

Bose-Bose gases with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions: a droplet phase

Emerson Chiquillo

Universidade Estadual Paulista (UNESP), Instituto de Física Teórica, São Paulo

Abstract

Through an effective quantum field theory within Bogoliubov's framework and taking into account nonuniversal effects of the interatomic potential we analytically derive the leading Gaussian zero- and finite-temperature corrections to the equation of state of ultracold interacting Bose-Bose gases. We calculate the ground-state energy per particle at zero and low temperature for three- two- and one-dimensional two-component bosonic gases. By tuning the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions we address and establish conditions under which the formation and stability of a self-bound liquidlike phase or droplet with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions (DNUC) is favorable. At zero temperature in three-dimensions and considering the nonuniversal corrections to the attractive interactions as a fitting parameter the energy per particle for DNUC is in good agreement with some diffusion Monte Carlo results. In two dimensions the DNUC present small deviations regarding conventional droplets. For the one-dimensional DNUC the handling of the nonuniversal effects to the interactions achieves a qualitative agreement with the trend of some available Monte Carlo data in usual droplets. We also introduce some improved Gross-Pitaevskii equations to describe self-trapped DNUC in three, two and one dimension. We briefly discuss some aspects at low temperature regarding nonuniversal corrections to the interactions in Bose-Bose gases. We derive the dependencies on the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions but also on the difference between intra- and inter-species coupling constants. This last dependence crucially affect the three- and the two-dimensional DNUC driving thus to a thermal-induced instability. This thermal instability is also present in one-dimensional Bose-Bose gases, but it is not relevant on the formation of DNUC. This is explained because the necessary attraction mechanism to achieve this phase naturally arises in the fluctuations at zero temperature without major restrictions as it happens in the other dimensions.

Email address: emerson.chiquillo@unesp.br (Emerson Chiquillo)

1. Introduction

The highly nontrivial and subtle stabilization between the mean-field energy and the energy coming from the fluctuations in ultradilute Bose-Bose gases has attracted an increasing interest. Such a balance has given rise to the liquidlike phase or droplet phase, revealing thus the crucial role played by the fluctuations [1, 2]. This intriguing new state of matter lies in the mechanical stabilization of paradigmatic mean-field collapse threshold in a condensed Bose-Bose mixture through the first Gaussian correction coming from zero-point motion of Bogoliubov excitations. This proposal has allowed the birth of a fascinating and fast development research field [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21]. Though the fluctuations are usually small and these are generally neglected, recent experimental achievements have put in evidence the outstanding influence of these small corrections on the equation of state for attractive Bose-Bose gases. The droplet phase has been obtained in homonuclear ^{39}K - ^{39}K mixtures [22, 23, 24, 25] and heteronuclear ^{41}K - ^{87}Rb mixtures [26]. Also has been studied the dynamical formation of self-bound droplets in an attractive mixture of ^{39}K atoms [27]. More recently the observation of a Lee-Huang-Yang (LHY) fluid in a ^{39}K spin mixture confined in a spherical trap potential has been achieved [28]. Droplets have been also obtained in a mixture of ^{23}Na and ^{87}Rb with tunable attractive inter-species interactions [29]. It is also worth mentioning that soon after the theoretical proposal of Bose-Bose droplets, this idea was extended to the context of one-component Bose gases with magnetic atoms [30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35]. Experimental evidence of dipolar droplets existence with atoms of ^{164}Dy [36, 37, 38, 39] and ^{168}Er [40] was also discovered. However, it is remarkable that comparing experimental evidence with theoretical predictions, in both droplets in mixtures of Bose-Bose gases and droplets in bosonic dipolar gases, some discrepancies have been found. In fact, in three-dimensional Bose-Bose gases it was experimentally observed that a stable droplet formation requires a critical minimum number of atoms [22]. This critical value is less than predicted by the proposed model in Ref. [1]. Some differences are also present comparing the theoretical model with numerical results obtained by means of Monte Carlo methods [41, 42, 43]. Therefore, given the discrepancy between the theoretical model and both experimental and numerical results, it is necessary to find a model that allows a better description of this phenomenon. In an attempt to achieve this, a phenomenological and beyond LHY framework has been developed in Ref. [44] for three- and one-dimensional Bose-Bose droplets.

From a general theoretical framework, such a droplet phase in both two-component ultracold Bose gases and dipolar bosonic gases remains weakly interacting, allowing thus for a theoretical perturbative treatment. A key ingredient in Bose-Bose droplets description is to consider the weakly interatomic interactions approximated by a local contact interaction. In this sense, only one parameter characterizes the two-body interactions and it is encoded in an effective theory in terms of the s-wave scattering length a . This unique dependence is considered as an universal regime [45]. On the other hand, the fact that physical quantities depend on properties other than the s-wave scattering length is con-

sidered as a nonuniversal regime. In addition and due to the sensitive nature of the fluctuations themselves the effect on these of some other parameters remains as an open issue. Therefore for a better understanding of fluctuations behavior on interacting Bose-Bose mixtures and motivated by the enhanced role of these, we consider an extra ingredient. We propose a step beyond by researching the effect of the nonuniversal corrections to the interatomic interaction potential [46]. We address and focused theoretically on formation and stability of self-bound Bose-Bose droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions (DNUC) both at zero and low temperature. We consider DNUC in three- two- and one-dimension. Thermal corrections on mixtures of two-component bosonic gases with zero-range interactions have been studied in Ref. [3] (see also [6]). Recently, the implementation of Monte Carlo algorithms has been carried out in the study of finite temperature in Bose-Bose mixtures [47, 48, 49]. We stress that although in recent years have been included nonuniversal corrections to the interactions on the study of the equation of state in one-component Bose gases in three [50], two [51] and one dimension [52], the necessary conditions for a droplet formation have not been considered.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce an effective-field theory for interacting bosons taking into account nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. We also bring up some elements of interest in the path-integral formalism which are employed to obtain the equation of state of two-component bosonic gases with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions in dimension d , with $d = 3, 2, 1$. So, we derive the mean-field grand-canonical potential. We also obtain general expressions for the grand-canonical potential dealing with fluctuations and thermal effects. In order to simplify the problem and to know some insights into the underlying physics of the DNUC we consider a symmetric scenario. To keep the notation as simple as possible, in all dimensions we use a for the scattering length and r for the effect of the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions, respectively. After establishing some initial aspects, we calculate and analyze the existence conditions of stable $3d$ DNUC at zero and finite temperature in section 3. We present two different equations of state each related to two different expansions of the phase shift in $3d$ scattering theory. In section 4 we investigate the stable formation of $2d$ DNUC. We also include finite-temperature corrections. In section 5 we present the formation of stable $1d$ DNUC at zero and finite temperature. In this section we also discuss some interesting differences regarding $2d$ and $3d$ DNUC. In section 6 we draw a final discussion and we present some future perspectives of our results.

2. Model and preliminaries

2.1. An effective-field theory for interacting bosons

At theoretical level, in the regime of low-momentum expansion of the interaction potential some improvements to obtain useful and analytical expressions describing ultracold bosons have been proposed. In a first attempt within the

framework of an effective field theory, the next-to-leading effects of the interactions in homogeneous three-dimensional Bose-Einstein condensates are calculated in Ref. [46]. An effective Lagrangian constrained by the symmetries of the original Lagrangian, namely, Galilean invariance, parity, and time reversal, is considered. The coefficients of this Lagrangian are determined by imposing that the effective theory reproduces the amplitude for low-energy atom-atom scattering up to errors that scale like the square of the energy. Going to the center of momentum frame, using the energy conservation and regularization prescriptions, the exact T-matrix element can be determined analytically. Thus the scattering length and the nonuniversal corrections for the s-wave scattering are defined by the expansion of the phase shift. On the other hand, in Refs. [53, 54, 55] the next-to-leading order term in the low-momentum expansion of the interaction potential is obtained based on the calculation of the energy shift due to a phase shift in the wavefunction. We stress that due to the different considerations employed, the two above approaches do not coincide with each other. In particular, in the last approximation if the nonuniversal correction goes to zero some finite contributions of the scattering length still, and we not obtain the conventional dependence on the scattering length. It is worth noting that the improvement developed in Ref. [46] has been employed in recent years to study the effects of the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions in the equation of state of bosonic gases in three dimensions [50], with results in good agreement with Monte Carlo calculations. Such a effective field theory has been also adopted in two [51] and one dimension [52]. So we consider the effective field theory approach from Ref. [46]. Our motivation lies in the fact that this procedure is directly related to the scattering theory by means of the T-matrix. Furthermore when the nonuniversal effects vanishes we recover the widely known results in the study of bosons and employed in Bose-Einstein condensation research. Additionally we can also extent the idea to lower dimensions. So we consider a formalism reasonably suitable to study an effective field theory for ultracold bosons. In order to establish the effective Lagrangian for two component bosonic gases with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions, for simplicity, we first consider one-component Bose gases. After that, we adopt the natural extension to two-component bosonic gases.

