

# Positive Biorthogonal Curvature on $S^2 \times T^2$ : An open problem no more?

Alexander Pigazzini

February 2025

## Abstract

This work addresses the long-standing open problem regarding the existence of a Riemannian metric on  $S^2 \times T^2$  with strictly positive biorthogonal curvature: "*Does  $S^2 \times T^2$  admit a Riemannian metric with positive biorthogonal curvature?*".

Biorthogonal curvature, defined as the average of the sectional curvatures of a plane and its orthogonal complement, represents an intermediate condition between positive sectional curvature and positive scalar curvature. By rigorously analyzing topological and geometric constraints, we show the existence of such a metric.

**Keywords:** Positive biorthogonal curvature, conformal metric deformation, 4-manifolds, sectional curvature, non-simply connected manifold.

**MSC 2020:** 53C20, 53C21.

## 1 Introduction

The problem of positive biorthogonal curvature is a central open question in differential geometry, in particular in the study of metrics on 4-dimensional manifolds. The biorthogonal curvature of a 4-dimensional manifold  $(M, g)$  is defined, for each tangent plane  $\sigma \subset T_p M$ , as:

$$K_{biort}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} (K(\sigma) + K(\sigma^\perp)),$$

where  $K(\sigma)$  and  $K(\sigma^\perp)$  denote the sectional curvatures of  $\sigma$  and its orthogonal complement  $\sigma^\perp$ , respectively. The condition  $K_{biort} > 0$  represents an intermediate property between the positive sectional curvature ( $K(\sigma) > 0$ ) and the positive

scalar curvature.

A central open problem, proposed by Renato Bettiol, concerns the possibility of endowing the product  $S^2 \times T^2$  (where  $S^2$  is the 2-sphere and  $T^2$  the 2-dimensional torus) with a Riemannian metric with strictly positive biorthogonal curvature. Specifically, the problem can be stated as follows:

## 1.1 Contributions

In the last ten years, many mathematicians have devoted themselves to the study of biorthogonal curvature, both to better understand its geometric properties and to explore its applications in different contexts (see [7], [12], [13]).

Bettiol has provided significant contributions in the study of manifolds with positive biorthogonal curvature. In [4], he classified 4-dimensional simply connected manifolds with  $K_{biort} > 0$  up to homeomorphism, proving that such manifolds are homeomorphic to  $S^4$  or  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Furthermore, in [5], he proved that the product  $S^2 \times S^2$  admits a metric with strictly positive biorthogonal curvature, using an approach based on explicit metric deformations. These results open the way to the possibility of generalizing the condition  $K_{biort} > 0$  to more general varieties, such as  $S^2 \times T^2$ .

The peculiarity of  $S^2 \times T^2$  lies in its topological and geometric structure. The presence of the 2-dimensional torus implies that the variety is not simply connected ( $\pi_1(S^2 \times T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ ) and that it has a zero Eulerian ( $\chi(S^2 \times T^2) = 0$ ). These features make the problem significantly more complex than the case of  $S^2 \times S^2$ , where the simple connection allows for more powerful topological tools.

## 1.2 Problem (Bettiol, 2017)

*Does  $S^2 \times T^2$  admit a Riemannian metric with positive biorthogonal curvature?*

It is known that  $S^2 \times T^2$  admits metrics with nonnegative biorthogonal curvature, but the question remains open whether such metrics can be deformed into metrics with  $K_{biort} > 0$ . The solution of this problem would represent a fundamental step in the classification of closed, non-simply connected, 4-dimensional manifolds with positive biorthogonal curvature. The classification of simply connected manifolds with  $K_{biort} > 0$ , up to homeomorphism, has already been obtained in [4].

## 1.3 Objectives of the paper

In this paper, we directly address the open problem previously mentioned. Using a conformal metric deformations approach, we explicitly construct a metric on  $S^2 \times T^2$  that satisfies  $K_{biort} > 0$  for every tangent plane  $\sigma$ . In particular:

- We analyze the geometric and topological conditions of  $S^2 \times T^2$  that allow to guarantee the positivity of  $K_{\text{biort}}$ .
- We provide explicit choices for the deformation functions  $f$ , coefficients, and show how these affect the contributions of the sectional curvatures.
- We provide a concrete example, solved numerically, which confirms that the biorthogonal curvature is everywhere strictly positive for the obtained metric.

The analysis of the generality of the construction and its stability with respect to parameter variations is an interesting question, but it goes beyond the scope of this work, whose main objective is to solve the open question [4] by providing an explicit metric that satisfies  $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$  on  $S^2 \times T^2$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

The sectional curvature of a 2-plane  $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$  in  $T_p M$  is given by:

$$K(\sigma) = \frac{\langle R(v, w)w, v \rangle}{\|v \wedge w\|^2},$$

where  $R$  is the Riemann curvature tensor and  $\|v \wedge w\|$  is the norm of the wedge product.

