

PRIME NUMBERS AND DYNAMICS OF THE POLYNOMIAL $x^2 - 1$

IVAN PENKOV AND MICHAEL STOLL

ABSTRACT. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. By $P(n)$ we denote the set of all prime divisors of the integers in the sequence $n, n^2 - 1, (n^2 - 1)^2 - 1, \dots$. We ask whether the set $P(n)$ determines n uniquely under the assumption that $n \neq m^2 - 1$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. This problem originates in the structure theory of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras. We show that the sets $P(n)$ generate infinitely many equivalence classes of positive integers under the equivalence relation $n_1 \sim n_2 \iff P(n_1) = P(n_2)$. We also prove that the sets $P(n)$ separate all positive integers up to 2^{2^9} , and we provide some heuristics on why the answer to our question should be positive.

1 Statement of the problem

We consider the following question.

Question 1.1. Let $n > 1$ be an integer, not of the form $n = m^2 - 1$. Consider the sequence $(a_k)_{k \geq 0} = (a_k(n))_{k \geq 0}$ defined recursively by $a_0 = n$, $a_{k+1} = a_k^2 - 1$. Let $P(n)$ be the set of all prime divisors of all a_k . Is n determined uniquely by $P(n)$?

Note that if p divides a_k , then p will divide infinitely many terms of the sequence, as the sequence considered modulo p will be periodic $0 \mapsto -1 \mapsto 0$ from that point on. In particular, $P(n^2 - 1) = P(n)$, and so $P(a_k(n)) = P(n)$ for all k .

The above question arose in the structure theory of certain infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, see [PH22]. More precisely, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ one has an interesting chain of inclusions of Lie algebras

$$(n) \subset (n^2 - 1) \subset ((n^2 - 1)^2 - 1) \subset \dots,$$

where the natural representation of each Lie algebra restricts to the adjoint representation of the preceding Lie algebra. It is natural to ask when the direct limits of such chains are isomorphic as Lie algebras. As explained in [PH22], the notion of Dynkin index allows to infer that a positive solution to Question 1.1 implies that the direct limit Lie algebras arising from sequences as above starting respectively with (n_1) and (n_2) for $n_1 < n_2$ are isomorphic if and only if n_2 is a member of the sequence $n_1, n_1^2 - 1, (n_1^2 - 1)^2 - 1, \dots$

An equivalent formulation of Question 1.1 is as follows.

Question 1.2. For a prime p , let $\bar{S}(p)$ be the subset of the finite field \mathbb{F}_p consisting of all a such that iterating $x \mapsto x^2 - 1$ on a eventually produces 0. Denote by $S(p)$ the

Date: February 17, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37P05, 37P15; 15B30, 17B45, 11Y99.

Key words and phrases. Arithmetic dynamics, quadratic polynomial, prime divisors, Lie algebra.

preimage of $\bar{S}(p)$ in \mathbb{Z} . Is it true that for each subset T of the set of all primes the inequality

$$\#\left(\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} : n \neq m^2 - 1\} \cap \bigcap_{p \in T} S(p) \cap \bigcap_{p \notin T} (\mathbb{Z} \setminus S(p))\right) \leq 1$$

holds?

On heuristic grounds (which we will detail below in Section 5), this appears to be very likely, but we also expect it to be very hard to prove.

The purpose of this paper is to gather some experimental evidence and to propose some heuristics that support a positive answer to the questions above.

We record here that some primes occur in all sets $P(n)$ and so do not provide useful information toward the answer of Question 1.1.

Lemma 1.3. *For all n , $\{2, 3, 7, 23, 19207\} \subset P(n)$, and these are the only primes below 10^5 with that property.*

Proof. One checks by a computation that $\bar{S}(p) = \mathbb{F}_p$ for the five primes occurring in the statement and for no other primes $p < 10^5$. \square

This begs another question:

Question 1.4. Is $\bigcap_n P(n)$ finite or infinite?

