

TOEPLITZ UNLABELED SENSING

XIN HONG MANOLIS C. TSAKIRIS

ABSTRACT. Unlabeled sensing is the problem of recovering an element of a vector subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , from its image under an unknown permutation of the coordinates and knowledge of the subspace. Here we study this problem for the special class of subspaces that admit a Toeplitz basis.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{V} be a d -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n , let $v \in \mathcal{V}$, $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a permutation of the coordinates of \mathbb{R}^n , and $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ a coordinate projection (viewed as an endomorphism). In their seminal work [UHV15, UHV18] the authors posed and studied the *unlabeled sensing* problem, which concerns the recovery of v from the data $\rho\pi(v)$, \mathcal{V} . Their main result was that if $2d \leq r$, where r is the number of coordinates preserved by the coordinate projection ρ , and if \mathcal{V} is sufficiently generic, then unique recovery is possible. This result was generalized in [Tsa23, PT21], where the authors replaced $\rho\pi$ by an arbitrary endomorphism of \mathbb{R}^n , obtaining analogous statements valid for a generic linear subspace \mathcal{V} .

On the other hand, the subspaces \mathcal{V} that occur in applications often have special structure, as dictated by the nature of the application. In this paper we are motivated by the potential application of unlabeled sensing to signal processing and control systems, where the output signal of some known linear time-invariant filter is only available up to a permutation of its samples. Viewed as an element of \mathbb{R}^n (in a finite interval in discrete time), the output signal v is constrained to lie in the column-space \mathcal{V} of a Toeplitz matrix, the latter determined by the impulse response of the filter [OSB99]. We note immediately that the theorem of [UHV18] can not be applied, because the set of d -dimensional linear subspaces of \mathbb{R}^n that can be represented by an $n \times d$ Toeplitz matrix is a $(n + d - 2)$ -dimensional subvariety of the $d(n - d)$ -dimensional Grassmannian variety $\text{Gr}(d, n)$. Consequently, the aim of this paper is to establish theory for unlabeled sensing that explicitly takes into consideration the combinatorics imposed by the Toeplitz structure. In particular, we study the following question:

Question 1. *Suppose that $n \geq 2d$. Let \mathbb{K} be an infinite field and \mathcal{V} a d -dimensional linear subspace of \mathbb{K}^n spanned by the columns of a Toeplitz matrix $V \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times d}$. Let $\pi : \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ be a permutation of the coordinates of \mathbb{K}^n . Suppose $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $v_1 = \pi(v_2)$. Under what conditions can we conclude $v_1 = v_2$?*

This research is partially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2023YFA1009402) and by the CAS Project for Young Scientists in Basic Research (YSBR-034).

2. RESULTS

In this section we present the results of this paper. We begin with a convenient definition:

Definition 1. *If the conclusion $v_1 = v_2$ holds in Question 1, then we say that the Unlabeled Sensing Property holds true for \mathcal{V} and π , or for short, that $\text{USP}(\mathcal{V}, \pi)$ holds.*

Observe that the property $\text{USP}(\mathcal{V}, \pi)$ is equivalent to saying that \mathcal{V} meets its image $\pi(\mathcal{V})$ only inside the eigenspace of π associated to eigenvalue 1; i.e.

$$(1) \quad \text{USP}(\mathcal{V}, \pi) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V} \cap \pi(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{N}(I - P) \cap \mathcal{V};$$

here I is the $n \times n$ identity matrix, P is the permutation matrix that represents π , and $\mathcal{N}(I - P)$ is the right nullspace of the matrix $I - P$. Set $r_0 := \text{rank}(I - P)$; this is the codimension of the eigenspace of π associated to eigenvalue 1. We will see in Lemma 3 that for V generic Toeplitz, the vector space $\mathcal{N}(I - P) \cap \mathcal{V}$ has the expected dimension, i.e.

$$\dim(\mathcal{N}(I - P) \cap \mathcal{V}) = \max\{d - r_0, 0\}.$$

Hence, as there is always an inclusion of vector spaces

$$\mathcal{N}(I - P) \cap \mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{V} \cap \pi(\mathcal{V}),$$

and after expressing via Grassman's formula the dimension of $\mathcal{V} \cap \pi(\mathcal{V})$ in terms of the dimension of $\mathcal{V} + \pi(\mathcal{V}) = \mathcal{C}([V, PV])$, where $\mathcal{C}([V, PV])$ indicates the column-space of the $n \times 2d$ matrix $[V, PV]$, the conditions in (1) become equivalent to

$$(2) \quad \text{rank}[V, PV] = 2d - \max\{d - r_0, 0\}.$$

It becomes evident that the key to answering Question 1 is in turn:

