexistence of a certain class of permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$  for any  $m > 3$ , we leverage the connection between permutation polynomials and algebraic curves over finite fields. Specifically, it turns out that the Hasse-Weil bound serves as a powerful tool for proving the nonexistence of a permutation polynomial when  $q$  is sufficiently large. However, a significant technical challenge in applying the Hasse-Weil bound lies in proving the absolute irreducibility of the algebraic curve involved. Several researchers [3, 4, 9, 10] have demonstrated the nonexistence of permutation polynomials by showing that the corresponding curve is absolutely irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In this work, we demonstrate the absolute irreducibility of a specific curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and, using the Hasse-Weil bound, identify a class of trinomials that does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

A permutation polynomial  $f(X)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  remains a permutation polynomial when transformed into  $af(bX + c) + d$  for  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . While this transformation preserves the degree of the polynomial, it may alter the number of monomials. To overcome this limitation, Wu, Yuan, Ding, and Ma [22] introduced the concept of Quasi-Multiplicative (QM) equivalence, which ensures that the number of monomials remains unchanged. Identifying QM equivalence between two classes of permutation polynomials is a challenging problem. In this paper, we verify that the proposed trinomials are QM inequivalent both among themselves and to those previously known in the literature, employing techniques from [18]. Recently, Yadav, Gupta, Singh, and Yadav [23] proposed a conjecture regarding the QM equivalence of two classes of permutation trinomials over quadratic extensions of finite fields with arbitrary characteristic.

We provide a different yet elementary proof of their conjecture, which appears to have been recently resolved by Yadav, Singh, and Gupta [24].

We shall now give the structure of the paper. We first recall a definition and some results in Section 2. In Section 3, we present three new classes of permutation trinomials over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ . Furthermore, we show that a family of trinomials  $X^9(X^{7(q-1)} + X^{3(q-1)} + 1)$  does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$  for  $m > 3$ . In Section 4, we investigate the QM equivalence of the permutation trinomials introduced in this paper to known ones, confirming that the classes we obtain are indeed new. Furthermore, we provide a proof of the conjecture on the QM equivalence of trinomials stated in [23]. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We will first recall a definition and several lemmas used in the subsequent sections.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $m$  and  $n$  be two positive integers with  $m|n$ . The trace function  $\text{Tr}_m^n(\cdot)$  from  $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$  is defined by

$$\text{Tr}_m^n(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n-m}{m}} X^{2^{mi}}, \quad X \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}.$$

We now present a well-known criterion established by Wan and Lidl [19], Park and Lee [17], Akbary and Wang [1], Wang [20], and Zieve [27]. This criterion is particularly helpful for determining whether a polynomial of the form  $f(X) = X^r h(X^{(q^n-1)/d})$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ . The criterion is stated in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2** ([1, 17, 19, 20, 27]). *Let  $d, r, n \geq 1$  be integers with  $d | (q^n - 1)$ , and let  $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}[X]$ . Then  $f(X) = X^r h(X^{(q^n-1)/d})$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold*

- (1)  $\gcd(r, (q^n - 1)/d) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $X^r (h(X))^{(q^n-1)/d}$  permutes  $\mu_d$ ,

where  $\mu_d = \{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* \mid a^d = 1\}$  is the unit circle of order  $d$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ , with  $\mathbb{F}_{q^n}^* = \mathbb{F}_{q^n} \setminus \{0\}$ .

The special case of the above lemma with  $d = q + 1$  yields the following result for the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . We utilize this lemma to determine whether the new family of trinomials considered in this paper permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *For  $h(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ ,  $f(X) = X^r h(X^{q-1})$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if the following two conditions hold*

- (1)  $\gcd(r, q - 1) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $g(X) = X^r (h(X))^{q-1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ ,

**Lemma 2.4.** [23, Lemma 2.2] *Let  $q$  be a prime power co-prime to three and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$  be such that  $\alpha > \beta$ . Then, the polynomials  $X^\alpha + X^\beta + 1$  and  $X^\alpha + X^{(\alpha-\beta)} + 1$  have no roots in  $\mu_{q+1}$  if any one of the following conditions holds*

- (1)  $\gcd(\alpha + \beta, q + 1) = 1$ ,
- (2)  $\gcd(|\alpha - 2\beta|, q + 1) = 1$ ,
- (3)  $\gcd(2\alpha - \beta, q + 1) = 1$ ,
- (4)  $\gcd(3, q + 1) = 1$ .

We also recall the Chinese Remainder Theorem, which will be needed later.

**Lemma 2.5** (Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT)). *Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_t$  be any  $t$  integers, and let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$  be pairwise coprime positive integers. Then, there exists an integer  $X$  such that*

$$X \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}, \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, t.$$

Moreover, the solution  $X$  is unique modulo  $M = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_t$ .

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we introduce three new classes of permutation trinomials over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and analyze a family of trinomials that fails to permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . We begin with the following lemma, which is used to determine our first family of permutation trinomials.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer and  $\gcd(m, 5) = 1$ . Let  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{10m}}$  be such that  $b^{10} + b^6 + b^5 + b^3 + b^2 + b + 1 = 0$ . Then, we have  $\text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b) = \text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b^{33}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\gcd(m, 5) = 1$  and  $b$  satisfies the polynomial  $X^{10} + X^6 + X^5 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$ , it follows that  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{10}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{2^{10m}}$  and  $b \notin \mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ . In addition, we can write  $m = 5k + r$  for some integers  $k \geq 1$  and  $0 < r < 5$ . This implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b) = b + b^{2^{2r}} + b^{2^{4r}} + b^{2^{6r}} + b^{2^{8r}} = b + b^{2^2} + b^{2^4} + b^{2^6} + b^{2^8}.$$

Now, using the relations  $b^{10} + b^6 + b^5 + b^3 + b^2 + b + 1 = 0$  and  $b^{2^{10}} = b$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} b^{2^4} &= b + b^5 + b^7 + b^9, \\ b^{2^6} &= b^2 + b^4 + b^5 + b^6 + b^7 + b^9, \\ b^{2^8} &= b^2 + b^6. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the obtained values of  $b^{2^i}$  for  $i \in \{4, 6, 8\}$  in Equation (3.1), we get

$$\text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b) = b + b^{2^2} + b^{2^4} + b^{2^6} + b^{2^8} = 0.$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$\text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b^{33}) = \text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(b^{1+2^5}) = bb^{2^5} + b^{2^2}b^{2^7} + b^{2^4}b^{2^9} + b^{2^6}b^2 + b^{2^8}b^{2^3} = 0.$$

□

We now introduce our first class of permutation trinomials with  $r = 11$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $q = 2^m$ . Then, the trinomial  $F_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{10(q-1)} + X^{4(q-1)} + 1)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .*

*Proof.* We first assume that  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . According to Lemma 2.3, it is sufficient to show that  $\gcd(11, q-1) = 1$  and  $G_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{10} + X^4 + 1)^{q-1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Since  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , it is straightforward to observe that  $\gcd(11, q-1) = 1$ . Additionally, by Lemma 2.4, the polynomial  $1 + X^4 + X^{10}$  has no roots in  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Thus, we can express  $G_1(X)$  as  $\frac{X^{11} + X^7 + X}{X^{10} + X^4 + 1}$ . It is evident that if  $X \in \mu_{q+1}$ , then  $G_1(X)$  is also in  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Now, to prove that  $G_1(X)$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ , it suffices to show that  $G_1(X)$  is injective on  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Therefore, let us assume that  $G_1(X) = G_1(Y)$ , for some  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1}$ . This leads us to the following equation

$$(3.2) \quad (X^{11} + X^7 + X)(Y^{10} + Y^4 + 1) + (Y^{11} + Y^7 + Y)(X^{10} + X^4 + 1) = 0.$$

