

The diffusion approximation of the Multiclass Processor Sharing queue

Mohamed Ghazali *, Abdelghani Ben Tahar, and Amal Ezzidani

Hassan First University of Settat, Faculty of Sciences and Techniques, Settat, 26000, Morocco

Abstract

This paper considers a multiclass $GI/GI/1$ processor sharing queue with feedback. Upon service completion, jobs may either exit the system or re-enter as a different class according to a probabilistic, Jackson-like routing mechanism. Under heavy-traffic conditions, we establish a diffusion approximation for a measure-valued process tracking the residual service times of jobs.

1 Introduction

Processor sharing is a service discipline used in the context of computer systems and networks to model task execution or the sharing of resources among multiple users or processes. In processor sharing, all active jobs share the processor's resources equally. Consequently, at any given moment, each job receives an equal fraction of the processor's capacity. This discipline is characterized by its fairness and equal treatment of all jobs, making it an idealized case of round-robin scheduling where the time quantum approaches zero. In real-world computer systems, jobs are typically categorized into various classes based on their requirements, necessitating the use of a multiclass queue for accurate modeling. Our Multiclass Processor Sharing (MPS) queue further enhances this model by incorporating scenarios where jobs can feedback and change their classes.

1.1 Literature and contribution

Numerous articles address queueing systems under the processor sharing discipline. We focus on literature considering general interarrival and service time distributions, particularly works establishing fluid and diffusion approximations (fluid approximations being necessary for deriving diffusion approximations). [10] introduced a measure-valued process called the state descriptor, which tracks the residual service times of jobs, encompassing the queue size and total workload. They established fluid limit results for the critically loaded processor sharing queue. [7] used those results in conjunction with [18], to establish the diffusion approximation of the $GI/GI/1/PS$ queue. [19] studied the fluid model of overloaded $GI/GI/1/PS$ queue and gave the asymptotic behaviors (for large time values) of fluid solutions. Other authors used the same framework in analyzing the queueing systems operating under service discipline related to PS. [8, 6] studied, respectively, the diffusion approximation of PS queue with soft deadlines, and the fluid limit of PS queue with impatience. [23] established the diffusion approximation for the limited PS queue.

*m.ghazali@uhp.ac.ma

These prior works are limited to the single-class case and do not extend to a multiclass setting. While extending to the multiclass case under egalitarian processor sharing may not be particularly novel, the key contribution of our work lies in the inclusion of feedback, where jobs may re-enter the system as a different class after departure. This feedback assumption significantly increases the complexity of our analysis. It is not arbitrarily chosen but rather characterizes a class of queueing networks known as multiclass open queueing networks. These networks consist of a finite number of stations (servers), each with infinite buffer capacity. Jobs move sequentially between stations, receiving service at each, before eventually exiting the system. These jobs are categorized into different classes and may change classes as they move between stations or even feedback within the same station. Job transitions between classes are Markovian, governed by a fixed substochastic transition matrix. For a comprehensive overview of open multiclass queueing networks, see [21]. Our model, with its single station and the potential for jobs to feedback within that station, is a specific example of this type of network.

Our work contributes significantly not only to the processor sharing literature but also to the broader study of open multiclass queueing networks. Processor sharing is a non-head-of-the-line (HL) service discipline, contrasting with HL disciplines which employ a first-in-first-out (FIFO) manner within each class. While [20] and [3] provide general sufficient conditions for heavy-traffic limit theorems in open multiclass queueing networks with HL disciplines, deriving such approximations for networks with non-HL disciplines like processor sharing is considerably more challenging. Existing work on non-HL disciplines predominantly focuses on single-class systems or variations thereof. For instance, [15] and [9] established heavy-traffic approximations for GI/GI/1 queues under last-in-first-out (LIFO) and shortest remaining processing time (SRPT) disciplines, respectively. While some studies have examined multiclass networks with multiple stations, they typically do not consider feedback (i.e., no class switching). For example, [14] derived a heavy-traffic approximation for acyclic networks under the earliest deadline first (EDF) discipline, and [17] established a fluid approximation with reneging for random order of service (ROS).

In addition to being, to our knowledge, the only work establishing a diffusion approximation for a multiclass processor sharing queue with feedback, this work is also among the few to establish a diffusion approximation for a single-station multiclass queue with feedback, under general distributions and a non-HL service discipline.

This work paves the way for the analysis of multiple-station networks. A related paper [5] investigates the fluid limit of feedforward networks of multiclass processor sharing queues. Our study of the single-station multiclass processor sharing queue lays the groundwork for establishing diffusion approximations for such networks. A further contribution of this paper relates to a conjecture proposed by [11] concerning queue lengths in a Brownian network model obtained as the heavy-traffic limit of an open multiclass queueing network with processor sharing. We demonstrate that this conjecture holds for the single-station case.

1.2 Methodology and results

Building upon the work of [1], which established a fluid approximation for the MPS queue, we aim to establish its diffusion approximation. We largely adopt the notation and terminology from [1]. First, we model the dynamics of the MPS queue by means of three K -dimensional random processes: A , D and μ taking values respectively in \mathbb{N}^K , \mathbb{N}^K and \mathcal{M}^K , where \mathcal{M} is the space of finite, nonnegative Borel measures on \mathbb{R}^+ endowed with the topology of weak convergence. The components of these vectors correspond, respectively, to the number of arrivals $A_k(t)$ (both from outside and inside the queue) and departures $D_k(t)$ at each class k up to time t , and $\mu_k(t)$ is a measure valued process that keeps track of all *residual service times* of class k . A random vector $E(t)$ represents the number of jobs arriving by time t at each class from outside the network (external arrivals). The evolution of these processes is governed by queueing equations (2.5)–(2.9), which tie together the vectors $A(t)$, $D(t)$ and $\mu(t)$, and $E(t)$.

The feedback in our MPS queue is characterized by the routing matrix P (see Section 2.1). Entry p_{lk} of this matrix represents the probability that a job completing service in class l will immediately re-enter the queue as a class k job. This routing decision is independent of past events, as jobs transition between classes in a Markovian fashion. The routing matrix P is time-homogeneous (its entries do not change over time) and state-independent (the routing probabilities do not depend on the current state of the system, such as queue lengths). Consequently, when a job finishes service, its routing to another class (or its departure from the system) is determined solely by its current class and the probabilities defined by P .

As is common in the literature for heavy traffic approximation, we consider a sequence of MPS queues indexed by r . Denote by $\hat{\mu}^r(t) = \mu^r(r^2t)/r$ the diffusion scaled version of the state descriptor $\mu^r(\cdot)$. The main goal of this paper is to prove Theorem 3.1, which states that under mild conditions, there exists a random process $\mu^*(\cdot)$ taking values in \mathcal{M}^K such that:

$$\hat{\mu}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \mu^*(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu^*(\cdot) = \Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\Delta^\nu : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^K$ is the lifting map (cf. Definition 3.1), and $W^*(\cdot)$ is one dimensional reflected Brownian motion that arises as the limit of the diffusion scaled workload process (cf. Proposition 4.1). As a result, the diffusion scaled queue length process $\hat{Z}^r(\cdot)$ converges to the process $Z^*(\cdot)$ (cf. Corollary 3.1), which verifies the conjecture in [11, (A.60)]. A major step to prove (1.1) is to establish the *State Space Collapse*, that is,

$$\hat{\mu}^r(\cdot) \simeq \Delta^\nu \widehat{W}^r(\cdot) \quad \text{for large } r. \quad (1.2)$$

Similarly to [7, 8] and [23], we use the framework of the shifted fluid scaled process introduced by [3] and [20]. Fix a constant $L > 1$, for each $t \in [0, T]$, there exists $s \in [0, L]$ and $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$ such that

$$\hat{\mu}^r(t) = \bar{\mu}^{r,m}(s), \quad \text{where } \bar{\mu}^{r,m}(s) := \bar{\mu}^r(m + s).$$

To prove (1.2), we must show that for large r , there exists a high-probability set such that:

- (i) The sample paths of the family of shifted fluid-scaled processes $\{\bar{\mu}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$ are tight.
- (ii) These paths are uniformly approximated by fluid model solutions on $[0, L]$.

Furthermore, to establish (1.2), we must demonstrate that the fluid model solutions $\bar{\mu}(t)$ converge uniformly to an invariant state for all initial states as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For a fixed class $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the dynamic equation (2.9), which describes the state descriptor $\mu_k^r(\cdot)$, is similar to Equation (2.6) in [7] but with two key differences:

- (a) In this multiclass setting, the cumulative service function $S^r(\cdot)$ (see (2.14)) incorporates the total queue size $\langle 1, e \cdot \mu^r(\cdot) \rangle$, rather than a class-specific queue size like $\langle 1, \mu_k^r(t) \rangle$. Consequently, our approach to ensuring global queue stability differs significantly from that in [7]. This is crucial because the cumulative service function appears in the dynamic equation of the state descriptor, playing a key role in establishing tightness (point (i)).
- (b) The arrival process $A_k^r(\cdot)$ (cf. Equation (2.5)) replaces the exogenous arrival process $E_k^r(\cdot)$. However, the feedback mechanism introduces uncertainty regarding the renewal nature of $A_k^r(\cdot)$. Even with Markovian routing, the arrival process $A_k^r(\cdot)$ is generally not a renewal process because the departure processes ($D_l^r(\cdot)$) are not renewal. Therefore, it is essential to demonstrate that:

$$\widehat{A}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow A^*(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $A^*(\cdot)$ is a diffusion process taking values in \mathcal{M}^K (cf. Proposition 4.3).

Since job transitions between classes do not affect the total number of jobs (and thus the processing rate of other jobs), the total number of jobs in the system follows a dynamic similar to a $GI/GI/1/PS$ system. In this analogous system, each job's service time represents the sum of their individual service requirements in the multiclass model. Based on this, we construct a measure-valued process $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ (see Section 4.1) which describes the sum of the total residual service times of all jobs present. In particular, the number of jobs present in the system and the workload at time t are determined by

$$\langle 1, \gamma^r(t) \rangle = e \cdot Z^r(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi, \gamma^r(t) \rangle = W^r(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (1.3)$$

Denote by $\hat{\gamma}^r(t) = \gamma^r(r^2t)/r$ the diffusion-scaled version of the state descriptor $\gamma^r(t)$. Proposition 4.2 establishes the convergence of this diffusion-scaled process:

$$\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \gamma^*(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma^*(\cdot) = e(I - P')\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$ is defined in (4.4). Establishing (1.4) addresses the point (a) and ensures stability of the global queue size under diffusion scaling.

The matrix function $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$ appearing in the limit process $\gamma^*(\cdot)$ in (1.4) captures the effective service time distribution across all possible class visits. This highlights the impact of feedback on our analysis, as we need to understand a job's path through the classes. We require information about a job's visits to a specific class k . From the notion of cumulative service times at successive visits to class k , we define a measure-valued process $\mathcal{Q}^r(t) = (\mathcal{Q}_k^r(t), k \in \mathcal{K})$ (Section 4.2)), where, for any Borel set A of non-negative real numbers, $\mathcal{Q}_k^r(t)(A)$ counts the number of remaining visits to class k by jobs that are present in the system at time t and whose cumulative residual service time for visits to class k falls within the set A . In particular, the total mass of the measure $\mathcal{Q}_k^r(t)$ represents the number of visits to class k that remain to be made by jobs still present in the system at time t . Denote by $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) = \mathcal{Q}^r(r^2t)/r$ the diffusion-scaled version of the state descriptor $\mathcal{Q}^r(t)$. Theorem 4.2 establishes the convergence of this diffusion-scaled process:

$$\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^*(\cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{Q}^*(\cdot) = \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot). \quad (1.5)$$

The convergence result in (1.5) plays a key role in proving Proposition 4.3. Specifically, as shown in Equation (4.48), establishing (1.5) contributes to deriving the diffusion limit of the departure process D^r . This, in turn, is essential for establishing the diffusion limit of the arrival process A^r , as seen in Equation (4.54), thus addressing the challenge posed by point (b).

Equations (1.1), (1.4), and (1.5) show how the limiting state descriptors $\mathcal{Q}^*(t)$ and $\gamma^*(t)$ are related to the limiting state descriptor $\mu^*(t)$ through the matrix function $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$. However, we could not find similar relationships for the pre-limit processes $\hat{\mu}^r(\cdot)$, $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$, and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$.

1.3 Organization and roadmap of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the Multiclass Processor Sharing model, including the queue's primitive data and processes and its evolution equations (Sections 2.1 and 2.2), followed by the fluid model (Section 2.3). In Section 3, after scaling the processes and presenting the necessary assumptions, including heavy traffic conditions, we present the main result of this paper (cf. Theorem 3.1). Section 4 outlines the proof of the main theorem. Sections 4.1 and 4.2 focus on the state descriptors $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^r(\cdot)$, respectively, which are essential for the proof in Section 4.3. Section 4.1 establishes two important results: the convergence of the diffusion-scaled workload process (Proposition 4.1) and the convergence of the diffusion-scaled state descriptor $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$. In Section 4.2, the main result is the convergence of the diffusion-scaled process $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$ (Theorem 4.2). This requires the convergence of the workload process $W^r(\cdot)$ (established in Section 4.1) and the state space collapse result for \mathcal{Q}^r (Theorem 4.1). A presents results concerning the uniform convergence to the steady state for the fluid solutions $\bar{\mu}(\cdot)$ and

$\bar{Q}(\cdot)$, necessary for establishing the state space collapse results for the state descriptors $\mu^r(\cdot)$ and $\mathcal{Q}^r(\cdot)$. B is dedicated to the proof of Theorem 4.1, which is lengthy and technical.

Figure 1 presents a roadmap for the paper. It outlines the key steps and dependencies in establishing the main result (Theorem 3.1). This requires two main components: establishing the state space collapse for $\mu^r(\cdot)$ and proving the convergence of the diffusion workload process, $W^r(\cdot)$ (Proposition 4.1). The convergence of $W^r(\cdot)$ is crucial, not only for the convergence of $\hat{\mu}^r(\cdot)$ but also for the convergence of the other diffusion-scaled state descriptors, $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$ (indicated by dotted lines). This highlights its importance as a foundational result, proven in Section 4.1. While the proof itself does not directly depend on $\gamma^r(\cdot)$, it is presented in Section 4.1 because it relies on random variables and measures defined in that section in relation to $\gamma^r(\cdot)$.

Focusing on the state space collapse of $\mu^r(\cdot)$, the convergences of $\hat{A}^r(\cdot)$ and $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$ provide the first two steps (detailed in Section 4.3, specifically the "Proof of Theorem 3.1" paragraph following Proposition 4.3). The third step is proven independently in A. The proof of convergence for $\hat{A}^r(\cdot)$ in Proposition 4.3 necessitates proving the convergence of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$ due to the involvement of $\mathcal{Q}^r(\cdot)$ (Equation (4.48)). The convergence of $\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$ itself relies on the convergence of $W^r(\cdot)$ (dotted line) and the state space collapse of $\mathcal{Q}^r(\cdot)$ (Theorem 4.1). The methodology for proving Theorem 4.1 necessitates establishing the exact same three steps as those mentioned for $\mu^r(\cdot)$. The convergence of $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$ is essential for the precompactness of both $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ (B) and $\bar{\mu}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ (details omitted but referenced in the "Proof of Theorem 3.1" paragraph). Finally, the figure illustrates that demonstrating the uniform convergence of the fluid solution $\bar{Q}(\cdot)$ leads to the uniform convergence of the fluid solution $\bar{\mu}(\cdot)$.

1.4 Notations

In this paper, we will use the following notations. We label classes by $k = 1, \dots, K$, we use \mathcal{K} to denote the set of all classes. Let \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R} denote the set of natural numbers and real numbers respectively. Let \mathbb{R}_+ denote the non-negative real numbers, and let $\mathbb{N}^*, \mathbb{R}_+^*$ denote the positive natural numbers and real numbers respectively. Let \mathbb{R}_+^K denote the K -dimensional Euclidean space, and \mathbb{N}^K the K -ary Cartesian power of \mathbb{N} . For $a \in \mathbb{R}$, write a^+ for the positive part of a and let $[a]$ be the integer part of a and $\lceil a \rceil$ the smallest following integer. For $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^K$, let $a \vee b$ denote the componentwise maximum of a and b . Denote the indicator of Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ by 1_B and let $B^\varepsilon = \{y \in \mathbb{R} : \inf_{x \in B} |x - y| < \varepsilon\}$ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $I(x)$ denotes the interval $[x, \infty)$. Vectors will be normally arranged as a column. As an exception, the vector e stands for a row vector of ones. Inequalities between vectors in \mathbb{R}^K should be interpreted componentwise. The transpose of a vector or matrix is denoted by a prime. The $K \times K$ diagonal matrix whose entries are given by the components of x will be denoted by $\text{diag}\{x\}$. For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^K$ and a $K \times K$ matrix A , we define the following norms respectively

$$|x| = \max_{k=1}^K |x_k|, \quad |A| = \max_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K |A_{kj}|.$$

For a function $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \mapsto \mathbb{R}^K$, let $\|g\|_T = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |g(t)|$ for each $T \geq 0$. The following real-valued functions will be used repeatedly: $\chi(x) = x$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and φ :

$$\varphi(x) = 1/x \quad \text{for } x \in (0, \infty), \quad \text{and } \varphi(0) = 0. \quad (1.6)$$

The set of finite, nonnegative Borel measures on \mathbb{R}_+ is denoted by \mathcal{M} , and the K -ary Cartesian power of \mathcal{M} is denoted by \mathcal{M}^K . For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ and a Borel measurable function g which is integrable with respect to μ , we write $\langle g, \mu \rangle = \int g d\mu$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}^K$ and g is integrable with respect to μ_k for all $k \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, we write $\langle g, \mu \rangle = (\langle g, \mu_1 \rangle, \langle g, \mu_2 \rangle, \dots, \langle g, \mu_K \rangle)$. If




Figure 1: Roadmap of the paper.

$g = 1_A$ where A is a measurable set, then we simply write $\mu(A)$. Let $\langle g(\cdot - a), \nu \rangle$ denotes $\int_{[a, \infty)} g(x - a) \nu(dx)$ for all $a > 0$.