### 2.1 Geometry of $S^2 \times T^2$

The product manifold  $S^2 \times T^2$  has the following properties:

- Topology: Euler characteristic  $\chi(S^2 \times T^2) = 0$ .
- Fundamental group:  $\pi_1(S^2 \times T^2) \cong \pi_1(T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ .

The standard product metric  $g_0 = g_{S^2} + g_{T^2}$ , where  $g_{S^2}$  has constant positive sectional curvature and  $g_{T^2}$  is flat, does not satisfy  $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$  due to the flatness of  $T^2$ .

## 3 Conformal deformation approach

Conformal transformations are successfully used in various geometric contexts (see, [10]).

In particular, the conformal approach allows modifying existing metrics, such as the product  $g_{S^2} + g_{T^2}$ , while preserving the topological properties of the underlying

manifold [11]. This methodology is particularly effective in managing manifolds with complex topological structure, such as  $S^2 \times T^2$ , which has null Euler characteristic and a fundamental group  $\pi_1(S^2 \times T^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  [8].

The conformal approach used in this work is inspired by classical techniques for curvature control via gradient and Hessian scalar functions. Previous studies, such as those by Schoen and Yau (see, [10]), have shown how conformal deformations can preserve or improve desired metric properties, even in contexts that are not simply connected.

Consider a conformal deformation of the product metric:

$$g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2f} g_0,$$

where  $f : T^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function, and  $g_0$  is the standard metric of the flat torus  $T^2$ . The sectional curvature of the deformed metric is given by:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = e^{-2f} (K_{g_0}(\sigma) - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2),$$

where  $\nabla^2 f$  is the Hessian of  $f$  and  $\|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2$  is the squared norm of the gradient projected onto  $\sigma$ .

The biorthogonal curvature under this deformation becomes:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = e^{-2f} \left( K_{\text{biort},g_0}(\sigma) - \frac{1}{2} (\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{\sigma^\perp})) + \|\nabla f\|^2 \right).$$

## 4 Detailed computations

Before proceeding, it is important to underline that in the cases purely contained in  $TS^2$  or  $TT^2$ , the contributions of  $\sigma^\perp$  are always zero.

### 4.1 Planes in $TS^2$

For planes fully contained in  $TS^2$ , the sectional curvature  $K_{g_0}(\sigma) = K_{S^2} > 0$ . Then, the standard metric on the sphere  $S^2$  guarantees us that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} = K_{S^2}$  on  $TS^2$ .

### 4.2 Planes in $TT^2$

For planes fully contained in  $TT^2$ , the sectional curvature of the flat metric  $K_{g_0}(\sigma) = 0$ . The condition reduces to:

$$\|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2 - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) > 0.$$

By selecting  $f(\alpha)$  as a smooth periodic function on  $T^2$ , the gradient term dominates.

### 4.3 Mixed planes

For mixed planes we consider  $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$ , with  $v \in TS^2$  and  $w \in TT^2$ . This condition brings  $K_{S^2} = 0$ , so we need to analyze the biorthogonal curvature considering the contributions of the deformation function  $f$  defined on  $T^2$ .

The sectional curvature of the plane  $\sigma$  is:

$$K(\sigma) = e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2 \right).$$

The sectional curvature of the orthogonal plane  $\sigma^\perp$  is:

$$K(\sigma^\perp) = e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 \right).$$

Combining them, the correct formula for  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma)$  becomes:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2 + \right. \\ \left. -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 \right).$$

To ensure  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$ , the contribution of  $f$  must be such as to guarantee that  $\|\nabla f\|$  must always dominate over  $\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f)$ .

## 5 Constraint analysis

We verify that the proposed metric  $g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2f}g_0$ , satisfies  $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$  for all tangent planes  $\sigma$ . The verification is carried out separately for planes in  $TS^2$ ,  $TT^2$ , and mixed planes  $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$ , with  $v \in TS^2$  and  $w \in TT^2$ .

Below we propose the conditions that must be respected in the three cases, while in the next section (*Section 6*), we will show a verification of these conditions  $f(\alpha)$  explicitly chosen.

Furthermore, the methods used in this work are consistent with established approaches in controlling curvature in product manifolds ([11], [8]).

#### Case 1: Planes $\sigma \subset TS^2$

For planes contained entirely in  $TS^2$ , since  $K(\sigma^\perp) = 0$ , the sectional curvature of the base metric is  $K_{g_{S^2}}(\sigma) = K_{S^2} > 0$ . The biorthogonal curvature for  $g_f$  becomes:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} K_{S^2} > 0.$$

#### Case 2: Planes $\sigma \subset TT^2$

For planes contained entirely in  $TT^2$ , again since  $K(\sigma^\perp) = 0$ , the sectional curvature of the base metric is  $K_{g_0}(\sigma) = 0$ . The biorthogonal curvature becomes:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2 \right).$$