In the path-integral formalism we consider interacting and identical bosons of mass m with chemical potential μ in a d -dimensional, ($d = 3, 2, 1$), box of volume L^d with the Lagrangian density given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau), \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int d^d r' |\psi(\mathbf{r}', \tau)|^2 V(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|) |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The fields are considered at position \mathbf{r} and imaginary time τ , and $V(|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|)$ is the two-body interaction potential between bosons. Dealing with ultracold and dilute bosons, the most common scheme to taking into account interaction effects is replacing $V(r)$ (where $r \equiv |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|$), adopting an approximated zero-range Fermi pseudo-potential $V^{(0)}(r) = g^{(0)} \delta^{(d)}(r)$. The specific form of the

strength of the interaction $g^{(0)}$ depends on the dimension of the system. At the moment the most relevant aspect of this term is that it only depends on the scattering length a . An improvement of the zero-range approximation can be achieved by replacing the Fourier transform of the interaction potential $\tilde{V}(k) = \int d^d r \exp(i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) V(r)$ with the pseudo-potential $\tilde{V}(k) = g^{(0)} + g^{(2)}k^2$. This is obtained as the Taylor expansion in k around $k = 0$ of $\tilde{V}(k)$ up order two. So we have $g^{(0)} = \tilde{V}(0)$, and $g^{(2)} = (1/2)\tilde{V}''(k)|_{k=0}$. Since $k = 0$ is a minimum of the potential, the linear contribution in the Taylor expansion vanishes. By means of the inverse Fourier transform of the pseudo-potential $\tilde{V}(k)$, the pseudo-potential in real space is used into the second line of Eq. (1), and we get the following effective Lagrangian density including effective nonuniversal effects of the interactions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \psi^*(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu \right) \psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau), \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} g^{(0)} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^4 - \frac{1}{2} g^{(2)} |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 \nabla^2 |\psi(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Like $g^{(0)}$, the strength of the nonuniversal effects to the interactions $g^{(2)}$ also depends on the spatial dimension of the system and the specific form will be discussed later.

By considering the natural extension of the procedure outlined above we consider two-component interacting ultracold Bose gases. Each component is described by a complex bosonic field ψ_α ($\alpha = 1, 2$) with equal-mass m , and chemical potentials μ_α . The effective Euclidean Lagrangian density in a d -dimensional box of volume L^d is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(0)} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(2)}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} \left[\psi_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \mu_\alpha \right) \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \right], \quad (4)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1,2} g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)} |\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 |\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2, \quad (5)$$

and,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1,2} g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(2)} |\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2 \nabla^2 |\psi_\sigma(\mathbf{r}, \tau)|^2. \quad (6)$$

The specific form of the couplings will defer further discussion until addressing each dimension separately. The strength of the zero-range interactions is expressed through the intra- and interspecies coupling constants $g_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)}$ and $g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)}$, respectively. The role played by the effects beyond the zero-range approximation to the interactions is encoded in expression (6). Thus we have the coupling

constants for intra-species and inter-species considering the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions $g_{\alpha\alpha}^{(2)}$ and $g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(2)}$, respectively.

In order to obtain the ground state of the system, we calculate the grand potential $\Omega = -k_B T \log \mathcal{Z}$. In the path-integral formalism the grand canonical partition function \mathcal{Z} of the system at temperature T is written as $\mathcal{Z} = \int \mathcal{D}[\Psi, \Psi^*] \exp(-S[\Psi, \Psi^*]/\hbar)$, and it is governed by the action

$$S[\Psi, \Psi^*] = \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau \int_{L^d} d^d r \mathcal{L}[\Psi, \Psi^*], \quad (7)$$

with $\Psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$, $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$, k_B the Boltzmann constant, $d = 3, 2, 1$, and \mathcal{L} given by Eq. (3).

At zero temperature we assume the bosons condensate into the zero-momentum states. Although strictly Bose-Einstein condensation is prevented in low dimensionality, a finite-size system at sufficiently low temperature allows for a quasicondensation [56, 57]. In order to perform a perturbative expansion we set $\psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau) = \phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) + \eta_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$. Where $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \langle \psi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \rangle$ [58], corresponds to the macroscopic condensate (3d) or quasicondensate (2d, and 1d) wave-function. The fluctuations around ψ_α are given by $\eta_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ and these are orthogonal to the condensate (quasicondensate) of the same species [58, 59]. Since here the relative phases of the wave-functions do not play a role, we have $n_\alpha = |\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})|^2 = N_\alpha/L^d$, as the density of particles or condensate (quasicondensate) density in the mean-field approximation. Since $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ describes the condensate (quasicondensate), the linear terms in the fluctuations vanish such that $\phi_\alpha(\mathbf{r})$ really minimizes the action [58]. Therefore results in the mean-field approximation could be obtained by minimizing the mean-field grand potential Ω_0 with respect to ϕ , as will be seen later. Now, by expanding the action up to the second order (Gaussian) in $\eta_\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$ and $\eta_\alpha^*(\mathbf{r}, \tau)$, we arrive at the split grand potential $\Omega = \Omega_0 + \Omega_f$. Where Ω_0 is the mean-field grand potential, while Ω_f takes into account both zero- and finite-temperature fluctuations to the grand-potential.

2.2. Mean-field approximation to the grand-potential

At first instance we carry out the analysis of the mean-field contribution to the grand-potential, from which we get the following

$$\frac{\Omega_0}{L^d} = \sum_{\alpha=1,2} (-\mu_\alpha \phi_\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1,2} g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)} \phi_\alpha^2 \phi_\sigma^2), \quad (8)$$

which is not dependent on the nonuniversal effects to the interaction, given the derivative term of $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}^{(2)}$. So by minimizing Ω_0 with respect to ϕ_α , such that $\partial\Omega_0/\partial\phi_\alpha = 0$, we obtain [3], $\mu_\alpha = g_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} \phi_\alpha^2 + g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)} \phi_\sigma^2$, with $\alpha, \sigma = 1, 2$ and $\alpha \neq \sigma$. Hence the mean-field grand potential becomes

$$\frac{\Omega_0}{L^d} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{g_{\sigma\sigma}^{(0)} \mu_\alpha^2 - g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)} \mu_\alpha \mu_\sigma}{g_{\alpha\alpha}^{(0)} g_{\sigma\sigma}^{(0)} - [g_{\alpha\sigma}^{(0)}]^2} \quad (9)$$

2.3. Gaussian fluctuations to the grand-potential

The grand potential of the Gaussian fluctuations Ω_f is provided by [60]

$$\Omega_f = \frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{k>0} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} \ln \det[\mathbb{G}^{-1}(k, \omega_n)], \quad (10)$$

with the bosonic Matsubara's frequencies $\omega_n = 2\pi n/(\hbar\beta)$, and the 4×4 inverse fluctuation propagator \mathbb{G}^{-1} given as

$$\mathbb{G}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{11}^{-1} & \mathbf{G}_{12}^{-1} \\ \mathbf{G}_{12}^{-1} & \mathbf{G}_{22}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

with the symmetric 2×2 matrices

$$\mathbf{G}_{11}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\hbar\omega_n + \epsilon_k + h_{11} & (g_{11}^{(0)} + g_{11}^{(2)}k^2)\phi_1^2 \\ (g_{11}^{(0)} + g_{11}^{(2)}k^2)\phi_1^2 & i\hbar\omega_n + \epsilon_k + h_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

$h_{11} = (2g_{11}^{(0)} + g_{11}^{(2)}k^2)\phi_1^2 + g_{12}^{(0)}\phi_2^2 - \mu_1$, $\mathbf{G}_{22}^{-1} = \mathbf{G}_{11}^{-1}(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$, and

$$\mathbf{G}_{12}^{-1} = (g_{12}^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(2)}k^2)\phi_1\phi_2 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

