

ON LIMITING DISTRIBUTIONS OF GRAHAM, KNUTH,
PATASHNIK RECURRENCES

PAWEŁ HITCZENKO

ABSTRACT. Graham, Knuth and Patashnik in their book *Concrete Mathematics* called for development of a general theory of the solutions of recurrences defined by

$$\binom{n}{k} = (\alpha n + \beta k + \gamma) \binom{n-1}{k} + (\alpha' n + \beta' k + \gamma') \binom{n-1}{k-1} + I_{n=k=0}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and six parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma'$. Since then, a number of authors investigated various properties of the solutions of these recurrences. In this note we consider a probabilistic aspect, namely we consider the limiting distributions of sequences of integer valued random variables naturally associated with the solutions of such recurrences. We will give a complete description of the limiting behavior when $\alpha' = 0$ and the remaining five parameters are non-negative.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Research problem **6.94** [3, p. 319] (numbered **6.89** in the first edition) calls for developing a general theory of the solutions to the recurrences of the form

$$(1) \quad \binom{n}{k} = (\alpha n + \beta k + \gamma) \binom{n-1}{k} + (\alpha' n + \beta' k + \gamma') \binom{n-1}{k-1} + I_{n=k=0}$$

for $0 \leq k \leq n$ and six parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma'$. Since then, a number of authors studied different aspects of the solutions of these recurrences, often under some restrictions on the parameters, see e.g. [1, 7, 11, 10, 12]. Without specifically referring to [3] recurrences of that type were also studied in [8] and [9] in a fairly general setting. (Of course, for specific values of the parameters recurrences like (1) were analyzed for a long time since the vast majority of classical triangles of numbers including binomial, Stirling, Eulerian, Lah, and many others, are of that form.)

Building on an earlier work [12], the authors of [1] classified the partial differential equations (PDEs) satisfied by the bivariate exponential generating functions (which we abbreviate to BGF) associated with (1) and used the method of characteristics to solve them (the solutions in most cases are expressions involving a function whose inverse is given in series expansion). Methods based on analyzing BGFs and the PDEs are exploited in [12] to derive numerous results and properties of the solutions of (1) for various sets of values of parameters.

In [8], under the assumption $\alpha' = 0$ some explicit expressions for the solutions of (1) were obtained through factorization properties. For example, [8, Theorem 18]

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A16, 60C05, 60F05.

Key words and phrases. Generating polynomial, recurrence, limiting distribution.

can be deciphered as

$$\left| \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right| = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} (\beta' m + \gamma') \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} j \\ i \end{pmatrix} \left\{ \begin{matrix} j \\ k \end{matrix} \right\} \alpha^{n-j} \gamma^{j-i} \beta^{i-k}.$$

We note that this formula was also (but later) given by Wilf [12, Section 2.2] who noted the fact that it is factored as two polynomials, one in β' and γ' only and the other in the remaining three parameters. Under the additional assumption that $\beta' = 0$ and the remaining four parameters have integer values similar formulas were also derived in [9]. Further results along these lines were obtained by Spivey [11]. In another direction, when $\beta' = 0$ and the remaining five parameters are non-negative, Wilf [12] showed that the generating polynomials

$$(2) \quad P_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right| x^k, \quad n \geq 0.$$

have only real roots.

In this paper we will be interested in the asymptotic distributions of random variables naturally associated with recurrence (1). Specifically, if $P_n(x)$ is given by (2) then

$$\frac{P_n(x)}{P_n(1)} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\left| \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right|}{\sum_{j=0}^n \left| \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right|} x^k = \mathbb{E} x^{X_n},$$

where X_n is an integer valued random variable defined by

$$(3) \quad \mathbb{P}(X_n = k) = \frac{\left| \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right|}{\sum_{j=0}^n \left| \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right|}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

Our focus will be on the limiting distribution of (suitably normalized) sequence (X_n) as $n \rightarrow \infty$. One common means of studying the properties of the sequence (X_n) is through a BGF associated to it. That is, if we let

$$(4) \quad F(z, x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!} P_n(x),$$

then the probability generating function (PGF) of a random variable X_n defined by (3) is

$$p_n(x) = \mathbb{E} x^{X_n} = \frac{[z^n] F(z, x)}{[z^n] F(z, 1)},$$

where as usual $[z^n](\cdot)$ denotes the coefficient of z^n in the series expansion of the expression (\cdot) . From now on, without mentioning it explicitly we will assume that (X_n) are related to $F(z, x)$ by the above equality. The expected value and the variance of X_n will be denoted by μ_n and σ_n^2 , respectively.

Throughout the paper we will use symbols “ \xrightarrow{d} ” and “ $\stackrel{d}{=}$ ” to denote, respectively, the convergence and equality in distribution of random variables. We will denote by $N(\nu, \sigma^2)$, $\text{Bin}(n, p)$ and $\text{Pois}(\lambda)$, respectively, a normal, a binomial and a Poisson distributions with the indicated parameters.

