

RESTRICTION OF MODULAR FORMS ON $E_{7,3}$ TO Sp_6

HENRY H. KIM AND TAKUYA YAMAUCHI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the restriction of modular forms such as Ikeda type lifts and the Eisenstein series on the exceptional group of type $E_{7,3}$ to the symplectic group Sp_6 (rank 3). As an application, we explicitly write down the restriction when modular forms have small weight. The restriction may contain Miyawaki lifts of type I,II (CAP forms) and genuine forms whose description is compatible with Arthur's classification.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Review of the exceptional domain	3
3. Number of imaginary octonions of a given norm	5
4. Explicit formulas for Fourier coefficients	7
4.1. The Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series	7
4.2. Ikeda type lift on \mathfrak{T}	8
5. Siegel modular forms of Sp_6 of level one	10
6. Restriction of Modular forms on $E_{7,3}$ to Sp_6	10
6.1. Weight 20 Ikeda type lift	13
6.2. Restriction of Eisenstein series to Sp_6	14
7. Diagonal Restriction	18
7.1. Eisenstein series	18
7.2. Ikeda type lift	19
8. Appendix A: All $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ with $T_1 = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2)$	20
9. Appendix B: Arthur's classification for holomorphic Siegel modular forms on Sp_6	25

Date: February 21, 2025.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11F46, 11F55, Secondary 11F70, 22E55.

Key words and phrases. Modular forms on exceptional groups, Siegel modular forms of degree 3, restriction technique.

The first author is partially supported by NSERC grant #482564.

9.1. CAP representations of Sp_6	27
9.2. Endoscopic representations of Sp_6	29
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{A} be the ring of adèles of \mathbb{Q} . Let G be a reductive group over \mathbb{Q} and H a subgroup of G which is also defined over \mathbb{Q} . The restriction of an automorphic form on $G(\mathbb{A})$ to $H(\mathbb{A})$ has been an interesting object as in the Gan-Gross-Prasad conjecture. The block restriction or diagonal restriction of Siegel modular forms has been a useful way to study the graded ring of Siegel modular forms or to construct Siegel modular forms from known forms (cf. [5]). The first important question is whether or not the restriction is non-vanishing. Then, if it is non-vanishing, we would be concerned with describing it in terms of automorphic forms on $H(\mathbb{A})$.

In this paper, we study the restriction of holomorphic modular forms on the exceptional group of type $E_{7,3}$ to the symplectic group Sp_6 of rank 3. It is known that $G_2^c \times Sp_6 \subset E_{7,3}$ is an exceptional dual pair where G_2^c is the anisotropic form of G_2 (cf. [11]). Thus, for any modular form F on $E_{7,3}$ of level one, $F|_{Sp_6}$ can be regarded as the integral of the product of the constant function $1_{G_2^c}$ on G_2^c and $F|_{G_2^c \times Sp_6}$ against $G_2^c(\mathbb{A})$. If F is an Ikeda type lift constructed in [18], any non-archimedean component of the cuspidal representation Π_F attached to F is a degenerate principal series for the Siegel parabolic subgroup P inside $E_{7,3}$. Thus, the restriction $F|_{Sp_6}$ is similar to the setting of the exceptional theta correspondence (cf. [21, 11]) or Miyawaki-type construction [12, 19, ?]. However, the double coset space $P \backslash G / (G_2^c \times Sp_6)$ may not be finite by [8] and thus, we may not expect a usual doubling method in our setting. Further, for each prime p , $\Pi_{F,p}$ is far from the minimal representation, and hence we cannot use the techniques in [21, 11]. Instead, in this paper, we study the restriction by partially computing the Fourier coefficients $A_F(T)$ of F when F is an Ikeda type lift or an Eisenstein series for the indices T 's with a particular shape. Reflecting the facts that $\Pi_{F,p}$ is not the minimal representation, and the double coset $P \backslash G / (G_2^c \times Sp_6)$ has positive dimension, the restriction of each Ikeda type lift may contain various components including genuine forms. This is indeed observed in Section 6.

We organize this paper as follows. In Section 2, we reformulate the restriction problem in terms of the classical language, namely, we recall the definition of the modular forms on the exceptional domain and its restriction to the Siegel upper half-plane of degree 3. In Section 3, we compute

the number of imaginary octonions which satisfy various properties. It is of independent interest. Several results will be used in Section 6. In Section 4, we recall an explicit form of the Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series and Ikeda type lift on \mathfrak{I} . In Section 5, we review Siegel modular forms of degree 3 and level one from Yuen-Poor-Shurman [24], and in Section 6, we compute the restriction of the Ikeda type lift of weight 20 on $E_{7,3}$ to Sp_6 . We also compute the restriction of the Eisenstein series of low weights on $E_{7,3}$ to Sp_6 . In Section 7, we consider the diagonal restriction of the Eisenstein series and the Ikeda type lift. In the Appendix A, we compute all $T \in \mathfrak{J}_{>0}$ such that the restriction to half-integral matrices is $\text{diag}(2, 2, 2)$. In the Appendix B, we show, by using Arthur's classification, that all Hecke eigen holomorphic Siegel modular forms of degree 3 with level 1 and the scalar weight $k \geq 4$ are either of Miyawaki lift of type I, II, or genuine forms. In particular, no endoscopic forms show up. In the course of the proof, we also describe cuspidal representations attached to Miyawaki lifts in terms of CAP representations.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Cris Poor for helpful discussions and his encouragement.

2. REVIEW OF THE EXCEPTIONAL DOMAIN

We will freely use the notations from [18, Section 2] (see also [3, 16]). Let $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^7 \mathbb{Q}e_i$ and $\mathfrak{o} \subset \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the Cayley numbers (octonions) and integral Cayley numbers (integral octonions), resp. For any \mathbb{Q} -algebra R , an element $x \in \mathfrak{C}_R := \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R$ is said to be imaginary or imaginary octonion if the coefficient of x in e_0 is zero. Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be the exceptional Jordan algebra consisting of matrices

$$X = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} = \begin{pmatrix} a & x & y \\ \bar{x} & b & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & c \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We define the determinant $\det X$ and the trace $\text{Tr}(X)$ by

$$\det X = abc - aN(z) - bN(y) - cN(x) + \text{Tr}((xz)\bar{y}), \quad \text{Tr}(X) = a + b + c.$$

We define a lattice $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})$ of $\mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by

$$\mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z}) = \{X = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid x_{ii} \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } x_{ij} \in \mathfrak{o} \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

For a commutative algebra R , we put $\mathfrak{J}(R) = \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} R$. Recall

$$\mathfrak{J}(R)^{\text{ns}} = \{X \in \mathfrak{J}(R) \mid \det(X) \neq 0\}, \quad R_3^+(R) = \{X^2 \mid X \in \mathfrak{J}(R)^{\text{ns}}\}.$$

We denote by $\overline{R_3^+(\mathbb{R})}$ the closure of $R_3^+(\mathbb{R})$ in $\mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{R}) \simeq \mathbb{R}^{27}$. For a subring A of \mathbb{R} , set

$$\mathfrak{J}(A)_{>0} = \mathfrak{J}(A) \cap R_3^+(\mathbb{R}) \text{ and } \mathfrak{J}(A)_{\geq 0} = \mathfrak{J}(A) \cap \overline{R_3^+(\mathbb{R})}.$$

For a given $T = \begin{pmatrix} a & x & y \\ \bar{x} & b & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(A)$, $T \in \mathfrak{J}(A)_{\geq 0}$ if and only if

$$\det(T) \geq 0, \quad ab - N(x) \geq 0, \quad ac - N(y) \geq 0, \quad bc - N(z) \geq 0.$$

Similarly, $T \in \mathfrak{J}(A)_{>0}$ if and only if

$$\det(T) > 0, \quad ab - N(x) > 0, \quad ac - N(y) > 0, \quad bc - N(z) > 0.$$

Then the exceptional domain is given by

$$\mathfrak{Z} := \{Z = X + Y\sqrt{-1} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}} \mid X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{R}}, Y \in R_3^+(\mathbb{R})\}$$

which is a complex analytic subspace of \mathbb{C}^{27} and it is the Hermitian symmetric space for the exceptional group of type $E_{7,3}$.

Let \mathbb{H}_3 be the Siegel upper half space of degree 3:

$$\mathbb{H}_3 = \{Z_1 = X_1 + Y_1\sqrt{-1} \in M_3(\mathbb{C}) : X_1, Y_1 \text{ real}, Z_1 = {}^t Z_1, Y > 0\}.$$

and it is the Hermitian symmetric space for the symplectic group Sp_6 (of rank 3). It is known that Sp_6 can be regarded as a subgroup of $E_{7,3}$ and it yields a natural embedding from the Siegel upper half space into the exceptional domain: $\mathbb{H}_3 \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{Z}$, $Z_1 \mapsto Z_1$ so that the actions of $Sp_6(\mathbb{R})$ and $E_{7,3}(\mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{H}_3 and \mathfrak{Z} respectively, are compatible with the embedding $Sp_6 \subset E_{7,3}$.

Each $Z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ can be written uniquely as $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$, where $Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1 & z_1 & z_2 \\ z_1 & \tau_2 & z_3 \\ z_2 & z_3 & \tau_3 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}_3$, and

$Z_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & w_1 & w_2 \\ -w_1 & 0 & w_3 \\ -w_2 & -w_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathbb{C}}$, where w_1, w_2, w_3 are imaginary octonions so that $\overline{w_i} = -w_i$. We

call Z_2 the imaginary octonion part of Z . Similarly we can write $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})$ as $T = T_1 + T_2$, where $T_1 \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ is a semi-definite half-integral symmetric matrix and T_2 is the imaginary octonion part of T_2 .

For a holomorphic modular form F of weight k on \mathfrak{Z} , we denote by $F|_{Sp_6}$, its restriction to \mathbb{H}_3 . It is easy to see that $F|_{Sp_6}$ is a holomorphic Siegel modular form on \mathbb{H}_3 of weight k , and it is of

level 1 if F is. Here the level 1 means that the forms have the levels with respect to $Sp_6(\mathbb{Z})$ and $E_{7,3}(\mathbb{Z})$ respectively.