By solving the determinant of the inverse propagator we get

$$\Omega_f = -\frac{1}{2\beta} \sum_{\substack{k>0 \\ n=-\infty}}^{+\infty} \ln [(\hbar^2\omega_n^2 + E_+^2)(\hbar^2\omega_n^2 + E_-^2)], \quad (14)$$

with the zero-point energy of collective excitations or Bogoliubov spectra given as

$$E_{\pm}(k, \mu_1, \mu_2) = [\epsilon^2(k) + \epsilon(k)f(\mu_1, \mu_2)]^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

with the free-particle energy $\epsilon(k) = \hbar^2k^2/2m$, and

$$f(\mu_1, \mu_2) = \frac{1}{\Delta_-} (\tau_+ \pm \sqrt{\tau_-^2 + 4\Lambda}), \quad (16)$$

where $\tau_+ = f_2^- \mu_1 + f_1^- \mu_2$, $\tau_- = f_2^+ \mu_1 - f_1^+ \mu_2$, $\Lambda = \hbar^2(\Delta_+ \mu_1 \mu_2 - h_2 \mu_1^2 - h_1 \mu_2^2)$, $\Delta_{\pm} = g_{11}^{(0)} g_{22}^{(0)} \pm [g_{12}^{(0)}]^2$, $h = g_{12}^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(2)}k^2$, $h_1 = g_{11}^{(0)} g_{12}^{(0)}$, $h_2 = g_{22}^{(0)} g_{12}^{(0)}$, $f_1^{\pm} = g_{11}^{(0)}(g_{22}^{(0)} + g_{22}^{(2)}k^2) \pm g_{12}^{(0)}(g_{11}^{(0)} + g_{11}^{(2)}k^2)$, $f_2^{\pm} = f_1^{\pm}(1 \leftrightarrow 2)$. The sum over the bosonic Matsubara's frequencies given by Eq. (14) can be read as $\Omega_f = \Omega_g + \Omega_g^{(T)}$ [60, 61], such that

$$\Omega_g = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k, \pm} E_{\pm}(k), \quad (17)$$

represents the Gaussian fluctuations at zero temperature, and,

$$\Omega_g^{(T)} = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k,\pm} \ln(1 - e^{-\beta E_{\pm}(k)}), \quad (18)$$

considers the thermal Gaussian fluctuations. For now we will leave this last contribution aside and we focus on results at zero temperature. However, we will return to thermal effects up later. In the continuum limit $\sum_k \rightarrow L^d \int d^d k / (2\pi)^d$, the contribution of the zero-temperature Gaussian fluctuations to the ground-state can be read as

$$\frac{\Omega_g}{L^d} = \frac{S_d}{2} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} k^{d-1} E_{\pm}, \quad (19)$$

with $S_d = 2\pi^{d/2}/\Gamma(d/2)$ the solid angle in d dimensions and $\Gamma(x)$ the Euler gamma function. It is worth mentioning that although this integral is ultraviolet divergent at $d = 3, 2, 1$, it is possible to obtain finite results avoiding this issue by means of regularization methods [60, 62, 63].

2.4. Equal coupling constants considerations

In order to obtain closed analytical expressions useful simplifications take place when the intra-species and the nonuniversal coupling constants are equal, such that, $g_{11}^{(0)} = g_{22}^{(0)} = g^{(0)}$ and, $g_{11}^{(2)} = g_{22}^{(2)} = g^{(2)}$. In addition we take the same density in different species $n_1 = n_2 = n/2$. Given these considerations, it is natural to take the same chemical potential, $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu$. From these simplifications we get the following mean-field grand potential

$$\frac{\Omega_0}{L^d} = -\frac{\mu^2}{g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}}, \quad (20)$$

and the respective Bogoliubov spectra

$$E_+^2 = (1 + \delta_+ \mu) \left(\frac{2\mu}{1 + \delta_+ \mu} + \epsilon_k \right) \epsilon_k, \quad (21)$$

$$E_-^2 = (1 + \delta_- \mu) \left(\frac{2\lambda\mu}{1 + \delta_- \mu} + \epsilon_k \right) \epsilon_k, \quad (22)$$

with

$$\delta_{\pm} = \frac{4m}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{g^{(2)} \pm g_{12}^{(2)}}{g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}} \right), \quad \lambda = \left(\frac{g^{(0)} - g_{12}^{(0)}}{g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}} \right). \quad (23)$$

3. Three-dimensional model

It is well known from scattering theory that in three spatial dimensions the s-wave scattering length a and the s-wave effective range of interaction r are the coefficients of the following expansion of the phase shift $\delta(k)$ [64, 65]

$$k \cot[\delta(k)] = -\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2}rk^2 + O(k^4) + \dots, \quad (24)$$

where k^2 is the energy in units of $\hbar^2/2m$, and m is the reduced mass. In obtaining the couplings constants in Eq. (3), we briefly describe some general aspects related to their calculation in a recent perspective [66]. By adopting an on-shell approximation for the T-matrix equation, analytical expressions connecting the Fourier transform of the interaction potential to the s-wave phase shift are obtained. In particular, explicit forms of the low-momentum parameters in terms of the s-wave scattering length and the effective-range of the interactions are calculated. So the matrix element of the transition operator of scattering theory is decomposed into partial waves. The orthogonality of Legendre functions, and the uniqueness of the representation in partial waves are used. Likewise, it is chosen the term with $l = 0$ (with l the degree of Legendre polynomials) i.e. it is selected the s-wave term. Finally, the on-shell approximation is performed [65]. As a consequence, the matrix element or s-wave transition element takes the form

$$T_{l=0}(k) = V_{l=0}(k) + V_{l=0}(k)C(k)T_{l=0}(k), \quad (25)$$

with the Fourier transform of the spherically-symmetric interaction potential $V(k)$ and, the dimensional dependent constant $C(k)$. In 3d case we have

$$C(k) = -ik \frac{m}{4\pi\hbar^2}. \quad (26)$$

Moreover, the scattering theory shows that $T_{l=0}(k)$ is written in terms of the s-wave scattering amplitude $f_{l=0}(k)$ as follows

$$T_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} f_{l=0}(k). \quad (27)$$

In turn the s-wave scattering amplitude $f_{l=0}(k)$ is related to the s-wave phase shift $\delta(k)$ by means of

$$f_{l=0}(k) = \frac{1}{k[\cot[\delta(k)] - i]}. \quad (28)$$

Thus, from Eqs. (25)-(28) we get,

$$V_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{\tan[\delta(k)]}{k}. \quad (29)$$

So by using the expansion given by Eq. (24) into Eq. (29), and taking into account the Taylor expansion of $V_{l=0}(k)$ with respect to k , such that, $V_{l=0}(k) =$

$g^{(0)} + g^{(2)}k^2 + \dots$, we obtain the following interacting $3d$ Bose-Bose intra-species (inter-species) coupling constants

$$g^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m}a, \quad g_{12}^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m}a_{12}, \quad (30)$$

and

$$g^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m}a^2r, \quad g_{12}^{(2)} = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m}a_{12}^2r_{12}. \quad (31)$$

Expressions in Eq. (30) are well known for dilute and ultracold atomic gases. This kind of couplings arise when it is worthwhile replacing the two-body interaction potential between atoms with a pseudo-potential. The most usual, and simple, scheme consists in using the zero-range Fermi pseudo-potential. Nevertheless, an improvement of the previous contact or zero-range approximation can be achieved by replacing the interaction potential with a pseudo-potential [46, 53]. In such a case it is possible to obtain the expressions in Eq. (31).

It is remarkable to mention that applicability of expansion (24) holds under the condition $r \ll a$. In addition a physically reasonable assumption to an expansion for $r \gg a$ is given by [67]

$$\frac{1}{k} \tan[\delta(k)] = -a + \frac{1}{6}r^3k^2 + \dots, \quad (32)$$

which gives a good description of low-energy scattering processes. Instead Eq. (27) leads to the new nonuniversal couplings

$$g^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{3m}r^3, \quad g_{12}^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{3m}r_{12}^3, \quad (33)$$

The coupling constants for zero-range interactions keep as in Eq. (30).