See Section 5 for some heuristics regarding the likely answer.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we show that all sets $P(n)$ are infinite. Then in Section 3 we provide experimental evidence in favor of a positive answer to Questions 1.1 and 1.2. In Section 4 we show that there are infinitely many distinct sets $P(n)$. Finally, in Section 5 we present some heuristic considerations pertaining to the questions asked above.

Acknowledgments

We used the Computer Algebra System Magma [BCP97] for the computations described in this paper. We thank the Centre for Advanced Academic Studies in Dubrovnik, where most of this work was done. I.P.'s work was supported in part by the DFG grant PE 980/9-1. We are grateful to Rafe Jones for information on various variants of the Basilica group and pointers to the literature.

2 The sets $P(n)$ are infinite (but likely sparse)

Before we state and prove the claim in the title of this section, we need some auxiliary results.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and let $a_k = a_k(n)$ for $k \geq 0$ be defined as above. Then for all $k \geq 0$ we have the divisibility $a_k \mid a_{k+2}$.*

Proof. Note that $a_{k+2} = a_{k+1}^2 - 1 = (a_k^2 - 1)^2 - 1 = a_k^2(a_k^2 - 2)$. \square

We set $f := x^2 - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and write f^m for its m -th iterate (i.e., $f^0 = x$ and $f^{m+1} = (f^m)^2 - 1 = f^m(x^2 - 1)$).

Lemma 2.2. *For every $m \geq 0$, the polynomial $f^m - 1$ is irreducible (in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$). Furthermore, 2 is the only ramified prime in the splitting field of $f^m - 1$ (for $m \geq 1$).*

Proof. The constant term of $f^m - 1$ is -1 when m is even and -2 when m is odd, and the constant term of $f^m(x-1) - 1$ is -2 when m is even and -1 when m is odd (we use that $f^{m+2}(0) - 1 = f^{m+1}(-1) - 1 = f^m(0) - 1$). The image of f^m in $\mathbb{F}_2[x]$ is x^{2^m} when m is even and $(x+1)^{2^m}$ when m is odd. Both observations together imply that for each m either $f^m - 1$ or $f^m(x-1) - 1$ is irreducible by the Eisenstein criterion at the prime 2.

It is not hard to show that $\text{disc } g(x^2 - 1) = (-4)^{\deg g} g(-1) (\text{disc } g)^2$, where $g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\text{disc } g$ is the discriminant of g ; see, e.g., [Jon08, Lemma 2.6] for a more general statement. This implies that the discriminant of $f^m - 1$ is a power of 2 up to sign, so 2 is the only prime that can possibly ramify in the splitting field of $f^m - 1$. There are no unramified nontrivial extensions of \mathbb{Q} , so 2 indeed has to ramify when $m \geq 1$. (See also [BJ09, page 222], where the result regarding the ramification is stated without proof.) \square

Now we show that $P(n)$ is infinite.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Then $P(n)$ is an infinite set of prime numbers.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.1, we can deduce that the set of primes dividing one of a_0, \dots, a_{k+1} is the same as the set of primes dividing $a_k a_{k+1}$. Now $a_k^2 - 2$ is coprime to the odd part of $a_k a_{k+1} = a_k(a_k^2 - 1)$ and is divisible by 2 at most once. This implies that unless $a_k = 2$, $a_{k+2} = a_k^2(a_k^2 - 2)$ has a prime divisor not dividing $a_k a_{k+1}$. So, with one possible exception (which occurs only when $n = 2$), each a_k contributes at least one new prime to $P(n)$. In particular, $P(n)$ must be infinite. \square

We remark that this is a special case of the much more general Thm. 6.1 in [Jon08].

On the other hand, the sets $P(n)$ are likely sparse in the following sense.

Conjecture 2.4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. Then $P(n)$ is a set of prime numbers of density zero.*

Note that we are in the exceptional case $k = -1$ of [Jon08, Thm. 1.2(iii)].

Let G_m denote the Galois group of $f^m(x) - 1$ over \mathbb{Q} . Then Conjecture 2.4 would follow from the following statement.

