

NOETHERIANITY OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS UP TO GROUP ACTIONS

LIPING LI, YINHE PENG, AND ZHENGJUN YUAN

ABSTRACT. Let k be a commutative Noetherian ring, and $k[S]$ the polynomial ring with indeterminates parameterized by elements in a set S . We show that $k[S]$ is Noetherian up to actions of permutation groups on S satisfying certain combinatorial conditions. Moreover, there is a special linear order on every infinite S such that $k[S]$ is Noetherian up to the action of the order-preserving permutation group, and the existence of such a linear order is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice. These Noetherian results are proved via a sheaf theoretic approach and the work of Nagel-Römer in [21].

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation. Let k be a commutative Noetherian ring, S a set, and let $k[S] = k[x_s \mid s \in S]$ be the polynomial ring whose indeterminates are parameterized by elements in S . It is well known that $k[S]$ is Noetherian if and only if S is a finite set. However, sometimes for practical purpose people need to study subposets of ideals satisfying extra properties, and ask whether the ascending chain condition holds for these subposets. Specifically, given a group G acting on $k[S]$, is the poset of all G -invariant ideals of A Noetherian? Of course, when G is the trivial group, this question has been completely answered by Hilbert's basis theorem. But for general groups, only sporadic results exist in the literature, among which includes the following classical theorem established independently by a few authors: the poset of $\text{Sym}(S)$ -invariant ideals of $k[S]$ is Noetherian, where $\text{Sym}(S)$ is the full permutation group on S . For details, see [4, 9, 11, 14].

The main goal of this paper is to generalize this theorem from $\text{Sym}(S)$ to its subgroups for the following two reasons. Firstly, the full permutation group is rather big, and consequently, the subposet of $\text{Sym}(S)$ -invariant ideals of $k[S]$ is very small compared to the poset of all ideals. Thus we want to find subgroups $G \leq \text{Sym}(S)$ such that a similar theorem is valid. Secondly and more importantly, it is often the case that S is equipped with extra combinatorial, algebraic or topological structure, and in this case we are more interested in permutation groups preserving this structure. For example, let $S = \mathbb{R}$ be the set of real numbers, and let G be the group of all permutations preserving the natural order on \mathbb{R} , or the group of all self-homeomorphisms on \mathbb{R} . It remains unknown whether the poset of G -invariant ideals of $k[\mathbb{R}]$ satisfies the ascending chain condition.

1.2. Main results. Before describing the main results of this paper, let us give necessary notations and definitions. Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(S)$ be a permutation group on S . We equip S with the discrete topology, so every permutation in G is a self-homeomorphism from S to itself. Thus one may impose the *compact-open topology* on G (see [1, 15] for details), which is equivalent to the pointwise convergence topology. With respect to this topology G becomes a topological group, and in particular, the family of pointwise stabilizers $\text{Stab}_G(T)$, where T is a finite subset of S , forms a fundamental system of open subgroups.

Note that the natural action of G on S (which is continuous) induces a (continuous) action of G on the polynomial ring $k[S]$, on which the discrete topology is imposed. Thus one can define the

Key words and phrases. Skew group rings, polynomial rings, noetherianity, highly transitive, highly homogenous, sheaves, Axiom of Choice.

L. Li was partly supported by NSFC Grant No. 12171146.

skew group ring $k[S]G$, whose multiplication is defined via the following formula

$$(ag) \cdot (bh) = a(g \cdot b)gh$$

for $a, b \in k[S]$ and $g, h \in G$, where $g \cdot b$ is the image of a under the action of g . Given a $k[S]G$ -module V , we say that V is a *discrete* $k[S]G$ -module if the action of G on V is continuous with respect to the discrete topology on V . The category $k[S]G\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}$ of discrete $k[S]G$ -modules is abelian. As we will explain later, it is even a Grothendieck category with enough injective objects.

Following [5, Definition 3.11] or [7, Section 2.1], we say that the action of G on S is *highly transitive* if for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any two entrywise distinct tuples (s_1, \dots, s_n) and (t_1, \dots, t_n) , there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $g(s_i) = t_i$ for each $i \in [n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$; see also [7, Section 2.1]. With this terminology, we have:

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a permutation group on S . If the action of G on S is highly transitive, then every finitely generated discrete $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian. In particular, $k[S]$ as an $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian.*

Remark 1.2. Note that $k[S]$ as an $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian if and only if the poset of G -invariant ideals is Noetherian. Furthermore, since the action of $\text{Sym}(S)$ on S is obviously highly transitive, the above theorem immediately recovers the classical result mentioned at the beginning of this paper. There do exist subgroups of $\text{Sym}(S)$ whose action on S is highly transitive and whose size is much smaller than that of $\text{Sym}(S)$. Explicitly, if S is an infinite set with cardinality κ , then the group G consisting of all permutations fixing all but finitely many elements in S satisfies this transitive property and has cardinality κ , while $\text{Sym}(S)$ has cardinality 2^κ .

Now we impose some extra structure on S . As a first attempt, suppose that S is equipped with an unbounded linear order \leq . We say that \leq is a *homogenous* linear order if for every two pairs $a < b$ and $c < d$ in (S, \leq) , one has $(a, b) \cong (c, d)$ as linearly ordered sets. It is *globally homogeneous* if for every pair $a < b$ in (S, \leq) , one has $(a, b) \cong (S, \leq)$. Typical examples of globally homogeneous linearly ordered sets include \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{Q} with the natural order. For \mathbb{R} , this is clearly true; for \mathbb{Q} , this follows from the classical result due to Cantor: all unbounded countable dense linearly ordered sets are isomorphic to (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) ; see [5, Theorem 9.3].

Let G be a group of order-preserving permutations on (S, \leq) . Following [5, Definition 3.16] or [7, Section 2.1], we say that the action of G on (S, \leq) is *highly homogenous* if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and increasing sequences $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ and $b_1 < \dots < b_n$ in (S, \leq) , there exists $g \in G$ such that $g \cdot a_i = b_i$ for $i \in [n]$.¹ It turns out that \leq is a homogenous linear order if and only if there is an order-preserving permutation group whose action on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous; see Lemma 5.8. Furthermore, we prove:

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be an order-preserving permutation group on (S, \leq) . If the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous, then every finitely generated discrete $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian. In particular, $k[S]$ as an $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian.*

Remark 1.4. This theorem gives an affirmative answer to the question in the previous subsection; that is, the poset of G -invariant ideals of $k[\mathbb{R}]$ satisfies the ascending chain condition, where $G = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}, \leq)$. Moreover, since every order-preserving bijection on \mathbb{R} is a self-homeomorphism with respect to the usual topology, it follows that the result holds for the group of self-homeomorphisms on \mathbb{R} as well. These results are also true while replacing \mathbb{R} by \mathbb{Q} .

Motivated by the above theorem, one may ask the following natural question: can we impose a homogeneous linear order on every nonempty set S ? The answer is certainly no for finite sets. For infinite sets, we obtain the following surprising answer.

¹Note that the condition of highly homogenous is strictly weaker than the condition of highly transitive. For an example, see [5, Section 3.4, Example 3(j)].

Theorem 1.5. *The statement that every infinite set admits a globally homogeneous linear order is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice.*

Remark 1.6. Consider the following statements:

- (1) every infinite set admits a globally homogenous linear order;
- (2) every infinite set admits a homogenous linear order;
- (3) every infinite set admits a dense linear order.

It is clear from the definitions that (1) implies (2). We will show that every homogeneous linear order is dense and unbounded in Lemma 5.4, so (2) implies (3). The above theorem asserts that (1) is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice. Furthermore, it is also known that (3) is not strong enough to imply the Axiom of Choice; see [12, Theorem 1 and Corollary 13] or [22]. One may wonder whether (2) implies the Axiom of Choice. At this moment it is still unknown to the authors.

1.3. The strategy. The technical strategy to establish the above mentioned results is based on a few observations, a theorem of Artin connecting sheaves of modules over ringed atomic sites and discrete representations of topological groups, and the work of Nagel and Römer [21] on modules over the category FI and OI with varying coefficients.

Given a topological group G with a fundamental system of open subgroups, one can construct an orbit category \mathcal{O}_G . For a commutative ring A equipped with discrete topology such that elements of G acts as algebra automorphisms and the action is continuous, one can define a sheaf \mathcal{A} of commutative rings over the Grothendieck site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) where J_{at} is the atomic Grothendieck topology. Similarly, given a discrete AG -module V , one can define a sheaf \mathcal{V} of modules over the ringed site $(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$. Artin's theorem then asserts that the category of discrete AG -modules is equivalent to the category $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$ of sheaves; see Theorem 2.2.

Now let $A = k[S]$ and G a permutation group on S . We show that the action of G on S is highly transitive if and only if under a mild assumption the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G is isomorphic to the opposite category of the category FI_S whose objects are finite sets of S and morphisms are injections; see Proposition 4.4. In this case, an explicit computation shows that the structure sheaf \mathcal{A} over (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) coincides with the functor $\mathbf{X}^{\text{FI},1}$ in [21, Definition 2.17]. Then Theorem 1.1 follows from [21, Theorem 6.15].

Similarly, suppose that \leq is a linear order on S . Then there exists an order-preserving permutation group such that its action on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous if and only if \leq is homogenous, and if and only if under a mild assumption the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G is isomorphic to the opposite category of the category OI_S whose objects are finite subsets of S and morphisms are order-preserving injections; see Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 5.10. In this case, the structure sheaf \mathcal{A} coincides with the functor $\mathbf{X}^{\text{OI},1}$ in [21, Definition 2.17]. Then Theorem 1.3 follows from [21, Theorem 6.15] as well.

