

STATISTICS ON MONOTONICALLY ORDERED NON-CROSSING PARTITIONS

NATASHA BLITVIC, THOMAS BRAY, JACOB CAMPBELL, AND ALEXANDRU NICA

ABSTRACT. We study some combinatorial statistics defined on the set $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ of monotonically ordered non-crossing partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and on the set $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ of monotonically ordered non-crossing pair-partitions of $\{1, \dots, 2n\}$. An important fact used in the calculations is that one has a natural and very convenient structure of rooted tree on $\sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, and likewise for $\sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$. Unlike in the analogous results known for unordered non-crossing partitions, the computations of expectations and variances for natural block-counting statistics on $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ and for the expectation of the area statistic on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ turn out to yield a logarithmic regime.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. $NC(n)$ and $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$.

In this paper we are concerned with the notion of *monotonically ordered non-crossing partition* – an ordered version, motivated by non-commutative probability, for the much studied notion of non-crossing partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

A bit of background notation: we will write the partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in the form $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$, where V_1, \dots, V_k (the *blocks* of π) are non-empty pairwise disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For such π , if it is not possible to find $i \neq j$ in $\{1, \dots, k\}$ such that the blocks V_i and V_j cross (in the naturally defined sense), then we say that π is *non-crossing*. We will use the standard notation

$$NC(n) := \left\{ \pi \text{ partition of } \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \pi \text{ is non-crossing} \right\}. \quad (1.1)$$

For some basic properties of the $NC(n)$'s, one can e.g. consult [5, Lecture 9]. The $NC(n)$'s play a salient role in the combinatorics of free probability, primarily due to their occurrence in the definition of the important notion of *free cumulants* for non-commutative random variables (see e.g. [5, Lecture 11]).

Given a partition $\pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_k\} \in NC(n)$, we will use the term *monotonic ordering of π* to refer to a bijection $u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$ which has the following property:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } i \neq j \text{ in } \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ are such that } V_i \text{ is nested inside } V_j \\ \text{then it follows that } u(V_i) > u(V_j); \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

the relation “ V_i is nested inside V_j ” in (1.2) means, by definition, that one has $\min(V_j) < \min(V_i) \leq \max(V_i) < \max(V_j)$. We will use the notation

$$NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) := \left\{ (\pi, u) \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi \in NC(n) \text{ and } u \text{ is a} \\ \text{monotone ordering of } \pi \end{array} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

NB: research supported by grant EP/V048902 from EPSRC, UK.

AN: research supported by a Discovery Grant from NSERC, Canada.

The $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$'s play a salient role in the combinatorics of *monotonic probability*, a brand of non-commutative probability which was developed starting around 2000. In that development, the $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$'s were the key structure used in order to introduce (cf. [2]) the notion of *monotonic cumulants* for non-commutative random variables.

1.2. Block-counting statistics, on $NC(n)$ and on $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$.

It is well-known (and easy to prove, due to symmetry properties enjoyed by $NC(n)$) that the average number of blocks of a partition in $NC(n)$ is equal to $(n+1)/2$. That is:

$$\frac{1}{\text{Cat}_n} \sum_{\pi \in NC(n)} |\pi| = \frac{n+1}{2}, \quad (1.4)$$

where $|\pi|$ stands for the number of blocks of $\pi \in NC(n)$, and where the normalization on the left-hand side uses another well-known fact, that $|NC(n)| = \text{Cat}_n = (2n!)/(n!(n+1)!)$, the n -th Catalan number. For our purposes, it is convenient to write (1.4) in the form “ $E[X_n] = (n+1)/2$ ”, where $X_n : NC(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $X_n(\pi) = |\pi|$, and is viewed as a random variable in connection to the uniform probability measure on $NC(n)$. It is not hard to obtain similar statements in connection to the combinatorial statistics on $NC(n)$ which counts blocks of a specified size $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$; more precisely, if $X_n^{(\ell)} : NC(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by putting $X_n^{(\ell)}(\pi) := |\{V \in \pi \mid |V| = \ell\}|$, $\pi \in NC(n)$, then one has

$$E[X_n^{(\ell)}] \sim \frac{n}{2^{\ell+1}}, \quad \text{for fixed } \ell \text{ and for } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.5)$$

where the meaning of “ \sim ” is that the ratio of the quantities on its two sides goes to 1 for $n \rightarrow \infty$. For a reference, one can consult [3, Theorems 2.1 and 2.4].

In the present paper we initiate a similar study of block-counting statistics, going in the parallel world of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$. The normalization constant to be used in averages is $|NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)|$, which is found (cf. [1, Proposition 3.4]) to be equal to $(n+1)!/2$. Thus, for instance, the counterpart of the left-hand side of Equation (1.4) is the average

$$\frac{2}{(n+1)!} \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)} |\pi|. \quad (1.6)$$

We will find it convenient to write the latter quantity as “ $E[Y_n]$ ”, with the random variable $Y_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ being defined by $Y_n(\pi, u) = |\pi|$, and where the expectation of Y_n is considered with respect to the uniform probability measure on $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$. It turns out that $E[Y_n]$ can be explicitly computed, with a resulting formula which involves the harmonic number

$$H_n := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Because of the occurrence of H_n , the formula describing the asymptotic behaviour of $E[Y_n]$ will then include a constant related to the Euler-Mascheroni constant $\gamma := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n - \ln n$, as shown in Equation (1.8) below.

Theorem 1.1. *Let the block-counting random variables $Y_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as defined above. One has the formula*

$$E[Y_n] = n - H_n + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1.7)$$

This has the consequence that

$$E[Y_n] \approx (n - \ln n) + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \gamma\right) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.8)$$

where the meaning of “ \approx ” in (1.8) is that the difference of the quantities on its two sides goes to 0 for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us next look at what to expect when, in a random $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, we count the blocks of π with a prescribed cardinality $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. That is, we look at expectations $E[Y_n^{(\ell)}]$, where for $n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ we let the random variable $Y_n^{(\ell)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$Y_n^{(\ell)}(\pi, u) := \left| \{V \in \pi \mid |V| = \ell\} \right|, \quad (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (1.9)$$

In this setting it turns out that, in the average: π will have a lot of blocks of size 1 (about $n - 2 \ln n$ of them), a significant number of blocks of size 2 (about $\ln n$ of them), and very few blocks of size ≥ 3 . The precise formulas are collected in the next Theorem 1.2. In the statement of this theorem it is convenient to bundle the counting of all blocks of cardinality ≥ 3 in one random variable $Y_n^{(\geq 3)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by

$$Y_n^{(\geq 3)}(\pi, u) := \left| \{V \in \pi \mid |V| \geq 3\} \right|, \quad \forall (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (1.10)$$

Obviously, the latter random variable could also be described via the formula

$$Y_n^{(\geq 3)} = Y_n - Y_n^{(1)} - Y_n^{(2)}, \quad (1.11)$$

where Y_n is the block-counting random variable from Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *Let the random variables $Y_n^{(1)}, Y_n^{(2)}$ and $Y_n^{(\geq 3)}$ be as introduced above.*

1° *One has that $E[Y_n^{(1)}] = n - 2H_n + \frac{10}{3} - \frac{3}{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$, with the consequence that*

$$E[Y_n^{(1)}] \approx n - 2 \ln n + \left(\frac{10}{3} - 2\gamma\right) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.12)$$

2° *One has that $E[Y_n^{(2)}] = H_n - \frac{51}{24} + \frac{6n-1}{2n(n+1)}$, $n \geq 4$, with the consequence that*

$$E[Y_n^{(2)}] \approx \ln n - \left(\frac{51}{24} - \gamma\right) \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.13)$$

3° *One has that $E[Y_n^{(\geq 3)}] = \frac{7}{24} - \frac{2n-1}{2n(n+1)}$, $n \geq 4$, with the consequence that*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(\geq 3)}] = \frac{7}{24}. \quad (1.14)$$

Remark 1.3. The very explicit formulas for expectations stated in the above theorems come out as consequences of some explicit recursions for what one might call “combinatorial Laplace transforms” of the statistics under consideration. Given an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a random variable $Z_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we will use the term *combinatorial Laplace transform* of Z_n for the function $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)} t^{Z_n(\pi, u)}, \quad t > 0. \quad (1.15)$$

This function L_n contains significant information about the random variable Z_n ; for instance the first two moments of Z_n are retrieved as

$$E[Z_n] = \frac{L'_n(1)}{L_n(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad E[Z_n^2] = \frac{L''_n(1) + L'_n(1)}{L_n(1)}, \quad (1.16)$$

where $L_n(1) = |NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)| = (n+1)!/2$, our ubiquitous normalization constant.

We note that in the (naturally occurring) examples where Z_n takes values in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the function L_n is in fact a polynomial. Towards the justification of the name used for it, we also note that the bonafide Laplace transform of Z_n is the complex function

$$\lambda \mapsto E[e^{\lambda Z_n}] = \frac{1}{|NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)|} \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)} e^{\lambda Z_n(\pi, u)}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For our purposes, it is more convenient that in the latter equation we restrict λ to \mathbb{R} , put $t = e^\lambda \in (0, \infty)$, and set aside the normalization constant $|NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)|$, thus arriving to work with the function L_n introduced in Equation (1.15).

We must next explain why is it the case that, when Z_n is one of the random variables Y_n or $Y_n^{(\ell)}$ considered in the above theorems, the combinatorial Laplace transform L_n obeys a nice recursion formula. This is caused by a regularity property which arises at the very level of the sets $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, and is commented further in the next subsection.

1.3. The $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree structure.

The fact which lies at the basis of all our calculations is this: the disjoint union $NC^{(\text{mton})} := \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ bears a natural structure of rooted tree, where the unique element of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(1)$ serves as root, and where, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ are at distance $n-1$ from the root. What makes this tree very useful for studying combinatorial statistics on the $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$'s is the following homogeneity property:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ every } (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \\ \text{has precisely } n+2 \text{ children in the } NC^{(\text{mton})}\text{-tree.} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.17)$$

The details of how the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree comes about are shown in Section 2.1 below.

A way to exploit the homogeneity property (1.17) is shown in Section 3 of the paper: given a $k \geq 2$ and a tuple $\underline{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we pin down (cf. Definition 3.1) a certain \underline{r} -recursive condition which a sequence of random variables $(Z_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ may fulfil. The main result of that section, Theorem 3.3, states that if the Z_n 's are \underline{r} -recursive, then their combinatorial Laplace transforms L_n obey a tractable recursion formula, shown in Equation (3.1). The setting of Theorem 3.3 covers the sequences of random variables that are considered in either of the Theorems 1.1 and 1.2; consequently, both these theorems are easily derived by using the suitable instances of Equation (3.1) and by invoking the formula for expectation indicated in (1.16).

We mention here that the scope of Theorem 3.3 goes beyond finding the formulas for expectations indicated in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. For one thing, for Z_n 's which can be placed in the setting of Theorem 3.3, it is a routine matter to compute one more derivative in the functions L_n and invoke the second formula (1.16), in order to get information about the variances $\text{Var}[Z_n]$. In Section 4 of the paper we illustrate this fact for the case of the block-counting statistics Y_n of Theorem 1.1. The variance formula found in that case

(cf. Proposition 4.3) is:

$$\text{Var}[Y_n] = H_n - H_n^{(2)} - \frac{(n-1)^2}{4(n+1)^2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (1.18)$$

where H_n is the same harmonic number as used in Theorem 1.1, and $H_n^{(2)}$ is its analogue defined as

$$H_n^{(2)} := 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

As a consequence of (1.18) and of the well-known asymptotic behaviour of the numbers H_n and $H_n^{(2)}$, one finds that

$$\text{Var}[Y_n] \approx \ln n - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{4} - \gamma\right), \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (1.19)$$

where once again γ stands for the Euler-Mascheroni constant.