Conjecture 2.5. *Let δ_m be the proportion of elements $\sigma \in G_m$ such that σ fixes at least one root of $f^m(x) - 1$. Then $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \delta_m = 0$.*

Note that by the Main Theorem in [ABC⁺22], the corresponding group $G_m(a)$ for $f^m(x) - a$ is the level- m quotient M_m of the ‘arithmetic basilica group’ M_∞ for all m when a is outside a ‘thin set’. The statement of Conjecture 2.5 is expected to hold in these cases (Rafe Jones, private communication). When $a = 1$, the limit group $G_\infty = \varprojlim G_m$ is of infinite index in M_∞ , so this case requires additional work and is still open (with the expectation being that Conjecture 2.5 above should hold).

3 Separation of numbers up to a bound

The following definition is useful.

Definition 3.1. Let X be a positive integer and let P be a set of prime numbers. We say that P separates the numbers up to X , if the sets $P(n) \cap P$ are pairwise distinct for all $n \leq X$ not of the form $n = m^2 - 1$.

In other words, Questions 1.1 and 1.2 have a positive answer when restricted to $n \leq X$, and this can be verified by only considering divisibility by primes in P .

With this notion, we have the following experimental data.

Theorem 3.2. Write $P_{\leq m}$ to denote the set of prime numbers $p \leq m$. Then:

- (1) The numbers up to 10^1 are separated by $P_{\leq 47}$.
- (2) The numbers up to 10^2 are separated by $P_{\leq 223}$.
- (3) The numbers up to 10^3 are separated by $P_{\leq 379}$.
- (4) The numbers up to 10^4 are separated by $P_{\leq 919}$.
- (5) The numbers up to 10^5 are separated by $P_{\leq 2137}$.
- (6) The numbers up to 10^6 are separated by $P_{\leq 3001}$.
- (7) The numbers up to 10^7 are separated by $P_{\leq 4793}$.
- (8) The numbers up to 10^8 are separated by $P_{\leq 5791}$.

Proof. We run the following algorithm. The input is $X = 10^k$ with $k = 1, 2, \dots, 8$.

1. Initialize $\mathcal{N} := \{\{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1} : n \leq X, \neg \exists m: n = m^2 - 1\}\}$, a set of finite sets of positive integers.
2. Set $p := 3$.
3. Repeat the following steps until \mathcal{N} is empty.
 - a. Replace p by the next larger prime number.
 - b. Compute $\bar{S}(p)$.
 - c. Replace each set N in \mathcal{N} by the sets in the list $N \cap S(p), N \setminus S(p)$ that have at least two elements.
4. Return p .

Taking into account that the primes 2 and 3 do not give information by Lemma 1.3, it is clear that this algorithm will return the minimal p such that $P_{\leq p}$ separates the numbers up to X when it terminates. The algorithm does in fact terminate for all $X = 10^k$ with $k \leq 8$ and returns the bounds given in the statement. \square

We note that the growth of the bound on the primes that are necessary to separate the numbers up to X is numerically consistent with a growth of order $(\log X)^2$. The considerations in Section 5 below would predict $(\log X)^2 \log \log X$, which is also consistent with our numbers above ($\log \log X$ grows too slowly to allow distinguishing the two possibilities by experimental data).

We clearly get the “best” effect from using the information at a prime p when the set $\bar{S}(p)$ comprises close to half the elements of \mathbb{F}_p . So, to get a more efficient algorithm than the one used in the proof above, we do the following.

1. Pre-compute the sets $\bar{S}(p)$ for all p up to a suitable bound.
2. Sort the list of pairs $(p, \bar{S}(p))$ by increasing value of $|\#\bar{S}(p)/p - 1/2|$.
3. Use the primes in the order that is given by the sorted list of pairs.

The effect is that we can get similar results with less computation, because we need fewer primes to get separation.

For example, considering the primes up to 10 000, the first ten primes in the sorted list, together with the value of $|\#\bar{S}(p)/p - 1/2|$, are

(2713, 0.00350), (2137, 0.00726), (1399, 0.0232), (5927, 0.0534), (8681, 0.0637)
 (4799, 0.0741), (3079, 0.0746), (71, 0.0775), (919, 0.0833) (7951, 0.0875).