It follows from (1) that the generating polynomials (2) satisfy a recurrence

$$(5) \quad P_n(x) = ((\alpha' x + \alpha)n + (\beta' + \gamma')x + \gamma)P_{n-1}(x) + x(\beta + \beta' x)P'_{n-1}(x).$$

This is a special case of a more general scheme, namely,

$$P_n(x) = a_n(x)P_{n-1}(x) + b_n(x)P'_{n-1}(x)$$

where (a_n) , (b_n) are sequences of known functions (typically polynomials of low degrees) and $P_0(x)$ is given. Various aspects of such recurrences have been studied throughout the years, including some recent work. Closely related to the subject of this paper, the authors of [5] developed the limiting theory of general Euclidean recurrences when the coefficient functions $a_n(x)$, $b_n(x)$ are of the form:

$$a_n(x) = \alpha(x)n + \gamma(x), \quad b_n(x) = \beta(x)(1 - x)$$

under additional conditions on $\alpha(x)$, $\gamma(x)$ and $\beta(x)$. This corresponds to

$$\alpha(x) = \alpha'x + \alpha, \quad \gamma(x) = (\beta' + \gamma')x + \gamma, \quad \beta(x) = x\beta, \quad \beta' = -\beta$$

and covers some of the cases. In this paper we will take the next step in describing the limiting distribution of (X_n) . While we will not do it for a full range of all six parameters we will give a complete picture when $\alpha' = 0$ and the remaining five parameters are non-negative. What happens in the other cases, is an open problem.

2. A PDE ASSOCIATED WITH (5)

Differentiating (4) with respect to z and using (5) yields

$$(6) \quad (1 - \alpha z - \alpha'xz) \frac{\partial F(z, x)}{\partial z} - x(\beta + \beta'x) \frac{\partial F(z, x)}{\partial x} = ((\alpha' + \beta' + \gamma')x + \alpha + \gamma)F(z, x).$$

with $F(0, x) = 1$.

In many cases this PDE may be solved explicitly by the method of characteristics. The first step is to set

$$(7) \quad \frac{dz}{1 - \alpha z - \alpha'xz} = -\frac{dx}{x(\beta'x + \beta)} = \frac{dF}{((\alpha' + \beta' + \gamma')x + \alpha + \gamma)F}$$

which, upon solving the first of these equations reduces (6) to the first order linear ordinary differential equation that can be explicitly solved provided the involved integrals have explicit expressions.

3. THE CASE $\alpha' = 0$.

A particularly tractable situation arises when $\alpha' = 0$ in which case the first characteristic equation in (7) is separable and all integrals can be evaluated. By following the usual procedure (see e.g. Section 3.1 in [5] for a good description) and using $F(0, x) = 1$ one is eventually led to

$$(8) \quad F(z, x) = \frac{(1 - \alpha z)^{-\gamma/\alpha}}{\left(1 + \frac{\beta'x}{\beta} (1 - (1 - \alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha})\right)^{1+\gamma'/\beta'}}$$

as has been derived in [12] with the aid of Maple.

Having the explicit form of $F(z, x)$ makes it amenable to the asymptotic analysis. In particular, for several sets of the values of the parameters, the algebraic singularity schema as described in [2, Theorem IX.12, p. 676] applies. Let us recall that statement.

Theorem 1. (Algebraic singularity schema). *Let $F(z, x)$ be a function that is bivariate analytic at $(z, x) = (0, 0)$ and has non-negative coefficients. Assume the following conditions:*

- (i) *Analytic perturbation: there exist three functions A, B, C analytic in the domain $\mathcal{D} = \{|z| \leq r\} \times \{|x-1| < \epsilon\}$, such that, for some r_0 with $0 < r_0 \leq r$ the following representation holds, with $\kappa \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$,*

$$F(z, x) = A(z, x) + B(z, x)C(u, x)^{-\kappa};$$

furthermore, assume that, in $|z| \leq r$, there exists a unique root ρ of the equation $C(z, 1) = 0$, that this root is simple, and that $B(\rho, 1) \neq 0$.

- (ii) *Non-degeneracy: one has $\partial_z C(\rho, 1) \cdot \partial_x C(\rho, 1) \neq 0$, ensuring the existence of a non-constant $\rho(x)$ analytic at $x = 1$, such that $C(\rho(x), x) = 0$ and $\rho(1) = \rho$.*
- (iii) *Variability: one has*

$$\mathfrak{v} \left(\frac{\rho(1)}{\rho(x)} \right) \neq 0,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{v}(f) := \frac{f''(1)}{f(1)} + \frac{f'(1)}{f(1)} - \left(\frac{f'(1)}{f(1)} \right)^2.$$

Then, the random variable with probability generation function

$$p_n(x) = \frac{[z^n]F(z, x)}{[z^n]F(z, 1)}$$

converges in distribution to a Gaussian random variable with a speed of convergence that is $O(n^{-1/2})$. The mean μ_n and the standard deviation σ_n are asymptotically linear in n .

To try to apply Theorem 1 to (8) we set

$$A(z, x) = 0, \quad B(z, x) = (1 - \alpha z)^{-\gamma/\alpha}, \quad C(z, x) = 1 + \frac{\beta' x}{\beta} \left(1 - (1 - \alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha} \right)$$

and $\kappa = 1 + \gamma'/\beta'$. Analytic perturbation condition of that theorem holds with any $r < 1/\alpha$ and

$$\rho = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\beta'} \right)^{-\alpha/\beta} \right).$$

(We may set $r = (1 - \epsilon)/\alpha$ where $0 < \epsilon < (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta}$, recall that the parameters are assumed to be non-negative.)

For the non-degeneracy we find that if $\beta' > 0$ then

$$\partial_z C(\rho, 1) = -\beta'(1 + \beta/\beta')^{1+\alpha/\beta}, \quad \partial_x C(\rho, 1) = -1.$$

so that the condition holds and

$$(9) \quad \rho(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(1 + \frac{\beta}{\beta' x} \right)^{-\alpha/\beta} \right).$$

However, if $\beta' = 0$ then $C(z, x) \equiv 1$ and the non-degeneracy condition fails in that case. Thus, we consider the two cases separately.