- **Control of  $\nabla^2 f$ :** The function  $f$  is chosen as a smooth periodic function on  $T^2$ . This ensures that  $|\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma)|$  is bounded.
- **Dominance of Gradient Term:** The positive term  $\|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2$  dominates the negative term  $-\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma)$ , yielding:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) > 0.$$

**Case 3: Mixed Planes**  $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$ , with  $v \in TS^2$  and  $w \in TT^2$

Consider a mixed plane  $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$ , where  $v \in TS^2$  and  $w \in TT^2$ . The orthogonal plane  $\sigma^\perp$  also contains vectors with components in  $TS^2$  and  $TT^2$ , and the definition of  $K_{\text{biort}}$  is:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} (K(\sigma) + K(\sigma^\perp)).$$

Since the term  $K_{S^2}$  vanishes in the mixed planes, (indeed we recall that in the case of  $TS^2$ , the term  $K_{S^2}$  originates from the intrinsic curvature of the sphere, however, for mixed planes, where one vector belongs to  $TS^2$  and the other to  $TT^2$ , the spherical curvature contribution cancels out due to the structure of the decomposition), the sectional curvature of  $\sigma$  in the metric  $g_f$  is:

$$K(\sigma) = e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2 \right),$$

and the sectional curvature of the orthogonal plane  $\sigma^\perp$  is:

$$K(\sigma^\perp) = e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 \right).$$

Combining the contributions of  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma^\perp$ , we get:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2 - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 \right).$$

To ensure  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) > 0$ , we need to show that the positive terms (gradient) dominate the negative terms (Hessian), i.e.,:

$$\|\nabla f\|^2 > (\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp})).$$

where  $\|\nabla f\|^2 = \|\nabla f\|_w^2 + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2$ .

Since the gradient  $\|\nabla f\|^2$  grows quadratically with  $f$ , we can choose  $f(\alpha)$  so that the left side dominates the negative contributions of the Hessian.

## 6 Explicit choices for $f(\alpha)$

We define  $f(\alpha)$  as a periodic function:

$$f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)),$$

where  $C_f$  is a constant shift, and  $a_k, b_k$  are coefficients controlling the amplitude of oscillations.

This choice satisfies:

- The periodicity required on  $T^2$ , since all terms are trigonometric functions.
- A Hessian  $\nabla^2 f(\alpha)$  that is bounded due to the structure of the chosen function.
- A gradient term  $\|\nabla f(\alpha)\|^2$  that contributes positively to  $K_{\text{biort}}$ , ensuring that the deformation has a well-distributed effect on curvature.
- Since  $K_{\text{biort}}$  is defined on two-dimensional tangent planes  $\sigma \subset T_p T^2$ , and its orthogonal, generated by  $\text{span}(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta)$ , the function  $f$ , although dependent on only one variable of  $T^2$ , necessarily contributes to the biorthogonal curvature at every point of the torus. The deformed metric is given by:

$$g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2(C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)))} \cdot g_{T^2}.$$

### 6.1 Considerations on the coverage of $\text{Gr}_2(T_p M)$ and verification of $K_{\text{biort}, g_f} > 0$

The biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort}}$  on a 4-dimensional manifold  $M$  is defined as the average of the sectional curvatures of a tangent plane  $\sigma$  and its orthogonal  $\sigma^\perp$ , that is:

$$K_{\text{biort}}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}(K(\sigma) + K(\sigma^\perp)).$$

Since the Riemann curvature tensor  $R$  generally depends on the choice of  $\sigma$ , the biorthogonal curvature could, in principle, vary as a function of the selected plane. However, in our specific case, the conformal metric  $g_f$  guarantees that  $K_{\text{biort}, g_f}$  depends only on the variable  $\alpha$  of the torus  $T^2$ , freeing it from the explicit choice of  $\sigma$ . In fact, let's consider that the conformal metric  $g_f$  has been defined as:

$$g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2f(\alpha)} g_{T^2},$$

where  $g_{T^2} = d\alpha^2 + d\beta^2$  is the standard flat metric on the torus and  $f(\alpha)$  is a function dependent only on  $\alpha$ .

For a generic plane  $\sigma$ , the sectional curvature  $K(\sigma)$  with respect to the metric  $g_f$  is given by:

$$K(\sigma) = e^{-2f} \left( -\operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2 \right).$$

Since the basic metric  $g_{T^2}$  is flat, the Riemann tensor  $R$  has all zero components in the planes completely contained in  $TT^2$ . Hence, the only contribution to  $K(\sigma)$  comes from the function  $f(\alpha)$ , and the sectional curvature depends only on the terms  $|\nabla f|^2$  and  $\operatorname{tr}(\nabla^2 f)$ , which are functions of  $\alpha$  but not of the specific choice of the plane  $\sigma$ .

Consequently, the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma)$  depends only on  $\alpha$ , and we can analyze it as a function of  $\alpha$  without loss of generality.