Question 2. *How does the function $P \mapsto \text{rank}[V, PV]$ behave as P ranges among the set of permutation matrices?*

In this direction, the main technical contribution of this paper is Theorem 1; to state it, we need some notation. Denote by J the $n \times n$ lower Jordan block associated to eigenvalue zero; by convention $J^0 = I$. If A is a matrix, $A_{\alpha:\alpha', \beta:\beta'}$ is the submatrix of A corresponding to rows $\alpha, \alpha + 1, \dots, \alpha'$ and columns $\beta, \beta + 1, \dots, \beta'$.

Theorem 1. *If there exists an integer $0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{2}$ such that*

$$r_t := \text{rank}((P - J^t)_{t+1:n, 1:n}) \leq d - 2t,$$

or if there exists an integer $-\frac{d}{2} \leq t < 0$ such that

$$r_t := \text{rank}((P - (J^\top)^{-t})_{1:n+t, 1:n}) \leq d + 2t,$$

then $\text{rank}[V, PV] = d + r_t + 2|t|$, for V generic Toeplitz.

As a corollary to Theorem 1, we have the following Toeplitz unlabeled sensing theorem:

Theorem 2. *Suppose $r_0 \leq d$; then $\text{USP}(\mathcal{C}(V), \pi)$ holds for V generic Toeplitz. Suppose $r_0 > d$; if there exists an integer $0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{2}$ such that*

$$r_t := \text{rank}((P - J^t)_{t+1:n, 1:n}) \leq d - 2t,$$

or if there exists an integer $-\frac{d}{2} \leq t < 0$ such that

$$r_t := \text{rank}((P - (J^\top)^{-t})_{1:n+t, 1:n}) \leq d + 2t,$$

then $\text{USP}(\mathcal{C}(V), \pi)$ holds for V generic Toeplitz if and only if $r_t = d - 2|t|$.

While a complete answer to Question 2 remains elusive, we pose the following conjecture, which we have verified by exhaustive computation for $n = 2d$ and $d \leq 5$:

Conjecture 1. *For V generic Toeplitz, all permutations for which $\text{rank}[V, PV] < 2d$ are covered by Theorem 1.*

Finally, for a family of cyclic permutations we have a complete answer:

Proposition 1. *Suppose π is the cyclic permutation $\pi(i) = i + 1$ for $i < n$ and $\pi(n) = 1$. Then for V generic Toeplitz, we have*

$$\text{rank}[V, P^t V] = \min\{2d, d + 2|t|\}.$$

Note that for a cyclic permutation $r_0 = n - 1$. Thus by what we said above, $\text{USP}(\mathcal{C}(V), \pi^t)$ holds if and only if $\text{rank}[V, P^t V] = 2d$; this is true for the particular cyclic permutation π^t of Proposition 1 as soon as $t \geq \frac{d}{2}$.

We will deliver the proof of Theorem 1 in §3; in §4 we will prove the rest of the results, and in §5 we will illustrate our results with examples.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We prove the statement corresponding to $0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{2}$; the case $-\frac{d}{2} \leq t < 0$ is treated in a similar fashion.

Throughout J_n and J_d denote the lower triangular $n \times n$ and $d \times d$, respectively, Jordan blocks associated to eigenvalue zero. Also, $U = (x_{i-j})$ will be an $n \times d$ Toeplitz matrix, with the x_{i-j} 's algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} ; we will denote by \mathbb{L} the field generated by the entries of U over \mathbb{K} , and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(\cdot)$ will indicate the matrix rank over the field \mathbb{L} .

In what follows, we will prove that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U, PU] = d + t + r_t$. This will ensure the existence of a $(d + t + r_t)$ -minor of $[U, PU]$ which is a non-zero element of \mathbb{L} . Write this element as f/g , where f and g are polynomials in the entries of U with coefficients in \mathbb{K} . We may view f and g as polynomial functions on the affine space \mathcal{A} of $n \times d$ Toeplitz matrices with entries in \mathbb{K} . As \mathbb{K} is infinite and the product fg is non-zero, the polynomial fg defines a hypersurface $\mathcal{Y} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}$. By construction, for any Toeplitz matrix $V \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{Y}$, the rank of the matrix $[V, PV]$ is $d + t + r_t$, which is the conclusion of the theorem.

