

ALGEBRAIC INDEPENDENCE OF AN AIRY FUNCTION, ITS DERIVATIVE, AND AN ANTIDERIVATIVE

FOLKMAR BORNEMANN

ABSTRACT. Using tools from the Siegel–Shidlovskii theory of transcendental numbers, we prove that a nontrivial solution of the Airy equation, its derivative, and an antiderivative are algebraically independent over the field of rational functions.

We consider the Airy equation in the complex domain, $u'' = zu$. Any solution $u \neq 0$ is a transcendental entire function of non-integer order $3/2$ [4, Prop. 5.1], which thus has infinitely many zeros [5, p. 26]. As a result, the logarithmic derivative u'/u has infinitely many poles, so it cannot be algebraic over the field $\mathbb{C}(z)$ of rational functions. Therefore, by a classical lemma of Siegel¹ on linear second-order differential equations, the functions u and u' are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$; see also [1, Thm. 1] and [4, Prop. 14.4.3] for a generalization to algebraic independence over a larger field of meromorphic functions.

For a study in random matrix theory [2], we had to extend the algebraic independence by adding an antiderivative of u . So, the purpose of this note is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $u \neq 0$ be a solution of $u'' = zu$. Then, the functions u, u', U , where U satisfies $U' = u$, are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$.*

The proof given below is elementary and self-contained, except for the following facts:

- as explained in the first paragraph, any solution $u \neq 0$ of the Airy equation and its logarithmic derivative u'/u are transcendental over $\mathbb{C}(z)$;
- a lemma of Shidlovskii, which has a comparatively short algebraic proof in terms of resultants and which generalizes the first step in the proof of Siegel’s lemma.

Shidlovskii’s lemma ([7, Corollary p. 188]).² *Suppose that the entire functions w_1, \dots, w_n satisfy a homogeneous system of first-order differential equations with polynomial coefficients,*

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1' \\ \vdots \\ w_n' \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ \vdots \\ w_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad A \in \mathbb{C}[z]^{n \times n}.$$

Let w_1, \dots, w_n have transcendence degree $n - 1$ over $\mathbb{C}(z)$ so that they are connected by an algebraic equation of the form

$$p(z, w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z)) = 0,$$

where $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is irreducible. Let D denote the associated differential operator

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n A_{jk} \cdot y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}.$$

Then, $Dp = \omega p$ factorizes as polynomials of $n + 1$ independent variables, with $\omega \in \mathbb{C}[z]$.

¹Siegel’s lemma – [8, p. 215], [9, p. 60]; see also the expositions in [7, Lemma 6.2], [4, Lemma 14.3.1] – states that if the differential equation

$$w'' + a_1 w' + a_0 w = 0, \quad a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{C}(z),$$

has a solution $w_0 \neq 0$ such that w_0, w_0' are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$, then it has a solution $w_1 \neq 0$ whose logarithmic derivative w_1'/w_1 is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(z)$.

²Here, we have specialized Shidlovskii’s lemma to the homogeneous case with polynomial coefficients.

Remark. We note that for any $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ the polynomial $Dq \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ is constructed to evaluate the total derivative along the field of solutions; namely, it satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dz}q(z, w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z)) = Dq(z, w_1(z), \dots, w_n(z)).$$

Now, writing $w_1 = u$, $w_2 = u'$ and $w_3 = U$, the Airy equation $u'' = zu$ and the defining equation $U' = u$ for an antiderivative induce a system of $n = 3$ first-order equations,

$$w_1' = w_2, \quad w_2' = zw_1, \quad w_3' = w_1.$$

Hence, the associated differential operator takes the form

$$D = D_{\text{Airy}} + y_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \quad D_{\text{Airy}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + y_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + zy_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}.$$

We need two preparatory lemmas for the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 1. *Let be $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2]$. Then $D_{\text{Airy}}p$ is divisible by p if and only if p is constant.*

*Proof.*³ Suppose that $D_{\text{Airy}}p$ is divisible by p (the converse direction of the assertion is obvious). Since the total degree of $D_{\text{Airy}}p$ in the variables y_1, y_2 does not exceed the corresponding degree of p , and the degree of $D_{\text{Airy}}p$ in z may be 1 greater than the degree of p , it follows that the quotient – when $D_{\text{Airy}}p$ is divided by p – is a polynomial in z of degree at most 1. Hence, as polynomials in three independent variables,

$$(1) \quad D_{\text{Airy}}p = (az + b)p, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We aggregate into $q \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2]$ all the terms in p that have largest total degree in y_1, y_2 . So, q is a homogeneous polynomial in y_1, y_2 of some degree m with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[z]$. Since application of the operator D_{Airy} either preserves the degree of a homogeneous term in y_1, y_2 or sends that term to zero, (1) induces

$$D_{\text{Airy}}q = (az + b)q.$$

Now, given a fundamental system u_1, u_2 of the Airy equation, we insert the general solution $u = c_1u_1 + c_2u_2$ with $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ for y_1 and its derivative u' for y_2 . This way, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dz}q(z, u(z), u'(z)) = D_{\text{Airy}}q(z, u(z), u'(z)) = (az + b)q(z, u(z), u'(z)).$$

Integration yields

$$q(z, u(z), u'(z)) = r(c_1, c_2)e^{az^2/2+bz}, \quad r \in \mathbb{C}[c_1, c_2],$$

where r is homogeneous of degree m .