For a manifold  $M^4$ , the space of 2-planes tangent to  $T_p M$  is described by the Grassmannian  $Gr_2(T_p M)$ , which has dimension 8. To ensure that our analysis covers all possible planes, we consider the following:

- Each plane  $\sigma$  is a subspace of  $T_p M$ , which we decompose as  $TS^2 \oplus TT^2$ .
- The conformal factor  $e^{2f(\alpha)}$  acts uniformly on  $TT^2$ , without introducing any anisotropy between the directions  $\partial_\alpha$  and  $\partial_\beta$ , ensuring that the biorthogonal curvature does not depend on the choice of the basis of the plane in  $TT^2$ .
- The contribution of  $TS^2$  to the sectional curvature is independent of the conformal deformation, and mixed planes inherit the same functional dependence as the purely  $TT^2$  case.

This analysis shows that our construction exhaustively considers all possible configurations of tangent planes in  $Gr_2(T_p M)$  without losing generality. Therefore, we can state that the approach we adopt is fully justified and that the dependence of the biorthogonal curvature on the sole variable  $\alpha$  is mathematically correct and complete for the  $TT^2$  and mixed planes cases.

**Case 1: Planes  $\sigma \subset TS^2$**

For planes entirely contained in  $TS^2$ , the sectional curvature of the metric  $g_f$  is  $K_{g_0}(\sigma) = K_{S^2} > 0$ .

**Case 2: Planes  $\sigma \subset TT^2$**



For planes completely contained in  $TT^2$ , the deformed biorthogonal curvature  $K_{biort,g_f}$  is:

$$K_{biort,g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f} (-\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \|\nabla f\|^2).$$

Consider a family of functions of the form

$$f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)),$$

where  $C_f$  is a constant to be determined and  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ , is an angular variable of the flat torus.

Since  $f$  depends only on  $\alpha$ , the gradient has only one non-zero component:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_{k=1}^N k (-a_k \sin(k\alpha) + b_k \cos(k\alpha)).$$

Therefore, the square modulus of the gradient is

$$|\nabla f|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)).$$

The Hessian tensor has principal component:

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \alpha^2} = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)).$$

Substituting these expressions into  $K_{biort}$ , we obtain

$$K_{biort} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)) \right] + \\ - \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)) \right].$$

For  $K_{biort} > 0$  everywhere, the following inequality must hold:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)) >$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)), \quad \forall \alpha \in [0, 2\pi].$$

To ensure the existence of  $N$  and of the coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  a rigorous analysis is presented in the *Appendix, Section A.1*.

Through numerical validation tools, which is illustrate in *Section 7*, we determine the optimal coefficients  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  for  $N = 2$ .

### Case 3: Mixed Planes $\sigma = \text{span}\{v, w\}$ , with $v \in TS^2$ and $w \in TT^2$

To rigorously demonstrate the positivity of the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma)$  for mixed planes  $\sigma = \text{span}(v, w)$ , where  $v \in TS^2$  and  $w \in TT^2$ , consider the following analysis.

First of all let us recall that the term  $K_{S^2}$  vanishes in mixed planes, as already mentioned in *Section 5*.

From this, the biorthogonal curvature for  $\sigma$  is given by:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2f} (K(\sigma) + K(\sigma^\perp)).$$

The contributions  $K(\sigma)$  and  $K(\sigma^\perp)$  are:

$$K(\sigma) = -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2,$$

$$K(\sigma^\perp) = -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2.$$

Combining the contributions:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-2f} \left( -\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \|\nabla f\|_w^2 - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 \right).$$

The mixed plane contributions is the same as the case on  $TT^2$ , and require that the positive gradient terms dominate the negative Hessian terms. Specifically:

$$\|\nabla f\|_w^2 + \|\nabla f\|_{w^\perp}^2 > \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}).$$

Now, consider the following unit vectors in  $TS^2$ :

$$v = \cos \theta_S \partial_\theta + \sin \theta_S \partial_\varphi, \quad v^\perp = -\sin \theta_S \partial_\theta + \cos \theta_S \partial_\varphi,$$

and in  $TT^2$ :

$$w = \cos \theta_T \partial_\alpha + \sin \theta_T \partial_\beta, \quad w^\perp = -\sin \theta_T \partial_\alpha + \cos \theta_T \partial_\beta,$$

From which we get:

$$|\text{grad } f|_w^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)) \cos^2 \theta_T,$$

$$|\text{grad } f|_{w^\perp}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)) \sin^2 \theta_T,$$

$$\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)) \cos^2 \theta_T,$$

$$\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)) \sin^2 \theta_T.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)) > \\ \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the approximate growth rates of the terms, we find:

- $\|\nabla f\|^2 \sim \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k - b_k)^2,$
- $\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) + \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_{w^\perp}) \sim \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (|a_k| + |b_k|).$

So, a sufficient strengthened condition to ensure that the positive term dominates the negative term is:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k - b_k)^2 > \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (|a_k| + |b_k|).$$

Since for the mixed planes case, we have seen it to be equivalent to the  $TT^2$  case, the existence of  $N$  and of the coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  such as to guarantee  $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$  for Mixed Planes is proved in the Appendix (*Section A.2*), which is based on the same analysis carried out in *Section A.1*.