4. THE CASE $\beta' > 0$

When $\beta' > 0$ we have

Theorem 2. *Let $\beta' > 0$ and $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$. Then:*

(i) *If $\alpha, \beta > 0$ then the random variables X_n satisfy*

$$(10) \quad \frac{X_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{N}(0, 1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

with $\mu_n \sim -\mathbf{m}(\rho)n$, $\sigma_n^2 \sim -\mathbf{v}(\rho)n$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{m}(\rho) &= -\frac{\alpha(1 + \beta/\beta')^{-1-\alpha/\beta}}{\beta'(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta})}, \\ \mathbf{v}(\rho) &= \frac{(1 + \beta/\beta')^{-2-\alpha/\beta}}{(\rho(1)\beta')^2} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\alpha} \left(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) - 1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) *If $\alpha = 0$, $\beta > 0$ then (10) holds with*

$$\mathbf{m}(\rho) = \frac{-\beta}{(\beta' + \beta) \log(1 + \beta/\beta')}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\rho) = \frac{\left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta} \log(1 + \beta/\beta') - 1 \right)}{(\rho(1)(\beta' + \beta))^2}.$$

(iii) *If $\alpha > 0$, $\beta = 0$ then the (10) holds with*

$$\mathbf{m}(\rho) = \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha/\beta'}}{\beta'(e^{-\alpha/\beta'} - 1)}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\rho) = \frac{e^{-\alpha/\beta'}}{(\rho(1)\beta')^2} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\alpha} \left(1 - e^{-\alpha/\beta'} \right) - 1 \right).$$

Proof. In view of the earlier discussion, to apply Theorem 1 it suffices to verify the variability condition.

Let $\alpha, \beta > 0$ for now. Note that for a function f and a constant $c \neq 0$ we have $\mathbf{v}(1/f) = -\mathbf{v}(f)$ and $\mathbf{v}(cf) = \mathbf{v}(f)$. Hence $\mathbf{v}(\rho(1)/\rho(x)) = -\mathbf{v}(\rho(x))$. After some calculations we get for a function $\rho(x)$ given by (9) that

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{v}(\rho) = \frac{(1 + \beta/\beta')^{-2-\alpha/\beta}}{(\rho(1)\beta')^2} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\alpha} \left(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) - 1 \right).$$

The fraction is positive and the parenthesized quantity is negative since

$$\frac{\beta'}{\alpha} \left(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) - 1 = \frac{\beta'\beta}{\beta\alpha} \left(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta} \right) - 1$$

and for $u, v > 0$ we have

$$\frac{1 - (1 + u)^{-v}}{uv} < 1.$$

(The last inequality is equivalent to $(1 + u)^{-v} + uv - 1 > 0$, and if for $v > 0$ we let $g_v(u)$ to be the left hand side, we see that $g_v(0) = 0$ and that g_v is strictly increasing for $u > 0$.) Thus, the variability condition holds.

It follows from Theorem 1 that the corresponding random variables X_n are asymptotically normal. The variance of X_n is asymptotic to $-\mathbf{v}(\rho)n$ with $\mathbf{v}(\rho)$ given by (11). The expected value of X_n is asymptotic to $-\mathbf{m}(\rho)n$ where $\mathbf{m}(f) = f'(1)/f(1)$ and we similarly have $\mathbf{m}(f(1)/f(x)) = -\mathbf{m}(f(x))$. In our case

$$(12) \quad \mathbf{m}(\rho) = -\frac{\alpha(1 + \beta/\beta')^{-1-\alpha/\beta}}{\beta'(1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta})}.$$

(Note that $\mathbf{m}(\rho) < 0$ as it should be since $1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta} > 0$.) This, proves the first part.

The remaining two parts follow by repeating the same calculations as for the case $\alpha, \beta > 0$ or by taking the limits as the relevant parameters go to zero. Specifically, for the second part letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in (11) and using

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - (1 + \beta/\beta')^{-\alpha/\beta}}{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\beta} \log(1 + \beta/\beta')$$

yields

$$\mathfrak{v}(\rho) = \frac{1}{(\rho(1)(\beta' + \beta))^2} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta} \log(1 + \beta/\beta') - 1 \right) < 0$$

since $\log(1 + u)/u < 1$ for $u > 0$. Thus, the variability holds. Upon taking $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in (12) we obtain

$$\mathfrak{m}(\rho) = \frac{-\beta}{(\beta' + \beta) \log(1 + \beta/\beta')}$$

as claimed. Alternatively, with $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, (8) becomes

$$(13) \quad F(z, x) = \frac{e^{\gamma z}}{\left(1 + \frac{\beta' x}{\beta} (1 - e^{\beta z})\right)^{1 + \gamma'/\beta'}}$$

and one can apply Theorem 1 with

$$A(z, x) = 0, \quad B(z, x) = e^{\gamma z}, \quad C(z, x) = 1 + \frac{\beta' x}{\beta} (1 - e^{\beta z}).$$

For the last part, as $\beta \rightarrow 0$ (11) becomes

$$\mathfrak{v}(\rho) = \frac{e^{-\alpha/\beta'}}{(\rho(1)\beta')^2} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\alpha} (1 - e^{-\alpha/\beta'}) - 1 \right) < 0$$

since $(1 - e^{-u})/u < 1$ for $u > 0$. Thus, the variability holds. Similarly, letting $\beta \rightarrow 0$ in (12) yields

$$\mathfrak{m}(\rho) = \frac{\alpha e^{-\alpha/\beta'}}{\beta' (e^{-\alpha/\beta'} - 1)}$$

as desired. ■

The last case when $\beta' > 0$ is $\alpha = \beta = 0$. Letting $\beta \rightarrow 0$ in (13) gives

$$(14) \quad F(z, x) = \frac{e^{\gamma z}}{(1 - \beta' x z)^{1 + \gamma'/\beta'}}.$$