Suppose that $m = 0$. Then, by construction, we have that $q = p$, and the polynomial p depends only on z . We also have $r = \varrho$ for some constant $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$. Since the exponential term represents a polynomial if and only if $a = b = 0$, we conclude that $p = \varrho$ is constant.

Finally, contrary to what has to be shown, suppose that $m \geq 1$. In this case, we can determine values of c_1, c_2 , not both zero, such that $r(c_1, c_2) = 0$. The corresponding particular solution $u = c_1u_1 + c_2u_2$ of the Airy equation is nontrivial and satisfies $q(z, u(z), u'(z)) = 0$. If q does not depend on y_2 , the solution u is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(z)$, which is impossible. So, q depends on y_2 and, by homogeneity, we obtain in a region excluding the zeros of u that

$$q(z, 1, u'(z)/u(z)) = 0.$$

Therefore, the logarithmic derivative u'/u is algebraic over $\mathbb{C}(z)$, which is impossible, too. \square

³The proof is modeled after the second step in the proof of Siegel's lemma [9, pp. 61–62]; see also the expositions in [7, pp. 210–211] and [4, pp. 291–292]. Alternatively, Lemma 1 can be proved by inserting the particular Airy function $\text{Bi}(z)$ and its derivative $\text{Bi}'(z)$ as y_1, y_2 into (1), so that after integration

$$p(z, \text{Bi}(z), \text{Bi}'(z)) = \varrho e^{az^2/2+bz}, \quad \varrho \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We then conclude $a = b = 0$, $p = \varrho$ from employing, as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along the positive real axis, the Poincaré-type expansions [6, §9.7(ii)] of the functions $\text{Bi}(z)$ and $\text{Bi}'(z)$. However, we prefer Siegel's elegant algebraic approach.

Corollary 1. *Let $u \neq 0$ solve $u'' = zu$. Then, u, u' are algebraically independent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that $w_1 = u, w_2 = u'$ are algebraically dependent. Then, since w_1 is transcendental, the transcendence degree of w_1, w_2 is 1. By Shidlovskii's lemma, there is an irreducible polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2]$, such that $p(z, w_1(z), w_2(z)) = 0$ with

$$D_{\text{Airy}}p = \omega p, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}[z].$$

By Lemma 1, p must be constant, contradicting the irreducibility. \square

Lemma 2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2]$. Then $D_{\text{Airy}}p + cy_1 = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $c = 0$ and p is constant.*

Proof. Suppose that $D_{\text{Airy}}p + cy_1 = 0$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$ (the converse direction of the assertion is obvious). Expanding in powers of y_1 , we write

$$p(z, y_1, y_2) = \sum_{k=0}^m q_k(z, y_2)y_1^k, \quad q_k \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_2].$$

Applying the operator D_{Airy} and setting $q_{m+2} = q_{m+1} = q_{-1} = 0$, we get

$$D_{\text{Airy}}p = \sum_{k=0}^{m+1} \left(\frac{\partial q_k}{\partial z} + z \frac{\partial q_{k-1}}{\partial y_2} + (k+1)y_2 q_{k+1} \right) y_1^k.$$

Now, in $D_{\text{Airy}}p + cy_1 = 0$, comparing the coefficients of the powers y_1^k for $k = 0, 1$ gives

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial z} + y_2 q_1 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial z} + z \frac{\partial q_0}{\partial y_2} + 2y_2 q_2 + c = 0.$$

If we develop the polynomials q_0, q_1, q_2 in powers of y_2 with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[z]$, writing

$$q_0 = f + gy_2 + O(y_2^2), \quad q_1 = h + O(y_2), \quad q_2 = O(1), \quad f, g, h \in \mathbb{C}[z],$$

we obtain from comparing in (2) the coefficients of the powers y_2^k for $k = 0, 1$ that

$$f' = 0, \quad g' + h = 0, \quad h' + zg + c = 0.$$

Hence, g is a polynomial solution of the (inhomogeneous) Airy equation $g'' = zg + c$. This is impossible for $g \neq 0$ since then $\deg(g'') < \deg(zg + c)$. As a result, we get $g = 0$ and a fortiori also $c = 0$, which implies $D_{\text{Airy}}p = 0$ so that p is constant by Lemma 1. \square