Among the possible uses of Theorem 3.3, we also mention that it can be used to approach a combinatorial statistics $Y_n^{(\ell)}$ (as defined in (1.9)) for a fixed $\ell \geq 3$. In Theorem 1.2 we looked at the aggregate of all these $Y_n^{(\ell)}$'s, bundled together in the random variable $Y_n^{(\geq 3)} = Y_n - Y_n^{(1)} - Y_n^{(2)}$. However, it is also the case that a sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(\ell)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^{\infty}$, with fixed $\ell \geq 3$, falls within the setting of Theorem 3.3. This can be used (cf. Remark 4.8) to prove the existence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(\ell)}]$, and to evaluate this limit. For instance for $\ell = 3$, the calculation of expectations based on Theorem 3.3 yields the recursion

$$E[Y_n^{(3)}] = E[Y_{n-1}^{(3)}] + \left[\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{7}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{13}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \right], \quad n \geq 5,$$

which in turn is found to have the consequence that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(3)}] = 23/90$.

1.4. The $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree, and some combinatorial statistics on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$.

The final part of the paper (Sections 5-7) is devoted to the study of some combinatorial statistics on monotonically ordered non-crossing *pair-partitions*. For motivation, we mention that working with the sets of unordered non-crossing pair-partitions,

$$NC_2(2n) := \{ \pi = \{V_1, \dots, V_n\} \in NC(2n) \mid |V_1| = \cdots = |V_n| = 2 \},$$

plays an important role in the combinatorics of free probability, primarily due to the occurrence of the $NC_2(2n)$'s in the free Central Limit Theorem (see e.g. [5, Lecture 8]). This phenomenon carries through to monotonic probability, where the sets

$$NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) := \left\{ (\pi, u) \mid \begin{array}{l} \pi \in NC_2(2n) \text{ and } u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ \text{monotonic ordering} \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.20)$$

appear in the combinatorial description of the monotonic Central Limit Theorem [4, 2].

For the study of combinatorial statistics on the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$'s, one can pursue a methodology similar to the one described in Section 1.3 in connection to the $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$'s. This is because, analogously to what we saw in Section 1.3: the disjoint union $NC_2^{(\text{mton})} := \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ bears a natural structure of rooted tree, where the unique pairing in $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2)$ serves as root, and where, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ are

at distance $n - 1$ from the root. Moreover, we have a homogeneity property analogous to the one recorded in (1.17), which now goes like this:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{For every } n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ every } (\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \\ \text{has precisely } 2n + 1 \text{ children in the } NC_2^{(\text{mton})}\text{-tree.} \end{array} \right. \quad (1.21)$$

The details of how the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree comes about are shown in Section 2.2 below. We only note here that, as an immediate consequence of (1.21), one finds that

$$|NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)| = (2n - 1)!!, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

(showing, in particular, how normalizations have to be done in calculations with uniform random variables on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$).

In view of the analogy between (1.17) and (1.21), we structure the Sections 5 and 6 of the paper as a parallel to Sections 3 and 4: we first pin down a general condition, called $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing condition (Definition 5.1) which a sequence of random variables $(Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ may fulfil.¹ The main result of Section 5, Theorem 5.3, states that if the Z_n 's are $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing, then their combinatorial Laplace transforms L_n obey a tractable recursion formula, shown in Equation (5.4).

While for $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ it is trivial to count the total number of blocks of π (or the total number of blocks which have a given cardinality), Section 6 of the paper points out that there are, nevertheless, some interesting combinatorial statistics on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ which can be treated by using Theorem 5.3. Specifically, we consider the random variables

$$\text{Out}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Int}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (1.22)$$

which count the *outer pairs* and respectively the *interval pairs* of π , for a random $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$. These two types of pairs represent the top and bottom of the natural nesting structure of the pairs of π : a pair $V \in \pi$ is said to be *outer* when it is not nested inside of any other pair (that is, there is no $W \in \pi$ with $\min(W) < \min(V) < \max(V) < \max(W)$); and V is said to be an *interval* pair when it is of the form $V = \{m, m + 1\}$ for some $1 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$ (which is equivalent to requiring that there is no $U \in \pi$ with $\min(V) < \min(U) < \max(U) < \max(V)$). Both sequences of random variables introduced in (1.22) fall under the incidence of Theorem 5.3, which easily implies formulas for their expectations, as follows.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\text{Int}_n, \text{Out}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be as defined above.*

1° *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has that $E[\text{Int}_n] = (2n + 1)/3$.*

2° *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, one has that $E[\text{Out}_n] = [4^n / \binom{2n}{n}] - 1$. This entails the asymptotics $E[\text{Out}_n] \sim \sqrt{\pi n}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$, where the meaning of “ \sim ” is that the quotient of the quantities on its two sides goes to 1 for $n \rightarrow \infty$.*

¹In the schematics of the presentation of this paper, it looked more appealing to discuss the $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing condition in the setting of pair-partitions. We would like, however, to point out that this condition could be equally well pursued in the setting of the full $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree from Section 1.3, where it could be used to analyze the statistics which counts the outer blocks of π , in a random $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$.

1.5. Another combinatorial statistic on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$: area.

An interesting statistic that can be considered on non-crossing pair-partitions is the one which measures the *area* under a $\pi \in NC_2(2n)$, when π is viewed in its incarnation as a Dyck lattice path (see e.g. [5, Lecture 2]) or, in calculus language, when π is identified to a piecewise linear function $f_\pi : [0, 2n] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. To be precise, the function f_π is determined by the following requirements:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow f_\pi \text{ is continuous on } [0, 2n], \text{ and has } f_\pi(0) = 0; \\ \rightarrow f_\pi \text{ is linear on every interval } [m-1, m], 1 \leq m \leq 2n; \\ \rightarrow \text{For every } V = \{i, j\} \in \pi, \text{ with } i < j, \text{ the slope of } f_\pi \text{ on } [i-1, i] \\ \quad \text{is equal to 1 and the slope of } f_\pi \text{ on } [j-1, j] \text{ is equal to } -1. \end{array} \right. \quad (1.23)$$

The recipe used to define f_π in (1.23) also has the immediate consequences that $f_\pi(t) \geq 0$ for every $t \in [0, 2n]$ and that $f_\pi(2n) = 0$. It is natural to put

$$\text{area}(\pi) := \int_0^{2n} f_\pi(t) dt \in [0, \infty)$$

(where the latter quantity is easily seen, in fact, to always be a positive integer). For illustration, Figure 1 below shows how the graph of f_π looks like, for an example of pair-partition $\pi \in NC_2(14)$.




FIGURE 1. The pair-partition $\pi = \{ \{1, 6\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9, 14\}, \{10, 13\}, \{11, 12\} \} \in NC_2(14)$, with $\text{area}(\pi) = 17$, and the graph of the associated function $f_\pi : [0, 14] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

The above mentioned notion of area can be studied in a capacity of random variable, and in the setting of the present paper this boils down to considering the sequence of random variables $(A_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by $A_n(\pi, u) := \text{area}(\pi)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$.

Theorem 1.5. *The random variables $A_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ introduced above have expectations*

$$E[A_n] = (2n + 1) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k + 1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.24)$$

As a consequence of (1.24), it follows that $E[A_n] \sim n \ln n$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 1.6. A benefit of Theorem 1.5 is that it tells us how to rescale the piecewise linear functions f_π from (1.23), if we want a chance to find some kind of $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit for the shapes of their graphs. More precisely: for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\pi \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$, let us consider the rescaling g_π of f_π where

$$g_\pi : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, \infty), \quad g(s) := \frac{1}{\ln n} f_\pi(ns), \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 2].$$

Theorem 1.5 implies that if we consider the random variables $(\tilde{A}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by

$$\tilde{A}_n(\pi, u) := \int_0^2 g_\pi(s) ds, \quad \text{for } (\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n),$$

then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[\tilde{A}_n] = 1$. A very interesting problem (for future work) is whether the functions g_π can themselves be found to converge, upon doing an $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ average and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, to some universal limit $g : [0, 2] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$.

1.6. Organization of the paper.

Besides the present Introduction, the paper has 6 sections.

- Section 2 gives the precise description of the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree mentioned in Section 1.3 above, and of the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ -tree mentioned in Section 1.4.
- Sections 3 and 4 deal with statistics on $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$. In Section 3 we define the notion of \underline{r} -recursivity announced in Section 1.3, and we prove Theorem 3.3, about how the \underline{r} -recursivity of a sequence $(Z_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ implies a recursion for the combinatorial Laplace transforms of the Z_n 's. Then in Section 4 we show how \underline{r} -recursivity can be used to study various block-counting statistics on the $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$'s, and in particular we derive the results announced in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 above.
- Sections 5 and 6 follow a similar development to the one from Sections 3 and 4, in connection to combinatorial statistics on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$. We thus switch to looking at sequences of random variables $(Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$. In Section 5 we examine the $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing property announced in Section 1.4, then in Section 6 we see how the latter property can be used to study the statistics counting outer blocks and respectively interval blocks in a random pair-partition in $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$.
- In the final Section 7 we discuss the “area” statistic for monotonically ordered non-crossing pair-partitions, and we give the proof of Theorem 1.5.

2. TREES OF MONOTONICALLY ORDERED NON-CROSSING PARTITIONS

We will continue to use the notation related to $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ that was set in the Introduction section, and to which we also add the following remark and notation.

Remark and Notation 2.1. ^{1 $^\circ$} Let $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, and let J be the block of π to which u assigns its maximal value,

$$u(J) = \max\{u(V) \mid V \in \pi\} = |\pi|.$$

Then J is sure to be an *interval* in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Indeed, if this was not the case, then there would exist a block $W \neq J$ of π such that W is nested inside J , and this W would need to have $u(W) > u(J) = |\pi|$ (not possible!)

The aforementioned interval block $J \in \pi$ will play a significant role in the calculations done in what follows; we therefore introduce a dedicated notation for it, we put

$$J(\pi, u) := u^{-1}(|\pi|), \quad \text{for } (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (2.1)$$

^{2°} Here is a bit of notation that we will find convenient to use, concerning the procedure for creating a “restricted-and-relabelled” non-crossing partition: for $\pi \in NC(n)$ and $\emptyset \neq W \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, we let π_W be the partition of $\{1, \dots, |W|\}$ which is obtained by restricting π to W and then re-denoting the elements of W as $1, \dots, |W|$ in increasing order. It is immediate that the non-crossing property is preserved by this operation, and thus $\pi_W \in NC(|W|)$.

2.1. The tree structure on $NC^{(\text{mton})}$.

The goal of this subsection is to point out that the elements of $NC^{(\text{mton})} := \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ can be organized as *vertices of a rooted tree*, where the unique element of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(1)$ is the root of the tree, and where, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ are at distance $n - 1$ from the root. In order to describe what are the edges of this tree, the next definition explains how, for every $n \geq 2$ and $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, we assign to (π, u) a *parent* which belongs to $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n - 1)$.

Definition 2.2. Let (π, u) be a monotonically ordered partition in $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, where $n \geq 2$. We will construct a $(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n - 1)$, which will be called *the parent* of (π, u) , and will be denoted as $\mathfrak{p}(\pi, u)$. Towards that end, we consider the block $J(\pi, u) \in \pi$ which has maximal u -label, as described in Remark and Notation 2.1.1. The “ ρ ” part in the desired (ρ, v) will just be

$$\rho := \pi_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{m\}} \in NC(n - 1), \quad (2.2)$$

with $m := \max(J(\pi, u)) \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In (2.2) we have used the “restrict-and-relabel” convention of notation reviewed in Notation 2.1.2: restrict π to the set $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{m\}$, then relabel the numbers from the latter set as $1, \dots, n - 1$, in increasing order.