Lemma 2.1. *Keep the notation being as above. If F is a cusp form of level one, then $F|_{Sp_6}$ is a cusp form of level one on \mathbb{H}_3 .*

Proof. Let Φ_{Sp_6} be the Siegel phi-operator for Sp_6 (cf. [10, Definition 4, p.192]) and Φ the operator defined in [18, Definition 5.3, p.234]. Then, for any $Z_1^{(2 \times 2)} \in \mathbb{H}_2$,

$$\Phi_{Sp_6}(F|_{Sp_6})(Z_1^{(2 \times 2)}) = \lim_{\substack{\tau \in \mathbb{H}_1 \\ \tau \rightarrow \sqrt{-1}\infty}} F\left(\begin{pmatrix} Z_1^{(2 \times 2)} & 0 \\ 0 & \tau \end{pmatrix}\right) = \Phi(F)(Z_1^{(2 \times 2)}) = 0$$

since $\Phi(F) = 0$ by cuspidality of F . Here, $Z_1^{(2 \times 2)}$ is naturally regarded as an element of the exceptional domain \mathfrak{T}_2 for $Spin(2, 10)$ (see [18, Section 2]). The claim follows from this since the level has been discussed already. \square

3. NUMBER OF IMAGINARY OCTONIONS OF A GIVEN NORM

In this section, we introduce some important facts on integral octonions and imaginary octonions. However, they are easily deduced from the definition and therefore, we omit the details.

Let \mathfrak{o} be the set of integral octonions given by the following basis:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= e_0, & \alpha_1 &= e_1, & \alpha_2 &= e_2, & \alpha_3 &= -e_4, & \alpha_4 &= \frac{1}{2}(e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4), \\ \alpha_5 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 - e_1 - e_4 + e_5), & \alpha_6 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_1 - e_2 + e_6), & \alpha_7 &= \frac{1}{2}(-e_0 + e_2 + e_4 + e_7). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. *Integral octonions of norm 1 are exactly roots of the exceptional E_8 root system. Hence integral octonions are elements of the root lattice of E_8 .*

Let Q_8 be the root lattice of E_8 , and consider

$$\theta_{Q_8}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_8} q^{\frac{1}{2}N(\alpha)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(Q_8)q^n,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$ with $z \in \mathbb{H}_1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$. Given a positive integer n , let

$$N_{\text{oc}}(n) = \#\{w: \text{integral octonion such that } N(w) = n\}.$$

Then $a_n(Q_8) = N_{\text{oc}}$. Since θ_{Q_8} is a modular form of weight 4 and $\dim M_4(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$, θ_{Q_8} is the Eisenstein series of weight 4 with respect to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, i.e.,

$$\theta_{Q_8}(z) = 1 + 240 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_3(n) q^n, \quad \sigma_3(n) = \sum_{d|n, d \geq 1} d^3.$$

Hence we have

Corollary 3.2. $N_{\text{oc}}(n) = 240\sigma_3(n)$.

Let \mathfrak{o}' be the set of all imaginary integral octonions.

Lemma 3.3. [2, p.241] *Integral imaginary octonions of norm 1 are exactly roots of the exceptional E_7 root system. Hence imaginary integral octonions are elements of the root lattice of E_7 .*

Next, we discuss imaginary integral octonions with a given norm. Let Q_7 be the root lattice of E_7 , and consider

$$\theta_{Q_7}(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in Q_7} q^{\frac{1}{2}N(\alpha)} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n(Q_7) q^n,$$

where $q = e^{2\pi iz}$. Given a positive integer n , let

$$N_{\text{ioc}}(n) = \#\{w \in \mathfrak{o}' : N(w) = n\}.$$

Then $a_n(Q_7) = N_{\text{ioc}}(n)$. Now $\theta_{Q_7} \in M_{\frac{7}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$. Let us review relevant facts on $M_{\frac{7}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ from [6]: $\dim M_{\frac{7}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4)) = 2$. A basis is given by $\{\theta^7, \theta^3 F_2\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(z) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2} = 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + \cdots, \\ F_2(z) &= \frac{\eta(4z)^8}{\eta(2z)^4} = \sum_{\substack{n \geq 1 \\ n \text{ odd}}} \sigma_1(n) q^n = q + 4q^3 + 6q^5 + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where η is the usual eta function. By comparing the first two coefficients, we have

$$(3.1) \quad \theta_{Q_7}(z) = \theta(z)^7 + 112\theta(z)^3 F_2(z).$$

By computing the right hand side of (3.1), we have

Proposition 3.4. $N_{\text{ioc}}(1) = 126, N_{\text{ioc}}(2) = 756, N_{\text{ioc}}(3) = 2072, N_{\text{ioc}}(4) = 4158, N_{\text{ioc}}(5) = 7560,$
and $N_{\text{ioc}}(6) = 11592$.

We also need the following:

Proposition 3.5. $\#\{x \text{ imaginary octonion} : \frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}, N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1\} = 56$.

Proof. In the notation of [7, p.574], $\frac{1}{2} + x$ should be of the form $l_1 \pm l_i$ or $l_2 \pm l_i$, $i = 3, \dots, 8$ (total 24), and $\frac{1}{2}(l_1 + l_2 \pm l_3 \pm \dots \pm l_8)$ (odd number of minus signs) (total 32). \square

For the proof of the following propositions, we use Corollary 8.2 and count the number of triples $(x, y, z) \in (\mathfrak{o}')^3$ such that $Tr((\bar{x}y)\bar{z}) = 2$ in Proposition 3.8, for example, and use Mathematica (version 12.1).

Proposition 3.6. *The number of pairs (x, y) imaginary octonions such that $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y \in \mathfrak{o}$, and $(\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})(\frac{1}{2} + y) = \frac{1}{2} + z$, where z is an imaginary octonion, and $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1, N(\frac{1}{2} + y) = 1$, is 1512.*

Proposition 3.7. *The number of pairs (x, y) imaginary octonions such that $\frac{1}{2} + x, y \in \mathfrak{o}$, and $(\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y$ imaginary octonion, and $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1, N(y) = 1$, is 4032.*

Proposition 3.8. *The number of pairs (x, y) such that $x, y \in \mathfrak{o}'$ and $\bar{x}y \in \mathfrak{o}'$, and $N(x) = N(y) = 1$, is 7560.*

These results will be used in Section 6.

4. EXPLICIT FORMULAS FOR FOURIER COEFFICIENTS

In this section, we recall explicit forms of Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series and Ikeda type lifts from [16, 18].

4.1. The Fourier coefficients of Eisenstein series. Let E_{2k} be the Eisenstein series of weight $2k$ in [3, 16]:

$$E_{2k}(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}} \tilde{A}_{2k}(T) e^{2\pi i(T, Z)}, \quad Z \in \mathfrak{X},$$

where $\tilde{A}_{2k}(T) = C_{2k} A_{2k}(T)$, and for $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ (rank 3), $A_{2k}(T) = \det(T)^{\frac{2k-9}{2}} \prod_{p|\det(T)} \tilde{f}_T^p(p^{\frac{2k-9}{2}})$, and $C_{2k} = -8 \prod_{j=0}^2 \frac{2k-4j}{B_{2k-4j}}$. Here $f_T^p(X)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and degree $d_p = v_p(\Delta(T))$ and constant term 1. Then we put $\tilde{f}_T^p(T) := X^{d_p} f_T^p(X^{-2})$. [Note that in [13], the Bernoulli numbers B_g are defined to be $(-1)^{\frac{g}{2}-1} \times$ (the usual Bernoulli numbers).]

Here $\tilde{A}_k(O) = 1$, and if T has rank 1, $\tilde{A}_{2k}(T) = C_{2k}^{(1)} A_{2k}(T)$, $A_{2k}(T) = \Delta(T)^{2k-1} \prod_{p|\Delta(T)} f_T^p(p^{1-k})$ and $C_{2k}^{(1)} = -\frac{4k}{B_{2k}}$, where $\Delta(T)$ is defined in [13]. When T has rank 1, $f_T^p(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d_p} X^i$. Hence $A_{2k}(T) = \sigma_{2k-1}(\Delta(T))$.

If T has rank 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_{2k}(T) &= C_{2k}^{(2)} A_{2k}(T), \quad C_{2k}^{(2)} = \frac{8k(2k-4)}{B_{2k}B_{2k-4}}, \\ A_{2k}(T) &= \Delta(T)^{2k-5} \prod_{p|\Delta(T)} f_T^p(p^{5-2k}) = \sum_{d|\epsilon(T)} d^{2k-1} \sigma_{2k-5}(\Delta(T \times T)/d^2).\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.1. *There are typos in [16] and [15]. There C_{2k} should be*

$$C_{2k} = -\frac{8(2k)(2k-4)(2k-8)}{B_{2k}B_{2k-4}B_{2k-8}}.$$

and $C_{2k}^{(2)} = \frac{4(2k)(2k-4)}{B_{2k}B_{2k-4}}$. It comes from the fact that $\text{vol}(\mathfrak{C}/\mathfrak{o}) = 2^{-4}$. Note that there is a rescaling of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ from \mathfrak{o} to E_8 lattice.

4.2. Ikeda type lift on \mathfrak{I} . Suppose T has rank 3. Recall the formula for $f_T^p(X)$ from [13]. Let $T = \text{diag}(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ and let $\tau_p(i) = \text{ord}_p t_i$. For simplicity, let $\tau(i) = \tau_p(i)$. We may assume that $\tau(1) \leq \tau(2) \leq \tau(3)$. Let $d_p = \tau(1) + \tau(2) + \tau(3)$.