The space \mathcal{M} is endowed with the weak topology, where a sequence $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{M}$ converges weakly to $\mu \in \mathcal{M}$ if and only if $\langle g, \mu_n \rangle \rightarrow \langle g, \mu \rangle$ for all $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that are bounded and continuous. In this topology, \mathcal{M} is a Polish space. Denote the weak convergence of μ_n to μ by $\mu_n \xrightarrow{w} \mu$. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{M}$, we define on \mathcal{M} the metric ρ by

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \xi(B) \leq \eta(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \text{ and } \eta(B) \leq \xi(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \text{ for all closed sets } B \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \}.$$

This metric that induces the topology of weak convergence on \mathcal{M} , is complete. The sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{M}^K$ converges weakly to $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^K$ if $(\xi_n)_k \xrightarrow{w} \xi_k$ for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case, we denote the weak convergence of ξ_n to ξ by $\xi_n \xrightarrow{w} \xi$. For $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{M}^K$, we define the metric \mathbf{d} on \mathcal{M}^K

$$\mathbf{d}(\xi, \eta) = \max_{k \in [1, K]} \rho(\xi_k, \eta_k).$$

The measure δ_x^+ denotes the element of \mathcal{M} with mass one at $x > 0$. The symbol $\mathbf{0}$ denotes the zero measure of \mathcal{M}^K , the dimension K being always clear from the context. Let $\mathcal{M}^{c, K} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{M}^K : \xi_k(\{x\}) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ and } k \in \mathcal{K} \}$ be the set of vectors of finite, non-negative Borel measures on \mathbb{R}_+ that have no atoms, and let $\mathcal{M}^{c, p, K} = \{ \xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c, K} : \xi \neq \mathbf{0} \}$ be the set of positive measures of $\mathcal{M}^{c, K}$.

All stochastic processes are assumed to be right continuous with finite left limits. Let S a general metric space and let $L > 0$, we denote by $\mathbf{D}([0, L], S)$ [resp. $\mathbf{D}([0, \infty), S)$] the space of all right continuous S -valued functions with finite left limits defined on the interval $[0, L]$ (resp. $[0, \infty)$). This space is endowed with the Skorohod $J1$ -topology [4].

We will use \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{E} to denote the probability measure and expectation operator with whatever space the relevant random element is defined on, and \Rightarrow to denote convergence in distribution of a sequence of random elements of a metric space.

Let f be a locally bounded Borel measurable function and g a right continuous function that is locally bounded. The convolution of f and g is defined by $(f * g)(x) = \int_0^x f(x - y) dg(y)$. For two matrices of measurable functions $F(\cdot)$ and $G(\cdot)$ defined on \mathbb{R}_+ , we denote by the matrix-valued functions $(F * G)(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the matrix convolution formed of the elements: $(F * G)_{ij}(x) = \sum_k (F_{ik} * G_{kj})(x)$. This operation is associative and distributive over matrix addition. The multiplication by a constant matrix C can be seen as a convolution, where each element C_{ij} is interpreted as the function $C_{ij} 1_{x \geq 0}$. Associativity therefore holds for mixed scalar products and convolutions. The n^{th} convolution power of a matrix $F(x)$ is denoted with $F^{*n}(x)$. For a continuously differentiable function g , we write $\dot{g}(x) = \frac{d}{dx} g(x)$.

2 The Multiclass Queuing Model

2.1 Primitives data and initials conditions

For each class $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we assume that there are two sequences of random variables, $u_k = \{u_k(i), i \geq 1\}$ and $v_k = \{v_k(i), i \geq 1\}$ and a sequence of K -dimensional random vectors $\varphi^k = \{\varphi^k(i), i \geq 1\}$, such that $\{u_k(i), i \geq 2\}$, v_k and φ^k are i.i.d. $u_k(1)$ is assumed to be independent of $\{u_k(i), i \geq 2\}$ and to be strictly positive with finite mean. Each element of $\{u_k(i), i \geq 2\}$, v_k and φ^k takes values respectively in \mathbb{R}_+ , \mathbb{R}_+^* and $\{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_K\}$, where e_0 is the K -dimensional vector of all components 0, and e_k is the K -dimensional vector with k^{th} component being 1 and other components being 0. These sequences have the following interpretation: $u_k(1)$ is the time of the first externally arriving job at class k , and for each $i \geq 2$, $u_k(i)$ is the interarrival time

between the $(i-1)^{th}$ and the i^{th} externally arriving job at class k . For each $i \geq 1$, $v_k(i)$ is the service times for the i^{th} class k job, and $\varphi^k(i)$ represent the routing matrix, where $\varphi^k(i) = e_l$ with $l = 1, \dots, K$ means that the i^{th} job of class k which completes service, returns to the queue as class l job, and $\varphi^k(i) = e_0$ means the job leaves the queue. We assume that the sequences

$$u_1, \dots, u_K, v_1, \dots, v_K, \varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^K$$

are mutually independent. They constitute the *primitive data* of the queue.

From this data the following parameters are derived. The real valued vectors $\alpha = (\alpha_k, k \in \mathcal{K})$ and $a = (a_k, k \in \mathcal{K})$ are defined as $\alpha_k = [\mathbb{E}(u_k(2))]^{-1}$ and $a_k = \text{var}(u_k(2))$ for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$. Denote by

$$\Pi = \text{diag}\{\alpha_k^3 a_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\} \quad (2.1)$$

We allow that $\alpha_k = 0$ for some k and we set $\mathcal{A} = \{k : \alpha_k \neq 0\}$. The vector $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_K)$ is formed of ν_k , the Borel probability measure of v_k , with mean $\beta_k = \langle \chi, \nu_k \rangle > 0$ and variance $b_k = \langle \chi^2, \nu_k \rangle - \beta_k^2 < \infty$. Denote by

$$\Sigma = \text{diag}\{b_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\} \quad (2.2)$$

It is assumed that for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, the distribution ν_k does not charge the origin, i.e $\nu_k(\{0\}) = 0$. Let $p_{kl} = \mathbb{P}(\varphi^k(1) = e_l)$ be the probability of departing class k and becoming class l . Our networks are assumed to be open, that is the routing matrix P satisfies

$$Q := I + P' + (P')^2 + \dots$$

is finite, which is equivalent to requiring that $(I - P')$ be invertible, or that P has a spectral radius less than 1. In that case, $Q = (I - P')^{-1}$. Note that for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\mathbb{E}(\varphi^k(i)) = P^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cov}(\varphi^k(i)) = H^k, \quad (2.3)$$

where P^k is the k^{th} column of the matrix P and H^k is $K \times K$ -matrix defined as

$$H_{lm}^k = \begin{cases} p_{kl}(1 - p_{kl}) & \text{if } l = m \\ -p_{kl}p_{km} & \text{if } l \neq m. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we denote

$$E_k(t) = \sup \left\{ n : \sum_{i=1}^n u_k(i) \leq t \right\}, \quad \Phi_k^l(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_k^l(i),$$

where $E_k(t)$ is the number of exogenous arrivals to class k by time t , and $\Phi_k^l(n)$ is the number of jobs that move from class l to class k , among the n first jobs of class l . We denote

$$E(t) = (E_1(t), \dots, E_K(t)), \quad \Phi(n) = (\Phi_k^l(n) : l, k \in \mathcal{K}).$$

The processes $E = (E(t), t \geq 0)$ and $\Phi = (\Phi(n), n \geq 0)$ are the *primitive processes* of our queueing systems.

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we assume that there exists an integer random variable with finite mean $Z_k(0)$ and an i.i.d. sequence of strictly positive random variables $v_k^0 = \{v_k^0(i), i \geq 1\}$ with a common Borel probability measure ν_k^0 , such that

$$v_1^0, \dots, v_K^0, v_1, \dots, v_K, \varphi^1, \dots, \varphi^K, Z_1(0), \dots, Z_K(0)$$

are mutually independent. Any job belonging class k at time zero in the system is referred to as an ‘‘initial job in class k ’’. Then let $Z_K(0)$ be the number of initial job in class k and $v_k^0(i)$ be the service times requirement of the i^{th} initial job at class k .

2.2 Queueing equations

Given the primitive data and the primitives processes defined in the previous section. Let

$$A(t) = (A_1(t), \dots, A_K(t)), \quad D(t) = (D_1(t), \dots, D_K(t)), \quad Z(t) = (Z_1(t), \dots, Z_K(t))$$

be a random processes such that $A_k(t)$, $D_k(t)$ and $Z_k(t)$ are respectively, the total number of arrivals by time t at, the number of departures by time t from, and the number of jobs present at time t in, class k . Jointly, those processes satisfy the following queueing equations:

$$A_k(t) = E_k(t) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi_k^l(D_l(t)) \quad (2.5)$$

$$D_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_k(0)} 1_{\{v_k^0(i) \leq S(t)\}} + \sum_{i=1}^{A_k(t)} 1_{\{v_k(i) \leq S(\sigma_k(i), t)\}} \quad (2.6)$$

$$Z(t) = Z(0) + A(t) - D(t) \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(e.Z(s)) ds, \quad \text{and } S(s, t) := S(t) - S(s). \quad (2.8)$$

Here, for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$, $A_k(0) = 0$, $\sigma_k(i)$ is the arrival epoch of the i^{th} job to arrive at class k , and $\varphi(\cdot)$ is defined in (1.6). The function $S(t)$ is known as the cumulative service. It represents the amount of service received by one particular job in the interval $[0, t]$. Since the Processor Sharing gives the same amount of service to all present jobs, this quantity is the same for all jobs present in the interval. Hence, $S(s, t) := S(t) - S(s)$ is the amount of service received in the interval $[s, t]$. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, define the measure-valued function of time $\mu_k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mu_k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{Z_k(0)} \delta_{(v_k^0(j) - S(t))^+} + \sum_{i=1}^{A_k(t)} \delta_{(v_k(i) - (S(\sigma_k(i), t)))^+}. \quad (2.9)$$

At each time t , $(v_k^0(j) - S(t))^+$ and $(v_k(i) - (S(\sigma_k(i), t)))^+$ are the residual service times within class k of, respectively j^{th} initial job, and i^{th} job. Recall that δ_x^+ is the Borel measure on \mathbb{R}_+ with mass one at $x > 0$, and that the random measure $\mu_k(t)$ takes values in the space \mathcal{M} of finite, positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}_+ . $\mu_k(\cdot)$ is measure-valued stochastic process with simple path in the polish space $\mathbf{D}([0, \infty), \mathcal{M})$. In [10], this process is referred to as the state descriptor. The number of jobs of class k at time t is given by

$$Z_k(t) = \langle 1, \mu_k(t) \rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

Let $W(t)$ be the workload at time t , which is the total amount of residual service times of all jobs in the system at the time t , plus the sum of their remaining service times when they re-enter the system until their final departure. The quantity $\langle \chi, e.\mu(t) \rangle$ represents the total amount of residual service times without taking into consideration the future residual service times. In our case, the workload at the time t equals $\langle \chi, \gamma(t) \rangle$, where the process $\gamma(\cdot)$ is defined in Section 4.1.

2.3 Fluid model and fluid solution

Let (α, ν, P) be the parameters associated with primitive data, we will refer to it simply as data. We define the vector $\lambda = Q\alpha$, where λ_k represents the global arrival rate to the class k . We also define the load factor of the queue by $\rho = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \beta_k = eM\lambda$, where $M = \text{diag}\{\beta_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$.

In this paper, we assume that $\rho = 1$, which means the data (α, ν, P) is *critical* [1, see Section 2.3]. The following definition is an adaptation of [1, Definition 2.1] to our case. By

equations (2.12) and (4.20) in [1], the time range $t_\rho = +\infty$ if $\xi \neq 0$, and zero otherwise. Note $\mathcal{V} = \text{diag}\{\nu_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$.

Definition 2.1 (Fluid Solution Model). *Let (α, ν, P) be some data and $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$ be an initial state. A fluid solution is a triple $(\bar{A}(t), \bar{D}(t), \bar{\mu}(t))$ of two real-, and one measure-valued vectors of continuous functions: $\bar{A}, \bar{D} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+^K$, and $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_K) : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^K$ such that $\bar{\mu}(0) = \xi$, and*

i) \bar{A} and \bar{D} are increasing componentwise,

ii) If $\xi \neq 0$. The triple satisfies the relations

$$\bar{A}(t) = \alpha t + P' \bar{D}(t) \quad (2.11)$$

$$\langle 1, \bar{\mu}(t) \rangle = \langle 1, \xi \rangle + \bar{A}(t) - \bar{D}(t) \quad (2.12)$$

$$\bar{\mu}(t)(I(x)) = \xi(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) + \int_0^t \mathcal{V}(I(x + \bar{S}(s, t))) d\bar{A}(s), \quad (2.13)$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, and $t \geq 0$, where

$$\bar{S}(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(\langle 1, e \cdot \bar{\mu}(s) \rangle) ds, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{S}(s, t) := \bar{S}(t) - \bar{S}(s). \quad (2.14)$$

iii) If $\xi = 0$, the triple satisfies

$$\bar{A}(t) = \bar{D}(t) = \lambda t \quad \bar{\mu}(t) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (2.15)$$

According to [1, Theorem 3.1], for each measure $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$, there exists a unique fluid solution $(\bar{A}(\cdot), \bar{D}(\cdot), \bar{\mu}(\cdot))$ of the model above such that $\bar{\mu}(0) = \xi$. Moreover, the fluid analogue of the workload process satisfies

$$\bar{W}(t) = \bar{W}(0) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

where $\bar{W}(t) := \langle \chi, e \cdot \bar{\mu}(t) \rangle + eMPQ' \bar{Z}(t)$. Therefore, for all $t \geq 0$

$$\bar{W}(t) = e(M^0 + MP'Q) \bar{Z}(0) \quad \text{with} \quad M^0 := \text{diag}\{\langle \chi, \nu_k(0) \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}.$$

3 Heavy traffic Results

Consider a sequence of multiclass processor sharing queues indexed by numbers $r \in (0, \infty)$. Assume that this model is defined on probability space $(\Omega^r, \mathcal{F}^r, \mathbb{P}^r)$, and to have the same basic structure as described in Section 2.1. Furthermore, the number of classes K and the set $\mathcal{A} = \{k : \alpha_k^r \neq 0\}$ remain fixed for all r . The primitive increments are denoted by $u_k^r = \{u_k^r(i), i \geq 1\}$, $v_k^r = \{v_k^r(i), i \geq 1\}$ and $\varphi^{r,k} = \{\varphi_k^r(i), i \geq 1\}$, for all $k \in \mathcal{K}$. The data of the r th queue is (α^r, ν^r, P^r) . The aim of this work is to establish the limit under the diffusion scaling of $(\mu^r, r > 0)$, which is defined by

$$\hat{\mu}^r(t) = \frac{1}{r} \mu^r(r^2 t).$$

3.1 Scaling

The diffusion scaled versions of the queue length is $\widehat{Z}^r(t) = Z^r(r^2t)/r$. We denote the following diffusion scaled processes:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{A}^r(t) &= \frac{A^r(r^2t) - \lambda^r r^2t}{r}, & \widehat{D}^r(t) &= \frac{D^r(r^2t) - \lambda^r r^2t}{r}, \\ \widehat{E}^r(t) &= \frac{E^r(r^2t) - \alpha^r r^2t}{r}, & \widehat{\Phi}^{k,r}(t) &= \frac{\Phi^{k,r}(\lceil r^2t \rceil) - P^{k,r} \lceil r^2t \rceil}{r}.\end{aligned}$$

For a given sequence of processes $(X^r, r > 0)$, the fluid scaled processes are defined by $\bar{X}^r(t) = X^r(rt)/r$.

3.2 Heavy traffic conditions

To establish results on the convergence of the above sequence of stochastic processes, we need the following conditions, which are quite general and standard. Assume that there are $\theta > 0$, a nonnegative vectors $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_K)$, $a = (a_1, \dots, a_K)$, and a nonnegative matrix $P = (p_{kl})_{k,l \in \mathcal{K}}$ with $\rho(P) < 1$ such that for $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and as $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}(u_k^r(1))/r \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.1)$$

$$\mathbb{E}(u_k^r(2); u_k^r(2) > r) \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}^r((u_k^r(2))^{2+\theta}) < \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\alpha^r, a^r) \rightarrow (\alpha, a). \quad (3.4)$$

$$P^r \rightarrow P. \quad (3.5)$$

Let ν be a vector and of probability measure does not charge the origin and let $(\nu^r, r > 0)$ be sequence of distribution of service times defined in section 2.1. We assume that

$$\langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \nu \rangle < \infty, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\nu^r \xrightarrow{w} \nu \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

$$(\beta^r, b^r) \rightarrow (\beta, b) \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \nu^r \rangle < \infty, \quad (3.9)$$

Let $\lambda^r = Q^r \alpha^r$, $M^r = \text{diag}\{\beta_k^r, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$. The assumptions (3.4),(3.5) and (3.8) imply that $\lambda^r \rightarrow \lambda$ and $M^r \rightarrow M$. Define the the traffic intensity of the r^{th} system by $\rho^r = eM^r \lambda^r$. Assume the following heavy traffic condition:

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r(1 - \rho^r) = \sigma \text{ for some } \sigma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.10)$$

Let Π , Σ and $(H^k, k \in \mathcal{K})$ be the matrices defined respectively in (2.1)-(2.2) and (2.4). The conditions (3.3)-(3.4) imply the Lindeberg conditions, which are essential to prove the functional central limit theorem for the triangular arrays $(u_i^r, i \geq 1, r > 0)$ [?, cf.]Theorem 7.3]billingsley. Thus

$$\left(\widehat{E}^r, \widehat{\Phi}^{k,r} \right) \Longrightarrow \left(E^*, \Phi^{*,k} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where E^* , $\Phi^{*,1}, \dots, \Phi^{*,K}$ are $K + 1$ independent driftless K -dimensional Brownian Motions with respectively covariance matrix Π, H^1, \dots, H^K and initial state 0. This implies the following functional weak law of large numbers:

$$\left(\bar{E}^r, \bar{\Phi}^{k,r} \right) \Longrightarrow \left(\alpha(\cdot), P^k(\cdot) \right), \quad (3.12)$$

where $\alpha(t) = \alpha t$ and $P^k(t) = P^k t$ for all $t \geq 0$. We also make assumptions regarding the initial state. For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, let $v_k^{0,r} = (v_k^{0,r}(i), i \geq 1)$ be an i.i.d sequence of service times of jobs who are initially in the system with distribution $\nu_k^{0,r}$, and let $\bar{Z}_k^r(0)$ denote the initial number of jobs. Assume that

$$v_1^{0,r}, \dots, v_K^{0,r}, v_1^r, \dots, v_K^r, \bar{Z}_1^r(0), \dots, \bar{Z}_K^r(0)$$

are mutually independent and $\langle \chi, \nu^{0,r} \rangle$. Moreover, assume that there exists a vector $\bar{Z}(0) = (\bar{Z}_1(0), \dots, \bar{Z}_K(0)) \in \mathbb{R}_+^K$ and a measure-valued vector $\nu^0 = (\nu_1^0, \dots, \nu_K^0) \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$ such that,

$$\bar{Z}^r(0) \Rightarrow \bar{Z}(0), \quad (3.13)$$

$$\nu^{0,r} \xrightarrow{w} \nu^0, \quad (3.14)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \nu^{0,r} \rangle < \infty, \quad (3.15)$$

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we define the excess lifetime distribution ν_k^e associated with ν_k by $\langle \nu_k^e, 1_{[0,x]} \rangle = \beta_k^{-1} \int_0^x \langle \nu_k, 1_{[y,\infty)} \rangle dy$. Let $\nu^e = (\nu_1^e, \dots, \nu_K^e)$ and $\Lambda = \text{diag}\{\lambda_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$. The invariant manifold associated with ν is defined by $\mathcal{M}^\nu = \{cM\Lambda\nu^e : c \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$. Denote by $\xi = \nu^0 \bar{Z}(0)$, where $\nu^0 = \text{diag}\{\nu_k^0, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ and assume that

$$\xi \in \mathcal{M}^\nu. \quad (3.16)$$

3.3 Main Result

In this section, we present our main result Theorem 3.1. For this we need the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $\Delta^\nu : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^K$ be the lifting map associated with η given by

$$\Delta^\nu w = \frac{w}{e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda} M\Lambda\nu^e, \quad (3.17)$$

where $M^{(2)} = \text{diag}\{\langle \chi^2, \nu_k \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$.

