

PALEY-WIENER THEOREMS FOR SLICE MONOGENIC FUNCTIONS

YANSHUAI HAO, PEI DANG, AND WEIXIONG MAI*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove some Paley-Wiener theorems for function spaces consisting of slice monogenic functions such as Paley-Wiener, Hardy and Bergman spaces. As applications, we can compute the reproducing kernel functions for the related function spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Paley-Wiener theorem plays an important role in complex analysis. It shows the connection between holomorphic functions f in certain function spaces and the Fourier transform of f . The classical Paley-Wiener theorem has two different forms. One is in regard to the Hardy space on the upper half-plane $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$, and the other is corresponding to a function space consisting of entire functions of exponential type called the Paley-Wiener space. The former one says that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is supported on $[0, \infty)$ if and only if f is the non-tangential boundary limit (NTBL) of some function in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$, where $\mathcal{F}(f)$ denotes the Fourier transform of f . The other one states that for given positive constants B and C , and f an entire function satisfying $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, there holds that the support of $\mathcal{F}(f|_{\mathbb{R}})$ lies on $[-B, B]$ if and only if $|f(z)| \leq Ce^{B|z|}$, where $f|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the restriction of f on \mathbb{R} ([32]). For convenience, in this paper we refer to the two forms as the non-compact type and the compact type Paley-Wiener theorems, respectively.

Since the classical Paley-Wiener theorem was proposed in 1934, a lot of attention has been paid to Paley-Wiener theorem in different settings. For instance, the Paley-Wiener type theorems have been extensively studied including generalizations in the L^p -sense, distribution sense, analogous results for Paley-Wiener spaces, Hardy spaces, Bergman spaces and Dirichlet spaces in one and several variables (see e.g. [23, 2, 21, 33, 25, 34, 30, 17, 22, 27, 38]). In this paper we are mainly concerned with the compact and non-compact type Paley-Wiener theorems, so in the following we give the main developments of those two types of Paley-Wiener theorem. In [33, 34], the authors generalize the classical non-compact type Paley-Wiener theorem to the case of $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Specifically, it is shown that for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $(\mathcal{F}(f), \varphi) = 0$ if and only if f is the NTBL of some function in $H^p(\mathbb{C}_+)$, where φ belongs to Schwarz space \mathcal{S} with support lying on $(-\infty, 0]$. In [3], the authors generalize of the classical compact type Paley-Wiener theorem to the case of $1 < p \leq 2$. It is stated that if an entire function f satisfies $|f(z)| < Ce^{B|z|}$ and $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 < p \leq 2$, then $f(z) = \int_{-B}^B e^{izt} \mathcal{F}(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30G35, 32A26, 42A38, 15A66.

Key words and phrases. Paley-Wiener theorem, Slice monogenic function, One-dimensional Clifford Fourier transform, Slice monogenic Bergman space.

*Corresponding author.

where $\mathcal{F}(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(-B, B)$. Conversely, if $f(z) = \int_{-B}^B e^{izt} \mathcal{F}(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt$ with $\mathcal{F}(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \in L^p(-B, B)$, then f is an entire function satisfying $|f(z)| < Ce^{B|z|}$ and $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathbb{R})$. Besides, the Paley-Wiener theorem is generalized to several variables in the settings of several complex variables and Clifford algebra, respectively. In the several complex variables setting, the non-compact type Paley-Wiener theorem gives a Fourier spectrum characterization of Hardy H^2 space on tubes over regular cone (see e.g. [39]). Moreover, the result is, respectively, generalized to Hardy H^p spaces on tubes over regular cone with $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ([30]) and $0 < p < 1$ ([19]). The compact type case in the setting of several complex variables is given in e.g. [39]. In the Clifford algebra setting, the non-compact type Paley-Wiener theorem is respectively studied in [2] and [25] for $p = 2$, and in [17] a systematical investigation of Paley-Wiener theorem for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ is given. Note that the compact type Paley-Wiener theorem in the Clifford algebra setting is proved in [29] by a substantial method.

It is noted that slice monogenic functions were first introduced in [12], which is a generalization of slice regular functions of a quaternionic variable initiated by Gentili and Struppa [24]. It generalizes the theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable to higher dimensional spaces, which is different from the holomorphic function theory of several complex variables and monogenic function theory of Clifford algebras. Over the past two decades, slice analysis including the cases of quaternion and Clifford algebras has been widely studied. It has been significantly developed in many disciplines including geometric function theory, operator theory, differential geometry, etc. (see [11, 7, 5, 9, 6, 35, 16, 28, 36, 15, 20]).

The classical Paley-Wiener theorem plays a role in the theory of holomorphic functions of one complex variable. Therefore, it would be interesting and significant to investigate the analogous results of Paley-Wiener theorem for slice monogenic functions. In fact, in the setting of quaternion analysis, we proved the Paley-Wiener theorems for Hardy space and Paley-Wiener space consisting of slice regular functions ([26]). This paper could be considered as a continuous study of [26]. In this paper, we will prove, respectively, the non-compact type and compact type of the Paley-Wiener theorem for slice monogenic functions. In the non-compact type case, we will obtain the related results for $1 \leq p \leq 2$. In the compact case, we only obtain the related results for $p = 2$. To do so, we need to introduce the one-dimensional left-sided Clifford Fourier transform (1DLCFT) and the Hardy and Bergman spaces consisting of slice monogenic functions. Moreover, we can exactly compute the formulas of related reproducing kernel functions by the obtained Paley-Wiener type theorems. In fact, it is easy to prove the Paley-Wiener type theorems for slice monogenic functions in a particular slice since the function theory in such case is very similar to the holomorphic function theory of one complex variable. However, the main difficulty is to generalize the related results to the whole space.

The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we introduce some notations, definitions and properties for Clifford algebra and slice monogenic functions. In §3 we prove three Paley-Wiener theorem for slice monogenic Paley-Wiener, Hardy and Bergman spaces, respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we introduce some notations and fundamental properties of Clifford algebras and slice monogenic functions. For more information, please refer to [4, 12, 18, 14].

Let \mathbb{R}_n be the real Clifford algebra over n imaginary units $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$ satisfying $\mathbf{e}_r \mathbf{e}_s + \mathbf{e}_s \mathbf{e}_r = -2\delta_{rs}$, where δ_{rs} is the Kronecker delta. Denote the ordered powerset of X by

$$\mathcal{P}_<(X) := \left\{ \{i_1, \dots, i_s\} \in \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\} : i_1 < \dots < i_s \right\} \cup \{\emptyset\}$$

where $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is the powerset of X . An element in \mathbb{R}_n , called a Clifford number, can be written as $a = \sum_A a_A \mathbf{e}_A$, where $A \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{1, \dots, n\})$ and

$$\mathbf{e}_A = \begin{cases} 1, & A = \emptyset, \\ \mathbf{e}_{i_1} \mathbf{e}_{i_2} \dots \mathbf{e}_{i_s}, & A = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\mathbb{R}_n^1 = \{\underline{\mathbf{x}} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

be identical with the usual Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , and

$$\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \{\mathbf{x} = x_0 + \underline{\mathbf{x}} : x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}_n^1\}.$$

An element in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is a paravector. The conjugation of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is given by

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}} = x_0 - x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 - \dots - x_n \mathbf{e}_n = x_0 - \underline{\mathbf{x}}$$

and the norm of \mathbf{x} is

$$|\mathbf{x}| = \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2} = \sqrt{x_0^2 + |\underline{\mathbf{x}}|^2}.$$

The inverse of \mathbf{x} is defined by

$$\mathbf{x}^{-1} = \frac{\overline{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x}|^2}.$$

We denote by \mathbb{S} the sphere

$$\mathbb{S} = \{\underline{\mathbf{x}} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n \in \mathbb{R}_n^1 : x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2 = 1\}.$$

Note that for $I \in \mathbb{S}$, we obviously have $I^2 = -1$. The vector space $\mathbb{R} + I\mathbb{R}$ passing through 1 and I will be denoted by L_I . In fact, it is isomorphic to the complex plane. An element $\mathbf{x} \in L_I$ will be denoted by $u + Iv$, for $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. To any non real paravector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we can uniquely associate the element in the sphere \mathbb{S} given by $I_{\mathbf{x}} := \frac{\underline{\mathbf{x}}}{|\underline{\mathbf{x}}|}$, and write $\mathbf{x} = u + I_{\mathbf{x}}v$, where $u = x_0$, $v = |x|$.