1.4. Organization. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we describe some background knowledge on sheaf theory over ringed sites, and establish an equivalence between the category of discrete modules over the skew group algebra AG and the category of sheaves over the ringed site $(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$. Using this equivalence, we define notions such as finite generation and Noetherianity in these two categories, and describe some elementary properties. Actions of permutation groups on the polynomial ring is investigated in Section 4, where we prove Theorem 1.1 and some corollaries. In Section 5 we consider actions of order-preserving permutation groups on the polynomial ring, and prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.5 as well as some corollaries.

Throughout this paper all rings are unital rings with a multiplicative identity, modules are left modules, and functors are covariant functors unless otherwise specified. Composition of maps or morphisms is always from right to left.

2. PRELIMINARIES

For the convenience of the reader, in this section we describe some background knowledge on orbit categories, Grothendieck topologies, and topos theory. The reader can refer to [2, 3, 8, 16, 17, 18, 19] for more details.

Let G be a topological group, and let \mathcal{H} be a *fundamental system* of open subgroups of G ; that is, for $H \in \mathcal{H}$ and $K \in \mathcal{H}$, one can find a member $L \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $L \leq H \cap K$. The *orbit category* \mathcal{O}_G of G with respect to \mathcal{H} is defined as follows: objects are left cosets G/H with $H \in \mathcal{H}$, and morphisms from G/H to G/K are G -equivariant maps. It is well known that these maps are induced by elements $g \in G$. That is, every map $G/H \rightarrow G/K$ is of the form $\sigma_g : xH \mapsto xg^{-1}K$ where g is an element in G satisfying $gHg^{-1} \leq K$. The map $g \mapsto \sigma_g$ defines a surjective map

$$N_G(H, K) = \{g \in G \mid gHg^{-1} \subseteq K\} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_G(G/H, G/K),$$

and $\sigma_g = \sigma_h$ for $g, h \in G$ if and only if $Kg = Kh$. For more details, see [23, Section 2].

Let A be a commutative ring equipped with the discrete topology. Then A^A , the set of all maps from A to itself, is equipped with the product topology. Let $\text{Aut}(A)$ be the group of ring automorphisms of A , which as a subset of A^A is equipped with the subspace topology. It is easy to check that with respect to this topology $\text{Aut}(A)$ becomes a topological group.

Let $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ be a continuous group homomorphism; that is, ρ is a group homomorphism such that for every $a \in A$, the stabilizer subgroup

$$H_a = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot a = \rho(g)(a) = a\}$$

is an open subgroup of G . In this case we say that G acts *discretely* on A via ρ (or for short G acts discretely on A when ρ is clear from the context), and define the *skew group ring* AG whose multiplication is given as follows:

$$(ag)(bh) = a(g \cdot b)gh$$

for $a, b \in A$ and $g, h \in G$. An AG -module V is called *discrete* if V is equipped with the discrete topology and the action of G on it is continuous; that is, given $v \in V$, the stabilizer subgroup $H_v = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot v = v\}$ is an open subgroup of G . Denote the category of discrete AG -modules by $AG\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}$. This is an abelian category since submodules and quotient modules of discrete modules are still discrete.

Now we turn to sheaf theory over atomic sites, for which more details can be found in [10, Section 2]. Let J_{at} be the atomic Grothendieck topology on \mathcal{O}_G . Given a commutative ring A on which G acts discretely, we define a functor \mathcal{A} from $\mathcal{O}_G^{\text{op}}$ to the category of commutative rings as follows. For an object G/H in \mathcal{O}_G , we set

$$\mathcal{A}(G/H) = A^H = \{a \in A \mid h \cdot a = a, \forall h \in H\}.$$

Given a morphism $\sigma_g : G/H \rightarrow G/K$ represented by $g \in G$ such that $gHg^{-1} \leq K$, the corresponded map $\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g) : A^K \rightarrow A^H$ is defined by sending $a \in A^K$ to $g^{-1} \cdot a$. It is easy to check that $g^{-1} \cdot a$ is fixed by every element in H , and $\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)$ is a ring homomorphism. Thus \mathcal{A} is a presheaf of commutative rings over \mathcal{O}_G . Furthermore, by [19, Theorem III.9.1], it is actually a sheaf over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) . This construction is functorial since up to isomorphism it is exactly the following functor

$$A \mapsto \text{Hom}_G(-, A).$$

Thus we obtain a functor ϕ from the category of commutative rings on which G acts discretely to the category of structure sheaves over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) .

Conversely, given a structure sheaf \mathcal{A} of commutative rings over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) , we define a commutative ring

$$A = \varinjlim_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}(G/H)$$

where the colimit $\varinjlim_{H \in \mathcal{H}}$ is taken over the poset of open subgroups in \mathcal{H} and inclusions. Furthermore, one can define an action of G on A as follows: for each $a \in A$ and $g \in G$, choose a

representative $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}(G/H)$ for a certain $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Then g induces a morphism

$$\sigma_g : G/g^{-1}Hg \rightarrow G/H$$

in \mathcal{O}_G and hence a ring homomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g) : \mathcal{A}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G/g^{-1}Hg).$$

We define $g \cdot a$ to be the equivalence class in A represented by $\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)(\tilde{a}) \in \mathcal{A}(G/g^{-1}Hg)$.

The proof of [19, Theorem III.9.1] shows that the above action is well defined and discrete. We check that it also respects the ring structure of A .

Firstly, elements in G act on A as ring automorphisms. Indeed, given $a, b \in A$, one can choose representatives $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{A}(G/H)$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{A}(G/K)$. Since \mathcal{H} is a fundamental system of open subgroups, we can find a certain open subgroup $L \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $L \leq H \cap K$, and ring homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{A}(G/H) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G/L), \quad \mathcal{A}(G/K) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G/L)$$

induced by the natural surjections

$$G/L \rightarrow G/H, \quad G/L \rightarrow G/K.$$

Thus without loss of generality one can assume that both \tilde{a} and \tilde{b} are contained in $\mathcal{A}(G/L)$. Given $g \in G$, let $\sigma_g : G/g^{-1}Lg \rightarrow G/L$ be the induced morphism in \mathcal{O}_G . Then

$$\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)(\tilde{a}\tilde{b}) = \mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)(\tilde{a})\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)(\tilde{b})$$

since $\mathcal{A}(\sigma_g)$ is a ring homomorphism. By taking equivalence classes, one has $g \cdot (ab) = (g \cdot a)(g \cdot b)$, so g acts as a ring automorphism on A as desired.

Secondly, given a natural transformation $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$, one obtains a ring homomorphism $\psi(\alpha) : A \rightarrow A'$ which is compatible with the action of G ; that is, $\psi(\alpha)(g \cdot a) = g \cdot (\psi(\alpha)(a))$ for $g \in G$ and $a \in A$. Thus ψ is a functor from the category of structure sheaves of commutative rings over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) to the category of commutative rings on which G acts discretely.

In conclusion, we have the following result.

Proposition 2.1. *The functors ϕ and ψ give an equivalence between the category of structure sheaves of commutative rings over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) and the category of commutative rings on which G acts discretely.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from [19, Theorem III.9.1] and the above argument. \square

By modifying the above proof, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a commutative ring on which a topological group G acts discretely, \mathcal{O}_G the orbit category of G with respect to a fundamental system \mathcal{H} of open subgroups, and \mathcal{A} the corresponded structure sheaf over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) . Then the functor ϕ and ψ give an equivalence between $AG\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}$, the category of discrete AG -modules, and $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$, the category of sheaves of modules over the ringed site $(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$.*

In the rest of this paper we call objects in $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}, \mathcal{A})$ by \mathcal{A} -modules.

3. FINITE GENERATION AND NOETHERIANITY

3.1. Finite generation of rings. Let A and \mathcal{A} be as specified in Theorem 2.2. We say that \mathcal{A} is *finitely generated* if there is a finite set

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq \bigoplus_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}(G/H)$$

such that every subsheaf (of commutative rings) of \mathcal{A} containing this set coincides with \mathcal{A} . We say that A is *finitely generated up to G -action* if there is a finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq A$ such that every subring containing

$$\{g \cdot a_i \mid g \in G, i \in [n]\}$$

coincides with A ; in other words, A is generated by elements lying in finitely many G -orbits.

Lemma 3.1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{A} is finitely generated;
- (2) A is finitely generated up to G -action.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is finitely generated. By Proposition 2.1, one can assume that

$$A = \varinjlim_{H \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{A}(G/H),$$

whose elements are equivalence classes. Given an equivalence class $[a] \in A$, one can find a representative $a \in \mathcal{A}(G/H)$ for a certain $H \in \mathcal{H}$. Since \mathcal{A} is finitely generated, we can find a finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ with $a_i \in \mathcal{A}(G/H_i)$ and $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ which generates \mathcal{A} . In particular, a can be written as a polynomial of elements $\mathcal{A}(\sigma_{i,j})(a_i) \in \mathcal{A}(G/H)$ for finitely many $\sigma_{i,j} \in \mathcal{O}_G(G/H, G/H_i)$. But for each $\sigma_{i,j}$ one can find an element $g_{i,j} \in G$ such that $g_{i,j} \cdot [a_i] = [\mathcal{A}(\sigma_{i,j})(a_i)]$. Consequently, $[a]$ can be written as a polynomial of elements $g_{i,j} \cdot [a_i] \in A$. Thus A is generated by elements lying in the orbits containing $[a_i]$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Conversely, suppose that A is finitely generated up to G -action. Then one can find a finite set $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ such that A is generated by elements lying in the G -orbits $G \cdot a_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Again by Proposition 2.1, one can assume that $\mathcal{A}(G/H) = A^H$ for $H \in \mathcal{H}$. For each a_i , since $\text{Stab}_G(a_i)$ is an open subgroup of G , one can find a certain $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $H_i \leq \text{Stab}_G(a_i)$. Then $a_i \in \mathcal{A}(G/H_i)$. Let \mathcal{B} be a subsheaf (of commutative rings) of \mathcal{A} such that $a_i \in \mathcal{B}(G/H_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $B = \psi(\mathcal{B})$ which can be regarded as a subring of A . Then $a_i \in \mathcal{B}(G/H) = B^H \subseteq B$. Consequently, $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq B$, which forces $A = B$. Consequently, $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A}$, so \mathcal{A} is finitely generated. \square

Remark 3.2. The above lemma answers a question raised in [21, Remark 2.16]. That is, the additional condition that \mathcal{A} is a structure sheaf over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) implies the converse statement of [21, Proposition 2.15].