Theorem 3.1. Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Then the sequence of the diffusion scaled state descriptor $\tilde{\mu}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to $\Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot)$, where W^* is the reflected Brownian motion with initial value $W^*(0) := e(M^0 + MP'Q)\bar{Z}(0)$ where $M^0 = \text{diag}\{\langle \chi, \nu_k^0 \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$, drift $-\sigma$ and variance Γ given by

$$\Gamma = e \left(\Lambda \Sigma + MQ \left(\Pi + \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k H^k \right) Q' M \right) e'. \quad (3.18)$$

The reflected Brownian motion W^* arises as heavy traffic approximation of the workload process defined in section 4.1.

Corollary 3.1. Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Then the sequence of the diffusion scaled length process $\hat{Z}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to $Z^*(\cdot) = \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(\cdot)$, where $\langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle = (e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda)^{-1} M\lambda$.

[11] have conjectured that for a multiclass queue operating under the processor sharing discipline, the limiting queue length process for each job class is a constant multiple of the reflected Brownian motion that represents the limiting workload process for the queue (see (A.58), (A.60), and (A.61) in [11]). In the single-station multiclass case with probabilistic feedback, their conjecture for the diffusion queue length limit can be expressed as follows:

$$Z^*(t) \simeq \Delta_F W_F(t), \quad \text{with } \Delta_F = \frac{2M\lambda}{e.M^{(2)}\lambda},$$

where $W_F(\cdot)$ is a reflected Brownian motion with drift $-R\theta$ and covariance matrix $R^2\Gamma$. Here,

$$R = \frac{eM^{(2)}\lambda}{2e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MQP'M)\lambda},$$

and $W_F(\cdot)$ arises as the diffusion limit of the workload scaled process defined in [11, (A.56)]. We can clearly see from Corollary 3.1 that this conjecture holds in our case. Specifically, in our case, the multiplicative constant is $\langle 1, \Delta^v \rangle = R\Delta_F$, and the workload process limit is the reflected Brownian motion $W^*(\cdot)$, which coincides with the process $W_F(\cdot)/R$.

4 Proof of the main result

4.1 Mapping to the single class queue

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate the convergence of the diffusion process $\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$ (cf. Proposition 4.2). To achieve this, we use the fact that the process $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ behaves like a single class, and we leverage results from the literature concerning processor-sharing single-class server [7]. To establish Proposition 4.2, two key tasks must be addressed: firstly, ensuring that the process $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ meets the conditions outlined in [7], which requires demonstrating Lemma 4.2. Secondly, proving the convergence of the diffusion workload $\hat{W}^r(\cdot)$ process, as indicated in Proposition 4.1.

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we define the sequences $\{V_k^r(i); i \geq 1\}$, where $V_k^r(i)$ represents the total service time required by the i th exogenous job of class k until their departure from the server. Similarly, we define $\{V_k^{0,r}(i); i \geq 1\}$ as the sequence of total service times for initial jobs of class k . We then introduce the measure-valued process γ^r as follows:

$$\gamma^r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{Z_k^r(0)} \delta^+_{(V_k^{r,0}(i) - S^r(t))^+} + \sum_{i=1}^{E_k^r(t)} \delta^+_{(V_k^r(i) - S(U_k^r(i), t))^+} \right). \quad (4.1)$$

Here, $U_k^r(i)$ denotes the arrival time of the i th exogenous class- k job. The term $(V_k^r(i) - S^r(U_k^r(i), t))^+$ represents the residual service time of the i th exogenous class- k job. This quantity captures the remaining service required for this job across all potential future class visits before its eventual departure from the system. Similarly, $(V_k^{r,0}(i) - S^r(t))^+$ reflects this for initial jobs of class k . The measure $\gamma^r(t)$ represents the total residual service time, including service required due to future feedback (i.e., until final departure), for all jobs present at time t . This includes both exogenous arrivals and jobs initially present in the system.

A job is considered present at time t if and only if its residual service time is positive. In particular, the number of jobs present in the system at time t is determined by

$$\langle 1, \gamma^r(t) \rangle = e \cdot Z^r(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

This implies that equations (2.9) and (4.1) are coupled by (4.2). Let $W^r(t)$ denote the workload at time t , which is the total amount of residual service times of all jobs in the system at the time t , plus the sum of their remaining service times when they re-enter the system until their final departure. This satisfies

$$W^r(t) = \langle \chi, \gamma^r(t) \rangle \quad \text{for } t \geq 0. \quad (4.3)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{B}(t) = (\mathcal{B}_{kl}(t))_{0 \leq k, l \leq K}$ the matrix function defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (BP')^{*n}(t), \quad (4.4)$$

where $B(t) = \text{diag}\{B_k(t), k \in \mathcal{K}\}$, and $B_k(t)$ is the distribution function of ν_k . $\mathcal{B}(\cdot)$ aggregates the total service time a job spends in the system, accounting for all possible paths via the feedback. Each term corresponds to the contribution from jobs who have undergone exactly n transitions between classes.

We recall the following notations which will be used repeatedly in this paper: \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^0 are diagonal matrices with elements ν_k and ν_k^0 , respectively. Similarly, $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0$ denote matrices with elements $\mathcal{B}_{kl} * \nu_l$ and $\mathcal{B}_{kl} * \nu_l^0$ for $k, l \in \mathcal{K}$. The same notation is used when the parameters are indexed by superscript r .

The following lemma is very useful, as the measures $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0$, along with their respective counterparts indexed by r , are frequently used throughout the paper.

Lemma 4.1. *Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying assumptions (3.5), (3.7) and (3.14). Then, we have*

$$\langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle = Q \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle = Q \quad (4.5)$$

$$\langle \chi, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle = QMQ \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle = Q(M^0 + MP'Q) \quad (4.6)$$

$$\langle \chi^2, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle = Q(M^2 + 2MQP'M)Q \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}. \quad (4.8)$$

If there exists $\theta > 0$ such that either $\langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty$, then

$$\langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty. \quad (4.9)$$

Furthermore, if $\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{V}^r \rangle < \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \rangle < \infty. \quad (4.10)$$

The results in (4.8)-(4.10) remain valid when substituting \mathcal{V} and \mathcal{V}^r with \mathcal{V}^0 and $\mathcal{V}^{0,r}$.

Proof. From the identity $\mathcal{B} = I + BP' * \mathcal{B}$, the definition of product convolution and Newton's binomial we have for all $p \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi^p, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle &= \langle \chi^p, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \langle \chi^p, BP' * (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi^p, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (x+y)^p \mathcal{V}(dx) P' \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(dy) \\ &= \langle \chi^p, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^p C_p^k \langle \chi^{p-k}, \mathcal{V} \rangle P' \langle \chi^k, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Similarly, we get

$$\langle \chi^p, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle = \langle \chi^p, \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^p C_p^k \langle \chi^{p-k}, \mathcal{V} \rangle P' \langle \chi^k, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle. \quad (4.12)$$

Rewriting (4.11) and (4.12) for $p = 0$, $p = 1$ and $p = 2$ and using the fact that $\langle 1, \mathcal{V} \rangle = I$, $\langle 1, \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle = I$ and $Q = (I - P')^{-1}$ we have respectively (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7).

Let \widehat{B} and $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}$ represent the Laplace transform functions of the distribution associated with ν and the matrix function \mathcal{B} , respectively. The distribution function of $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B} * B$, with Laplace transform

$$\widehat{\mathcal{B} * B}(t) = \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(t) \cdot \widehat{B}(t) = \left(I - \widehat{B}(t) P' \right)^{-1} \widehat{B}(t)$$

We use the same notation when the functions are indexed by r . By assumption, we have $\widehat{B}^r(t) \rightarrow \widehat{B}(t)$ and, through a simple argument, we verify that $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^r(t) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}}(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore, $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}^r * \widehat{B}^r(t) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{B}} * \widehat{B}(t)$. This implies (4.8)

Let $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, by the same argument as to obtain (4.11) and using the inequality $(x+y)^{1/q} \leq x^{1/q} + y^{1/q}$ for $x, y \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle &= \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \langle \chi^{p/q}, P' * (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}) \rangle \\
&= \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left((x+y)^{1/q} \right)^p \mathcal{V}(dx) P' \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(dy) \\
&\leq \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (x^{1/q} + y^{1/q})^p \mathcal{V}(dx) P' \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(dy) \\
&\leq \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^p C_p^k \langle (\chi^{1/q})^{p-k}, \mathcal{V} \rangle P' \langle (\chi^{1/q})^k, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle \\
&\leq \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + P' \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle + \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} C_p^k \langle \chi^{(p-k)/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle P' \langle \chi^{k/q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $Q = (I - P')^{-1}$ exists, we have

$$\langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle \leq Q \langle \chi^{p/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle + Q \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} C_p^k \langle \chi^{(p-k)/q}, \mathcal{V} \rangle P' \langle \chi^{k/q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle. \quad (4.13)$$

Thus, by induction on p , we establish (4.9) for a rational number. Let θ be a strictly positive real number. Due to the density of \mathbb{Q} in \mathbb{R} , there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $(\theta_n, n \geq 0)$ of rational numbers such that $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that $\langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty$ and prove that (4.9) holds. Considering the increasing sequence of function $\chi^{\theta_n} 1_{[0,1]}$. By the Convergence Monotone Theorem, we have

$$\langle \chi^{\theta_n} 1_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \nearrow \langle \chi^\theta 1_{[0,1]}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly, for the decreasing sequence of functions $\chi^{\theta_n} 1_{(1,\infty)}$, again by the Monotone Convergence Theorem, we have

$$\langle \chi^{\theta_n} 1_{(1,\infty)}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \searrow \langle \chi^\theta 1_{(1,\infty)}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$\langle \chi^{\theta_n}, \mathcal{V} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{V} \rangle \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, we have $\langle \chi^{\theta_n}, \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty$, implying $\langle \chi^{\theta_n}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Therefore, as we let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\langle \chi^\theta, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V} \rangle < \infty.$$

The proof of (4.10) follows by employing a similar argument to that used in proving (4.9). \square

In the following lemma, we present the conditions under which the diffusion approximation of $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ holds.

Lemma 4.2. *For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $r > 0$, both sequences $\{V_k^r(i); i \geq 1\}$ and $\{V_k^{0,r}(i); i \geq 1\}$ are i.i.d with distribution respectively*

$$\zeta_k^r = (e(I - P^{l,r})(\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r))_k \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_k^{0,r} = (e(I - P^{l,r})(\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r}))_k,$$

Moreover, for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ we denote by $\zeta_k = (e(I - P^l)(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}))_k$ and $\bar{\gamma}(0) = \zeta^0 \cdot \bar{Z}(0)$. If conditions (3.5)-(3.9) and (3.13)-(3.16) hold. Then we have

$$\langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \zeta_k \rangle < \infty \quad (4.14)$$

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \zeta_k^r \rangle < \infty \quad (4.15)$$

$$\zeta_k^r \xrightarrow{w} \zeta_k \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.16)$$

$$\langle \chi, \zeta_k^r \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle \quad (4.17)$$

$$\langle \chi^2, \zeta_k^r \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi^2, \zeta_k \rangle \quad (4.18)$$

$$(\bar{\gamma}^r(0), \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle, \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle) \Rightarrow (\bar{\gamma}(0), \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle, \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle). \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. The first part of this lemma is proven in Lemma C.1 [1]. Conditions (4.14)-(4.18) follow from conditions (3.5)-(3.9) and Lemma 4.1. From (4.1), we have

$$\bar{\gamma}^r(0) = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{r\bar{Z}_k^r(0)} \delta_{V_k^{0,r}(i)}^+. \quad (4.20)$$

Fix $k \in \mathcal{K}$. The sequence $\{V_k^{0,r}(i); i \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d. with distribution $\zeta_k^{0,r}$, which converges weakly to ζ_k^0 as $r \rightarrow \infty$ by condition (3.14) and Lemma 4.1. Therefore, for any continuous and bounded function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}(g(V_k^{0,r}(i))) = \langle g, \zeta_k^{0,r} \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(g(V_k^0(i))) = \langle g, \zeta_k^0 \rangle < \infty \quad (4.21)$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left(g(V_k^{0,r}(i)); g(V_k^{0,r}(i)) > r \right) = 0.$$

Then, by the weak law of large numbers for triangular arrays, we have

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor rt \rfloor} g(V_k^{0,r}(i)) \Rightarrow \langle g, \zeta_k^0 \rangle t.$$

Since the sequence of random variables $\bar{Z}^r(0)$ satisfies (3.13), the random time change formula yields

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^{r\bar{Z}_k^r(0)} g(V_k^{0,r}(i)) \Rightarrow \langle g, \zeta_k^0 \rangle \bar{Z}_k(0).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle g, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle g, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle, \quad (4.22)$$

for all continuous and bounded functions $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, component of (4.19) satisfies

$$\bar{\gamma}^r(0) \Rightarrow \bar{\gamma}(0). \quad (4.23)$$

On the other hand, by (3.14)-(3.15) and Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r} \xrightarrow{w} \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r} \rangle < \infty.$$

Hence, by applying Lemma 3.5 [7] to the measure $\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r}$, we obtain

$$\langle \chi^{1+p}, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi^{1+p}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle < \infty, \quad (4.24)$$

for all $p \in [0, 3 + \theta)$. Consequently

$$\langle \chi, \zeta^{0,r} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \chi, \zeta^0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \zeta^{0,r} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \zeta^0 \rangle. \quad (4.25)$$

Following the same argument from (4.21) to (4.22), we obtain

$$\langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \chi^{1+\theta}, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle. \quad (4.26)$$

As the limits in (4.23) and (4.26) are deterministic, then (4.19) holds. \square

By Proposition 5.2 [1], the sequence of the fluid scaled state descriptor $\bar{\gamma}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to $\bar{\gamma}(\cdot)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $\bar{\gamma}$ is the fluid solution of the following fluid model:

- (i) $\bar{\gamma} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^K$ is continuous function such that for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$\bar{\gamma}(t)(I(x)) = \bar{Z}(0) \cdot \zeta^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) + \int_0^t \alpha \cdot \zeta(I(x + \bar{S}(s, t))) ds \quad (4.27)$$

- (ii) $\bar{\gamma}(0) = 0$ then $\bar{\gamma}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$

Remark 4.1.

- (i) The function $\bar{S}(\cdot)$ in (4.27) is the one defined in (2.14). Since $\langle 1, \gamma^r(t) \rangle = e \cdot Z^r(t)$ then $\bar{S}(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}(s) \rangle) ds$.

- (ii) The equation (4.27) can be interpreted as the fluid equation in the single class case, with law of service $\alpha \cdot \zeta / e \cdot \alpha$, initial state $\bar{\gamma}(0) = \bar{Z}(0) \cdot \zeta^0$ and external arrival rate $e \cdot \alpha$. Consequently, the steady-state results of [18] can be applied. Under mild conditions, the critical fluid solution $\bar{\gamma}(t)$ converges to the invariant state $\frac{1}{\langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle} \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e$. By Lemma A.1 (i) and (ii), this implies that the critical fluid solution $\bar{\gamma}(t)$ converges to the invariant state $e(I - P')(B * \Delta^\nu) \bar{W}(0)$.