Given an element $\mathbf{x} = x_0 + \underline{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we define

$$[\mathbf{x}] := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{y} = x_0 + I|\underline{\mathbf{x}}|, I \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

The set $[\mathbf{x}]$ is a $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

Definition 2.1 ([12]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an open set and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ be a real differentiable function. Let $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and let f_I be the restriction of f to the complex plane $L_I = \mathbb{R} + I\mathbb{R}$. Denote by $u + Iv$ an element on L_I . We say that f is a left slice monogenic function if, for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\overline{\partial}_I f(u + Iv) := \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + I \frac{\partial}{\partial v} \right) f_I(u + Iv) = 0$$

on $U \cap L_I$. Denote by $\mathcal{SM}_l(U)$ the set of left slice monogenic functions on the open set U . Similarly, a function is said to be right slice monogenic in U if for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} f_I(u + Iv) + \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f_I(u + Iv) I \right) = 0$$

on $U \cap L_I$.

In this paper, we only discuss the case for left slice monogenic functions. Note that for the case of right slice monogenic functions we can have similar results.

Definition 2.2 ([12]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a domain. We say that U is a slice domain (s-domain for short) if $U \cap \mathbb{R}$ is nonempty and if $U \cap L_I$ is a domain in L_I for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Definition 2.3 ([12]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We say that U is axially symmetric if, for all $\mathbf{x} \in U$, the whole $(n-1)$ -sphere $[\mathbf{x}]$ is contained in U .

Definition 2.4 ([31]). Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ be a Clifford-valued function with $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and let $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then the one-dimensional left-sided Clifford Fourier transform (1DLCFT) $\mathcal{F}_I(f)$ of f is

$$\mathcal{F}_I(f)(w) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Iuw} f(u) du.$$

Some fundamental properties of the 1DLCFT are given as follows (see, [31]).

- (Inverse Fourier transform) Let $f, \mathcal{F}_I(f) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then the inverse Fourier transform is defined by

$$f(u) = \mathcal{F}_I^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_I(f))(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{Iuw} \mathcal{F}_I(f)(w) dw.$$

- (Plancherel's theorem) Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{F}_I(f)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

- (Hausdorff-Young inequality) Let $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then

$$\|\mathcal{F}_I(f)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

Proposition 2.5 ([12]). Let $I = I_1 \in \mathbb{S}$. It is possible to choose $I_2, \dots, I_n \in \mathbb{S}$ such that I_1, \dots, I_n form an orthonormal basis for the Clifford algebra \mathbb{R}_n i.e., they satisfy the defining relations $I_r I_s + I_s I_r = -2\delta_{rs}$.

Proposition 2.6 ([12], Splitting Lemma). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an open set. Let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ be a left slice monogenic function. For every $I = I_1 \in \mathbb{S}$, let I_2, \dots, I_n be a completion to a basis of \mathbb{R}_n satisfying the defining relations $I_r I_s + I_s I_r = -2\delta_{rs}$. Then there exist 2^{n-1} holomorphic functions $F_{A'} : U \cap L_I \rightarrow L_I$ such that for every $z = u + Iv$,

$$f_I(z) = \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(z) I_{A'},$$

where $A' \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{2, \dots, n\})$ and

$$I_{A'} = \begin{cases} 1, & A' = \emptyset, \\ I_{i_1} I_{i_2} \dots I_{i_s}, & A' = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}. \end{cases}$$

Since $F_{A'}$ is L_I -valued, we can define $\Re\{F_{A'}\} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{A'} + \overline{F_{A'}})$ and $\Im\{F_{A'}\} = \frac{I}{2}(\overline{F_{A'}} - F_{A'})$. It is obvious that $\Re\{F_{A'}\}$ and $\Im\{F_{A'}\}$ are real-valued. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Iv) &= \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(z) I_{A'} \\ &= \sum_{A'} (\Re\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\} + I\Im\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\}) I_{A'} \\ &= \Re\{F_{\emptyset}(u + Iv)\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left[\sum_{|A'|=k} \Re\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\} I_{A'} + \sum_{|A'|=k-1} \Im\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\} II_{A'} \right] \\ &\quad + \Im\{F_{2\dots n}(u + Iv)\} II_{2\dots n}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $I = I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n$ form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}_n , we have

$$|f(u + Iv)|^2 = \sum_{A'} (|\Re\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\}|^2 + |\Im\{F_{A'}(u + Iv)\}|^2) = \sum_{A'} |F_{A'}(u + Iv)|^2.$$

Proposition 2.7 ([10], Representation Formula). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric s-domain and let f be a left slice monogenic function on U . For every vector $\mathbf{x} \in U$ the following formulas hold for every $I \in \mathbb{S}$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1 - I_{\mathbf{x}}I}{2} f(u + Iv) + \frac{1 + I_{\mathbf{x}}I}{2} f(u - Iv)$$

and

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} [f(u - Iv) + f(u + Iv)] + \frac{I_{\mathbf{x}}I}{2} [f(u - Iv) - f(u + Iv)].$$

Moreover, the two quantities

$$\alpha(u, v) := \frac{1}{2} [f(u - Iv) + f(u + Iv)]$$

and

$$\beta(u, v) := \frac{I}{2} [f(u - Iv) - f(u + Iv)]$$

do not depend on $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Proposition 2.8 ([8]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric s-domain, let $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be such that $\mathbf{x} = u + Iv$ for all $(u, v) \in D$ and let $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$. The function f is left slice monogenic if and only if there exist two differentiable functions $\alpha, \beta : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ satisfying $\alpha(u, v) = \alpha(u, -v)$, $\beta(u, v) = -\beta(u, -v)$ and the Cauchy-Riemann system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_u \alpha - \partial_v \beta = 0 \\ \partial_v \alpha + \partial_u \beta = 0 \end{cases}$$

such that $f(u + Iv) = \alpha(u, v) + I\beta(u, v)$.

Proposition 2.9 ([8]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric s-domain and let f be a left slice monogenic function on U . For every $I = I_1 \in \mathbb{S}$, let I_2, \dots, I_n be a completion to a basis of \mathbb{R}_n satisfying the defining relations $I_r I_s + I_s I_r = -2\delta_{rs}$. Then there exist 2^n holomorphic intrinsic functions $h_A : U \cap L_I \rightarrow L_I$, such that

$$f_I(z) = \sum_A h_A(z) I_A,$$

where $A \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{1, \dots, n\})$ and

$$I_A = \begin{cases} 1, & A = \emptyset, \\ I_{i_1} I_{i_2} \dots I_{i_s}, & A = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}. \end{cases}$$

Since $h_A(u + Iv)$ is L_I -valued, we have

$$h_A(u + Iv) = \Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\} + I\Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\}.$$

By $\overline{h_A(u + Iv)} = h_A(u - Iv)$, we get $\Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\} = \Re\{h_A(u - Iv)\}$ and $\Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\} = -\Im\{h_A(u - Iv)\}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Iv) &= \sum_A h_A(u + Iv) I_A \\ &= \sum_A [\Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\} + I\Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\}] I_A \\ &= \sum_A \Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\} I_A + I \sum_A \Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\} I_A \\ &= \alpha(u, v) + I\beta(u, v) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(u, v) = \sum_A \Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\} I_A$ and $\beta(u, v) = \sum_A \Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\} I_A$. It is obvious that $\alpha(u, v) = \alpha(u, -v)$, $\beta(u, v) = -\beta(u, -v)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_u \alpha - \partial_v \beta = 0, \\ \partial_v \alpha + \partial_u \beta = 0. \end{cases}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.8 and Proposition 2.7 that

$$|\Re\{h_A(u + Iv)\}| \leq |\alpha(u, v)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [|f(u - Iv)| + |f(u + Iv)|]$$

and

$$|\Im\{h_A(u + Iv)\}| \leq |\beta(u, v)| \leq \frac{1}{2} [|f(u - Iv)| + |f(u + Iv)|].$$

Hence, we have

$$(2.1) \quad |h_A(u + Iv)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} [|f(u - Iv)| + |f(u + Iv)|].$$

Proposition 2.10 ([13], Extension Lemma). Let $J \in \mathbb{S}$ and let D be a domain in L_J , symmetric with respect to the real axis and such that $D \cap \mathbb{R} = \emptyset$. Let U_D be the axially symmetric s-domain defined by

$$U_D = \bigcup_{u+Jv \in D, I \in \mathbb{S}} (u + Iv).$$

If $f : D \rightarrow L_J$ is holomorphic, then the function $\mathbf{ext}(f) : U_D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\mathbf{ext}(f)(u + Iv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(u - Jv) + f(u + Jv)] + \frac{IJ}{2} [f(u - Jv) - f(u + Jv)] \end{aligned}$$

is the unique left slice monogenic extension of f to U_D . Similarly, if $f : D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ satisfies $\bar{\partial}_J f(u + Jv) = 0$, then the function $\mathbf{ext}(f)$ defined by (2.2) is its unique left slice monogenic extension to U_D .

Proposition 2.11 ([8]). Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an axially symmetric s-domain. Let I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n be a completion to a basis of \mathbb{R}_n . Then

$$\mathcal{SM}_l(U) = \oplus_A \mathcal{N}_l(U) I_A$$

where $A \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{1, \dots, n\})$,

$$I_A = \begin{cases} 1, & A = \emptyset, \\ I_{i_1} I_{i_2} \dots I_{i_s}, & A = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}_l(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(U), f(U \cap L_I) \subseteq L_I, I \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

Our main results are as follows.