We are left to observe that the monotonic ordering $u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |\pi|\}$ induces a monotonic ordering on the ρ defined by (2.2). For the formal definition of v one actually distinguishes two cases, depending on whether $|J(\pi, u)| > 1$ or $|J(\pi, u)| = 1$.

– Case 1: $|J(\pi, u)| > 1$. In this case we have $|\rho| = |\pi|$, and we can formally write $\rho = \{\phi(V) \mid V \in \pi\}$, where ϕ is the unique increasing bijection from $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{m\}$ onto $\{1, \dots, n - 1\}$. The ordering $v : \rho \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |\rho|\}$ is defined by putting $v(\phi(V)) := u(V)$ for every $V \in \pi$.

– Case 2: $|J(\pi, u)| = 1$, and hence $J(\pi, u) = \{m\}$. Here the set-difference $\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{m\}$ completely eliminates the block $J(\pi, u)$, and thus $|\rho| = |\pi| - 1$. But we still use a procedure similar to the one described in Case 1: for the same ϕ as considered there, we now write ρ as $\{\phi(V) \mid V \in \pi, V \neq \{m\}\}$, and we define the ordering $v : \rho \rightarrow \{1, \dots, |\rho|\}$ by putting $v(\phi(V)) := u(V)$ for every $V \in \pi, V \neq \{m\}$.

Example 2.3. 1^o Say that $n = 6$, $\pi = \{ \{1, 2, 6\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\} \}$, and we consider the monotonic order $u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined by

$$u(\{1, 2, 6\}) = 1, \quad u(\{3\}) = 2, \quad u(\{4, 5\}) = 3,$$

with $J(\pi, u) = \{4, 5\}$ and $m := \max(J(\pi, u)) = 5$. Then $\mathfrak{p}(\pi, u) = (\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(5)$, where

$$\rho = \pi_{\{1, \dots, 6\} \setminus \{5\}} = \{ \{1, 2, 5\}, \{3\}, \{4\} \} \in NC(5),$$

and the ordering v of the blocks of ρ is: $v(\{1, 2, 5\}) = 1$, $v(\{3\}) = 2$, $v(\{4\}) = 3$.

2^o Suppose we look at the same $\pi \in NC(6)$ as in 1^o above, but considered now with the monotonic ordering $u' : \pi \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$ defined by

$$u'(\{1, 2, 6\}) = 1, \quad u'(\{4, 5\}) = 2, \quad u'(\{3\}) = 3,$$

with $J(\pi, u') = \{3\}$ and $m' := \max(J(\pi, u')) = 3$. Then $\mathfrak{p}(\pi, u') = (\rho', v') \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(5)$, where

$$\rho' = \pi_{\{1, \dots, 6\} \setminus \{3\}} = \{ \{1, 2, 5\}, \{3, 4\} \} \in NC(5),$$

and the ordering v' of the blocks of ρ' is: $v'(\{1, 2, 5\}) = 1$, $v'(\{3, 4\}) = 2$.

Remark 2.4. Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and that (ρ, v) is a fixed element of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)$. It is useful to observe that, when viewed as a vertex in the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree, (ρ, v) has exactly $n+1$ children. These $n+1$ children can be listed as $(\pi_1, u_1), \dots, (\pi_n, u_n), (\pi_{n+1}, u_{n+1})$, with

$$|\pi_1| = \dots = |\pi_n| = |\rho| + 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |\pi_{n+1}| = |\rho|.$$

More precisely, the ordered partitions (π_m, u_m) are described as follows.

- For every $1 \leq m \leq n$, the partition $\pi_m \in NC(n)$ is obtained by inserting a singleton block in the picture of ρ , with the added singleton being placed on position m , and where this singleton block $\{m\}$ also gets to have maximal label in the monotone ordering u_m of the blocks of π_m (that is, $J(\pi_m, u_m) = \{m\}$).
- The partition π_{n+1} is obtained by increasing the size of the block $J(\rho, v) \in \rho$ which has maximal v -label. As noted in Remark 2.1.1, $J(\rho, v)$ is sure to be an interval $\{p, \dots, q\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$; this interval is “elongated” to become the block $J(\pi, u) = \{p, \dots, q, q+1\}$ of the partition π_{n+1} .

Remark 2.5. From Remark 2.4 it is immediate that one has

$$|NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)| = (n+1) \cdot |NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)|, \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

This retrieves, via induction on n , the formula for cardinalities:

$$|NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)| = \frac{(n+1)!}{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

(as reviewed in the Introduction, and previously noticed in [1, Proposition 3.4]).

2.2. The tree structure on $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$.

In Sections 5 and 6 of the paper we will use a tree structure analogous to the one described above for $NC^{(\text{mton})}$, but where one only looks at ordered non-crossing *pair-partitions*. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ of such ordered partitions is a bonafide subset of $NC^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$, hence one can use the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -notation introduced above in connection to a $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$, where in particular $J(\pi, u)$ stands for the pair of π with maximal u -label $u(J(\pi, u)) = n$. But for the discussion at hand it is nevertheless better to just focus on the disjoint union $NC_2^{(\text{mton})} := \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ (and not look at partitions with blocks of size $\neq 2$). Similar to the development shown above in Section 2.1, we will observe that the elements of $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ can be organized as vertices of a rooted tree, where the unique element of $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2)$ is the root of the tree and where, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the elements of $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ are at distance $n - 1$ from the root. In order to describe the edges of the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree, we explain how one finds the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -parent of a $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$, for $n \geq 2$; in order to distinguish from the similar terminology introduced in Definition 2.2, we will refer to the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -parent of such a (π, u) by denoting it as $\mathbf{pp}(\pi, u)$. (This notation fits with the fact that, if (π, u) was to be viewed as a vertex of the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree, then $\mathbf{pp}(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$ would come out as “grandparent” of (π, u) in that context.)

Definition 2.6. Let (π, u) be a monotonically ordered pair-partition in $NC^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$, where $n \geq 2$. Consider the pair $J(\pi, u) \in \pi$ with $u(J(\pi, u)) = n$ and recall (Remark 2.1.1) that $J(\pi, u)$ is sure to be an interval pair, that is, one has $J(\pi, u) = \{m, m + 1\}$ for some $1 \leq m \leq 2n - 1$. Then the restrict-and-relabel partition $\rho := \pi_{\{1, \dots, 2n\} \setminus \{m, m+1\}}$ belongs to $NC_2(2n - 2)$. Moreover, the monotonic order $u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ induces a monotonic order $v : \rho \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, defined by the following formula:

$$\begin{cases} v(\{p, q\}) := u(\{\varphi(p), \varphi(q)\}) \text{ for } \{p, q\} \in \rho, \text{ where } \varphi \text{ is the unique} \\ \text{increasing bijection from } \{1, \dots, 2n - 2\} \text{ onto } \{1, \dots, 2n\} \setminus \{m, m + 1\}. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

In (2.3) it is immediate to check that the definition of $v(\{p, q\})$ indeed makes sense (because “ $\{p, q\} \in \rho$ ” implies $\{\varphi(p), \varphi(q)\} \in \pi$, with $\{\varphi(p), \varphi(q)\} \neq \{m, m + 1\}$), and that v is indeed a monotonic ordering of the blocks of ρ .

The monotonically ordered pair-partition $(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$ obtained in this way will be called *the pair-parent* of (π, u) and will be denoted as $\mathbf{pp}(\pi, u)$.

Example 2.7. Suppose that $n = 7$ and that we look at the pair-partition

$$\pi = \{ \{1, 6\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9, 14\}, \{10, 13\}, \{11, 12\} \} \in NC_2(14),$$

considered with the monotonic ordering $u : \pi \rightarrow \{1, \dots, 7\}$ shown in the following picture:

$$(\pi, u) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{\left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{6} \quad \overline{3} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{1} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{4} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{5} & \overline{7} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{1} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(14).$$

The pair of π which has maximal u -label is $J(\pi, u) = \{11, 12\}$ and the pair-partition $(\rho, v) = \mathbf{pp}(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(12)$ is depicted like this:

$$(\rho, v) = \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{\left[\begin{array}{cc} 2 & \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{6} \quad \overline{3} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{1} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{4} & \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{5} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{1} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \end{array} \right] \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(12).$$

2^o Let $(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m)$ be such that $|J(\rho, v)| = \ell$, and let $(\sigma, w) := \mathbf{p}^{(\ell-1)}(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - \ell + 1)$. Upon reviewing the argument from the proof of 1^o above, we see that the Equation (3.3) found there gives in particular $|J(\sigma, w)| = |J(\rho_{\ell-1}, v_{\ell-1})| = \ell - (\ell - 1) = 1$. Thus the map $\mathbf{p}^{(\ell-1)}$ does indeed send the set $\{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m) \mid |J(\rho, v)| = \ell\}$ into $\{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - \ell + 1) \mid |J(\sigma, w)| = 1\}$.

On the other hand, let us consider the map

$$Q^{(\ell-1)} : \{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - \ell + 1) \mid |J(\sigma, w)| = 1\} \longrightarrow NC^{(\text{mton})}(m),$$

$$(\sigma, w) \longmapsto (\rho, v),$$

where (ρ, v) is obtained by elongating the singleton block $J(\sigma, w)$ to an interval block of cardinality ℓ , and by keeping the ordering of blocks (thus the elongated block of size ℓ is the one which becomes $J(\rho, v)$). The reader should have no difficulty in checking that the map $Q^{(\ell-1)}$ so defined is an inverse for the map from $\{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m) \mid |J(\rho, v)| = \ell\}$ to $\{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - \ell + 1) \mid |J(\sigma, w)| = 1\}$ which is induced by $\mathbf{p}^{(\ell-1)}$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Consider the framework and notation of Theorem 3.3. For every integer $m \geq 2$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$, one has that*

$$\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = 1}} t^{Z_m(\rho, v)} = m t^{r_1} L_{m-1}(t). \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. On the left-hand side of (3.5) we group the terms according to what is the parent of (ρ, v) in the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree, and this converts the quantity indicated there into:

$$\sum_{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m-1)} \left[\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m) \\ \text{with } \mathbf{p}(\rho, v) = (\sigma, w) \\ \text{and with } |J(\rho, v)| = 1}} t^{Z_m(\rho, v)} \right]. \quad (3.6)$$

Let us next fix for a moment an ordered partition $(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - 1)$, and let us observe that the inner sum corresponding to (σ, w) in (3.6) has exactly m terms. This is because for every $1 \leq i \leq m$ there is a unique $(\rho_i, v_i) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m)$ such that $\mathbf{p}(\rho_i, v_i) = (\sigma, w)$ and such that $J(\rho_i, v_i) = \{i\}$. The \underline{r} -recursive property of the Z_n 's tells us that

$$Z_m(\rho_i, v_i) = Z_{m-1}(\sigma, w) + r_1, \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

which therefore implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m) \\ \text{with } \mathbf{p}(\rho, v) = (\sigma, w) \\ \text{and with } |J(\rho, v)| = 1}} t^{Z_m(\rho, v)} = \sum_{i=1}^m t^{Z_m(\rho_i, v_i)} = m t^{Z_{m-1}(\sigma, w) + r_1}. \quad (3.7)$$

We are now left to unfix the ordered partition $(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m - 1)$ and to substitute the result of (3.7) into (3.6), in order to conclude that

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{quantity} \\ \text{from (3.6)} \end{array} \right) = \sum_{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(m-1)} \left[m t^{r_1} t^{Z_{m-1}(\sigma, w)} \right] = m t^{r_1} L_{m-1}(t),$$

as claimed in (3.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We fix for the proof an $n > k$ in \mathbb{N} and a $t \in (0, \infty)$ for which we will verify that (3.1) holds. In the sum over $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ which defines $L_n(t)$, we organize the terms according to what is the parent of (π, u) in the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree:

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)} \left[\sum_{\substack{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \\ \text{with } \mathfrak{p}(\pi, u) = (\rho, v)}} t^{Z_n(\pi, u)} \right]. \quad (3.8)$$