Proposition 4.1. Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Denote by $\widehat{W}^r(t) = W^r(r^2t)/r$ the diffusion scaled of the workload process. Then $\widehat{W}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to $W^*(\cdot)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $W^*(\cdot)$ is the reflected Brownian motion defined in Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{V}_k^r(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n V_k^r(i)$ and $L^r(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \mathbb{V}_k^r(E_k^r(t)) - t$. Denote by $\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_k^r(t) = (\mathbb{V}_k^r(\lfloor r^2t \rfloor) - \langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle \lfloor r^2t \rfloor) / r$ the diffusion scaled process of $\mathbb{V}_k^r(t)$. The diffusion scaled process $\widehat{L}^r(t) = L^r(r^2t)/r$ satisfies,

$$\widehat{L}^r(t) = \sum_{k=1}^K \left(\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_k^r(\bar{E}_k^r(t)) + (eM^r Q^r)_k \widehat{E}_k^r(t) \right) - (1 - \rho^r)rt.$$

The diffusion scaled of the workload process $W^r(t)$ satisfies the nonidling discipline equation,

$$\widehat{W}^r(t) = \widehat{W}^r(0) + \widehat{L}^r(t) + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \{(\widehat{W}^r(0) + \widehat{L}^r(s))^- \}. \quad (4.28)$$

Conditions (4.15)-(4.18) imply, via functional central limit theorem for triangular arrays, the following weak convergence:

$$\widehat{\mathbb{V}}_k^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \mathbb{V}_k^*(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.29)$$

for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, where $\mathbb{V}_k^*(\cdot)$ is a Brownian motion with drift 0 and variance $\langle \chi^2, \zeta_k \rangle - (\langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle)^2$. By the weak convergences (3.11), (3.12) and (4.29), and the fact that the processes $E_k^*(\cdot)$ and $\mathbb{V}_k^*(\cdot)$ are independent, and the process $\alpha_k(\cdot)$ is deterministic, then

$$\left(\hat{E}_k^r(\cdot), \hat{\mathbb{V}}_k^r(\cdot), \bar{E}_k^r(\cdot) \right) \Rightarrow (E_k^*(\cdot), \mathbb{V}_k^*(\cdot), \alpha_k(\cdot)) \quad \text{for each } k \in \mathcal{K}.$$

It follows from the random time change theorem (cf. [2] Section 17) and the continuous mapping theorem (cf. [2], Theorem 5.1) that the weak convergence $\hat{L}^r(t) \Rightarrow L^*(t)$ holds as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where L^* is a Brownian motion with drift $-\sigma$ and variance

$$\sum_{k=1}^K (\langle \chi^2, \zeta_k \rangle - (\langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle)^2) \alpha_k + (\langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle)^2 a_k \alpha_k^3, \quad (4.30)$$

which is equal to the variance Γ given by (3.18). In fact, from conditions (4.6), (4.7) and by using the fact that $\Lambda e' = Q\alpha$ and $I + QP' = Q$, and after some calculations, it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \langle \chi^2, \zeta_k \rangle \alpha_k = e\Sigma\Lambda e' + eMQ(\Lambda - P'\Lambda P)Q'Me' \quad (4.31)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K (\langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle)^2 \alpha_k = eMQD_\alpha Q'Me' \quad (4.32)$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K (\langle \chi, \zeta_k \rangle)^2 a_k \alpha_k^3 = eMQ\Pi Q'Me', \quad (4.33)$$

$$\Lambda - D_\alpha - P'\Lambda P = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k H^k \quad (4.34)$$

where $D_\alpha = \text{diag}\{\alpha_k\}$ and the matrices Π , Σ and $(H_k, k \in \mathcal{K})$ are defined by (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4). Next, it follows from (4.19) that $\widehat{W}^r(0) = \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}^r(0) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \chi, \bar{\gamma}(0) \rangle$, so the continuous mapping theorem applied to (4.28) implies the result. \square

Given that the measure-valued process γ^r defined by (4.1) evolves similarly to the corresponding process in the single-class processor sharing model, and given the conditions of Lemma 4.2 (which are consistent with the assumptions in [7]), along with the convergence of the diffusion-scaled workload process established in the previous proposition, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 4.2. *Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Denote by $\hat{\gamma}^r(t) = \gamma^r(r^2t)/r$ the diffusion scaled of γ^r . Then*

$$\hat{\gamma}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu)W^*(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $W^*(\cdot)$ is the reflected Brownian motion defined in Theorem 3.1.

4.2 Descriptor to the multiclass queue

The primary aim of this section is to demonstrate Theorem 4.2, which establishes the convergence of the diffusion-scaled process $\hat{Q}^r(\cdot)$.

For each $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $i \geq 1$, let $N_k^{r,l}(i)$ denote the total number of visits to class k by the i^{th} job entering the system as a job of class l . For each $n = 1, \dots, N_k^{r,l}(i)$, let $V_{lk}^r(i, n)$ represent the sum of service times required by this job from its arrival until its n^{th} visit to class k (included).

Specifically, $V_{lk}^{0,r}(i, n)$ denotes the total service time required by the i^{th} initial job of class l until its n^{th} visit to class k . Let $i \geq 1$, $n = 1, \dots, N_k^{r,l}$, and denote by $U_l^r(i)$ the exogenous arrival epoch of the i^{th} job of class l .

The properties of the distributions of $(N_k^{r,l}(i), i \geq 1)$, $(V_{lk}^r(i, n); i, n \geq 1)$, and $(V_{lk}^{0,r}(i, n); i, n \geq 1)$ are provided in Lemmas D.1, D.2, and D.3 [1]. In the following lemma, we present additional properties that are useful in our analysis.

Lemma 4.3.

1. For each $l \in \mathcal{K}$, denote by $N^l(i) = (N_1^l(i), N_2^l(i), \dots, N_K^l(i))$. The sequence $\{N^l(i), i \geq 1\}$ is i.i.d with mean $\mathbb{E}(N^l) = (Q_{kl}, k \in \mathcal{K})$ and covariance matrix B^l with entries $B_{k\ell}^l = Q_{k\ell}Q_{\ell l} + (QP')_{\ell k}Q_{kl} - Q_{kl}Q_{\ell l}$ satisfies

$$\sum_{l=1}^K \alpha_l B^l = Q \left(\sum_{l=1}^K \lambda_l H^l \right) Q', \quad (4.35)$$

where $\{H^l, l \in \mathcal{K}\}$ are the matrices defined in (2.4).

2. For each $n \geq 1$ and $k, l \in \mathcal{K}$, the sequence $(V_{lk}(i, n), i \geq 1)$ is i.i.d such that for all function $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N_k^l(i)} g(V_{lk}(i, n)) \right) = \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl} * \nu_l \rangle.$$

Proof.

1. Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a homogenous Markov chain with state space \mathcal{K} and transition matrix P . For each $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$, N_k^l is the number of visits to state k from state l of the markov chain X_n . Hence, N_k^l can be expressed as

$$N_k^l = \sum_{n \geq 0} 1_{\{X_n = k | X_0 = l\}}.$$

The sequence $(N^l(i), i \geq 1)$ is i.i.d. because routing events of different jobs are independent. Given that the spectral radius of P is less than 1, one can easily obtain $\mathbb{E}(N_k^l) = Q_{kl}$. Furthermore, by performing a series of calculations using some known properties of Markov chains, we derive

$$\mathbb{E}(N_k^l, N_\ell^l) = Q_{k\ell}Q_{\ell l} + (QP')_{\ell k}Q_{kl}.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{cov}(N_k^l, N_\ell^l) = Q_{k\ell}Q_{\ell l} + (QP')_{\ell k}Q_{kl} - Q_{kl}Q_{\ell l} = B_{k\ell}^l.$$

By definition of the matrix B^l , and after performing some matrix calculations, we obtain

$$\sum_{l=1}^K \alpha_l B^l = \Lambda + P'Q\Lambda + \Lambda Q'P - QD_\alpha Q' = Q(\Lambda - D_\alpha - P'\Lambda P)Q'.$$

This, in conjunction with (4.34) implies (4.35).

2. See Lemma D.3 [1].

□

Following the approach in [1, Section 5.2], we introduce a family of state descriptors $\gamma_{lk}^r(t)$ for each $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $t \geq 0$, defined as:

$$\gamma_{lk}^r(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_l^r(0)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \delta_{(V_{lk}^{0,r}(i,n) - S^r(t))^+} + \sum_{i=1}^{E_l^r(t)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \delta_{(V_{lk}^r(i,n) - S^r(U_l^r(i), t))^+}. \quad (4.36)$$

The meaning of this equation can be explained as follows. Consider the i th job arriving in class l at time $U_l^r(i)$. At a given time t , this job has completed their n th visit to class k (which results in a departure from class k) if and only if the condition $V_{lk}^r(i, n) \leq S^r(U_l^r(i), t)$ is met. Furthermore, at time t , the expression $(V_{lk}^r(i, n) - S^r(U_l^r(i), t))^+$ represents the remaining service required before the job finishes their n th visit to class k . This can be interpreted as a form of residual service time, but it is specifically measured relative to this n th departure from class k . Similar reasoning applies to the initial jobs in the system. Let $\mathcal{Q}^r(t) = (\mathcal{Q}_1^r(t), \dots, \mathcal{Q}_K^r(t))$, where

$$\mathcal{Q}_k^r(t) = \sum_{l=1}^K \gamma_{lk}^r(t) \quad \text{For each } k \in \mathcal{K}.$$

We will be interested below in the diffusion approximation of the descriptor \mathcal{Q}^r taking values in $\mathbf{D}([0, \infty), \mathcal{M}^K)$ and satisfies

$$\mathcal{Q}^r(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{Q}^r(0)(I(x + S^r(t))) + \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{E_l^r(t)} \vartheta^{r,l}(i)(I(x + S^r(U_l^r(i), t))), \quad (4.37)$$

where for each $l \in \mathcal{K}$, $r > 0$ and $i = 1, 2, \dots$ the random element $\vartheta^{r,l}(i)$ takes values in \mathcal{M}^K and defined for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$ by

$$\vartheta_k^{r,l}(i) := \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{r,l}(i)} \delta_{V_{lk}^{r,l}(i,n)}^+ \quad (4.38)$$

and $\mathcal{Q}^r(0)$ is the initial state of (4.37), which is given in function of the initial data of the MPS queue by

$$\mathcal{Q}_k^r(0) = \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{Z_l^r(0)} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{r,l}(i)} \delta_{V_{lk}^{0,r}(i,n)}^+. \quad (4.39)$$

Note that $\vartheta_{lk}^r(i)(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{Q}^r(t)(\{0\}) = 0$, since $V_{lk}^r(i, m) > 0$ and the fact that no jobs will be in the system if the residual job service $(V_{lk}^r(i, n) - S^r(U_l^r(i), t))$ equals zero. This implies that

$$\langle 1, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle = \langle 1_{(0, \infty)}, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle 1, \mathcal{Q}^r(t) \rangle = \langle 1_{(0, \infty)}, \mathcal{Q}^r(t) \rangle. \quad (4.40)$$

Denote by $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) = \mathcal{Q}^r(rt)/r$. Then, by Proposition 5.3 [1], the sequence of the fluid scaled state descriptor $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, where $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}$ is the fluid solution of the following fluid model:

(i) $\bar{\mathcal{Q}} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^K$ is continuous function such that for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t)))\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(I(x + \bar{S}(s, t)))ds \alpha. \quad (4.41)$$

(ii) $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(0) = 0$ then $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Moreover, the fluid solution $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t)$ satisfies:

$$\langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \rangle = Q\bar{\mathcal{Z}}(t). \quad (4.42)$$

The following theorem establishes the state space collapse for the measure-valued process \mathcal{Q}^r , which is essential for proving the convergence of the diffusion-scaled process in Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.1. *Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). For all $T > 0$,*

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{d} \left(\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \widehat{W}^r(t) \right) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is lengthy and highly technical. In fact, the entire section B is dedicated to its proof, with the final result presented at the end, following the establishment of all necessary lemmas.

We now state this section's main theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) = \mathcal{Q}^r(r^2 t)/r$ the diffusion scaled version of $\mathcal{Q}^r(t)$. Then*

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. By Proposition 4.1, and $\widehat{W}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow W^*(\cdot)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. By continuity of the map $\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu$ and the continuous mapping theorem, we have

$$\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \widehat{W}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu W^*(\cdot).$$

Therefore, the result of Theorem 4.2 follows from Theorem 4.1 and the *convergence together lemma* [2, Theorem 4.1]. \square

4.3 Proof of Theorem 3.1

This section contains the proof of the paper's main result. The following proposition is essential for this proof.

Proposition 4.3. *Consider a sequence of MPS queues as defined in Section 3, satisfying primitive assumptions (3.1)-(3.10) and initial condition assumptions (3.13)-(3.16). Then*

$$\left(\widehat{A}^r(t), \widehat{D}^r(t), \widehat{Z}^r(t) \right) \Rightarrow (A^*(t), D^*(t), Z^*(t)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.43)$$

where

$$D^*(t) = Q \left(\bar{Z}(0) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi^{*,l}(\lambda_l t) + E^*(t) - \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t) \right), \quad (4.44)$$

$$A^*(t) = Q \left(P'(\bar{Z}(0) - \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t)) + E^*(t) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi_l^{*,l}(\lambda_l t) \right), \quad (4.45)$$

$$Z^*(t) = \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t). \quad (4.46)$$

The proof of proposition 4.3 uses the following Lemma. Recall that in this paper, all limits of càdlàg processes are continuous. Therefore, the Skorokhod topology coincides with the uniform topology.

Lemma 4.4. *Let \mathcal{C}^K be the subspace of the continuous functions in \mathcal{D}^K .*

- i) If a sequence of stochastic processes $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ is tight, then for any of its subsequences, there exists a weakly convergent further subsequence. Furthermore, if X^* is the weak limit of a subsequence $(X_{n_k}, k \geq 1)$, then $\mathbb{P}(X^* \in \mathcal{C}^K) = 1$.*

ii) If $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ converges weakly to X^* and X^* is almost surely in \mathcal{C}^K then $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ is tight.

iii) If both $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ and $(Y_n, n \geq 1)$ are tight, so is $(aX_n + bY_n, n \geq 1)$, where a and b are any given real numbers.

iv) If both $(X_n, n \geq 1)$ and $(Y_n, n \geq 1)$ are tight. Then, the sequence $\{(X_n, Y_n), n \geq 1\}$ is tight.

Proof. Refer to Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 in [12] for properties (i)-(iii). Property (iv) follows from (iii). \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3. The proof is going to be divided into two parts. In the first part, we prove the tightness of $(\hat{A}^r(t), \hat{D}^r(t), \hat{Z}^r(t))$. The second part demonstrates that all subsequences converge to a unique limit $(A^*(t), D^*(t), Z^*(t))$, where the processes $D^*(t)$, $A^*(t)$, and $Z^*(t)$ are defined in (4.44)-(4.46).

Part 1: Tightness For each $i \geq 1$ and $l \in \mathcal{K}$, let $N^{r,l}(i) = (N_1^{r,l}(i), N_2^{r,l}(i), \dots, N_K^{r,l}(i))$ be the vector of component $N_k^{r,l}(i)$ which is defined at the beginning of Section 4.2. For each $t \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{N}^r(t) = \sum_{l=1}^K \left(\sum_{i=1}^{Z_l^r(0)} N^{r,l}(i) + \sum_{i=1}^{E_l^r(t)} N^{r,l}(i) \right). \quad (4.47)$$

For each $k \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathcal{N}_k^r(t)$ represent the total number of visits to class k by time t , brought by external arrivals, as well as by initially present jobs. Note that if $V_{lk}^r(i, n) \leq S^r(U_k^r(i), t)$ for all $l \in \mathcal{K}$ and $n = 1, \dots, N^{r,l}(i)$ then the i^{th} job has completed their services. So for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$,

$$D_k^r(t) = \mathcal{N}_k^r(t) - \mathbf{Q}_k^r(t), \quad (4.48)$$

where $\mathbf{Q}_k^r(t) = \langle 1, \mathcal{Q}_k^r(t) \rangle$ is the remaining number of visits to class k for jobs present in the r^{th} system at time t . By Theorem 4.2, we have the following convergence:

$$\hat{\mathbf{Q}}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow Q \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.49)$$

Let $\hat{N}^{r,l}(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor r^2 t \rfloor} (N^{r,l}(i) - \mathbf{Q}_\bullet^r(i)) \right) / r$ and $\hat{\mathcal{N}}^r(t) = (\mathcal{N}^r(r^2 t) - \lambda^r r^2 t) / r$ be the diffusion scaled processes. A diffusion scaled version of (4.47) can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}^r(t) = \sum_{l=1}^K \hat{N}^{r,l}(Z_l^r(0)/r^2) + \sum_{l=1}^K \hat{N}^{r,l}(\bar{E}_l^r(t)) + Q^r \hat{Z}^r(0) + Q^r \hat{E}^r(t). \quad (4.50)$$

The process $\{\hat{N}^{r,l}(\cdot); l \in \mathcal{K}\}$ satisfies the functional central limit theorem,

$$\hat{N}^{r,l}(\cdot) \Rightarrow N^{*,l}(\cdot) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.51)$$

where $N^{*,l}(\cdot)$ is a K -dimensional Brownian motion with the covariance matrix B^l defined in Lemma 4.3. By (3.12) and (3.13),

$$Z^r(0)/r^2 \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{E}_l^r(t) \Rightarrow \alpha(t) = \alpha t \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

These convergences, in conjunction with (4.51), imply, by the Time Change Theorem [2],

$$\sum_{l=1}^K \hat{N}^{r,l}(Z_l^r(0)/r^2) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{l=1}^K \hat{N}^{r,l}(\bar{E}_l^r(t)) \Rightarrow \sum_{l=1}^K N^{*,l}(\alpha_l t). \quad (4.52)$$

Additionally, by assumptions (3.5) and (3.11), we have

$$Q^r \widehat{Z}^r(0) \Rightarrow Q\bar{Z}(0) \quad \text{and} \quad Q^r \widehat{E}^r(\cdot) \Rightarrow QE^*(\cdot). \quad (4.53)$$

Since the limits in (4.52) and in (4.53) have a continuous path, Lemma 4.4 (ii) and (iii) imply that the process $\widehat{N}^r(\cdot)$ defined in (4.50) is tight. Combining this with (4.49), we deduce from Lemma 4.4 (iii) that the process $\widehat{D}^r(\cdot)$, the diffusion-scaled version of the process in (4.48), is also tight.

By taking equations (2.5) and (2.7) in diffusion scaling, we get

$$\widehat{A}^r(t) = \widehat{E}^r(t) + \sum_{l=1}^K \widehat{\Phi}^{r,l}(\bar{D}_l^r(t)) + P'^r \widehat{D}^r(t), \quad (4.54)$$

$$\widehat{Z}^r(t) = \widehat{Z}^r(0) + \widehat{A}^r(t) - \widehat{D}^r(t). \quad (4.55)$$

By Theorem 5.1 in [1], the fluid process $\bar{D}^r(\cdot)$ converges in distribution to the deterministic process $\bar{D}(\cdot)$, the second component in the triplet fluid solution. Combining this convergence with assumptions (3.5), (3.11), and (3.13), and with the tightness of $\widehat{D}^r(\cdot)$, we deduce from Lemma 4.4 (iii) that the processes $\widehat{A}^r(\cdot)$ and $\widehat{Z}^r(\cdot)$ are tight. Therefore, by Lemma 4.4 (iv), the sequence $(\widehat{A}^r(\cdot), \widehat{D}^r(\cdot), \widehat{Z}^r(\cdot), r > 0)$ is tight.