The first step in the strategy of the proof is to exploit the fact that the matrix $[U, PU - UJ_d^t]$ is obtained from the matrix $[U, PU]$ by elementary column operations, whence

$$(3) \quad \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U, PU] = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U, PU - UJ_d^t].$$

We will prove that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U, PU - UJ_d^t] = d + t + r_t$.

The second step in the strategy of the proof is write $[U, PU - UJ_d^t]$ as the sum of two suitable matrices $A + B$ and show that A, B satisfy the hypotheses of the following classical result, together with $\text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) = d + t + r_t$:

Lemma 1 ([MS72]). *Let A and B be matrices of the same size with entries in some field. Then $\text{rank}(A + B) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B)$ if and only if*

$$\text{rank}([A, B]) = \text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

The matrices A and B , as well as certain other auxiliary matrices are defined as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= PU - J_n^t U \\
Y &= J_n^t U - U J_d^t \\
X_1 &= X_{1:t,1:d-t} \\
X_2 &= X_{1:t,d-t+1:d} \\
X_3 &= X_{t+1:n,1:d-t} \\
X_4 &= X_{t+1:n,d-t+1:d} \\
A &= \begin{bmatrix} U & X_1 + Y_1 & X_2 \\ & 0_{t \times (d-t)} & Y_4 \end{bmatrix} \\
B &= \begin{bmatrix} & 0_{t \times d} \\ 0_{n \times d} & X_{t+1:n,1:d} \end{bmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 are defined analogously. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad & Y_1 = -U_{1:t,t+1:d}, \\
(5) \quad & Y_2 = 0, \\
(6) \quad & Y_3 = 0, \\
(7) \quad & Y_4 = U_{1:n-t,d-t+1:d}, \\
(8) \quad & A + B = [U, PU - U J_d^t].
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof consists of showing that the hypotheses of Lemma 1 hold for A and B and that $\text{rank}(A) + \text{rank}(B) = d + t + r_t$.

We begin with the following key lemma:

Lemma 2. *Let $T = (y_{i-j} : i, j \in \mathbb{Z})$ be a Toeplitz matrix of infinite size, with the y_{i-j} 's algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} . Then for any submatrix S of size $\ell \times d$ with $\ell \geq d$, the d -minors of S are linearly independent over \mathbb{K} .*

Proof. Let S be the submatrix of T associated to row indices $\mathcal{K} = \{k_1 < \dots < k_\ell\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ and column indices $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1 < \dots < j_d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$; we write $S = T_{\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{J}}$. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_d\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ and consider the d -minor of S given by $\det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}})$. Under the lexicographic term order \succ induced by $y_\gamma > y_\delta$ for every $\gamma > \delta$, direct inspection of the matrix

$$T_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{i_1-j_1} & y_{i_1-j_2} & \cdots & y_{i_1-j_d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ y_{i_{d-1}-j_1} & y_{i_{d-1}-j_2} & \cdots & y_{i_{d-1}-j_d} \\ y_{i_d-j_1} & y_{i_d-j_2} & \cdots & y_{i_d-j_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

shows that the initial monomial of $\det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}})$ is the product of the monomials along the anti-diagonal of $T_{\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{J}}$, i.e.

$$\text{in}_\succ \det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}}) = \prod_{a=1}^d y_{i_a - j_{d+1-a}}.$$

Notice that distinct $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ lead to distinct $\text{in}_\succ \det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}})$. Hence, as \mathcal{I} varies in \mathcal{K} , the set of $\text{in}_\succ \det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}})$'s is a set of distinct monomials of degree d , which are thus

linearly independent over \mathbb{K} . This certainly implies that the set of $\det(T_{\mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{J}})$'s is linearly independent over \mathbb{K} . \square

The next two lemmas will be useful when working with U .

Lemma 3. *Let W be an $n \times d_0$ rank- d_0 matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} . Then*

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[W, U] = \min\{d_0 + d, n\}.$$

Proof. Set $d_e = \min\{d_0 + d, n\}$ and $d'_0 = d_e - d > 0$. By generalized Laplace expansion, each d_e -minor of the $n \times (d_0 + d)$ matrix $[W, U]$ is a linear combination of d -minors of U with coefficients that are up to sign d'_0 -minors of W . As $d'_0 \leq d_0 = \text{rank}(W)$, there is at least one non-zero d'_0 -minor of W , and there is a d_e -minor of $[W, U]$ for which the said d'_0 -minor of W appears as a coefficient in the corresponding linear combination. This linear combination is a non-zero element of \mathbb{L} , because by Lemma 2 the d -minors of U are linearly independent over \mathbb{K} . \square