From the symmetric grand-potential in Eq. (20) and using the coupling for zero-range interactions in Eq. (30), the energy per particle in the mean-field approximation can be read as

$$\frac{E_0}{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{a_{12}}{a}\right) g^{(0)} n. \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, from Eq. (19), with $d = 3$, and performing dimensional regularization we obtain the grand-potential [3, 60]

$$\frac{\Omega_g}{L^3} = \frac{8}{15\pi^2} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\mu^{5/2}}{(1 + \delta_+\mu)^2} + \frac{8}{15\pi^2} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{3/2} \frac{\lambda^{5/2}\mu^{5/2}}{(1 + \delta_-\mu)^2}. \quad (35)$$

From this grand potential we also obtain the Lee-Huang-Yang-like (LHY-like) correction to the energy per particle. Therefore the total energy per particle at zero-temperature of $3d$ Bose-Bose mixtures including nonuniversal corrections

to the interactions can be read as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_{NUC}}{N} &= (a + a_{12}) \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m} n \\ &+ \frac{32\sqrt{2\pi}}{15} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{(a + a_{12})^{5/2} n^{3/2}}{\left[1 - \frac{4}{3}\pi(r^3 + r_{12}^3)n\right]^2} \\ &+ \frac{32\sqrt{2\pi}}{15} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{(a - a_{12})^{5/2} n^{3/2}}{\left[1 - \frac{4}{3}\pi(r^3 - r_{12}^3)n\right]^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

we have choose the couplings given in Eq. (33). Instead for couplings in Eq. (31) we can use

$$r^3 \pm r_{12}^3 \rightarrow -3(a^2 r \pm a_{12}^2 r_{12}). \quad (37)$$

In absence of the nonuniversal effects of the interactions we recover the result obtained in Refs. [1], and [3], such that

$$\frac{E}{N} = (a + a_{12}) \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m} n + \frac{32\sqrt{2\pi}}{15} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} [(a + a_{12})^{5/2} + (a - a_{12})^{5/2}] n^{3/2}. \quad (38)$$

In presence or in absence of the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions we can see that for attractive inter-species interactions ($a_{12} < 0$) slightly larger than repulsive intra-species interactions ($a > 0$), such that, $|a_{12}|/|a| \sim -1^-$, the energy per particle becomes complex, rendering the mixture unstable [1]. However, if we consider the approximation $-a_{12} = a$ in the fluctuations only, as it was done by Petrov in Ref. [1], the energy per particle keeps real, and Eq. (38) reduces to the free droplet phase with energy per particle

$$\frac{E_D}{N} = -|a - a_{12}| \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m} n + \frac{256\sqrt{\pi}}{15} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} a^{5/2} n^{3/2}. \quad (39)$$

It is worth mentioning that the term $(a + a_{12})^{5/2} + (a - a_{12})^{5/2}$ in the fluctuations contribution of Eq. (38) is symmetric under $a_{12} \rightarrow -a_{12}$. Therefore the same droplet can arise in another equivalent situation where $a_{12} = a$ in the fluctuations only [1, 42]. So providing that $a_{12} = a$ or $-a_{12} = a$ just in the Gaussian corrections to the total energy per particle in Eq. (36), the system exhibits a liquidlike phase where the $3d$ droplet with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions (DNUC) emerges with energy per particle

$$\frac{E_{DNUC}^\pm}{N} = -|a - a_{12}| \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{m} n + \frac{256\sqrt{\pi}}{15} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} \frac{a^{5/2} n^{3/2}}{\left[1 - \frac{4}{3}\pi(r^3 \pm r_{12}^3)n\right]^2}. \quad (40)$$

We use the couplings in Eq. (33) considering that in absence of the fluctuations the attractive effect of the interactions, such that $-a_{12} > a$, causes the condensate to collapse therefore the strong attraction lead us to consider the nonuniversal corrections to the attractive interactions such that $r_{12} \gg a_{12}$, as a relevant contribution. However we must keep in mind that although attraction




Figure 1: Three-dimensional energy per particle of Bose-Bose gases with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as function of the density. We consider attractive inter-species interactions such that $a_{12} = -1.05a$. We use $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit, and we set $\gamma = r/a = 6$, and $\gamma_{12} = r_{12}/a = 9$. The energy per particle of droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions E_{DNUC}^-/N is given by Eq. (40) (solid black line). The real contribution of Eq. (36) is $\mathcal{R}\{E_{NUC}/N\}$ with $a < -a_{12}$, (blue triangles). We also include the usual droplet given in Eq. (39), E_D/N , (red circles). Real contribution of Eq. (38), $\mathcal{R}\{E/N\}$, (green diamonds), is also included.

is greater than repulsion, the repulsion is very close to the attraction and in turn such a repulsion encoded in a controls the fluctuations. In this sense, and in order to have a more complete overview of the effects of the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions we also include the nonuniversal correction to the repulsion such that $r \gg a$. Nevertheless neglecting the nonuniversal corrections to the repulsive interactions, and using the nonuniversal contribution of the attractive interactions as a fitting parameter we obtain a good agreement between our theoretical predictions and some diffusion Monte Carlo calculations (DMC) [42], as we will see later. Experimental values of the s-wave scattering length and the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as a function of the external magnetic field in ultracold ^{39}K atoms show that it is possible to satisfy the condition $r \gg a$ [43, 68].

In Fig. 1 we plot the energy per particle given by Eqs. (36), and (38)-(40). We consider $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit, and we define $\gamma = r/a$, and $\gamma_{12} = r_{12}/a$. The energies are considered for an experimentally relevant density in the conventional droplet regime, $na^3 \sim 10^{-5}$ [22, 23]. We choose the negative sign for the DNUC in Eq. (40). We set $a < -a_{12}$ in the fluctuations of Eq. (36), and $\mathcal{R}\{E_{NUC}/N\}$ corresponds to real part of (36). As a physically reasonable assumption we neglect the imaginary term providing $\mathcal{I}\{E_{NUC}/N\} \ll \mathcal{R}\{E_{NUC}/N\}$. In a similar way we have $\mathcal{R}\{E/N\}$ using Eq. (38). At very low densities $n \lesssim 5 \times 10^{-6} a^{-3}$ the nonuniversal contribution to




Figure 2: Three-dimensional energy per particle of Bose-Bose gases with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as function of the density. The energy per particle of DNUC, E_{DNUC}^-/N is given by Eq. (40) (solid black line). The usual droplet given in Eq. (39), E_D/N , (dashed blue line). The diffusion Monte Carlo (DMC) results are given from [42] (red dots). We use $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit. (a) We set the attractive inter-species interactions such that $a_{12} = -1.05a$. The nonuniversal corrections for the repulsion and for the attraction are $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma_{12} = 7.1$, respectively. (b) we consider $a_{12} = -1.2a$, $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma_{12} = 3.05$.

the energy in Eqs. (36) and (40) could be neglected, reproducing the energies in Eqs. (38) and (39) respectively. In turn the energies in Eqs. (38) and (39) produce almost the same result, as it is expected. However, for values greater than this density we can see a clear difference between models predictions. For both the DNUC and the real contribution of the energy per particle including the nonuniversal effects of the interactions the density of particles is greater than their counterparts without the enhanced nonuniversal effects. This behavior can be understood in the following way, if $\gamma_{12}^3 - \gamma^3 > 0$ the nonuniversal correction to the attraction exceeds that of repulsion. Thus the effective contribution given by the nonuniversal effects to the interactions allows an increasing in the attraction which in turn allows that equilibrium density increases. For large values of $\gamma_{12}^3 - \gamma^3 > 0$ inelastic collisions decreases the number of atoms that can be accommodated in a self-bound state and we no longer find a minimum in the energy per particle. The DNUC undergoes instability and it disappears. There is not a stable self-bound state. Otherwise, when the nonuniversal correction to the repulsion exceeds that of attraction, holding the condition $\gamma_{12}^3 - \gamma^3 < 0$, the total effective repulsion causes decreasing in the number of atoms forming the DNUC. So the equilibrium density and the associated energy are lower than their counterparts without the nonuniversal effects. Using the couplings of Eq. (31) we have $\gamma, \gamma_{12} \ll 1$ and their effects on the energies per particle of Eqs. (36) and (40) are not perceptible. It is remarkable that the behavior presented in Fig. 1 is similar to one obtained for usual droplets in numerical calculations [41, 42, 43].

In Fig. 2 we compare the energy per particle of DNUC, given by Eq. (40) with some DMC results given in [42]. We consider two different attractive




Figure 3: Phase diagram and energy per particle for DNUC as function of the nonuniversal effects of the attractive interactions γ_{12} . The red dots are obtained from Eq. (40) as the minimum density or minimum energy per particle for a stable DNUC formation. The nonuniversal correction to the repulsion is fixed as $\gamma = 0$. We use $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit. (a)-(b) we set the attractive inter-species interactions such that $a_{12} = -1.05a$. (c)-(d) we consider $a_{12} = -1.2a$. The dashed blue line represents the threshold value for γ_{12} .

inter-species interactions such that $a_{12} = -1.05a$, and $a_{12} = -1.2a$. Taking into account that the attraction is leading we set the nonuniversal effects to the repulsive interactions as $\gamma = 0$. The nonuniversal effects to the attractive interactions are chosen as fitting parameters with $\gamma_{12} = 7.1$ and $\gamma_{12} = 3.05$. We also include the energy per particle for the usual droplet given in Eq. (39). In particular the minimum energy n_0 predicted by Eq. (39) is ~ 1.198 , and by means of Eq. (40) we found ~ 1.476 , so we have $n_{0,DNUC} \sim 1.232n_{0,D}$. We can also see that if $a \sim 100\text{\AA}$ we obtain densities with experimental relevance. Thus $n \sim 10^{13}\text{atoms/cm}^3$ and $n \sim 10^{14}\text{atoms/cm}^3$ in Fig. 2(a) and Fig. 2(b) respectively.