Part 2: Convergence to a unique limit Having established tightness, we now demonstrate that all subsequences of $(\widehat{A}^r(t), \widehat{D}^r(t), \widehat{Z}^r(t))$ converge to the unique limit $(A^*(t), D^*(t), Z^*(t))$ defined in (4.44)-(4.46). Let $(\widehat{A}^{r_i}(t), \widehat{D}^{r_i}(t), \widehat{Z}^{r_i}(t))$ be a convergent subsequence, such that

$$(\widehat{A}^{r_i}(t), \widehat{D}^{r_i}(t), \widehat{Z}^{r_i}(t)) \Rightarrow (\tilde{A}(t), \tilde{D}(t), \tilde{Z}(t)) \quad \text{as} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (4.50) and the convergences (4.51)-(4.53), we have the following convergence:

$$\widehat{N}^r(t) \Rightarrow N^*(t) := \sum_{l=1}^K N^l(\alpha_l t) + Q\bar{Z}(0) + QE^*(t).$$

Taking the diffusion scaling in (4.48) and using (4.49) and the above convergence, we obtain

$$\tilde{D}(t) := N^*(t) - Q\langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t), \quad (4.56)$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ along the subsequence. From (2.3) and (4.35), we have $\sum_{l=1}^K N^{*,l}(\alpha_l t) = Q \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi^{*,l}(\lambda_l t)$, leading to

$$\tilde{D}(t) = Q \left(\bar{Z}(0) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi^{*,l}(\lambda_l t) + E^*(t) - \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t) \right). \quad (4.57)$$

Since the limit in (4.56) is unique and does not depend on the chosen subsequence, we have

$$\widehat{D}^r(t) \Rightarrow \tilde{D}(t). \quad (4.58)$$

Having established the convergence of $\widehat{D}^r(t)$, we now turn our attention to $\tilde{A}(t)$ and $\tilde{Z}(t)$. By (4.54)-(4.55), and the fact that (4.58) implies $\bar{D}^r(t) \Rightarrow \lambda t$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\tilde{A}(t) = E^*(t) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi^{*,l}(\lambda_l t) + P' D^*(t), \quad (4.59)$$

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \bar{Z}(0) + \tilde{A}(t) - D^*(t). \quad (4.60)$$

Substituting (4.57) into (4.59)-(4.60) and using $Q(I - P') = I$, we get

$$\tilde{A}(t) = Q \left(P'(\bar{Z}(0) - \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t)) + E^*(t) + \sum_{l=1}^K \Phi_l^{*,l}(\lambda_l t) \right), \quad (4.61)$$

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \langle 1, \Delta^\nu \rangle W^*(t). \quad (4.62)$$

Analogously to the argument for $\hat{D}^r(t)$, the limits in (4.61) and (4.62) are unique and do not depend on the subsequence. Therefore, we have

$$(\hat{A}^r(t), \hat{D}^r(t), \hat{Z}^r(t)) \Rightarrow (A^*(t), D^*(t), Z^*(t)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $D^*(t)$, $A^*(t)$, $Z^*(t)$ are respectively the limits in (4.57), (4.61) and (4.62) (with the notation changed). □

Proof of Theorem 3.1 Fix a class $k \in \mathcal{K}$. As mentioned in the introduction, the dynamic equation for the state descriptor $\mu_k^r(\cdot)$ is similar to the one in [7], with two key differences. First, the arrival process $A_k^r(\cdot)$ replaces the exogenous arrival process $E_k^r(\cdot)$. Second, the cumulative service function (see (2.14)) incorporates the total queue size $e \cdot Z(\cdot)$, rather than the class-specific queue size $Z_k(\cdot)$. As also noted there, to establish the state space collapse result, we require three components: (1) precompactness of the sample paths of the family $\{\bar{\mu}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$, (2) uniform approximation of the sample paths by fluid solutions, and (3) uniform convergence of the fluid solution to an invariant state over all initial conditions.

In Proposition 4.3, we established the joint convergence $(\hat{A}^r, \hat{D}^r, \hat{Z}^r) \Rightarrow (A^*, D^*, Z^*)$. The vector limit process A^* defined in (4.45) is a diffusion process (since it has continuous paths and the RBMs W^* , E^* , and Φ^* are independent). Therefore, we can follow the proofs for the single-class case, using the arrival process A_k^r in place of the exogenous arrival process E_k^r and the arrival rate λ_k in place of the exogenous arrival rate α_k . Additionally, by Proposition 4.2 and Equation (4.2), the fluid and scaled fluid total queue sizes $e \cdot \bar{Z}^r(\cdot)$ and $e \cdot \bar{Z}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ are bounded, ensuring global queue stability. This stability facilitates bounds essential for proving the precompactness of the shifted scaled processes $\{\bar{\mu}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$. Following [4, Theorem 3.6.3], to establish the precompactness of $\{\bar{\mu}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$, we need to establish for each class k :

- The compact containment result that is there exists a compact set $\mathbf{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r (\bar{\mu}_k^{r,m}(t) \in \mathbf{K} \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, L] \quad \text{and } m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor) \geq 1 - \eta, \quad \eta \in (0, 1).$$

- The oscillation bound result, that is there exists $\delta > 0$, such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \mathbf{w}_L(\bar{\mu}_k^{r,m}(\cdot), \delta) \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \eta,$$

where for $L > 1$, $\zeta(\cdot) \in \mathbf{D}([0, \infty), \mathcal{M})$ and $\delta > 0$, \mathbf{w}_L define the modulus of continuity of $\zeta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{M}$ on $[0, L]$ defined as

$$\mathbf{w}_L(\zeta(\cdot), \delta) = \sup_{s, t \in [0, L], |s-t| < \delta} \mathbf{d}(\zeta(s), \zeta(t)).$$

Given the previous explanation regarding the arrival process A_k^r and the total queue size $e \cdot Z^r$, the proofs of the preceding two points adapt standard single-class system arguments, particularly those in [8, 23], to the specific case of processor sharing. These works offer refined and simplified tightness proofs compared to [7], albeit adapted to their respective models. This also applies to

the proof that sample paths of the family $\{\bar{\mu}_k^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$ can be uniformly approximated on $[0, L]$ by fluid model solutions. The remaining point to prove the state space collapse, namely the uniform convergence of the fluid model solutions to the invariant state, is established in Theorem A.1. The proof of this result is provided in this paper, as the approach differs entirely from the single-class literature and uses the state descriptor \mathcal{Q} as an intermediate step (see A).

Finally, combining the state space collapse result with Proposition 4.1 on diffusion workload convergence yields our main result via the convergence-together lemma [2, Theorem 4.1].

A Convergence to the invariant states of the fluid model

We consider the multiclass fluid model defined in Section 2.3 associated with critical data (α, ν, P) and initial state $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$. The goal is to establish a uniform convergence to the invariant states of the fluid somutions $\bar{\mu}(\cdot)$ and $\bar{Q}(\cdot)$ over a compact set of initial conditions defined by (A.6), which will be needed in the proof of the state space collapse for the measure valued processes $\mu^r(\cdot)$ and $Q^r(\cdot)$.

This section is organized as follows: Section A.1 defines and characterizes invariant states. Section A.2 states the main results. Section A.3 provides background on important results essential for proving these main results and establishes several supporting lemmas. The proofs of the main results are then presented in Sections A.4 and A.5.

A.1 Invariant states

Definition A.1. Let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$. Let $(\bar{A}, \bar{D}, \bar{\mu})$ be a fluid solution for critical data (α, ν, P) and initial state ξ . The measure ξ is called an invariant state, if

$$\bar{\mu}(t) = \xi \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Proposition A.1. The measure ξ is an invariant state, if and only if assumption (3.16) holds. Furthermore for some $c \geq 0$, we have

$$\bar{Q}(t) = c\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e M\lambda \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where $\bar{Q}(\cdot)$ is the fluid solution to the equation (4.41).

Proof. Proof If $\xi = 0$, then (3.16) holds, and $\bar{\mu}(t) = 0$ is the fluid solution. Let us consider $\xi \neq 0$. Suppose that ξ is an invariant state, and show that (3.16) holds. By equations (2.11) and (2.12), we have $\bar{A}(t) = \bar{D}(t) = \lambda t$. From equation (2.14), we have $\bar{S}(t) = t/\langle 1, e.\xi \rangle$. Taking this in equation (2.13) involves, for all $x \in [0, \infty)$ and $t \geq 0$

$$\xi(I(x)) = \xi(I(x + t/\langle 1, e.\xi \rangle)) + \langle 1, e.\xi \rangle M\lambda \nu^e ([x, x + t/\langle 1, e.\xi \rangle]). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Since ξ is a finite measure, by letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, the first member in the right hand of (A.3) becomes null and $\xi(I(x)) = \langle 1, e.\xi \rangle M\lambda \nu^e(I(x))$. Thus, the condition (3.16) holds for $c = \langle 1, e.\xi \rangle$.

Reciproquely, let $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^K$ be such that (3.16) holds. Since $\rho = 1$, we have $\xi = \langle 1, e.\xi \rangle M\lambda \nu^e$. Let $\bar{\mu}(t) = \xi$, $\bar{A}(t) = \bar{D}(t) = \lambda$. By a straightforward computation $(\bar{A}, \bar{D}, \bar{\mu})$ is a fluid solution. Thus ξ is an invariant state, if and only if assumption (3.16) holds.

Let us prove the second part of the proposition. If $\xi = 0$, then by (4.41), $\bar{Q}(\cdot) = 0$, therefore, (A.2) is true for $c = 0$. Now, we consider the case where $\xi \neq 0$. Since $\bar{\mu}(t) = \xi$ for all $t \geq 0$, we have $\bar{S}(s, t) = c^{-1}(t - s)$ for all $s \leq t$. Therefore, the equation (4.41) becomes

$$\bar{Q}(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + c^{-1}t))\bar{Z}(0) + c \int_x^{x+c^{-1}t} \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(I(s))ds \alpha. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The following integral will be used in the above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^y (\mathcal{B} * (I - B))(s) ds &= \int_0^y \int_0^s \dot{\mathcal{B}}(u)(I - B)(s - u) du ds = \int_0^y \int_u^y \dot{\mathcal{B}}(u)(I - B)(s - u) ds du \\ &= \int_0^y \dot{\mathcal{B}}(u) \left(\int_u^y (I - B)(s - u) ds \right) du = \int_0^y \dot{\mathcal{B}}(u) B^e(y - u) du M \\ &= \mathcal{B} * B^e(y) M. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Note that $\bar{Z}(0) := \langle 1, \xi \rangle = cM\lambda$ and $\langle 1, e.\xi \rangle = c$. Replacing $\bar{Z}(0)$ by $cM\lambda$ in the first term on the right hand of (A.4) and replacing the second term by the above expression, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{Q}(t)(I(x)) &= c(Q - \mathcal{B} * B^e)(x + c^{-1}t)M\lambda + c\mathcal{B} * B^e(x + c^{-1}t)M\lambda - c\mathcal{B} * B^e(x)M\lambda \\ &= c(Q - \mathcal{B} * B^e)(x)M\lambda = c\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e(I(x))M\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

A.2 Uniform convergence to the invariant states

For each $p, N > 0$, we define the following compact set:

$$\mathbf{B}_N^p := \{\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K} : |\langle 1, \xi \rangle \vee \langle \chi, \xi \rangle \vee \langle \chi^{1+p}, \xi \rangle| \leq N\}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The following two are the main results of this section, with Proposition A.2 serving as a key component in the proof of Theorem A.1.

Theorem A.1. *Fix $p, N > 0$ and assume $\langle \chi^2, \nu \rangle < \infty$. For each $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p$, we denote by $\bar{\mu}^\xi(\cdot)$ the third component of the fluid solution of the multiclass fluid model with critical data (α, ν, P) and initial state ξ . We have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p} \mathbf{d}(\bar{\mu}^\xi(t), \Delta^\nu \bar{W}(0)) = 0. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

Proposition A.2. *Fix $p, N > 0$ and Assume $\langle \chi^2, \nu \rangle < \infty$. For each initial state $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p$ of the fluid model associated with critical data (α, ν, P) , we denote by $\bar{Q}^\xi(\cdot)$ the fluid solution of the equation (4.41) such that $\bar{Q}^\xi(0) = \mathcal{B} * \xi$. We have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p} \mathbf{d}(\bar{Q}^\xi(t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}(0)) = 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

A.3 Background

To prove the results, we need to recall some definitions and results from [1]. First, by Lemma 4.1 in that paper, the cumulative function $\bar{S}(t)$ defined in (2.14) is continuous, strictly increasing and differentiable on $[0, t^*)$ where $t^* := \inf\{t : e \cdot \bar{\mu}(t) = 0\}$ represent the first time at which the fluid queue empties. Moreover, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow t^*} \bar{S}(t) = +\infty$. Later, in that paper, it was shown that for the critical case, $t^* = +\infty$. We consider the function $T : [0, +\infty) \mapsto [0, +\infty)$ defined as

$$T(u) := \bar{S}^{-1}(u) := \inf\{t \geq 0 : \bar{S}(t) > u\}.$$

This function is also continuous and differentiable and strictly increasing, such that $\dot{T}(u) = e.\bar{Z}(T(u))$. In [1], they obtain a differential equation, of the renewal type, of which the function T is a solution

$$\dot{T}(u) = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * C)(u)\bar{Z}(0) + (K * T)(u), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

with

$$K(u) = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * (I - B))(u)\lambda, \quad C(u) = (I - B^0(u))\bar{Z}(0) + (I - B(t))QP'. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

We rewrite some expression:

$$(\mathcal{B} * C)(t) = Q - (\mathcal{B} * B^0)(t) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(t)), \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{B} * (I - B))(t)Q = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(I(t)).$$

The differential equation (A.9) is equivalent to say that the function T satisfies the following convolution equation

$$T(u) = H^\xi(u) + (B_s^e * T)(u), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where

$$B_e^s(t) = \int_0^t K(u)du = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)([0, t])M\lambda,$$

and

$$H^\xi(u) = \int_0^u e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * C)(s)\bar{Z}(0)ds = \int_0^u e(I - P')\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(s))\bar{Z}(0)ds.$$

The notation ξ in H^ξ is because the function H^ξ depends on the initial state ξ as follows:

$$H^\xi(t) = e(I - P') \int_0^t \mathcal{B} * \xi(I(y))dy. \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Define the renewal function

$$U(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (B_s^e)^{*n}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

where $(B_e^s)^{*0}(\cdot) \equiv 1$ and $(B_e^s)^{*i}(\cdot) = ((B_e^s)^{*i-1} * B_e^s)(\cdot)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$. Then, the convolution function (A.11), has a unique locally bounded solution given by

$$T(t) = (H^\xi * U)(t). \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Define the following measures:

$$\zeta = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}), \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta^0 = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0).$$

The measure $(\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e$ is the excess life time measure of $(\alpha \cdot \zeta)$ (cf. (ii) in Lemma A.1), and B_s^e is the excess life time distribution. The distribution function B_s^e has a finite mean (cf. (i) in Lemma A.1),

$$\int_0^\infty y B_s^e(dy) = \langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle = \frac{\langle \chi^2, \alpha \cdot \zeta \rangle}{2\langle \chi, \alpha \cdot \zeta \rangle} = e \left(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM \right) \lambda < \infty. \quad (\text{A.15})$$

Lemma A.1. *We have*

$$(i) \quad \langle \chi, \alpha \cdot \zeta \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \chi^2, \alpha \cdot \zeta \rangle = e(M^{(2)} + 2MP'QM) \lambda.$$

$$(ii) \quad \langle 1_{[0, x]}, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)([0, x])M\lambda.$$

Proof. (i) follows directly from Lemma C.1 [1]. Let us prove (ii). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^y (\mathcal{B} * (I - B))(s) ds &= \int_0^y \int_0^s \dot{B}(u)(I - B)(s - u) du ds = \int_0^y \int_u^y \dot{B}(u)(I - B)(s - u) ds du \\ &= \int_0^y \dot{B}(u) \left(\int_u^y (I - B)(s - u) ds \right) du = \int_0^y \dot{B}(u) B^e(y - u) du M \\ &= \mathcal{B} * B^e(y) M. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(I(x)) = (\mathcal{B} * (I - B))(x)Q$, then

$$\int_0^y \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}(I(s)) ds = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e([0, y]) MQ. \quad (\text{A.16})$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e([0, x]) &= \frac{1}{\langle \chi, \alpha \cdot \zeta \rangle} \int_0^x (\alpha \cdot \zeta)(I(y)) dy = \int_0^x \zeta(I(y)) dy \alpha \\
&= \int_0^x e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})(I(y)) \alpha dy = e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)([0, x]) M Q \alpha \\
&= e(I - P')(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)([0, x]) M \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

□

For each $x \geq 0$, we define the function

$$K^x(y) = (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})(I(x + y)) \alpha. \quad (\text{A.17})$$

The following Lemma is needed in the proof of Proposition A.2. Recall that in this lemma, the integrals and the convolution are componentwise.