Let us focus on an ordered partition $(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)$, and let us examine the inner sum corresponding to (ρ, v) in (3.8). As we know from Remark 2.4, this sum has $n+1$ terms, corresponding to the $n+1$ children of (ρ, v) in the $NC^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree. We denote these children as $(\pi_0, u_0), (\pi_1, u_1), \dots, (\pi_n, u_n) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, where (π_0, u_0) is obtained by elongating the v -maximal block $J(\rho, v) \in \rho$, while for $1 \leq i \leq n$ we let (π_i, u_i) be the child of (ρ, v) which has $J(\pi_i, v_i) = \{i\}$ (singleton block). From how the \underline{r} -recursive property works, we know that

$$Z_n(\pi, u_i) = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

The remaining value $Z_n(\pi_0, u_0)$ relates to $Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)$ in a way which depends on what is the cardinality of the block $J(\pi_0, u_0) \in \pi_0$, or equivalently, on what is $1 + |J(\rho, v)|$. Indeed, we have

$$\left[1 + |J(\rho, v)| > k \right] \Rightarrow \left[Z_n(\pi_0, u_0) = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) \right], \quad (3.9)$$

while on the other hand:

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } |J(\pi_0, u_0)| = 1 + |J(\rho, v)| = j \in \{2, \dots, k\}, \\ \text{then we must go with } Z_n(\pi_0, u_0) = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_j. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

We will process the inner sum which corresponds to (ρ, v) in (3.8) by comparing it against the quantity

$$n t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_1} + t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)}. \quad (3.11)$$

When we are in the case (3.9), the said inner sum is equal to (3.11); but in the exceptional case (3.10) we must add to (3.11) a correction equal to $t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_j} - t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)}$.

As the reader can easily check, the discussion made in the preceding paragraph has given us the following claim:

Claim 1. For the $n > k$ and $t \in (0, \infty)$ fixed throughout this proof, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(t) &= \sum_{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)} \left[n t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_1} + t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^k \left[\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = j-1}} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + r_j} - t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

We continue as follows.

Claim 2. The first sum listed in (3.12) is equal to $(n t^{r_1} + 1) L_{n-1}(t)$.

Verification of Claim 2. The sum in question is $\sum_{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)} (n t^{r_1} + 1) t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)}$, and, in view of how L_{n-1} is defined, this is indeed $(n t^{r_1} + 1) L_{n-1}(t)$.

Claim 3. The second sum listed in (3.12) is equal to

$$\sum_{j=2}^k (n - j + 1) (t^{r_j} - 1) t^{r_1 + \dots + r_{j-1}} L_{n-j}(t).$$

Verification of Claim 3. The sum under consideration can be written as:

$$\sum_{j=2}^k (t^{r_j} - 1) \left[\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = j-1}} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)} \right],$$

hence it suffices to verify that we have equalities

$$\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = j-1}} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)} = (n - j + 1) t^{r_1 + \dots + r_{j-1}} L_{n-j}(t), \quad (3.13)$$

holding for every $j \in \{2, \dots, k\}$. The case $j = 2$ of (3.13) says that

$$\sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = 1}} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)} = (n - 1) t^{r_1} L_{n-2}(t),$$

which is just Lemma 3.5, used for $m = n - 1$. We are left to pick a $j \in \{3, \dots, k\}$, for which we verify that (3.13) holds, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1) \\ \text{with } |J(\rho, v)| = j-1}} t^{Z_{n-1}(\sigma, w)} &= \sum_{\substack{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-j+1) \\ \text{with } |J(\sigma, w)| = 1}} t^{Z_{n-j+1}(\sigma, w) + (r_2 + \dots + r_{j-1})} \\ &\quad (\text{by Lemma 3.4, used with } m = n - 1 \text{ and } \ell = j - 1) \\ &= t^{r_2 + \dots + r_{j-1}} \cdot \sum_{\substack{(\sigma, w) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-j+1) \\ \text{with } |J(\sigma, w)| = 1}} t^{Z_{n-j+1}(\sigma, w)} \\ &= t^{r_2 + \dots + r_{j-1}} \cdot (n - j + 1) t^{r_1} L_{n-j}(t) \quad (\text{by Lemma 3.5}) \\ &= (n - j + 1) t^{r_1 + \dots + r_{j-1}} L_{n-j}(t). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the verification of Claim 3.

Finally, when the expressions found in Claim 2 and Claim 3 are replaced on the right-hand side of the Equation (3.12) of Claim 1, we find the required formula (3.1) from the statement of the theorem. \square

4. EXAMPLES OF BLOCK-COUNTING STATISTICS WHICH ARE \underline{r} -RECURSIVE

4.1. Total number of blocks.

In this subsection we look at the random variables $(Y_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^{\infty}$ which appeared in Theorem 1.1, with Y_n defined by

$$Y_n(\pi, u) := |\pi|, \quad \forall (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (4.1)$$

When considered in connection to these random variables, the combinatorial Laplace transforms L_n from Remark 1.3 become a sequence of polynomials, subsequently denoted as “ B_n ”, and defined as

$$B_n(t) := \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)} t^{|\pi|}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.2)$$

For example, direct inspection shows that $B_1(t) = t$, $B_2(t) = 2t^2 + t$, $B_3(t) = 6t^3 + 5t^2 + t$.

Proposition 4.1. *The Y_n 's considered above satisfy the \underline{r} -recursive condition from Definition 3.1, where we take $k = 2$ and $\underline{r} = (1, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. As a consequence, the polynomials B_n introduced in (4.2) satisfy the recursion*

$$B_n(t) = (1 + nt) B_{n-1}(t), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. The claimed \underline{r} -recursive condition amounts to the following: for $n \geq 2$ and $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$ with parent $\mathfrak{p}(\pi, u) =: (\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)$, we have

$$|\pi| = \begin{cases} |\rho| + 1, & \text{if } |J(\pi, u)| = 1, \\ |\rho| + 0, & \text{if } |J(\pi, u)| = 2, \\ |\rho|, & \text{if } |J(\pi, u)| > 2. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

This is indeed the case: if $|J(\pi, u)| = 1$ then π was obtained by appending a singleton block to ρ , and thus $|\pi| = |\rho| + 1$; while if $|J(\pi, u)| \geq 2$ then π was obtained by elongating the block $J(\rho, v)$ of ρ , and thus $|\pi| = |\rho|$.

[Note: setting aside the case $|J(\pi, u)| = 2$ in (4.4) is actually artificial – it is due to the fact that, for the sake of clarity in the calculations, the Definition 3.1 and the developments related to it were stated in a framework having $k \geq 2$.]

In order to obtain the recursion (4.3), we then invoke Equation (3.1) of Theorem 3.3. The summation “ $\sum_{j=2}^k$ ” from that equation has only one term, corresponding to $j = 2$, thus (3.1) takes here the form

$$B_n(t) = (1 + nt^1) B_{n-1}(t) + (n - 2 + 1) (t^{r^2} - 1) t^1 B_{n-2}(t).$$

This leads to the claimed formula (4.3), since $t^{r^2} - 1 = t^0 - 1 = 0$. □

From the recursion found for the B_n 's in Proposition 4.1, an easy induction then gives:

Corollary 4.2. *For every $n \geq 2$, one has that $B_n(t) = t(1 + 2t) \cdots (1 + nt)$.* □

By using Corollary 4.2, it is then easy to find the explicit expectation and variance formulas for the random variables Y_n which were announced in the Introduction. Recall that these formulas refer to the harmonic numbers H_n and their analogues $H_n^{(2)}$ defined by

$$H_n := 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad H_n^{(2)} := 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n^2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Recall moreover that, as well-known from calculus, one has that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n - \ln n = \gamma$ (the Euler-Mascheroni constant, $\gamma \approx 0.577$), and that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_n^{(2)} = \pi^2/6$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $Y_n : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \geq 1$ be the block-counting random variables defined by Equation (4.1).*

1° *One has that $E[Y_n] = n - H_n + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{n+1}$, $n \geq 2$, with the consequence that*

$$E[Y_n] \approx (n - \ln n) + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \gamma \right), \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.5)$$

2° *One has that*

$$\text{Var}[Y_n] = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k}{(k+1)^2} = H_{n+1} - H_{n+1}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad (4.6)$$

with the consequence that

$$\text{Var}[Y_n] \approx \ln n - \left(\frac{\pi^2}{6} + \frac{1}{4} - \gamma\right), \text{ for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. 1° Differentiation in the product formula found for $B_n(t)$ in Corollary 4.2 leads to

$$\frac{B'_n(t)}{B_n(t)} = \frac{1}{t} + \frac{2}{1+2t} + \frac{3}{1+3t} + \cdots + \frac{n}{1+nt}, \quad t \in (0, \infty), \quad (4.8)$$

with the consequence that

$$E[Y_n] = \frac{B'_n(1)}{B_n(1)} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{3}{4} + \cdots + \frac{n}{n+1}. \quad (4.9)$$

A bit of algebra then converts the latter quantity into the expression for $E[Y_n]$ that was stated in the proposition. The asymptotics (4.5) follows from there, when we take into account that $H_n \approx \ln n + \gamma$.

2° Let us denote $R_n := B'_n/B_n$. Then direct calculation gives

$$R_n + R'_n = \frac{B'_n}{B_n} + \frac{B''_n B_n - (B'_n)^2}{B_n^2} = \frac{B''_n + B'_n}{B_n} - \left[\frac{B'_n}{B_n}\right]^2.$$

Since $E[Y_n^2] = (B''_n(1) + B'_n(1))/B_n(1)$ and $E[Y_n] = B'_n(1)/B_n(1)$, we see that the variance $\text{Var}(Y_n) = E[Y_n^2] - (E[Y_n])^2$ can thus be expressed in the form

$$\text{Var}(Y_n) = R_n(1) + R'_n(1). \quad (4.10)$$

Now, Equation (4.8) gives an explicit formula for $R_n(t)$, and upon differentiating both sides of that equation we also find the explicit formula for $R'_n(t)$:

$$R'_n(t) = -\frac{1}{t^2} - \frac{4}{(1+2t)^2} - \cdots - \frac{n^2}{(1+nt)^2}, \quad t > 0.$$

Substituting these two explicit formulas into (4.10) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_n) &= \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} + \cdots + \frac{n}{n+1}\right) - \left(1 + \frac{2^2}{3^2} + \cdots + \frac{n^2}{(n+1)^2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n \left(\frac{k}{k+1} - \frac{k^2}{(k+1)^2}\right) = \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k}{(k+1)^2}, \end{aligned}$$

which verifies the first equality stated in (4.6). For the second equality (4.6) we write every $k/(k+1)^2$ as the difference between $1/(k+1)$ and $1/(k+1)^2$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_n) &= \left(\frac{1}{3} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{3^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\right) \\ &= \left(H_{n+1} - 1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(H_{n+1}^{(2)} - 1 - \frac{1}{4}\right) \\ &= H_{n+1} - H_{n+1}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4}, \text{ as required.} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in order to obtain the asymptotics stated in (4.7), we write

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Y_n) - \ln n &= \left(H_n + \frac{1}{n+1}\right) - H_{n+1}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4} - \ln n \\ &= (H_n - \ln n) - H_{n+1}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The latter quantity converges to $\gamma - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{1}{4} + 0$, which gives the required \approx formula. \square

4.2. Block-counting tallied by size.

In this subsection we look at blocks of specified size in a random ordered partition $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, and we put into evidence the following phenomenon: in the average, π has a lot of blocks of size 1 (about $n - 2 \ln n$ of them), has a significant number of blocks of size 2 (about $\ln n$ of them), and has very few blocks of size ≥ 3 . This is the content of Corollary 4.6 below. We start by reviewing some relevant notation.