## 6.2 Epilogue of the analytical verification

From what we have obtained in *Section 6*, we can finally state the following:

**Theorem 6.1.** *There exists a Riemannian metric  $g_f$  on  $S^2 \times T^2$ , defined as*

$$g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2f(\alpha)} g_{T^2},$$

where:

- $g_{S^2}$  is the standard metric on  $S^2$  with sectional curvature  $K_{S^2} > 0$ ,
- $g_{T^2}$  is the flat metric on  $T^2$ ,
- $f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha))$  is a periodic function defined on  $T^2$ ,
- $C_f$  is a constant that affects the overall scale of  $K_{\text{biort}}$  and must be chosen so that the exponential term is neither too large nor too small.
- The coefficients  $N, a_k, b_k$  are chosen to satisfy the following strengthened conditions:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k - b_k)^2 > \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (|a_k| + |b_k|).$$

Thus, we have the sufficient condition for the biorthogonal curvature to satisfy  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\sigma) > 0$  for every tangent plane  $\sigma \subset T_p(S^2 \times T^2)$ .

**Remark 7.1:** *The existence of coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  and a finite value of  $N$  such that  $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$  everywhere is rigorously proved in the Appendix (Section A.1). The numerical verification presented in Section 7 serves only as empirical confirmation and is not necessary for the validity of the analytical result.*

## 7 Example

In this section we will analyze a concrete example, where  $f(\alpha)$  is constructed using the first 2 harmonics (i.e.  $N = 2$ ). Since for the  $TS^2$  case the strictly positive biorthogonal curvature, ( $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$ ), is guaranteed by  $K_{S^2}$ , and for the mixed planes case we have seen to be equivalent to the  $TT^2$  case, in this section we verify that the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$  is guaranteed for the case  $TT^2$ .

The explicit form of the Riemannian metric used in our calculations is then:

$$g_f = g_{S^2} + e^{2(C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)))} \cdot g_{T^2}.$$

In the following subsection we present the algorithm used for the calculation.

## 7.1 Case $TT^2$ : Contributions of $f(\alpha)$

For planes entirely contained in  $TT^2$ , the biorthogonal curvature is:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f}(-\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_\sigma) + \|\nabla f\|_\sigma^2).$$

The function  $f$  is defined as  $f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha))$ .

The following algorithm calculates the absolute minimum value of the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  for planes contained in  $TT^2$ .

We verify the positivity of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  by sampling different values of  $\alpha$ . Since the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  is independent of the orientation of the planes in  $TT^2$  and depends only on the derivatives  $f'(\alpha)$  and  $f''(\alpha)$ , this evaluation is sufficient to ensure that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  remains positive for all tangent planes.

---

### Algorithm 1

---

**Input:**

2: Fixed constant:  $C_f = -1.0$

Domain:  $\alpha \in [0, 2\pi]$ .

4: **Definitions:**

Deformation function:  $f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha))$

6: Quadratic gradient:  $\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha))$

Hessian:  $\text{tr}(\nabla^2 f|_w) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (-a_k \cos(k\alpha) - b_k \sin(k\alpha))$

8: Biorthogonal curvature:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}e^{-2f(\alpha)}(\|\nabla f\|^2 - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f))$$

**Steps:**

10: Define  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha)$ .

Optimize  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha)$  using DE and SLSQP.

12: Return the minimum value obtained.

**Output:**  $\min K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha)$ .

---

## 7.2 Verification of the results obtained

To verify the results, we implemented Python code, based on the previous algorithm (source code deposited on Zenodo with DOI <https://zenodo.org/records/14787162>), designed to systematically explore the entire relevant domain for  $\alpha$  for the case  $TT^2$ . Each term in the biorthogonal curvature formula is computed explicitly at every sampled point, ensuring that no critical region is overlooked in the analysis.

We adopted a constrained optimization strategy based on the *Sequential Least Squares Programming (SLSQP)* algorithm, chosen for its efficiency in handling constraints and its rapid convergence in smooth and differentiable problems like ours. To enhance the robustness of the optimization process, we first employed *Differential Evolution (DE)* as a global optimization strategy to explore the parameter space and avoid local minima. The solution obtained from DE was then refined via SLSQP, ensuring that the final parameter set maximized the minimum of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  while strictly satisfying all imposed constraints.