We denote the left-hand side of the above equation as  $f(X, Y)$ . By using SageMath program, we find that  $f(X, Y)$  can be factored into the product of six polynomials over the quintic extension  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^5}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . We get

$$f(X, Y) = (X + Y)f_1(X, Y)f_2(X, Y)f_3(X, Y)f_4(X, Y)f_5(X, Y), \text{ where}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + b^{33}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{528}XY + 1, \\ f_2(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + b^{66}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{33}XY + 1, \\ f_3(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + b^{132}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{66}XY + 1, \\ f_4(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + b^{264}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{132}XY + 1, \\ f_5(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + b^{528}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{264}XY + 1, \end{aligned}$$

in which  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^{10}} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^{10}}$  is a  $(2^{10} - 1)$ th primitive root of unity satisfying  $X^{10} + X^6 + X^5 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$ . Since  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , we have  $b \notin \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  but  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{10}}$ . Note that  $f(X, Y) = 0$  if and only if either  $X = Y$  or  $f_i(X, Y) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^{10}}$ . Using Lemma 3.1, we obtain that  $\text{Tr}_{2^m}^{10m}(f_i(X, Y)) = X^2Y^2 + 1$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . WLOG, we first assume that  $f_1(X, Y) = 0$ . Hence,  $X^2Y^2 + 1 = (XY + 1)^2 = 0$ , implies that  $Y = X^{-1}$ . Substituting  $Y = X^{-1}$  into the expression for  $f_1(X, Y)$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad b^{33}(X^2 + X^{-2}) = b^{528}.$$

Raising the above equation by  $q$ , we get

$$(3.4) \quad b^{33q}(X^2 + X^{-2}) = b^{528q}.$$

If  $X^2 + X^{-2} = 0$ , then  $X = Y = 1$ . Let us suppose that  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1} \setminus \{1\}$ , then using Equation (3.3) and Equation (3.4), we find that  $b^{495} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Note that the multiplicative order of  $b^{495}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{10}}^*$  is 31, and 5 is the least positive integer such that  $q^5 \equiv 1 \pmod{31}$ . Using this fact, along with the condition  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , we can conclude that  $b^{495} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^5} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$ . This contradicts our assumption that  $f_1(X, Y) = 0$  for every  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1} \setminus \{1\}$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $f_i(X, Y) \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Therefore, we conclude that the only possibility for  $f(X, Y) = 0$  is  $X + Y = 0$ , which further implies that  $G_1(X)$  is injective on  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

Conversely, let  $F_1(X)$  permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  for some  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , or equivalently,  $m = 5k$  where  $k$  is a positive integer. If  $k$  is an even positive integer, then  $\gcd(2^m - 1, 11) \neq 1$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.3, we get a contradiction to the assumption that  $F_1(X)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Next, when  $k$  is an odd positive integer, we have  $q + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$  and  $q + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In this case, we can choose an element  $\alpha \in \mu_{11} \subset \mu_{q+1}$  and compute  $G_1(\alpha)$ . It is easy to verify that  $G_1(\alpha) \in \mu_3 \subset \mu_{q+1}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mu_{11}$ . Therefore, we can conclude that  $G_1(X)$  does not permute  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.3, we get a contradiction to the assumption that  $F_1(X)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .  $\square$

Next, we present a class of permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  with  $r = 9$ ,  $\alpha = 8$  and  $\beta = 6$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $q = 2^m$ , then the trinomial  $F_2(X) = X^9(X^{8(q-1)} + X^{6(q-1)} + 1)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $m$  is odd.*

*Proof.* It is known from Lemma 2.3 that  $F_2(X)$  permutes the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $\gcd(9, q - 1) = 1$  and  $G_2(X) = X^9(X^8 + X^6 + 1)^{q-1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . We first assume that  $m$  is odd. Therefore, we have  $\gcd(9, q - 1) = 1$ . Thus, we only need to show that  $G_2(X)$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . It is straightforward to verify that if  $X \in \mu_{q+1}$ , then  $G_2(X)$  also belongs to the unit circle  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Using Lemma 2.4, one can see that  $1 + X^6 + X^8$  has no roots in  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Thus, we can express  $G_2(X)$  as  $G_2(X) = \frac{X^9 + X^3 + X}{X^8 + X^6 + 1}$ . Now, we apply the same approach used in Theorem 3.2 to show that  $G_2(X)$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . It is sufficient to show the injectivity of  $G_2(X)$  on  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Let  $G_2(X) = G_2(Y)$  for some  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1}$ . This leads to the following equation

$$\begin{aligned} X^9Y^8 + X^8Y^9 + X^9Y^6 + X^6Y^9 + X^3Y^8 + X^8Y^3 + X^3Y^6 + X^6Y^3 \\ + XY^8 + X^8Y + XY^6 + X^6Y + X^9 + Y^9 + X^3 + Y^3 + X + Y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f(X, Y)$  denotes the left-hand side of the above equation, then using SageMath program,  $f(X, Y)$  can be factored as the product of five polynomials over quadratic extension  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^2}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  as follows:

$$f(X, Y) = (X + Y)f_1(X, Y)f_2(X, Y)f_3(X, Y)f_4(X, Y),$$

where  $f_i(X, Y) = X^2Y^2 + b^{2^{i-1}}(X^2 + Y^2) + b^{2^{i+2}}XY + 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ , and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^4} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^4}$  is a fifteenth primitive root of unity satisfying  $b^4 + b^3 + 1 = 0$ . Note that  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^4} \setminus \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  as  $m$  is odd.

It is evident that  $f(X, Y) = 0$  if and only if either  $X = Y$  or  $f_i(X, Y) = 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq 4$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^4}$ . Let us first consider  $f_1(X, Y) = 0$ . This implies that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_1(X, Y)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(b)(X^2 + Y^2) + \mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(b^8)XY = 0.$$

Since  $m$  is odd and  $2^{2m} \equiv 4 \pmod{15}$ , we get  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(b) = b^5$ . Substituting the value of  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(b)$  in the above expression for  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_1(X, Y))$ , we obtain  $X^2 + Y^2 + b^5(XY) = 0$ . Raising  $X^2 + Y^2 + b^5(XY) = 0$  to the powers  $q + 1$  and 2, we get the equations  $(X^2 + Y^2)^2 + b^{5q+5}(XY)^2 = 0$  and  $(X^2 + Y^2)^2 + b^{10}(XY)^2 = 0$ , respectively. This further renders  $b^{5q+5} = b^{10}$ , or equivalently,  $b^5 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . However, since  $b$  is the fifteenth primitive root of unity, the multiplicative order of  $b^5$  is three. As  $m$  is odd, it follows that  $b^5 = 1$ , which contradicts the fact that  $b$  is a primitive fifteenth root of unity. This, in turn, contradicts the assumption that  $f_1(X, Y) = 0$  for every  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1}$ . A similar approach can be applied to  $f_3(X, Y)$  since  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_1(X, Y)) = \mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_3(X, Y))$ . Moreover, upon computing  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_2(X, Y)) = 0$  (or, respectively,  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2m}^{4m}(f_4(X, Y)) = 0$ ), we obtain  $b^5(X^2 + Y^2) + XY = 0$ . Hence, using similar arguments as those applied for  $f_1(X, Y)$ , we can show that  $f_2(X, Y) \neq 0$  and  $f_4(X, Y) \neq 0$ . Therefore, we conclude that  $X = Y$ , or equivalently,  $G_2(X)$  is injective on  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

For the converse part, suppose  $m$  is an even positive integer. In this case, we get  $2^m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , and therefore  $\gcd(2^m - 1, 9) \geq 3$ . Thus, from Lemma 2.3, we can conclude that  $F_2(X)$  does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . □

In the following theorem, we provide our third class of permutation trinomials over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $q = 2^m$ , then the trinomial  $F_3(X) = X^7(X^{7(q-1)} + X^{5(q-1)} + 1)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $m$  is even and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .*