*Proof of Theorem 1.*⁴ Suppose to the contrary that $w_1 = u, w_2 = u', w_3 = U$ are algebraically dependent. Then, because of the algebraic independence of w_1, w_2 established in Corollary 1, the transcendence degree of w_1, w_2, w_3 is 2. By Shidlovskii's lemma, there is an irreducible polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2, y_3]$, such that $p(z, w_1(z), w_2(z), w_3(z)) = 0$ with

$$Dp = \omega p, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{C}[z].$$

We write

$$p(z, y_1, y_2, y_3) = \sum_{k=0}^m q_k(z, y_1, y_2)y_3^k, \quad q_k \in \mathbb{C}[z, y_1, y_2],$$

with $q_m \neq 0$, where $m \geq 1$ since w_1 and w_2 are algebraically independent. Applying the operator D and setting $q_{m+1} = 0$, we get

$$Dp = \sum_{k=0}^m (D_{\text{Airy}}q_k + (k+1)y_1 q_{k+1}) y_3^k.$$

Now, in $Dp = \omega p$, we start with comparing the coefficients of y_3^m which gives $D_{\text{Airy}}q_m = \omega q_m$. Hence, Lemma 1 implies that $\omega = 0$ and $q_m = \varrho$ for some constant $\varrho \in \mathbb{C}$. Next, comparing the coefficients of y_3^{m-1} yields

$$D_{\text{Airy}}q_{m-1} + m\varrho y_1 = 0,$$

⁴The proof is modeled after the one given by Shidlovskii [7, Lemma 6, p. 190] that establishes, for fixed $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, the algebraic independence of the entire functions $e^{\alpha z}$ and ${}_1F_1(1, \lambda; z)$ over $\mathbb{C}(z)$.

which by Lemma 2 implies $\varrho = 0$, contradicting $q_m \neq 0$. Thus, w_1, w_2, w_3 are algebraically independent, which proves Theorem 1. \square

We conclude with two brief remarks. First, Corollary 1 is also true for solutions $u \neq 0$ of Painlevé II (with a zero parameter), that is, the nonlinear differential equation

$$u'' = zu + 2u^3;$$

see [3, Theorem 21.1], [10, Theorem 9.2]. We conjecture that Theorem 1 holds true for Painlevé II as well. On the other hand – as for Painlevé II; see [10, Eq. (1(ii))] – a solution $u \neq 0$ of the Airy equation, its derivative u' , and an antiderivative U_2 of u^2 are algebraically dependent over $\mathbb{C}(z)$:

$$u'^2 = zu^2 - U_2 + c, \quad U_2' = u^2,$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ is a constant of integration.

Second, though we have used tools from transcendental number theory, Theorem 1 has no immediate arithmetic consequences (such as the algebraic or linear independence over \mathbb{Q} for certain function values at non-zero algebraic arguments). The standard fundamental system of the Airy equation has power series with rational coefficients, namely (cf. [6, §9.4])

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(z) &= {}_0F_1\left(\frac{2}{3}; \frac{z^3}{9}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{3!}z^3 + \frac{1 \cdot 4}{6!}z^6 + \frac{1 \cdot 4 \cdot 7}{9!}z^9 + \dots, \\ u_2(z) &= z \cdot {}_0F_1\left(\frac{4}{3}; \frac{z^3}{9}\right) = z + \frac{2}{4!}z^4 + \frac{2 \cdot 5}{7!}z^7 + \frac{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 8}{10!}z^{10} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

but neither of these solutions (nor any rational linear combination of them) is a Siegel E -function ([8, p. 223], [7, p. 79]) or G -function ([8, p. 239], [7, p. 438]): first, writing the non-zero coefficients of z^n as $a_n/n!$ with integer a_n , the a_n have no growth bound better than

$$a_n = O(e^{-n/3}n^{n/3-1/6}),$$

which rules out the class of E -functions; second, writing the non-zero coefficients as $1/a'_n$ with integer a'_n , the a'_n have no growth bound better than

$$a'_n = O(e^{-2n/3}n^{2n/3+2/3}),$$

which rules out the class of G -functions.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Alexandre Eremenko for his encouragement and Norbert Steinmetz for pointing out the algebraic dependence of the functions u , u' , and U_2 .

REFERENCES

- [1] Bank, S.B.: On certain properties of solutions of second-order linear differential equations. *Monatsh. Math.* **94**(3), 179–200 (1982)
- [2] Bornemann, F.: Asymptotic expansions of level densities of Gaussian and Laguerre (Wishart) ensembles at the soft edge. Manuscript in preparation (2025)
- [3] Gromak, V.I., Laine, I., Shimomura, S.: *Painlevé Differential Equations in the Complex Plane*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (2002)
- [4] Laine, I.: *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1993)
- [5] Levin, B.J.: *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*. AMS, Providence, RI (1980)
- [6] Olver, F.W.J., Lozier, D.W., Boisvert, R.F., Clark, C.W. (eds.): *NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (2010)
- [7] Shidlovskii, A.B.: *Transcendental Numbers*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1989)
- [8] Siegel, C.L.: Über einige Anwendungen diophantischer Approximationen. *Abh. Preuß. Akad. Wiss., Phys.-Math. Kl.* **1929**, 209–266 (1929). Reprinted with translation and commentary in: Zannier, U. (ed.), *On some applications of Diophantine approximations*, Edizioni della Normale, Pisa (2014)
- [9] Siegel, C.L.: *Transcendental Numbers*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1949)
- [10] Steinmetz, N.: A unified approach to the Painlevé transcendents. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **42**(1), 17–49 (2017)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF MUNICH, 80290 MUNICH, GERMANY
Email address: bornemann@tum.de