Lemma A.2. Fix $p, N > 0$ and let \mathbf{B}_N^p be the set defined in (A.6). Let U the renewal function defined in (A.13). Let H^ξ and K^x the functions defined in (A.12) and (A.17) respectively. Then,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \left((K^x * H^\xi) * U \right)(t) - \frac{\bar{W}(0)}{\langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle} \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e(I(x)) M \lambda \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Proof. Recall that from Equation (A.15), we have $\langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle < \infty$. Since K^x and \dot{H}^ξ are nonincreasing, and H^ξ is continuously differentiable, it follows that:

$$\int_0^\infty \dot{H}^\xi(z) dz = H^\xi(\infty) = e(M^0 + M P' Q) \bar{Z}(0) := \bar{W}(0) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^q. \quad (\text{A.19})$$

We will now prove inequality (A.18) for all $x \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{B}_N^p$. We begin by bounding $(K^x * H^\xi)(y)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(K^x * H^\xi)(y) &\leq K^x(y/2) \int_0^\infty \dot{H}^\xi(u) du + \dot{H}^\xi(y/2) \int_{y/2}^y K^x(y - z) dz \\
&\leq K^x(y/2) \bar{W}(0) + \dot{H}^\xi(y/2) \left((\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)(I(x)) - (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)(I(x + y/2)) \right) M \lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e \rangle = Q$, we have:

$$(K^x * H^\xi)(y) \leq K^x(y/2) \bar{W}(0) + \dot{H}^\xi(y/2) Q M \lambda. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

We have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty (K^x * H^\xi)(y) dy &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^y K^x(y - z) dH^\xi(z) dy = \int_0^\infty \int_0^y K^x(y - z) \dot{H}^\xi(z) dz dy \\
&= \int_0^\infty \int_z^\infty K^x(y - z) dy \dot{H}^\xi(z) dz = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K^x(y) dy \dot{H}^\xi(z) dz \\
&= \int_0^\infty \dot{H}^\xi(z) dz \int_0^\infty K^x(y) dy.
\end{aligned}$$

By (A.16), we have:

$$\int_0^\infty K^x(y) dy = \int_x^\infty (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})(I(y)) \alpha dy = (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)(I(x)) M \lambda < \infty \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

Therefore, (A.19) with (A.21) imply that

$$\int_0^\infty (K^x * H^\xi)(y) dy = \bar{W}(0) (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e)(I(x)) M\lambda < \infty. \quad (\text{A.22})$$

Let us denote the functions

$$f_\xi^x(y) := (K^x * H^\xi)(y) \quad \text{and} \quad g_\xi^x(y) := K^x(y/2)\bar{W}(0) + \dot{H}^\xi(y/2)QM\lambda.$$

By (A.20) and (A.22), proving inequality (A.18) is equivalent to showing that:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{|f_\xi^x| \leq g_\xi^x} \left| (f_\xi^x * U)(t) - \frac{1}{\langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle} \int_0^\infty f_\xi^x(s) ds \right| = 0 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p \text{ and } x \geq 0. \quad (\text{A.23})$$

To prove this convergence, we will utilize Theorem 6.12 from [16]. Based on this theorem, it suffices to show that for all $x \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p$: (i) g_ξ^x is bounded, (ii) g_ξ^x is integrable, and (iii) $g_\xi^x(y)$ goes to 0 as y goes to infinity. First, since g_ξ^x is nonincreasing, we have for all $y \geq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} g_\xi^x(y) &\leq g_\xi^x(0) = (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})(I(x)) \alpha \bar{W}(0) + e(I - P') \langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \xi \rangle QM\lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \bar{W}(0) + e(I - P') \langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \xi \rangle QM\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of the preceding inequality is finite. Therefore, g_ξ^x is bounded. Secondly, we have for all $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p$ and $x \geq 0$,

$$\int_0^\infty g_\xi^x(y) dy = 2 \left(\int_0^\infty K^x(y) dy \bar{W}(0) + \int_0^\infty \dot{H}^\xi(y) dy QM\lambda \right) < \infty.$$

Therefore, g_ξ^x is integrable for all $x \geq 0$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p$. Finally, it is obvious that $g_\xi^x(y)$ goes to 0 as y goes to infinity. \square

A.4 Proof of Proposition A.2

The fluid limit equation (4.41) of the process $\mathcal{Q}(t)$ can be written as

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) \bar{Z}(0) + (K^x * H^\xi * U)(\bar{S}(t)). \quad (\text{A.24})$$

To see this, we start from (4.41):

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) \bar{Z}(0) + \int_0^t K^x(\bar{S}(t) - \bar{S}(s)) ds.$$

Applying the change of variable $y = \bar{S}(s)$ and recalling that $T(\cdot) = \bar{S}^{-1}(\cdot)$, we obtain

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}(t)(I(x)) = \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) \bar{Z}(0) + (K^x * T)(\bar{S}(t)).$$

Thus, using (A.14), we arrive at (A.24). Since $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \bar{S}(t) = +\infty$, Lemma A.2 implies

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \left((K^x * H^\xi) * U \right) (\bar{S}(t)) - \frac{\bar{W}(0)}{\langle \chi, (\alpha \cdot \zeta)^e \rangle} \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e(I(x)) M\lambda \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0(I(x + \bar{S}(t))) \bar{Z}(0) \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, by (A.15) and (A.24), there exists $t_b \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq T(t_b)$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^\xi(t)(I(x)) - \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu(I(x)) \bar{W}(0) \right| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Finally, using [23, Lemma C.1], we conclude that

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathcal{B}_N^p} \mathbf{d} \left(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^\xi(t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}(0) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

A.5 Proof of Theorem A.1

Let $\tilde{A}(t) = \bar{A}(T(t))$, $\tilde{D}(t) = \bar{D}(T(t))$, $\tilde{Z}(t) = \bar{Z}(T(t))$. Performing the change of variables and functions in (2.11)-(2.13) gives the new functional equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}(t) &= \alpha T(t) + P' \tilde{D}(t) \\ \tilde{Z}(t) &= \bar{Z}(0) + \tilde{A}(t) - \tilde{D}(t)\end{aligned}$$

$$\bar{\mu}^\xi(T(t))(I(x)) = (I - B^0)(x+t)\bar{Z}(0) + \int_0^t (I - B(x+t-s)) d\tilde{A}(s).$$

The two first above equations imply

$$\tilde{A}(t) = \lambda T(t) + QP'(\bar{Z}(0) - \tilde{Z}(t)).$$

Making use of this equation and the fact that $\dot{T}(t) = e \cdot \tilde{Z}(t)$ and integrating by parts, one deduces:

$$\bar{\mu}^\xi(T(t))(I(x)) = C(t+x)\bar{Z}(0) - (I - B(x))P'Q\tilde{Z}(t) + \left(G^x * Q\tilde{Z}\right)(t), \quad (\text{A.26})$$

where $C(t)$ has been defined in (A.10) and $G^x(t)$ is the matrix defined as

$$G^x(t) := \int_x^{x+t} (I - B(u)) du \lambda e(I - P') + (B(x+t) - B(x))P'. \quad (\text{A.27})$$

By (4.42) and Proposition A.2,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \left| Q\tilde{Z}(t) - \frac{\bar{W}(0)}{e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda} QM\lambda \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \left| \left(G^x * Q\tilde{Z}\right)(t) - G^x(\infty) \frac{\bar{W}(0)}{e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda} QM\lambda \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

with

$$G^x(\infty) = M\Lambda\nu^e(I(x))e(I - P') + (I - B(x))P'.$$

One obtains for all $x \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \left| \left(G^x * Q\tilde{Z}\right)(t) - \frac{\bar{W}(0)}{e(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda} M\Lambda\nu^e(I(x)) - (I - B(x))P'Q\tilde{Z}(\infty) \right| = 0. \quad (\text{A.28})$$

On the other hand, for all $x \geq 0$ we have

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} |C(t+x)\bar{Z}(0)| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then by (A.26) and (A.28), there exists $t_a \geq 0$ such that for all $t \geq T(t_a)$ and for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \sup_{x \geq 0} \left| \bar{\mu}^\xi(t)(I(x)) - \Delta^\nu(I(x))\bar{W}(0) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{B}_N^p} \mathbf{d} \left(\bar{\mu}^\xi(t), \Delta^\nu \bar{W}(0) \right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

B Proof of Theorem 4.1

To prove Theorem 4.1, we use the framework of the shifted fluid scaled process introduced by [3] and [20]. Define $\bar{Q}^r(t) = Q^r(rt)/r$. Since $\hat{Q}^r(t) = \bar{Q}^r(rt)$, then studying the diffusion limit on $[0, T]$ for a fixed $T > 0$ is equivalent to study the fluid process on $[0, rT]$. We cover the interval $[0, rT]$ by a set of overlapping intervals $[m, m + L]$ where $m = 0, \dots, \lfloor rT \rfloor$ and $L > 1$. For any $t \in [0, T]$, there exists an $m \in \{0, \dots, \lfloor rT \rfloor\}$ and an $s \in [0, L]$ such that $r^2t = r(m + s)$ and

$$\hat{Q}^r(t) = \bar{Q}^{r,m}(s), \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot) := \bar{Q}^r(m + \cdot)$ represent the shifted fluid scaled version of the process $Q^r(\cdot)$. The proof of Theorem 4.1 entails two main steps. The first step is to establish the precompactness of the sequence of shifted fluid-scaled processes $\{\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot); r > 0, m = 0, \dots, \lfloor rT \rfloor\}$ (cf. Sect B.1). The second step involves demonstrating that, for large r , there exists a set with high probability such that the family of shifted fluid-scaled processes $\{\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq rT\}$ evaluated at some sample path in this set, are uniformly approximated on $[0, L]$ by fluid model solutions to the equation (4.41) (cf. Sect B.2). In addition to the two steps mentioned above, a result on the uniform convergence of the fluid solution to the invariant state is required, as established in the previous section (see Proposition A.2).

B.1 Precompactness of the family of the shifted scaled versions of the process $Q^r(\cdot)$

The aim of this section is to prove Theorem B.1. Following [4, Theorem 3.6.3], we need to establish the compact containment result (Lemma B.5) and the oscillation bound result (Lemma B.7). In the first section, we present dynamic equations satisfied by the shifted fluid-scaled processes $\{\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot), r > 0, m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor\}$. In Section B.1.2, we establish a uniform functional weak law of large numbers. This is crucial for the subsequent proofs and will be frequently employed alongside the dynamic equations. Section B.1.3 contains two upper bound estimates, which lead to the compact containment result in Section B.1.4. Section B.1.5 presents the asymptotic regularity result, which is utilized in Section B.1.6 to prove the oscillation bound result. Finally, we demonstrate the precompactness result in Section B.1.7.

B.1.1 Dynamic equations

Fix $r, T > 0, L > 1$ and $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$. For $t' \in [0, L]$, we denote by $\bar{\gamma}^{r,m}(t') = \bar{\gamma}^r(m + t')$ and $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(t') = \bar{Q}^r(m + t')$ the shifted fluid scaled processes of $\gamma^r(\cdot)$ and $Q^r(\cdot)$. Let $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Borel-measurable function. The dynamic equations (4.37) can be written in a shifted fluid scaled version as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, \bar{Q}^{r,m}(t' + h) \rangle &= \langle (g \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)})(\cdot - \bar{S}^{r,m}(t', t' + h)), \bar{Q}^{r,m}(t') \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t')+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t'+h)} \langle g \mathbf{1}_{(0,\infty)}(\cdot - \bar{S}^{r,m}(U_l^r(i)r^{-1} - m, t' + h)), \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

B.1.2 Uniform Functional weak law of large numbers

Let θ be the constant given in conditions (3.3),(3.6) and (3.8); and let $q > 0$ be a constant such that

$$2q^2 + 6q < \theta. \quad (\text{B.3})$$

The following set of functions will be used below

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{1}_{(x,\infty)} : x \in \mathbb{R}_+\} \cup \{\chi^{1+q}, \chi^{2+2q}\}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Proposition B.1. Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$, $L > 1$, and let $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$. Then,

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left\| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t)} \langle g, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle - (t-s) \langle g, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \rangle \alpha \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Denote Ω_{LN}^r the event in the above.

The following Lemmas are needed for the proof of Proposition B.1. The proof of Lemma B.2 is omitted since it follows immediately from (3.11) and the definition of shifted scaled process $\bar{E}^{r,m}(\cdot)$.

Lemma B.1. Assume conditions (3.5), (3.7) and (3.9). For each $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^+} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \langle g^2 1_{\{g > N\}}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle = 0. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Proof. We have $\langle g^2 1_{\{g > N\}}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle \leq \frac{1}{N^q} \langle g^{2+q}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle$. Thus, to prove (B.6) it suffices to prove

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \langle g^{2+q}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle < \infty. \quad (\text{B.7})$$

By (4.5) and assumptions (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$\langle 1, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle = Q^r \longrightarrow \langle 1, \mathcal{B}_{kl} * \nu_l \rangle = Q. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \geq 0} \langle 1_{(x, \infty)}^{2+q}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle 1, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle < \infty.$$

By assumption (3.9) and Lemma 4.1, we obtain

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle \chi^{4+\theta}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle < \infty. \quad (\text{B.9})$$

Therefore, by definition of the constant q in (B.3), we have

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\chi^{1+q})^{2+q}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \langle (\chi^{2+2q})^{2+q}, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle < \infty.$$

□

Lemma B.2. Assume (3.2)-(3.4). Fix $T > 0$, $L > 1$. For all $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor}} \left\| (\bar{E}^{r,m}(t) - \bar{E}^{r,m}(s)) - (t-s)\alpha \right\| \leq \varepsilon \right) = 1.$$

Denote by Ω_E^r the event in the above set.

Proof of Proposition B.1. Following a basic arithmetic, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left\| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t)} \langle g, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle - (t-s) \langle g, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \rangle \alpha \right\| \\ & \leq \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left\| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t)} \left(\langle g, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle - \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{\bullet l}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle \right) \right\| \\ & \quad + \sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \left\| \langle g, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \rangle \right\| \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor}} \left\| \bar{E}^{r,m}(t) - \bar{E}^{r,m}(s) - (t-s)\alpha \right\| \end{aligned}$$

Label the two terms on the right-hand side of the preceding inequality as I^r and II^r . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, to prove (B.5), it suffices to prove that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(I^r < \varepsilon/2) \geq 1 - \eta/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(II^r < \varepsilon/2) \geq 1 - \eta/2. \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Equation (B.7) implies that there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{A}} \|\langle g, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r \rangle\| \leq C_1 \quad \text{for large } r. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Given that

$$\mathbb{P}^r(II^r < \varepsilon/2) \leq \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor}} \|\bar{E}^{r,m}(t) - \bar{E}^{r,m}(s) - (t-s)\alpha\| \geq \varepsilon/2C_1 \right),$$

and Lemma B.2 implies that the term on the right-hand side of the preceding expression exceeds $1 - \eta/2$. Therefore, the second inequality in (B.10) holds. After a straightforward computation, the set $\{I^r \leq \varepsilon/2\}$ contains

$$\bigcap_{l, k \in \mathcal{K}} \left\{ \sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor}} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t)} (\langle g, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle - \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle) \right| \leq \varepsilon/2K \right\} \quad (\text{B.12})$$

Thus, to show the first inequality of (B.10) it suffices to show that for each $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1 \\ m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor}} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t)} (\langle g, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle - \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle) \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \right) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2K^2}. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Fix $M_1 = 2\|\alpha\|(T+1)$ and $L_1 = 2(L+1)\|\alpha\|$. For any $s \leq t$, denote $l' = \bar{E}_l^{r,m}(t) - \bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)$ and $\ell = r\bar{E}_l^{r,m}(s)$. According to Lemma B.2, the conditions $0 \leq s \leq t \leq L+1$ and $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$ imply $0 < \ell < r^2M_1$ and $0 \leq l' \leq L_1$ for sufficiently large r . Hence, demonstrating (B.13) requires proving

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 < \ell < r^2M_1 \\ 0 \leq l' \leq L_1}} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell+l'} \langle g, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle - l' \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \right) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{2K^2}. \quad (\text{B.14})$$

For each $n \geq 1$, denote by $\nu_{lk}^r(n)$ the law of $V_{lk}^r(1, n)$ conditioning on the event $\{N_k^{r,l}(1) \geq n\}$. Note that $\tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) = \nu_{kl}^r(m) \mathbb{P}(N_k^{r,l}(1) \geq m)$ is the law of $\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m) = V_{lk}^r(i, m) 1_{\{N_k^{r,l}(i) \geq m\}}$. By (4.38) and (ii) Lemma 4.3 we have for all $i \geq 1$,

$$\langle g, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} g(\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m)) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \langle g, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle \quad (\text{B.15})$$

We replace $\langle g, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle$ and $\langle g, \mathcal{B}_{kl}^r * \nu_l^r \rangle$ by their expressions of (B.15) in (B.14). Then, it suffices to prove

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 < \ell < r^2M_1 \\ 0 \leq l' \leq L_1}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell+l'} g(\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m)) - l' \langle g, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle \right) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \right) < \frac{\eta}{2K^2}. \quad (\text{B.16})$$

Let $l, k \in \mathcal{K}$ and $m \geq 1$ be fixed, and denote $\bar{g} := \sup\{g : g \in \mathcal{A}\}$. By Lemma B.1, we have

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^+} \langle \bar{g}^2 1_{\{g > N\}}, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle = 0.$$

Consequently, the sequence $(\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m), i \geq 1)$ satisfies the conditions of the Glivenko-Cantelli estimate in Lemma D.1 [23]. Hence,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 < \ell < r^2 M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell' \leq L_1 \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell + \lceil r \ell' \rceil} g (\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m)) - \ell' \langle g, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{m+1} K} \right) < \frac{\eta}{2^{m+1} K^2} \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Given that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 < \ell < r^2 M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell' \leq L_1 \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell + \lceil r \ell' \rceil} g (\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m)) - \ell' \langle g, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle \right) \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \right) \\ \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{\substack{0 < \ell < r^2 M_1 \\ 0 \leq \ell' \leq L_1 \\ g \in \mathcal{A}}} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=\ell+1}^{\ell + \lceil r \ell' \rceil} g (\tilde{V}_{lk}^r(i, m)) - \ell' \langle g, \tilde{\nu}_{kl}^r(m) \rangle \right| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{m+1} K} \right), \end{aligned}$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then, it follows from (B.17) that the limit inferior of the term on the right-hand side of the above equality is bounded above by $\eta/2K^2$. Therefore, (B.16) holds. \square

B.1.3 Preliminary estimates

In this section, we offer estimates necessary for assessing the tightness of $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot)$. The subsequent two lemmas provide an upper bound for both the moment and the total mass of the shifted fluid scaled process $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot)$.