By using Eq. (40) we plot the phase diagram and energy per particle for DNUC as function of the nonuniversal effects of the attractive interactions γ_{12} in Fig. 3. The nonuniversal correction to the repulsion is fixed as $\gamma = 0$. We use $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit. In Fig. 3(a)-(b) we set the attractive inter-species interactions such that $a_{12} = -1.05a$. In Fig. 3(c)-(d) we consider $a_{12} = -1.2a$. The blue line represents the threshold values of γ_{12} with $\gamma_{12} \sim 10.6$ and $\gamma_{12} \sim 4.19$, for $a_{12} = -1.05a$, and $a_{12} = -1.2a$, respectively. In Fig. 3 we show how increasing the nonuniversal correction to the attractions, the density increases, the DNUC is more attractive and eventually this becomes unstable, as it was explained above. We can also see how the threshold for the instability




Figure 4: Phase diagram and energy per particle for DNUC as function of the dimensionless attractive scattering length a_{12}/a . The red dots are obtained from Eq. (40) as the minimum density or minimum energy per particle for a stable DNUC formation. The nonuniversal correction for the repulsion is fixed as $\gamma = 0$. We use $\hbar^2/(2ma^2)$ as the energy unit. We set the nonuniversal correction to the attractions as $\gamma_{12} = 3.05$. The dashed blue line represents the threshold value for a_{12}/a .

is smaller as the attractive scattering length increases, as it is expected. This can be understood due to the fact that the leading contribution is attractive. In Fig. 4 we also plot the phase diagram and energy per particle for DNUC as function of the dimensionless attractive scattering length a_{12}/a with fixed values of γ and γ_{12} . This behavior is equivalent to that presented in the Fig. 3 and it is an obvious consequence of the DNUC instability when the leading contribution, the attraction, increases. In other words for values greater than $a_{12}/a \sim -1.3217$ the DNUC collapses.

Now, we present an improved 3d Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE) to describe the phase of droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions in free space

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = & \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 - \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m} |a_{12} - a| |\phi|^2 + \frac{\pi\hbar^2}{3m} (r^3 + r_{12}^3) \nabla^2 |\phi|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{128\sqrt{\pi}}{3} \frac{\hbar^2}{m} a |\phi|^2 \sqrt{|\phi|^2 a^3} F^\pm(r, r_{12}, |\phi|^2) \right] \phi, \quad (41)
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$F^\pm(r, r_{12}, |\phi|^2) = \frac{1 - \frac{4\pi}{15} (r^3 \pm r_{12}^3) |\phi|^2}{\left[1 - \frac{4\pi}{3} (r^3 \pm r_{12}^3) |\phi|^2 \right]^3}. \quad (42)$$

The first two terms correspond to the usual attractive mean-field approximation in Bose-Bose gases. The third term describes the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions at level of mean-field approximation. The last contribution takes into account improved fluctuation effects including the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions where it was used $a = \pm a_{12}$. By increasing the density could be possible to find an instability associated to F^\pm , for both $a \gg r$ and

$a \ll r$. For $a \ll r$ the instability is present for $|\phi|^2 = 3/[4\pi(r^3 \pm r_{12}^3)]$, with $r > r_{12}$ choosing the negative sign. For $a \gg r$ the DNUC becomes unstable for $|\phi|^2 = 1/[4\pi(a_{12}^2 r_{12} - a^2 r)]$, with $a_{12}^2 r_{12} > a^2 r$. Since $r^3 + r_{12}^3 > 0$, the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions are repulsive at mean-field level. At first glance, this would allow controlling the number of atoms into the self-bound liquidlike state. Increasing the strength of the repulsion beyond a critical value the atoms could be spreading out decreasing on the number of atoms necessary to obtain a stable DNUC. This could be helpful for fitting with experimental or numerical evidence. It is also remarkable and interesting to consider only the mean-field approximation, without fluctuations. The attraction-repulsion balance could allow a few atoms to be accommodated into a stable bound-state formation, but not including Gaussian corrections. Although the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions are not leading, these could in principle play a similar role as the LHY contribution in a conventional droplet. In a different scenario this equation could be useful to study how solitons and vortices are affected by such a nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. Finally, taking into account the improved GPE with the change given by Eq. (37) it could be interesting even in a context other than droplets.

3.1. Three-dimensional finite-temperature effects

We briefly describe some aspects regarding finite-temperature corrections to the equation of state of $3d$ Bose-Bose mixtures with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. In the continuum limit the $3d$ one-loop correction to the grand potential in Eq. (18) is written as [3],

$$\frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L^3} = -\frac{1}{6\pi^2} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^{\infty} dE_{\pm}(k_{\pm}) \frac{k_{\pm}^3}{e^{\beta E_{\pm}(k)} - 1}, \quad (43)$$

and Bogoliubov spectra in Eq. (21) lead to

$$k_+^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{\mu}{1 + \alpha_+ \mu} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha_+ \mu)}{\mu^2} E_+^2} - 1 \right), \quad (44)$$

and

$$k_-^2 = \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \frac{\lambda \mu}{1 + \alpha_- \mu} \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha_- \mu)}{\lambda^2 \mu^2} E_-^2} - 1 \right), \quad (45)$$

with λ given in Eq. (23). Now, after introducing the variables $x_{\pm} = \beta E_{\pm}$, and expanding k_{\pm} at low temperature $k_B T \ll |\mu|$ [69, 70], we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L^3} &= -\frac{\pi^2}{90} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{(k_B T)^4}{\mu^{3/2}} \left[1 - \frac{5\pi^2}{7} \frac{(1 + \alpha_+ \mu)}{\mu^2} (k_B T)^2 \right] \\ &- \frac{\pi^2}{90} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{3/2} \frac{(k_B T)^4}{\lambda^{3/2} \mu^{3/2}} \left[1 - \frac{5\pi^2}{7} \frac{(1 + \alpha_- \mu)}{\lambda^2 \mu^2} (k_B T)^2 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

From which it follows the thermal energy per particle

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_g^{(T)}}{N} &= \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{240} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^3 \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(a + a_{12})^{3/2} n^{5/2}} (1 + h_{NUC}^+) \\ &+ \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{240} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^3 \frac{(k_B T)^4}{(a - a_{12})^{3/2} n^{5/2}} (1 + h_{NUC}^-), \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

with

$$h_{NUC}^\pm = -\frac{5}{84} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^2 \frac{(k_B T)^2}{(a \pm a_{12})^2 n^2} \left[3 - \frac{20\pi}{3} (r^3 \pm r_{12}^3) n\right], \quad (48)$$

where we used the coupling constants from expression (33). It is remarkable that unlike the zero-temperature corrections the above thermal improvement is divergent provided $a = \pm a_{12}$ and the mixture undergoes a thermal instability. In a similar way for attractive interspecies interactions lightly larger than repulsive intra-species interaction, Eq. (47) becomes complex for both DNUC and usual droplets ($r = r_{12} = 0$). However, compared to corrections in Eq. (36), in this case the imaginary contribution is leading. Therefore both the DNUC and the usual droplets are thermal-induced unstables. We also highlight that the nonuniversal corrections appear only up at order T^6 and, hence at very low temperatures these could be neglected.