3.1. Non-compact type Paley-Wiener theorem.

We recall that the Hardy H^2 space on the right-half complex plane Π_+ is defined as

$$H^2(\Pi_+) = \left\{ f \text{ is holomorphic on } \Pi_+ : \sup_{x>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)|^2 dy < \infty \right\}.$$

It is known that a function $f \in H^2(\Pi_+)$ has the NTBL $f(iy)$ almost everywhere at iy and $f(iy) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover,

$$\sup_{x>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x + iy)|^2 dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(iy)|^2 dy.$$

Similarly, the open right half-space of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is defined as

$$\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} = \{\mathbf{x} = u + Iv : u, v \in \mathbb{R}, u > 0, I \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

We set $\Pi_{+,I} = \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \cap L_I$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$f_I(u + Iv) = \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(u + Iv) I_{A'}$$

where $A' \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{2, \dots, n\})$ and

$$I_{A'} = \begin{cases} 1, & A' = \emptyset, \\ I_{i_1} I_{i_2} \dots I_{i_s}, & A' = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}. \end{cases}$$

Since all the NTBL of $F_{A'}$ exist almost everywhere at Iv , also the NTBL of f exists almost everywhere at Iv on $\Pi_{+,I}$ and $f(Iv) = \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(Iv) I_{A'}$ almost everywhere Iv . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)|^2 dv &= \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{A'} |F_{A'}(u + Iv)|^2 dv \\ &\leq \sum_{A'} \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_{A'}(u + Iv)|^2 dv \\ &= \sum_{A'} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |F_{A'}(Iv)|^2 dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Iv)|^2 dv. \end{aligned}$$

So, we define

$$H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}); \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Iv)|^2 dv < \infty \right\},$$

where $f(Iv)$ denotes the NTBL of f at Iv on $\Pi_{+,I}$.

In $H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})$ we define the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{g_I(Iv)} f_I(Iv) dv.$$

where $f_I(Iv)$, $g_I(Iv)$ denote the NTBL of f, g at Iv on $\Pi_{+,I}$. This inner product gives the norm

$$\|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_I(Iv)|^2 dv \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proposition 3.1. A function $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})$ for some $I \in \mathbb{S}$ if and only if $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,J})$ for all $J \in \mathbb{S}$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.7, we have

$$|f(u + Jv)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (|f(u + Iv)| + |f(u - Iv)|)$$

and

$$|f(u + Jv)|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} [|f(u + Iv)|^2 + |f(u - Iv)|^2].$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,J})}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_J(Jv)|^2 dv \\ &= \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_J(u + Jv)|^2 dv \\ &\leq \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} [|f_I(u + Iv)|^2 + |f_I(u - Iv)|^2] dv \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_I(u + Iv)|^2 dv + \sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_I(u - Iv)|^2 dv \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_I(Iv)|^2 dv \\ &= \|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})}^2. \end{aligned}$$

By changing J with I we obtain the reverse inequality. \square

We now introduce the left slice monogenic Hardy space of the right-half space \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Definition 3.2. For $1 \leq p \leq 2$. We define $H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ as the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ such that

$$\sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Iv)|^p dv < \infty.$$

It is easy to see that $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ is equivalent to $f^{\frac{2}{p}} \in H_{\text{slice}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f \in H_{slice}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have $\text{supp} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and*

$$f(u + Jv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) dw$$

where $f|_{J\mathbb{R}}$ is the NTBL of f .

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that there exists a purely imaginary unit paravector $I \in \mathbb{S}$, such that $f|_{I\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\text{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. Then $f \in H_{slice}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.*

In order to prove Theorem 3.3, we need the following results.

Lemma 3.5. *For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : \Pi_{+,I} \rightarrow L_I$ and $f \in H_{slice}^2(\Pi_{+,I})$. Then there exists a function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_I$ such that $\text{supp} G \subset (-\infty, 0]$, $G \in L^2(-\infty, 0)$ and*

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} G(w) dw, z \in \Pi_{+,I}.$$

Moreover, G is the 1DLCFT of $f|_{I\mathbb{R}}$ where $f|_{I\mathbb{R}}$ is the NTBL of f .

Based on the argument in [37, Theorem 19.2], we immediately obtain Lemma 3.5. For completeness, we include a brief proof.

Proof. For any given $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in (0, \infty)$ with $\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2$. For each $\delta > 0$, let \square_δ be the counterclockwise rectangular path with vertices $\epsilon_1 \pm I\delta$ and $\epsilon_2 \pm I\delta$. Cauchy's theorem implies that

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\square_\delta} e^{-wz} f(z) dz = 0.$$

In the following, we assume $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\Psi(\delta) = \int_{\epsilon_1 + I\delta}^{\epsilon_2 + I\delta} e^{-wz} f(z) dz = \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} e^{-w(u+I\delta)} f(u+I\delta) du.$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\Psi(\delta)|^2 &= \left| \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} e^{-w(u+I\delta)} f(u+I\delta) du \right|^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} e^{-2wu} du \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} |f(u+I\delta)|^2 du. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\Phi(\delta) = \int_{\epsilon_1}^{\epsilon_2} |f(u+I\delta)|^2 du.$$

Using $f \in H_{slice}^2(\Pi_{+,I})$ and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi(\delta) d\delta \leq \text{Constant}.$$

Thus, there exists a sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ such that $\delta_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\Phi(-\delta_n) + \Phi(\delta_n)] = 0.$$

It follows from (3.2) that

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(-\delta_n) = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi(\delta_n) = 0.$$

Note that (3.3) holds for every w and the sequence $\{\delta_n\}$ does not depend on w .

Notice that

$$\int_{\epsilon_2 - I\delta}^{\epsilon_2 + I\delta} e^{-wz} f(z) dz = I e^{-w\epsilon_2} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-Iwv} f(\epsilon_2 + Iv) dv$$

and

$$\int_{\epsilon_1 + I\delta}^{\epsilon_1 - I\delta} e^{-wz} f(z) dz = -I e^{-w\epsilon_1} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} e^{-Iwv} f(\epsilon_1 + Iv) dv.$$

Let $f_u(Iv) = f(u + Iv)$ and let

$$g_n(u, w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\delta_n}^{\delta_n} e^{-Iwv} f_u(Iv) dv, \quad u > 0.$$

Then we conclude from (3.1) and (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-w\epsilon_2} g_n(\epsilon_2, w) - e^{-w\epsilon_1} g_n(\epsilon_1, w)] = 0, \quad w \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using $f_u(Iv) \in H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+, I})$ and Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w) - g_n(u, w) \right|^2 dw = 0.$$

By invoking the corresponding result in [37, Theorem 3.12], there exists a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}(u, w)\}$ such that $\{g_{n_k}(u, w)\}$ converges pointwise to $\mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w)$ almost everywhere w . Thus, by (3.4), we get

$$e^{-w\epsilon_2} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\epsilon_2})(w) = e^{-w\epsilon_1} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\epsilon_1})(w).$$

holds almost everywhere $w \in \mathbb{R}$. If we define $G(w) := e^{-w\epsilon_1} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\epsilon_1})(w)$, by the arbitrariness of ϵ_1, ϵ_2 , we can obtain that for every $u \in (0, \infty)$,

$$(3.5) \quad G(w) = e^{-wu} \mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w)$$

holds almost everywhere $w \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, $G(w)$ is independent of u .

Using (3.5) and Plancherel's theorem, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{2wu} |G(w)|^2 dw = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w)|^2 dw = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_u(v)|^2 dv < \infty.$$

If $u \rightarrow \infty$, we can conclude from (3.6) that $\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) = 0$ holds almost everywhere $w \in (0, \infty)$. And if $u \rightarrow 0$, (3.6) shows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 |G(w)|^2 dw < \infty.$$

It follows from (3.5) that $\mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Iv) &= f_u(Iv) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{Ivw} \mathcal{F}_I(f_u)(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Ivw} e^{wu} G(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} G(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we conclude that G is the 1DLCFT of $f|_{I\mathbb{R}}$ where $f|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the NTBL of f . The proof is similar to Lemma 3.10. \square

Lemma 3.6. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : \Pi_{+,I} \rightarrow L_I$. Suppose $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$, $0 < p \leq \infty$, and $\Pi_{+,I,x_0} = \{x_0 + z : x_0 > 0, z \in \Pi_{+,I}\} \subset \Pi_{+,I}$, then there exists a constant $C = C(x_0, p)$, depending on (x_0, p) but not on f , such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Pi_{+,I,x_0}} |f(z)| \leq C \|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that given in [1, Proposition 1.3]. \square

Lemma 3.7. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f, \varphi : L_I \rightarrow L_I$. If $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) \mathcal{F}_I(\varphi|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \varphi(t) dt.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that given in [30]. \square