In the rest of this subsection let k be a commutative Noetherian ring, and S a nonempty set on which G acts discretely. Then G acts on $k[S]$ discretely as well. Indeed, for a polynomial $P \in k[S]$, we can find a finite subset $T \subseteq S$ such that each indeterminate appearing in P is of the form x_t for a certain $t \in T$. Consequently, one has

$$\text{Stab}_G(P) \supseteq \bigcap_{t \in T} \text{Stab}_G(t).$$

Since each $\text{Stab}_G(t)$ is an open subgroup, so is the finite intersection. Thus $\text{Stab}_G(P)$ is also an open subgroup of G , and hence G acts on $k[S]$ discretely. In particular, if S is a transitive G -set, then one can find an open subgroup $H \leq G$ such that the G -set S is isomorphic to the G -set G/H , so $k[S] \cong k[G/H]$, which can be used to characterize finite generation property of k -algebras A on which G acts discretely. By convention, when saying that G acts on a k -algebra discretely, we always assume that the action of G on k is trivial.

This following result generalizes [21, Proposition 2.19].

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a k -algebra on which G acts discretely. Then A is finitely generated up to G -action if and only if there exists a surjective k -algebra homomorphism*

$$k[G/H_1] \otimes_k k[G/H_2] \otimes_k \dots \otimes_k k[G/H_n] \longrightarrow A$$

with $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Each $k[G/H_i]$ is generated by one element up to G -action, namely the indeterminate corresponded to $H \in G/H$, so the left side is finitely generated up to G -action. It is easy to check that its quotient algebras are also finitely generated up to G -action.

Conversely, if A is finitely generated up to G -action, then one can find elements $a_i \in A$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, such that A is generated by elements in the G -orbits $G \cdot a_i$. For each a_i , let H_i be a member in

\mathcal{H} which is also a subgroup of $\text{Stab}_G(a_i)$. Then one can construct a k -algebra homomorphism $k[G/H_i] \rightarrow A$ by sending the indeterminate corresponded to $H_i \in G/H_i$ to a_i . This map is well defined by the definition of H_i . Since the image of the map specified in the proposition contains each a_i , it coincides with A . Thus the map is surjective. \square

We use a concrete example to illustrate the above construction.

Example 3.4. Let S be an infinite set equipped with the discrete topology, and let G be the topological group $\text{Sym}(S)$ equipped with the compact-open topology. Given a finite set $T \subseteq S$, the subgroup

$$H_T = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot t = t, \forall t \in T\}$$

is an open subgroup of G . Furthermore, the family

$$\mathcal{H} = \{H_T \leq G \mid T \subseteq S, |T| < \infty\}$$

is a fundamental system of open subgroups of G ; see [15, III.20, Theorem 3]. The orbit category \mathcal{O}_G with respect to \mathcal{H} is isomorphic to the opposite category of the category FI_S .

Now fix a finite subset $T \subseteq S$. The left coset G/H_T is isomorphic to the set $\text{Inj}(T, S)$ consisting of injections from T to S , where the action of G on $\text{Inj}(T, S)$ is induced by the action of G on S . Indeed, both G/H_T and $\text{Inj}(T, S)$ are transitive G -sets. Furthermore, H_T is the stabilizer subgroup of $H_T \in G/H_T$ and the natural inclusion from T to S in $\text{Inj}(T, S)$, so the claim follows.

Let $A = k[G/H_T]$, a polynomial ring whose indeterminates, by the above observation, are parameterized by finite sequences (s_1, \dots, s_n) of elements in S such that $n = |T|$ and $s_i \neq s_j$ when $i \neq j$. Let \mathcal{A} be the structure sheaf corresponded to A . For a finite subset L of S , one has $\mathcal{A}(G/H_L) = A^{H_L}$. Clearly $k \subseteq A^{H_L}$. We claim that a non-constant polynomial $P \in A$ is contained in A^{H_L} if and only if the following is true: an indeterminate parameterized by the sequence (s_1, \dots, s_n) appears in P if and only if every s_i is contained in L . The if direction is clearly true. For the other direction, suppose that P has an indeterminate corresponded to a finite sequence (s_1, \dots, s_n) such that there is a certain s_i not contained in L . Then for every $s \in S \setminus L$, one can find a certain $g \in H_L$ such that $g \cdot s_i = s$, so we can obtain infinitely many sequences after applying elements in H_L to (s_1, \dots, s_n) . As a consequence, we deduce that P shall contain infinitely many indeterminates since P is fixed by all elements in H_L , which is absurd. Consequently, $\mathcal{A}(G/H_L)$ is the polynomial ring whose indeterminates are indexed by injections from T to L (of course, if $|L| < |T|$, then $\mathcal{A}(G/H_L) = k$).

The reader can check that \mathcal{A} is the same as the structure sheaf $\mathbf{X}^{\text{FI}, d}$ defined in [21, Definition 2.17], where d is the cardinality of T .

3.2. Finite generation of modules. Let V be a discrete AG -module and \mathcal{V} the corresponded \mathcal{A} -modules. For $H \in \mathcal{H}$, one has $\mathcal{V}(G/H) = V^H$, the subset of V consisting of elements fixed by all elements in H . As we did in the previous subsection, one can define finite generation property of \mathcal{V} and finite generation property of V (viewed as an A -module) up to G -action.

Lemma 3.5. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) V is a finitely generated AG -module;
- (2) V viewed as an A -module is finitely generated up to G -action;
- (3) \mathcal{V} is a finitely generated \mathcal{A} -module.

Proof. The equivalence between (1) and (3) can be established as Lemma 3.1, based on Theorem 2.2, so we only need to show the equivalence between (1) and (2). Note that V is a finitely generated AG -module if and only if there exists a finite set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq V$ such that each $v \in V$ can be written as a finite linear combination $\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n$ with $\lambda_i \in AG$. But each λ_i can be expressed as a finite linear combination $a_{i1} g_1 + \dots + a_{i s_i} g_{s_i}$. Consequently, statement (1) holds if and only if each $v \in V$ can be written as a finite linear combination of elements in $G \cdot v_1 \cup \dots \cup G \cdot v_n$ with coefficients in A , which is precisely statement (2). \square

Remark 3.6. The extra condition that \mathcal{V} is a sheaf of modules rather than a presheaf of modules implies the converse statement of [21, Proposition 3.14]; see [21, Remark 3.15].

Given $H \in \mathcal{H}$, we define an AG -module $A(G/H)$ with the left coset G/H as a basis. This is a discrete AG -module. Indeed, an element $v \in A(G/H)$ can be written as $a_1g_1H + \dots + a_ng_nH$, so

$$\text{Stab}_G(v) \supseteq \text{Stab}_G(a_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{Stab}_G(a_n) \cap \text{Stab}_G(g_1H) \cap \dots \cap \text{Stab}_G(g_nH).$$

Note that each $\text{Stab}_G(a_i)$ is an open subgroup of G and $\text{Stab}_G(g_iH) = g_iHg_i^{-1}$ is also open, so the subgroup $\text{Stab}_G(v)$ contains an open subgroup and hence is open as well.

These special AG -modules can be used to test finite generation property of discrete AG -modules. The following result generalizes [21, Proposition 3.18].

Proposition 3.7. *The set $\{A(G/H) \mid H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is a set of generators for $AG\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}$. Consequently, a discrete AG -module V is finitely generated if and only if there is an epimorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n A(G/H_i) \rightarrow V$$

with $H_i \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Let V be a discrete AG -module. For $v \in V$, take H_v in \mathcal{H} such that $H_v \leq \text{Stab}_G(v)$. Since there is a homomorphism $A(G/H_v) \rightarrow V$ sending $H_v \in G/H_v$ to v , we can define a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{v \in V} A(G/H_v) \longrightarrow V,$$

establishing the first statement. The second statement follows immediately. \square

We give a concrete example to illustrate the above construction.

Example 3.8. Let S be an infinite set, $G = \text{Sym}(S)$, and A a commutative Noetherian ring on which G acts discretely. Given a finite subset T of S , it is easy to see that $V = A(G/H_T)$ is isomorphic to the free A -module with $\text{Inj}(T, S)$ as a basis.

Let \mathcal{V} be the corresponded \mathcal{A} -module. For a finite subset L of S , $\mathcal{V}(G/H_L) = V^{H_L}$ clearly contains the free A^{H_L} -module with $\text{Inj}(T, L)$ as a basis. We claim that V^{H_L} is precisely this free A^{H_L} -module. Indeed, let $v = a_1\sigma_1 + \dots + a_n\sigma_n$ be an element in V^{H_L} where $a_i \in A$ and $\sigma_i \in \text{Inj}(T, S)$. Using the same argument as in Example 3.4, we deduce that the image of each σ_i is contained in L , so it can be viewed as an element in $\text{Inj}(T, L)$. Now for every $g \in H_L$, one has

$$g \cdot v = (g \cdot a_1)(g \circ \sigma_1) + \dots + (g \cdot a_n)(g \circ \sigma_n) = (g \cdot a_1)\sigma_1 + \dots + (g \cdot a_n)\sigma_n = v,$$

which forces $g \cdot a_i = a_i$. Thus $a_i \in A^{H_L}$.