Lemma 4. *With $m \leq n$, let Q be any $m \times n$ matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} and of rank $r \leq d$. Then there is an $r \times d$ submatrix of QU for which every r -minor is non-zero.*

Proof. Since the rank of Q is r , there is an $r \times n$ row-submatrix of Q whose rank is r ; we may replace Q with that submatrix, i.e. we may assume $m = r$. With any $\mathcal{J} = \{j_1 < \dots < j_r\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $U_{\mathcal{J}}$ the column-submatrix of U indexed by \mathcal{J} , the Cauchy-Binet formula gives

$$\det(QU_{\mathcal{J}}) = \sum_{\mathcal{K}} \det(Q_{\mathcal{K}}) \det(U_{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{J}}),$$

where the sum extends over all ordered subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality r . As $\text{rank}(Q) = r$, there is some \mathcal{K}' such that $\det(Q_{\mathcal{K}'}) \neq 0$. Then Lemma 2 gives $\det(QU_{\mathcal{J}}) \neq 0$. \square

We next determine the ranks of A and B . For this, but also later, we will make use of the elementary inequality

$$(9) \quad \text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} R & S \\ 0 & T \end{pmatrix} \geq \text{rank}(R) + \text{rank}(T).$$

Lemma 5. *We have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) = d + 2t$.*

Proof. The rank inequality

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) \leq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(U) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(\underbrace{X_1 + Y_1}_{t \times (d-t)}) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} X_2 \\ Y_4 \end{bmatrix}}_{n \times t}),$$

together with $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(U) = d$ and $t \leq \frac{d}{2} \leq d - t$, lead to $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) \leq d + 2t$.

For the reverse direction, (9) gives

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) \geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(X_1 + Y_1) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U_{t+1:n, 1:d}, Y_4].$$

Now, observe that the variable x_{-d+t} does not appear in X_1 , while it appears in Y_1 only in the diagonal $(k, d - 2t + k)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, t$. It follows that in the expansion of the rightmost $t \times t$ minor of $X_1 + Y_1$ the monomial $(x_{-d+t})^t$ appears with a non-zero coefficient in \mathbb{K} , and thus this minor is non-zero; i.e. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(X_1 + Y_1) = t$.

Moreover, by (7),

$$\begin{aligned} [U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4] &= [U_{t+1:n,1:d}, U_{1:n-t,d-t+1:d}] \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_t & \cdots & x_{-d+t+1} & x_{-d+t} & \cdots & x_{1-d} \\ x_{t+1} & \cdots & x_{-d+t+2} & x_{-d+t+1} & \cdots & x_{1-d+1} \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ x_{n-1} & \cdots & x_{n-d} & x_{n-d-1} & \cdots & x_{n-d-t} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

is an $(n-t) \times (d+t)$ Toeplitz matrix, with algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} elements along its diagonals. As such, and since $t \leq \frac{d}{2}$ implies $d+t \leq n-t$, the rank of this Toeplitz matrix is $d+t$ by Lemma 2. It follows that $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) \geq d+2t$. \square

Lemma 6. *We have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(B) = r_t$.*

Proof. By the definition of B , we have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(B) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(X_{t+1:n,1:d})$. By the definition of X , we have $X_{t+1:n,1:d} = QU$, where Q is the row-submatrix of $P - J_n^t$ composed by its last $n-t$ rows. By definition, $r_t = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(Q)$. By hypothesis, $r_t \leq d-2t$. Thus by Lemma 4, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(QU) = r_t$. \square

Lemmas 5 and 6 imply

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(B) = d + t + r_t.$$

It remains to prove that this value is also the rank of the horizontal and vertical concatenation of A and B ; this is done in the remaining two lemmas.

Lemma 7. *We have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[A, B] = d + 2t + r_t$.*

Proof. By (9) and the definitions of A and B ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[A, B] &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} U & X_1 + Y_1 & X_2 & 0 \\ & 0 & Y_4 & X_{t+1:n,1:d} \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(X_1 + Y_1) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4, X_3, X_4]. \end{aligned}$$