Lemma 3.8. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : \Pi_{+,I} \rightarrow L_I$. If $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, then $\text{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ where $f|_{I\mathbb{R}}$ is the NTBL of f . Moreover, for all $z \in \Pi_{+,I}$, we have

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that given in [30]. For any given $\epsilon > 0$, let us define

$$f_\epsilon(z) = f(\epsilon + z)$$

for $z \in \Pi_{+,I}$. By Lemma 3.6, we have that there exists a positive number $C = C(\epsilon, p)$ such that

$$\sup_{z \in \Pi_{+,I}} |f_\epsilon(z)| \leq C \|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})} < \infty.$$

It follows that for any $u > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\epsilon(u + Iv)|^2 dv &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_\epsilon(u + Iv)|^p |f_\epsilon(u + Iv)|^{2-p} dv \\ &\leq \left(C \|f\|_{H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})} \right)^{2-p} \|f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have $f_\epsilon(z) \in H_{\text{slice}}^2(\Pi_{+,I})$. By Lemma 3.5, we get

$$(3.7) \quad \text{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0].$$

Using Hausdorff-Young's inequality, we obtain that

$$(3.8) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}}) - \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}} - f|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$$

holds for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}} - f|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}}) - \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

Owing to (3.7) and (3.8), for any rapidly decreasing functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying $\text{supp}\varphi \subset [0, \infty)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) \varphi(w) dw \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) - \mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)] \varphi(w) dw + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) \varphi(w) dw \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) - \mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)] \varphi(w) dw \right| \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon|_{I\mathbb{R}}) - \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R})} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. Let

$$g(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

It is easy to show that g is holomorphic on $\Pi_{+,I}$. Note that for fixed $u_0 > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(u_0 + Iv) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u_0+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Ivw} e^{u_0w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Ivw} \mathcal{F}_I(f(u_0 + Iv))(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{Ivw} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{u_0}|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= f_{u_0}(Iv) = f(u_0 + Iv). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$, we have

$$f(u + Iv) = g(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

□

Lemma 3.9. *If there exists a unit purely imaginary paravector $I \in \mathbb{S}$, such that $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I}) \cap \mathcal{N}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Then for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and*

$$f(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(t) dt,$$

where $f|_{J\mathbb{R}}$ is the NTBL of f .

Proof. For given $I \in \mathbb{S}$, by $f \in \mathcal{N}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, we get $f(\Pi_{+,I}) \subseteq L_I$ and $\overline{f(u + Iv)} = f(u - Iv)$. Since $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$, using Lemma 3.8, we have $\text{supp}\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$(3.9) \quad f(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

Taking the conjugation of formula (3.9), we obtain

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{f(u+Iv)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u-Iv)w} \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\overline{\int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) dv} = \int_{-T}^T e^{Ivw} \overline{f(Iv)} dv.$$

Let $f(Iv)$ be the NTBL of $f(u+Iv)$. Using the fact that $\overline{f(u+Iv)} = f(u-Iv)$, we have that $\overline{f(Iv)} = f(-Iv)$ holds almost everywhere $v \in \mathbb{R}$. It follows that

$$(3.11) \quad \overline{\int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) dv} = \int_{-T}^T e^{Ivw} f(-Iv) dv = \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) dv.$$

Since

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) dv \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

we get

$$(3.12) \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)} = \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w).$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.10), we obtain

$$\overline{f(u+Iv)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u-Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw = f(u-Iv).$$

By Proposition 2.10, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u+Jv) &:= \mathbf{ext}(f)(u+Jv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(u-Iv) + f(u+Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [f(u-Iv) - f(u+Iv)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\overline{f(u+Iv)} + f(u+Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [\overline{f(u+Iv)} - f(u+Iv)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{2} [e^{(u-Iv)w} + e^{(u+Iv)w}] \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{JI}{2} [e^{(u-Iv)w} - e^{(u+Iv)w}] \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 \mathbf{ext}(e^{(u+Iv)w})(u+Jv) \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$f(u+Jv) = \Re\{f(u+Iv)\} + J\Im\{f(u+Iv)\}.$$

Denote by $f(Jv)$ the NTBL of $f(u+Jv)$. It follows that

$$f(Jv) = \Re\{f(Iv)\} + J\Im\{f(Iv)\}$$

holds almost everywhere $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-T}^T e^{-Jvw} f(Jv) \, dv \\
&= \int_{-T}^T [\cos(vw) - J \sin(vw)] [\Re\{f(Iv)\} + J\Im\{f(Iv)\}] \, dv \\
&= \int_{-T}^T [\cos(vw) \Re\{f(Iv)\} + \sin(vw) \Im\{f(Iv)\}] \, dv \\
&\quad + J \int_{-T}^T [\cos(vw) \Im\{f(Iv)\} - \sin(vw) \Re\{f(Iv)\}] \, dv \\
&= \Re \left\{ \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) \, dv \right\} + J \Im \left\{ \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) \, dv \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.11), we have

$$\Im \left\{ \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) \, dv \right\} = 0.$$

Hence, we get

$$\int_{-T}^T e^{-Jvw} f(Jv) \, dv = \int_{-T}^T e^{-Ivw} f(Iv) \, dv.$$

By

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Jvw} f(Jv) \, dv \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

we have $\mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) = \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)$. Since $\overline{e^{(u+Iv)w}} = e^{(u-Iv)w}$, we get

$$\mathbf{ext} \left(e^{(u-Iv)w} \right) (u + Jv) = e^{(u+Jv)w}.$$

Hence, we have $\mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$f(u + Jv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) \, dw.$$

□

Now, we prove Theorem 3.3.

Proof of Theorem 3.3. Since $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, by Proposition 2.11, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$(3.13) \quad f(u + Jv) = \sum_A h_A(u + Jv) I_A,$$

where $h_A \in \mathcal{N}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{1, \dots, n\})$. By (2.1), we have

$$|h_A(u + Jv)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} [|f(u - Jv)| + |f(u + Jv)|].$$

Hence, we have $h_A \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,J})$. It follow that $\mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{J\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$ and

$$(3.14) \quad h_A(u + Jv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) \, dw.$$

Combining (3.13) and (3.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
f(u + Jv) &= \sum_A \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) dw I_A \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \sum_A \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) I_A dw \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J\left(\sum_A h_A I_A|_{J\mathbb{R}}\right)(w) dw \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}})(w) dw
\end{aligned}$$

and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{J\mathbb{R}}) = \bigcup_A \text{supp } \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{J\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. \square

Next, we need the following result to prove Theorem 3.4.

Lemma 3.10. *For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : \Pi_{+,I} \rightarrow L_I$ and let $f|_{I\mathbb{R}}$ be the NTBL of f . Assume that $f|_{I\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. Then $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$. Moreover, we have that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad f(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(z - Iw) f(Iw) dw \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) f(Iw) dw
\end{aligned}$$

holds for all $z = u + Iv \in \Pi_{+,I}$, where

$$(3.16) \quad \mathcal{K}(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} dw, \quad \mathcal{P}(u, v) = \frac{|\mathcal{K}(z)|^2}{\mathcal{K}(2u)}.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that given in [30] (see also [26]). For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$. It is easy to show that for all $z = u + Iv \in \Pi_{+,I}$,

$$e^{zw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) \in L^1(-\infty, 0).$$

Using Fubini's theorem and Morera' theorem, we conclude that

$$(3.17) \quad g(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw$$

is holomorphic on $\Pi_{+,I}$. Next, we will show that $g \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$. For every $\xi, \eta \in \Pi_{+,I}$, define

$$\mathcal{K}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi t} dt$$

and

$$(3.18) \quad Q(\xi, \eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(\xi - Iw) \mathcal{K}(\eta + Iw) f(Iw) dw.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(\xi - Iw) \mathcal{K}(\eta + Iw) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\xi - Iw)t} dt \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\eta + Iw)s} ds \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\xi - Iw)t} e^{(\eta + Iw)s} dt ds \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi t} e^{\eta s} e^{-Iwt} e^{Iws} dt ds \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi t} e^{\eta s} e^{-Iw(t-s)} dt ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $r = t - s$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}(\xi - Iw) \mathcal{K}(\eta + Iw) &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{-s} e^{\xi(r+s)} e^{\eta s} e^{-Iwr} dr ds \\
&= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{-s} e^{\xi r} e^{-Iwr} dr \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(\xi + \eta)s} ds \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\xi + \eta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{-s} e^{\xi r} e^{-Iwr} dr
\end{aligned}$$

where $s < 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(\xi, \eta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(\xi - Iw) \mathcal{K}(\eta + Iw) f(Iw) dw \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\xi + \eta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^{-s} e^{\xi r} e^{-Iwr} f(Iw) dr dw \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\xi + \eta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{-s} e^{\xi r} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(r) dr \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\xi + \eta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\xi r} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(r) dr \\
&= \mathcal{K}(\xi + \eta) g(\xi).
\end{aligned}$$

For $z = u + Iv \in \Pi_{+,I}$, we get $\bar{z} \in \Pi_{+,I}$, and

$$(3.19) \quad Q(z, \bar{z}) = \mathcal{K}(z + \bar{z}) g(z) = \mathcal{K}(2u) g(z).$$

Combining (3.18) and (3.19), we have

$$(3.20) \quad g(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\mathcal{K}(z - Iw) \mathcal{K}(\bar{z} + Iw)}{\mathcal{K}(2u)} f(Iw) dw = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) f(Iw) dw$$

where $\mathcal{P}(u, v)$ is the Poisson kernel. Since $\|g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} = \|\mathcal{P} * f|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|\mathcal{P}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \|f|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$, we have

$$\sup_{u>0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(u + Iv)|^p dv \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Iv)|^p dv < \infty.$$

It follows that $g \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$. By (3.20), we obtain that $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} g(u + Iv) = f(Iv)$ holds almost everywhere $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume that $f(Iv)$ is the NTBL of a holomorphic function $f(u + Iv)$ at Iv . We can easily show that $f(z) = g(z)$ holds for all $z \in \Pi_{+,I}$. Hence, we have $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})$.