The actual splitting of the sets in \mathcal{N} can be done in a breadth-first (like in the algorithm in the proof above) or in a depth-first way. The latter is more space-efficient, but there is no significant difference in run times (as long as there is sufficient memory available; see below). The time complexity should be $\asymp X \log X$ (this is corroborated by the running times), with a memory requirement of $\asymp X$.

Using this improved algorithm, we can show:

Theorem 3.3. *The primes up to 10 000 separate the numbers up to $2^{29} \approx 5.37 \cdot 10^8$.*

For comparison, our [Magma implementation \[Sto25\]](#) of the algorithm described in the proof of Theorem 3.2 takes a bit over two hours to verify the result for $X = 10^8$ on the second author's current laptop, while both the breadth-first and the depth-first versions of the second algorithm take about 35 minutes with the same bound (but only prove the slightly weaker result that the numbers up to 10^8 are separated by the primes below 10 000). The computation verifying the statement of Theorem 3.3 takes a bit less than four hours (using the depth-first version and with some other tasks being executed in parallel; the breadth-first version requires too much memory to run in reasonable time, probably caused by the overhead incurred when working with a very large number of sets at the same time in Magma). We expect that a low-level implementation in C could be made sufficiently (time and space) efficient to be able to extend the bounds further, but it is perhaps not so clear that the additional effort spent for writing, testing and debugging such an implementation justifies the somewhat marginal improvement in experimental evidence.

4 Infinitely many classes

We can define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ by declaring

$$n \sim m : \iff P(n) = P(m).$$

Then Theorem 3.3 shows that there are at least $536\,847\,742 = 2^{29} - \lfloor \sqrt{2^{29} + 1} \rfloor$ distinct equivalence classes. We can in fact show more. But first we need a lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $p \geq 3$ be a prime number. Then $\bar{S}(p) = \{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ ($\{-1, 0, 1\}$ is the minimal possible set $\bar{S}(p)$) if and only if $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$.*

Proof. Since 1 and -1 both map to 0 under $x \mapsto x^2 - 1$, the inclusion $\{-1, 0, 1\} \subset \bar{S}(p)$ holds for all p . The only preimage of -1 is 0. So $\bar{S}(p)$ is larger if and only if there are preimages of 1 in \mathbb{F}_p . But this is equivalent to 2 being a quadratic residue mod p , which is well known to be equivalent to $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$. \square

Theorem 4.2. *There are infinitely many equivalence classes under the relation “ \sim ” defined above.*

Proof. We show that the sets $P(p)$, where $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ is a prime, are pairwise distinct. This follows from the observation that $p \in P(p)$ and that for $q < p$ with $q \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ we have $1 < q < p-1$, so $q \not\equiv -1, 0, 1 \pmod{p}$, which means that $p \notin S(q)$ by Lemma 4.1, hence $q \notin P(p)$. (A similar argument works for $P(p-1)$ or $P(p+1)$ with the same primes.) \square

One can ask whether primes $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ are sufficient to separate all positive integers not of the form $m^2 - 1$. However, the answer is “no”. Indeed note that

$$P'(n) := P(n) \cap \{p : p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}\} = \{p : p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, p \mid (n-1)n(n+1)\},$$

in particular,

$$\begin{aligned} P'(2) &= P'(7) = P'(17) = \{3\} \\ P'(4) &= P'(5) = P'(6) = P'(9) = P'(16) = \{3, 5\} \\ P'(10) &= P'(11) = P'(21) = \{3, 5, 11\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, looking at the sets $P'(n)$ for n up to 10 000 seems to suggest that any given finite set of primes $p \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ occurs infinitely often.

5 Heuristics

From the discussion in Section 3, it is reasonable to consider asymptotic properties of the distribution of the relative sizes $\#\bar{S}(p)/p$ as p gets large.

Here is a heuristic model for the size of $\bar{S}(p)$: We start with $1 \rightarrow 0 \leftrightarrow -1$ and follow 1 backwards. For a given $x \neq -1$, the chances that $x+1$ is or is not a square in \mathbb{F}_p are equal, so we add two preimages with a probability of 1/2 and recurse.