Lemma B.3. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$ and $L > 1$, and let q be the constant defined in (B.3). For each $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $\tilde{M}_{T,L} > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \|\langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle\|_L \leq \tilde{M}_{T,L} \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Proof. Let $t \in [0, L]$. By bounding the dynamic equation (B.2) with $t' = 0, h = t$ and $g = \chi^{1+q}$, we have

$$\left| \langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(t) \rangle \right| \leq \left| \langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(0) \rangle \right| + \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m+t)} \langle \chi^{1+q}(\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right|. \quad (\text{B.19})$$

By (4.24) and definition of the constant q in (B.3), we obtain ,

$$\langle \chi^{1+q}, \mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^{0,r} \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \chi^{1+q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle < \infty.$$

Furthermore, by definition of $\mathcal{Q}^r(0)$ in (4.39), and by applying Lemma D.5 from [1] with the replacements $V_{lk}^r(i, n)$ by $V_{lk}^{0,r}(i, n)$ and $\bar{E}^r(\cdot)$ by $\bar{Z}(0)$, we have

$$\langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(0) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \chi^{1+q}, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^0 \rangle \bar{Z}(0) < \infty. \quad (\text{B.20})$$

Therefore, there exists a constant $M_{0,q}$, such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r (|\langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{Q}^r(0) \rangle| < M_{0,q}) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.21})$$

Let $\Omega_{0,q}^r$ denote the event described in the preceding set. Under this condition, the first term on the right-hand side of (B.19) is bounded by $M_{0,q}$. To bound the second term on the right-hand side of (B.19), we first examine the event Ω_{LN}^r defined in (B.5). Subsequently, we establish an event wherein the shifted fluid cumulative service process $\bar{S}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ is lower bounded. Indeed, by Proposition 4.2 and the shifted scaling property, there exists a constant $M_\gamma > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \|\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle\|_L \leq M_\gamma \right) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.22})$$

Denote the set under the aforementioned limit by Ω_γ^r . Referring to (B.5), (B.21), and (B.22), we obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r (\Omega_{M,q}^r) \geq 1 - \eta, \quad (\text{B.23})$$

where $\Omega_{M,q}^r = \Omega_\gamma^r \cap \Omega_{0,q}^r \cap \Omega_{LN}^r$. Let ω be a fixed sample path in $\Omega_{M,q}^r$. Throughout the remainder of the proof, all random variables are evaluated at this ω . To bound the second term on the right hand side of (B.19), an issue arises. We cannot utilize the fact that we are on the event Ω_{LN}^r due to the summation ranging from $i = 1$ to $i = r\bar{E}^r(m+t)$. It is worth noting that this can be expressed as the sum from $i = 1 + r\bar{E}^r(0)$ to $i = 1 + r\bar{E}^r(m+t)$. However, the problem lies in the upper bound of m , which is constrained by $\lfloor rT \rfloor$, and this value tends to infinity as r approaches infinity. To address this, we consider two cases: $m \in \{0, 1\}$ and $m \geq 2$. For the first case, the second term on the right hand side of (B.19) is bounded by

$$\left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1+r\bar{E}_l^r(0)}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m+t)} \langle \chi^{1+q}, \vartheta^{r,l} \rangle \right| \leq 2(L+1) |\langle \chi^{1+q}, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})\alpha \rangle|.$$

For $m \geq 2$, the second term on the right hand side of (B.19) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m-1)} \langle \chi^{1+q} (\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right| \\ & + \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1+r\bar{E}_l^r(m-1)}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m+t)} \langle \chi^{1+q} (\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right|. \quad (\text{B.24}) \end{aligned}$$

The second term in (B.24) is bounded by $2(L+1) |\langle \chi^{1+q}, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})\alpha \rangle|$. Now, let us bound the first term of (B.24). To do this, we partition the interval $[0, m-1]$ into subintervals $[m-j-1, m-j]$, where the integer $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. Thus, the first term is bounded by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1+r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j-1)}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j)} \left| \langle \chi^{1+q} (\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right|. \quad (\text{B.25})$$

Considering the definition of $\vartheta^{r,l}(i)$ in (4.38), it becomes apparent that

$$\langle \chi^{1+q} (\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta_k^{r,l}(i) \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)).$$

Suppose there exists $t^* \in [0, m+t]$ such that $e.\bar{Z}^r(t^*) = 0$. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, t^*)).$$

By applying the dynamic equation (B.2) with $m = 0$, $t' = U_l^r(i)/r$, $h = t^* - U_l^r(i)/r$ and $g = \chi^{1+q}$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, t^*)) = 0.$$

Hence, the quantity in (B.25) is zero. Now, consider the scenario where $e.\bar{Z}^r(s) > 0$ for all $s \in [0, m+t]$. Fix $l \in \mathcal{K}$ and $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$. For $r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j-1) < i \leq r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j)$, it follows that $U_l^r(i)/r \in]m-j-1, m-j]$. Therefore

$$\bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t) \geq \bar{S}^r(m-j, m) \geq j/M_\gamma.$$

As a result, for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} \chi^{1+q} (V_{lk}^r(i, n) - j/M_\gamma) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N_k^{l,r}(i)} (\mathbf{1}_{\{j/M_\gamma, \infty\}}) \chi^{-(1+q)} (V_{lk}^r(i, m) \chi^{2+2q} (V_{lk}^r(i, m))). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for each $k \in \mathcal{K}$

$$\langle \chi^{1+q} (\cdot - \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, m+t)), \vartheta_k^{r,l}(i) \rangle \leq \left(\frac{M_\gamma}{j} \right)^{1+q} \langle \chi^{2+2q}, \vartheta_k^{r,l}(i) \rangle. \quad (\text{B.26})$$

Using (B.25) and (B.26), we can bound the second term on the right-hand side of (B.24) by

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\left(\frac{M_\gamma}{j} \right)^{1+q} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=1+r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j-1)}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(m-j)} \left| \langle \chi^{2+2q}, \vartheta_k^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right| \right) \leq 2M_\gamma^{1+q} (1+1/q) \left| \langle \chi^{2+2q}, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})\alpha \rangle \right|,$$

where the last inequality is derived from the event Ω_{LN}^r and by upper-bounding the p -series by $(1 + \int_1^\infty (1/x^{1+p}) dx) \leq (1 + q^{-1})$. Finally, we obtain

$$\| \langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle \|_L \leq \widetilde{M}_{T,L},$$

with

$$\widetilde{M}_{T,L} = M_{0,q} + 2M_\gamma^{1+q} \left| \langle \chi^{2+2q}, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})\alpha \rangle \right| (1+1/q) + 2(L+1) \left| \langle \chi^{1+q}, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V})\alpha \rangle \right|.$$

□

Lemma B.4. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$, $L > 1$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists a constant $\bar{M}_{T,L} > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \| \langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle \|_L \leq \bar{M}_{T,L} \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.27})$$

Proof. Firstly, it should be noted that proving

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\left\| \langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) \rangle \right\|_{[rT]+L} \leq \bar{M}_{T,L} \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.28})$$

is equivalent to proving (B.27). By Lemmas B.2 and B.3, and (B.5), (B.22) we have

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\Omega_E^r \cap \Omega_M^r \cap \Omega_{LN}^r \cap \Omega_\gamma^r \right) \geq 1 - \eta,$$

where Ω_M^r denotes the event in (B.18). Let ω be a fixed sample path in $\Omega_E^r \cap \Omega_M^r \cap \Omega_{LN}^r \cap \Omega_\gamma^r$. In the remainder of the proof, all random objects are evaluated at this ω .

Let us cover the interval $[0, [rT] + L]$, by the overlapping intervals $I_{k'} = [k', k' + 1]$ where $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, [t_1]\}$, and $t_1 = [rT] + L$. The concept here is that if the total mass is bounded by the same constant $\bar{M}_{T,L} > 0$ on each interval $I_{k'}$, then it remains bounded by this constant over the entire interval $[0, [rT] + L]$. Hence, it is enough to demonstrate that for every $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, [t_1]\}$, we have

$$\sup_{t \in I_{k'}} |\langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) \rangle| \leq \bar{M}_{T,L}.$$

Let $t \in I_{k'}$, by using the dynamic equation (B.2) with $m = 0$, $g = 1_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, $t' = k'$, $h = t - k'$, and by bounding the second term of the right-hand side of (B.2) by its total mass, we obtain

$$\langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t) \rangle \leq \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') (I(\bar{S}^r(k', t))) + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(k')+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t)} \langle 1, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \quad (\text{B.29})$$

As we are within the event Ω_{LN}^r , the second term of the right-hand side of (B.29) is bounded by

$$\left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(k')+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(k'+1)} \langle 1, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle \right| \leq |Q\alpha| + 1 = |\lambda| + 1.$$

Now, let us bound the first term of the right-hand side of (B.29). Firstly, consider the scenario where $e.\bar{Z}^r(s) > 0$ for any $s \in [k', k' + 1]$. Given that we are within the event Ω_γ^r , the total mass $\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(t) \rangle$ is bounded by M_γ over the interval $[0, [rT] + L]$. Consequently, $\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(t) \rangle$ is bounded on each interval $I_{k'}$ with $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, [t_1] - 1\}$. However, it is necessary to bound $\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(t) \rangle$ on $I_{[t_1]}$. Using (4.1) and considering that we are within the event Ω_E^r , we have for $t \in I_{[t_1]}$

$$\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(t) \rangle \leq \langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r([t_1]) \rangle + \sum_{k=1}^K (\bar{E}_k^r([t_1] + 1) - \bar{E}_k^r([t_1])) \leq M_\gamma + e.\alpha.$$

This suggests that for each $k' \in \{0, 1, \dots, [t_1]\}$, we have $\sup_{t \in I_{k'}} \langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(t) \rangle \leq M_\gamma + e.\alpha$. Therefore $\bar{S}^r(k', k' + 1) = \int_{k'}^{k'+1} 1 / (\langle 1, \bar{\gamma}^r(s) \rangle) ds \geq 1 / (M_\gamma + e.\alpha)$. Thus, the first term on (B.29) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') (I(\bar{S}^r(k', k' + 1)))| &\leq |\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') (I(1/(M_\gamma + e.\alpha)))| \\ &\leq (M_\gamma + e.\alpha)^{1+q} |\langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') \rangle| \leq (M_\gamma + e.\alpha)^{1+q} \widetilde{M}_{T,L}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is derived from Markov's inequality and the last one arises from fact that we are within the event Ω_M^r . Now, assume there exists an $s \in [k', k' + 1]$ such that $e.\bar{Z}^r(s) = 0$. In that scenario by applying the dynamic equation (B.2) with $m = 0$, $g = 1_{\mathbb{R}_+}$, $t' = k'$, $h = s - k'$, we obtain $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') (I(\bar{S}^r(k', s))) = 0$, and since $\bar{S}^r(k', s) \leq \bar{S}^r(k', k' + 1)$, then

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(k') (I(\bar{S}^r(k', k' + 1))) = 0 \leq (M_\gamma + e.\alpha)^{1+q} \widetilde{M}_{T,L}.$$

Finally, we have our result with the constant $\bar{M}_{T,L} = (M_\gamma + e.\alpha)^{1+q} \widetilde{M}_{T,L} + |\lambda| + 1$. \square

B.1.4 Compact containment

The following lemma establishes the compact containment of the shifted fluid scaled process $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ on $[0, L]$, which is the first step to prove the compactness.

Lemma B.5. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$, $L > 1$ and $\eta > 0$, there exists a compact subset $\mathbb{K}_{T,L} \subset \mathcal{M}^K$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\bar{Q}^{r,m}(t) \in \mathbb{K}_{T,L} \quad \forall m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor \quad t \in [0, L] \right) \geq 1 - \eta$$

Proof. From Lemmas B.3 and B.4, we obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \left\| \langle 1, \bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle \vee \langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot) \rangle \right\|_L \leq M_T \right) = 1, \quad (\text{B.30})$$

where $M_{T,L} = \widetilde{M}_{T,L} \vee \bar{M}_{T,L}$. Define $\mathbb{C}_{T,L} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{M} : \langle 1, \xi \rangle \vee \langle \chi^{1+q}, \xi \rangle \leq M_T\}$ such that for $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$ and $t \in [0, L]$, we have $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(t) \in (\mathbb{C}_{T,L})^K$. By the Markov's inequality, we have

$$\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{C}_{T,L}} \langle 1_{[c,\infty)}, \xi \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } c \rightarrow \infty,$$

which implies by [13, Theorem A.7.5] that $\mathbb{C}_{T,L}$ is relatively compact. Thus, the set $\mathbb{K}_{T,L} = \mathbb{C}_{T,L}^K$ is relatively compact. \square

B.1.5 Asymptotic regularity

In the following lemma, we show that the shifted fluid scaled process $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ assigns arbitrarily small mass to small intervals; this is essential to establish the oscillation bound.

Lemma B.6. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$ and $L > 1$. For each $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ there exists a $\kappa > 0$ (depending on ε and η) such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left\| \bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot) \right\|_{L, [x, x + \kappa]} \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.31})$$

Proof. By definition of the shifted scaled process $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ it suffices to prove

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left\| \bar{Q}^r(t) \right\|_{L, [x, x + \kappa]} \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \eta.$$

Define the event $\Omega_z^r = \left\{ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left| \bar{Q}^r(0)([x, x + \kappa]) \right| \leq \varepsilon/2 \right\}$. By employing a reasoning similar to that used to derive (79) in [22], we obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r (\Omega_z^r) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.32})$$

From equations (B.5), (B.22), (B.30), and (B.32), we derive

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r (\Omega_A^r) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.33})$$

Here, the event $\Omega_A^r := \Omega_z^r \cap \Omega_{LN}^r \cap \Omega_\gamma^r \cap \Omega_C^r$, with Ω_C^r representing the event in (B.30). Let ω be a fixed sample path in Ω_A^r . In the remainder of the proof, all random variables are evaluated at this ω .

Let $t \in [0, \lfloor rT \rfloor + L]$, and recall the constants M_γ and M_T defined in the events Ω_γ^r and Ω_C^r , respectively. Define

$$t_1 = \max \left(t_0, t - M_\gamma (2M_T/\varepsilon)^{1/(1+q)} \right),$$

where $t_0 = \sup\{s \leq t : \langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(s) \rangle \leq \varepsilon/4\}$ if the supremum exists, and zero otherwise. Applying the dynamic equation (B.2) with $g = 1_{\{[x, x+\kappa]\}}$, $t' = t_1$ and $h = t - t_1$; we have for each $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t)([x, x + \kappa]) &= \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t)) \\ &+ \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(t_1)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t)} \vartheta^{r,l}(i)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, t)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.34})$$

If $t_1 = 0$, then by (B.32) for each $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $|\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(0)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t))| \leq \varepsilon/2$. If $t_1 = t_0 > 0$ then for each $\delta > 0$, there exists $s \in (t_1 - \delta, t_1]$ such that

$$|\langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(s) \rangle| \leq \varepsilon/4. \quad (\text{B.35})$$

By applying (B.2) to $g = 1_{\{[x, x+\kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t)\}}$, $t' = s$ and $h = t_1 - s$, we have

$$\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t)) \leq \langle 1, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(s) \rangle + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t_1)} \langle 1, \vartheta^{r,l}(i) \rangle.$$

Given that we are on the event Ω_A^r , especially Ω_{LN}^r , then for large r , it follows that $|\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t))| \leq \varepsilon/4 + 2\delta|\lambda|$. If we choose δ sufficiently small such that $2\delta|\lambda| < \varepsilon/4$, we obtain

$$|\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t))| < \varepsilon/2.$$

If $t_1 = t - M_\gamma (2M_T/\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+q}} > 0$, then $\bar{S}^r(t_1, t) \geq (t - t_1)/M_\gamma \geq (2M_T/\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+q}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(t_1, t))| &\leq \left| \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1) \left(I \left((2M_T/\varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+q}} \right) \right) \right| \\ &\leq |\langle \chi^{1+q}, \bar{\mathcal{Q}}^r(t_1) \rangle| (\varepsilon/2M_T) \leq \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from Markov's inequality, and the final one is a consequence of the event Ω_C^r . As a result, the first term in the right-hand side of (B.34) is bounded by $\varepsilon/2$.

Now let us bound the second term on the right-hand side of (B.34). Denote

$$I^r := \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(t_1)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t)} \vartheta^{r,l}(i)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, t)). \quad (\text{B.36})$$

Fix $\delta > 0$ and $0 < \kappa < \delta/M_\gamma$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_N = t$ be a partition of the interval $[t_1, t]$ such that $t_{j+1} - t_j = \delta$ for all $j = 1, \dots, N-1$. By definition of t_1 , we have $N \leq (M_\gamma/\delta) (2M_T/\varepsilon)^{1/(1+q)}$. We can write I^r as

$$I^r = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(t_j)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t_{j+1})} \vartheta^{r,l}(i)([x, x + \kappa] + \bar{S}^r(U_l^r(i)/r, t)).$$

We have

$$|I^r| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \left| \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(t_j)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t_{j+1})} \vartheta^{r,l}(i)(C_j) \right|,$$

where

$$C_j = [x + \bar{S}^r(t_{j+1}, t), x + \kappa + \bar{S}^r(t_j, t)].$$

Choose

$$\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\delta \varepsilon^{1+1/(1+q)}}{4M_\gamma(2M_T)^{1/(1+q)}}.$$

For large r we have

$$|I^r| \leq \delta \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |(\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r)(C_j)\alpha| + N\varepsilon_1.$$

The intervals $\{C_j\}_{j=1}^{N-1}$ are pairwise disjoint. In fact,

$$\bar{S}^r(t_{j+2}, t) - \bar{S}^r(t_j, t) - \kappa = \bar{S}^r(t_{j+2}, t_j) - \kappa \geq 2\delta/M_\gamma - \kappa > 0,$$

where the last inequality follows from the definition of δ and κ . Therefore,

$$|I^r| \leq \delta \left| (\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r) \left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} C_j \right) \alpha \right| + N\varepsilon_1.$$

Since $\mathcal{B}^r * \mathcal{V}^r$ is a positive finite measure, then if we choose $\kappa, \delta < 1$ small, we get

$$|I^r| \leq 2N\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

□

B.1.6 Oscillation bound

In this section, we establish the oscillation bound result, which is the second major step to prove the precompactness. For $L > 1$, $\zeta(\cdot) \in \mathbf{D}([0, \infty), \mathcal{M}^K)$ and $\delta > 0$, we define the modulus of continuity of $\zeta(\cdot)$ on $[0, L]$ as

$$\mathbf{w}_L(\zeta(\cdot), \delta) = \sup_{s, t \in [0, L], |s-t| < \delta} \mathbf{d}(\zeta(s), \zeta(t)).$$

Lemma B.7. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $T > 0$, $L > 1$. For each $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\max_{m \leq [rT]} \mathbf{w}_L(\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot), \delta) \leq \varepsilon \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad (\text{B.37})$$

Proof. Define the event $\Omega_{As}^r = \{ \max_{m \leq [rT]} \| \bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)([0, \kappa]) \|_L \leq \varepsilon/4 \}$. According to Lemma B.6, for each $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$, there exists a $\kappa > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(\Omega_{As}^r) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.38})$$

From (B.5) and (B.38), we have $\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(\Omega_{LN}^r \cap \Omega_{As}^r) \geq 1 - \eta$. Fix $r > 0$ and let ω be a fixed sample path in $\Omega_{As}^r \cap \Omega_{LN}^r$. For the remainder of the proof all random quantities with index r are evaluated at this ω . Fix $m \leq [rT]$ and define

$$I := \{u \in [0, L] : e.\bar{Z}^{r,m}(u) = 0\}$$

$$m_\gamma := \inf\{e.\bar{Z}^{r,m}(u) : u \in [0, L] \setminus I\}.$$

Choose $\delta = \min\{m_\gamma \kappa, m_\gamma \varepsilon, \varepsilon/4|\lambda|\}$ and consider $0 \leq s < t \leq L$ with $t - s < \delta$. Fix $k \in \mathcal{K}$ and let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ a closed Borel set. By definition of the metric $\mathbf{d}(\cdot, \cdot)$, it suffices to show the following two inequalities

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B) \leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(t)(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \quad (\text{B.39})$$

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(t)(B) \leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon. \quad (\text{B.40})$$

Case 1: If $I \cap [s, t] \neq \emptyset$, then $e.\bar{Z}^{r,m}(u) > 0$ on the interval $[s, t]$. By definition of the event Ω_{As}^r , we have

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B) \leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)([0, \kappa]) + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B \cap I(\kappa)) \leq \varepsilon/4 + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B \cap I(\kappa)). \quad (\text{B.41})$$

Considering the definitions of m_γ and δ , we obtain

$$\bar{S}^{r,m}(s, t) \leq \frac{(t-s)}{m_\gamma} \leq \frac{\delta}{m_\gamma} \leq \min\{\kappa, \varepsilon\}. \quad (\text{B.42})$$

Consequently, $B \cap I(\kappa) \subset B \subset B^\varepsilon + \bar{S}^{r,m}(s, t)$. From (B.41) and by applying (B.2) with $t' = s$, $h = t - s$, $g = 1_{B^\varepsilon}$, we obtain

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4} + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B^\varepsilon + \bar{S}^{r,m}(s, t)) \leq \varepsilon + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(t)(B^\varepsilon).$$

Therefore (B.39) is proven.