Moreover, by Lemma 3.7 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{zw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-Ivw} e^{zw} dw f(Iv) dv \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}(z - Iv) f(Iv) dv. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we prove Theorem 3.4.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. For given $I = I_1 \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : I\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ and let I_2, \dots, I_n be a completion to a basis of \mathbb{R}_n satisfying $I_r I_s + I_s I_r = -2\delta_{rs}$. By Proposition 2.6, we have

$$f(Iv) = \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(Iv) I_{A'}$$

where $A' \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{2, \dots, n\})$ and $F_{A'}$ is L_I -valued. It is easy to verify that

$$|f(Iv)|^2 = \sum_{A'} |F_{A'}(Iv)|^2$$

and

$$|\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})|^2 = \sum_{A'} |\mathcal{F}_I(F_{A'}|_{I\mathbb{R}})|^2.$$

Since $f|_{I\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$, for each $A' \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{2, \dots, n\})$, we have $F_{A'}|_{I\mathbb{R}} \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ and $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_I(F_{A'}|_{I\mathbb{R}}) \subset (-\infty, 0]$. By Lemma 3.10, we obtain that for each $A' \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{2, \dots, n\})$,

$$F_{A'}(u + Iv) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) F_{A'}(Iw) dw$$

is in $H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I})$ and $F_{A'}(Iv)$ is the NTBL of $F_{A'}$ at Iv . Let

$$f(u + Iv) = \sum_{A'} F_{A'}(u + Iv) I_{A'}.$$

Then

$$f(u + Iv) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) f(Iw) dw$$

is in $H_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I})$ and $f(Iv)$ is the NTBL of f at Iv . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(u - Iv) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, -v - w) f(Iw) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, -(v + w)) f(Iw) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v + w) f(Iw) dw \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) f(-Iw) dw. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.10, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Jv) &:= \mathbf{ext}(f)(u + Jv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(u - Iv) + f(u + Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [f(u - Iv) - f(u + Iv)] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P}(u, v - w) \left(\frac{1}{2} [f(-Iw) + f(Iw)] + \frac{JI}{2} [f(-Iw) - f(Iw)] \right) dw. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that f is left slice monogenic on \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} . Denote by $f(Jv)$ the NTBL of f at Jv . We obtain that

$$f(Jv) = \frac{1}{2} [f(-Iv) + f(Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [f(-Iv) - f(Iv)]$$

holds almost everywhere $v \in \mathbb{R}$. Note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Jv)|^p dv \leq 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(|f(-Iv)| + |f(Iv)| \right)^p dv \leq 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Iv)|^p dv < \infty.$$

Hence, we have

$$\sup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(Jv)|^p dv < \infty.$$

It follows that $f \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. \square

Furthermore, we can compute the reproducing kernel for the left slice monogenic Hardy space in the right half-space as an application of Theorem 3.3. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{\Pi+, I, \xi + I\eta}(u + Iv) &= \mathcal{K}_{\Pi+, I, \xi + I\eta}(u + Iv, \xi + I\eta) \\ (3.21) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u+Iv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.10, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \xi + I\eta}(u + Jv) \\ &= \mathbf{ext}(\mathcal{K}_{\Pi+, I, \xi + I\eta})(u + Jv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u-Iv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u+Iv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{JI}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u-Iv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u+Iv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw \right] \\ (3.22) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{(u-Iv)w} + e^{(u+Iv)w} \right] e^{(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{JI}{2} \left[e^{(u-Iv)w} - e^{(u+Iv)w} \right] e^{(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left[\Re \left\{ e^{(u+Iv)w} \right\} + J\Im \left\{ e^{(u+Iv)w} \right\} \right] e^{(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Jv)w} e^{(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{[(u+Jv) + (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give the reproducing kernel formula for $H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

Corollary 3.11. Let $f \in H_{slice}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Then for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}(\mathbf{x}, I\xi) f(I\xi) d\xi,$$

where $f(I\xi)$ is the NTBL of f at $I\xi$.

Proof. Using Theorem 3.3 and Fubini's theorem, for given $I \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Iv) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} e^{-I\xi w} dw f(I\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}(u + Iv, I\xi) f(I\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.10, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$f(x + Jv) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}(u + Jv, I\xi) f(I\xi) d\xi.$$

□

3.2. Compact type Paley-Wiener theorem. In this subsection we will prove the following main result.

Theorem 3.12. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_n$ be a left slice monogenic function with $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $B > 0$ be a positive number. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) There exists a constant C such that

$$|f(u + Iv)| \leq Ce^{B|u+Iv|}$$

for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

(ii) $\text{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$ for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$f(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

In order to prove Theorem 3.12, we need the following results.

Lemma 3.13. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let $f : L_I \rightarrow L_I$ be a holomorphic function with $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $B > 0$ be a positive number. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

(i) There exists a constant C such that

$$(3.23) \quad |f(z)| \leq Ce^{B|z|}$$

for all $z = u + Iv \in L_I$.

(ii) $\text{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$.

Moreover, if one of the above conditions holds, then we have

$$(3.24) \quad f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{Izw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

Proof. Assume that (i) holds. We will mainly adopt the technique used in [37, Theorem 19.3]. For $\epsilon > 0$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, let $f_\epsilon(u) = e^{-\epsilon|u|}f(u)$. Using $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $f_\epsilon(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$. For given $I \in \mathbb{S}$, the Fourier transform of f_ϵ is

$$\mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon)(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Iuw} f_\epsilon(u) du.$$

Since

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\epsilon - f|_{\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

by Plancherel's theorem, we get

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{F}_I(f_\epsilon) - \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

It follows that if

$$(3.25) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Iuw} f_\epsilon(u) du = 0$$

holds for $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|w| > B$, then $\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})$ vanishes outside $[-B, B]$. It is easy to see that

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{Iuw} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

Since each side of (3.24) is a holomorphic function, we can conclude that (3.24) holds for every $z = u + Iv \in L_I$.

Now, one can show that (3.25) holds for $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|w| > B$. For each $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\mathcal{L}_\delta(s) = se^{I\delta}, 0 \leq s < \infty,$$

and put

$$(3.26) \quad \mathcal{O}_\delta := \{\xi : \Re\{\xi e^{I\delta}\} > B\}.$$

If $\xi \in \mathcal{O}_\delta$, we define

$$\Phi_\delta(\xi) = \int_{\mathcal{L}_\delta} e^{-\xi z} f(z) dz = e^{I\delta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\xi se^{I\delta}} f(se^{I\delta}) ds.$$

By (3.23) and (3.26), for $z \in \mathcal{L}_\delta$, we get

$$|e^{-\xi z} f(z)| \leq Ce^{[B - \Re\{\xi e^{I\delta}\}]s}.$$

Using Fubini's theorem and Morera' theorem, we obtain that Φ_δ is holomorphic on \mathcal{O}_δ .

Next, we will show that if $0 < \delta_2 - \delta_1 < \pi$, then Φ_{δ_1} and Φ_{δ_2} coincide in $\mathcal{O}_{\delta_1} \cap \mathcal{O}_{\delta_2}$. In fact, we can have this conclusion by the same argument used in [37]. It follows that Φ_{δ_1} and Φ_{δ_2} are analytic continuations of each other. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Iuw} f_\epsilon(u) du &= \Phi_0(\epsilon + Iw) - \Phi_\pi(-\epsilon + Iw) \\ &= \Phi_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}(\epsilon + Iw) - \Phi_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}(-\epsilon + Iw) \end{aligned}$$

when $w > B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-Iuw} f_\epsilon(u) du &= \Phi_0(\epsilon + Iw) - \Phi_\pi(-\epsilon + Iw) \\ &= \Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(\epsilon + Iw) - \Phi_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(-\epsilon + Iw) \end{aligned}$$

when $w < -B$. It is obvious that (3.25) holds for $w \in \mathbb{R}$ and $|w| > B$.