If $\tau(1) = 0$, then

$$f_T^p(X) = \sum_{l=0}^{\tau(2)} (p^4 X)^l \frac{1 - X^{\tau(3)+\tau(2)+1-2l}}{1 - X} = \sum_{l=0}^{\tau(2)} (p^4 X)^l (1 + X + \dots + X^{\tau(3)+\tau(2)-2l}).$$

We can see easily that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{f}_T^p(X) &= (X^{d_p} + X^{d_p-2} + \dots + X^{-d_p}) + p^4(X^{d_p-2} + X^{d_p-4} + \dots + X^{-d_p+2}) + \dots \\ &\quad + p^{4\tau(2)}(X^{d_p-2\tau(2)} + X^{d_p-2\tau(2)-2} + \dots + X^{-d_p+2\tau(2)}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(p^{\frac{2k-9}{2}})^{d_p} \tilde{f}_T^p(\alpha_p) &= a_f(p^{d_p}) + p^{2k-5} a_f(p^{d_p-2}) + \dots + p^{\tau(2)(2k-5)} a_f(p^{d_p-2\tau(2)}) \\ (4.1) \quad &= \sum_{i=0}^{\tau(2)} (p^i)^{2k-5} a_f(p^{d_p-2i}).\end{aligned}$$

For any positive integer $2k \geq 20$, let $f(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n)q^n$ be a Hecke eigenform of weight $2k-8$ with respect to $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and let

$$(4.2) \quad F_f(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{I}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}} A_{F_f}(T) e^{2\pi i(T, Z)}, \quad A_{F_f}(T) = \det(T)^{\frac{2k-9}{2}} \prod_{p|\det(T)} \tilde{f}_T^p(\alpha_p), \quad Z \in \mathfrak{I}_2,$$

be the Ikeda type lift, constructed in [18]. Here $a_f(p) = p^{\frac{2k-9}{2}}(\alpha_p + \alpha_p^{-1})$, where $\{\alpha_p, \alpha_p^{-1}\}$ are Satake parameters. Then, we have

Proposition 4.2. *If $\det(T)$ is square free, $A_{F_f}(T) = a_f(\det(T))$. Also, if T is of the form $\text{diag}(1, 1, n)$ for a positive integer n , then $A_{F_f}(T) = a_f(n)$.*

Proof. By assumption, we have $\tau(1) = \tau(2) = 0$ and $\tau(3) = d_p = \text{ord}_p(\det(T))$. The claim follows from (4.1). \square

Similarly, for Eisenstein series, we have

Proposition 4.3. *If $\det(T)$ is square free, then $A_{2k}(T) = \sigma_{2k-9}(\det(T))$. If T is of the form $\text{diag}(1, 1, n)$ for a positive integer n , then $A_{2k}(T) = \sigma_{2k-9}(n)$.*

Next we consider another explicit formula. If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, then $\det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ \bar{x} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1 - N(x) > 0$ (see Section 2 for the criterion). Thus, $x = 0$, and $T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} m - N(z) & -y\bar{z} & -y \\ \bar{c}\bar{b} & m - N(y) & -z \\ -\bar{y} & -\bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence T and $T \times T$ are primitive. Here $\det(T) = m - N(y) - N(z)$. Therefore, for each prime p , T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 1, \det(T))$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . Therefore, by Proposition 4.2, we have

Proposition 4.4. *If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, then $A_{F_f}(T) = a_f(m - N(y) - N(z))$.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let p be a prime number. If $T = \text{diag}(p, p, p) \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, then $A_{F_f}(T) = a_f(p)^3 + p^{2k-9}(p^8 + p^4 - 2)a_f(p)$.*

Proof. By the formula in [13, p.201], we see that

$$f_T^p(X) = X^3 + (p^8 + p^4 + 1)X^2 + (p^8 + p^4 + 1)X + 1.$$

Since $d_p = 3$, we have $\tilde{f}_T^p(X) = (X + X^{-1})^3 + (p^8 + p^4 - 2)(X + X^{-1})$. Thus, it yields

$$A_{F_f}(T) = (p^{\frac{2k-9}{2}})^3 \tilde{f}_T^p(\alpha_p) = a_f(p)^3 + p^{2k-9}(p^8 + p^4 - 2)a_f(p)$$

as desired. \square

Proposition 4.6. *Let p be a prime number. If $T = \text{diag}(1, p, p) \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, then $A_{F_f}(T) = a_f(p^2) + p^{2k-5}$.*

Proof. By the formula (4.1), $\tilde{f}_T^p(X) = X^2 + 1 + X^{-2} + p^4$. Thus, it yields

$$A_{F_f}(T) = (p^{\frac{2k-9}{2}})^2 \tilde{f}_T^p(\alpha_p) = a_f(p^2) + p^{2k-5}.$$

□

5. SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS OF Sp_6 OF LEVEL ONE

We record here Siegel modular forms of Sp_6 of level one, computed by Yuen-Poor-Shurman [24].

Consider weight 20 case: $\dim M_{20}(\Gamma_3) = 11$ and $\dim S_{20}(\Gamma_3) = 6$. In their notations,

- (1) $f_1 = E_{20}^{(3)}$: Siegel Eisenstein series;
- (2) f_2 is Klingen Eisenstein series formed from the weight 20 elliptic cusp form;
- (3) f_3, f_4 are Klingen Eisenstein formed from Saito-Kurokawa lifts; Since $\dim S_{38}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 2$, the two forms give rise to two Saito-Kurokawa lift of weight 20 and degree 2;
- (4) f_5 is Klingen Eisenstein series formed from the unique degree 2 genuine form;
- (5) f_6, f_7, f_8 are Miyawaki lifts of type I. They are given as follows; For $f \in S_{2k-4}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $g \in S_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, we obtain $F_{f,g} \in S_k(\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{Z}))$. Since $\dim S_{36}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 3$ and $\dim S_{20}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$, they are given by $F_{f,g}$, where $f \in S_{36}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $g \in S_{20}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ in the notation of [12].
- (6) f_9, f_{10} are conjectural Miyawaki lifts of type II; They are conjecturally given as follows; For $f \in S_{2k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $g \in S_{k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$, we obtain $F_{f,g} \in S_k(\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{Z}))$. Since $\dim S_{38}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 2$ and $\dim S_{18}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$, they are given by $F_{f,g}$, where $f \in S_{38}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $g \in S_{18}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ in the notation of [12].
- (7) f_{11} is the genuine form which is not a lift.

6. RESTRICTION OF MODULAR FORMS ON $E_{7,3}$ TO Sp_6

Let F be a modular form on \mathfrak{T} , and consider $F|_{Sp_6}$. We write $Z = Z_1 + Z_2$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{T}$ and $T = T_1 + T_2$ for $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ as in Section 2 so that $Z_1 \in \mathbb{H}_3$ and $T_1 \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$. Since $(T_2, Z_1) = 0$ (see [18, p.226, line 8] for $(*, *)$), we have

$$F|_{Sp_6}(Z_1) = F(Z_1) = \sum_{T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}} A_F(T) e^{2\pi i(T_1, Z_1)} = \sum_{S \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}} \left(\sum_{\substack{T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0} \\ T_1 = \bar{S}}} A_F(T) \right) e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(T_1 Z_1)}.$$

For $S \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, let $A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(S) := \sum_{\substack{T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0} \\ T_1 = \bar{S}}} A_F(T)$ so that

$$F|_{Sp_6}(Z_1) = \sum_{S \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}} A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(S) e^{2\pi i(S, Z_1)}.$$

Lemma 6.1. *Assume F is a cusp form. Then, for $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & a \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & b \\ a & b & c \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, $A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(S) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & * \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 1 & * \\ * & * & * \end{pmatrix}$ such that $T_1 = S$ where x is an imaginary octonion in $\frac{1}{2}\mathfrak{o}$

such that $\frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}$. Since F is a cusp form, we may assume $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$. By applying the criterion in Section 2, we have $1 > N(\frac{1}{2} + x)$ and it yields $\frac{1}{2} + x = 0$. Since x is an imaginary octonion, it is impossible. Thus, there is no element $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ such that $T_1 = S$. Hence for such S , $A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(S) = 0$. \square

Remark 6.2. *Put $x = -\alpha_1 - \alpha_5 = \frac{1}{2}(e_0 + e_1 + e_4 - e_5) \in \mathfrak{o}$. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ x & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then,*

$T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0} \setminus \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, since $\det\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1 - N(x) = 0$, but $T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$.

Hence the claim of Lemma 6.1 is not true in general for non-cusp forms.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $F = F_f$ be the Ikeda type lift defined in (4.2). For $D_a = \text{diag}(1, 1, a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(D_a) = \sum_{n=1}^a a_f(n) \#\{(y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(y) + N(z) = a - n, N(y) < a, N(z) < a\}.$$

Proof. Consider $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ such that $T_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, a)$. Then $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ -y & -z & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $y, z \in \mathfrak{o}'$ such that $N(y) < a, N(z) < a$. Also $\det(T) = a - N(y) - N(z)$. The assertion follows from Proposition 4.4. \square

Proposition 6.4. *Let $F = F_f$ be the Ikeda type lift defined in (4.2). Let $G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$. Then*

$$A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(G) = 56a_f(2) + 11088.$$

Proof. Let $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & y \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 2 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$, where y, z are imaginary integral octonions, and x is an imaginary octonion such that $\frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}$. Since $2 - N(\frac{1}{2} + x) > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} + x \neq 0$, $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1$. Then T is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \bar{y} \\ 0 & 1 & z - (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} - \bar{y}(\frac{1}{2} + x) & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and it is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 - N(y) - N(z - (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y) \end{pmatrix}$.

If $N(y) = 0$, $y = 0$ and $N(z) = 0$ or 1. If $z = 0$, $A_F(T) = a_f(2)$.

If $N(z) = 1$, $A_F(T) = 1$. There are 56×126 pairs (x, z) .

If $N(y) = 1$, since $2 - N(y) - N(z - (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y) > 0$, $N(z - (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y) = 0$. Hence $z = (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y$. Therefore, in this case, $A_F(T) = 1$. By Proposition 3.7, there are 4032 such pairs (x, y) . \square

The following proposition is proved by using the data in Appendix A.

Proposition 6.5. *Let $F = F_f$ be the Ikeda type lift defined in (4.2). Put $H = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2) \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(H) &= a_f(2)^3 + 270 \cdot 2^{2k-9}a_f(2) + 2268(a_f(4) + 2^{2k-5}) + 378a_f(6) + 55188a_f(4) \\ &\quad + 459648a_f(3) + 3752952a_f(2) + 18192384. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. All $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ such that $T_1 = H$ are classified in Table 1 in Appendix A. Applying the formula (4.1), and Propositions 4.2, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6 for each T with $d(T) = (2, 4, 8)$, $(1, 2, 4)$, and $(1, 1, n)$, $n \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$ respectively, the result follows. \square

6.1. Weight 20 Ikeda type lift. Now we consider the Ikeda type lift $F = F_\Delta$ of weight 20 from the Ramanujan Δ function, which is the weight 12 form with respect to $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$\Delta(z) = \eta(z)^{24} = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 - 1472q^4 + 4830q^5 - 6048q^6 + \dots .$$

Since $F|_{Sp_6} \in S_{20}(Sp_6(\mathbb{Z}))$,

$$(6.1) \quad F|_{Sp_6} = c_1 f_6 + c_2 f_7 + c_3 f_8 + c_4 f_9 + c_5 f_{10} + c_6 f_{11}.$$

Put $A(S) = A_{F|_{Sp_6}}(S)$, $S \in \text{Sym}^3(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ for simplicity.