Here, $C(x) = 1 - \beta' x z$ and $\rho(x) = (\beta' x)^{-1}$. It follows that $\mathfrak{v}(\rho) = 0$ and we find that the variability condition fails. Thus, Theorem 1 is not applicable. However, we can identify the distribution of X_n exactly. Before stating the result recall that if $r > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$ then a random variable Y has a negative binomial distribution with parameters r and p (abbreviated to $\text{NB}(r, p)$) if

$$\mathbb{P}(Y = k) = \frac{\Gamma(k+r)}{k! \Gamma(r)} (1-p)^k p^r = \binom{r+k-1}{k} (1-p)^k p^r, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

We should note that some sources reserve the name negative binomial to $r \in \mathbb{N}$ in which case Y has the interpretation as the number of failures before the r th success in the series of independent Bernoulli trials with parameter p . Wikipedia refers to the $r > 0$ case as Pólya distribution (without giving a source). However, [6] uses the name negative binomial for any $r > 0$ referring to the $r \in \mathbb{N}$ case as Pascal distribution.

We can now make the following statement.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha = \beta = 0$. If $\gamma > 0$ then the random variable X_n has a $\text{NB}(1 + \gamma'/\beta', \gamma/(\beta' + \gamma))$ distribution, conditioned on its sum with an independent Poisson random variable $\text{Pois}(\gamma/(\beta' + \gamma))$ being equal to n . For the mean and the variance of X_n we have

$$\mu_n = n - \frac{\gamma}{\beta'}(1 + o(1)), \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{\gamma}{\beta'}(1 + o(1)).$$

If $\gamma = 0$ then $X_n = n$ almost surely.

Proof. Consider $F(z, x)$ given by (14). Using the binomial expansion

$$(1 - v)^{-\xi} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{k + \xi - 1}{k} v^k$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} F(z, x) &= \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{(\gamma z)^j}{j!} \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{k + \gamma'/\beta'}{k} (\beta' x z)^k \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{k + \gamma'/\beta'}{k} (\beta' x)^k \frac{\gamma^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the PDF of the corresponding random variable X_n is

$$\mathbb{E} x^{X_n} = \frac{[z^n] F(z, x)}{[z^n] F(z, 1)} = \sum_{k=0}^n x^k p_{n,k},$$

where

$$p_{n,k} = \frac{\beta'^k \binom{k + \gamma'/\beta'}{k} \frac{\gamma^{n-k}}{(n-k)!}}{\sum_{j=0}^n \beta'^j \binom{j + \gamma'/\beta'}{j} \frac{\gamma^{n-j}}{(n-j)!}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

When $\gamma = 0$, $p_{n,k} = \delta_{k=n}$ so X_n is a degenerate random variable equal to n . Otherwise, re-writing $p_{n,k}$ as

$$p_{n,k} = \frac{\left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^k \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^{1 + \gamma'/\beta'} \binom{k + \gamma'/\beta'}{k} \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}}}{(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^{n-k}}{\sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^j \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^{1 + \gamma'/\beta'} \binom{j + \gamma'/\beta'}{j} \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}}}{(n-j)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^{n-j}}$$

and realizing that

$$\left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^k \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma}\right)^{1 + \gamma'/\beta'} \binom{k + \gamma'/\beta'}{k}$$

is the probability that a $\text{NB}(1 + \gamma'/\beta', \gamma/(\beta' + \gamma))$ random variable is equal to k , we conclude that X_n has a distribution of such a random variable conditioned on its sum with an independent $\text{Pois}(\gamma/(\beta' + \gamma))$ being equal to n .

To derive the numerical characteristics of this X_n observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(n - X_n) &= \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n (n-k) \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^k \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{1+\gamma'/\beta'} \binom{k+\gamma'/\beta'}{k} \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}}}{(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-k}}{\sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^j \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{1+\gamma'/\beta'} \binom{j+\gamma'/\beta'}{j} \frac{e^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}}}{(n-j)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-j}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^k \binom{k+\gamma'/\beta'}{k} \frac{1}{(n-1-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-1-k}}{\sum_{j=0}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^j \binom{j+\gamma'/\beta'}{j} \frac{1}{(n-j)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-j}} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n},\end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$(15) \quad S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-k}$$

after using identity

$$\binom{k+\gamma'/\beta'}{k} = \frac{\Gamma(k+1+\gamma'/\beta')}{k!\Gamma(1+\gamma'/\beta')}.$$

Similarly,

$$\mathbb{E}(n - X_n)(n - 1 - X_n) = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^2 \frac{S_{n-2}}{S_n}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}X_n = n - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned}\text{var}(X_n) &= \text{var}(n - X_n) = \mathbb{E}(n - X_n)(n - 1 - X_n) + \mathbb{E}(n - X_n) - (\mathbb{E}(n - X_n))^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^2 \frac{S_{n-2}}{S_n} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n} - \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^2 \frac{S_{n-1}^2}{S_n^2} \\ &= \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n} \left(1 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right) \left(\frac{S_{n-2}}{S_{n-1}} - \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using $\Gamma(k+1+\gamma'/\beta') = (k+\gamma'/\beta')\Gamma(k+\gamma'/\beta')$ we see from (15) that

$$S_n = \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} + \frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma} S_{n-1} + \frac{\gamma'}{\beta'} \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k+\gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-k}.$$

We will show that the right-hand side is $\frac{\beta'}{\beta'+\gamma} S_{n-1}(1+o(1))$. It then follows that $S_{n-1}/S_n \rightarrow (\beta'+\gamma)/\beta'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and thus

$$\mathbb{E}X_n = n - \frac{\gamma}{\beta'}(1+o(1)), \quad \text{var}(X_n) = \frac{\gamma}{\beta'}(1+o(1))$$

as desired.