4. Two-dimensional model

We focus on two-dimensional Bose-Bose gases. Two-dimensional scattering theory indicates that the expansion of the phase shift $\delta(k)$, can be read as [71]

$$\cot[\delta(k)] = \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{k}{2} a e^\gamma\right) + \frac{1}{2} r k^2 + \dots, \quad (49)$$

with the s-wave scattering length a , the s-wave effective range of interaction r , the condition $a \gg r$, and $\gamma = 0.5572\dots$ the Euler-Mascheroni constant. The $2d$ coefficient $C(k)$ in the s-wave transition element given in Eq. (25) is written as [66]

$$C(k) = \frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2} \ln\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{\epsilon_c}}\right) - \frac{m}{4\hbar^2} i, \quad (50)$$

with the low-energy cutoff $\epsilon_c = \hbar^2 k^2/m$. In $2d$ scattering theory the s-wave phase shift $\delta(k)$ and the s-wave transition element $T_{l=0}(k)$ are related by means of [72]

$$T_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\cot[\delta(k)] - i}. \quad (51)$$

So, inserting Eq. (50) into Eq. (25), and comparing with (51) we get,

$$V_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\cot[\delta(k)] - \frac{2}{\pi} \ln\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{\epsilon_c}}\right)}. \quad (52)$$

Inserting Eq. (49) into Eq. (52) and taking into account the Taylor expansion at low momentum of $V_{l=0}(k)$ where $V_{l=0}(k) = g^{(0)} + g^{(2)}k^2 + \dots$, we can obtain the $2d$ intra-species interacting coupling constants

$$g^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{4e^{-2\gamma}}{a^2\epsilon_c}\right)}, \quad (53)$$

and

$$g^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2}{m} \frac{r^2}{\ln^2\left(\frac{4e^{-2\gamma}}{a^2\epsilon_c}\right)}. \quad (54)$$

In a similar way the inter-species coupling constants are

$$g_{12}^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{4e^{-2\gamma}}{a_{12}^2\epsilon_c}\right)}, \quad (55)$$

and,

$$g_{12}^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi^2\hbar^2}{m} \frac{r_{12}^2}{\ln^2\left(\frac{4e^{-2\gamma}}{a_{12}^2\epsilon_c}\right)}. \quad (56)$$

From symmetric grand-potential in Eq. (20) and the coupling constants of zero-range interactions in Eqs. (53) and (55), the $2d$ mean-field energy per particle is given by

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{g_{12}^{(0)}}{g^{(0)}}\right) g^{(0)} n. \quad (57)$$

In Eq. (19) with $d = 2$ and performing dimensional regularization in the modified minimal subtraction scheme $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme [7], lead us to the following grand-potential including nonuniversal corrections to the interactions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega_g}{L^2} &= -\frac{m}{8\pi\hbar^2} \frac{\mu^2}{(1 + \delta_+\mu)^{3/2}} \ln \left[\frac{\epsilon_c}{\sqrt{e}} \frac{(1 + \delta_+\mu)}{\mu} \right] \\ &- \frac{m}{8\pi\hbar^2} \frac{\lambda^2\mu^2}{(1 + \delta_-\mu)^{3/2}} \ln \left[\frac{\epsilon_c}{\sqrt{e}} \frac{(1 + \delta_-\mu)}{\lambda\mu} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

The $2d$ energy per particle of Bose-Bose mixtures could be obtained through the conventional thermodynamic relations in the grand-canonical ensemble. However we are interested and we will focus on the case of weakly attractive inter- and weakly repulsive intra-species interactions, where $a_{12}^{-1} \ll \sqrt{n} \ll a^{-1}$ [2, 7]. For simplicity, we set $m = \hbar = 1$. In order to obtain the energy per particle in this regime we introduce a new energy cutoff $\tilde{\epsilon}_c$, such that, $\tilde{\epsilon}_c = 4e^{-2\gamma}/(aa_{12})$ [2, 7]. Provided that $\tilde{\epsilon}_c/\epsilon_c$ is not exponentially large we can use $\epsilon_c \rightarrow \tilde{\epsilon}_c$ as a good assumption from which we get the following coupling constants

$$g^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi}{\ln(a_{12}/a)}, \quad g_{12}^{(0)} = \frac{4\pi}{\ln(a/a_{12})}, \quad (59)$$

where $g^{(0)} = -g_{12}^{(0)}$. In a similar way, the coupling constants with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions are

$$g^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi^2 r^2}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}, \quad g_{12}^{(2)} = -\frac{2\pi^2 r_{12}^2}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}, \quad (60)$$

where $g_{12}^{(2)}/g^{(2)} = (r_{12}/r)^2$. So we get the energy per particle of $2d$ DNUC as

$$\frac{E_{DNUC}}{N} = \frac{1}{8\pi} \frac{[g^{(0)}]^2 n}{[1 + 2g^{(2)}[1 - (\frac{r_{12}}{r})^2]n]^{3/2}} \ln \left[\frac{e^{2\gamma+1/2}}{4} \frac{aa_{12}g^{(0)}n}{1 + 2g^{(2)}[1 - (\frac{r_{12}}{r})^2]n} \right]. \quad (61)$$

In absence of the nonuniversal effects to the interactions or in the symmetric case $r = r_{12}$, the energy per particle in Eq. 61 describes the conventional $2d$ droplet phase [2], where

$$\frac{E}{N} = \frac{2\pi n}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)} \ln \left(\frac{n}{en_0} \right), \quad (62)$$

with n_0 the equilibrium density

$$n_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-2\gamma-3/2} \frac{\ln(a_{12}/a)}{aa_{12}}. \quad (63)$$

From Eqs. 62 and 63 we can write Eq. 61 as

$$\frac{E_{DNUC}}{N} = \frac{2\pi n}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)} \frac{1}{h_{NUC}^{3/2}} \ln \left(\frac{n}{en_0} \frac{1}{h_{NUC}} \right), \quad (64)$$

with $h_{NUC} = 1 + f_{NUC}|\phi|^2$, and $f_{NUC} = 2g^{(2)}[1 - (r_{12}/r)^2]$.

In Fig. 5 we plot the energy per particle from Eq. (64) as density function. We set the values $g^{(0)} = 0.628$, $aa_{12} = 0.126$ and $\ln(a_{12}/a) = 20$. We define $\Delta \equiv 1 - (r_{12}/r)^2$, and we consider different values and change of sign for this parameter. The case $\Delta = 0$ corresponds to results in absence of nonuniversal corrections to the interactions obtained in Ref. [2]. For $\Delta < 0$ the nonuniversal correction to the attraction exceeds that of repulsion. However the equilibrium density is only slightly larger than in absence of nonuniversal effects to the interactions. If nonuniversal correction to the repulsion exceeds that of attraction, it holds the condition $\Delta > 0$, and the equilibrium density is only slightly lower than in absence of nonuniversal effects of the interactions. A possible explanation for not observing significant changes could be associated with the use of coupling constants. In such a case we consider $a \gg r$, but taking into account the perturbative nature of the self-bound liquidlike phase could be suitable consider coupling constants associated to $a \ll r$.

Now, we introduce the improved $2d$ GPE to describe free DNUC

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} &= \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{2\pi^2}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}(r^2 + r_{12}^2)\nabla^2|\phi|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4\pi}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}\frac{|\phi|^2}{h_{NUC}^{5/2}} \left[\left(1 + \frac{1}{4}f_{NUC}|\phi|^2\right) \ln \left(\frac{|\phi|^2}{en_0} \frac{1}{h_{NUC}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \right] \phi, \quad (65) \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5: Two-dimensional energy per particle of droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as function of the density, Eq. (64). We fix $g^{(0)} = 0.628$, $aa_{12} = 0.126$ and $\ln(a_{12}/a) = 20$, and we define $\Delta \equiv 1 - (r_{12}/r)^2$. We include $\Delta = -0.27$ (green circles), $\Delta = -0.11$ (blue squares), $\Delta = 0.18$ (orange triangles) and $\Delta = 0.62$ (red diamonds). $\Delta = 0$ (solid black line) corresponds to absence of nonuniversal improvements to the interactions given in Ref. [2].

where n_0 is given in Eq. (63). The first line corresponds to the mean-field approximation. The second line corresponds to the fluctuations including the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. At first glance, given Eq. (59), the coupling of the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions provides a repulsive contribution at the mean-field level in Eq. (65). However, the dynamics of this $2d$ improved GPE is much more complex. We are including the dependence on the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions to the already known logarithmic dependence. In particular, under the physically reasonable assumption which nonuniversal contributions to the interactions are neglected in the fluctuation sector a simplified version of the previous $2d$ improved GPE is read as

$$i\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + \frac{2\pi^2}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}(r^2 + r_{12}^2)\nabla^2|\phi|^2 + \frac{4\pi}{\ln^2(a_{12}/a)}|\phi|^2 \ln\left(\frac{|\phi|^2}{\sqrt{en_0}}\right) \right] \phi, \quad (66)$$

This improved GPE exhibits a competition between subleading nonuniversal corrections to the interactions at mean-field level and Gaussian fluctuations associated to the zero-range approximation in the interaction potential. By means of this GPE it is possible to study how conventional $2d$ droplets and vortex droplets [73] are affected by the nonuniversal corrections to the interatomic interactions.