Let us show (B.40). By using (B.2) with $t' = s$ and $h = t - s$, and by bounding the second term by its total mass, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(t)(B) &\leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B + \bar{S}^{r,m}(s, t)) + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t)} \langle 1, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle \\ &\leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B^\varepsilon) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality above comes from the fact that (B.42) implies $B + \bar{S}^{r,m}(s, t) \subset B^\varepsilon$, and by definition of δ . Therefore (B.40) is proven.

Case 2: $I \cap [s, t] = \emptyset$. Let $\tau = \inf I \cap [s, t]$, consequently, $e.\bar{Z}^{r,m}(u) > 0$ on the interval $[s, \tau)$. Therefore,

$$\bar{S}^{r,m}(s, \tau) \leq \frac{\delta}{m_\gamma} < \kappa.$$

Given that we are in the event Ω_{As}^r , we have

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B) \leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)([0, \kappa]) + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(I(\kappa)) \leq \varepsilon/4 + \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(I(\bar{S}^{r,m}(s, \tau))).$$

By using (B.2) with $g = 1_{(0, \infty)}$, $t' = s$ and $h = \tau - s$, and by using the fact that $\langle 1, \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(\tau) \rangle = 0$, we get that

$$\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(I(\bar{S}^{r,m}(s, \tau))) = 0.$$

Hence, $\bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(s)(B) \leq \varepsilon/4$. Therefore (B.39) is proven. Let us establish (B.40). Once again, by using (B.2) with $g = 1_B$, $t' = \tau$ and $h = t - \tau$, and by bounding the second term by its total mass, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(t)(B) &\leq \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(\tau)(B + \bar{S}^{r,m}(\tau, t)) + \frac{1}{r} \sum_{l=1}^K \sum_{i=r\bar{E}_l^r(s)+1}^{r\bar{E}_l^r(t)} \langle 1, \vartheta_{lk}^r(i) \rangle \\ &\leq 2\delta|\lambda| \leq \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from the fact that $\langle 1, \bar{Q}_k^{r,m}(\tau) \rangle = 0$ and the condition that we are within the event Ω_{LN}^r , while the last one stems from the definition of δ . Therefore (B.40) is proven. \square

B.1.7 Precompactness

Building on the findings from earlier sections, we define an event on which any sequence of simple paths of the shifted scaled process $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ is relatively compact.

By Lemma B.7, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $\eta > 0$, there exists $\mathcal{C} = \{(\delta_k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \Omega^r(k, \mathcal{C}) \right) \geq 1 - \eta,$$

where $\Omega^r(k, \mathcal{C}) = \{ \max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \mathbf{w}_L(\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot), \delta_k) \leq 1/k \}$. Then, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $r(n) \geq 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}^r \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^n \Omega^r(k, \mathcal{C}) \right) \geq 1 - \eta \quad \forall r > r(n).$$

Define $n(r) = \sup\{n \in \mathbb{N} : r(n) < r\}$ if $r > r(1)$ and 0 otherwise; and let $\Omega^r(\mathcal{C}) = \bigcap_{k=1}^{n(r)} \Omega^r(k, \mathcal{C})$. On one hand $n(r)$ goes to infinity as $r \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand the set $\Omega^r(\mathcal{C})$ is non empty since $n(r) > 1$ for large r . This implies

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(\Omega^r(\mathcal{C})) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.43})$$

As previously demonstrated in Section 4.1, the processor γ^r behaves as a single class processor sharing queue. Consequently, according to [7, Lemma 4.4], there exists an event Ω_Γ^r such that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(\Omega_\Gamma^r) \geq 1 - \eta, \quad (\text{B.44})$$

where the process $\{\tilde{\gamma}^{r,m}(\cdot), m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor\}$ is uniformly approximated on $[0, L]$ by a certain process $\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot)$ which is a fluid solution of (4.27). Let $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence on \mathbb{R}_+ which goes to infinity, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} \max_{m_n \leq \lfloor r_n T \rfloor} \boldsymbol{\rho}(\tilde{\gamma}^{r_n, m_n}(\omega, t), \tilde{\gamma}(t)) = 0, \quad (\text{B.45})$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} \max_{m_n \leq \lfloor r_n T \rfloor} \left| \bar{W}^{r_n, m_n}(\omega, t) - \tilde{W}(t) \right| = 0, \quad (\text{B.46})$$

where $\tilde{W}(t) = \langle \chi, \tilde{\gamma}(t) \rangle$. Let Ω_{CC}^r denote the event in (B.30) and let $\Omega_F^r = \Omega_{LN}^r \cap \Omega_{CC}^r \cap \Omega^r(\mathcal{C}) \cap \Omega_\Gamma^r$. From (B.5), (B.30) and (B.43)-(B.44), It follows that

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^r(\Omega_F^r) \geq 1 - \eta. \quad (\text{B.47})$$

Denote by \mathcal{D}_L^r the set of $\xi^r(\cdot) \in \mathbf{D}([0, L], \mathcal{M}^K)$ such that $\xi^r(\cdot) \equiv \bar{Q}^{r,m}(\omega, \cdot)$ with $\omega \in \Omega_F^r$ and $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$. Now, we present the precompactness result of $\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\cdot)$, as derived from [4, Theorem 3.6.3].

Theorem B.1. *Fix $T > 0$ and assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Let $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence on \mathbb{R}_+ approaching infinity. Any sequence $(\xi^{r_n}(\cdot))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\xi^{r_n}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{D}_L^{r_n}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is precompact in $\mathbf{D}([0, L], \mathcal{M}^K)$. Furthermore, any subsequence $(\xi^{r_{n_i}}(\cdot))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a continuous process $\tilde{Q}(\cdot)$. In other words*

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq L} \mathbf{d} \left(\xi^{r_{n_i}}(t), \tilde{Q}(t) \right) = 0. \quad (\text{B.48})$$

B.2 State space collapse proof

In this section, we prove the state space collapse stated in Theorem 4.1. For the rest of this paper, ω is fixed on the event Ω_F^r .

We consider the multiclass fluid model defined in Section 2.3 associated with critical data (α, ν, P) and initial state $\xi \in \mathcal{M}^{c,K}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$ be the set of the convergent subsequences in Theorem B.1, which is non-empty. The following lemma establishes that the elements of this set are fluid solutions to equation (4.41).

Lemma B.8. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Let q the constant defined in (B.3). Fix $L > 1$ and $T > 1$, any element $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$ is a fluid solution of the equation (4.41).*

The following lemma states that for sufficiently large r , any shifted fluid scaled process $\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\cdot)$ evaluated at a some sample path in Ω_F^r is uniformly approximated on $[0, L]$ by some element of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$.

Lemma B.9. *Assume (3.1)-(3.10) and (3.13)-(3.16). Fix $L > 1$. For any $\xi^r \in \mathcal{D}_L^r$ there exists $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$, such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} \mathbf{d} \left(\xi^r(t), \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(t) \right) = 0.$$

The proof of those previous lemmas are omitted as it closely resembles arguments from the literature for single-class systems.

Proof of Theorem 4.1

Fix $\omega \in \Omega_F^r$, and assume that all random objects are evaluated at this ω . According to (B.47) it is sufficient to show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r' > 0$ such that for all $r > r_0$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \mathbf{d} \left(\hat{\mathcal{Q}}^r(\omega, t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \widehat{W}^r(\omega, t) \right) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (\text{B.49})$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma B.8, any $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(\cdot) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$ is a fluid solution to the equation (4.41). By (3.6) and (3.16), we have $\langle \chi^{3+\theta}, \nu^0 \rangle < \infty$. Consequently, by the definition of the constant q in (B.3), we derive $\langle \chi^{1+q}, \xi \rangle$. Therefore, it follows from Proposition A.2 that

$$\mathbf{d} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \widetilde{W}(t) \right) \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0,$$

where $\widetilde{W}(\cdot) = \langle \chi, \tilde{\gamma}(\cdot) \rangle$ and such that $\tilde{\gamma}(\cdot)$ is a fluid solution of (4.27). This implies the existence of $L^* > 0$ such that for all $s \geq L^*$,

$$\mathbf{d} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}(s), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \widetilde{W}(s) \right) < \varepsilon/3. \quad (\text{B.50})$$

Fix a constant $L > L^* + 1$. Considering that the interval $[0, rT]$ is encompassed by the union of the interval $[0, L^*]$ and the set of overlapping intervals $[m + L^*, m + L]$ with $m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor$, demonstrating (B.49) requires showing

$$\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \sup_{t \in [L^*, L]} \mathbf{d} \left(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,m}(\omega, t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}^{r,m}(\omega, t) \right) \leq \varepsilon, \quad (\text{B.51})$$

$$\sup_{t \in [0, L^*]} \mathbf{d} \left(\bar{\mathcal{Q}}^{r,0}(\omega, t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}^{r,0}(\omega, t) \right) \leq \varepsilon. \quad (\text{B.52})$$

From Lemma B.9, there exists $\tilde{Q}(\cdot) \in \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_L$ and $r_0 > 0$ such that for all $r > r_0$

$$\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} \mathbf{d} \left(\bar{Q}^{r,m}(\omega, t), \tilde{Q}(t) \right) < \varepsilon/3. \quad (\text{B.53})$$

Having (B.53) and (B.50), it remains to prove (B.54) in order to show (B.51). Let a and b two vectors in \mathbb{R}_+^K , and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ a Borel set. Given that $\langle 1, \mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e \rangle = Q$, a simple computation yields

$$\mathbf{d}[(\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e) a, (\mathcal{B} * \mathcal{V}^e) b] \leq |b - a| |Q|.$$

Using the previous formula, we obtain for all $r > 0$ and $t \in [0, L]$

$$\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \mathbf{d} \left(\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}^{r,m}(\omega, t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \tilde{W}(t) \right) \leq \frac{|Q| |M\lambda|}{e.(\frac{1}{2}M^{(2)} + MP'QM)\lambda} \left| \bar{W}^{r,m}(\omega, t) - \tilde{W}(t) \right|.$$

Therefore, by (B.46) there exists $r_1 > 0$ such that for all $r > r_1$,

$$\max_{m \leq \lfloor rT \rfloor} \sup_{t \in [0, L]} \mathbf{d} \left(\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \bar{W}^{r,m}(\omega, t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \tilde{W}(t) \right) < \varepsilon/3. \quad (\text{B.54})$$

As a result, (B.51) follows for all $r > r' = \min\{r_0, r_1\}$. To prove (B.52), it suffices to show,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, L^*]} \mathbf{d} \left(\tilde{Q}(t), \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \tilde{W}(t) \right) < \varepsilon/3,$$

It follows from assumption (3.16) and Proposition A.1 and definition of the lifting map $\mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu$, that

$$\tilde{Q}(t) = \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \tilde{W}(0) = \mathcal{B} * \Delta^\nu \tilde{W}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Thus the result is proved.

Index of Notation

\mathcal{K}	the set of all classes
$I(x)$	the interval $[x, \infty)$
e	row vector of ones
P'	the transpose of the routing matrix P
Q	the matrix $I + P' + P'^2 + \dots = (I - P')^{-1}$
\mathcal{M}	the space of finite, positive Borel measures on \mathbb{R}_+ .
\mathcal{M}^K	the K -ary Cartesian power of \mathcal{M}
$\langle g, \nu \rangle$	$\int g d\nu$
$\nu(A)$	$\langle 1_A, \nu \rangle$
$\langle g(\cdot - a), \nu \rangle$	$\int_{[a, \infty)} g(x - a) \nu(dx)$
$\mathbf{D}([0, \infty), S)$	the space of all right continuous S -valued functions with finite left limits defined on the interval $[0, \infty)$
$v_k(i)$	the service times for the i^{th} class k job
$v_k^0(i)$	the service times requirement of the i^{th} initial job at class k .
ν_k	the Borel probability measure of v_k
ν_k^0	the Borel probability measure of v_k^0
ν	the probability measure vector $(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_K)$
ν^0	the probability measure vector $(\nu_1^0, \nu_2^0, \dots, \nu_K^0)$
M	$diag\{\langle \chi, \nu_k \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
$M^{(2)}$	$diag\{\langle \chi^2, \nu_k \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
M^0	$diag\{\langle \chi, \nu_k^0 \rangle, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
$B_k(t)$	the distribution function of ν_k
$B(t)$	$diag\{B_k(t), k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
\mathcal{V}	$diag\{\nu_k, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
\mathcal{V}^0	$diag\{\nu_k^0, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
F^{*n}	convolution of F n times
$\mathcal{B}(t)$	the matrix function $\sum_{n \geq 0} (BP')^{*n}$
Δ^ν	the lifting map associated with the measure ν
$\sigma_k(i)$	the arrival epoch of the i^{th} job to arrive at class k
$N_k^l(i)$	total number of visits to class k by the i^{th} job entering the system as a job of class l .
$V_k(i)$	the total service time required by the i^{th} exogenous job of class k until their departure from the server
$V_k^0(i)$	the total service times required by the i^{th} initial job of class k
$U_k(i)$	the time at which the i^{th} arrival of class k enters the system
$V_{lk}(i, n)$	the sum of service times required by the i^{th} job of class l from its arrival until its n^{th} visit to class k (included)
$V_{lk}^0(i, n)$	total service time required by the i^{th} initial job of class l until its n^{th} visit to class k
$U_l(i)$	the exogenous arrival epoch of the i^{th} job of class l
$S(t)$	the amount of service received in the interval $[0, t]$
$S(s, t)$	the amount of service received in the interval $[s, t]$
ν_k^e	the excess lifetime distribution associated with ν
ν^e	the vector $(\nu_1^e, \nu_2^e, \dots, \nu_K^e)$
\mathcal{V}^e	$diag\{\nu_k^e, k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
$B_k^e(t)$	the distribution function of ν_k^e
$B^e(t)$	the matrix $diag\{B_k^e(t), k \in \mathcal{K}\}$
$\dot{B}(t)$	the derivative of $B(t)$

References

- [1] Abdelghani Ben Tahar and Alain Jean-Marie. The fluid limit of the multiclass processor sharing queue". *Queueing Systems*, 71(4):347–404, 2012.
- [2] P Billingsley. *Convergence of Probability Measures*. Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [3] Maury Bramson. State space collapse with application to heavy traffic limits for multiclass queueing networks. *Queueing Systems*, 30(1):89–140, 1998.
- [4] Stewart N Ethier and Thomas G Kurtz. *Markov processes: Characterization and convergence*. 1986.
- [5] Amal Ezzidani, Abdelghani Ben Taher, et al. The fluid limit of feed-forward networks of critical multiclass processor sharing queues. *Manuscript submitted*, 2024.
- [6] H C Gromoll, P. Robert, and B Zwart. Fluid limits for processor sharing queues with impatience. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 33(2):375–402, 2008.
- [7] H Christian Gromoll. Diffusion approximation for a processor sharing queue in heavy traffic. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 14(2):555–611, 2004.
- [8] H Christian Gromoll, Lukasz Kruk, et al. Heavy traffic limit for a processor sharing queue with soft deadlines. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 17(3):1049–1101, 2007.
- [9] H Christian Gromoll, Lukasz Kruk, and Amber L Puha. Diffusion limits for shortest remaining processing time queues. *Stochastic Systems*, 1(1):1–16, 2011.
- [10] H Christian Gromoll, Amber L Puha, Ruth J Williams, et al. The fluid limit of a heavily loaded processor sharing queue. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 12(3):797–859, 2002.
- [11] J Michael Harrison and Ruth J Williams. Brownian models of feedforward queueing networks: Quasireversibility and product form solutions. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, pages 263–293, 1992.
- [12] Chen Hong and Zhang Hanqin. Diffusion approximations for some multiclass queueing networks with fifo service disciplines. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 25(4):679–707, 2000.
- [13] O Kallenberg. *Random measures fourth edition* akademie, 1986.
- [14] Lukasz Kruk, John Lehoczky, Steven Shreve, and Shu-Ngai Yeung. Earliest-deadline-first service in heavy-traffic acyclic networks. 2004.
- [15] Vlada Limic. On the behavior of lifo preemptive resume queues in heavy traffic. 2000.
- [16] Torgny Lindvall. *Lectures on the coupling method*. Courier Corporation, 2002.
- [17] Eva Horne Loeser. *Fluid Limit for a Multi-Server, Multiclass Random Order of Service Queue with Reneging and Tracking of Residual Patience Times*. PhD thesis, University of California, San Diego, 2024.
- [18] Amber L Puha, Ruth J Williams, et al. Invariant states and rates of convergence for a critical fluid model of a processor sharing queue. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 14(2):517–554, 2004.
- [19] Stolyar Williams Ruth J Puha, Amber L. The fluid limit of an overloaded processor sharing queue. *Math. Oper. Res.*, 31(2):316–350, 2006.

- [20] Ruth J Williams. Diffusion approximations for open multiclass queueing networks: sufficient conditions involving state space collapse. *Queueing systems*, 30(1-2):27–88, 1998.
- [21] Ruth J Williams. Stochastic processing networks. *Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application*, 3(1):323–345, 2016.
- [22] Jiheng Zhang, JG Dai, and Bert Zwart. Law of large number limits of limited processor-sharing queues. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 34(4):937–970, 2009.
- [23] Jiheng Zhang, JG Dai, Bert Zwart, et al. Diffusion limits of limited processor sharing queues. *The Annals of Applied Probability*, 21(2):745–799, 2011.