When $A = k[S]$, the reader can check that \mathcal{V} coincides with the free FI-module $\mathbf{F}^{\text{FI}, d}$ in [21, Definition 3.16], where d is the cardinality of T .

3.3. Noetherianity. We say that A is *Noetherian up to G -action* if every G -invariant ideal of A is finitely generated up to G -action. An \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V} is Noetherian if every \mathcal{A} -submodule of \mathcal{V} is finitely generated.

The following proposition generalizes [21, Theorem 4.6] and implies its converse for $G = \text{Sym}(S)$.

Proposition 3.9. *Let V be a discrete AG -module. Then one has:*

- (1) *V is Noetherian if and only if the corresponded \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V} is Noetherian;*
- (2) *A is a Noetherian up to G -action if and only if viewed as an AG -module it is Noetherian;*
- (3) *every finitely generated discrete AG -module is Noetherian if and only if $A(G/H)$ is a Noetherian AG -module for $H \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.2 and Lemma 3.5. The second statement is also clear since G -invariant ideals of A are precisely discrete AG -submodules of A . The third statement follows from Proposition 3.7. \square

4. ACTIONS OF PERMUTATION GROUPS ON POLYNOMIAL RINGS

Throughout this section let S be an infinite set, k a commutative Noetherian ring, A a commutative ring, and G a permutation group over S . Fix \mathcal{H} to be the fundamental system consisting of pointwise stabilizer subgroups

$$H_T = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot t = t, \forall t \in T\}$$

with T is a finite subset of S . With respect to this fundamental system G becomes a topological group by [15, III.20, Theorem 3], and it acts on S discretely. Let \mathcal{O}_G be the orbit category of G .

Definition 4.1. Let L and T be two finite subsets of S . An injective map $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$ is called extendable if there is an element $\tilde{\sigma} \in G$ such that $\sigma(l) = \tilde{\sigma}(l)$ for every $l \in L$.

It is clear that the composite of two extendable injections is also an extendable injection.

Definition 4.2. [5, Definition 3.11] We say that the action of G on S is n -transitive if for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and any two entrywise distinct sequences

$$(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n), \quad (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n),$$

there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(s_i) = t_i$ for $i \in [n]$. The action is said to be *highly transitive* if it is n -transitive for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since the set of entrywise distinct sequences of length n can be identified with the set $\text{Inj}([n], S)$, the action of G on S is highly transitive if and only if G acts transitively on $\text{Inj}([n], S)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 4.3. *The action of G on S is highly transitive if and only if injections between two finite subsets of S are extendable. In this case, the map sending finite subsets T of S to G/H_T is injective.*

Proof. The if direction is clear since given two entrywise distinct sequences (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) and (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) , the injection sending s_i to t_i can be extended to a certain $\sigma \in G$. For the other direction, note that an injection $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$ can be identified with an entrywise distinct sequence

$$(\sigma(l_1), \sigma(l_2), \dots, \sigma(l_m), t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)$$

whose entries exhaust all elements in T . Then any element $\tilde{\sigma} \in G$ sending $(l_1, \dots, l_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_n)$ to the above sequence extends σ .

Now we prove the second statement. If the map is not injective, then there are finite subsets $K \neq L$ such that $H_K = H_L$, so one has $K \neq K \cup L$ but $H_K = H_{K \cup L}$ or $L \neq K \cup L$ but $H_L = H_{K \cup L}$. Consequently, one gets a finite set T and a proper subset $T' \subsetneq T$ such that $H_T = H_{T'}$. Write T as a sequence

$$\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_m, t_{m+1}, \dots, t_{m+n})$$

such that the first m elements form T' , and define another sequence \mathbf{t}' via replacing t_{m+n} by another element not in T . Then there is no $\sigma \in G$ sending the sequence \mathbf{t} to \mathbf{t}' since if σ fixes the first m terms, it must fix the whole sequence. Therefore, the action of G on S is not highly transitive. \square

Proposition 4.4. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *there is an isomorphism $\varrho : \text{FI}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ such that $\varrho(T) = G/H_T$ for every finite $T \subseteq S$;*
- (2) *the action of G on S is highly transitive;*
- (3) *G is a dense subgroup of $\text{Sym}(S)$.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Given two entrywise distinct sequences $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$ and $\mathbf{t}' = (t'_1, \dots, t'_n)$, and let T and T' be the corresponded finite subsets of S . Since T and T' are isomorphic in FI_S^{op} , so must be G/H_T and $G/H_{T'}$ in \mathcal{O}_G . In particular, there is $g \in G$ such that $gH_Tg^{-1} = H_{T'}$, so $g(t) = \sigma g(t)$ for all $t \in T$ and $\sigma \in H_{T'}$. It follows that $g(t) \in T'$ since otherwise every element in $H_{T'}$ fixes $T'' = T' \sqcup \{g(t)\}$ and hence $H_{T'} = H_{T''}$, contradicting the assumption that the map $T \mapsto G/H_T$ is an bijection.

We have deduced that every $g \in G$ representing an isomorphism from G/H_T to $G/H_{T'}$ gives a bijection from T to T' via restricting g to T' . Furthermore, by the definition of orbit categories we

know that g and g' in G representing the same isomorphism from G/H_T to $G/H_{T'}$ if and only if $H_{T'}g = H_{T'}g'$, and if and only if their restrictions coincide as maps from T to T' . Since ϱ is an isomorphism, the number of morphisms from G/H_T to $G/H_{T'}$ equals the number of bijections from T' to T . It follows from these observations that there is a certain $g \in G$ representing a morphism from G/H_T to $G/H_{T'}$ whose restriction to T' sends \mathbf{t}' to \mathbf{t} ; that is, the action of G on S is highly transitive.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). The proof is similar to that of [19, Theorem III.9.2]. For the convenience of the reader, we describe an explicit construction of $\varrho : \text{FI}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ which is fully faithful and is a bijection between the object sets. Since the map sending a finite subset $T \subseteq S$ to G/H_T is the desired bijection by Lemma 4.3, we only need to define ϱ for morphisms.

Given a morphism $\varsigma : T \rightarrow L$ in FI_S^{op} , we obtain a unique injection $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$. By Lemma 4.3, σ extends to an element $\tilde{\sigma} \in G$. Since $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}H_T\tilde{\sigma}$ is contained in H_L , $\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}$ represents a morphism from G/H_T to G/H_L in \mathcal{O}_G sending gH_T to $g\tilde{\sigma}H_L$, so we can define $\varrho(\varsigma)$ to be this morphism.

We check that the above construction is well defined. Indeed, if $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ are extensions of $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$ and $\tau : L \rightarrow T$ respectively, then for any $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} g\tilde{\sigma}H_L = g\tilde{\tau}H_L &\iff \tilde{\sigma}H_L = \tilde{\tau}H_L &\iff \tilde{\tau}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}H_L = H_L \\ &\iff \tilde{\tau}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma} \in H_L &\iff \tilde{\tau}^{-1}\tilde{\sigma}(x) = x, \forall x \in L \\ &\iff \tilde{\tau}^{-1}\sigma(x) = x, \forall x \in L &\iff \sigma(x) = \tilde{\tau}(x) = \tau(x), \forall x \in L. \end{aligned}$$

Thus this construction is independent of the choice of extensions, and hence is well defined. It also shows that the construction is faithful. It is routine to check that ϱ is indeed a functor, and it is full since every morphism in FI_S extends to an element in G and every morphism in \mathcal{O}_G is induced by an element in G .

(2) \Leftrightarrow (3). This is well known to experts; see for instance [7, Section 4.2]. For the convenience of the reader we give a detailed proof. Note that the collection $\{\sigma U_T\}$, where $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ and U_T is the stabilizer subgroup of $\text{Sym}(S)$ fixing every element in a finite subset $T \subseteq S$, is a base of the topology on $\text{Sym}(S)$. Therefore, G is dense in $\text{Sym}(S)$ if and only if $G \cap \sigma U_T$ is nonempty for every finite subset $T \subseteq S$ and every $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$; that is, there is a certain $g \in G$ such that $g \in \sigma U_T$. But this is equivalent to saying that for every $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ and every finite subset $T \subseteq S$, there is a certain $g \in G$ such that g and σ induce the same map from T to $\sigma(T)$. But the action of $\text{Sym}(S)$ on S is highly transitive, so this is true if and only if the action of G on S is highly transitive. \square

In the rest of this section suppose that the action of G on S is highly transitive, so one may identify \mathcal{O}_G with FI_S^{op} . We immediately have the following result.

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be a commutative ring on which $\tilde{G} = \text{Sym}(S)$ acts discretely. If the action of G on S is highly transitive, then*

$$A\tilde{G}\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}} \simeq AG\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}.$$

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 4.4. \square

This result allows us to give a transparent description for the category of finitely generated discrete AG -modules when A is a field of characteristic 0.

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be field of characteristic 0 on which $\text{Sym}(S)$ acts trivially, and \mathcal{C} the category whose objects are $[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and whose morphisms are injections. If the action of G on S is highly transitive, then*

$$AG\text{-mod}^{\text{dis}} \simeq \mathcal{C}\text{-fdmod};$$

that is, the category of finitely generated discrete kG -module is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations of \mathcal{C} . In particular, isomorphism classes of irreducible discrete representations of G are parameterized by $\sqcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$, the set of partitions of $[n]$.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.2, Proposition 4.4, and [10, Corollary 4.9 and Example 4.11] as well as the observation that FI_S is equivalent to \mathcal{C} . The second statement follows from [10, Theorem 1.6]. \square

The following theorem has been established for $G = \text{Sym}(S)$; see for instances [4, 9, 14].