As in the proof of Lemma 5, the rank of $X_1 + Y_1$ is t . We view the second matrix as the horizontal concatenation of the two matrices $[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ and $[X_3, X_4]$. By Lemma 6 the rank of $[X_3, X_4]$ is r_t . By the proof of Lemma 6, there exists an invertible $(n-t) \times (n-t)$ matrix Q with entries in \mathbb{K} , such that only the last r_t rows of $Q(P - J_n^t)_{t+1:n,1:n}$ are non-zero; thus the same will hold true for the matrix $[QX_3, QX_4] = Q(P - J_n^t)_{t+1:n,1:n}U$. As noted in the proof of Lemma 5, the matrix $[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ is Toeplitz of size $(n-t) \times (d+t)$, so that the matrix $[QU_{t+1:n,1:d}, QY_4, QX_3, QX_4]$ is block lower triangular, with the top left block being of size $(n-t-r_t) \times (d+t)$; denote that block by H . Now, the hypothesis asserts that $r_t \leq d-2t$; as $n \geq 2d$, we have $r_t \leq n-d-2t$ or equivalently, $d+t \leq n-t-r_t$. In other words, the set of $(d+t)$ -minors of H is non-empty. By the functorial nature of the exterior power, the set of $(d+t)$ -minors of $Q[V_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$, viewed as a vector, is obtained from the set of $(d+t)$ -minors of $[V_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ via multiplication by an invertible matrix with entries in \mathbb{K} . As the set of $(d+t)$ -minors of $[V_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ is linearly independent over \mathbb{K} by Lemma 2, the same will be true for the $(d+t)$ -minors of $Q[V_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$; in particular, every $(d+t)$ -minor of $Q[V_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ will be non-zero. It follows that there is a non-zero $(d+t)$ -minor of H , so that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4, X_3, X_4] \geq d + t + r_t.$$

In fact, since $[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]$ has size $(n-t) \times (d+t)$, the above inequality is equality. We have shown that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[A, B] \geq d + 2t + r_t,$$

and since by Lemmas 5 and 6

$$d + 2t + r_t = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(A) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}(B) \geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[A, B],$$

equality must hold. \square

Lemma 8. *We have $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} = d + 2t + r_t$.*

Proof. By (9), we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B \end{bmatrix} &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} U_{1:t,1:d} & X_1 + Y_1 & X_2 \\ U_{t+1:n,1:d} & 0 & Y_4 \\ 0 & X_3 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &\geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 + Y_1 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix} + \underbrace{\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}}[U_{t+1:n,1:d}, Y_4]}_{=d+t}. \end{aligned}$$

Now observe that the variable x_{-t} appears only in the diagonal (k, k) of Y_1 for $k = 1, \dots, t$ and does not appear at all in X_1 or X_3 . By Lemma 4, there is an $r_t \times (d-t)$ row-submatrix of X_3 for which every r_t -minor is non-zero. Let $\mathcal{I} = \{i_1 < \dots < i_{r_t}\}$ be the row indices indexing this submatrix. Then

$$\det \left(\begin{bmatrix} X_1 + Y_1 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix}_{\{1 \dots t\} \cup \mathcal{I}, \{1 \dots t\} \cup \{t+1, \dots, t+r_t\}} \right) \neq 0$$

because the monomial x_{-t}^t appears (up to sign) with coefficient a non-zero r_t -minor of X_3 . As the rank of $X_1 + Y_1$ is t and the rank of X_3 is r_t , this establishes

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{L}} \begin{bmatrix} X_1 + Y_1 \\ X_3 \end{bmatrix} = t + r_t.$$

With this, we conclude as in the proof of Lemma 7. \square

4. REMAINING PROOFS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 2. We prove that (2) holds true. Note that the condition

$$r_0 := \text{rank}(P - I) \leq d,$$

is equivalent to the hypothesis of Theorem 1 for $t = 0$. Thus if $r_0 \leq d$, then by Theorem 1 we have

$$\text{rank}[V, PV] = d + r_0 = 2d - \max\{d - r_0, 0\}.$$

If on the other hand $r_0 > d$, we must show that under the hypotheses of the statement, we have $\text{rank}[V, PV] = 2d$. Suppose thus there is a $0 \leq t \leq \frac{d}{2}$ such that

$$r_t := \text{rank}(P - J^t)_{t+1:n,1:n} \leq d - 2t$$

(the case of negative t is treated similarly). Then Theorem 1 asserts that

$$\text{rank}[V, PV] = d + r_t + 2t,$$

and this rank is equal to $2d$ if and only if $r_t = d - 2t$.

4.2. Proof of Proposition 1. Note that $(P - J^t)_{t+1:n,1:n}$ is the zero matrix. Thus if $t \leq \frac{d}{2}$, the hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds true with $r_t = 0$, whence $\text{rank}[V, PV] = d + 2t$, which is the statement of the proposition for this case. So suppose $t > \frac{d}{2}$.