Writing

$$F(z) = \sum_n \mathbb{P}(\#\bar{S}(p) = n)z^n = z^3 G(z) \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]],$$

this gives

$$2G(z) = 1 + z^2 G(z)^2 \quad \implies \quad G(z) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - z^2}}{z^2},$$

so

$$F(z) = z(1 - \sqrt{1 - z^2}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \binom{1/2}{n} z^{1+2n}.$$

The coefficient of z^{2n+1} is

$$\left| \binom{1/2}{n} \right| = \frac{1}{2n-1} \left| \binom{-1/2}{n} \right| = \frac{4^{-n}}{2n-1} \binom{2n}{n} \sim \frac{1}{2n\sqrt{\pi n}}.$$

For the regime of relative sizes $< 1 - \varepsilon$, the heuristic model above should be reasonably accurate. Indeed, counting the primes $p < 10^5$ such that $\#\bar{S}(p) = 2n + 1$ shows a reasonably good agreement with the prediction from the model for, say, $n \leq 20$ (for larger n the numbers of primes are too small for a meaningful comparison).

The model would predict that for $0 < a < b < 1$, we should expect there to be about

$$\text{const} \int_3^X \left(\int_{ax}^{bx} \frac{dt}{t^{3/2}} \right) d\pi(x) \approx \text{const} \frac{\sqrt{X}}{\log X} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} \right)$$

primes $p \leq X$ such that $ap \leq \#\bar{S}(p) \leq bp$.

For instance, we expect there to be infinitely many primes p such that

$$\left| \frac{\#\bar{S}(p)}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{10}.$$

The first few primes satisfying this inequality are

5, 71, 919, 1399, 2137, 2713, 3079, 4799, 5927, 7951, 8681, 10271, 10711, 11369, 12487, 12577, 22409, 22871, 24623, 24631, 27647, 29641, 46457, 54751, 84559, 87583, 99929, 103703, 105449, 106753, 120199, 120607, 123289, 131111, 147703.

This fits reasonably well with the heuristic growth.

Each such prime will lead to a nearly 50-50 split of the numbers n , and so the very likely fact that there are infinitely many of them gives another strong indication that the sets $P(n)$ do actually separate all positive integers n not of the form $m^2 - 1$.

For the “probability” that $\#\bar{S}(p) = p$ (i.e., $\bar{S}(p) = \mathbb{F}_p$), the model predicts something like $O(p^{-3/2})$, which would suggest that the answer to Question 1.4 is “finite”.

However, the model does not take into account that $\#\bar{S}(p) \leq p$. If we include the cases where the size would be larger than p according to the model, the “probability” grows to $p^{-1/2}$, which would indicate that the answer is “infinite”! A refined model will be necessary to obtain reasonable predictions in the regime of $\bar{S}(p)$ close to maximal. So we now develop another heuristic regarding the existence of infinitely many primes with $\bar{S}(p) = \mathbb{F}_p$.

The directed graph on the vertex set \mathbb{F}_p with edges $x \rightarrow y$ when $y = x^2 - 1$ consists of a number $N(p)$ of connected components, each of which contains precisely one cycle. Define the polynomials Φ_n so that

$$\prod_{d|n} \Phi_d = f^n - x,$$

where $f = x^2 - 1$ and f^n denotes the n -th iterate of f . So, e.g.,

$$\Phi_1 = x^2 - x - 1$$

$$\Phi_2 = x^2 + x = x(x + 1)$$

$$\Phi_3 = x^6 + x^5 - 2x^4 - x^3 + x^2 + 1$$

$$\Phi_4 = x^{12} - 6x^{10} + x^9 + 12x^8 - 4x^7 - 7x^6 + 4x^5 - 4x^4 + x^3 + 4x^2 - 2x + 1$$

etc.