We plug in S_1, W_1, D_1, D_2, G, H , and obtain rank 6 matrix, where

$$W_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $A(S_1) = A(W_1) = 0$, and applying Proposition 6.3, $A(D_1) = 1$, $A(D_2) = 228$, and $A(G) = 9744$. Further, applying Proposition 6.5 with $k = 10$, we have $A(H) = 18124416$. By solving the linear system associated to the above matrix of rank 6, we have

$$c_1 = \frac{\beta - \gamma(b_2 + b_3) + \delta b_2 b_3}{\alpha(b_1 - b_2)(b_1 - b_3)}, \quad c_2 = \frac{-\beta + \gamma(b_1 + b_3) - \delta b_1 b_3}{\alpha(b_1 - b_2)(b_2 - b_3)}, \quad c_3 = \frac{\beta - \gamma(b_1 + b_2) + \delta b_1 b_2}{\alpha(b_1 - b_3)(b_2 - b_3)},$$

$$c_4 = \frac{\mu + 9263a}{\eta a}, \quad c_5 = \frac{-\mu + 9263a}{\eta a}, \quad c_6 = -\frac{1}{\kappa},$$

where

$$\alpha = 2^{50} \cdot 3^{32} \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^7 \cdot 11^6 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17^2 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 71 \cdot 83 \cdot 157 \cdot 313 \cdot 439367 \cdot 249789005569$$

$$\gamma = 5 \cdot 11 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 2633441, \quad \delta = 7 \cdot 337 \cdot 2099,$$

$$\beta = 5 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 109 \cdot 77263111477, \quad \mu = 1447 \cdot 203953,$$

$$\eta = 2^{48} \cdot 3^{22} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^9 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 31 \cdot 67 \cdot 83^2 \cdot 1699 \cdot 2069 \cdot 78803,$$

$$\kappa = 2^{40} \cdot 3^{18} \cdot 5^{10} \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 31 \cdot 83 \cdot 157 \cdot 271 \cdot 1009.$$

where $a = \sqrt{63737521}$, and b_1, b_2, b_3 are roots of $x^3 - 54971x^2 + 893191104x - 4382089113600 = 0$, approximately, $b_1 \sim 9504, b_2 \sim 15267, b_3 \sim 30199$.

6.2. Restriction of Eisenstein series to Sp_6 . The restriction of Eisenstein series is more complicated:

Proposition 6.6. *For $D_a = \text{diag}(1, 1, a)$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{E_{2k}|Sp_6}(D_a) &= C_{2k} \sum_{n=1}^a \sigma_{2k-9}(n) \#\{(y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(y) + N(z) = a - n, N(y) < a, N(z) < a\} \\ &+ C_{2k}^{(2)} \#\{(y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(y) + N(z) = a\} \\ &+ C_{2k}^{(2)} \sum_{n=1}^a \sigma_{2k-5}(n) \#\{(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(x) = 1, a - N(y) = n, z = \bar{x}y\} \\ &+ C_{2k}^{(1)} \#\{(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(x) = 1, N(y) = a, z = \bar{x}y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ such that $T_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, a)$. Then $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & a \end{pmatrix}$, where $x, y, z \in$

\mathfrak{o}' . Then $N(x) \leq 1$. If $x = 0$, as in the proof of Proposition 4.4, $\det(T) = a - N(y) - N(z)$, and T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 1, \det(T))$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . If $\det(T) \neq 0$, then $A_{2k}(T) = \sigma_{2k-9}(\det(T))$. If $\det(T) = 0$, $A_{2k}(T) = 1$.

If $N(x) = 1$, $m_{-xe_{12}} \cdot T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & z - \bar{x}y \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} - \bar{y}x & a \end{pmatrix}$. Since $m_{-xe_{12}} \cdot T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, $z - \bar{x}y = 0$. Hence

T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, a - N(y), 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . If $N(y) < a$, then $A_{2k}(T) = \sigma_{2k-5}(a - N(y))$. If $N(y) = a$, then T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . \square

Here the difficulty is to compute the number of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}'$ such that $\bar{x}y \in \mathfrak{o}'$. However, if $a = 1$, we can use Proposition 3.8.

Proposition 6.7. $A_{E_{2k}|Sp_6}(\text{diag}(1, 1, 1)) = C_{2k} + 378C_{2k}^{(2)} + 7560C_{2k}^{(1)}$.

Proof. By the above proposition,

$$A_{E_{2k}|Sp_6}(D_1) = C_{2k} + 126 \times 2C_{2k}^{(2)} + 126C_{2k}^{(2)} + C_{2k}^{(1)} \#\{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(x) = 1, N(y) = 1, \bar{x}y \in \mathfrak{o}'\}.$$

By Proposition 3.8,

$$\#\{(x, y) \in \mathfrak{o}' \times \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(x) = 1, N(y) = 1, \bar{x}y \in \mathfrak{o}'\} = 7560.$$

\square

Let

$$u_2 = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0), \quad u_4 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0), \quad u_6 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For simplicity, let $A(S) = A_{E_{2k}|Sp_6}(S)$.

Lemma 6.8. $A(u_2) = C_{2k}^{(1)}$, $A(u_4) = C_{2k}^{(2)} + 126C_{2k}^{(1)}$, $A(u_6) = 56C_{2k}^{(1)}$, and $A(W) = 56C_{2k}^{(2)} + 4032C_{2k}^{(1)}$.

Proof. If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 0 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ such that $T_1 = u_2$, then $x = y = z = 0$. Hence $A(u_2) = C_{2k}^{(1)}$.

Suppose $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ such that $T_1 = u_4$ so that x, y, z are imaginary integral octonions.

Since $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, $y = z = 0$, and $N(x) \leq 1$. If $x = 0$, then $T = \text{diag}(1, 1, 0)$. In that case, $A(\text{diag}(1, 1, 0)) = C_{2k}^{(2)}$. If $N(x) = 1$, then T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . Hence $A(u_4) = C_{2k}^{(2)} + 126A(u_2)$.

Suppose $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & y \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ such that $T_1 = u_6$ so that y, z are imaginary

integral octonions and x is an imaginary octonion such that $\frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}$. Since $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$,

$y = z = 0$, and $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) \leq 1$. Since $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) \neq 0$, $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1$. Then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is

equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . Now use Proposition 3.5.

Suppose $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & y \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 1 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$ such that $T_1 = W$ so that y, z are imaginary

integral octonions and x is an imaginary octonion such that $\frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}$. As above, $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1$, and $z - (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y = 0$. Then T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 1 - N(y), 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . If $y = 0$, $z = 0$, and $A(T) = C_{2k}^{(2)}$. If $N(y) = 1$, T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , and by Proposition 3.7, the number of pairs (x, y) such that x is an imaginary octonion, and $\frac{1}{2} + x \in \mathfrak{o}$, $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1$, and $y \in \mathfrak{o}'$, and $(\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})y \in \mathfrak{o}'$, is 4032. \square

Proposition 6.9. Let $S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $A_{E_{2k}|_{Sp_6}}(S) = 1512C_{2k}^{(1)}$.

Proof. Consider $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} + x & \frac{1}{2} + y \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{x} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} + z \\ \frac{1}{2} + \bar{y} & \frac{1}{2} + \bar{z} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, where x, y, z are imaginary octonions such that $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} + z \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) \leq 1$. Since $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) \neq 0$, $N(\frac{1}{2} + x) = 1$. Also $N(\frac{1}{2} + y) = 1$, and $\frac{1}{2} + z = (\frac{1}{2} + \bar{x})(\frac{1}{2} + y)$. Then T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . By Proposition 3.6, the number of such pairs (x, y) is 1512. \square

Now $\dim M_{2k}(\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$ for $2k = 4, 6, 8$, generated by the Siegel Eisenstein series. But for $2k = 4, 8$, E_{2k} on the exceptional domain \mathfrak{X} is a singular form [16], namely, $A_{2k}(T) = 0$ if $\det(T) > 0$. On the other hand, the Siegel Eisenstein series with respect to Γ_3 is not singular. Therefore, for $2k = 4, 8$, $E_{2k}|_{Sp_6} = 0$.

Also there are no holomorphic Eisenstein series of weight 6 and 10 on the exceptional domain [16].

6.2.1. *Weight 12.* We have $\dim M_{12}(\Gamma_3) = 4$; f_1 is the Siegel Eisenstein series; f_2, f_3 are Klingen Eisenstein series; f_4 is the Miyawaki lift of type I. We plug in O, u_2, u_6 and D_1 . Note that $A(D_1) = \frac{2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 13^3}{691}$. So

$$E_{12}|_{Sp_6} = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3 f_3 + c_4 f_4,$$

where $c_1 = \frac{2^{13} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 23}{131 \cdot 283 \cdot 593 \cdot 617 \cdot 691 \cdot 43867}$, $c_2 = 0$, and $c_3 = \frac{3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 557}{5^2 \cdot 131 \cdot 593 \cdot 691 \cdot 43867}$, and $c_4 = \frac{13}{2^6 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 691}$.

6.2.2. *Weight 14.* We have $\dim M_{14}(\Gamma_3) = 3$; f_1 is the Siegel Eisenstein series; f_2 is Klingen Eisenstein series; f_3 is the conjectural Miyawaki lifts of type II. We plug in O, u_6 , and D_1 . Note that $A(D_1) = -979776$.

$$E_{14}|_{Sp_6} = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3 f_3,$$

where $c_1 = \frac{2^{13} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23}{103 \cdot 131 \cdot 593 \cdot 657931 \cdot 2294797}$, $c_2 = -\frac{1121}{3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 131 \cdot 593 \cdot 691 \cdot 657931}$, and $c_3 = \frac{11}{2^7 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 43 \cdot 691}$.