*Proof.* From Lemma 2.3, it is clear that  $F_3(X)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $\gcd(7, q-1) = 1$  and  $G_3(X) = X^7(X^7 + X^5 + 1)^{q-1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . We first consider the case where  $m$  is even and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Since  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , we obtain that  $\gcd(7, q-1) = 1$ . From Lemma 2.4, it is known that  $1 + X^5 + X^7$  has no roots in  $\mu_{q+1}$ ; hence,  $G_3(X)$  can be written as  $\frac{X^7 + X^2 + 1}{X^7 + X^5 + 1}$ . It is enough to show that if  $G_3(X) = G_3(Y)$ , for some  $X, Y \in \mu_{q+1}$ , then  $X = Y$ . On computing  $G_3(X) = G_3(Y)$ , we obtain the following

equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(X, Y) &= X^7Y^5 + X^5Y^7 + X^7Y^2 + X^2Y^7 + X^2Y^5 + X^5Y^2 + X^7Y \\ &\quad + XY^7 + XY^5 + X^5Y + X^7 + Y^7 + X^2 + Y^2 + X + Y = 0. \end{aligned}$$

One can verify using SageMath program that  $f(X, Y) = (X + Y) \prod_{i=1}^6 f_i(X, Y)$  over the cubic extension  $\mathbb{F}_{(q^2)^3}$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} f_i(X, Y) &= Y + b^{21i} \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}, \\ f_i(X, Y) &= X + b^{21(i-2)} \quad \text{for } i \in \{3, 4\}, \\ f_5(X, Y) &= X^2Y + XY^2 + X + Y + 1, \\ f_6(X, Y) &= X^2Y^2 + X^2Y + XY^2 + X + Y, \end{aligned}$$

and  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^6} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^6}$  is a 63rd primitive root of unity satisfying  $b^6 + b^4 + b^3 + b + 1 = 0$ . Since  $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$ , we have  $b \notin \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  but  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^6}$ .

Our goal is now to show that  $f_i(X, Y) \neq 0$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq 6$  in  $\mathbb{F}_{q^6}$ . Note that if  $f_1(X, Y) = 0$ , then  $Y^{q+1} = b^{21(q+1)} = 1$ . This is possible only when  $63 \mid 21(q+1)$ , or equivalently when  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $m$  is even and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Similar arguments can be used to show that  $f_i(X, Y) \neq 0$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq 4$ . Next, we assume that  $f_5(X, Y) = 0$ . Then, raising  $f_5(X, Y)$  to the powers  $q+1$  and  $2$ , we obtain the equations  $(XY + 1)^2(X + Y)^2 = (XY)^2$  and  $(XY + 1)^2(X + Y)^2 = 1$ , respectively. This further gives us  $XY = 1$ . Substituting  $XY = 1$  in the expression of  $f_5(X, Y)$ , we get  $f_5(X, Y) = 1$ , a contradiction. By applying a similar approach to that used for  $f_5(X, Y)$ , one can show that  $f_6(X, Y) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $f(X, Y) = 0$  holds if and only if  $X = Y$ .

Conversely, first assume that  $F_3(X)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  for some  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . This gives us  $\gcd(2^m - 1, 7) \neq 1$ , a contradiction to the assumption that  $F_3(X)$  is a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Next, suppose  $m$  is odd and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then it follows that  $q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Therefore, we can choose an element  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^*$  having multiplicative order  $3(2^m - 1)$  and compute  $F_3(\alpha)$ . Since  $\alpha^{3(2^m - 1)} = 1$ , this implies that  $(\alpha^{2^m - 1} + 1)(1 + \alpha^{(2^m - 1)} + \alpha^{2(2^m - 1)}) = 0$ . Thus, we obtain  $F_3(\alpha) = 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $F_3(X)$  is a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we give a class of trinomials that does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Let  $F(X, Y)$  define an algebraic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A rational point  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$  is a point on this curve such that  $F(X, Y) = 0$ . The collection of all rational points of  $F(X, Y)$  is given by the following set

$$V_{\mathbb{F}_q^2}(F) = \{(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 \mid F(X, Y) = 0\}.$$



Let  $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  denote the projective plane over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For a homogeneous polynomial  $f(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y, Z]$ , the set of zeros of  $f$  in  $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  is defined as

$$V_{\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}(f) = \{(X, Y, Z) \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \mid f(X, Y, Z) = 0\}.$$

In addition, a polynomial  $F(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$  is said to be absolutely irreducible if it is irreducible in  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[X, Y]$ , where  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  denotes the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We will use the following version of the Hasse-Weil theorem by Aubry and Perret in our result.

**Lemma 3.5.** (*Aubry-Perret bound*) [2, Corollary 2.5] *Let  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$  be an absolutely irreducible curve of degree  $d$ . Then*

$$|\#V_{\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}(\mathcal{C}) - (q + 1)| \leq (d - 1)(d - 2)q^{1/2}.$$

In the following lemma, we show the absolute irreducibility of a curve over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which, together with Lemma 3.5, will give us a class of trinomials that does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $H(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$  be the polynomial  $X^{16} + AX^8 + (B + 1)X^4 + AX^2 + BX + A$ , where  $A = Y^8 + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y^2 + Y$  and  $B = Y^8 + Y^7 + Y^4 + Y^2$ . Then  $H(X, Y)$  is absolutely irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* Notice that  $H(X, Y)$  can be considered as a polynomial in  $X$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ . Moreover, it is primitive as the gcd of the coefficients is 1. Hence, it is sufficient to show that  $H(X, Y)$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)$ . Let  $Z = X^4 + X$ , then we can write  $H(X, Y)$  as  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A = 0$ , a polynomial in  $Z$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ .

Therefore, in order to show that  $H(X, Y)$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)$ , we need to prove that  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(X, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)] = 16$ , or equivalently,  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)] = 4$  and  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(X, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y)] = 4$ . Furthermore, let  $Z = X^4 + X = X^4 + X^2 + X^2 + X$  and  $Z_1 = X^2 + X$ .

$$\begin{array}{c} \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(X, Y) \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z_1, Y) \\ \uparrow 2 \\ \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y) \\ \uparrow 4 \\ \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y) \end{array}$$

Thus, it is sufficient to show the following three claims.

1.  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)] = 4$ , that is,  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)$ .
2.  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z_1, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y)] = 2$ , that is,  $Z_1^2 + Z_1 + Z$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z, Y)$ .
3.  $[\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(X, Y) : \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z_1, Y)] = 2$ , that is,  $X^2 + X + Z_1$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Z_1, Y)$ .

1. Since gcd of coefficients of  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A$  is one, it is primitive over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ , and hence it is enough to show that it is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ . Assume that  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A$  factors as the product of two quadratics over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ , say

$$(3.5) \quad Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A = (Z^2 + rZ + s)(Z^2 + rZ + t).$$

Equating coefficients in the above expression, we get  $A = r^2 + s + t = st$  and  $B = r(s + t)$ . From the relation between  $A$  and  $B$ , it can be seen that  $r$  must satisfy  $r^3 + Ar + B = 0$ . Moreover,  $Y^4 + Y$  is a root of equation  $r^3 + Ar + B = 0$ . This reduces equation  $r^3 + Ar + B = 0$  to  $(r + Y^4 + Y)(r^2 + (Y^4 + Y)r + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y) = 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be roots of  $r^2 + (Y^4 + Y)r + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ , then  $Y$  divides  $\alpha\beta = Y(Y^3 + Y^2 + 1)$ . This implies that  $Y$  divides either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ , but then  $\alpha + \beta$  will contain a constant term 1 in its expression, which is not true. Hence,  $r^2 + (Y^4 + Y)r + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ . Therefore, the only possible value of  $r$  is  $Y^4 + Y$ . Substituting the value of  $r$  into the expression of  $A$  and  $B$ , we obtain  $s + t = Y^4 + Y^3 + Y$  and  $st = Y^8 + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y^2 + Y$ . More precisely, we can say that  $s$  and  $t$  satisfy the equation  $x^2 + (s + t)x + st = 0$  over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q(Y)$ . Then, using an argument similar to that in the previous case for the quadratic polynomial in  $r$ , we see that  $x^2 + (s + t)x + st$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ .

As a consequence of the above discussion, we conclude that  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A$  can not be expressed as the product of two quadratic polynomials over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $Z^4 + AZ^2 + BZ + A$  can not be factored into a product of a degree-three irreducible polynomial and a linear factor over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Y]$ .

2. Using the fact that the gcd of coefficients of  $Z_1^2 + Z_1 + Z \in \bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Z, Y]$  is one ( $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Z, Y]$  is an integral domain and indeed a UFD), it is primitive over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Z, Y]$ . Hence, it is sufficient to show that it is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Z, Y]$ . It is straightforward to verify that  $Z_1^2 + Z_1 + Z$  is irreducible over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q[Z, Y]$ .

3. Claim 3 follows along the same lines as Claim 2.

Thus, we conclude that  $H(X, Y)$  is absolutely irreducible over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .  $\square$

Next, we present a class of trinomials  $F(X) = X^9(X^{7(q-1)} + X^{3(q-1)} + 1)$  that does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$  for  $m > 3$ , using Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $q = 2^m$ . Then the trinomial  $F(X) = X^9(X^{7(q-1)} + X^{3(q-1)} + 1)$  is not a permutation trinomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  for  $m > 3$ .*

*Proof.* It is known from Lemma 2.3 that  $F(X)$  is a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $\gcd(9, q-1) = 1$  and  $G(X) = \frac{X^9 + X^6 + X^2}{X^7 + X^3 + 1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . Equivalently,

$F(X)$  is a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $m$  is odd and  $G(X) = \frac{X^9 + X^6 + X^2}{X^7 + X^3 + 1}$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$ . To prove that  $F(X)$  is not a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , we show that  $G(X)$  does not permute  $\mu_{q+1}$ .

Define  $\phi(X) := \frac{X + \omega^2}{X + \omega}$ , a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mu_{q+1} \setminus \{1\}$ , where  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ . Clearly,  $G$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$  if and only if  $G \circ \phi$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mu_{q+1} \setminus \{1\}$ . Alternatively,  $G$  permutes  $\mu_{q+1}$  if and only if  $\phi^{-1} \circ G \circ \phi$  is a bijection from  $\mathbb{F}_q$  to  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Therefore, to show that  $G(X)$  is not a bijection on  $\mu_{q+1}$ , we prove that  $\phi^{-1} \circ G \circ \phi$  is not a bijection on  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The map  $G \circ \phi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mu_{q+1}$  is given by

$$G(\phi(X)) = \frac{X^9 + \omega^2 X^8 + X^5 + \omega X^4 + X^3 + \omega X^2 + \omega^2 X + \omega^2}{X^9 + \omega X^8 + X^5 + \omega^2 X^4 + X^3 + \omega^2 X^2 + \omega X + \omega}.$$

Since  $\phi^{-1}(X) = \frac{\omega X + \omega^2}{X + 1}$ , we find that  $\phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X))) = \frac{X^9 + X^5 + X^4 + X^3 + X^2}{X^8 + X^4 + X^2 + X + 1}$ . It is easy to see that the numerator of  $\phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X))) = X^2(X^7 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1)$  vanishes on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  when either  $X = 0$  or  $X^7 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$  splits over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Since  $X^7 + X^3 + X^2 + X + 1$  is an irreducible polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , it splits in  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{7t}}$  for a positive integer  $t$ . Therefore,  $\phi^{-1} \circ G \circ \phi$  does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_q$  when  $q = 2^{7t}$ , where  $t$  is a positive integer.

Now, to prove that  $\phi^{-1} \circ G \circ \phi$  is not a permutation on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  when  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$ , we show that there exist  $X \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  and  $Y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^n}^*$  such that  $\phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X+Y))) + \phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X))) = 0$ . The expression for  $\phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X+Y))) + \phi^{-1}(G(\phi(X)))$  is given by  $\frac{YH(X,Y)}{D(X,Y)}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} H(X,Y) &= X^{16} + X^8 Y^8 + X^8 Y^4 + X^4 Y^8 + X^8 Y^3 + X^4 Y^7 + X^8 Y^2 + X^2 Y^8 + X^8 Y \\ &\quad + XY^8 + X^4 Y^4 + XY^7 + Y^8 + X^4 Y^2 + X^2 Y^4 + X^2 Y^3 + XY^4 + X^4 \\ &\quad + X^2 Y^2 + Y^4 + X^2 Y + XY^2 + Y^3 + Y^2 + Y, \end{aligned}$$

$$D(X,Y) = (X^8 + X^4 + X^2 + X + 1)(X^8 + Y^8 + X^4 + Y^4 + X^2 + Y^2 + X + Y + 1).$$

We can rewrite  $H(X,Y)$  as  $X^{16} + AX^8 + (B+1)X^4 + AX^2 + BX + A \in \mathbb{F}_q[X,Y]$ ,  $A = Y^8 + Y^4 + Y^3 + Y^2 + Y$  and  $B = Y^8 + Y^7 + Y^4 + Y^2$ . Note that  $\phi^{-1} \circ G \circ \phi$  is not a permutation on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if and only if  $H(X,Y)$  has a rational point  $(X,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $Y \neq 0$ . Since  $H(X,Y)$  is absolutely irreducible by Lemma 3.6, we use Lemma 3.5 to compute a bound on the number of rational points for  $H(X,Y)$ . Let  $V_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(H) = \{(X,Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2 : H(X,Y) = 0\}$  be the set of all rational points on  $H(X,Y)$ . We observe that the degree of  $H(X,Y)$  is 16 and the number of zeros of  $H(X,Y)$  at infinity are two. This follows because if  $h(X,Y,Z)$  is homogenized form of  $H(X,Y)$  then setting  $Z = 0$  in the homogenized polynomial, we get exactly two solutions  $(0 : 1 : 0)$  and  $(1 : 1 : 0)$  of  $h(X,Y,0) = 0$  in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Thus, by

applying Lemma 3.5, we obtain the bound on number of rational points of  $H(X, Y)$  as follows:

$$|\#V_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(H)| \geq 2^m + 1 - 2^{8+\frac{m}{2}} - 2 \geq 2^{8+\frac{m}{2}}(2^{\frac{m}{2}-8} - 1) - 1.$$

Clearly when  $m \geq 18$ , we have  $2^{\frac{m}{2}-8} - 1 \geq 1$ . Therefore, for  $m \geq 18$

$$|\#V_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(H)| \geq 2^{8+\frac{m}{2}} - 1 \geq 2^{17} - 1.$$

Additionally, the number of zeros of  $H(X, 0) = X^{16} + X^4$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is 2 which is strictly less than  $|\#V_{\mathbb{F}_{q^2}}(H)|$  for  $m \geq 18$ . Hence,  $H(X, Y)$  must have a zero  $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q \times \mathbb{F}_q$  with  $Y \neq 0$ , implying that  $F(X)$  is not a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  for  $m \geq 18$ . Furthermore, using SageMath, we confirm that this result holds for smaller values of  $m$ , such as  $m = 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. QM INEQUIVALENCE WITH KNOWN RELATED PERMUTATION TRINOMIALS OF $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$

TABLE 1. The known permutation trinomials of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ .

| $i$ | $r$ | $h(X)$             | Conditions on $m$                         | $f_i(X)$                        | Reference                            |
|-----|-----|--------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1   | 3   | $1 + X + X^3$      | $m$ is odd                                | $X^3 + X^{q+2} + X^{3q}$        | [25, Theorem 4.2]                    |
| 2   | 3   | $1 + X^2 + X^3$    | $m$ is odd                                | $X^3 + X^{2q+1} + X^{3q}$       | [25, Theorem 4.1]                    |
| 3   | 2   | $1 + X^2 + X^3$    | $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$                          | $X^2 + X^{2q} + X^{3q-1}$       | [6, Theorem 3.3]                     |
| 4   | 4   | $1 + X + X^3$      | $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$                          | $X^4 + X^{q+3} + X^{3q+1}$      | [6, Theorem 3.1] [14, Theorem 2.6]   |
| 5   | 3   | $1 + X^3 + X^4$    | $m$ is odd                                | $X^3 + X^{3q} + X^{4q-1}$       | [6, Theorem 3.5]                     |
| 6   | 5   | $1 + X + X^4$      | $m$ is odd                                | $X^5 + X^{q+4} + X^{4q+1}$      | [6, Theorem 3.4] [14, Theorem 2.8]   |
| 7   | 5   | $1 + X^3 + X^4$    | $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$                     | $X^5 + X^{3q+2} + X^{4q+1}$     | [25, Theorem 3.1]                    |
| 8   | 4   | $1 + X + X^5$      | $m \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$                  | $X^4 + X^{q+3} + X^{5q-1}$      | [14, Theorem 2.7]                    |
| 9   | 5   | $1 + X + X^5$      | $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$                     | $X^5 + X^{q+4} + X^{5q}$        | [14, Theorem 2.4] [25, Theorem 4.3]  |
| 10  | 5   | $X + X^2 + X^5$    | $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$                     | $X^{q+4} + X^{2q+3} + X^{5q}$   | [25, Theorem 3.2]                    |
| 11  | 5   | $1 + X^4 + X^5$    | $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$                     | $X^5 + X^{4q+1} + X^{5q}$       | [25, Theorem 4.4]                    |
| 12  | 5   | $1 + X^2 + X^6$    | $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \gcd(m, 3) = 1$ | $X^5 + X^{2q+3} + X^{6q-1}$     | [14, Theorem 2.10] [23, Theorem 3.4] |
| 13  | 5   | $1 + X^5 + X^6$    | $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \gcd(m, 3) = 1$ | $X^5 + X^{5q} + X^{6q-1}$       | [23, Theorem 3.2]                    |
| 14  | 7   | $1 + X + X^6$      | $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$                          | $X^7 + X^{q+6} + X^{6q+1}$      | [23, Theorem 3.1]                    |
| 15  | 7   | $1 + X^4 + X^6$    | $\gcd(m, 3) = 1$                          | $X^7 + X^{4q+3} + X^{6q+1}$     | [23, Theorem 3.3]                    |
| 16  | 7   | $1 + X^5 + X^7$    | $m$ is even, $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$    | $X^7 + X^{5q+2} + X^{7q}$       | This paper                           |
| 17  | 9   | $1 + X^6 + X^8$    | $m$ is odd                                | $X^9 + X^{6q+3} + X^{8q+1}$     | This paper                           |
| 18  | 11  | $1 + X^4 + X^{10}$ | $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$                 | $X^{11} + X^{4q+7} + X^{10q+1}$ | This paper                           |

A natural question arises whether the proposed permutation trinomials are new or not, and QM equivalence plays an important role in addressing this question. However, determining QM equivalence between two permutation polynomials is not trivial, since there is no general method for this evaluation. The following definition of QM equivalence introduced by Wu, Yuan, Ding and Ma [22] is well known and widely used in the literature; see [5, 23].

**Definition 4.1.** [22] Two permutation polynomials  $f(X)$  and  $g(X)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$  are called quasi-multiplicative equivalent if there exists an integer  $1 \leq d < q - 1$  with  $\gcd(d, q - 1) = 1$  and  $f(X) = \alpha g(\beta X^d)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .

Here, we conduct an elementary investigation into the QM equivalence and show that the classes of permutation trinomials proposed in this paper are not QM equivalent to each other. We also show that our permutation trinomials are not QM equivalent to the known permutation trinomials mentioned in Table 1. We recall the strategy introduced in [18] to show the QM inequivalence between the permutation polynomials  $F(X) = X^r(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{\beta(q-1)} + 1)$  and  $G(X) = aX^A + bX^B + cX^C \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$  through the following two steps.

**Step 1.** By showing the nonexistence of any positive integer  $1 \leq d \leq q^2 - 2$  such that  $\gcd(d, q^2 - 1) = 1$  and  $\{r, r + \alpha(q - 1), r + \beta(q - 1)\} \pmod{q^2 - 1} = \{Ad, Bd, Cd\} \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , where  $A \pmod{q^2 - 1} = \{a \pmod{q^2 - 1} \mid a \in A\}$ .

**Step 2.** By comparing the coefficients of  $F(X)$  with  $A_1G(A_2X^d)$ , it can be shown that there does not exist any  $A_1, A_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  such that  $F(X) = A_1G(A_2X^d)$ .

If the condition in Step 1 holds for every  $1 \leq d \leq q^2 - 1$ , then  $F(X)$  and  $G(X)$  are QM inequivalent. Otherwise, we proceed by Step 2. If both steps fail to satisfy the required conditions, we conclude that  $F(X)$  and  $G(X)$  are QM equivalent, denoted as  $F(X) \cong G(X)$ . For completeness, we present the following lemma to investigate the QM equivalence of the permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  for  $m = 1$  and  $2$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** [23, Theorem 4.2] *Let  $F(X) = X^r(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{\beta(q-1)} + 1)$  be a permutation trinomial of  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , where  $\alpha > \beta$  and  $r$  are positive integers. Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $F(X) \cong X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$  if and only if  $3 \mid \alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta)$ .
- (2)  $F(X) \cong X(X^2 + X + 1)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^2}$  if and only if  $3 \nmid \alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta)$ .
- (3)  $F(X) \cong X$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^4}$  if and only if  $5 \mid \alpha\beta(\alpha - \beta)$ .

**Remark 4.3.** *Notice that for  $m = 1$  and  $m = 2$ ,  $F_1$  and  $F_3$  are QM equivalent to  $G(X) = X$ , using Lemma 4.2. Similarly, for  $m = 1$ ,  $F_2$  is QM equivalent to  $G(X) = X$ .*

We now discuss the QM equivalences among the permutation trinomials proposed in this paper. First, we see that the classes presented in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 are not QM equivalent for odd  $m$  and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $m > 1$  is odd,  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$  and  $q = 2^m$ , then  $F_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{10(q-1)} + X^{4(q-1)} + 1)$  and  $F_2(X) = X^9(X^{8(q-1)} + X^{6(q-1)} + 1)$  are not QM equivalent.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  be QM equivalent, then there exists an integer  $1 \leq d \leq q^2 - 2$  such that  $\gcd(d, q^2 - 1) = 1$  and

$$\{11, 4q + 7, 10q + 1\} \pmod{q^2 - 1} = \{9d, (6q + 3)d, (8q + 1)d\} \pmod{q^2 - 1}.$$

Now, we need to consider the following three cases.

**Case 1.** Let  $11 \equiv 9d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . Since  $3 \mid (q^2 - 1)$ , then if  $11 \equiv 9d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$  is true for some  $d$ , we have  $3 \mid 11$ , which is not possible.

**Case 2.** Assume that  $11 \equiv (6q + 3)d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . The argument used in Case 1 also applies here.

**Case 3.** Here, we consider  $11 \equiv (8q + 1)d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . From this, we have either  $4q + 7 \equiv 9d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$  or  $4q + 7 \equiv (6q + 3)d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . First, we suppose that  $4q + 7 \equiv 9d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . Then, we obtain

$$11 \equiv (8q + 1)d = 8(q - 1)d + 9d = 8(q - 1)d + (4q + 7) \pmod{q^2 - 1},$$

or equivalently,  $8(q - 1)d + 4(q - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . This implies that  $2d + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ . Again, expressing  $11 \equiv (8q + 1)d = 8(q + 1)d - 7d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , it follows that  $7d + 11 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ . By using equations  $2d + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$  and  $7d + 11 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ , we get that  $5(d + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ . As  $m$  is odd and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , we have  $\gcd(5, q + 1) = 1$ . This further renders that  $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ , which, on combining with  $2d + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ , leads to the conclusion that  $3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ , resulting in a contradiction.