At least up to $m = 6$, we have

$$\text{Gal}\left(\prod_{n \leq m} \Phi_n\right) = \prod_{n \leq m} \text{Gal}(\Phi_n)$$

(where $\text{Gal}(g)$ denotes the Galois group of a polynomial g over \mathbb{Q}) and (for $n \neq 2$)

$$\text{Gal}(\Phi_n) = G_n := C_n \wr S_{k_n} \quad \text{with} \quad k_n = \frac{\deg \Phi_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) 2^d.$$

Here, $C_n \wr S_{k_n}$ denotes the wreath product, i.e., the semidirect product of $C_n^{\#S_{k_n}}$ with S_{k_n} acting via permutation of the factors, and C_n is the cyclic group of order n .

If we assume that this remains true for larger m (this is the case for generic polynomials f), then the density of primes p such that f has no cycle of length n ($\neq 2$) in \mathbb{F}_p is (by the Chebotarev Density Theorem) the fraction of elements in G_n without a fixed point, which is

$$\sum_{k=0}^{k_n} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k_n-k} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \right) \frac{(1-1/n)^k}{k!}.$$

This is quite close to

$$e^{-1} e^{1-1/n} = e^{-1/n}.$$

So under this model and assuming that the events “ f has a cycle of length m on \mathbb{F}_p ” are independent for $3 \leq m \leq p$, the expected “probability” for a prime p to have $N(p) = 1$ is close to

$$\frac{1}{2} \exp \left(- \sum_{3 \leq n \leq p} \frac{1}{n} \right) \sim \frac{1}{2} \exp(-(\log p + \gamma - 3/2)) = \frac{c}{p}$$

for a constant c . This would lead us to expect roughly $c \log \log X$ such primes up to X . In particular, this indicates that the answer to Question 1.4 is “infinite”.

A bit more realistically, since (except for -1) every element in a cycle has *two* preimages, we can stop at $m = (p-3)/2$ (subtract 3 for $1, 0, -1$), which basically doubles c . We’d then actually expect a prime ≈ 163 and one ≈ 2130 and then one around 100 000 in the intersection of all sets $P(n)$. Of course, these are not precise predictions, and they serve only as an indication of the expected growth of the numbers in $\bigcap_n P(n)$.

See also [JKMT16] for some general results on the proportion of preperiodic points mod p under iteration of polynomials or rational functions.

References

- [ABC⁺22] Faseeh Ahmad, Robert L. Benedetto, Jennifer Cain, Gregory Carroll, and Lily Fang, *The arithmetic basilica: a quadratic PCF arboreal Galois group*, *J. Number Theory* **238** (2022), 842–868, DOI 10.1016/j.jnt.2021.10.004. MR4430121 ↑2
- [BCP97] Wieb Bosma, John Cannon, and Catherine Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265, DOI 10.1006/jsco.1996.0125. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993). MR1484478 ↑1
- [BJ09] Nigel Boston and Rafe Jones, *The image of an arboreal Galois representation*, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* **5** (2009), no. 1, 213–225, DOI 10.4310/PAMQ.2009.v5.n1.a6. MR2520459 ↑2
- [Jon08] Rafe Jones, *The density of prime divisors in the arithmetic dynamics of quadratic polynomials*, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* **78** (2008), no. 2, 523–544, DOI 10.1112/jlms/jdn034. MR2439638 ↑2, 2, 2
- [JKMT16] Jamie Juul, Pär Kurlberg, Kalyani Madhu, and Tom J. Tucker, *Wreath products and proportions of periodic points*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **13** (2016), 3944–3969, DOI 10.1093/imrn/rnv273. MR3544625 ↑5
- [PH22] I. Penkov and C. Hoyt, *Classical Lie algebras at infinity*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2022. ↑1
- [Sto25] M. Stoll, *Magma code verifying the results of Section 3*, 2025. <https://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/stoll/magma/index.html>. ↑3

(I. P.) CONSTRUCTOR UNIVERSITY, 28759 BREMEN, GERMANY

Email address: `ipenkov@constructor.university`

URL: <http://math.jacobs-university.de/penkov/>

(M. S.) MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, UNIVERSITÄT BAYREUTH, 95440 BAYREUTH, GERMANY

Email address: `Michael.Stoll@uni-bayreuth.de`

URL: <http://www.mathe2.uni-bayreuth.de/stoll/>