6.2.3. *Weight 16.* We have $\dim M_{16}(\Gamma_3) = 7$; f_1 is the Siegel Eisenstein series; f_2, f_3, f_4 are Klingen Eisenstein series; f_5, f_6 are the Miyawaki lifts of type I; f_7 are the genuine form which is not a lift. We plug in O, u_2, u_6, u_4, W, S and D_1 . Note that $A(D_1) = \frac{2^9 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 17 \cdot 43}{691 \cdot 3617}$, and $A(u_2) = C_{16}^{(1)} = \frac{16320}{3617}$. Then

$$E_{16}|_{Sp_6} = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3 f_3 + c_4 f_4 + c_5 f_5 + c_6 f_6 + c_7 f_7,$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{2^{15} \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 31}{1721 \cdot 3617 \cdot 9349 \cdot 362903 \cdot 657931 \cdot 1001259881}, \quad c_2 = 0,$$

$$c_3 = \frac{\alpha + \beta a}{\gamma a}, \quad c_4 = \frac{-\alpha + \beta a}{\gamma a},$$

$$c_5 = \frac{17(-750637 + 3971b)}{\delta b}, \quad c_6 = \frac{17((750637 + 3971b))}{\delta b},$$

$$c_7 = -\frac{7}{2^{10} \cdot 3 \cdot 5^5 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \cdot 107 \cdot 691 \cdot 3617},$$

where

$$\alpha = 7213 \cdot 3027811 \cdot 95518669, \quad \beta = 2 \cdot 397 \cdot 18013 \cdot 370716527,$$

$$\gamma = 3^7 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \cdot 37 \cdot 97 \cdot 691 \cdot 1721 \cdot 1889 \cdot 3617 \cdot 657931 \cdot 883331 \cdot 1001259881,$$

$$\delta = 2^{17} \cdot 3^8 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^2 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 23 \cdot 107 \cdot 373 \cdot 691 \cdot 3617,$$

$$a = \sqrt{51349}, \quad b = \sqrt{18209}.$$

6.2.4. *Weight 18.* We have $\dim M_{16}(\Gamma_3) = 8$; f_1 is the Siegel Eisenstein series; f_2, f_3, f_4 are Klingen Eisenstein series; f_5, f_6 are the Miyawaki lifts of type I; f_7, f_8 are Miyawaki lifts of type II. We plug $O, u_2, u_6, u_4, W, S, D_1, H$. Since it is cumbersome to compute $A(H) = A_{E_{2k}|Sp_6}(H)$, we set $A(H) = d$. Then

$$E_{18}|_{Sp_6} = c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3 f_3 + c_4 f_4 + c_5 f_5 + c_7 f_7 + c_8 f_8,$$

where

$$c_1 = \frac{2^{15} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 31}{37 \cdot 683 \cdot 1721 \cdot 43867 \cdot 305065927 \cdot 1001259881 \cdot 151628697551}, \quad c_2 = 0,$$

$$c_3 = \frac{323(\alpha + \beta a)}{\gamma a}, \quad c_4 = \frac{-323(-\alpha + \beta a)}{\gamma a},$$

$$c_5 = \frac{-\delta + \eta b + \mu d + \nu b d}{\xi b}, \quad c_6 = \frac{\delta + \eta b - \mu d + \nu b d}{\xi b},$$

$$c_7 = \frac{-\sigma + \kappa a - \epsilon d + \phi a d}{\Sigma a}, \quad c_8 = \frac{\sigma + \kappa a + \epsilon d + \phi a d}{\Sigma a},$$

and $a = \sqrt{2356201}, b = \sqrt{18295489}$,

$$\alpha = 7 \cdot 3763811 \cdot 20444077 \cdot 123989669, \quad \beta = 163169 \cdot 376345229688521,$$

$$\gamma = 2^{13} \cdot 3^6 \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2 \cdot 29^2 \cdot 103 \cdot 1721 \cdot 3617 \cdot 43867 \cdot 1001259881 \cdot 151628697551 \cdot 7947218274280511539,$$

$$\delta = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 53 \cdot 107 \cdot 15131 \cdot 19684440289, \quad \eta = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 23629 \cdot 3314029 \cdot 14628829,$$

$$\mu = 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 307 \cdot 719 \cdot 43867, \quad \nu = 13 \cdot 251 \cdot 419 \cdot 43867, \quad \epsilon = 79 \cdot 3617 \cdot 43867 \cdot 1949111,$$

$$\sigma = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 59 \cdot 8592181585668944485139, \quad \kappa = 2^6 \cdot 3^6 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19 \cdot 166031 \cdot 1890701 \cdot 83097733,$$

$$\phi = 17 \cdot 3617 \cdot 6043 \cdot 43867, \quad \Sigma = 2^{49} \cdot 3^{27} \cdot 5^{14} \cdot 7^8 \cdot 11^4 \cdot 13 \cdot 29 \cdot 103 \cdot 3617 \cdot 15511 \cdot 43867 \cdot 12519167993.$$

7. DIAGONAL RESTRICTION

7.1. Eisenstein series. We consider $E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$, where $\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \text{diag}(z_1, z_2, z_3)$. Since $\dim S_{20}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) = 1$, let $f = f_{20}$ be the unique Hecke eigen cusp form:

$$f(z) = q + 456q^2 + 50562q^3 - 316352q^4 - 2377410q^5 + 2309731q^6 - 16917544q^7 + \dots$$

Since f has integer coefficients, $f^c = f$, where $f^c(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$. Also we have the weight 20 Eisenstein series of degree 1:

$$E(z) = 1 + \frac{13200}{174611} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{19}(n) q^n.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3)) &= E(z_1)E(z_2)E(z_3) \\ &\quad + a_1 E(z_1)E(z_2)f(z_3) + a_2 E(z_2)E(z_3)f(z_1) + a_3 E(z_3)E(z_1)f(z_2) \\ &\quad + a_4 E(z_1)f(z_2)f(z_3) + a_5 E(z_2)f(z_1)f(z_3) + a_6 E(z_3)f(z_1)f(z_2) + a_7 f(z_1)f(z_2)f(z_3), \end{aligned}$$

for some constants a_1, \dots, a_7 . By symmetry, $a_1 = a_2 = a_3$, and $a_4 = a_5 = a_6$. Note that for

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & t_{12} & t_{13} \\ \overline{t_{12}} & t_2 & t_{23} \\ \overline{t_{13}} & \overline{t_{23}} & t_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (T, \iota(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = t_1 z_1 + t_2 z_2 + t_3 z_3. \quad \text{Hence}$$

Proposition 7.1. *The coefficient of $q_1^a q_2^b q_3^c$ in the Fourier expansion of $E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$ is*

$$\sum_T \tilde{A}_{20}(T), \quad \text{where } T \text{ runs through } T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0} \text{ such that } T = \begin{pmatrix} a & t_{12} & t_{13} \\ \overline{t_{12}} & b & t_{23} \\ \overline{t_{13}} & \overline{t_{23}} & c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consider $T = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$, and compare the coefficients of q_1 in the Fourier expansion of $E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$;

$$\frac{13200}{174611} = \frac{13200}{174611} + a_2.$$

Hence $a_2 = 0$. So $a_1 = a_3 = 0$ by symmetry.

If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & 0 \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, and $\alpha \neq 0$, then $N(\alpha) = 1$. Then $T \sim \text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . By

Lemma 3.1, $\#\{\alpha \in \mathfrak{o} : N(\alpha) = 1\} = 240$. Hence for such T , $A_{20}(T) = A_{20}(\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)) = \frac{13200}{174611}$. Then compare the coefficients of $q_1 q_2$ in the Fourier expansion of $E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$;

$$C_{20}^{(2)} + 240 \cdot \frac{13200}{174611} = \frac{174240000}{30489001321} + a_6.$$

Here $C_{20}^{(2)} = \frac{215424000}{631567987}$. Hence $a_6 = \frac{2037792556800000}{110278717778057}$.

If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 & \gamma \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\gamma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{\geq 0}$, then either $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$, or two of them are zero and one of

them has norm 1, or none of them are zero subject to the condition $\gamma = \bar{\alpha}\beta$. In the first case, T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 1, 1)$. In the second case, if $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $N(\gamma) = 1$, then T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 1, 0)$ over \mathbb{Z}_p . In the third case, T is equivalent to $\text{diag}(1, 0, 0)$. Compare the coefficients of $q_1 q_2 q_3$ in the Fourier expansion of $E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$;

$$C_{20} + 3 \times 240 \times C_{20}^{(2)} + 240^2 \times C_{20}^{(1)} = \frac{2299968000000}{5323715009661131} + 3a_4 \frac{13200}{174611} + a_7,$$

where $C_{20} = \frac{2^{14} \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17}{283 \cdot 617 \cdot 691 \cdot 3617}$. Hence $a_7 = \frac{2^{15} \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5^6 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 15920131}{283^3 \cdot 617^3 \cdot 691 \cdot 3617}$. Therefore,

Proposition 7.2.

$$\begin{aligned} E_{20}(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3)) &= E(z_1)E(z_2)E(z_3) \\ &+ a_4(E(z_1)f(z_2)f(z_3) + E(z_2)f(z_1)f(z_3) + E(z_3)f(z_1)f(z_2)) + a_7f(z_1)f(z_2)f(z_3). \end{aligned}$$

7.2. Ikeda type lift. Let F be the Ikeda type lift of weight 20. Then

$$F(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3)) = bf(z_1)f(z_2)f(z_3),$$

for some b . If $T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \beta \\ \bar{\alpha} & 1 & \gamma \\ \bar{\beta} & \bar{\gamma} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_+$, then $\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 0$. Since $A(D_1) = 1$ for $D_1 = \text{diag}(1, 1, 1)$, by comparing the coefficient of $q_1 q_2 q_3$ in the Fourier expansion of $F(\iota(z_1, z_2, z_3))$, we see that $b = 1$.

8. APPENDIX A: ALL $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ WITH $T_1 = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2)$

Let us follow the notation Section 6. We compute all $T = (x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ with $T_1 = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2)$ together with the following invariants. Let

$$d_1 = \gcd(T) := \gcd(x_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 3), \quad d_2 = \gcd(T \times T), \quad d_3 = \det(T)$$

and put

$$d(T) := (d_1, d_2, d_3).$$

Then, for each rational prime p , put

$$\tau_p(T) := (\tau(1), \tau(2), \tau(3)), \quad \tau(i) := \text{ord}_p(d_i).$$

In what follows, we first compute the possible $d(T)$ and then the number of T 's with a given $d(T)$ by using Mathematica (version 12.1). The data $\{\tau_p(T)\}_p$ is immediately read off from $d(T)$.