Theorem 4.7. *If the action of G on S is highly transitive, then every finitely generated discrete $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian. In particular, $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.4, the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G is isomorphic to the opposite category of FI_S . Let \mathcal{A} be the structure sheaf over the site (\mathcal{O}_G, J_{at}) corresponded to $A = k[S]$. By Example 3.4, for finite subsets \mathcal{T} of S ,

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{T}) = k[S]^{H_{\mathcal{T}}} = k[\mathcal{T}];$$

and for an injection $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$, $\mathcal{A}(\sigma)$ is the inclusion

$$k[L] \longrightarrow k[T], \quad x_l \mapsto x_{\sigma(l)}.$$

In other words, \mathcal{A} is the structure sheaf $\mathbf{X}^{\text{FI},1}$ in [21, Definition 2.17].

Now let V be a finitely generated discrete AG -module. By Proposition 3.9, it suffices to show the Noetherianity of the corresponded \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V} . But by [21, Theorem 6.15], \mathcal{V} as a presheaf of modules is Noetherian, which automatically implies the Noetherianity of \mathcal{V} as an \mathcal{A} -module. Indeed, given a \mathcal{A} -submodule \mathcal{W} of \mathcal{V} , we can view \mathcal{W} as a subpresheaf of \mathcal{V} . Since \mathcal{V} is Noetherian as a presheaf of modules, \mathcal{W} as a presheaf is finitely generated. Therefore, by [21, Proposition 3.18], we obtain a surjection

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{F}^{\text{FI},d_i} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$$

of presheaves of modules. But by Example 3.8 $\mathbf{F}^{\text{FI},d_i}$ is the \mathcal{A} -module corresponded to the discrete AG -module $A(G/H_{T_i})$ with $|T_i| = d_i$, so this map is also a surjection of \mathcal{A} -modules. It follows from Lemma 3.5 and a sheaf theoretic version of Proposition 3.7 that \mathcal{W} is a finitely generated \mathcal{A} -module, so \mathcal{V} is Noetherian. \square

Remark 4.8. This theorem actually holds for the bigger k -algebra $A = k[x_{i,s} \mid i \in [n], s \in S]$ where the action of G on A is determined by $\sigma \cdot x_{i,s} = x_{i,\sigma(s)}$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $s \in S$.

Remark 4.9. Since for any infinite sets S and S' , the categories of FI_S and $\text{FI}_{S'}$ are equivalent, the above theorem holds for any infinite set if and only if it holds for the set $S = \mathbb{N}$. This fact has been observed in [4] for $G = \text{Sym}(S)$; see [4, Remark 3.3].

Remark 4.10. Let κ be the size of S . The cardinality of $\text{Sym}(S)$ is 2^κ , strictly greater than that of S . One may ask the minimal cardinality of permutation groups G such that $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module. Clearly, the cardinality of G cannot be less than κ by considering the action of G on the ideal of $k[S]$ generated by all x_s , $s \in S$. We show that κ is exactly the smallest cardinality by constructing a permutation group with cardinality κ whose actions on S is highly transitive.

Recall that a permutation σ on S is called *almost-identical* if $\sigma(s) = s$ for all but finitely many $s \in S$. These permutations form a subgroup G of $\text{Sym}(S)$; that is,

$$G = \varinjlim_{\substack{T \subseteq S \\ |T| < \infty}} \text{Sym}(T)$$

where the colimit is taken over the poset of finite subsets of S with respect to inclusion. This is the desired permutation group.

Remark 4.11. There are of course permutation groups whose action on S is not highly transitive, but $k[S]$ is still a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module. For example, the family

$$\mathcal{G} = \{G \leq \text{Sym}(S) \mid k[S] \text{ is Noetherian up to } G\text{-action}\}$$

contains all open subgroups of $\text{Sym}(S)$. To see this, it suffices to prove that \mathcal{G} contains H_T for every finite set T of S . Indeed, one has

$$k[S] \cong k[T] \otimes_k k[x_s \mid s \in S \setminus T] \cong k[T][x_s \mid s \in S \setminus T].$$

Since H_T can be identified with $\text{Sym}(S \setminus T)$ and $k[T]$ is a commutative Noetherian ring, $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]H_T$ -module by Theorem 4.7. However, the action of H_T on S is highly transitive if and only if T is the empty set.

Actually, using the same strategy one can prove the following conclusion: Let T be a finite subset of S . If $G \leq \text{Sym}(S)$ contains a subgroup H fixing every element in T , and furthermore the action of H on $S \setminus T$ is highly transitive, then $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module. In this case $S \setminus T$ is called the *Jordan set* of G and T is called the *Jordan complement*; see [5, Definition 10.1].

5. ACTIONS OF ORDER-PRESERVING PERMUTATIONS ON POLYNOMIAL RINGS

For $S = \mathbb{N}$ and \mathcal{I} the set of strictly increasing function from \mathbb{N} to itself, in [21] the authors proved that $k[S]$ is a Noetherian module over the skew monoid algebra $A\mathcal{I}$. This motivates us to impose a linear order \leq on S and consider the action of the group $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ of all order-preserving permutations on $k[S]$.

Throughout this section let S be an infinite set, \leq a linear order on S , k a commutative Noetherian ring, A a commutative ring, and G an order-preserving permutation group on (S, \leq) . Fix \mathcal{H} to be the fundamental system consisting of pointwise stabilizer subgroups

$$H_T = \{g \in G \mid g \cdot t = t, \forall t \in T\}$$

with T is a finite subset of S . With respect to this fundamental system G becomes a topological group by [15, III.20, Theorem 3], and it acts on S discretely. Let \mathcal{O}_G be the orbit category of G .

5.1. Homogeneous linear orders. Given $a \in S$, denote by $(-, a)$ the subset of S consisting of elements b with $b < a$. Similarly, one defines $(a, -)$. For $a, b \in S$ with $a < b$, let (a, b) be the intersection of $(-, b)$ and $(a, -)$. We call $(-, a)$, $(a, -)$ and (a, b) open intervals of S . They are linearly ordered sets equipped with the order inherited from that on S .

Definition 5.1. A permutation $\sigma \in \text{Sym}(S)$ is called *order-preserving* (or *increasing*) if $s > t$ in S implies that $\sigma(s) > \sigma(t)$. An order-preserving permutation σ is called *almost-identical* if there exist $a < b$ in S such that $\sigma(s) = s$ when $s \geq b$ or $s \leq a$.

Now we define a special linear order on S , which plays a central role in this section.

Definition 5.2. A linear order \leq on S is called *homogeneous* if for pairs $a < b$ and $a' < b'$ in S , one has $(a, b) \cong (a', b')$, $(-, a) \cong (-, a')$, and $(a, -) \cong (a', -)$ as linearly ordered sets. It is called *globally homogeneous* if every open interval of S of form $(-, a)$, (a, b) or $(a, -)$ is isomorphic to (S, \leq) as linearly ordered sets.

Example 5.3. The usual order \leq on \mathbb{Q} and \mathbb{R} is globally homogenous. For \mathbb{R} , this is trivially true. For \mathbb{Q} , this fact follows Cantor's remarkable result: any unbounded dense countable linearly ordered set is isomorphic to (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) ; see [16, Example D.3.4.11].

Lemma 5.4. *Let \leq be a linear order on S .*

- (1) *If \leq is homogenous, then it is dense and unbounded.*
- (2) *If \leq is unbounded, then it is homogenous if and only if for $a < b$ and $a' < b'$ in S , one has $(a, b) \cong (a', b')$.*
- (3) *The linear order \leq is globally homogenous if and only if for every $a < b$ in S , one has $(a, b) \cong (S, \leq)$.*

Proof. (1). If (S, \leq) has an end point, say, a minimal element a . Then for any $b \neq a$ in S , the open interval $(-, a)$ is empty, while $(-, b)$ is nonempty, so they cannot be isomorphic. Thus (S, \leq) is unbounded. Similarly, if S is not dense, then we can find an increasing pair $a < b$ in S with

$(a, b) = \emptyset$. Since S is unbounded, we can find another $c \in S$ with $c > b$. Then (a, b) is not isomorphic to (a, c) . This contradiction tells us that (S, \leq) is dense.

(2). The only if direction is clear. For the other direction, since \leq is unbounded, we can find a certain $c \in S$ such that $c > a$ and $c > a'$. Then one has $(a, c) \cong (a', c)$ and hence

$$(a, -) = (a, c) \sqcup [c, -) \cong (a', c) \sqcup [c, -) = (a', -).$$

Similarly, one can construct a desired isomorphism $(-, a) \cong (-, a')$.

(3). The only if direction is clear. For the if direction, one needs to show $(-, a) \cong (S, \leq) \cong (a, -)$ for $a \in S$. We prove the first isomorphism since the second one can be verified similarly.

We claim that \leq is unbounded. Otherwise, suppose that s_0 is the minimal element in S . For any $s < t$ in S , let $\sigma : (s, t) \rightarrow (S, \leq)$ be an isomorphism. Then one can find a certain $s' \in (s, t)$ such that $\sigma(s') = s_0$. Consequently, one has $s' > s$ and s' is the minimal element in (s, t) . But then (s, s') is the empty set, which cannot be isomorphic to (S, \leq) . This contradiction tells us that S has no minimal element. Similarly, one can show that it has no maximal element.