If  $4q + 7 \equiv (6q + 3)d \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , then, by a similar argument as above, one can deduce  $d + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$  and  $7d + 11 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ . These relations yield  $3 \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$ , which is not possible. Summarizing the above discussion, we get the desired claim.  $\square$

In the following proposition, we prove that the classes presented in Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.4 are QM inequivalent.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Let  $m$  be an even positive integer such that  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . Let  $q = 2^m$ , then  $F_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{10(q-1)} + X^{4(q-1)} + 1)$  and  $F_3(X) = X^7(X^{7(q-1)} + X^{5(q-1)} + 1)$  are not QM equivalent.*

*Proof.* Suppose that the permutation trinomials  $F_1$  and  $F_3$  are QM equivalent. Then there exists an integer  $1 \leq d \leq q^2 - 2$  such that  $\gcd(d, q^2 - 1) = 1$  and

$$(4.1) \quad \{11, 4q + 7, 10q + 1\} \pmod{q^2 - 1} = \{7d, (5q + 2)d, 7qd\} \pmod{q^2 - 1}.$$

Thus, for the above statement to hold, we analyze the following six possible cases, all within modulo  $(q^2 - 1)$ .

$$(i) \begin{cases} 11 = 7d \\ 4q + 7 = (5q + 2)d \\ 10q + 1 = 7qd \end{cases} \quad (ii) \begin{cases} 11 = 7d \\ 4q + 7 = 7qd \\ 10q + 1 = (5q + 2)d \end{cases} \quad (iii) \begin{cases} 11 = (5q + 2)d \\ 4q + 7 = 7d \\ 10q + 1 = 7qd \end{cases}$$

$$(iv) \begin{cases} 11 = (5q + 2)d \\ 4q + 7 = 7qd \\ 10q + 1 = 7d \end{cases} \quad (v) \begin{cases} 11 = 7qd \\ 4q + 7 = (5q + 2)d \\ 10q + 1 = 7d \end{cases} \quad (vi) \begin{cases} 11 = 7qd \\ 4q + 7 = 7d \\ 10q + 1 = (5q + 2)d. \end{cases}$$

- (i) In this case, we have  $10q+1 \equiv 7qd \equiv 11q \pmod{q^2-1}$ . This leads to congruence  $(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$ , which is not possible.
- (ii) Since  $\gcd(3, m) = 1$ , we obtain  $\gcd(7, q^2 - 1) = 1$ . Now, from the congruence  $4q + 7 \equiv 11q \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , it follows that  $7(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , which is not possible because  $\gcd(7, q^2 - 1) = 1$ .
- (iii) Using the congruences  $4q+7 \equiv 7d \pmod{q^2-1}$  and  $10q+1 \equiv 7qd \pmod{q^2-1}$ , we derive

$$4q^2 - 3q - 1 \equiv 3(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1}.$$

This is not possible because  $m$  is an even positive integer and  $\gcd(3, q+1) = 1$ . Similarly, for cases (iv), (v), and (vi), we arrive at the congruent relations  $3(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$ ,  $q-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$  and  $7(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$ , respectively. Therefore, we can conclude that no positive integer  $d$  satisfies Equation (4.1) with  $\gcd(d, q^2 - 1) = 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we recall the following result, which gives the QM equivalence of the permutation trinomials listed in Table 1.

**Lemma 4.6.** [23, Theorem 4.1] *Let  $f_i(X) = X^{r_i}h_i(X^{q-1})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 12$ , as mentioned in Table 1. Then the following hold over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , where  $q = 2^m$*

- (1)  $f_1(X) = X^3(X^{3(q-1)} + X^{q-1} + 1) \cong f_2(x) = X^3(X^{3(q-1)} + X^{2(q-1)} + 1)$ .
- (2)  $f_3(X) = X^2(X^{3(q-1)} + X^{2(q-1)} + 1) \cong f_4(X) = X^4(X^{3(q-1)} + X^{(q-1)} + 1)$ .
- (3)  $f_5(X) = X^3(X^{4(q-1)} + X^{3(q-1)} + 1) \cong f_6(X) = X^5(X^{4(q-1)} + X^{(q-1)} + 1)$ .
- (4)  $f_7(X) = X^5(X^{4(q-1)} + X^{3(q-1)} + 1) \cong f_{10}(X) = X^5(X^{5(q-1)} + X^{2(q-1)} + X^{(q-1)})$ .
- (5)  $f_9(X) = X^5(X^{5(q-1)} + X^{q-1} + 1) \cong f_{11}(X) = X^5(X^{5(q-1)} + X^{4(q-1)} + 1)$ .

In the following theorem, we show that the proposed class of permutation trinomials, given by  $F_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{4(q-1)} + X^{10(q-1)} + 1)$  is QM inequivalent to all previously known permutation trinomials  $f_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 15\}$  listed in Table 1. The QM

equivalence between the other permutation trinomials identified in this paper and the known ones can be investigated in a similar manner.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $F_1(X) = X^{11}(X^{10(q-1)} + X^{4(q-1)} + 1)$ . Then  $F_1$  is QM inequivalent to all the permutation trinomials  $f_i$  listed in Table 1 for  $1 \leq i \leq 15$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .*

*Proof.* First, observe that Step I is enough to determine the QM inequivalence of the permutation trinomial  $F_1$  with  $f_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, 15$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ . Let  $f_i(X)$  be expressed as  $X^a + X^b + X^c$ . Then, it is sufficient to show that there does not exist any integer  $1 \leq d \leq q^2 - 2$  with  $\gcd(d, q^2 - 1) = 1$ , satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad \{11, 4q + 7, 10q + 1\} \pmod{q^2-1} = \{ad, bd, cd\} \pmod{q^2-1},$$

or

$$(4.3) \quad \{11d, (4q + 7)d, (10q + 1)d\} \pmod{q^2-1} = \{a, b, c\} \pmod{q^2-1}.$$

According to Lemma 4.6, we only need to see the QM equivalence of  $F_1$  with  $f_i$  for  $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15\}$ . Let us first assume that  $F_1 \cong f_1$ . Then, it follows that

$$\{11d, (4q + 7)d, (10q + 1)d\} \pmod{q^2-1} = \{3, 3q, 4q - 1\} \pmod{q^2-1}.$$

Clearly, neither  $11d \equiv 3 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , nor  $11d \equiv 3q \pmod{q^2 - 1}$  holds, as  $3 \nmid 11$ . Therefore, the only possibility is  $11d \equiv 4q - 1 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . Further, assuming that  $(10q + 1)d \equiv 3 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$  and  $(4q + 7)d \equiv 3q \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , and solving these congruence relations simultaneously leads to  $q + 1 \mid 3$ , a contradiction. Similarly, a contradiction arises in the case where  $(10q + 1)d \equiv 3q \pmod{q^2 - 1}$  and  $(4q + 7)d \equiv 3 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ . Hence,  $F_1$  is not QM equivalent to  $f_1$ . Using similar arguments and reasoning from the proof of Proposition 4.5, we conclude that  $F_1$  is QM inequivalent to  $f_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, 3, 5, 9, 13\}$ , as the exponents of these trinomials satisfy the conditions  $b = aq$  or  $c = aq$ .

Next, we suppose that  $F_1 \cong f_7$ . This renders the following equality

$$\{11d, (4q + 7)d, (10q + 1)d\} \pmod{q^2-1} = \{5, 3q + 2, 4q + 1\} \pmod{q^2-1}.$$

Recall that  $F_1$  and  $f_7$  are permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if and only if  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ . This implies  $5 \mid q^2 - 1$ . Now, considering the three possible cases:  $11d \equiv 5 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , or  $(4q + 7)d \equiv 5 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , or  $(10q + 1)d \equiv 5 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , we obtain the congruences  $11 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , or  $2(2q + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , or  $1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ , respectively. Since these congruences are not possible, it follows that  $F_1 \not\cong f_7$ . Similarly, one can see that  $F_1 \not\cong f_{12}$ . Now, the QM equivalences of  $F_1$  with  $f_i$  for each  $i \in \{8, 14, 15\}$  remain to be considered. First, assume that  $F_1 \cong f_8$ . To validate this assumption, considering six possible cases and using Equation (4.2), we arrive at the congruence relation  $A(q - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2 - 1}$ , where



$A \in \{10, 46, 44, 20, 14, 34\}$ . These congruences imply that  $F_1 \not\cong f_8$ . Next, suppose that  $F_1 \cong f_{14}$ . From Equation (4.2), we derive the congruence relation  $B(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{q^2-1}$ , where  $B \in \{14, 56, 54, 26, 30, 40\}$ . Again, this leads to the conclusion that  $F_1 \not\cong f_{14}$ . Similarly, it can be shown that  $F_1 \not\cong f_{15}$ .  $\square$

Next, we provide a proof of conjecture from [23] by explicitly determining the value of the exponent  $d$ . As part of the proof, we begin with the following lemma, which is used in our argument.