Now we write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 2 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x, y, z \in \mathfrak{o}'.$$

Then we have

$$T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} 4 - N(z) & y\bar{z} - 2x & xz - 2y \\ z\bar{y} - 2\bar{x} & 4 - N(y) & \bar{x}y - 2z \\ \bar{z}\bar{x} - 2\bar{y} & \bar{y}x - 2\bar{z} & 4 - N(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\det(T) = 8 - 2(N(x) + N(y) + N(z)) + t, \quad t := \text{tr}((xz)\bar{y}).$$

Since $T > 0$ and x, y, z are integral (imaginary) octonions, we have

$$0 \leq N(x), N(y), N(z) \leq 3.$$

Note that $N(x) = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $x, y \in \mathfrak{o} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Then, $|\text{tr}(x\bar{y})| \leq 2\sqrt{N(x)N(y)}$.*

Proof. Write $x = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i e_i$, $y = \sum_{i=0}^7 y_i e_i$ for $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($0 \leq i \leq 7$) by using the basis in [18, Section 2]. It is easy to see that

$$\mathrm{tr}(x\bar{y}) = 2\left(x_0 y_0 + \sum_{i=1}^7 x_i y_i\right).$$

Since $N(x) = \sum_{i=0}^7 x_i^2$, $N(y) = \sum_{i=0}^7 y_i^2$, we have the desired inequality by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. \square

By the equality criterion in Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

Corollary 8.2. $\mathrm{tr}(x\bar{y}) = 2\sqrt{N(x)N(y)}$ if and only if $y = x$. In particular, if $N(x) = N(y) = 1$, $\mathrm{tr}(x\bar{y}) = 2$ if and only if $y = x$.

Since $N(xy) = xy\bar{x}\bar{y} = x(y\bar{y})\bar{x} = N(y)x\bar{x} = N(y)N(x) = N(x)N(y)$ and $N(x) = N(\bar{x})$, we have the following.

Corollary 8.3. For $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{o}$, it holds that

$$|\mathrm{tr}((xz)\bar{y})| \leq 2\sqrt{N(x)N(y)N(z)}.$$

Let us turn to our setting. Then,

$$\det(T) = 8 - 2(N(x) + N(y) + N(z)) + t > 0, \quad (t := \mathrm{tr}((xz)\bar{y}))$$

and

$$0 \leq N(x), N(y), N(z) \leq 3.$$

By Corollary 8.3, we have $|\mathrm{tr}((xz)\bar{y})| \leq 10$ since $2\sqrt{3^3} = 10.3\dots$. Let us consider the set

$$S := \left\{ (n_1, n_2, n_3, t, 8 - 2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + t) \in \mathbb{Z}^5 \left| \begin{array}{l} 0 \leq n_1, n_2, n_3 \leq 3, \\ |t| \leq 2\sqrt{n_1 n_2 n_3}, \\ 8 - 2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + t > 0 \end{array} \right. \right\}.$$

Then, $|S| = 39$ and explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} S = \{ & (0, 0, 0, 0, 8), (0, 0, 1, 0, 6), (0, 0, 2, 0, 4), (0, 0, 3, 0, 2), (0, 1, 0, 0, 6), (0, 1, 1, 0, 4), \\ & (0, 1, 2, 0, 2), (0, 2, 0, 0, 4), (0, 2, 1, 0, 2), (0, 3, 0, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0, 6), (1, 0, 1, 0, 4), \\ & (1, 0, 2, 0, 2), (1, 1, 0, 0, 4), (1, 1, 1, -1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 1, 2, 4), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1, 1, 2, 1, 1), (1, 1, 2, 2, 2), (1, 1, 3, 3, 1), (1, 2, 0, 0, 2), (1, 2, 1, 1, 1), (1, 2, 1, 2, 2), \\
& (1, 2, 2, 3, 1), (1, 2, 2, 4, 2), (1, 3, 1, 3, 1), (2, 0, 0, 0, 4), (2, 0, 1, 0, 2), (2, 1, 0, 0, 2), \\
& (2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 2, 2), (2, 1, 2, 3, 1), (2, 1, 2, 4, 2), (2, 2, 1, 3, 1), (2, 2, 1, 4, 2), \\
& (2, 2, 2, 5, 1), (3, 0, 0, 0, 2), (3, 1, 1, 3, 1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows $d_3 \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8\}$. Since the symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_3 of degree 3 acts on the first three coordinates of S by $(n_1, n_2, n_3) \mapsto (n_{\sigma(1)}, n_{\sigma(2)}, n_{\sigma(3)})$ for $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3$, we have $|S/\mathfrak{S}_3| = 16$ and explicitly

$$\begin{aligned}
S/\mathfrak{S}_3 = \{ & (0, 0, 0, 0, 8), (1, 0, 0, 0, 6), (2, 0, 0, 0, 4), (3, 0, 0, 0, 2), (1, 1, 0, 0, 4), (2, 1, 0, 0, 2), \\
& (1, 1, 1, -1, 1), (1, 1, 1, 0, 2), (1, 1, 1, 1, 3), (1, 1, 1, 2, 4), (2, 1, 1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1, 2, 2), \\
& (3, 1, 1, 3, 1), (2, 2, 1, 3, 1), (2, 2, 1, 4, 2), (2, 2, 2, 5, 1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to carry out the computation. For a given $(n_1, n_2, n_3, t, 8 - 2(n_1 + n_2 + n_3) + t) \in S/\mathfrak{S}_3$, we shall count $T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & x & y \\ \bar{x} & 2 & z \\ \bar{y} & \bar{z} & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{o}'$ with

$$N(x) = n_1, N(y) = n_2, N(z) = n_3, t = \text{tr}((xz)\bar{y}).$$

Put

$$S_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(t) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}' \mid N(x) = n_1, N(y) = n_2, N(z) = n_3, t = \text{tr}((xz)\bar{y})\}$$

for simplicity. Notice that $|S_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(t)|$ is stable under the action of \mathfrak{S}_3 and that $|S_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(t)| = |S_{(n_1, n_2, n_3)}(-t)|$ since $-1 \in \mathfrak{o}$. Let us observe that when one of x, y, z is non-zero, say x , if $N(x) < 4$, then $\gcd(x) = 1$. Thus $d_1 = 1$ and $\tau(1) = \text{ord}_p(d_1) = 0$ for all prime p .

Case 1. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (0, 0, 0)$, then, $x = y = z = t = 0$. In this case, $T = \text{diag}(2, 2, 2)$ and $d(T) = (2, 4, 8)$. Then,

$$|S_{(0,0,0)}(0)| = 1.$$

Case 2. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 0, 0)$ then, $y = z = t = 0$. As mentioned before, $d_1 = 1$ since $x \neq 0$. Since $4 - N(x) = 3$ is odd and

$$T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2x & 0 \\ -2\bar{x} & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},$$

we have $d_2 = 1$. Further, $d_3 = 6$. Thus, $d(T) = (1, 1, 6)$. Then,

$$|S_{(1,0,0)}(0)| = N_{\text{ioc}}(1) = 126.$$

Case 3. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (3, 0, 0)$ then, $y = z = t = 0$. Similar to the previous case, we have $d(T) = (1, 1, 2)$. Then,

$$|S_{(3,0,0)}(0)| = N_{\text{ioc}}(3) = 2072.$$

Case 4. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 0, 0)$, then $y = z = t = 0$. We see $d_1 = 1$ as before and $d_2 = 2$ from

$$T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -2x & 0 \\ -2\bar{x} & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

since $\gcd(x) = 1$. Further, $d_3 = 8 - 4 = 4$. Thus, $d(T) = (1, 2, 4)$. Then,

$$|S_{(2,0,0)}(0)| = N_{\text{ioc}}(2) = 756.$$

Case 5. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 1, 0)$, then $z = t = 0$. In this case, $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = 1$ since $\gcd(4 - N(z), 4 - N(x)) = \gcd(4, 3) = 1$. Further, $d_3 = 8 - 2(1 + 1) = 4$. Hence $d(T) = (1, 1, 4)$. Then,

$$|S_{(1,1,0)}(0)| = N_{\text{ioc}}(1)^2 = 126^2 = 15876.$$

Case 6. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 1, 0)$, then $z = t = 0$. As in the previous case, we have $d(T) = (1, 1, 2)$. Then,

$$|S_{(2,1,0)}(0)| = N_{\text{ioc}}(1)N_{\text{ioc}}(2) = 126 \times 756 = 95256.$$

Case 7. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 1, 1)$, we have $t = -1, 0, 1, 2$. We remark that

$$T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & y\bar{z} - 2x & xz - 2y \\ z\bar{y} - 2\bar{x} & 3 & \bar{x}y - 2z \\ \bar{z}\bar{x} - 2\bar{y} & \bar{y}x - 2\bar{z} & 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$d_3 = \det(T) = 2 + t, \quad N(y\bar{z} - 2x) = 5 - 2t.$$

Thus, d_3 and $N(y\bar{z} - 2x)$ are co-prime each other unless $t = 1$ in which case $d_3 = N(y\bar{z} - 2x) = 3$. However, $\gcd(y\bar{z} - 2x) = 1$ when $N(y\bar{z} - 2x) = 3$. Thus, in any case, we have $d_1 = d_2 = 1$ and

$$d(T) = (1, 1, 2 + t), \quad t = -1, 0, 1, 2.$$

By Mathematica (version 12.1), we have

$$|S_{(1,1,1)}(-1)| = 459648, |S_{(1,1,1)}(0)| = 1065960, |S_{(1,1,1)}(1)| = 459648, |S_{(1,1,1)}(2)| = 7560.$$

Case 8. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 1, 1)$, then $t = 1, 2$. In this case, $d_1 = d_2 = 1 = 1$, $d_3 = t$ and thus $d(T) = (1, 1, t)$. By Mathematica (version 12.1), we have

$$|S_{(2,1,1)}(1)| = 3193344, |S_{(2,1,1)}(2)| = 671328.$$

Case 9. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (3, 1, 1)$, then $t = 3$. In this case, $d_1 = d_2 = 1 = 1$, $d_3 = 8 - 10 + 3 = 1$ and thus $d(T) = (1, 1, 1)$. By Mathematica (version 12.1), we have

$$|S_{(3,1,1)}(3)| = 249984.$$

Case 10. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 2, 1)$, then $t = 3, 4$. In this case, $d_1 = d_2 = 1 = 1$, $d_3 = 8 - 10 + t = t - 2$ and thus $d(T) = (1, 1, t - 2)$. By Mathematica (version 12.1), we have

$$|S_{(2,2,1)}(3)| = 2032128, |S_{(2,2,1)}(4)| = 31752.$$

Case 11. When $(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 2, 2)$, then $t = 5$. In this case, $d_1 = 1$ as before. Notice that

$$T \times T = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & y\bar{z} - 2x & xz - 2y \\ z\bar{y} - 2\bar{x} & 2 & \bar{x}y - 2z \\ \bar{z}\bar{x} - 2\bar{y} & \bar{y}x - 2\bar{z} & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\gcd(2, y\bar{z} - 2x) = \gcd(2, y\bar{z}) = \gcd(2, y)\gcd(2, \bar{z}) = 1$ because $\gcd(y) = \gcd(z) = 1$. Thus, $d_2 = 1$. Further, $d_3 = 8 - 12 + 5 = 1$ and therefore, $d(T) = (1, 1, 1)$. The number of T 's with $d(T)$ is given by the cardinality of

$$S_{(2,2,2)}(5) := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathfrak{o}'^3 \mid N(x) = N(y) = N(z) = 2, \operatorname{tr}((xz)\bar{y}) = 5\}$$

and by Mathematica (version 12.1), we have

$$|S_{(2,2,2)}(5)| = 1306368.$$

Summary. For each given $(d_1, d_2, d_3) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^3$, let us count all $T \in \mathfrak{J}(\mathbb{Z})_{>0}$ such that $T_1 = \operatorname{diag}(2, 2, 2)$ and $d(T) = (d_1, d_2, d_3)$.