Choose an element $x \in (s, t)$. Then the isomorphism $\sigma : (s, t) \rightarrow (S, \leq)$ induces an isomorphism $(s, x) \cong (-, \sigma(x))$. But $(s, x) \cong (S, \leq)$ as well, so it remains to show that $(-, a) \cong (-, \sigma(x))$. But this is clear since by statement (2), \leq is homogenous. \square

Example 5.5. The if direction of statement (2) is false without the assumption that \leq is unbounded. For example, let S be the set of nonnegative real numbers with the usual linear order. Then $(a, b) \cong (a', b')$ for $a < b$ and $a' < b'$ in S , but clearly $(-, 0) = \emptyset \not\cong (-, 1)$.

A dense and unbounded linear order might not be homogeneous. For an example, consider the following order on \mathbb{R} : every irrational number is strictly smaller than each rational numbers, and irrational numbers (resp., rational numbers) are ordered by the usual order.

Clearly, globally homogeneous linear orders are homogeneous, but the converse statement is false. For an example, let $S = \omega_1 \times \mathbb{Q}$ where ω_1 is the least uncountable well ordered set. Impose the lexicographic order on S which is unbounded. This linear order is homogenous since for every $a < b$ in S , the open interval (a, b) is isomorphic to (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) . However, it cannot be globally homogenous since (a, b) is countable while S is uncountable.

We will characterize homogeneous linear orders via actions of order-preserving permutation groups on S . For this purpose, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 5.6. [5, Definition 3.16] We say that the action of an order-preserving permutation group G is n -homogenous on (S, \leq) if for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every pair of increasing sequences $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ and $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$ in S , there is an element $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(a_i) = b_i$ for $i \in [n]$. The action is said to be *highly homogenous* if it is n -homogenous for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 5.7. Note that if the action of an order-preserving permutation group G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous, then its action on the underlying set S is transitive, but not 2-transitive. A famous theorem proved by Cameron in [6] asserts that if the action of $G \leq \text{Sym}(S)$ on an infinite set S is highly homogenous but not highly transitive, then it preserves one of the four relations on S , among which include the linearly ordered relation. For details, see [6] or [5, Theorem 11.12].

Properties described in the next lemma shall be well known to experts; see for instances [5] or [7]. For the convenience of the reader, we give detailed proofs.

Lemma 5.8. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *the linear order \leq is homogenous;*
- (2) *there is an order-preserving permutation group whose action on (S, \leq) is 2-homogenous;*
- (3) *there is an order-preserving permutation group whose action on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous;*
- (4) *every order-preserving injections between two finite subsets of S is extendable;*
- (5) *every order-preserving bijection between two finite subsets of S is extendable.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (4). Let $\sigma : T \rightarrow L$ be an order-preserving injection. Without loss of generality we can assume that $T \neq \emptyset$. Order elements in T as $a_1 < \dots < a_m$ and elements in L as $b_1 < \dots < b_n$ with $m \leq n$. By Lemma 5.4, one can choose a certain $s, t \in S$ such that $s < a_1 < a_m < t$ and $s < b_1 < b_n < t$. A desired order-preserving permutation $\tilde{\sigma}$ can be constructed via glueing σ , the following isomorphisms

$$(s, a_1) \cong (s, \sigma(a_1)), \dots, (a_{m-1}, a_m) \cong (\sigma(a_{m-1}), \sigma(a_m)), (a_m, t) \cong (\sigma(a_m), t),$$

and identities on $(-, s)$ and $(t, -)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\sigma}$ is almost-identical.

(4) \Rightarrow (3). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_1 < \dots < a_n$, and $b_1 < \dots < b_n$, let L and T be the subsets of S consisting of a_i 's and b_i 's respectively. Then there is a unique order-preserving bijection $\sigma : L \rightarrow T$, which extends to an order-preserving bijection $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$. Consequently, the action of $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous.

(3) \Rightarrow (2) and (4) \Rightarrow (5) are clear.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Take $a < b$ and $a' < b'$ in S and choose an element σ in the order-preserving permutation group such that $\sigma(a) = a'$ and $\sigma(b) = b'$. Clearly, σ induces the desired isomorphisms $(-, a) \cong (-, a')$, $(a, b) \cong (a', b')$, and $(b, -) \cong (b', -)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). Given an order-preserving injection σ from a finite subset T of S to another finite subset L of S , we obtain an order-preserving bijection σ' from T to $\sigma(T)$. By (5), σ' extends to an order-preserving bijection $\tilde{\sigma} : S \rightarrow S$, which clearly extends σ . \square

Remark 5.9. When \leq is a homogeneous linear order on S , by mimicking the proof of Proposition 4.4, one can show that an order-preserving permutation group G satisfies (2) or (3) of the above lemma if and only if it is a dense subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$. However, if \leq is not homogeneous, then dense subgroups of $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ might not have these properties. A trivial example is $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{N}, \leq)$ with the usual linear order on \mathbb{N} .

We shall also point out that statement (5) is used in [5, Definition 14.3] to define homogenous relational structures, including homogenous linear orders as specific examples.

Let OI_S the category of finite subsets of S and order-preserving injections. We can prove the following result parallel to Proposition 4.4.

Proposition 5.10. *There is an isomorphism $\varrho : \text{OI}_S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_G$ with $\varrho(T) = G/H_T$ for finite subsets T of S if and only if the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous.*

Proof. The only if direction. Let $t_1 < \dots < t_n$ and $t'_1 < \dots < t'_n$ be two increasing sequences in S , and let T (resp., T') be the set formed by elements in the first (resp., second) sequences. Since T is isomorphic to T' in OI_S^{op} , G/H_T and $G/H_{T'}$ are isomorphic in \mathcal{O}_G . Thus we can find a certain $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma H_T \sigma^{-1} = H_{T'}$. As in the proof of Proposition 4.4, one can show that σ is the extension of the unique increasing map from T' to T . Thus the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous.

The if direction. Firstly we show that the map $T \mapsto H_T$ is a bijection. Since it is clearly surjective, it suffices to show the injectivity. Suppose that $T \neq L$ are two finite subsets of S and without loss of generality assume that there is an element x contained in T but not contained in L . Order elements in $T \cup L$ as a sequence $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$ and suppose that $x = x_i$. Note that the linear order \leq is homogeneous by Lemma 5.8, and hence dense by Lemma 5.4, so we can replace x_i by another element y not contained in this sequence to obtain a new increasing sequence. Again by Lemma 5.8 we can find a certain $\sigma \in G$ sending the first sequence to the second sequence. Clearly, σ is contained in H_L but not in H_T , establishing the injectivity of the map $T \mapsto H_T$. The desired functor ϱ can be constructed by slightly modifying the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

Remark 5.11. If (S, \leq) is an unbounded dense linearly ordered countable set, and $G = \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$, the fact that \mathcal{O}_G is isomorphic to OI_S^{op} is already known; see [16, Example D.3.4.11].

The existence of globally homogenous linear order on \mathbb{Q} (or any countable set) and \mathbb{R} (or any set with the same cardinality as \mathbb{R}) is known. The following lemma asserts that we can construct a globally homogenous linear order for every infinite set.

Lemma 5.12. *If we admit the axiom of choice, then for every infinite cardinal κ , there is a globally homogeneous linear order of size κ .*

Proof. Fix κ . We will inductively define a sequence of data

$$\langle D_n = \{X_n, \leq_n, \pi_{a,b}^n \mid a <_{n-1} b \text{ in } X_{n-1}\} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$$

satisfying the following requirements:

- (1) X_n is a set of cardinality κ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (2) \leq_n is a linear order on X_n ;
- (3) $X_n \subseteq X_{n+1}$ and \leq_n equals the restriction of \leq_{n+1} on X_n ;
- (4) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $a <_{n-1} b$ in X_{n-1} (here $X_{-1} = \emptyset$), $\pi_{a,b}^n : X_n \rightarrow X_n$ is a *partial* order-preserving map² such that X_{n-1} is contained in the range $\text{rang}(\pi_{a,b}^n)$ and $(a, b)_{n-1}$ is contained in the domain $\text{dom}(\pi_{a,b}^n)$, where $(a, b)_{n-1} = \{x \in X_{n-1} \mid a <_{n-1} x <_{n-1} b\}$;
- (5) for every n and every $a <_{n-1} b$ in X_{n-1} , $\pi_{a,b}^{n+1}$ extends $\pi_{a,b}^n$ as a function.

Let (X_0, \leq_0) be an arbitrary linear order of size κ . Since X_{-1} is the empty set, no $\pi_{a,b}^0$ needs to be defined, and the construction of D_0 is done. Suppose that D_n has been defined. We construct D_{n+1} via two setps.

Step I: Construction for an increasing pair. Fix an increasing pair $a <_n b$ in X_n . Firstly find a set $J_{a,b}^n$ disjoint from X_n and extend $\pi_{a,b}^n$ to

$$\sigma_{a,b}^n : (a, b)_n \rightarrow X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n$$

such that $\sigma_{a,b}^n$ maps $(a, b)_n \setminus \text{dom}(\pi_{a,b}^n)$ bijectively to $J_{a,b}^n$.

Secondly, extend (X_n, \leq_n) to a linearly ordered set $(X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n, \leq_{n,a,b})$ by the following definition:

- $\leq_{n,a,b}$ on $J_{a,b}^n$ is defined such that $\sigma_{a,b}^n$ is order-preserving on $(a, b)_n \setminus \text{dom}(\pi_{a,b}^n)$;
- for $x \in X_n$ and $s \in J_{a,b}^n$, if for some $y \in \text{dom}(\pi_{a,b}^n)$, $x \leq_n \pi_{a,b}^n(y)$ and $y <_n (\sigma_{a,b}^n)^{-1}(s)$, then $x <_{n,a,b} s$; otherwise $s <_{n,a,b} x$. In other words, s lies right above the interval $\bigcup\{(-\infty, \pi_{a,b}^n(y)]_n \mid y \in \text{dom}(\pi_{a,b}^n) \text{ and } y <_n (\sigma_{a,b}^n)^{-1}(s)\}$.