Assume that (ii) holds. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let

$$g(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt.$$

It is obvious that $g(u + Iv)$ is holomorphic on L_I . Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Plancherel’s theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(u + Iv)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B |e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\int_{-B}^B |e^{I(u+Iv)t}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-B}^B |\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2B}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{B|v|} \|f|_{\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C e^{B|u+Iv|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(u) = g(u)$ holds for $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and both functions are holomorphic on L_I , we conclude that $f(u + Iv) = g(u + Iv)$. It follows that $|f(z)| \leq C e^{B|z|}$ for all $z = u + Iv \in L_I$.

The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Suppose $f \in \mathcal{N}_l(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ with $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and $B, C > 0$ are two positive numbers. There exists a unit purely imaginary paravector $I \in \mathbb{S}$, such that $|f(u + Iv)| \leq C e^{B|u+Iv|}$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$ and*

$$f(u + Jv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt$$

for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. For given $I \in \mathbb{S}$, by $f \in \mathcal{N}_l(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$, we get $f(L_I) \subseteq L_I$ and $f(u - Iv) = \overline{f(u + Iv)}$. Since $|f(u + Iv)| \leq C e^{B|u+Iv|}$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by Lemma 3.13, we have $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$ and

$$(3.27) \quad f(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt.$$

Taking the conjugation to both sides of (3.27), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{f(u + Iv)} &= \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-I(u-Iv)t} \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)} dt \\ (3.28) \quad &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv)s} \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(-s)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $f(u - Iv) = \overline{f(u + Iv)}$, we have $f(u) = \overline{f(u)}$ for $v = 0$. It means that $f|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is real-valued. It follows that

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \overline{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iu(-w)} f(u) du} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iuw} \overline{f(u)} du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iuw} f(u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iuw} f(u) du \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

and (3.29), we have

$$(3.30) \quad \overline{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(-s)} = \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(s).$$

Substituting (3.30) into (3.28), we obtain

$$\overline{f(u + Iv)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv)s} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(s) ds = f(u - Iv).$$

Since $f(L_I) \subseteq L_I$, f can be written as $f = \Re\{f\} + I\Im\{f\}$ where $\Re\{f\}$ and $\Im\{f\}$ are real-valued. It follows that

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \Re\{f(u + Iv)\} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\overline{f(u + Iv)} + f(u + Iv) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B [\cos(ut) + I \sin(ut)] (e^{vt} + e^{-vt}) \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \Im\{f(u + Iv)\} &= \frac{I}{2} \left(\overline{f(u + Iv)} - f(u + Iv) \right) \\ &= \frac{I}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B [\cos(ut) + I \sin(ut)] (e^{vt} - e^{-vt}) \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for given $T > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iuw} f(u) du \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \cos(uw) f(u) du - I \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \sin(uw) f(u) du \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \cos(uw) f(u) du$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \sin(uw) f(u) du$$

are real-valued functions. Since

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T e^{-Iuw} f(u) du \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0,$$

we have that $\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})$ is L_I -valued. So, $\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})$ can be written as

$$(3.33) \quad \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) = \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \} + I \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) \} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \cos(uw) f(u) du \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) \} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-T}^T \sin(uw) f(u) du \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = 0.$$

It means that $\Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \}$ and $\Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \}$ are independent of I . In other words, for every $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have $\Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \} = \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \}$ and $\Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \} = \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \}$. Substituting (3.33) into (3.31) and (3.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \Re \{ f(u + Iv) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B [\cos(ut) + I \sin(ut)] (e^{vt} + e^{-vt}) [\Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} + I \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} + e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} - \sin(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \\ & \quad + I \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} + e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} + \sin(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Im \{ f(u + Iv) \} \\ &= \frac{I}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B [\cos(ut) + I \sin(ut)] (e^{vt} - e^{-vt}) [\Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} + I \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \\ &= \frac{I}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} - e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} - \sin(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} - e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} + \sin(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Using the fact that $\Re \{ f \}$ and $\Im \{ f \}$ are real-valued function, we get

$$\int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} + e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} + \sin(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt = 0,$$

and

$$\int_{-B}^B (e^{vt} - e^{-vt}) [\cos(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} - \sin(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\Re \{ f(u + Iv) \} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} [\cos(ut) \Re \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \} - \sin(ut) \Im \{ \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) \}] dt$$

and

$$\Im \{f(u + Iv)\} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} [\cos(ut) \Im \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} + \sin(ut) \Re \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\}] dt.$$

By Proposition 2.7, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(u + Jv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [f(u - Iv) + f(u + Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [f(u - Iv) - f(u + Iv)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\overline{f(u + Iv)} + f(u + Iv)] + \frac{JI}{2} [\overline{f(u + Iv)} - f(u + Iv)] \\ &= \Re \{f(u + Iv)\} + J \Im \{f(u + Iv)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} [\cos(ut) \Re \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} - \sin(ut) \Im \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\}] dt \\ &\quad + J \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} [\cos(ut) \Im \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} + \sin(ut) \Re \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\}] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} \left\{ [\cos(ut) + J \sin(ut)] \Re \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + [\cos(ut) + J \sin(ut)] J \Im \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} \right\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{-vt} e^{Jut} [\Re \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\} + J \Im \{\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)\}] dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and $|\mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)|^2 = |\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)|^2$. Thus, we have $\mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) = \mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$. \square

Now, we can prove Theorem 3.12.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. Assume that (i) holds. Let I_1, \dots, I_n be an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}_n . Since f is a left slice monogenic function, using Proposition 2.11, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$(3.34) \quad f(u + Jv) = \sum_A h_A(u + Jv) I_A$$

where $h_A \in \mathcal{N}_l$ and $A \in \mathcal{P}_<(\{1, \dots, n\})$. Since $|f(u + Jv)| \leq C e^{A|u+Jv|}$, by (2.1), we obtain $|h_A(u + Jv)| \leq C e^{B|u+Jv|}$. Since $|f|_{\mathbb{R}}|^2 = \sum_A |h_A|_{\mathbb{R}}|^2$ and $f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we get $h_A|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using Lemma 3.14, we have $\mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B]$ and

$$(3.35) \quad h_A(u + Jv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt$$

Combining (3.34) and (3.35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(u + Jv) &= \sum_A \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \right] I_A \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \sum_A \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) I_A dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J \left(\sum_A h_A|_{\mathbb{R}} I_A \right) (t) dt \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)t} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) = \sum_A \mathcal{F}_J(h_A|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) I_A$. Thus, we have $\text{supp } \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) = \bigcup_A \text{supp } \mathcal{F}_J(h_A) \subset [-B, B]$.

Assume that (ii) holds. For given $I \in \mathbb{S}$, let

$$g(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt.$$

It is easy to see that $g(u + Iv)$ is holomorphic on L_I . Using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and Plancherel’s theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|g(u + Iv)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t) dt \right| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B |e^{I(u+Iv)t} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)| dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\int_{-B}^B |e^{I(u+Iv)t}|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-B}^B |\mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\sqrt{2B}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{B|v|} \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C e^{B|u+Iv|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $f(u) = g(u)$ and both functions are holomorphic on L_I , we infer that $f(u + Iv) = g(u + Iv)$. By Proposition 2.7, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$f(u + Jv) = \frac{1 + JI}{2} f(u - Iv) + \frac{1 - JI}{2} f(u + Iv).$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|f(u + Jv)| &\leq |f(u - Iv)| + |f(u + Iv)| \\
&\leq C e^{A|u-Iv|} + C e^{A|u+Iv|} \\
&= 2C e^{A|u+Iv|} \\
&= C' e^{A|u+Iv|}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Moreover, as an application, we can give the reproducing kernel for the left slice monogenic Paley-Wiener space. In the following, for $B > 0$, we define the left slice

monogenic Paley-Wiener space as

$$PW_B^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) = \{f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}), f|_{\mathbb{R}} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \mathbf{supp} \mathcal{F}_I(f|_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset [-B, B] \text{ for every } I \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\xi + I\eta \in L_I$, we define

$$\mathcal{K}_{B, L_I}(u + Iv, \xi + I\eta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I[(u+Iv) - (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw,$$

for all $u + Iv \in L_I$. By Proposition 2.10, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(u + Jv, \xi + I\eta) \\ &= \mathbf{ext}(\mathcal{K}_{B, L_I}(u + Iv, \xi + I\eta))(u + Jv) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I[(u-Iv) - (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I[(u+Iv) - (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{JI}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I[(u-Iv) - (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I[(u+Iv) - (\xi - I\eta)]w} dw \right] \\ (3.36) \quad &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B \frac{1}{2} \left[e^{I(u-Iv)w} + e^{I(u+Iv)w} \right] e^{-I(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B \frac{JI}{2} \left[e^{I(u-Iv)w} - e^{I(u+Iv)w} \right] e^{-I(\xi - I\eta)w} dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B \mathbf{ext}(e^{I(u+Iv)w})(u + Jv) e^{-I(\xi - I\eta)w} dw. \end{aligned}$$

When $\eta = 0$, it follows from (3.36) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(u + Jv, \xi) &= \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv-\xi)w} dw + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv-\xi)w} dw \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{JI}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv-\xi)w} dw - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv-\xi)w} dw \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\overline{\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u-Iv-\xi)w} dw} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv-\xi)w} dw.$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(u + Jv, \xi) &= \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv-\xi)w} dw \right\} \\ & \quad + J\Im \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I(u+Iv-\xi)w} dw \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u-\xi+Jv)w} dw. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we give the reproducing kernel formula of $PW_B^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ as follows.