(d_1, d_2, d_3)	(1, 1, 1)	(1, 1, 2)	(1, 1, 3)	(1, 1, 4)	(1, 1, 6)	(1, 2, 4)	(2, 4, 8)
# of T 's	18192384	3752952	459648	55188	378	2268	1
Cases	Case 9, Case 11 Case 7 ($t = -1$) Case 8 ($t = 1$) Case 10 ($t = 3$)	Case 3, Case 6 Case 7 ($t = 0$) Case 8 ($t = 2$) Case 10 ($t = 4$)	Case 7 ($t = 1$)	Case 5 Case 7 ($t = 2$)	Case 2	Case 4	Case 1

TABLE 1

We remark that we need to take the number of \mathfrak{S}_3 -orbits into account. For example, let us consider the case $(d_1, d_2, d_3) = (1, 1, 2)$. Then,

- (1) Case 3 $((n_1, n_2, n_3) = (3, 0, 0))$,
- (2) Case 6 $((n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 1, 0))$,
- (3) Case 7 ($t = 0$) $((n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1, 1, 1))$,
- (4) Case 8 ($t = 2$) $((n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 1, 1))$, and
- (5) Case 10 ($t = 4$) $((n_1, n_2, n_3) = (2, 2, 1))$

contribute to the total amount and it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathfrak{S}_3(3, 0, 0)| \cdot |S_{(3,0,0)}(0)| + |\mathfrak{S}_3(2, 1, 0)| \cdot |S_{(2,1,0)}(0)| + |\mathfrak{S}_3(1, 1, 1)| \cdot |S_{(1,1,1)}(0)| \\
& + |\mathfrak{S}_3(2, 1, 1)| \cdot |S_{(2,1,1)}(2)| + |\mathfrak{S}_3(2, 2, 1)| \cdot |S_{(2,2,1)}(4)| \\
& = 3N_{\text{ioc}}(3) + 6N_{\text{ioc}}(1)N_{\text{ioc}}(2) + 1 \cdot 1065960 + 3 \cdot 671328 + 3 \cdot 31752 = 3752952.
\end{aligned}$$

9. APPENDIX B: ARTHUR'S CLASSIFICATION FOR HOLOMORPHIC SIEGEL MODULAR FORMS ON Sp_6

In this appendix, by using Arthur's classification, we show that all Hecke eigen holomorphic Siegel modular forms on \mathbb{H}_3 with level one and the scalar weight $k \geq 4$ are either of Miyawaki type I, II, or genuine forms. We refer [1] or [4] for Arthur's classification for the symplectic groups. As for Miyawaki lifts, they have been already discussed in [1], but the discussion on their relationship with CAP representations was missing. We also remark that no endoscopic forms show up.

Let us recall some notations (see also [17, Section 4]). A (discrete) global A-parameter for Sp_{2n} is a symbol

$$(9.1) \quad \psi = \pi_1[e_1] \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_r[e_r]$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- A-(1) for each i ($1 \leq i \leq r$), π_i is an irreducible unitary cuspidal self-dual automorphic representation of $GL_{m_i}(\mathbb{A})$. In particular, the central character ω_i of π_i is trivial or quadratic;
- A-(2) for each i , $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i e_i = 2n + 1$;
- A-(3) if e_i is odd, π_i is orthogonal, i.e., $L(s, \pi_i, \text{Sym}^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$;
- A-(4) if e_i is even, π_i is symplectic, i.e., $L(s, \pi_i, \wedge^2)$ has a pole at $s = 1$;
- A-(5) $\omega_1^{e_1} \cdots \omega_r^{e_r} = 1$;
- A-(6) if $i \neq j$, $\pi_i \simeq \pi_j$, then $e_i \neq e_j$.

Let $\Psi(Sp_{2n})$ be the set of global A-parameters for Sp_{2n} . We say that two global A-parameters $\boxplus_{i=1}^r \pi_i[e_i]$ and $\boxplus_{i=1}^{r'} \pi'_i[e'_i]$ are equivalent if $r = r'$ and there exists $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_r$ such that $e'_i = e_{\sigma(i)}$ and $\pi'_i = \pi_{\sigma(i)}$. For each $\psi \in \Psi(Sp_{2n})$, one can associate the global A-packet Π_ψ .

Definition 9.1. Let $\psi = \boxplus_{i=1}^r \pi_i[e_i]$ be a global A-parameter. Then,

- ψ is said to be semi-simple if $e_1 = \cdots = e_r = 1$; otherwise, ψ is said to be non-semi-simple;
- ψ is said to be simple if $r = 1$ and $e_1 = 1$.

Definition 9.2. Let $\psi = \boxplus_{i=1}^r \pi_i[e_i]$ be a global A-parameter. Let Π be a cuspidal automorphic representation of $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ which belongs to Π_ψ . Then,

- Π is said to be endoscopic if ψ is semi-simple and $r > 1$;
- Π is said to be genuine if ψ is simple.

We call a Hecke eigen form an endoscopic form or a genuine form if its associated representation Π is endoscopic or genuine respectively.

Definition 9.3. (cf. [9, Section 1]) A cuspidal automorphic representation Π of $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ is said to be a CAP (cuspidal associated to a parabolic subgroup) representation if there exists a parabolic subgroup $P = MN$, a unitary cuspidal automorphic representation π of $M(\mathbb{A})$ and $s > 0$, such that Π is nearly equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{Sp_6(\mathbb{A})} \pi \delta_P^s$.

A cuspidal Hecke eigen automorphic form F on $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ is said to be a CAP form if the corresponding representation Π_F is a CAP representation.

Let F be a Hecke eigen cusp form on $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ of level 1 with the scalar weight $k \geq 4$ and $\Pi = \Pi_\infty \otimes \otimes'_p \Pi_p$ be the corresponding cuspidal representation of $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$. Since $k \geq 4$, Π_∞ is a discrete series representation. Let ψ be the global Arthur parameter such that $\Pi \in \Pi_\psi$. Such ψ is uniquely determined by $L^S(s, \Pi, \text{St})$ for any finite set S of primes.

9.1. CAP representations of Sp_6 .

Proposition 9.4. *Keep the notation as above. It holds that*

- (1) *if ψ is semisimple, then Π is tempered everywhere;*
- (2) *if Π is a CAP representation, then ψ is non-semisimple.*

Proof. The first claim is well-known (see [17, the proof of Theorem 4.3]). As for the second claim, for the global A-parameter ψ such that $\Pi \in \Pi_\psi$, if we write $\psi = \pi_1[e_1] \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_r[e_r]$, we shall prove that $e_i > 1$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that by [17, the proof of Theorem 4.3] again (or [4, p.201-202, the proof of Corollary 8.2.19] for details), all π_i 's are tempered everywhere. Further,

$$L_p(s, \Pi, \text{St}) = \prod_{i=1}^r L_p(s, \pi_i[e_i])$$

for all but finitely many rational prime p . Here $L_p(s, \pi[e]) = \prod_{j=0}^{e-1} L_p(s + \frac{e-1}{2} - j, \pi)$.

If Π is nearly equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{A})} \pi \delta_P^s$, $s > 0$, then Π_p is non-tempered for almost all p . Hence $e_i > 1$ for some i .

For example, if Π is nearly equivalent to $\text{Ind}_{P(\mathbb{A})}^{\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{A})} \pi |\det|^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \sigma$, where $P = MN$, $M \simeq GL_2 \times SL_2$, and π is a cuspidal representation of GL_2 , and σ is a cuspidal representation of SL_2 . Then

$$L_p(s, \Pi_p, \text{St}) = L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi_p) L(s - \frac{1}{2}, \pi_p) L(s, \text{Sym}^2 \sigma'_p),$$

where σ' is a cuspidal representation of GL_2 such that $\sigma'|_{SL_2} = \sigma$. □

Remark 9.5. *Keep the notation as above. Assume Π belongs to the global A-packet for ψ non-semisimple. In particular, if we write $\psi = \pi_1[e_1] \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_r[e_r]$ as in (9.1), then it satisfies the followings:*

- (1) *There exists e_i ($1 \leq i \leq r$) such that $e_i \geq 2$ (say $e_1 \geq 2$).*
- (2) *For each $1 \leq i \leq r$, π_i is regular algebraic self-dual, and unramified everywhere (cf. [4, the proof of Corollary 8.2.19]). Thus, if $m_i = 1$, then π_i has to be the trivial character on \mathbb{A}^\times . Therefore, the condition A-(6) yields $\#\{i \mid (m_i, e_i) = (1, 1)\} \leq 1$. Further, when $m_i = 2$, e_i has to be even because π_i is unramified everywhere, and hence $L(s, \pi_i, \text{Sym}^2)$*

has no pole at $s = 1$. It follows that $r \leq 3$. Note that if m_i is odd, then e_i has to be odd since $L(s, \pi_i, \wedge^2)$ has no pole at $s = 1$.

(3) The localization of ψ at the archimedean place is given by

$$\psi_\infty = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \rho_{\pi_i, \infty} \otimes S_{e_i} : W_{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_7(\mathbb{C})$$

where $\rho_{\pi_i, \infty} : W_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{m_i}(\mathbb{C})$ is the local Langlands parameter of π_i, ∞ .