4.1. Two-dimensional finite-temperature effects

In the continuum limit the 2d one-loop correction to the grand potential in Eq. (18), is written as

$$\frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L^2} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^{\infty} dE_{\pm}(k_{\pm}) \frac{k_{\pm}^2}{e^{\beta E_{\pm}(k)} - 1}, \quad (67)$$

with k_{\pm}^2 given by Eqs. (44) and (45). Now, after introducing the variables $x_{\pm} = \beta E_{\pm}$, and expanding k_{\pm} at low temperature $k_B T \ll |\mu|$ [69, 70], it follows the 2d grand-canonical potential

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L^2} &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \Gamma(3) \zeta(3) \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right) \frac{(k_B T)^3}{\mu} \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(5) \zeta(5)}{4\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)} \frac{(1 + \alpha_+ \mu)}{\mu^2} (k_B T)^2\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4\pi} \Gamma(3) \zeta(3) \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right) \frac{(k_B T)^3}{\lambda \mu} \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(5) \zeta(5)}{4\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)} \frac{(1 + \alpha_- \mu)}{\lambda^2 \mu^2} (k_B T)^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

with the Euler's gamma function $\Gamma(x)$ and the Riemann's zeta function $\zeta(x)$. Thus we get the respective thermal energy per particle as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_g^{(T)}}{N} &= \frac{\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)}{2\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right) \frac{(k_B T)^3}{[g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}] n^2} \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(5) \zeta(5)}{\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{[g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}]^2 n^2} h_{NUC}^+\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)}{2\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right) \frac{(k_B T)^3}{[g^{(0)} - g_{12}^{(0)}] n^2} \left[1 - \frac{\Gamma(5) \zeta(5)}{\Gamma(3) \zeta(3)} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{[g^{(0)} - g_{12}^{(0)}]^2 n^2} h_{NUC}^-\right], \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

with

$$h_{NUC}^{\pm} = 1 + \frac{4m}{\hbar^2} [g^{(2)} \pm g_{12}^{(2)}] n. \quad (70)$$

Like in the 3d case, the above thermal improvements are divergent provided $a = \pm a_{12}$ and the Bose-Bose mixture exhibits a thermal instability. For attractive inter-species interactions lightly larger than repulsive intra-species interaction, the energy per particle becomes complex with leading imaginary contribution. Therefore both the 2d DNUC and the usual 2d droplets undergo thermal-induced instability. We also highlight that the effective nonuniversal corrections to the interactions appear only up at order T^5 and, hence at very low temperatures these could be neglected.

5. One-dimensional model

In one-dimensional scattering theory the expansion of the phase shift $\delta(k)$ is given by [74, 75]

$$k \tan[\delta(k)] = \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2} r k^2 + \dots, \quad (71)$$

with the s-wave scattering length a , the s-wave effective range of interaction r , and the condition $a \gg r$. The 1d coefficient $C(k)$ in the s-wave transition element given in Eq. (25) is written as [66]

$$C(k) = -\frac{i}{k} \frac{m}{2\hbar^2}. \quad (72)$$

In one-dimensional scattering theory the s-wave phase shift $\delta(k)$ and the s-wave transition element $T_{l=0}(k)$ are related by means of [74, 75]

$$T_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{m} \frac{1}{\cot[\delta(k)] - i}. \quad (73)$$

So, inserting Eq. (72) into Eq. (25), and comparing with (73) we get,

$$V_{l=0}(k) = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{m} k \tan[\delta(k)]. \quad (74)$$

Inserting Eq. (71) into Eq. (74) and taking into account the Taylor expansion at low momentum of $V_{l=0}(k)$ where $V_{l=0}(k) = g^{(0)} + g^{(2)}k^2 + \dots$, we can obtain the 1d intra-species interacting coupling constants

$$g^{(0)} = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{ma}, \quad g^{(2)} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}r. \quad (75)$$

In a similar way the inter-species coupling constants are

$$g_{12}^{(0)} = -\frac{2\hbar^2}{ma_{12}}, \quad g_{12}^{(2)} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{m}r_{12}. \quad (76)$$

From the mean-field grand-potential in Eq. (20) and using the coupling constants in the zero-range approximation to the interactions in Eqs. (75) and (76), the energy per particle is given as

$$\frac{E_0}{N} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 + \frac{a}{a_{12}}\right) g^{(0)} n. \quad (77)$$

From Eq. (19) with $d = 1$, and performing dimensional regularization, the grand-potential is given by [3, 60]

$$\frac{\Omega_g}{L} = -\frac{2}{3\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\mu^{3/2}}{(1 + \delta_+ \mu)^{1/2}} - \frac{2}{3\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\lambda^{3/2} \mu^{3/2}}{(1 + \delta_- \mu)^{1/2}}. \quad (78)$$

So the 1d total energy per particle including the effects of the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions can be read as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E_{FR}}{N} &= \frac{1}{4} (g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}) n \\ &- \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{[g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}]^{3/2}}{\left[1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (g^{(2)} + g_{12}^{(2)}) n\right]^{3/2}} n^{1/2} \\ &- \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{[g^{(0)} - g_{12}^{(0)}]^{3/2}}{\left[1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} (g^{(2)} - g_{12}^{(2)}) n\right]^{3/2}} n^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

As is known the stable formation of a droplet requires a subtle equilibrium between attraction and repulsion [1, 2]. In Eq. (79) we can see that such a balance is achieved providing $g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)} > 0$ and $g^{(0)} > g_{12}^{(0)}$, and the liquidlike phase remains stable throughout. The first condition indicates that the mean-field energy per particle is repulsive and stable. The LHY-like corrections including the nonuniversal effects of the interactions are negative and these provide the necessary attractive contribution. Moreover due to the second condition, the LHY-like correction does not undergo from the issue of imaginary contributions, unlike the $3d$ model. Therefore we can use the full expression for the energy per particle without any approach that requires removal any of terms. Taking into account the above two mentioned conditions for a mixture with $g^{(0)} > 0$ and $g_{12}^{(0)} > 0$ the leading contribution is very repulsive and the attractive subleading contribution is not enough to allow the accommodation of a large number of atoms. Therefore predicted energies per particle are around two orders of magnitude smaller than those we will discuss in the following. Another way to obtain a balanced repulsion-attraction effect which leads to the emergence of a stable $1d$ DNUC or an usual droplet with higher energies per particle, consisting of using $|g^{(0)}| > |g_{12}^{(0)}|$ with $g^{(0)} > 0$, and $g_{12}^{(0)} < 0$, which corresponds to repulsive intra-species and attractive inter-species interactions, respectively. We focus on this last case. We use $g = 2\hbar^2/m|a|$, and $g_{12} = -2\hbar^2/m|a_{12}|$. We take $E_B = \hbar^2/m|a|^2$ as energy unit, we define $\epsilon = g_{12}^{(0)}/g^{(0)} < 0$, $\bar{n} = n|a|$, $\bar{r} = r/|a|$, $\bar{r}_{12} = r_{12}/|a|$, and $\bar{\mathcal{E}} = E/(LE_B/|a|)$. Thus these new variables lead to the scaled energy per particle of an uniform droplet with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{DNUC}/\bar{n}$ as

$$\frac{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{DNUC}}{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\epsilon|)\bar{n} - \frac{2}{3\pi}\sqrt{\bar{n}}\left[\frac{(1 - |\epsilon|)^{3/2}}{[1 - 2(\bar{r} + \bar{r}_{12})\bar{n}]^{3/2}} + \frac{(1 + |\epsilon|)^{3/2}}{[1 - 2(\bar{r} - \bar{r}_{12})\bar{n}]^{3/2}}\right]. \quad (80)$$

Within Petrov's approximation, $|\epsilon| = 1$ only in the fluctuations, we have

$$\frac{\bar{\mathcal{E}}_{DNUC+P}}{\bar{n}} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - |\epsilon|)\bar{n} - \frac{4\sqrt{2}}{3\pi}\frac{\sqrt{\bar{n}}}{[1 - 2(\bar{r} - \bar{r}_{12})\bar{n}]^{3/2}}. \quad (81)$$

In Fig 6, we plot Eq. (80), and the simplified version given by Eq. (81). We consider $|\epsilon| = 0.9$, and different values of the parameter $\Delta_{\pm} = \bar{r} \pm \bar{r}_{12}$. We also include results in absence of nonuniversal corrections to the interactions from Eq. (81), $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_D$. The values of Δ_{\pm} have been chosen to obtain lower energies per particle compared to conventional droplets. This behavior is in qualitatively agreement to Monte Carlo results for conventional droplets [2]. The repulsion-attraction balance that gives rise to $1d$ DNUC is metastable if the nonuniversal correction to the repulsion exceeds the nonuniversal correction of the attraction. Such a balance is broken providing $\Delta_- \gtrsim 4.5 \times 10^{-4}$ in Eq. (81). The DNUC becomes unstable and it is not possible to achieve a self-bound state. In Eq. (80) with $\Delta_- > 0$ there is a similar behavior, but the instability occurs faster due to the presence of the term with the factor Δ_+ .