Corollary 3.15. Let $f \in PW_B^2(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Then for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(\mathbf{x}, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-B}^B e^{I\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{x}-\xi)w} dw.$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.12 and Fubini's theorem, for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u + Jv) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)w} \mathcal{F}_J(f|_{\mathbb{R}})(w) dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{-B}^B e^{J(u+Jv)w} e^{-J\xi w} dw f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{K}_{B, \mathbb{R}^{n+1}}(u + Jv, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

□

3.3. Analogue in Bergman space. In this part we will prove the Paley-Wiener theorem for the functions in the left slice monogenic Bergman space in the right half-space. For the analogous result in the settings of complex analysis and Clifford algebra, we refer to [1, 17].

Define

$$\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I}) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I})}^p := \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du < \infty \right\},$$

where $1 \leq p < \infty$. For every $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$, by Proposition 2.7, we have

$$(3.37) \quad |f(u + Iv)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(|f(u + Jv)| + |f(u - Jv)| \right).$$

So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du &\leq 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(u + Jv)|^p dv du \\ &\quad + 2^{-\frac{p}{2}} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(u - Jv)|^p dv du \\ &\leq 2^{-\frac{p}{2}+1} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty |f(u + Jv)|^p dv du. \end{aligned}$$

we immediately get the following results.

Proposition 3.16. Let $f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. Then for every $I, J \in \mathbb{S}$, $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I})$ if and only if $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, J})$.

Now, we introduce the left slice monogenic Bergman space of \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} :

Definition 3.17. If $f \in \mathcal{SM}_l(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and satisfies

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^p = \sup_{I \in \mathbb{S}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+, I})}^p < \infty,$$

then we say that f belongs to the left slice monogenic Bergman space $\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

We give some basic inequalities for function in $\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

Proposition 3.18. Let $p \in [1, \infty)$.

- (i) There exists a constant $C = C(p) > 0$ such that for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, the following inequality holds:

$$|f(u + Iv)| \leq Cu^{-4+\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}.$$

- (ii) There exists a constant $C = C(p) > 0$ such that for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $u \in (0, \infty)$ and for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, the following inequality holds:

$$\|f_u|_{I\mathbb{R}}\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq Cu^{-4+\frac{3}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})},$$

where $f_u(Iv) = f(u + Iv)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that given in [1]. For any fixed $I \in \mathbb{S}$. Let $\mathbf{x}_0 = u_0 + Iv_0 \in \Pi_{+,I}$ and let $D(\mathbf{x}_0, r)$ denote the disc of center \mathbf{x}_0 and radius r . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u_0 + Iv_0)| &= \left| \frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \int_{D(u_0 + Iv_0, \frac{u_0}{2})} f(u + Iv) \, dvdu \right| \\ &\leq \frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \int_{D(u_0 + Iv_0, \frac{u_0}{2})} |f(u + Iv)| \, dvdu \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{D(u_0 + Iv_0, \frac{u_0}{2})} |f(u + Iv)|^p \, dvdu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_{\frac{u_0}{2}}^{\frac{3u_0}{2}} \int_{v_0 - \frac{u_0}{2}}^{v_0 + \frac{u_0}{2}} |f(u + Iv)|^p \, dvdu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \right)^{1+\frac{1}{q}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})} \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Hence, we have

$$|f(u + Iv)| \leq C' u^{-4+\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})}.$$

By (3.37), for all $J \in \mathbb{S}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(u + Jv)| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} (|f(u + Iv)| + |f(u - Iv)|) \\ &\leq \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} C' u^{-4+\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})} \\ &\leq C'' u^{-4+\frac{2}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$|f(u_0 + Iw)|^p \leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2} \right)^{p+\frac{2}{q}} \int_{\frac{u_0}{2}}^{\frac{3u_0}{2}} \int_{w-\frac{u_0}{2}}^{w+\frac{u_0}{2}} |f(u + Iv)|^p \, dvdu.$$

Then, integration with respect to w gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u_0 + I \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p &\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2}\right)^{p+\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\frac{u_0}{2}}^{\frac{3u_0}{2}} \int_{w-\frac{u_0}{2}}^{w+\frac{u_0}{2}} |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du dw \\
&= \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2}\right)^{p+\frac{p}{q}} \int_{\frac{u_0}{2}}^{\frac{3u_0}{2}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{[v-\frac{u_0}{2}, v+\frac{u_0}{2}]}(w) dw |f(u + Iv)|^p dv \right] du \\
&\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2}\right)^{p+\frac{p}{q}} u_0 \int_{\frac{u_0}{2}}^{\frac{3u_0}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du \\
&\leq \left(\frac{4}{\pi u_0^2}\right)^{p+\frac{p}{q}} u_0 \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})}^p
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Thus, we have

$$\|f(u_0 + I \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C u_0^{-4+\frac{3}{p}} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})}.$$

It follows from (3.37) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f(u_0 + J \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p &\leq 2^{1-\frac{p}{2}} \|f(u + I \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \\
&\leq C'' u_0^{-4p+3} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\Pi_{+,I})}^p \\
(3.38) \quad &\leq C'' u_0^{-4p+3} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^p
\end{aligned}$$

for all $J \in \mathbb{S}$. □

By (3.38), we get

$$\sup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} \|f(u + J \cdot)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}^p \leq C' u^{-4p+3} \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^p.$$

Hence, we have that if $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$, then for $\epsilon > 0$, $f(\epsilon + \cdot) \in H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$.

Theorem 3.19. *For $1 \leq p \leq 2$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. Then for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, there exists a function $g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}} \in L^q\left((-\infty, 0), (-w)^{-\frac{q}{p}} dw\right)$, such that*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\mathbf{x}w} g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}}(w) dw.$$

Moreover, for $1 < p \leq 2$,

$$\left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{1}{-pw}\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} |g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}}(w)|^q dw \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty,$$

and for $p = 1$,

$$\sup_{w \in (-\infty, 0)} \frac{|g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}}(w)|}{-w} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty.$$

Proof. The Proposition 3.18 implies that for $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ and $\delta > 0$, the function $f_{\delta} = f(\cdot + \delta)$ belongs to $H_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. By Theorem 3.3, for all $I \in \mathbb{S}$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$f_{\delta}(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\delta}|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) dw.$$

Using the fact that for all $\delta' > 0$,

$$\mathcal{F}_I(f_\delta|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) = e^{-\delta'w} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\delta+\delta'}|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)$$

holds almost everywhere w . Note that

$$e^{-\delta w} \mathcal{F}_I(f_\delta|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w) = e^{-(\delta+\delta')w} \mathcal{F}_I(f_{\delta+\delta'}|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)$$

holds almost everywhere w . Thus, if we let $g_I(w) = e^{-\delta w} \mathcal{F}_I(f_\delta|_{I\mathbb{R}})(w)$, which is independent of δ , then we have

$$f_\delta(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv+\delta)w} g_I(w) dw.$$

Consequently,

$$f(u + Iv) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{(u+Iv)w} g_I(w) dw.$$

Moreover, by Hausdorff-Young's inequality, we have

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^0 |e^{uw} g_I(w)|^q dw \right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)|^p dv$$

for $1 < p \leq 2$, and

$$\sup_{w \in (-\infty, 0]} |e^{uw} g_I(w)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)| dv$$

for $p = 1$.

For $1 < p \leq 2$, Minkowski's inequality implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du \\ & \geq \int_0^\infty \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 (|e^{uw} g_I(w)|^p)^{\frac{q}{p}} dw \right]^{\frac{2}{q}} du \\ & \geq \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_0^\infty |e^{uw} g_I(w)|^p du \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dw \right]^{\frac{2}{q}} \\ & = \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{1}{-pw} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} |g_I(w)|^q dw \right]^{\frac{2}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\frac{1}{-pw} \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} |g_I(w)|^q dw \right]^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)|^p dv du \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^p(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For $p = 1$,

$$\sup_{w \in (-\infty, 0]} \frac{|g_I(w)|}{-w} \leq \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(u + Iv)| dv du \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})} < \infty.$$

□

In particular, when $p = 2$, the converse of Theorem 3.19 holds.