Notation and Remark 4.4. 1° Let n and ℓ be positive integers. For every $\pi \in NC(n)$ we denote $|\pi|_\ell := |\{V \in \pi \mid |V| = \ell\}|$, and we let $Y_n^{(\ell)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ denote the random variable defined by

$$Y_n^{(\ell)}(\pi, u) = |\pi|_\ell, \quad \forall (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (4.11)$$

2° Let n be a positive integer. We will be especially interested in the random variables $Y_n^{(1)}$ and $Y_n^{(2)}$ which count singleton blocks and respectively doubleton blocks in a random $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$. Concerning the $Y_n^{(\ell)}$'s with $\ell \geq 3$, it will be convenient to bundle them together by introducing a random variable $Y_n^{(\geq 3)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$Y_n^{(\geq 3)}(\pi, u) = |\{V \in \pi \mid |V| \geq 3\}|, \quad \forall (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (4.12)$$

Obviously, the latter random variable could also be defined via the formula

$$Y_n^{(\geq 3)} = Y_n - Y_n^{(1)} - Y_n^{(2)}, \quad (4.13)$$

where Y_n is the block-counting random variable from Section 4.1 (cf. Equation (4.1) there).

3° Let ℓ be a positive integer. When considered in connection to the sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(\ell)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$, the combinatorial Laplace transforms from Remark 1.3 become a sequence of polynomials which will be subsequently denoted as “ $B_n^{(\ell)}$ ” and are defined by the formula

$$B_n^{(\ell)}(t) := \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)} t^{|\pi|_\ell}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n). \quad (4.14)$$

These polynomials turn out to fall in the setting covered by Theorem 3.3, which will therefore provide us with a recursion for them. The next proposition records this recursion in the cases of interest $\ell = 1$ and $\ell = 2$; the case of a fixed $\ell \geq 3$ will be commented on in Remark 4.8 below.

Proposition 4.5. *Notation as above.*

1° *The sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(1)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \underline{r} -recursive, in the sense of Definition 3.1, with $\underline{r} = (1, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. As a consequence, the polynomials $(B_n^{(1)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the recursion*

$$B_n^{(1)}(t) = (nt + 1) B_{n-1}^{(1)}(t) + (n - 1)(1 - t) B_{n-2}^{(1)}(t), \quad n \geq 3. \quad (4.15)$$

2° *The sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(2)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \underline{r} -recursive for $\underline{r} = (0, 1, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. As a consequence, the polynomials $(B_n^{(2)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the recursion*

$$B_n^{(2)}(t) = (n + 1) B_{n-1}^{(2)}(t) + (t - 1) [(n - 1) B_{n-2}^{(2)}(t) - (n - 2) B_{n-3}^{(2)}(t)], \quad n \geq 4. \quad (4.16)$$

Proof. 1° Consider an ordered partition $(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, where $n \geq 2$, and let $(\rho, v) := \mathfrak{p}(\pi, u) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(n-1)$. The verification of \underline{r} -recursive property that we need to make amounts to considering three cases, as follows.

Case 1: $|J(\pi, u)| = 1$. In this case, (π, u) was obtained by appending to (ρ, v) the singleton $J(\pi, u)$, and we therefore have $Y_n^{(1)}(\pi, u) = Y_{n-1}^{(1)}(\rho, v) + 1$.

Case 2: $|J(\pi, u)| = 2$. In this case, (π, u) was obtained by elongating the block $J(\rho, v) \in \rho$, in order to make it become the block $J(\pi, u) \in \pi$. We have $|J(\rho, v)| = |J(\pi, u)| - 1 = 2 - 1 = 1$, hence $J(\rho, v)$ is a singleton block. We thus see that $Y_n^{(1)}(\pi, u) = Y_{n-1}^{(1)}(\rho, v) - 1$ (since $J(\rho, v)$ is counted among the singleton blocks of ρ , while $J(\pi, u)$ is not counted among the singleton blocks of π).

Case 3: $|J(\pi, u)| > 2$. This is similar to Case 2, with the difference that now neither $J(\pi, u)$ or $J(\rho, v)$ are singleton blocks, and we simply find that $Y_n^{(1)}(\pi, u) = Y_{n-1}^{(1)}(\rho, v)$.

With the $(1, -1)$ -recursive property now being established, we are only left to invoke Theorem 3.3, and to observe that the recursion (3.1) stated in that theorem amounts precisely to the above Equation (4.15).

2° This is very similar to the argument for part 1°, where the case-by-case discussion now has four cases (e.g. for Case 3 we get $Y_n^{(2)}(\pi, u) = Y_n^{(2)}(\rho, v) - 1$ because the block $J(\pi, u)$ of π , which has $|J(\pi, u)| = 3 \neq 2$, is obtained by elongating the doubleton block $J(\rho, v) \in \rho$). The details are left as exercise to the reader. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Notation as above.*

1° One has that $E[Y_n^{(1)}] = n - 2H_n + \frac{10}{3} - \frac{3}{n+1}$, $n \geq 3$, with the consequence that

$$E[Y_n^{(1)}] \approx n - 2 \ln n + \left(\frac{10}{3} - 2\gamma\right) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.17)$$

2° One has that $E[Y_n^{(2)}] = H_n - \frac{51}{24} + \frac{6n-1}{2n(n+1)}$, $n \geq 4$, with the consequence that

$$E[Y_n^{(2)}] \approx \ln n - \left(\frac{51}{24} - \gamma\right) \quad \text{for } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.18)$$

3° One has that $E[Y_n^{(\geq 3)}] = \frac{7}{24} - \frac{2n-1}{2n(n+1)}$, $n \geq 4$, with the consequence that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(\geq 3)}] = \frac{7}{24}. \quad (4.19)$$

Proof. 1° As a special case of Equation (1.16) from Remark 1.3, we have

$$E[Y_n^{(1)}] = \frac{[B_n^{(1)}]'(1)}{B_n^{(1)}(1)} = \frac{[B_n^{(1)}]'(1)}{(n+1)!/2}.$$

This observation prompts us to differentiate both sides of Equation (4.15) and to evaluate the result at $t = 1$, in order to find that:

$$[B_n^{(1)}]'(1) = nB_{n-1}(1) + (n+1)[B_{n-1}^{(1)}]'(1) - (n-1)B_{n-2}^{(1)}(1), \quad n \geq 3. \quad (4.20)$$

When in the latter equation we replace $B_{n-1}(1) = n!/2$, $B_{n-2}(1) = (n-1)!/2$, and we also replace

$$[B_n^{(1)}]'(1) = \frac{(n+1)!}{2} E[Y_n^{(1)}], \quad [B_{n-1}^{(1)}]'(1) = \frac{n!}{2} E[Y_{n-1}^{(1)}],$$

we find a recursive relation expressing $E[Y_n^{(1)}]$ in terms of $E[Y_{n-1}^{(1)}]$, namely:

$$E[Y_n^{(1)}] = E[Y_{n-1}^{(1)}] + 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{3}{n+1}. \quad (4.21)$$

From (4.21), an easy induction on n leads to the explicit formula for $E[Y_n^{(1)}]$ stated in the corollary. This, in turn, immediately entails the asymptotics (4.17).

2° The calculations needed here go in the same way as those shown in the proof of 1°. The counterpart of Equation (4.20) is

$$[B_n^{(2)}]'(1) = \left[(n-1)B_{n-2}(1) - (n-2)B_{n-3}^{(2)}(1) \right] + (n+1)[B_{n-1}^{(1)}]'(1), \quad n \geq 4,$$

and the resulting counterpart for Equation (4.21) comes out as:

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n^{(2)}] &= E[Y_{n-1}^{(2)}] + \frac{n-1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{n-2}{(n-1)n(n+1)} \\ &= E[Y_{n-1}^{(2)}] + \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} - 3 \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{7}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Telescoping the latter formula leads to

$$E[Y_n^{(2)}] = E[Y_3^{(2)}] - \frac{11}{24} + \left[\frac{1}{5} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1} \right] + \left[\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{7}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \right]. \quad (4.22)$$

Finally, upon completing the harmonic number H_n in (4.22), one finds the concrete expression for $E[Y_n^{(2)}]$ that was stated in the theorem, which has the asymptotics (4.18) as an immediate consequence.

3° This is obtained by writing

$$E[Y_n^{(\geq 3)}] = E[Y_n - Y_n^{(1)} - Y_n^{(2)}] = E[Y_n] - E[Y_n^{(1)}] - E[Y_n^{(2)}],$$

and then by following on the underlying algebra (where the expectation $E[Y_n]$ is picked from Proposition 4.3.1). \square

Remark 4.7. The formulas found in part 3° of the preceding corollary can also be obtained via direct reference to Theorem 3.3. Indeed, it is easily verified that the sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(\geq 3)})_{n=1}^\infty$ is \underline{r} -recursive with $\underline{r} = (0, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^3$. Theorem 3.3 thus implies that the corresponding polynomials $B_n^{(\geq 3)}$ satisfy the recursion

$$B_n^{(\geq 3)}(t) = (n+1)B_{n-1}^{(\geq 3)}(t) + (t-1)(n-2)B_{n-3}^{(\geq 3)}(t), \quad n \geq 4;$$

this further implies a recursion for the derivatives $[B_n^{(\geq 3)}]'(1)$, which entails the formulas stated in Corollary 4.6.3.

Remark 4.8. Considerations analogous to those of Proposition 4.5 can be pursued for any fixed block-size $\ell \geq 3$; this leads to precise formulas for the expectations $E[Y_n^{(\ell)}]$, where (unlike what we saw in statements 1°, 2° of Corollary 4.6) the latter sequence of expectations stays bounded and has in fact a finite limit for $n \rightarrow \infty$. In the present remark we record the relevant steps leading to this conclusion.

So let us fix an $\ell \geq 3$ in \mathbb{N} , for which we consider the sequence of random variables $(Y_n^{(\ell)} : NC^{(\text{mton})}(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ introduced in Notation 4.4.1, and the corresponding sequence of polynomials $(B_n^{(\ell)})_{n=1}^\infty$ introduced in Notation 4.4.3. An argument similar to the one

shown in the proof of Proposition 4.5 shows that the sequence $(Y_n^{(\ell)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is \underline{r} -recursive for $\underline{r} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, -1) \in \mathbb{R}^{\ell+1}$. In view of Theorem 3.3, this has the consequence that the polynomials $B_n^{(\ell)}$ satisfy the recursion

$$B_n^{(\ell)}(t) = (n+1)B_{n-1}^{(\ell)}(t) + (t-1) \left((n-\ell+1)B_{n-\ell}^{(\ell)}(t) - (n-\ell)B_{n-\ell-1}^{(\ell)}(t) \right), \quad (4.23)$$

holding for $n \geq \ell + 2$. We leave it to the patient reader to check that, when starting from (4.23), the same kind of calculation as shown in the proof of Corollary 4.6.1 leads to the recursion

$$E[Y_n^{(\ell)}] = E[Y_{n-1}^{(\ell)}] + \frac{1}{(n-\ell+3) \cdots n(n+1)} - \frac{2}{(n-\ell+2)(n-\ell+3) \cdots n(n+1)} \quad (4.24)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(n-\ell+1)(n-\ell+2)(n-\ell+3) \cdots n(n+1)},$$

holding for $n \geq \ell + 2$ and initialized with $E[Y_{\ell+1}^{(\ell)}] = 2(\ell+3)/(\ell+2)!$.

From (4.24), one needs no detailed calculations in order to conclude that the sequence of $E[Y_n^{(\ell)}]$'s is convergent. Indeed, by only keeping the factors n and $n+1$ in the products from the three denominators listed in (4.24) (which is possible because $\ell \geq 3$), one immediately gets the upper bound

$$\left| E[Y_n^{(\ell)}] - E[Y_{n-1}^{(\ell)}] \right| \leq \frac{4}{n^2}, \quad n \geq \ell + 2,$$

and this implies the desired convergence.