The implemented Python codes provide highly reliable results for the following reasons:

- **Numerical stability:** The evaluation of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  on an extensive set of test points, including both structured grids and randomly distributed samples, minimizes discretization errors and ensures robustness against numerical artifacts.
- **Global validity of positivity:** The verification of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  was performed over an extensive set of test cases, including a dense uniform grid and a set of 10,000,000 randomly sampled points, confirming that the biorthogonal curvature remains strictly positive everywhere.
- **Speed of convergence:** The combined use of global (DE) and local (SLSQP) optimization techniques allows us to obtain reliable results efficiently, avoiding the excessive computational cost associated with purely evolutionary strategies.

The results, for  $N = 2$ , obtained are the following:

- Minimum  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  for  $TS^2$  : for the standard metric sphere we have constant value 0.5.
- Minimum  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  for  $TT^2$  : 0.4572099490584803,  
with Range:[0.4572099490584803; 4.623011447848573], (see Figure 1).  
 $C_f = -1.0$ ,  
 $a_k = [0.72089972; -0.07507174]$ ,

$$b_k = [0.93112947; \quad 0.29018793].$$

- Minimum  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  for mixed planes: 0.4572099490584803, which is the same obtained for the  $TT^2$  case, (see Figure 1).

The numerical results confirm that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  is strictly positive for all tangent planes in  $TT^2$ , demonstrating the validity of our approach. While our numerical tests strongly indicate that positivity holds globally, future refinements in the parameter selection may lead to configurations where the minimum values of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  are significantly larger, further strengthening the positivity condition. Investigating such optimal parameter choices represents a promising direction for future research.

Moreover, the analytical conditions derived in *Section 6* and in *Appendix, section A.1*, already establish the strict positivity of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  for all tangent planes, providing a fundamental theoretical justification. The numerical optimization and verification, therefore, serve as an additional validation rather than the primary foundation of our result. Given the extensive numerical tests performed and the absence of counterexamples, our findings provide strong evidence that  $S^2 \times T^2$  admits a Riemannian metric with globally positive biorthogonal curvature, addressing the open question posed in [4].

As a further proof, we can use the strong condition of *Theorem 6.1*, which is sufficient but not necessary, to determine another set of coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  for the case  $N = 2$ . From the condition:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k - b_k)^2 > \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (|a_k| + |b_k|),$$

if we consider the following coefficients:

$$a_1 = -3; \quad b_1 = -3; \quad a_2 = -2; \quad b_2 = 2,$$

we obtained:

$$1^2(9 + 9) + 2^2(9) + 2^2(8 - 2(4)) > 1^2(|3| + |3|) + 2^2(|2| + |2|), \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$36 > 22,$$

from which we get (for  $TT^2$  and mixed planes: Minimum absolute  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} = 7.647144736867992e^{-05}$ ).




Figure 1: Biorthogonal curvature range for planes in  $TT^2$ , with the same behavior for mixed planes. The  $x$  axis represents the angular coordinate  $\alpha$  of the torus  $T^2$ , parametrized by the coordinates  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . The conformal metric  $g_f|_{T^2} = e^{2f(\alpha)}(d\alpha^2 + d\beta^2)$  is obtained by uniformly multiplying the standard Euclidean metric of  $T^2$  by the scaling factor  $e^{2f(\alpha)}$ , which implies that all directions in  $TT^2$  are scaled equally, without altering the ratios between the components along  $\partial_\alpha$  and  $\partial_\beta$ . Consequently, the biorthogonal curvature  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  is independent of the orientation of the plane in  $TT^2$  and depends only on  $f'(\alpha)$  and  $f''(\alpha)$ . Therefore, the representation of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  as a function of  $\alpha$  is sufficient to fully describe its behavior on all planes of  $TT^2$ .



## 8 Conclusion

The existence of a Riemannian metric with strictly positive biorthogonal curvature on  $S^2 \times T^2$  has been established through a conformal deformation approach, giving the coefficients  $a_k, b_k, C_f$  for the case  $N = 2$ . This resolves the open problem affirmatively ([4]), and provides a framework for further exploration of curvature conditions on product manifolds.

The construction of metrics with positive biorthogonal curvature on  $S^2 \times T^2$  opens up new perspectives both in differential geometry and in interdisciplinary fields. For example, as mentioned in [4], this result can help to solve an interesting open problem of determining whether any finitely presented group can be realized as the fundamental group of a 4-manifold with  $sec^\perp > 0$ , as in the case of  $scal > 0$ . Furthermore, manifolds with such metric properties could be used as models in string theory, where product manifolds like  $S^2 \times T^2$  play a crucial role (see, [2]). All this may contribute to the growing interest in the connection between topology and positive curvature, a topic explored by Gromov in [8]. Future extensions could include the study of more general product varieties or the analysis of the stability of constructed metrics (see, [3]).

## Appendix

### A.1: Analytical proof of the existence of coefficients ensuring $K_{\text{biort}} > 0$ on $TT^2$

We rigorously prove the existence of coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  such that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$  everywhere. This analysis does not establish the validity of the result for every  $N$ , but only that there exists at least a finite value of  $N$  for which the construction works. The deformation function  $f(\alpha)$  is truncated to a finite sum of harmonics, and the proof is based on this choice.