For the first summand we trivially have

$$\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} = \frac{\gamma}{(\beta'+\gamma)n} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta'+\gamma}\right)^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \leq \frac{\gamma}{(\beta'+\gamma)n} S_{n-1}.$$

To show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k} = o(S_{n-1})$$

we split the sum as

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} + \sum_{k=k_n+1}^n \right) \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k}$$

with k_n to be specified later. The second sum is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta'}{(\beta' + \gamma)(k_n + 1)} \sum_{k=k_n+1}^n \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma'/\beta')}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{\beta'}{(\beta' + \gamma)(k_n + 1)} \sum_{k=k_n}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-1-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-1-k} \\ &\leq \frac{\beta'}{(\beta' + \gamma)(k_n + 1)} S_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is $o(S_{n-1})$ as long as $k_n \rightarrow \infty$.

The first is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{k-1} \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma'/\beta')}{(k-1)!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k} \\ &= \frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \sum_{k=0}^{k_n-1} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-1-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-1-k} \\ &= \frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k_n} \sum_{k=0}^{k_n-1} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + 1 + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-1-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{k_n-1-k} \end{aligned}$$

Since $(n-1-k)! \geq (k_n-1-k)!(n-k_n)!$ the expression above is further bounded by

$$\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k_n} \frac{1}{(n-k_n)!} S_{k_n-1}.$$

Finally, since $S_n \geq \frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} S_{n-1}$ we obtain

$$S_{k_n-1} = S_{n-1} \prod_{j=k_n}^{n-1} \frac{S_{j-1}}{S_j} \leq \left(\frac{\beta' + \gamma}{\beta'} \right)^{n-k_n} S_{n-1}.$$

Combining all of these estimates shows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \left(\frac{\beta'}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^k \frac{\Gamma(k + \gamma'/\beta')}{k!(n-k)!} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta' + \gamma} \right)^{n-k} \leq \frac{\beta'(\gamma/\beta')^{n-k_n}}{(\beta' + \gamma)(n-k_n)!} S_{n-1} = o(S_{n-1})$$

whenever $n - k_n \rightarrow \infty$. This completes the proof. ■

5. THE CASE $\beta' = 0$

We now consider the four cases corresponding to $\beta' = 0$. Letting $\beta' \rightarrow 0$ in (8) yields

$$(16) \quad F(z, x) = \frac{(1 - \alpha z)^{-\gamma/\alpha}}{\exp\left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\beta} (1 - (1 - \alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha})\right)}.$$

We begin with the simplest case, namely, $\beta = 0$.

Theorem 4. *Assume that $\beta = 0$ in addition to $\beta' = 0$. If $\gamma' > 0$ and*

(i) $\alpha > 0$ then

$$\frac{X_n - (\gamma'/\alpha) \log n}{\sqrt{(\gamma'/\alpha) \log n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

(ii) $\alpha = 0$ then

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{=} \text{Bin}\left(n, \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma + \gamma'}\right).$$

If $\gamma' = 0$ then X_n is a degenerate random variable equal to 0.

Proof. Letting in (16) $\beta \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$(17) \quad F(z, x) = \frac{(1 - \alpha z)^{-\gamma/\alpha}}{\exp\left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\alpha} \log(1 - \alpha z)\right)} = (1 - \alpha z)^{-(\gamma'x + \gamma)/\alpha}.$$

If $\gamma' = 0$ then $F(z, x)$ does not depend on x . Consequently, $\mathbb{E} x^{X_n} = 1$ and $X_n = 0$ almost surely which proves the last assertion.

Otherwise, upon letting $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, (17) further simplifies to

$$F(z, x) = e^{\gamma z + \gamma' x z}.$$

The coefficient $[z^n]F(z, x)$ is $(\gamma'x + \gamma)^n/n!$ which corresponds to X_n having the $\text{Bin}\left(n, \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma' + \gamma}\right)$ distribution. This proves the second part.

Finally, consider $\alpha > 0$. Then, $F(z, x)$ given by (17) falls within the variable exponent perturbation [2, Theorem IX.11, p. 669] with

$$A(z, x) = 0, \quad B(z, x) = 1, \quad C(z) = 1 - \alpha z,$$

and the exponent function $\alpha(x) = (\gamma'x + \gamma)/\alpha$. The variability condition $\alpha'(1) + \alpha''(1) \neq 0$ of this theorem is satisfied leading to asymptotic normality of (X_n) with both μ_n and σ_n^2 asymptotic to $\frac{\gamma'}{\alpha} \log n$. \blacksquare

Remark 1. *We would like to comment that in the case when both β and β' are zero a bit more precise information is readily available regardless of the value of α (or of α' as a matter of fact): the recurrence (5) takes a simpler form*

$$P_n(x) = ((\alpha'x + \alpha)n + \gamma'x + \gamma)P_{n-1}(x).$$

This means that

$$\mathbb{E} x^{X_n} = \frac{P_n(x)}{P_n(1)} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(\alpha'x + \alpha)k + \gamma'x + \gamma}{(\alpha' + \alpha)k + \gamma' + \gamma} = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{(\alpha'k + \gamma')x + \alpha k + \gamma}{(\alpha' + \alpha)k + \gamma' + \gamma}.$$

Thus, X_n is the sum of the independent indicators I_k , $1 \leq k \leq n$, where

$$(18) \quad \mathbb{P}(I_k = 1) = \frac{\alpha'k + \gamma'}{(\alpha' + \alpha)k + \gamma' + \gamma}.$$