By this construction,

$$\sigma_{a,b}^n : (a, b)_n \longrightarrow X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n$$

preserves the order $\leq_{n,a,b}$, $\leq_{n,a,b}$ extends \leq_n , and $\text{dom}(\sigma_{a,b}^n) = (a, b)_n$.

Thirdly, view the inverse $(\sigma_{a,b}^n)^{-1}$ as a partial order-preserving map

$$X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \longrightarrow (a, b)_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n$$

with range $(a, b)_n$. Repeating the previous two procedures, we obtain a set $I_{a,b}^n$ disjoint from $X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n$, a linear order $((a, b)_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n, \leq'_{n,a,b})$ extending $((a, b)_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n, \leq_{n,a,b})$ and a map

$$\eta_{a,b}^n : X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \longrightarrow (a, b)_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n$$

with range $(a, b)_n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n$ preserving the order $\leq'_{n,a,b}$.

Finally, let $\pi_{a,b}^{n+1}$ be $(\eta_{a,b}^n)^{-1}$, and extend $\leq'_{n,a,b}$ to a linear order on $X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n$ in an obvious way such that $I_{a,b}^n$ is contained in the interval $(a, b)_{\leq'_{n,a,b}}$. This finishes the construction for the pair (a, b) . It is easy to see that (4)-(5) for $\pi_{a,b}^{n+1}$ hold if $J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n \subseteq X_{n+1}$.

Step II: Construction of D_{n+1} . Suppose that $\{J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n \mid a <_n b \text{ in } X_n\}$ are pairwise disjoint. Set

$$X_{n+1} = X_n \sqcup \bigsqcup \{J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n \mid a <_n b \text{ in } X_n\}$$

and define a linear order \leq_{n+1} on X_{n+1} as follows: for $s \in X_n \sqcup J_{a,b}^n \sqcup I_{a,b}^n$ and $t \in X_n \sqcup J_{c,d}^n \sqcup I_{c,d}^n$ with $a <_n b$ and $c <_n d$,

²By definition, a partial map $X_n \rightarrow X_n$ is a map from a subset of X_n to X_n

- (i) if $\{x \in X_n \mid x <'_{n,a,b} s\}$ (as an initial interval of $(X_n, <_n)$) is a proper sub-interval of $\{x \in X_n \mid x <'_{n,c,d} t\}$, then $s <_{n+1} t$ and vice-versa;
- (ii) if $a = c$ and $b = d$, then $s <_{n+1} t$ if and only if $s <'_{n,a,b} t$;
- (iii) if $\{x \in X_n \mid x <'_{n,a,b} s\} = \{x \in X_n \mid x <'_{n,c,d} t\}$ and $(a, b) \neq (c, d)$, then $s <_{n+1} t$ if and only if $(a, b) <_{lex} (c, d)$ where $<_{lex}$ is the lexicographical order on $(X_n, <_n)^2$.

Note that (i) and (ii) are consistent; that is, if case (i) and case (ii) occur simultaneously, then two definitions agree with each other.

It is straightforward to check that \leq_{n+1} is a linear order on X_{n+1} extending \leq_n , so (2)-(3) hold for $n+1$. Condition (1) also holds for $n+1$ since by induction hypothesis, each $J_{a,b}^n, I_{a,b}^n$ have size at most κ and there are at most $|X_n^2| \leq \kappa$ many pairs (a, b) . This finishes the construction of D_{n+1} .

Construction of the the limit data. We already constructed a sequence of linearly ordered sets with cardinality κ as follows

$$(X_0, \leq_0) \subseteq (X_1, \leq_1) \subseteq (X_2, \leq_2) \subseteq \dots,$$

so one defines

$$(X, \leq) = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (X_n, \leq_n).$$

Clearly, (X, \leq) is a linearly ordered set of size κ . It remains to check that \leq is globally homogenous.

For each $a < b$ in X , let n be the least number such that $a, b \in X_n$. By (5), one can define a map

$$\pi_{a,b} = \varinjlim_{m > n} \pi_{a,b}^m : (a, b) = \{x \in X \mid a < x < b\} \longrightarrow X.$$

which preserves the order \leq by (4) and hence is injective. Since $X_{m-1} \subseteq \text{rang}(\pi_{a,b}^m)$ by (4), the colimit is a surjection. Consequently, $\pi_{a,b}$ is an isomorphism of linearly ordered sets. The conclusion then follows from statement (3) of Lemma 5.4. \square

Remark 5.13. If κ is countable, then up to isomorphism there is only one globally homogenous linear order on S by Cantor's theorem. This is not the case for uncountable κ . To see this, we can impose one more requirement to the construction in the proof of Lemma 5.12: each X_n has at least one more element x_n strictly greater than every element in X_{n-1} . In this way we obtain a globally homogenous linear order \leq on $X = \cup X_n$ with cofinality ω , namely a strictly increasing unbounded sequence $(x_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N})$ in (S, \leq) . But for any infinite cardinal $\lambda \leq \kappa$, one can carry out this construction via λ steps; that is, replacing $\langle D_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ by $\langle D_\alpha \mid \alpha < \lambda \rangle$ (here we view λ as an ordinal as well), to obtain another globally homogenous linear order \leq' on $X' = \cup X_\alpha$ together with an increasing sequence of length λ . When κ is uncountable, we can take λ to be ω_1 , a regular cardinal. In this case (X, \leq) and (X', \leq') have cofinalities ω and ω_1 respectively, so they cannot be isomorphic.

Remark 5.14. Except the above concrete construction, one can deduce the lemma via a model theoretic method. Since the property that every nontrivial open interval is isomorphic to the whole set is not a first order sentence, instead we prove a stronger conclusion: every infinite set S can be equipped with a linearly ordered field structure $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <, h)$ satisfying the additional global homogeneity: for every $a < b$ and $c < d$ in S , there exists $p, q \in S$ such that $x \mapsto px + q$ is an order-preserving bijection from (a, b) to (c, d) ; h is an order-preserving bijection from $(0, 1)$ to S . Clearly, this strengthened global homogeneity is first order definable. Hence, every structure of above theory is also a globally homogenous linear order. Since, for some suitable h , $(\mathbb{Q}, +, \cdot, <, h)$ is a countable model of this theory by Cantor's theorem, the desired conclusion follows from Löwenheim–Skolem theorem (see [20, Section 2.3]).

To prove the following result, we need to introduce a notion in set theory called the *Hartogs number*. Explicitly, we define

$$\aleph(X) = \{\alpha \text{ is an ordinal} \mid \text{there is an injection from } \alpha \text{ to } X\},$$

which is the least ordinal that can not be injectively mapped into X . Note that the existence of this number only relies on Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory ZF alone and does not require the Axiom of Choice; for details, see [13].

Theorem 5.15. *The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement that every infinite set admits a globally homogenous linear order.*

Proof. Suppose that the Axiom of Choice holds, and let S be an infinite set with cardinality κ . By Lemma 5.12, one can construct a globally homogenous linear order \leq on another set S' with the same cardinality. Then one can define a linear order on S via an arbitrary bijection from S to S' , which is clearly globally homogenous.

Now suppose that every infinite set can be equipped with a globally homogeneous linear order. We want to show that every infinite set admits a well order, which is equivalent to the Axiom of Choice. Fix an infinite set X , and set $\kappa = \aleph(P(X))$ where $P(X)$ is the power set of X . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $X \cap \kappa = \emptyset$.

Fix a global homogeneous linear order \leq on $X \cup \kappa$, and define a map $f : \kappa \rightarrow P(X)$ by

$$f(\alpha) = \{x \in X \mid x < \alpha\}.$$

By the definition of κ , f cannot be an injection, so there are $\alpha < \beta$ such that $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$. This happens if and only if the interval (α, β) is disjoint from X , so $(\alpha, \beta) \subseteq \kappa$. Since κ is an ordinal, it is well orderable, so is (α, β) . But since \leq is globally homogeneous, one can find an isomorphism $\rho : (\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (X \cup \kappa, \leq)$. Using this bijection and a well order \preceq on (α, β) , one can define a well order on $X \cup \kappa$, which induces a well order on X . \square

5.2. Results on Noetherianity. In this subsection let \leq be a homogenous linear order on S .

Corollary 5.16. *Let A be a commutative ring on which $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ acts discretely. If the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous, then*

$$A\tilde{G}\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}} \simeq AG\text{-Mod}^{\text{dis}}.$$

Proof. The conclusion follows from Theorem 2.2 and Proposition 5.10. \square

This result allows us to give a transparent description for the category of finitely generated discrete AG -modules when A is a field.

Corollary 5.17. *Let A be field on which $\tilde{G} = \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ acts trivially, and \mathcal{C} the category whose objects are $[n]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and whose morphisms are order-preserving injections. If the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous, then*

$$AG\text{-mod}^{\text{dis}} \simeq \mathcal{C}\text{-fdmod};$$

that is, the category of finitely generated discrete AG -module is equivalent to the category of finite dimensional representations of \mathcal{C} . In particular, isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of G are parameterized by the set of natural numbers.

Proof. The first statement follows from Theorem 2.2, Proposition 5.10, and [10, Corollary 4.9 and Example 4.11] as well as the observation that OI_S is equivalent to \mathcal{C} . The second statement follows from [10, Theorem 1.6]. \square

We are ready to prove the following result.