For someone who actually wants to determine precisely what is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(\ell)}]$, the somewhat ungrateful task to be attended to is the partial fraction decomposition of the three denominators in (4.24), followed by some book-keeping of the cancellations that occur in the resulting expression. The relevant formula to be used here (easily verified by a suitable induction argument) is that

$$\frac{1}{m(m+1) \cdots (m+\ell)} = \frac{1}{\ell!} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\ell} (-1)^j \binom{\ell}{j} \frac{1}{m+j} \right], \quad m \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4.25)$$

So, for instance: if our fixed ℓ happened to be $\ell = 3$, then (4.24) is processed as

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y_n^{(3)}] &= E[Y_{n-1}^{(3)}] + \frac{1}{n(n+1)} - \frac{2}{(n-1)n(n+1)} + \frac{1}{(n-2)(n-1)n(n+1)} \\ &= E[Y_{n-1}^{(3)}] + \left[\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] - \left[\frac{1}{n-1} - \frac{2}{n} + \frac{1}{n+1} \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \left[\frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{3}{n-1} + \frac{3}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right] \\ &= E[Y_{n-1}^{(3)}] + \left[\frac{1}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n-2} - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n-1} + \frac{7}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{n} - \frac{13}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{n+1} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We leave it as an exercise to the reader to check that, upon telescoping the latter expression (in order to reduce $E[Y_n^{(3)}]$ to $E[Y_{n-1}^{(3)}]$, then to $E[Y_{n-2}^{(3)}], \dots$, all the way to $E[Y_4^{(3)}]$), one finds that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[Y_n^{(3)}] = 23/90$.

5. $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -REPRODUCING STATISTICS ON $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$

In the remaining part of the paper, we will look at combinatorial statistics on the sets $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ of monotonically ordered non-crossing pair-partitions. The present section is devoted to examining the $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing property which was announced in Section 1.4 of the Introduction. The definition of this property is given next. For this definition it is useful to remember (cf. Remark 2.8) the following homogeneity property of the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree: for every $n \geq 2$ in \mathbb{N} , every $(\rho, v) \in NC^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$ has precisely $2n - 1$ children when viewed as a node in the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree.

Definition 5.1. Let some parameters $\alpha, \beta, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ be given. We will say that a sequence of random variables $(Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ is $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing when it has the following property: for $n \geq 2$ and $(\rho, v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$, the set of children of (ρ, v) in the $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}$ -tree can be decomposed as a disjoint union $C'(\rho, v) \cup C''(\rho, v)$ such that:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (1) \quad \text{If } (\pi, u) \in C'(\rho, v), \text{ then } Z_n(\pi, u) = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + \alpha, \\ (2) \quad \text{If } (\pi, u) \in C''(\rho, v), \text{ then } Z_n(\pi, u) = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + \beta, \\ \text{and} \\ (3) \quad |C'(\rho, v)| = Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + c. \end{array} \right. \quad (5.1)$$

In connection to the condition (5.1)(3), we note that the cardinality of $C''(\rho, v)$ is also determined, $|C''(\rho, v)| = ((2n - 1) - c) - Z_{n-1}(\rho, v)$.

Remark and Notation 5.2. The main point of the present section is to put into evidence a recursion formula for the combinatorial Laplace transforms of a sequence of random variables which is $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing, in the sense defined above. This recursion will be given in Theorem 5.3, and some examples of how it is applied will be shown in Section 6. The notion of combinatorial Laplace transform used in Theorem 5.3 is a straightforward adjustment of the notion with the same name that was introduced in Remark 1.3 in connection to the full sets of partitions $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$. Thus, given an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a random variable $Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we will use the term *combinatorial Laplace transform of Z_n* for the function $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)} t^{Z_n(\pi, u)}, \quad t > 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Same as in the parallel discussion concerning random variables on $NC^{(\text{mton})}(n)$, the function L_n defined in (5.2) contains significant information about the random variable Z_n ; in particular, the expectation of Z_n is retrieved as

$$E[Z_n] = \frac{L'_n(1)}{L_n(1)} = \frac{L'_n(1)}{(2n - 1)!!}, \quad (5.3)$$

where we use that the normalization constant $L_n(1) = |NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)|$ is now $(2n - 1)!!$.

Theorem 5.3. Let $(Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of real random variables, let $\alpha, \beta, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, and suppose that the sequence of Z_n 's is $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the combinatorial Laplace transform defined by Equation

(5.2). Then the L_n 's satisfy the recursion:

$$L_n(t) = L_{n-1}(t) \cdot \left(ct^\alpha + (2n-1-c)t^\beta \right) + L'_{n-1}(t) \cdot (t^{\alpha+1} - t^{\beta+1}), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. We can break up the sum defining $L_n(t)$ as

$$\sum_{(\pi,u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)} t^{Z_n(\pi,u)} = \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} \left[\sum_{\substack{(\pi,u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \\ \text{with } \text{pp}(\pi,u) = (\rho,v)}} t^{Z_n(\pi,u)} \right],$$

then split the inner sum according to whether $(\pi, u) \in C'(\rho, v)$ or $(\pi, u) \in C''(\rho, v)$, to get

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(t) &= \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} \left[\sum_{(\pi,u) \in C'(\rho,v)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)+\alpha} + \sum_{(\pi,u) \in C''(\rho,v)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)+\beta} \right] \\ &= \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)} \cdot \left(t^\alpha |C'(\rho, v)| + t^\beta |C''(\rho, v)| \right). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the condition (5.1)(3), the latter equalities can be continued with

$$= \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)} \cdot \left(t^\alpha (Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) + c) + t^\beta (2n-1-c) - Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) \right).$$

The outcome of this calculation is that $L_n(t)$ can be written as $\Sigma' + \Sigma''$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma' &= \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)} \cdot Z_{n-1}(\rho, v) (t^\alpha - t^\beta), \text{ and} \\ \Sigma'' &= \sum_{(\rho,v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)} t^{Z_{n-1}(\rho,v)} \cdot (ct^\alpha + (2n-1-c)t^\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Now, from the definition of $L_{n-1}(t)$ as a summation over $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2)$ it is clear that $\Sigma'' = L_{n-1}(t) \cdot (ct^\alpha + (2n-1-c)t^\beta)$, while taking a derivative in the said summation formula immediately implies that $\Sigma' = tL'_{n-1}(t) \cdot (t^\alpha - t^\beta)$. Hence the writing of $L_n(t)$ as $\Sigma' + \Sigma''$ amounts precisely to the required formula (5.4). \square

Corollary 5.4. *Consider the setting of Theorem 5.3, where $(Z_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ is an $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing sequence of real random variables. Then the expectations of the Z_n 's satisfy the recursion:*

$$E[Z_n] = \frac{(2n-1) + (\alpha - \beta)}{(2n-2)(2n-1)} \cdot E[Z_{n-1}] + \frac{c\alpha + (2n-1-c)\beta}{(2n-2)(2n-1)}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. Same as in Theorem 5.3, we let L_n denote the combinatorial Laplace transform of Z_n , $n \geq 1$. The reader should have no difficulty to verify that, upon differentiating both sides of the recursion (5.4) satisfied by the L_n 's, and then upon substituting $t = 1$ in the resulting formula, one gets:

$$L'_n(1) = L'_{n-1}(1) \cdot ((2n-1) + (\alpha - \beta)) + L_{n-1}(1) \cdot (c\alpha + (2n-1-c)\beta), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.6)$$

Since we know that $L_n(1) = (2n-1)!!$ and, likewise, that $L_{n-1}(1) = (2n-3)!!$, from (5.6) we infer that

$$E[Z_n] = \frac{L'_n(1)}{(2n-1)!!} = \frac{L'_{n-1}(1)}{(2n-3)!!} \cdot \frac{(2n-1) + (\alpha - \beta)}{(2n-2)(2n-1)} + \frac{c\alpha + (2n-1-c)\beta}{(2n-2)(2n-1)},$$

which is precisely the required formula (5.5). \square

Remark 5.5. In specific examples it may be preferable that, instead of being written in terms of the $E[Z_n]$'s themselves, the recursion from Corollary 5.4 is written in terms of the numbers $L'_n(1) = (2n - 1)!! E[Z_n]$: we have (as already recorded in (5.6) of the proof of the corollary)

$$L'_n(1) = L'_{n-1}(1) \cdot ((2n - 1) + (\alpha - \beta)) + (2n - 3)!! \cdot (c\alpha + (2n - 1 - c)\beta), \quad n \geq 2. \quad (5.7)$$

The reason for preferring the form (5.7) of the recursion is that in some relevant examples the numbers $L'_n(1)$ come out as a sequence of integers which may be searched in OEIS.

6. EXAMPLES OF PAIR-COUNTING STATISTICS WHICH ARE $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -REPRODUCING

6.1. Number of outer pairs.

In this subsection we examine the random variables $\text{Out}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which count outer pairs (cf. (1.22) of Section 1.4), and we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.2.

Lemma 6.1. *The sequence of random variables $(\text{Out}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ is $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing (in the sense discussed in Section 5), with $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$ and $c = 1$.*

Proof. Pick an $n \geq 2$ and a $(\rho, v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$, in connection to which we verify the conditions (1), (2), (3) stated in Definition 5.1. To that end, let us look at a $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ with $\mathbf{pp}(\pi, u) = (\rho, v)$, and let us denote $V := J(\pi, u) \in \pi$, the interval pair which is appended to ρ in order to go from (ρ, v) to (π, u) . There are two possible cases: either V is an outer pair of π , or it is not. We note that, in either of these two cases, the outer pairs of ρ become outer pairs of π ; this is because $V \in \pi$ is an *interval* pair, hence cannot enclose some outer pair of ρ and make it become non-outer in π . It is also immediate that every non-outer pair of ρ becomes a non-outer pair in π , and we thus come to the following conclusion:

$$\text{Out}_n(\pi, u) = \begin{cases} \text{Out}_{n-1}(\rho, v) + 1, & \text{if } J(\pi, u) \text{ is an outer pair of } \pi, \\ \text{Out}_{n-1}(\rho, v), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

This verifies the conditions (1) and (2) from (5.1), for $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 0$.

The remaining condition (5.1)(3) amounts here to the fact that, in (6.1), there are exactly $\text{Out}_{n-1}(\rho, v) + 1$ choices of (π, u) for which $J(\pi, u)$ is an outer pair of π . This is indeed true, because an interval pair appended to ρ is outer precisely when it is inserted in between two consecutive outer pairs of ρ , or at one of the two ends (left or right) of the picture of ρ ; the number of ways to do this is equal to $(\text{Out}_{n-1}(\rho, v) - 1) + 2 = \text{Out}_{n-1}(\rho, v) + 1$, as desired.

Here is a concrete example illustrating the above observation. Suppose that

$$\rho = \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{} \\ \square \quad \square \\ \phantom{\overline{}} \end{array} \right] \left[\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{} \\ \overline{} \\ \square \quad \square \\ \overline{} \end{array} \right] \in NC_2(14).$$

There are 4 possible ways to append to ρ an interval pair which is outer in the resulting $\pi \in NC_2(16)$; these 4 possibilities are indicated with dotted lines in the next picture.