By elementary calculations

$$\mathbb{E}X_n = \frac{\alpha'}{\alpha' + \alpha}n + \frac{\gamma'\alpha - \gamma\alpha'}{(\alpha + \alpha')^2} \log n + O(1)$$

and

$$\text{var}(X_n) = \frac{\alpha\alpha'}{(\alpha' + \alpha)^2}n + \frac{\alpha'^2\gamma + \alpha^2\gamma' - \alpha\alpha'(\gamma + \gamma')}{(\alpha + \alpha')^3} \log n + O(1).$$

In particular, when $\alpha' = 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ we recover the same asymptotic normality as in Theorem 4 while if both α and α' vanish then $X_n = \text{Bin}(n, \frac{\gamma'}{\gamma + \gamma'})$ as is clear from (18). We also note that if $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha' > 0$ then the mean is asymptotic to $n - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha'} \log n$ and the variance to $\frac{\gamma}{\alpha'} \log n$ so that in that case $(n - X_n - (\gamma/\alpha') \log n) / \sqrt{(\gamma/\alpha') \log n}$ is asymptotically $N(0, 1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

It remains to consider the case $\beta > 0$. With $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, (16) becomes

$$F(z, x) = \exp\left(\gamma z - \frac{\gamma'x}{\beta}(1 - e^{\beta z})\right) = \exp\left\{\gamma z + \frac{\gamma'x}{\beta}(e^{\beta z} - 1)\right\}.$$

This case was treated in [4] and gives the $N(n/\log n, n/\log^2 n)$ type of behavior. Specifically, we have

Theorem 5 ([4, Theorem 1]). *Suppose $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta > 0$ in addition to $\beta' = 0$. Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,*

$$\frac{X_n - n/\log n}{\sqrt{n/\log n}} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1).$$

Finally, we consider the case when both $\alpha, \beta > 0$.

Theorem 6. *Assume $\alpha, \beta > 0$ in addition to $\beta' = 0$. Then*

$$\frac{X_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

where

$$\mu_n \sim \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}{\beta} (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \sigma_n^2 \sim \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} \alpha (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}}{\beta(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

Proof. Re-write (16) as

$$F(z, x) = \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\beta}((1 - \alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha} - 1)\right)}{(1 - \alpha z)^{\gamma/\alpha}}.$$

This has the form discussed in [2, Example VIII.7] and the asymptotics of the coefficients $[z^n]F(z, x)$ in a neighborhood of $x = 1$ can be found by a version of the saddle point method developed by Wright in [13] and briefly discussed in [2, Example VIII.7 and Note VIII.7]. Then a quasi-power theorem can be applied (see [2, Theorem IX.13] for a specific result applicable to this situation and [2, Section IX.8] for a broader discussion).

Set

$$(19) \quad f(z, x) := \frac{\gamma'x}{\beta} \left((1 - \alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha} - 1 \right) - \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \log(1 - \alpha z).$$

The saddle point $r = r(x)$ is determined by the solution of

$$(20) \quad (zf_z(z, x))_{z=r} = n$$

where the subscripts of f denote its partial derivatives with respect to the indicated variables. In the case at hand, (20) becomes

$$\frac{z}{(1-\alpha z)^{1+\beta/\alpha}} \left(\gamma'x + \gamma(1-\alpha z)^{\beta/\alpha} \right) = n$$

which leads, asymptotically, to

$$(21) \quad 1 - \alpha r(x) \sim \left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\alpha n} \right)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad \text{i. e.} \quad r(x) \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\alpha n} \right)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} \right).$$

From the general theory it follows that the PGF of a random variable X_n encoded by $F(z, x)$ is given asymptotically by

$$p_n(x) = \frac{F(r(x), x)}{F(r(1), 1)} \left(\frac{r(1)}{r(x)} \right)^n (1 + o(1)),$$

where the $o(1)$ error is uniform over a small neighborhood Ω of $x = 1$.

Let us set

$$h_n(x) := f(r(x), x) - n \log r(x),$$

so that

$$p_n(x) = \exp(h_n(x) - h_n(1))(1 + o(1)).$$

Then, by [2, Theorem IX.13] on generalized quasi-powers, the corresponding random variables are asymptotically normal with mean asymptotic to $h'_n(1)$ and the variance asymptotic to $h'_n(1) + h''_n(1)$ provided

$$(22) \quad \frac{h'''_n(x)}{(h'_n(1) + h''_n(1))^{3/2}} \rightarrow 0,$$

uniformly over a suitably small neighborhood Ω of $x = 1$. Let us fixed such Ω . To verify (22) and evaluate the mean and the variance we need the first three derivatives of $h_n(x)$. First, we get

$$h'_n(x) = r'(x) f_z(z, x)_{z=r(x)} + f_x(z, x)_{z=r(x)} - n \frac{r'(x)}{r(x)} = f_x(r(x), x)$$

where the second equality follows from (20) which implies that

$$r'(x) \left(f_z(r(x), x) - \frac{n}{r(x)} \right) = 0.$$

Since $f(z, x)$ is a linear function of x , $f_{xx}(z, x) = 0$. Hence,

$$(23) \quad h''_n(x) = r'(x) f_{zx}(z, x)_{z=r(x)}.$$

Similarly, $f_{xzx}(z, x) = 0$ which yields

$$(24) \quad h'''_n(x) = r''(x) f_{zx}(r(x), x) + (r'(x))^2 f_{zzx}(r(x), x).$$

For the function $f(z, x)$, from (19) we see that

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} f_x(z, x) &= \frac{\gamma'}{\beta} \left((1-\alpha z)^{-\beta/\alpha} - 1 \right) \\ f_{zx}(z, x) &= \gamma' (1-\alpha z)^{-1-\beta/\alpha} \\ f_{zzx}(z, x) &= \gamma' (\alpha + \beta) (1-\alpha z)^{-2-\beta/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, using (21) (and writing $r = r(1)$, $h'_n = h'_n(1)$ etc.) we arrive at

$$(26) \quad \mathbb{E}X_n \sim h'_n \sim \frac{\gamma'}{\beta} \left((1 - \alpha r)^{-\beta/\alpha} - 1 \right) \sim \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}{\beta} (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