Theorem 3.20. *For every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, let $g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}} \in L^2((-\infty, 0), (-w)^{-1} dw)$. Assume*

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{\mathbf{x}w} g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}}(w) dw.$$

Then $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ satisfies that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})}^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 |g_{I_{\mathbf{x}}}(w)|^2 \frac{dw}{-w}.$$

Proof. For every $I \in \mathbb{S}$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u > 0$, by the Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left| e^{(u+Iv)w} g_I(w) \right| dw &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left| e^{uw} (-w)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right| \left| (-w)^{-\frac{1}{2}} g_I(w) \right| dw \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \left| e^{2uw} (-w) \right| dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \left| g_I(w) \right|^2 (-w)^{-1} dw \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It implies that $f(u + Iv)$ is holomorphic on $\Pi_{+,I}$ for each I . Hence, f is left slice monogenic in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} .

Next, using Plancherel's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| f(u + Iv) \right|^2 dv = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2uw} \left| g_I(w) \right|^2 dw.$$

It follows that for every $J \in \mathbb{S}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| f(u + Jv) \right|^2 dv \right) du \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| f(u + Iv) \right|^2 dv \right) du \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 \left(\int_0^\infty e^{2uw} du \right) \left| g_I(w) \right|^2 dw \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left| g_I(w) \right|^2 (-w)^{-1} dw. \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\sup_{J \in \mathbb{S}} \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| f(u + Jv) \right|^2 dv \right) du < \infty.$$

This implies that $f \in \mathcal{B}_{\text{slice}}^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. \square

Acknowledgment. W. X. Mai was supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (No. 0133/2022/A, 0022/2023/ITP1). P. Dang was supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund, Macau SAR (No. 0030/2023/ITP1, 0067/2024/RIA1).

Data availability statement. Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Conflict of interest. The authors declared that they have no competing interest regarding this research work.

REFERENCES

- [1] David Békollé, Aline Bonami, Gustavo Garrigós, Cyrille Nana, Marco M. Peloso, and Fulvio Ricci. Lecture notes on Bergman projectors in tube domains over cones: an analytic and geometric viewpoint. *IMHOTEP J. Afr. Math. Pures Appl.*, 5:Exp. I, front matter + ii + 75, 2004.
- [2] Swanhild Bernstein. A Paley-Wiener theorem and Wiener-Hopf-type integral equations in Clifford analysis. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras*, 8(1):31–46, 1998.
- [3] R. P. Boas, Jr. Representations for entire functions of exponential type. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 39(2):269–286, 1938.
- [4] F. Brackx, Richard Delanghe, and F. Sommen. *Clifford analysis*, volume 76 of *Research Notes in Mathematics*. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1982.
- [5] Fabrizio Colombo, Antonino De Martino, Tao Qian, and Irene Sabadini. The Poisson kernel and the Fourier transform of the slice monogenic Cauchy kernels. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 512(1):Paper No. 126115, 23, 2022.
- [6] Fabrizio Colombo, J. Oscar González-Cervantes, and Irene Sabadini. A nonconstant coefficients differential operator associated to slice monogenic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 365(1):303–318, 2013.
- [7] Fabrizio Colombo, J. Oscar González-Cervantes, and Irene Sabadini. Some integral representations of slice hyperholomorphic functions. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 14(3):473–489, 641, 2014.
- [8] Fabrizio Colombo, J. Oscar González-Cervantes, and Irene Sabadini. Comparison of the Various Notions of Slice Monogenic Functions and Their Variations. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1389(1):264–267, 09 2011.
- [9] Fabrizio Colombo, David P. Kimsey, Stefano Pinton, and Irene Sabadini. Slice monogenic functions of a Clifford variable via the S -functional calculus. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Ser. B*, 8:281–296, 2021.
- [10] Fabrizio Colombo and Irene Sabadini. A structure formula for slice monogenic functions and some of its consequences. In *Hypercomplex analysis*, Trends Math., pages 101–114. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [11] Fabrizio Colombo and Irene Sabadini. The Cauchy formula with s -monogenic kernel and a functional calculus for noncommuting operators. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 373(2):655–679, 2011.
- [12] Fabrizio Colombo, Irene Sabadini, and Daniele C. Struppa. Slice monogenic functions. *Israel J. Math.*, 171:385–403, 2009.
- [13] Fabrizio Colombo, Irene Sabadini, and Daniele C. Struppa. An extension theorem for slice monogenic functions and some of its consequences. *Israel J. Math.*, 177:369–389, 2010.
- [14] Fabrizio Colombo, Irene Sabadini, and Daniele C. Struppa. *Noncommutative functional calculus*, volume 289 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer Basel AG, Basel, 2011. Theory and applications of slice hyperholomorphic functions.
- [15] Fabrizio Colombo, Irene Sabadini, and Daniele C. Struppa. The Runge theorem for slice hyperholomorphic functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 139(5):1787–1803, 2011.
- [16] Fabrizio Colombo and Franciscus Sommen. Distributions and the global operator of slice monogenic functions. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 8(6):1257–1268, 2014.
- [17] Pei Dang, Weixiong Mai, and Tao Qian. Fourier spectrum of Clifford H^p spaces on \mathbf{R}_+^{n+1} for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 483(1):123598, 19, 2020.
- [18] R. Delanghe, F. Sommen, and V. Souček. *Clifford algebra and spinor-valued functions*, volume 53 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1992. A function theory for the Dirac operator, Related REDUCE software by F. Brackx and D. Constales, With 1 IBM-PC floppy disk (3.5 inch).
- [19] Guantie Deng, Haichou Li, and Tao Qian. Fourier spectrum characterizations of Hardy spaces H^p on tubes for $0 < p < 1$. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 13(6):2605–2625, 2019.
- [20] Xinyuan Dou, Guangbin Ren, and Irene Sabadini. Extension theorem and representation formula in non-axially-symmetric domains for slice regular functions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 25(9):3665–3694, 2023.

- [21] Peter Duren, Eva A. Gallardo-Gutiérrez, and Alfonso Montes-Rodríguez. A Paley-Wiener theorem for Bergman spaces with application to invariant subspaces. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 39(3):459–466, 2007.
- [22] Gustavo Garrigós. Generalized Hardy spaces on tube domains over cones. *Colloq. Math.*, 90(2):213–251, 2001.
- [23] T. G. Genchev. Paley-Wiener type theorems for functions in Bergman spaces over tube domains. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 118(2):496–501, 1986.
- [24] Graziano Gentili and Daniele C. Struppa. A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 342(10):741–744, 2006.
- [25] John E. Gilbert and Margaret A. M. Murray. *Clifford algebras and Dirac operators in harmonic analysis*, volume 26 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [26] Yanshuai Hao, Pei Dang, and Weixiong. Mai. The Paley-Wiener theorem for slice regular function. submitted for publication, 2023.
- [27] Lars Hörmander. *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*. Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1990. Distribution theory and Fourier analysis.
- [28] Ming Jin, Ieng Tak Leong, Tao Qian, and Guangbin Ren. Adaptive Fourier decomposition of slice regular functions. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.*, 33(1):Paper No. 8, 20, 2023.
- [29] Kit-Ian Kou and Tao Qian. The Paley-Wiener theorem in \mathbf{R}^n with the Clifford analysis setting. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 189(1):227–241, 2002.
- [30] Hai-Chou Li, Guan-Tie Deng, and Tao Qian. Fourier spectrum characterizations of H^p spaces on tubes over cones for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. *Complex Anal. Oper. Theory*, 12(5):1193–1218, 2018.
- [31] Hakim Monaim and Said Fahlaoui. General one-dimensional Clifford Fourier transform and applications to probability theory. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2)*, 73(4):1453–1466, 2024.
- [32] Raymond E. A. C. Paley and Norbert Wiener. *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*, volume 19 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1987. Reprint of the 1934 original.
- [33] Tao Qian. Characterization of boundary values of functions in Hardy spaces with applications in signal analysis. *J. Integral Equations Appl.*, 17(2):159–198, 2005.
- [34] Tao Qian, Yuesheng Xu, Dunyan Yan, Lixin Yan, and Bo Yu. Fourier spectrum characterization of Hardy spaces and applications. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 137(3):971–980, 2009.
- [35] Guangbin Ren and Xieping Wang. Growth and distortion theorems for slice monogenic functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 290(1):169–198, 2017.
- [36] Guangbin Ren and Xieping Wang. Julia theory for slice regular functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 369(2):861–885, 2017.
- [37] Walter Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, third edition, 1987.
- [38] Laurent Schwartz. Transformation de Laplace des distributions. *Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.]*, 1952:196–206, 1952.
- [39] Elias M. Stein and Guido Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, volume No. 32 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF INNOVATION ENGINEERING,
MACAU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Email address: 3220004726@student.must.edu.mo

DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, FACULTY OF INNOVATION ENGINEERING, MACAU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Email address: pdang@must.edu.mo

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, FACULTY OF INNOVATION ENGINEERING,
MACAU UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
Email address: wxmai@must.edu.mo