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k, k, k)$ be the lowest weight of Π_∞ . By [1, Proposition 3.2] (note that the holomorphy assumption is important here), the localization of ψ at the archimedean place can be written as

$$\psi_\infty = \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^t \rho_{\alpha_i} \otimes S_{d_i} \right) \oplus \mathrm{sgn}$$

such that

- $\alpha_i, d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$;
- $\alpha_1 > \cdots > \alpha_t > 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^t d_i = 3$;
- $\alpha_i + d_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$;
- $\bigcup_{i=1}^t \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i + d_i - 1}{2}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_i - d_i + 1}{2} \right\} = \{k-1, k-2, k-3\}$,

where S_d stands for the unique irreducible algebraic representation of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of dimension d . By the definition of non-semi-simpleness, there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $e_i > 1$ and it yields that there exists $1 \leq i \leq t$ such that $d_i > 1$. Thus, it follows that $1 \leq t \leq 2$.

When $t = 1$, ψ has to be $\pi[3] \oplus \mathrm{sgn}$ for some orthogonal cuspidal representation π of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Since Π is of level one, it is impossible.

When $t = 2$, we have $d_1 = 1, d_2 = 2$ or $d_1 = 2, d_2 = 1$. For the former case, we have $\alpha_1 = 2(k-1), \alpha_2 = 2k-5$ and thus

$$\psi_\infty = \rho_{2(k-1)} \otimes S_2 \oplus \rho_{2k-5} \oplus \mathrm{sgn}.$$

Let $\psi = \pi_1[d_1] \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_r[d_r]$ be the corresponding global A-parameter with $1 \leq r \leq 3$. Note that $(\pi_i[d_i])_\infty = S_{d_i}$ if $\pi_i = 1$ and $1[d]$ can not occur in ψ when d is even.

When $r = 3$, the only possible case is

$$\psi = 1 \boxplus 1[3] \boxplus \pi,$$

where π is a cuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{A})$. However, ψ_∞ cannot have the trivial character and so this case cannot occur.

When $r = 1$, $\psi = 1[7]$ (since we have assumed ψ is non-semisimple) so that $\psi_\infty = 1 \otimes S_7$ which is impossible to occur.

When $r = 2$, the possible cases for ψ are

$$\pi_1[2] \boxplus \pi_2, \quad \pi_3 \oplus 1[3]$$

where π_1, π_2, π_3 are cuspidal representations of $GL_n(\mathbb{A})$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$ respectively. The second case is excluded since ψ_∞ does not include $1 \otimes S_3$. As for the first case, π_2 has to be orthogonal and hence it is self-dual. By Ramakrishnan [23], it is of the form $\text{Sym}^2 \sigma$, where σ is a cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$. Thus, by arranging symbols, we have

$$(9.2) \quad \psi = \text{Sym}^2 \sigma \oplus \pi[2],$$

such that σ and π come from cusp forms in $S_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $S_{2k-4}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ respectively. The cuspidal representation with this Arthur parameter is called Miyawaki lift of type I.

For the latter case ($d_1 = 2, d_2 = 1$), we have $\alpha_1 = 2k - 3$, $\alpha_2 = 2(k - 3)$. In this case, we have

$$(9.3) \quad \psi = \pi[2] \oplus \text{Sym}^2 \sigma,$$

such that π and σ come from cusp forms in $S_{k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ and $S_{2k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ respectively. The cuspidal representation with this Arthur parameter is called Miyawaki lift of type II.

Summing up, we have proved the following:

Theorem 9.6. *Let F be a CAP Hecke eigen holomorphic Siegel modular form on $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ which is of level one and of scalar weight $k \geq 4$. Then, F is either Miyawaki lift of type I or Miyawaki lift of type II. Conversely, two kinds of Miyawaki lifts are CAP forms. More precisely, if F is of Miyawaki lift of type I (resp. type II), then the associated cuspidal representation Π_F is nearly equivalent to*

$$\text{Ind}_P^G \pi_f | \det |^{\frac{1}{2}} \otimes \pi_g$$

where $P = MN$ with $M = GL_2 \times SL_2$ and π_f is the cuspidal representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ associated to a newform $f \in S_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ (resp. $f \in S_{k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$) while π_g is the cuspidal representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{A})$ associated to a newform $g \in S_{2k-4}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ (resp. $g \in S_{2k-2}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$).

9.2. Endoscopic representations of Sp_6 . Let F be a Hecke eigen endoscopic holomorphic Siegel modular form on $Sp_6(\mathbb{A})$ which is of level one and of scalar weight $k \geq 4$. Let $\psi = \boxplus_{i=1}^r \pi_i$ be the global A-parameter such that Π_F belongs to Π_ψ . Since F is of level one, by a similar argument in the previous subsection, the only possible parameter is of form $\psi = \sigma_4 \boxplus \sigma_3$ where

σ_n is an orthogonal cuspidal representation of $GL_n(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Since σ_4 is unramified at all finite places, the central character is trivial, and hence it is a transfer from a cuspidal representation of $SO(2, 2) \simeq (SL_2 \times SL_2)/\mu_2$. Hence by [22], $\sigma_4 = \pi_{k_1} \boxtimes \pi_{k_2}$, where $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $k_1 > k_2$, and π_{k_i} is a cuspidal representation of GL_2 associated to elliptic newform of level 1 and of weight $k_i + 1$. As in the previous subsection, $\sigma_3 = \text{Sym}^2 \pi_{k_3}$, where π_{k_3} is a cuspidal representation of GL_2 associated to elliptic newform of level 1 and of weight $k_3 + 1$. Therefore, we can rewrite ψ as $\psi = (\pi_{k_1} \boxtimes \pi_{k_2}) \boxplus \text{Sym}^2 \pi_{k_3}$. Then, $\psi_{\infty} = \rho_{k_1+k_2} \oplus \rho_{k_1-k_2} \oplus \rho_{2k_3} \oplus \text{sgn}$. Applying [1, Proposition 3.2] for $r = 3$ and $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 1$, we have

$$\left\{ \frac{k_1 + k_2}{2}, \frac{k_1 - k_2}{2}, k_3 \right\} = \{k - 1, k - 2, k - 3\}.$$

It follows $k_2 \leq 2$. Since there is no elliptic cusp form of weight $k_2 + 1$ and of level 1, we have a contradiction. Thus, there are no holomorphic endoscopic forms of level 1 on $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{A})$.

In conclusion, we have proved the following:

Theorem 9.7. *Let F be a Hecke eigen holomorphic Siegel modular form on $\text{Sp}_6(\mathbb{A})$ which is of level one and of scalar weight $k \geq 4$. Then, F is either a Miyawaki lift of type I, II (a CAP form), or a genuine form.*

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Atobe, *Applications of Arthur’s multiplicity formula to Siegel modular forms*, arXiv:1810.09089.
- [2] J.C. Baez, *Book Review “On quaternions and octonions: Their geometry, arithmetic, and symmetry” by John H. Conway and Derek A. Smith*, Bull. of AMS, **42** (2005), 229–243.
- [3] W. Baily, *An exceptional arithmetic group and its Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **91** (1970), 512–549.
- [4] G. Chenevier and J. Lannes, *Automorphic forms and even unimodular lattices. Kneser neighbors of Niemeier lattices*. Translated from the French by Reinie Erne. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 69. Springer, Cham, 2019. xxi+417 pp.
- [5] F. Cléry and G. van der Geer, *Constructing vector-valued Siegel modular forms from scalar-valued Siegel modular forms*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **11** (2015), no. 1, 21–47.
- [6] H. Cohen, *Sums Involving the Values at Negative Integers of L-Functions of Quadratic Characters*, Math. Ann. **217** (1975), 271–285.
- [7] H.S.M. Coxeter, *Integral Cayley numbers*, Duke Math. J. **13** (1946), 561–578.
- [8] W-E. Duckworth, *A classification of certain finite double coset collections in the exceptional groups*, J. Group Theory **13** (2010), no. 5, 659–678.
- [9] W-T. Gan and N. Gurevich, *CAP representations of G_2 and the spin L-function of $PGSp_6$* , Israel J. Math. **170** (2009), 1–52.

- [10] G. van der Geer, Siegel modular forms and their applications. The 1-2-3 of modular forms, 181–245, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [11] B-H. Gross and G. Savin, *Motives with Galois group of type G_2 : an exceptional theta-correspondence*, Comp. Math. **114** (1998), no. 2, 153-217.
- [12] T. Ikeda, *Pullback of the lifting of elliptic cusp forms and Miyawaki's conjecture*, Duke Math. J. **131** (2006), 469–497.
- [13] M. Karel, *Fourier coefficients of certain Eisenstein series*, Ann. of Math. **99** (1974), 176–202.
- [14] ———, *Values of certain Whittaker functions on a p -adic reductive group*, Illinois J. Math. **26** (1982), 552–575.
- [15] H. Katsurada and H. Kim, *p -adic limit of the Eisenstein series on the exceptional group of type $E_{7,3}$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **152** (2024), no. 10, 4203-4216.
- [16] H. Kim, *Exceptional modular form of weight 4 on an exceptional domain contained in \mathbb{C}^{27}* , Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **9** (1993), 139-200.
- [17] H. Kim, S. Wakatsuki, and T. Yamauchi, *Equidistribution theorems for holomorphic Siegel cusp forms of general degree: the level aspect*. Algebra Number Theory 18 (2024), no. 5, 993–1038.
- [18] H. Kim and T. Yamauchi, *Cusp forms on the exceptional group of type E_7* , Comp. Math. **152** (2016), 223–254.
- [19] ———, *A Miyawaki type lift for $GSpin(2, 10)$* , Math. Z. **288** (2018), no. 1-2, 415-437.
- [20] D. Lanphier, *Values of symmetric cube L -functions and Fourier coefficients of Siegel Eisenstein series of degree 3*, Math. Comp. **80** (2011), 409–428.
- [21] K. Magaard and G. Savin, *Exceptional Θ -correspondences I*, Comp. Math. **107** (1997), no. 1, 89-123.
- [22] D. Ramakrishnan, *Modularity of the Rankin-Selberg L -series, and multiplicity one for $SL(2)$* . Ann. of Math. (2) 152 (2000), no. 1, 45-111.
- [23] ———, *An exercise concerning the self-dual cusp forms on $GL(3)$* , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **45** (2014), 777–785.
- [24] D.S. Yuen, C. Poor, and J. Shurman, *Degree 3 Siegel Modular Forms*, <http://siegelmodularforms.org/pages/degree3/>

HENRY H. KIM, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 2E4, CANADA, AND KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, SEOUL, KOREA

Email address: henrykim@math.toronto.edu

TAKUYA YAMAUCHI, MATHEMATICAL INST. TOHOKU UNIV., 6-3,AOBA, ARAMAKI, AOBA-KU, SENDAI 980-8578, JAPAN

Email address: takuya.yamauchi.c3@tohoku.ac.jp