For the variance we need to asymptotically evaluate $h''_n = r' f_{zx}(r, 1)$ for which we will need the asymptotics of r' . To this end, observe that implicit differentiation of (20) gives

$$r'(x) f_z(r(x), x) + r(x) \left(r'(x) f_{zz}(z, x)_{z=r(x)} + f_{zx}(z, x)_{z=r(x)} \right) = 0,$$

which further gives,

$$(27) \quad r'(x) = \frac{-r(x) f_{zx}(r(x), x)}{f_z(r(x), x) + r(x) f_{zz}(r(x), x)}.$$

Just as with earlier calculations,

$$(28) \quad f_{zx}(r, 1) \sim \gamma' (1 - \alpha r)^{-1-\beta/\alpha} \sim \gamma' \left(\left(\frac{\gamma'}{\alpha n} \right)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} \right)^{-1-\beta/\alpha} = \alpha n.$$

Further, from (19) we see that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} f_z(z, x) &= \gamma' x (1 - \alpha z)^{-1-\beta/\alpha} \\ f_{zz}(z, x) &= \gamma' x (\alpha + \beta) (1 - \alpha z)^{-2-\beta/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, using (21) again we have

$$(30) \quad f_z(r, 1) \sim \alpha n, \quad f_{zz}(r, 1) \sim \frac{\beta + \alpha}{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}} (\alpha n)^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

Plugging these expressions in (27) and using $r \sim 1/\alpha$ we obtain

$$r' \sim - \frac{n}{\alpha n + (\alpha + \beta) \alpha^{-1} (\gamma')^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} (\alpha n)^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}} \sim - \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}{(\alpha + \beta) (\alpha n)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}.$$

Hence, from (23)

$$h''_n \sim - \frac{\alpha n (\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}{(\alpha + \beta) (\alpha n)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}} \sim - \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}{\alpha + \beta} (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}.$$

Combining this with (26) we conclude that

$$\text{var}(X_n) \sim (\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)} \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \right) = \frac{(\gamma')^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} \alpha (\alpha n)^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}}{\beta(\alpha + \beta)}.$$

It remains to verify (22) i.e. that $h'''_n(x) = o(n^{3\beta/2(\alpha+\beta)})$ uniformly over Ω . From now on all approximations are understood to hold uniformly over $x \in \Omega$. We first note that while the earlier estimates were done for $x = 1$, from the form of the expressions it is clear that they hold uniformly over Ω as well. For example, it follows from (25) and (21) that

$$(31) \quad f_{zzx}(r(x), x) \sim \gamma' (\alpha + \beta) (1 - \alpha r(x))^{-2-\beta/\alpha} \sim \gamma' (\alpha + \beta) \left(\frac{\alpha n}{\gamma' x} \right)^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}$$

and that it holds uniformly over $x \in \Omega$.

In the same fashion, by earlier estimates on r' we find that the second summand in the expression (24) for $h'''_n(x)$ satisfies

$$(32) \quad (r'(x))^2 f_{zzx}(r(x), x) = O(n^{-2\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}) \cdot O(n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}) = O(n^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)})$$

uniformly. It remains to bound $r''(x)f_{zx}(r(x), x)$. We know from (28) that $f_{zx}(r(x), x) = O(n)$ so consider $r''(x)$. From (27) we see that

$$r''(x) = -\frac{r'(x)f_{zx}(r(x), x) + r(x)r'(x)f_{zzx}(r(x), x)}{f_z(r(x), x) + r(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x)} + \frac{r(x)f_{zx}(r(x), x)\frac{d}{dx}(f_z(r(x), x) + r(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x))}{(f_z(r(x), x) + r(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x))^2}.$$

It follows from (31), (30) and the bound on r' that the first term in absolute value is

$$O\left(\frac{n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}(n + n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)})}{n + n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}\right) = O(n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}).$$

As for the second summand in the expression for $r''(x)$, first note that

$$(33) \quad \frac{r(x)f_{zx}(r(x), x)}{(f_z(r(x), x) + r(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x))^2} = O\left(\frac{n}{n^{2+2\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}}\right) = O(n^{-1-2\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}).$$

To estimate the order of the derivative, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dx}\left(f_z(r(x), x) + r(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x)\right) &= 2r'(x)f_{zz}(r(x), x) + f_{zx}(r(x), x) \\ &\quad + r(x)\left(r'(x)f_{zzz}(r(x), x) + f_{zzx}(r(x), x)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The new ingredient is $f_{zzz}(r(x), x)$ for which by (29) and (21) we have

$$f_{zzz}(r(x), x) \sim \gamma'x(\alpha + \beta)(2\alpha + \beta)\left(\left(\frac{\gamma'x}{\alpha n}\right)^{\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}\right)^{-3-\beta/\alpha} = O(n^{1+2\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}).$$

Therefore, the derivative is of order

$$n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} + n + n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}n^{1+2\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} + n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)} = O(n^{1+\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}).$$

Combining with (33) we see that the second summand in $r''(x)$ is of order $n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}$. Since the first was of the same order we conclude that

$$r''(x) = O(n^{-\alpha/(\alpha+\beta)}).$$

Since by (30) $f_z(r(x), x) = O(n)$, in view of (32) we see that each of the two terms comprising $h_n'''(x)$ in (24) is of order $n^{\beta/(\alpha+\beta)}$. This completes verification of condition (22) and concludes the proof. \blacksquare