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{} \\ \square \quad \square \\ \phantom{\overline{}} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \overline{} \\ \overline{} \\ \square \quad \square \\ \overline{} \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \end{array} \right]$$

As seen in the picture, the 4 dotted possibilities correspond precisely to the 2 spaces between the 3 outer pairs of ρ , plus the space to the left of $\{1, 6\}$ (leftmost outer pair of ρ) and the one to the right of $\{9, 14\}$ (rightmost outer pair of ρ). \square

Remark 6.2. We now consider, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the combinatorial Laplace transform $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the random variable Out_n . These L_n 's are a sequence of polynomial functions, for instance direct inspection shows that

$$L_1(t) = t, \quad L_2(t) = t + 2t^2, \quad L_3(t) = 3t + 6t^2 + 6t^3.$$

Since we found that the Out_n 's are $(1, 0; 1)$ -reproducing, we can invoke the results from Section 5 in connection to the L_n 's at hand, and we note in particular that the recursion (5.7) found in Remark 5.5 now reads:

$$L'_n(1) = 2n L'_{n-1}(1) + (2n - 3)!!, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (6.2)$$

From here, an immediate induction on n shows that we have

$$L'_n(1) = 2^n n! - (2n - 1)!!, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (6.3)$$

[Verification of the induction step: assuming that $L'_{n-1}(1) = 2^{n-1} (n - 1)! - (2n - 3)!!$, we get that $L'_n(1) = 2n (2^{n-1} (n - 1)! - (2n - 3)!!) + (2n - 3)!! = 2^n n! - (2n - 1)(2n - 3)!!$, as desired.]

Proof of Theorem 1.4.2. With notation set as above, we know (cf. (5.3)) that the expectation of the random variable Out_n can be retrieved as $E[\text{Out}_n] = L'_n(1)/(2n - 1)!!$. Upon invoking the explicit formula (6.3 for $L'_n(1)$, we thus find that

$$E[\text{Out}_n] = \frac{2^n n! - (2n - 1)!!}{(2n - 1)!!} = \frac{2^n n!}{\frac{(2n)!}{2^n n!}} - 1 = \frac{4^n}{\binom{2n}{n}} - 1, \quad (6.4)$$

as stated in Theorem 1.4.2.

The asymptotics $\mathbb{E}[\text{Out}_n] \sim \sqrt{\pi n}$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ follows from (6.4) and the well-known asymptotics $\binom{2n}{n} \sim 4^n / \sqrt{\pi n}$, which is a direct consequence of Stirling's approximation formula. \square

6.2. Number of interval pairs.

In this subsection we examine the random variables $\text{Int}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which count interval pairs (cf. (1.22) of Section 1.4), and we give the proof of Theorem 1.4.1. The discussion is analogous with the one from Section 6.1, and is based on the following observation.

Lemma 6.3. *The sequence of random variables $(\text{Int}_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ is $(\alpha, \beta; c)$ -reproducing (in the sense discussed in Section 5), with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$, and $c = 0$.*

Proof. Pick an $n \geq 2$ and a $(\rho, v) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n - 2)$, in connection to which we verify the conditions (1), (2), (3) stated in Definition 5.1. Let m be the number of interval pairs of ρ , and let us explicitly denote these pairs as $\{i_1, i_1 + 1\}, \dots, \{i_m, i_m + 1\}$.

Now let us look at a $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$ with $\text{pp}(\pi, u) = (\rho, v)$, and let $V := J(\pi, u) \in \pi$ be the interval pair which is appended to ρ in order to go from (ρ, v) to (π, u) . A moment's thought shows that we have:


$$\text{Int}_n(\pi, u) = \begin{cases} \text{Int}_{n-1}(\rho, v), & \text{if } V \text{ is inserted into one of the} \\ & \text{pairs } \{i_1, i_1 + 1\}, \dots, \{i_m, i_m + 1\} \text{ of } \rho, \\ \text{Int}_{n-1}(\rho, v) + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (6.5)$$

This verifies the conditions (1) and (2) from (5.1), with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. We note, moreover, that the number of possibilities counted in the first branch on the right-hand side of (6.5) is precisely equal to $\text{Int}_{n-1}(\rho, v)$ (which is our m); this shows that the condition (5.1)(3) is also fulfilled, with $c = 0$.

In order to illustrate the discussion made in the preceding paragraph, consider again the example of pair-partition which was used to illustrate the proof of Lemma 6.1,

$$\rho = \{ \{1, 6\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4, 5\}, \{7, 8\}, \{9, 14\}, \{10, 13\}, \{11, 12\} \} \in NC_2(14).$$

This ρ has 4 interval pairs, $\{2, 3\}$, $\{4, 5\}$, $\{7, 8\}$ and $\{11, 12\}$. The next picture shows, in dotted lines, how an interval pair “ $V = J(\pi, u)$ ” can be inserted into one of the said interval pairs of ρ .



In each of the 4 cases shown in dotted lines, the resulting $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(16)$ will have $\text{Int}_8(\pi, u) = \text{Int}_7(\rho, v)$. (For instance if the inserted pair is $V = \{3, 4\}$, then V itself is an interval pair of π , but the former interval pair $\{2, 3\} \in \rho$ has now become $\{2, 5\} \in \pi$, and is lost from the tallying of interval pairs of π .) On the other hand, if the inserted pair V is not one of those shown in dotted lines in the picture, then the resulting $(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(8)$ will have $\text{Int}_8(\pi, u) = \text{Int}_7(\rho, v) + 1 = 5$. This is because, in addition to V , the pair-partition π will have 4 interval pairs that are inherited from ρ . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4.1. Consider, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the combinatorial Laplace transform $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the random variable Int_n . Very similar to what we had in the discussion about outer pairs of the preceding subsection, these L_n 's are a sequence of polynomial functions, beginning with $L_1(t) = t$, and to which the considerations from Section 5 can be applied. In particular, the recursion (5.7) found in Remark 5.5 (with the specialization $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1, c = 0$) now reads:

$$L'_n(1) = (2n - 2) L'_{n-1}(1) + (2n - 1)!!, \quad n \geq 2. \tag{6.6}$$

An easy induction argument based on Equation (6.6) and starting with the initial condition $L'_1(1) = 1$ then tells us that we have

$$L'_n(1) = (2n + 1)!!/3, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We are left to invoke the formula (5.3) in order to conclude that that the expectation of the random variable Int_n equals

$$E[\text{Int}_n] = \frac{L'_n(1)}{(2n - 1)!!} = \frac{(2n + 1)!!/3}{(2n - 1)!!} = (2n + 1)/3,$$

as claimed in the theorem. \square

7. EXPECTATION OF THE “AREA” STATISTIC ON $NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)$

In this section we consider the framework from the Section 1.5 of the Introduction, and we give the proof of Theorem 1.5. We start by reviewing what the theorem said, and some notation related to that.

Notation and Remark 7.1. Recall that the random variables $A_n : NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are defined by

$$A_n(\pi, u) := \text{area}(\pi) = \int_0^{2n} f_\pi(t) dt, \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } (\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n),$$

where the piecewise linear function $f_\pi : [0, 2n] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is defined in the way shown in Section 1.5. The result we aim to prove is that:

$$E[A_n] = (2n + 1) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k + 1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (7.1)$$

with the consequence that $E[A_n] \sim n \ln n$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Similar to how we did in previous sections, we will use the combinatorial Laplace transform of A_n , which is the polynomial function $L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$L_n(t) := \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)} t^{A_n(\pi, u)} = \sum_{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n)} t^{\text{area}(\pi)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (7.2)$$

For instance, direct inspection shows that for $n \leq 3$ we have

$$L_1(t) = t, \quad L_2(t) = 2t^2 + t^4, \quad L_3(t) = 6t^3 + 6t^5 + 2t^7 + t^9.$$

The functions L_n give us access to the desired expectation $E[A_n]$ via the formula

$$E[A_n] = L'_n(1)/(2n - 1)!!, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will proceed by deriving a recursion for the L_n 's (Proposition 7.2), which will entail some recursions for the numbers $L'_n(1)$ (Corollary 7.3 and Proposition 7.5), and will eventually lead to the explicit formula (7.1).

Proposition 7.2. Consider the functions $(L_n : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R})_{n=1}^\infty$ defined by Equation (7.2), and let us also make the convention to let $L_0 : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $L_0(t) = 1$, $\forall t \in (0, \infty)$. One has the recursion

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} t^{2k-1} L_{k-1}(t) L_{n-k}(t), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (7.3)$$

Proof. In the summation defining $L_n(t)$ in (7.2), let us group the terms according to what is the pair of 1 in the pair-partition π :

$$L_n(t) = \sum_{k=1}^n \left[\sum_{\substack{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \{1, 2k\} \in \pi}} t^{\text{area}(\pi)} \right].$$

The required formula (7.3) will clearly follow from the latter equation if we can prove that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, one has:

$$\sum_{\substack{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \text{ such} \\ \text{that } \{1, 2k\} \in \pi}} t^{\text{area}(\pi)} = \binom{n}{k} t^{2k-1} L_{k-1}(t) L_{n-k}(t). \quad (7.4)$$

So, for the rest of the proof we fix $1 \leq k \leq n$ for which we will verify that (7.4) holds. For the sake of not complicating the notation, we will run the argument under the assumption that we actually have $1 < k < n$. We leave it as an exercise to the reader to check that

similar arguments can be made to cover the remaining cases $k = 1$ and $k = n$ (when the right-hand side of (7.4) comes out as $ntL_{n-1}(t)$ and as $t^{2n-1}L_{n-1}(t)$, respectively).

In connection to the n and k that were fixed above, we make a bit of notation, described as follows: given two pair-partitions $\pi_1 \in NC_2(2k-2)$ and $\pi_2 \in NC_2(2n-2k)$, we will use the notation “ $\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2$ ” for the $\pi \in NC_2(2n)$ defined by the following requirements:

- $\{1, 2k\}$ is a pair in π ;
- for $J_1 = \{2, \dots, 2k-1\}$, the restricted-and-relabelled partition π_{J_1} (in the sense of Notation 2.1.2) is equal to π_1 ;
- for $J_2 = \{2k+1, \dots, 2n\}$, the restricted-and-relabelled partition π_{J_2} is equal to π_2 .

[A concrete example: say that $n = 6, k = 3$, and that

$$\pi_1 = \left[\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \in NC_2(4) \text{ and } \pi_2 = \left[\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \in NC_2(6). \text{ Then}$$

$$\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2 = \left[\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \square \\ \hline \end{array} \right] \text{ in } NC_2(12).]$$

We note that upon performing a straightforward calculation of areas of polygons, one finds, for arbitrary $\pi_1 \in NC_2(2k-2)$ and $\pi_2 \in NC_2(2n-2k)$, that

$$\text{area}(\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2) = \text{area}(\pi_1) + (2k-1) + \text{area}(\pi_2).$$

The operation “ \diamond_k ” is useful because it allows us to formalize a natural bijection, let’s call it Φ_k , from the Cartesian product

$$\{A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\} \mid |A| = k\} \times NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2k-2) \times NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2k) \quad (7.5)$$

onto the set $\{(\pi, u) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n) \mid \{1, 2k\} \in \pi\}$ appearing as index set on the left-hand side of (7.4). The formula describing Φ_k is

$$\Phi_k(A, (\pi_1, u_1), (\pi_2, u_2)) = (\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2, u),$$

where the monotone ordering u of the partition $\pi = \pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2 \in NC_2(2n)$ is constructed as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rightarrow u(\{1, 2k\}) = \max(A); \\ \rightarrow \text{The set of labels } \{u(V) \mid V \in \pi, V \subseteq \{2, \dots, 2k-1\}\} \text{ is equal to } A \setminus \{\max(A)\}, \\ \quad \text{and their assignment reproduces the monotone ordering } u_1 \text{ of } \pi_1; \\ \rightarrow \text{The set of labels } \{u(V) \mid V \in \pi, V \subseteq \{2k+1, \dots, 2n\}\} \text{ is equal to } \\ \quad \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus A, \text{ and their assignment reproduces the monotone ordering } u_2 \text{ of } \pi_2. \end{array} \right.$$

So now, let us use the bijection Φ_k as a change of variable in the summation on the left-hand side of (7.4). The said summation is then converted into

$$\sum_{A, (\pi_1, u_1), (\pi_2, u_2)} t^{\text{area}(\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2)}, \quad (7.6)$$

with the triple $(A, (\pi_1, u_1), (\pi_2, u_2))$ running in the Cartesian product indicated in (7.5). Upon factoring $t^{\text{area}(\pi_1 \diamond_k \pi_2)} = t^{2k-1} \cdot t^{\text{area}(\pi_1)} \cdot t^{\text{area}(\pi_2)}$, we then find that the sum (7.6) itself

gets to be factored as

$$t^{2k-1} \cdot \binom{n}{k} \cdot \left(\sum_{(\pi_1, u_1) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2k-2)} t^{\text{area}(\pi_1)} \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{(\pi_2, u_2) \in NC_2^{(\text{mton})}(2n-2k)} t^{\text{area}(\pi_2)} \right);$$

the latter expression is precisely the right-hand side of (7.4), as required. \square

Corollary 7.3. *Let the functions $(L_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ be as in the preceding proposition. Then, for every $n \geq 1$, one has that*

$$L'_n(1) = (2^n \cdot n! - (2n-1)!!) + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k} (2n-2k-1)!! L'_{k-1}(1). \quad (7.7)$$

(The term corresponding to $k = n$ in the latter summation refers to $(-1)!!$; this is, by convention, equal to 1.)