**Lemma 4.8.** *Let  $m \geq 1$  be an integer,  $q = p^m$ , and  $F(X) = X^r(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{\beta(q-1)} + 1)$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $r$  are positive integers. If  $\gcd(\alpha, \beta, r, q+1) \neq 1$ , then  $F$  is not a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  and  $\gcd(\alpha, \beta, r, q+1) \neq 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}^* = \langle \omega \rangle$  and  $Y = \omega^{\frac{q^2-1}{\gamma}}$ , where  $\gamma = \gcd(\alpha, \beta, r, q+1)$ . Next, we compute

$$F(Y) = \omega^{\frac{r}{\gamma}(q^2-1)} \left( \omega^{\frac{\alpha(q-1)}{\gamma}(q^2-1)} + \omega^{\frac{\beta(q-1)}{\gamma}(q^2-1)} + 1 \right) = F(1).$$

This contradicts the fact that  $F$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.** [23] *Let  $r, m$  and  $\alpha > \beta$  be positive integers and  $q$  be any prime power. If  $F(X) = X^r(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{\beta(q-1)} + 1)$  and  $G(X) = X^{2\alpha-r}(X^{\alpha(q-1)} + X^{(\alpha-\beta)(q-1)} + 1)$  permutes  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , then they are QM equivalent.*

*Proof.* We know that two permutation trinomials  $F(X)$  and  $G(X)$  are QM equivalent over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  if the sets of exponents of  $F(X)$  and  $G(X)$ , namely  $A$  and  $B$  satisfy the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{mod } (q^2-1)} &= \{rd + \alpha(q-1)d, rd + \beta(q-1)d, rd\}_{\text{mod } (q^2-1)} \\ &= \{2\alpha - r + \alpha(q-1), 2\alpha - r + (\alpha - \beta)(q-1), 2\alpha - r\} = B_{\text{mod } (q^2-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, on comparing the sets  $A_{\text{mod } (q^2-1)}$  and  $B_{\text{mod } (q^2-1)}$ , we have six possible cases. However, only one among the six cases hold true, stated as follows

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{cases} rd + \alpha(q-1)d &\equiv 2\alpha - r \pmod{q^2-1}, \\ rd + \beta(q-1)d &\equiv \alpha - r + (\alpha - \beta)(q-1) \pmod{q^2-1}, \\ rd &\equiv 2\alpha - r + \alpha(q-1) \pmod{q^2-1}. \end{cases}$$

This is because we can discard the remaining five possible cases, using the argument that  $q+1$  does not divide any of the elements in the set  $\{2\alpha\beta - \alpha r, \alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \alpha\beta, \alpha^2 - \beta^2, \beta^2 - 2\alpha\beta\}$  for large  $q$ .

First, suppose that  $q$  is even. We simplify System (4.4) to obtain the following system

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} (d+1)\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}, \text{ where } \eta \in \{\alpha, \beta, r\}, \\ (d+1)r \equiv \alpha(q+1) \pmod{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

We now split our analysis into the following two cases:

**Case 1.** Let  $\gcd(\alpha, q+1) = 1$ , or  $\gcd(\beta, q+1) = 1$ , or  $\gcd(r, q+1) = 1$ . WLOG assume  $\gcd(\alpha, q+1) = 1$ . Then, from System (4.5), we can write

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv -1 \pmod{q+1}, \\ d \equiv (\alpha(q+1))r^{-1} - 1 \pmod{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

We now apply Chinese Remainder Theorem 2.5 on the above system as  $\gcd(q-1, q+1) = 1$ . Assume that  $n_1 = q+1, n_2 = q-1$  and  $N = q^2 - 1$ . Then  $N_1 = \frac{N}{n_1} = q-1$  and  $N_2 = \frac{N}{n_2} = q+1$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that the multiplicative inverse of  $N_1$  modulo  $n_1$  and the multiplicative inverse of  $N_2$  modulo  $n_2$  are both  $2^{m-1}$ . Therefore, following the proof of CRT, we get

$$d \equiv -q^2 + 2^{m-1}\alpha r^{-1}(q+1)^2 \pmod{q^2-1}.$$

Similarly, when  $\gcd(\beta, q+1) = 1$  or  $\gcd(r, q+1) = 1$ , we reach the same value of  $d$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $\gcd(\alpha, q+1) = \delta_1 \neq 1$ ,  $\gcd(\beta, q+1) = \delta_2 \neq 1$  and  $\gcd(r, q+1) = \delta_3 \neq 1$ , then we have,

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv -1 \pmod{(q+1)/\delta_i} \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ d \equiv \alpha(q+1)r^{-1} - 1 \pmod{q-1}. \end{cases}$$

Since,  $\gcd(q-1, (q+1)/\delta_i) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ , we can apply the CRT to the above system independently for each  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  to obtain

$$(4.6) \quad d \equiv -q^2 + 2^{m-1}\alpha r^{-1}(q+1)^2 \pmod{(q^2-1)/\delta_i}, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

We further consider the following two subcases depending upon  $\gcd(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3)$ .

**Subcase 2(a).** Suppose that  $\gcd(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) = 1$ . From Equation (4.6), we get  $d \equiv -q^2 + 2^{m-1}\alpha r^{-1}(q+1)^2 \pmod{\ell}$ ,  $\ell = \text{lcm}((q^2-1)/\delta_1, (q^2-1)/\delta_2, (q^2-1)/\delta_3)$ . After some elementary calculations, we obtain  $\ell = q^2 - 1$ . Thus, we can write  $d \equiv -q^2 + 2^{m-1}\alpha r^{-1}(q+1)^2 \pmod{q^2-1}$ .

**Subcase 2(b).** Let  $\gcd(\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3) \neq 1$ . From Lemma 4.8, we see that  $F$  is not a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , a contradiction.

Summarizing the above discussion, we get  $d \equiv -q^2 + 2^{m-1}\alpha r^{-1}(q+1)^2 \pmod{q^2-1}$  or  $d = \alpha(q+1)r^{-1} - 1$ . Moreover, note that  $\gcd(d, q^2-1) = 1$ . This completes the proof for  $q$  as an even prime power.

Next, we consider the scenario where  $q$  is an odd prime power. Let  $n$  be a positive integer such that  $2^n \mid (q+1)$  and  $2^{n+1} \nmid (q+1)$ . Given that  $\gcd(r, q-1) = 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $s$  such that  $rs \equiv 1 \pmod{2^n(q-1)}$ . We deduce the following equations from System (4.4)

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{cases} (d+1)\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}, \text{ where } \eta \in \{\alpha, \beta, r\}, \\ d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{2^n(q-1)}. \end{cases}$$

Now, we analyze the following two possible cases.

**Case 1.** Let  $\gcd(\alpha, q+1) = 1$ , or  $\gcd(\beta, q+1) = 1$ , or  $\gcd(r, q+1) = 1$ . WLOG assume  $\gcd(\alpha, q+1) = 1$ . Then, from System (4.7), we have

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv -1 \pmod{(q+1)/2}, \\ d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q-1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\gcd((q+1)/2, (q-1)/2) = 1$ , we apply CRT to the above system of equations and obtain that  $d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q^2-1)/4}$ .