6. FURTHER REMARKS

When $\alpha' \neq 0$, the first characteristic equation in (7) is no longer separable, but becomes the first order linear differential equation. In principle the underlying PDF can be solved, but usually does not give sufficiently explicit form of the solution (see a comment in the Section 1). Consequently, one would have to resort to treating solutions given in implicit form. However, when $\alpha = \beta = 0$ one still gets an explicit expression for the BGF which can then be analyzed. Namely,

$$F(z, x) = \frac{\exp\left\{\frac{\gamma}{\beta'x}\left(1 - (1 - (\alpha' + \beta')xz)^{\beta'/(\alpha'+\beta')}\right)\right\}}{(1 - (\alpha' + \beta')xz)^{(\beta'+\gamma')/(\alpha'+\beta')}}.$$

When $\gamma = 0$ this is a degenerate random variable as is seen from the expansion of $(1 - u)^{-\xi}$ in the powers of u . Otherwise, the PGF of X_n is

$$(34) \quad \frac{[z^n]F(z, x)}{[z^n]F(z, 1)} = \exp \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{\beta'} \left(\frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) \right\} \frac{[z^n]H(z, x)}{[z^n]H(z, 1)}$$

where we have set

$$H(z, x) := \frac{\exp \left\{ -\frac{\gamma}{\beta'x} (1 - (\alpha' + \beta')xz)^{\beta' / (\alpha' + \beta')} \right\}}{(1 - (\alpha' + \beta')xz)^{(\beta' + \gamma') / (\alpha' + \beta')}}.$$

The first component on the right-hand side of (34) is the probability generating function of a negative of a $\text{Pois}(\gamma/\beta')$. When we expand H as

$$H(z, x) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\beta'x} \right)^k \frac{(1 - (\alpha' + \beta')xz)^{-\frac{(1-k)\beta' + \gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'}}}{k!}.$$

and then use the expansion of $(1 - z)^{-\xi}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [z^n]H(z, x) &= (\alpha' + \beta')^n x^n \sum_{k \geq 0} \left(-\frac{\gamma}{\beta'x} \right)^k \frac{n^{\frac{(1-k)\beta' + \gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'} - 1}}{k! \Gamma(\frac{(1-k)\beta' + \gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'})} (1 + O(n^{-1})) \\ &= (\alpha' + \beta')^n \sum_{k \geq 0} x^{n-k} \frac{(-\gamma/\beta')^k n^{\frac{(1-k)\beta' + \gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'} - 1}}{k! \Gamma(\frac{(1-k)\beta' + \gamma'}{\alpha' + \beta'})} (1 + O(n^{-1})) \end{aligned}$$

where the $O(n^{-1})$ can be replaced by a full expansion in the negative powers of n as given in [2, Theorem VI.1] if needed. It follows that

$$\left| \frac{[z^n]H(z, x)}{[z^n]H(z, 1)} - x^n \right| = O(n^{-\beta' / (\alpha' + \beta')})$$

uniformly over a small neighborhood of $x = 1$. Hence $n - X_n$ is asymptotic to a $\text{Pois}(\gamma/\beta')$ random variable.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Barbero, J. Fernando, J. Salas and E. J. S. Villaseñor. Bivariate generating functions for a class of linear recurrences: general structure. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 125 (2014), 146–165. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/journal-of-combinatorial-theory-series-a/vol/125/suppl/C>
- [2] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic Combinatorics*. Academic Press, 2009.
- [3] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth and O. Patashnik. *Concrete Mathematics*. 2nd ed., Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1994.
- [4] P. Hitczenko. A class of polynomial recurrences resulting in $N(n/\log n, n/\log^2 n)$ asymptotic normality. *La Matematica* 3, 1069–1084 (2024). <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s44007-024-00126-w>
- [5] H. K. Hwang, H. H. Chern and G.-H. Duh. An asymptotic distribution theory for Eulerian recurrences with applications. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 112 (2020) 101960, 125 pp. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/advances-in-applied-mathematics/vol/112/suppl/C>
- [6] N. L. Johnson, A. Kemp and S. Kotz. *Univariate Discrete Distributions*. 3rd ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2005.
- [7] R. S. Maier. Triangular recurrences, generalized Eulerian numbers, and related number triangles. *Adv. Appl. Math.* 146 (2023) 542–560.
- [8] E. Neuwirth. Recursively defined combinatorial functions: extending Galton’s board, *Discrete Math.* 239 (2001), 33–51. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0012365X00003733>

- [9] A. Regev and Y. Roichman. Statistics on wreath products and generalized binomial Stirling numbers. *Israel J. Math.* 151 (2006), 189–221. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/BF02777362>
- [10] J. Salas and A. D. Sokal. The Graham–Knuth–Patashnik recurrence: symmetries and continued fractions, *Electron. J. Comb.* 28 (2021), article id P2.18, 72 pp. <https://doi.org/10.37236/9766>
- [11] M. Z. Spivey. On solutions to a general combinatorial recurrence. *Journal of Integer Sequences* 14 (2011), article id 11.9.7, 19 pp. <https://cs.uwaterloo.ca/journals/JIS/VOL14/Spivey/spivey31.pdf>
- [12] H. S. Wilf. The method of characteristics, and ‘problem 89’ of Graham, Knuth and Patashnik. <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406620>
- [13] E. M. Wright. On the coefficients of the power series having exponential singularities. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 24 (1949), 304–309.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, DREXEL UNIVERSITY, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104, USA
Email address: pawel.hitczenko@drexel.edu