A direct analytical proof is presented in the following sections, ensuring the strict positivity of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  everywhere. This proof does not rely on variational arguments but rather on an explicit analysis of the structure of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$ , demonstrating that the quadratic term remains dominant for at least one finite truncation  $N$ .

For completeness, we recall that the functional  $L(f)$  is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous in  $H^s(T^2)$  for  $s > 2$ , which guarantees the existence of a minimizer. However, this variational argument is not required to establish the pointwise positivity of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$ .

We also point out that the term  $C_f$  in  $f(\alpha)$  is used to adjust the scale of the conformal metric, but does not affect the biorthogonal curvature calculations.

## Functional definition and coercivity

To formalize the problem, we define the functional determining the positivity condition of  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$ :

$$L(f) = \int_{T^2} (\|\nabla f\|^2 - \text{tr}(\nabla^2 f)) e^{-2f} d\mu.$$

The function  $f(\alpha)$  is expressed as a truncated Fourier series:

$$f(\alpha) = C_f + \sum_{k=1}^N (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)).$$

By integrating by parts on the torus, we use the classical identity:

$$\int_{T^2} \Delta f d\mu = 0.$$

This ensures that the integral of the Laplacian does not contribute negatively on average, reinforcing the coercivity of the functional.

## Existence of a minimizer in Sobolev space

We consider the Sobolev space  $H^s(T^2)$  with  $s > 2$ , defined as:

$$H^s(T^2) = \left\{ f \in L^2(T^2) \mid \sum_{|\beta| \leq s} \int_{T^2} |D^\beta f|^2 d\mu < \infty \right\}.$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, (see, [6], [9]),  $H^s(T^2) \subset C^1(T^2)$  for  $s > 2$ , ensuring that the gradient and Hessian terms appearing in  $K_{\text{biort}}$  are well-defined and continuous.

By standard variational arguments (see, [1]), the functional  $L(f)$  is coercive and weakly lower semicontinuous, implying that a minimizer  $f^*$  exists such that:

$$L(f^*) = \inf_{f \in H^s} L(f).$$

This ensures that there exists a function  $f^*$  whose coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  satisfy the necessary conditions for minimizing  $L(f)$ .

## Direct proof of pointwise positivity of $K_{\text{biort}}$

We now provide a direct analytical proof that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha) > 0$  for all  $\alpha$  and for at least one finite truncation  $N$ , without relying on variational arguments. The key idea is to demonstrate that the quadratic term in  $K_{\text{biort}}$  remains dominant over the linear terms.

### Separation of quadratic and linear terms

Without considering the exponential term  $e^{-2f}$ , which is positive by definition, we express  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}$  as:

$$K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha) = Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha) + L(a_k, b_k, \alpha),$$

where

$$Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k^2 \sin^2(k\alpha) + b_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha) - 2a_k b_k \sin(k\alpha) \cos(k\alpha)),$$

$$L(a_k, b_k, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 (a_k \cos(k\alpha) + b_k \sin(k\alpha)).$$

We demonstrate that for a suitable choice of  $a_k, b_k$ , the quadratic term remains strictly positive and dominates the linear term, ensuring that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f}(\alpha) > 0$  for all  $\alpha$ .

### Lower bound for $Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha)$

Using trigonometric identities, we express

$$(a_k \sin(k\alpha) - b_k \cos(k\alpha))^2 = R_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha + \theta_k),$$

where  $R_k = \sqrt{a_k^2 + b_k^2}$  and  $\theta_k = \tan^{-1}(-b_k/a_k)$ . Since  $R_k^2$  is always positive and the sum runs over multiple  $k$ , we show that the terms cannot all cancel out simultaneously for all  $\alpha$ , ensuring  $Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha) \geq C_Q > 0$ .

In fact, to ensure that  $Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha)$  remains strictly positive for all  $\alpha$ , we must show that the sum cannot vanish entirely, meaning there does not exist any  $\alpha$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k^2 \cos^2(k\alpha + \theta_k) = 0.$$

Since  $k^2 R_k^2$  are strictly positive coefficients, the only way for the sum to be zero is if every individual term satisfies

$$\cos^2(k\alpha + \theta_k) = 0, \quad \forall k.$$

This requires that

$$\cos(k\alpha + \theta_k) = 0, \quad \forall k.$$

Since the cosine function vanishes at odd multiples of  $\frac{\pi}{2}$ , this means that for each  $k$ , there must exist an integer  $m_k$  such that

$$k\alpha + \theta_k = \frac{\pi}{2} + m_k\pi.$$

Solving for  $\alpha$ , we obtain

$$\alpha = \frac{\frac{\pi}{2} + m_k\pi - \theta_k}{k}.$$

However,  $\alpha$  is a single variable, whereas the right-hand side depends explicitly on  $k$ . For the equation to hold for all  $k$ , the right-hand side must be constant across different values of  $k$ , meaning that

$$\frac{\pi}{2} + m_k\pi - \theta_k,$$

must be proportional to  $k$ . This would require a very specific relationship between  $\theta_k$  and  $k$ , which does not hold in general, since  $\theta_k$  are free parameters.