**Case 2.** Suppose that  $\lambda_1 = \gcd(\alpha, q+1) \neq 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \gcd(\beta, q+1) \neq 1$  and  $\lambda_3 = \gcd(r, q+1) \neq 1$ . Now, we need to examine the following two subcases:

**Subcase 2(a).** Assume that  $\gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = 1$ . Then, one can write  $\lambda_i = 2^k \delta_i$  with  $k \in \{0, 1\}$ , depending on whether  $\lambda$  is odd or even, respectively. This leads to the following system

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv -1 \pmod{(q+1)/2\delta_i} \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \\ d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q-1)/2}. \end{cases}$$

By applying CRT to the system of equations provided above, we can derive  $d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q^2-1)/4\delta_i}$ , where  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . This further results in  $d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{\ell}$ , where  $\ell$  denotes  $\text{lcm}((q^2-1)/4\delta_1, (q^2-1)/4\delta_2, (q^2-1)/4\delta_3)$ . In the similar manner as the case of even characteristic, one can compute  $\ell$  and show that

$$(4.8) \quad d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q^2-1)/4}.$$

**Subcase 2(b).** Let  $\gcd(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \neq 1$ . From Lemma 4.8, we observe that  $F$  is not a permutation polynomial over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ , a contradiction.

Notice that the obtained congruence relations in both cases are the same. From last equation of the System (4.7) and Equation (4.8), we have the following system of equations

$$\begin{cases} d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{(q^2-1)/4}, \\ d \equiv \alpha(q+1)s - 1 \pmod{2^n(q-1)}. \end{cases}$$

One can easily see that  $\text{lcm}((q^2 - 1)/4, 2^n(q - 1)) = q^2 - 1$ . Therefore, we have

$$d \equiv \alpha(q + 1)s - 1 \pmod{q^2 - 1}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 4.10.** *After independently proving Conjecture [23, Conjecture 1] in Theorem 4.9, we discovered that it had already been established as a special case of a recent result by Yadav, Singh, and Gupta [24, Theorem 3.3]. However, our proof differs significantly from theirs, particularly in that we explicitly compute the exponent  $d$  rather than assuming it, as was done in their work. Thus, we believe it is still worthwhile to include this proof in our paper.*

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we construct three new classes of permutation trinomials over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ . This work extends the results of [23], where the authors introduced some classes of permutation trinomials with  $\alpha = 6$ . Here, we give three new classes of permutation trinomials with  $\alpha > 6$  and  $r \geq 7$  using basic arithmetic and SageMath. Moreover, using some algebraic geometry tools, we show that a specific class of trinomials does not permute  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$ . Finally, we analyze the QM equivalence among the newly determined permutation trinomials and the previously known ones.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Akbary, Q. Wang, On polynomials of the form  $X^r f(X^{(q-1)/l})$ , Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2007) 023408.
- [2] Y. Aubry, M. Perret, *A Weil theorem for singular curves*, in: *Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory*, Luminy, 1993, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996, 1–7.
- [3] D. Bartoli, M. Bonini, *A short note on polynomials  $f(X) = X + AX^{1+q^2(q-1)/4} + BX^{1+3q^2(q-1)/4} \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}[X]$ ,  $q$  even*, J. Algebra Appl. 22 (2023) 2350144.
- [4] D. Bartoli, M. Timpanella, *On trinomials of type  $X^{n+m}(1 + AX^{m(q-1)} + BX^{n(q-1)})$ ,  $n, m$  odd, over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ,  $q = 2^{2s+1}$* , Finite Fields Appl. 72 (2021) 101816.
- [5] R. Gupta, P. Gahlyan, R. K. Sharma, *New classes of permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^3}$* , Finite Fields Appl. 84 (2022) 102110.
- [6] R. Gupta, R. Sharma, *Some new classes of permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. 41 (2016) 89–96.
- [7] X. Hou, *Permutation polynomials over finite fields - a survey of recent advances*, Finite Fields Appl. 32 (2015) 82–119.
- [8] X. Hou, *A survey of permutation binomials and trinomials over finite fields*, Proceedings of the 11th International Conference on Finite Fields and Their Applications, Contemp. Math, 632 (2015) 177–191.
- [9] X. Hou, *Applications of the Hasse–Weil bound to permutation polynomials*, Finite Fields Appl. 54 (2018) 113–132.

- [10] X. Hou, C. Sze, *On a type of permutation rational functions over finite fields*, Finite Fields Appl. 68 (2020) 101758.
- [11] N. Li, T. Helleseht, *Several classes of permutation trinomials from Niho exponents*, Cryptogr. Commun. 9(6) (2017) 693–705.
- [12] N. Li, T. Helleseht, *New permutation trinomials from Niho exponents over finite fields with even characteristic*, Cryptogr. Commun. 11 (2019) 129–136.
- [13] K. Li, L. Qu, X. Chen, C. Li, *Permutation polynomials of the form  $cX + \text{Tr}_{q^1/q}(X^a)$  and permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Cryptogr. Commun. 10 (2018) 531–554.
- [14] K. Li, L. Qu, C. Li, S. Fu, *New permutation trinomials constructed from fractional polynomials*, Acta Arith. 183 (2016) 101–116.
- [15] N. Li, X. Zeng, *A survey on the applications of Niho exponents*, Cryptogr. Commun. 11 (2019) 509–548.
- [16] F. Özbudak, B. G. Temür, *Complete characterization of some permutation polynomials of the form  $X^r(1 + aX^{s_1(q-1)} + bX^{s_2(q-1)})$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$* , Cryptogr. Commun. 15(4) (2023) 775–793.
- [17] Y.H. Park, J.B. Lee, *Permutation polynomials and group permutation polynomials*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 63 (2001) 67–74.
- [18] Z. Tu, X. Zeng, C. Li, T. Helleseht, *A class of new permutation trinomials*, Finite Fields Appl. 50 (2018) 178–195.
- [19] D. Wan, R. Lidl, *Permutation polynomials of the form of  $f(X^{(q-1)^d})$  and their group structure*, Monatshefte Math. 112 (1991) 149–163.
- [20] Q. Wang, *Cyclotomic mapping permutation polynomials over finite fields*, in: Sequences, Subsequences, and Consequences, in: Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 4893, Springer, Berlin, 2007, pp. 119–128.
- [21] Q. Wang, *A survey of compositional inverses of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. (2024) 1–40.
- [22] D. Wu, P. Yuan, C. Ding, Y. Ma, *Permutation trinomials over  $\mathbb{F}_{2^m}$* , Finite Fields Appl. 46 (2017) 38–56.
- [23] A.A. Yadav, I. Gupta, H. Singh, A. Yadav, *New classes of permutation trinomials of  $\mathbb{F}_{2^{2m}}$* , Finite Fields Appl. 96 (2024).
- [24] A.A. Yadav, H. Singh, I. Gupta, *A note on QM equivalence of known permutation polynomials*, Cryptogr. Commun. (2025) <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-025-00775-w>.
- [25] Z. Zha, L. Hu, S. Fan, *Further results on permutation trinomials over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. 45 (2017) 43–52.
- [26] L. Zheng, H. Kan, J. Peng, *Two classes of permutation trinomials with Niho exponents over finite fields with even characteristic*, Finite Fields Appl. 68 (2020) 101754.
- [27] M.E. Zieve, *Some families of permutation polynomials over finite fields*, Int. J. Number Theory 04 (05) (2008) 851–857.
- [28] M.E. Zieve, *Permutation polynomials on  $\mathbb{F}_q$  induced from bijective redei functions on subgroups of the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$* , (2013) <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1310.0776> .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAMMU, JAMMU 181221,  
INDIA

*Email address:* 2020RMA1030@iitjammu.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAMMU, JAMMU 181221,  
INDIA

*Email address:* sartaj.hasan@iitjammu.ac.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY JAMMU, JAMMU 181221,  
INDIA

*Email address:* 7091chandankvis@gmail.com