Proof. Upon differentiating both sides of Equation (7.3) from the preceding proposition, and then evaluating the result at $t = 1$, we find that for every $n \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'_n(1) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (2k-1) L_{k-1}(1) L_{n-k}(1) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} L'_{k-1}(1) L_{n-k}(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} L_{k-1}(1) L'_{n-k}(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since (directly from its definition) $L_m(1)$ is equal to $(2m-1)!!$ for every $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the latter equation thus expresses $L'_n(1)$ in terms of the values $L'_m(1)$ for $0 \leq m < n$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L'_n(1) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (2k-1) (2k-3)!! (2n-2k-1)!! \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (2n-2k-1)!! L'_{k-1}(1) + \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (2k-3)!! L'_{n-k}(1). \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

The first sum appearing in (7.8) can be evaluated explicitly, it is equal to $2^n \cdot n! - (2n-1)!!$. This is because the general term of this sum can be rewritten as

$$\binom{n}{k} (2k-1) (2k-3)!! (2n-2k-1)!! = \frac{n!}{2^n} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k},$$

and one can invoke the known binomial identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-2k} = 4^n,$$

in order to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{n!}{2^n} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} &= \left[\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n!}{2^n} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2(n-k)}{n-k} \right] - \frac{n!}{2^n} \binom{0}{0} \binom{2n}{n} \\ &= \frac{n!}{2^n} \cdot 4^n - \frac{n!}{2^n} \cdot \frac{(2n)!}{n! n!} = n! \cdot 2^n - (2n-1)!!, \text{ as claimed.} \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the last two sums appearing in (7.8), we observe that they can be merged together via the change of variable $\ell = (n+1) - k$ in the second of them (which changes “ $L'_{n-k}(1)$ ” into “ $L'_{\ell-1}(1)$ ”). We leave it as an exercise to the reader to perform this merging,

in order to verify that the quantity on the right-hand side of (7.8) is the same as the one indicated on the right-hand side of (7.7). \square

The recursion (7.7) is somewhat inconvenient because it expresses $L'_n(1)$ in terms of the whole aggregate of $L'_m(1)$ with $0 \leq m < n$. Via some maneuvering with generating functions, it is possible to replace this recursion by one which simply expresses $L'_n(1)$ in terms of $L'_{n-1}(1)$. The relevant statement about generating functions goes as follows.

Lemma 7.4. *Consider the sequence of numbers $(L'_n(1))_{n=0}^\infty$ from the preceding corollary, and let $F(z)$ denote their exponential generating function,*

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L'_n(1)}{n!} z^n. \quad (7.9)$$

Then one has the closed fomula

$$F(z) = -\frac{\ln(1-2z)}{2(1-2z)^{3/2}}. \quad (7.10)$$

Proof. The starting point for this argument is to observe that the summation on the right-hand side of Equation (7.7) can be arranged in a way which is suggestive of a multiplication of two power series. More precisely, in the general term of that summation one can write

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n+1}{k} (2n-2k-1)!! &= \frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n+1-k)!} \cdot \frac{(2(n-k))!}{2^{n-k}(n-k)!} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)!}{2^n} \cdot \frac{2^k}{k!} \cdot \frac{(2(n-k))!}{(n-k)!(n-k+1)!} = \frac{(n+1)!}{2^n} \cdot \frac{2^k}{k!} \cdot \text{Cat}_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

where ‘‘Cat’’ stands for Catalan number (same as on page 2 of the Introduction). This suggests that Equation (7.7) should be rewritten in the form:

$$\frac{2^n L'_n(1)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{2^n \cdot (2^n \cdot n! - (2n-1)!!)}{(n+1)!} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{2^k L'_{k-1}(1)}{k!} \cdot \text{Cat}_{n-k}. \quad (7.11)$$

Let us denote, for convenience:

$$\alpha_n := L'_n(1) \text{ and } \tilde{\alpha}_n := \frac{2^n L'_n(1)}{(n+1)!} = \frac{2^n}{(n+1)!} \alpha_n, \quad n \geq 0. \quad (7.12)$$

A slight reprocessing of Equation (7.11) then says that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_n = \left(\frac{4^n}{n+1} - \text{Cat}_n \right) + 2 \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{\alpha}_{k-1} \cdot \text{Cat}_{n-k}, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (7.13)$$

(In the reprocessing, we also used the fact that $(2^n (2n-1)!!)/(n+1)! = \text{Cat}_n$.)

We now observe that the summation on the right-hand side of (7.13) is, indeed, what comes up in the series multiplication $\tilde{F}(z) \cdot C(z)$, where

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{F}(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\alpha}_n z^n = z + \frac{16}{3}z^2 + \frac{71}{3}z^3 + \dots, \text{ and} \\ C(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \text{Cat}_n z^n = 1 + z + 2z^2 + 5z^3 + \dots \text{ (Catalan series)}. \end{cases}$$

We leave it as an exercise to the meticulous reader to carry through the details of the said power series multiplication, and confirm that what comes out is the following formula:

$$\tilde{F}(z) = (L(z) - C(z)) + 2z \tilde{F}(z) C(z), \quad (7.14)$$

with $\tilde{F}(z), C(z)$ as above, and

$$L(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{4^n}{n+1} z^n = 1 + 2z + \frac{16}{3}z^2 + 16z^3 + \dots$$

Clearly, one can solve for \tilde{F} in Equation (7.14), in order to get that

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \frac{L(z) - C(z)}{1 - 2zC(z)}.$$

On the other hand, both the series L and C have well-known and easy to derive closed formulas,

$$L(z) = -\frac{\ln(1-4z)}{4z}, \quad C(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4z}}{2z}.$$

Putting all these things together leads to a closed formula for $\tilde{F}(z)$ which comes (another exercise for our meticulous readers or their computer software) to

$$\tilde{F}(z) = \frac{1}{2z} - \frac{\log(1-4z)}{4z\sqrt{1-4z}} - \frac{1}{2z\sqrt{1-4z}}. \quad (7.15)$$

For the final step of this proof we observe that, due to how $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ is related to α_n in (7.12), one can relate $\tilde{F}(z)$ to the series $F(z)$ of the statement of the lemma, via the equation

$$F(z) = (z\tilde{F}(z/2))'. \quad (7.16)$$

Upon plugging (7.15) into the right-hand side of (7.16) and doing the necessary calculations, one then arrives to the formula (7.10) from the statement of the lemma. \square

The simplified recursion for the numbers $L'_n(1)$, announced prior to Lemma 7.4, is then stated as follows.

Proposition 7.5. *The numbers $(L'_n(1))_{n=0}^{\infty}$ satisfy the recursion*

$$L'_n(1) = (2n+1)L'_{n-1}(1) + (2n-1)!!, \quad n \geq 1. \quad (7.17)$$

Proof. It is convenient that we continue to use the notation $\alpha_n := L'_n(1)$, $n \geq 0$, which was introduced in the proof of Lemma 7.4. By using the closed form found in Lemma 7.4 for the exponential generating function $F(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{n!} z^n$ (more precisely: by differentiating the equality $2F(z)(1-2z)^{3/2} = -\ln(1-2z)$), one obtains the differential equation

$$F'(z)(1-2z) = 3F(z) + (1-2z)^{-3/2}. \quad (7.18)$$

We then equate the coefficients of z^n on the two sides of (7.18), where on the right-hand side we keep in mind that the Taylor series expansion of $(1-2z)^{-3/2}$ gives

$$(1-2z)^{-3/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2n+1)!!}{n!} z^n.$$

Thus

$$n! \cdot [\text{Coeff. of } z^n \text{ on RHS of (7.18)}] = 3\alpha_n + (2n+1)!!, \quad (7.19)$$

while direct examination of the coefficients of $F'(z)$ and of $2zF'(z)$ gives

$$n! \cdot [\text{Coeff. of } z^n \text{ on LHS of (7.18)}] = \alpha_{n+1} - 2n\alpha_n. \quad (7.20)$$

Equating the quantities that were identified in (7.19) and (7.20) yields the formula

$$\alpha_{n+1} = (2n+3)\alpha_n + (2n+1)!!, \quad n \geq 0;$$

this is, modulo a shift in coefficients, the formula (7.17) stated in the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. From the recursion obtained in Proposition 7.5, an easy induction on n yields the exact formula

$$L'_n(1) = (2n+1)!! \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1} \right), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (7.21)$$

[Verification of the induction step: if we assume that $L'_{n-1}(1) = (2n-1)!! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2k+1}$, then we get from (7.17) that $L'_n(1) = (2n+1) \left((2n-1)!! \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2k+1} \right) + (2n-1)!!$, which is precisely the right-hand side of (7.21).] This gives for the expectation of A_n the value

$$E[A_n] = \frac{L'_n(1)}{(2n-1)!!} = \left((2n+1)!! \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k+1} \right) / (2n-1)!! = (2n+1) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2k+1},$$

as claimed in (7.1).

In order to verify that $E[A_n] \sim n \ln n$, we rewrite the right-hand side of (7.1) as

$$\left(n + \frac{1}{2} \right) \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k + \frac{1}{2}},$$

with the latter summation bounded above by $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/k = H_n$ and bounded below by $\sum_{k=1}^n 1/(k+1) > H_n - 1$. This leads to the inequalities

$$\frac{n(H_n - 1)}{n \ln n} \leq \frac{E[A_n]}{n \ln n} \leq \frac{(n+1)H_n}{n \ln n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

which imply, via squeeze, that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[A_n]/(n \ln n) = 1$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Arizmendi, T. Hasebe, F. Lehner, C. Vargas. Relations between cumulants in non-commutative probability, *Advances in Mathematics* 282 (2015), 56-92. Also available as arXiv:1408.2977.
- [2] T. Hasebe, H. Saigo. The monotone cumulants, *Annales Institute Henri Poincaré, Probabilités et Statistiques* 47 (2011), 1160-1170.
- [3] V. Kargin. Limit theorems for statistics of non-crossing partitions, arXiv:1907.00632.
- [4] N. Muraki. Monotonic independence, monotonic central limit theorem and monotonic law of small numbers, *Infinite Dimensional Analysis and Quantum Probability* 4 (2001), 39-58.
- [5] A. Nica, R. Speicher. *Lectures on the combinatorics of free probability*, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

NATASHA BLITVIC: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON UK.

Email address: `n.blitvic@qmul.ac.uk`

THOMAS BRAY: DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA.

Email address: `tgrbray@uwaterloo.ca`

JACOB CAMPBELL: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, USA.

Email address: `cgh6uv@virginia.edu`

ALEXANDRU NICA: DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA.

Email address: `anica@uwaterloo.ca`