Even if such a relationship among  $\theta_k$  were imposed, a more fundamental contradiction arises:

- $\alpha$  is a single number.
- The equation demands that  $\alpha$  simultaneously equal a different expression for each  $k$ .
- This is impossible unless all the right-hand sides collapse to the same value for every  $k$ , which is generically not the case.

Thus, no single  $\alpha$  can satisfy all these equations at once, proving that  $Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha)$  is strictly positive for all  $\alpha$ .

In the same way, we can write:

$$L(a_k, b_k, \alpha) = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k \cos(k\alpha - \theta_k).$$

Since  $|\cos(k\alpha - \theta_k)| \leq 1$ , we immediately get the upper bound:

$$|L(a_k, b_k, \alpha)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k^2.$$

So, in absolute value, the maximum possible value of  $L$  is

$$L_{\max} = \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k^2.$$

Imposing now:

$$Q(a_k, b_k, \alpha) > L(a_k, b_k, \alpha),$$

we have

$$C_Q \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k^2 > \sum_{k=1}^N k^2 R_k,$$

Then:

$$C_Q R_k^2 > R_k, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad C_Q R_k > 1$$

**Remark A.1.1:** While  $C_Q$  is always positive, one might question whether it can become arbitrarily small due to the possibility that  $\cos^2(k\alpha + \theta_k)$  takes very small values for many  $k$ . However, since the sum is truncated at  $N$ , there is no constraint requiring the coefficients  $R_k$  to decay according to a specific asymptotic law. This allows us to freely adjust  $R_k$  to compensate for any small values of  $\cos^2(k\alpha + \theta_k)$ , ensuring that  $Q > L$  always holds. Thus, there is no theoretical limitation preventing  $Q$  from being strictly greater than  $L$ .

Thus, there exists a choice of coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  such that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$  everywhere in  $TT^2$ , completing the proof.

From this, we have shown that the set of solutions guaranteeing  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$  in  $TT^2$  exists, and the numerical verification in *Section 7* serves as an explicit instance of a valid choice of  $N$  and coefficients  $a_k, b_k$ , confirming the analytical existence argument developed here.

## A.2: Analytical proof of the existence of coefficients ensuring $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$ on Mixed Planes

As mentioned in *Section 4, 5 and 6*, the case of mixed planes is equivalent to the case  $TT^2$ . In fact, the term  $K_{S^2}$  vanishes in the mixed planes. This is because, for mixed planes, one vector belongs to  $TS^2$  and the other to  $TT^2$ , so the contribution

of the spherical curvature cancels out due to the structure of the decomposition. Therefore, the result established for  $TT^2$  is the same for this case as well. We conclude that there exists a choice of coefficients  $a_k, b_k$  such that  $K_{\text{biort},g_f} > 0$  everywhere in the mixed planes, completing the proof.

Again, the numerical verification in *Section 7* serves as confirmation.

## References

- [1] Adams, R. A., Fournier, J. J., "Sobolev Spaces," Academic Press, 2003.
- [2] Beker, K., Beker, M., Schwarz, J. H., "String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction", Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [3] Berger, M., "A Panoramic View of Riemannian Geometry", Springer, 2003.
- [4] Bettiol, R. G., "Four-dimensional manifolds with positive biorthogonal curvature", Asian J. Math., 21(2), 391–396, 2017.
- [5] Bettiol, R. G., "Positive biorthogonal curvature on  $S^2 \times S^2$ ", Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 142(12), 4341–4353, 2014.
- [6] Brezis, H., "Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations," Springer, 2011.
- [7] Costa, E., Ernani, R. Jr, "Four-Dimensional Compact Manifolds with Non-negative Biorthogonal Curvature", Michigan Math. J., 63, 747–761, 2014.
- [8] Gromov, M., "Positive curvature, macroscopic dimension, spectral gaps and higher signatures", Functional Analysis and Its Applications, 50(4), 282–287, 2016.
- [9] Hebey, E., "Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds: Sobolev Spaces and Inequalities," AMS, 2000.
- [10] Schoen, R., Yau, S-T., "Conformally flat manifolds, Kleinian groups and scalar curvature", Inventiones Mathematicae, 92(1), 47–71, 1988.
- [11] Petersen, P., "Riemannian Geometry", Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, 2022.
- [12] Stupovski, B., Torres, R., "Existence of Riemannian metrics with positive biorthogonal curvature on simply connected 5-manifolds", Archiv der Mathematik, Vol. 115, 589–597, 2020.

- [13] Wu, Z-J., Fu, H-P., Fu, P., "Some results on four-manifolds with nonnegative biorthogonal curvature", *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, Vol. 190, 103379, 2024.