Theorem 5.18. *If the action of G on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous, then every finitely generated discrete $k[S]G$ -module is Noetherian. In particular, $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module.*

Proof. Applying argument in the proof of Theorem 4.7 via replacing permutations by order-preserving permutations, one gets the following description of the structure sheaf \mathcal{A} over the site $(\text{OI}_S, J_{\text{at}})$ corresponded to the algebra $A = k[S]$: for a finite subset T of S ,

$$\mathcal{A}(T) = A^{H_T} = k[T];$$

for an order-preserving injection $\alpha : L \rightarrow T$, $\mathcal{A}(\alpha)$ is the inclusion

$$k[L] \longrightarrow k[T], \quad x_l \mapsto x_{\alpha(l)}.$$

In other words, \mathcal{A} coincides with the structure sheaf $\mathbf{X}^{\text{OI},1}$ in [21, Definition 2.17].

Let V be a finitely generated discrete AG -module. By Proposition 3.9, it suffices to show that the corresponded \mathcal{A} -module \mathcal{V} is Noetherian. But this has been established in [21, Theorem 6.15]. Indeed, the conclusion proved [21] is that \mathcal{V} as a presheaf of modules is Noetherian, automatically implying the Noetherianity of \mathcal{V} as an \mathcal{A} -module. \square

Remark 5.19. This theorem holds for the bigger k -algebra $A = k[x_{i,s} \mid i \in [n], s \in S]$ where the action of G on A is determined by $\sigma \cdot x_{i,s} = x_{i,\sigma(s)}$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $s \in S$.

Suppose that \leq is homogenous and let κ be the cardinality of S . If A is a Noetherian AG -module for an order-preserving permutation group G , then S as a G -set can have finitely many orbits, so the cardinality of G must be at least κ . As in Remark 4.10, one may ask for the existence of an order-preserving permutation group G whose cardinality is κ and whose action on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous. In contrast to the conclusion of Remark 4.10, the group of almost-identical order-preserving permutations is not a proper choice since its cardinality may be strictly larger than κ , as shown in the following example.

Example 5.20. Although \mathbb{Q} is countable, the group of almost-identical order-preserving permutations over \mathbb{Q} is uncountable. Indeed, for any two countable increasing sequences

$$\mathbf{a} : a_1 < a_2 < \dots, \quad \mathbf{b} : b_1 < b_2 < \dots$$

such that each term in these sequences are rational numbers between 0 and 1, we can extend the map σ sending \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} to an almost-identical order-preserving permutation on (\mathbb{Q}, \leq) . Moreover, different pairs of sequences extend to different almost-identical order-preserving permutations. Since there are uncountably many such sequences, the group of almost-identical order-preserving permutations over \mathbb{Q} is uncountable.

But we can still construct an order-preserving permutation group with cardinality κ such that its action on (S, \leq) is highly homogenous. For each pair of increasing sequences

$$\mathbf{a} : a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n, \quad \mathbf{b} : b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$$

with the same length, by the proof of Lemma 5.8 we can find an almost-identical order-preserving permutation $\sigma_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$ sending \mathbf{a} to \mathbf{b} . Let G be subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ generated by these $\sigma_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}}$'s:

$$G = \langle \sigma_{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}} \mid \mathbf{a} \text{ and } \mathbf{b} \text{ are increasing sequences of length } n, n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle.$$

Then G has cardinality κ and its action on (S, \leq) is clearly highly homogenous. Furthermore, every element in G is almost-identical, so G is a proper subgroup of $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.21. *There is an element $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ which is not almost-identical.*

Proof. Let κ be the cardinality of S , and choose an increasing sequence $\{s_\alpha \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ which is cofinal in S ; that is, for every $s \in S$, we can find a certain s_α with $s < s_\alpha$. Now for each α one choose a pair $x_\alpha < y_\alpha$ in the open interval $(s_\alpha, s_{\alpha+1})$. The homogeneous linear order on S induces a homogeneous linear order on this open interval, so we can find an order-preserving permutation σ_α on this open interval such that $\sigma_\alpha(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$.

We can glue these σ_α 's and the identity map on $S \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} (s_\alpha, s_{\alpha+1})$ to obtain a map from S to itself, which is clearly an order-preserving permutation on (S, \leq) . But σ is not almost-identical. Indeed, for every $s \in S$, one can find a certain α such that $s < s_\alpha < x_\alpha < y_\alpha$. Then $\sigma(x_\alpha) = y_\alpha$, so σ cannot be almost-identical. \square

In the rest of this section we give a few applications of Theorem 5.18.

Corollary 5.22. *Let \leq be a homogenous linear order on S , and \mathcal{I} the monoid of order-preserving injections on (S, \leq) . Then $k[S]$ is a Noetherian module over the skew monoid algebra $k[S]\mathcal{I}$.*

Proof. Let V be an $k[S]\mathcal{I}$ -submodule of $k[S]$ and $G = \text{Aut}(S, \leq)$. Then V viewed as $k[S]G$ -submodule of $k[S]$ shall be finitely generated by Theorem 5.18. Since G is a submonoid of \mathcal{I} , it follows that V as a $k[S]\mathcal{I}$ -module is finitely generated as well. Therefore, $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]\mathcal{I}$ -module. \square

Remark 5.23. This corollary does not imply statement (i) of [21, Corollary 6.21] since the linear order on \mathbb{N} is not homogenous.

Note that a homogenous linear order \leq on S determines a topology \mathcal{T}_{ord} on S with a basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \{(a, b) \mid a < b, a, b \in S\}.$$

Corollary 5.24. *Let $G = \text{Homeo}(S, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ord}})$ be the group of self-homeomorphisms. Then $k[S]$ is a Noetherian $k[S]G$ -module.*

Proof. The proof is based on a very transparent observation: if $H \leq K$, then K -invariant ideals of $k[S]$ forms a subposet of the poset of H -invariant ideals of $k[S]$. Consequently, if $k[S]$ is Noetherian up to the action of H , it is also Noetherian up to the action of K . Therefore, it is enough to show that $\text{Aut}(S, \leq)$ is a subgroup of G , namely every order-preserving bijection is a self-homeomorphism on $(S, \mathcal{T}_{\text{ord}})$. But this is trivially true. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens. Topologies for Homeomorphism Groups. Amer. J. Math. 68 (1946), 593-610.
- [2] M. Artin. Grothendieck topologies. Lecture Notes, Harvard Univ., 1962.
- [3] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J. Verdier. Théorie de topos et cohomologie éalé des schémas. Lect. Notes Math. 269 and 270, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [4] M. Aschenbrenner and C. J. Hillar, Finite generation of symmetric ideals, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 359 (2007), 5171–5192.
- [5] M. Bhattacharjee, D. Macpherson, R. Möller, and P. Neumann. Notes on infinite permutation groups. Texts Read. Math. 12, Lecture Notes in Math. 1698. Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi; co-published by Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997, xii+202 pp.
- [6] P. Cameron. Transitivity of permutation groups on unordered sets, Mathematische Zeitschrift 148 (1976), 127-139.
- [7] P. Cameron. Oligomorphic permutation groups. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 152, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990, viii+160 pp.
- [8] O. Caramello. Topological Galois theory. Adv. Math. 291 (2016), 646-695.
- [9] D. Cohen. Closure relations, Buchberger’s algorithm, and polynomials in infinitely many variables. Computation theory and logic, Lect. Notes Comput. Sci. 270 (1987), 78–87
- [10] Z. Di, L. Li, L. Liang, and F. Xu. Sheaves of modules over atomic sites and discrete representations of topological groups. Preprint. arXiv:2108.13600.
- [11] J. Draisma. Noetherianity up to symmetry. Combinatorial algebraic geometry, Lect. Notes Math. 2108 (2014), 33–61.
- [12] C. G. González. Dense orderings, partitions and weak forms of choice. Fund. Math. 147 (1995) 11-25.
- [13] F. Hartogs. Über das Problem der Wohlordnung. Math. Ann. 76 (1915), no. 4, 438–443.
- [14] C. J. Hillar and S. Sullivant, Finite Gröbner bases in infinite dimensional polynomial rings and applications, Adv. Math. 229 (2012), 1–25.
- [15] T. Husain. Introduction to Topological Groups. W. B. Saunders Co., Philadelphia, Pa.-London (1966).
- [16] P. Johnstone. Sketches of an elephant: a topos theory compendium, Vol. 1 and 2. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [17] M. Kashiwara and P. Schapira. Categories and sheaves, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 332. Springer-Verlag, 2006.
- [18] A. Kock, G. Wraith. Elementary Toposes. Lecture Notes Series 30, Matematisk Institut, Aarhus Universitet, Aarhus, 1971.
- [19] S. Mac Lane and I. Moerdijk. Sheaves in geometry and logic: a first introduction to topos theory, corrected reprint of the 1992 edition. Springer-Verlag, 1994.
- [20] D. Marker. Model theory. Grad. Texts in Math., 217, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002, viii+342 pp.
- [21] U. Nagel and T. Römer. FI- and OI-modules with varying coefficients. J. Algebra 535 (2019), 286–322.
- [22] D. Pincus. The dense linear ordering principle. J. Symb. Logic 62 (1997), 438-456.
- [23] P. Webb. Standard stratifications of EI categories and Alperin’s weight conjecture. J. Algebra 320 (2008), 4073-4091.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410081, CHINA.
Email address: `lipingli@hunnu.edu.cn`

ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, EAST ZHONG GUAN CUN
ROAD NO. 55, BEIJING 100190, CHINA
Email address: `pengyinhe@amss.ac.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410081, CHINA.
Email address: `zhengjunyuan@hunnu.edu.cn`