We will prove that the maximal minor of $[U, P^t U]$ corresponding to the first $2d$ rows is non-zero. Refer to the following partition:

$$[U, P^t U] = \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \overbrace{\quad}^{d-t} & \overbrace{\quad}^t & \overbrace{\quad}^{d-t} & \overbrace{\quad}^t \\ * & U_{1:t,d-t+1:d} & * & * \\ * & * & * & U_{1:t,d-t+1:d} \\ U_{2t+1:2d,1:d-t} & * & U_{t+1:2d-t,1:d-t} & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{l} \} t \\ \} t \\ \} 2d - 2t \\ \} n - 2d \end{array}$$

The first key observation is that the variable x_{t-d} occurs only along the diagonal of the two blocks $U_{1:t,d-t+1:d}$ of $[U, P^t U]$. Regarding the aforementioned maximal minor as a polynomial of x_{t-d} , the coefficient of x_{t-d}^{2t} is $\det(S)$, where

$$\underbrace{S}_{(2d-2t) \times (2d-2t)} = [U_{2t+1:2d,1:d-t}, U_{t+1:2d-t,1:d-t}].$$

The second key observation is that

$$U_{t+1:2d-t,1:d-t} = U_{2t+1:2d,t+1:d},$$

combined with the fact that when $d - t < t + 1$, then S is a square submatrix of the Toeplitz matrix U , which is thus of non-zero determinant by Lemma 2.

5. EXAMPLES

Example 1. With $d = 3$ and $n = 6$, consider the permutation π represented by

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

which consists of two cycles, i.e. a fixed point and a cycle of length 5. We have

$$(P - J)_{2:6,1:6} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix};$$

hence $r_1 = 1$. Thus with $t = 1$, $1 = r_t \leq d - 2t = 1$; i.e. the hypothesis of Theorem 1 holds true for $t = 1$. It follows that $\text{rank}[V, PV] = d + 2t + r_t = 6$ for generic 6×3 Toeplitz V . As $r_t = d - 2t$, we have in fact that $\text{USP}(\mathcal{C}(V), \pi)$ holds true by Theorem 2.

Example 2. With $d = 3$ and $n = 6$, consider the permutation π represented by

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have $Q = P^2$, where

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $2 = t > \frac{d}{2} = \frac{3}{2}$, so that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is not valid. On the other hand, Proposition 1 asserts that $\text{rank}[V, QV] = \min\{2d, d + 2t\} = 6$ for a 6×3 generic Toeplitz V . In fact, a by hand computation reveals that

$$\det[U, QU] = x_5^2 x_3 x_2 x_1 x_{-1} + \dots$$

(here U is a 6×3 Toeplitz matrix of variables; see the proof of Theorem 1 for the definition). The remark after Proposition 1, also shows that $\text{USP}(\mathcal{C}(V), \pi)$ holds true.

Example 3. Consider the 3-cycle

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and with $d = 3$ and $n = 6$ the permutation π represented by

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} Q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One checks that π does not adhere to the hypotheses of either Theorem 1 or Proposition 1. Nevertheless, a by hand computation reveals that

$$\det[U, PU] = x_5^2 x_3 x_1 x_0 x_{-1} + \dots,$$

thus illustrating Conjecture 1.

REFERENCES

- [MS72] G. Marsaglia and G. P. H. Styan. When does $\text{rank}(a+b) = \text{rank}(a) + \text{rank}(b)$? *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, 15(3):451–452, 1972.
- [OSB99] A. Oppenheim, R. Shafer, and J. Buck. *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*. Prentice Hall, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [PT21] L. Peng and M. C. Tsakiris. Homomorphic sensing of subspace arrangements. *Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis*, 55:466–485, 2021.
- [Tsa23] M. C. Tsakiris. Determinantal conditions for homomorphic sensing. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 656:210–223, 2023.

- [UHV15] J. Unnikrishnan, S. Haghghatshoar, and M. Vetterli. Unlabeled sensing: Solving a linear system with unordered measurements. In *Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, pages 786–793, 2015.
- [UHV18] J. Unnikrishnan, S. Haghghatshoar, and M. Vetterli. Unlabeled sensing with random linear measurements. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64(5):3237–3253, May 2018.

KEY LABORATORY OF MATHEMATICS MECHANIZATION, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE,
CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 100109, BEIJING, HONGXIN@AMSS.AC.CN, MANOLIS@AMSS.AC.CN