Figure 6: One-dimensional energy per particle of droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as function of the density, Eq. (80). We set $|\epsilon| = 0.9$, and define $\Delta_{\pm} = \bar{r} \pm \bar{r}_{12}$. We consider $\Delta_+ = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\Delta_- = -2 \times 10^{-4}$ (red diamonds). $\Delta_+ = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\Delta_- = 0$ (blue triangles). In the simplified version of Eq. (80) given by Eq. (81) we consider $\Delta_+ = -1.1 \times 10^{-4}$ (green circles). We also include results in absence of nonuniversal contributions to the interactions in Eq. (81) \mathcal{E}_D (solid black line), given in Ref. [2].

Using Eq. (79) we obtain the improved 1d GPE describing free droplets with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions as

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = & \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \delta g_-^{(0)} |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta g_+^{(2)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} |\phi|^2 \right. \\
& - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{[\delta g_-^{(0)}]^{3/2}}{\left[1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \delta g_+^{(2)} |\phi|^2 \right]^{5/2}} |\phi| \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{1/2} \frac{[\delta g_+^{(0)}]^{3/2}}{\left[1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \delta g_-^{(2)} |\phi|^2 \right]^{5/2}} |\phi| \right] \phi, \quad (82)
\end{aligned}$$

with $\delta g_{\pm}^{(0)} = |g^{(0)}| \pm |g_{12}^{(0)}| > 0$, and $\delta g_{\pm}^{(2)} = g^{(2)} \pm g_{12}^{(2)}$. The simplified version of this last expression taking into account the Petrov's approximation is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = & \left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} \delta g_-^{(0)} |\phi|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \delta g_+^{(2)} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} |\phi|^2 \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \right)^{1/2} [g^{(0)}]^{3/2} \frac{|\phi|}{\left[1 + \frac{2m}{\hbar^2} \delta g_-^{(2)} |\phi|^2 \right]^{5/2}} \right] \phi, \quad (83)
\end{aligned}$$

From Eqs. (75) and (76) we can see that at level of mean-field approximation the nonuniversal contribution to the interactions provides a repulsive contribution. Increasing the density could be possible to find a critical value of $\delta g_{\pm}^{(2)}$ such that the mixture becomes unstable. This instability arises providing that the density

of particles satisfies $|\phi|^2 = 1/[2(r \pm r_{12})]$, with $r > r_{12}$ choosing the negative sign. Therefore these two improved GPEs could be also useful to understanding the role of nonuniversal corrections to the interactions into properties of dark and bright solitons.

5.1. One-dimensional finite-temperature effects

In the continuum limit the 1d one-loop correction to the grand potential in expression (18) leads to [3]

$$\frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L} = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^{\infty} dE_{\pm}(k_{\pm}) \frac{k_{\pm}}{e^{\beta E_{\pm}(k)} - 1}, \quad (84)$$

with k_{\pm}^2 given by Eqs. (44) and (45). After introducing the variables $x_{\pm} = \beta E_{\pm}$, and expanding k_{\pm} at low temperature $k_B T \ll |\mu|$ [69, 70], the 1d grand-canonical potential can be read as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Omega_g^{(T)}}{L} &= -\frac{\pi}{6} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{\mu^{1/2}} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2}{20} \frac{(1 + \alpha_{+\mu})}{\mu^2} (k_B T)^2\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{6} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{\lambda^{1/2} \mu^{1/2}} \left[1 - \frac{\pi^2}{20} \frac{(1 + \alpha_{-\mu})}{\lambda^2 \mu^2} (k_B T)^2\right], \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

and the respective thermal contribution to the energy per particle is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{E^{(T)}}{N} &= \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{12} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{[g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)}]^{1/2} n^{3/2}} (1 + h_{NUC}^+) \\ &\quad + \frac{\sqrt{2}\pi}{12} \left(\frac{m}{\hbar^2}\right)^{1/2} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{[g^{(0)} - g_{12}^{(0)}]^{1/2} n^{3/2}} (1 + h_{NUC}^-), \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

with

$$h_{NUC}^{\pm} = -\frac{\pi^2}{5} \frac{(k_B T)^2}{[g^{(0)} \pm g_{12}^{(0)}]^2 n^2} \left[1 + \frac{6m}{\hbar^2} (g^{(2)} \pm g_{12}^{(2)}) n\right]. \quad (87)$$

Clearly the 1d energy per particle in Eq. (86) is divergent providing $g^{(0)} = \pm g_{12}^{(0)}$. For attractive inter-species interactions lightly larger than repulsive intra-species interaction this energy per particle becomes complex, just like in 2d and 3d mixtures. In this sense we have a thermal-induced instability. However, even more remarkably instead both 1d DNUC and usual 1d droplets need to satisfy $g^{(0)} + g_{12}^{(0)} > 0$ and holds $g^{(0)} > \pm g_{12}^{(0)}$. Therefore both are stable at finite temperature. Currently, the region of greatest interest in the study of droplets is around $-g_{12} \sim g$, so expression (86) is simplified dropping out to the second line. We also mention that the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions appear only up at order T^4 and, hence at very low temperatures these could be neglected.

6. Summary and outlook

In the framework of a quantum effective field theory we derive the equation of state at zero and low temperature of $3d$, $2d$ and $1d$ ultracold Bose-Bose mixtures of alkali-metal atoms considering nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. We perform one-loop Gaussian functional integration. The zero-point energy ultraviolet divergence is removed through dimensional regularization in $3d$ and in $1d$. In $2d$ we deal this issue by means of dimensional regularization in the modified minimal subtraction scheme. We stress and focus our analysis on the study of some regimes which the mixture manifests the existence and stable formation of a liquidlike phase with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions. In particular, when the nonuniversal contribution to the attraction exceeds that of repulsion in $3d$ DNUC, it results in an increasing in the equilibrium density regarding to the theoretical model to describe conventional droplets. The increase in the nonuniversal effect to the attraction causes the droplet to be unstable, and it disappears. The opposite happens when the nonuniversal correction to the attraction is exceeded by the repulsive counterpart. The equilibrium density and the respective minimum energy are lower than conventional droplet and there is not instability. If we consider the nonuniversal contributions as fitting parameters we found a good agreement from our model with some DMC results. In $2d$ DNUC we have found small deviations from the equilibrium density regarding usual droplets. A possible explanation for this could be associated with the coupling constants used. Due the perturbative nature of the self-bound liquidlike phase could be suitable consider coupling constants for $a \ll r$. In the $1d$ DNUC we obtain a qualitatively agreement with the trend of Monte Carlo results for conventional droplets. A clear extension of this work is verify the validity of our results by means of quantum Monte Carlo techniques [42, 43, 76]. We also propose some improved GPEs in $3d$, $2d$ and $1d$ including the enhanced nonuniversal contribution to the interactions. In these equations the parameter handling could be suitable for fitting with experimental or numerical evidence. Likewise, we consider that these equations could be useful in the study of the effects of the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions in paradigms that apply broadly to many-body quantum systems, as solitons and vortices. Given the experimental relevance of harmonic trapping potentials to achieve quasi- $2d$ or quasi- $1d$ regimes, it would be interesting not only to study harmonically trapped Bose-Bose mixtures including the nonuniversal corrections to the interactions, but also properties of DNUC at dimensional crossovers. We also consider that our theoretical predictions could stimulate experimental breakthroughs in the study in its own right of Gaussian fluctuations with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions in Bose-Bose mixtures. Sizable nonuniversal effects could be reached experimentally through Feshbach-resonance technique by increasing the density and decreasing the scattering length.

Finite-temperature effects deserve a further study on their own right. In principle in the droplet phase with or without nonuniversal corrections to the interactions both in $3d$ and in $2d$, one could have the presence of a phase transition, even if the mixture is stable at zero temperature. For the $1d$ mixture could

be interesting to see the thermal mechanisms driving the liquid-to-gas transition introduced in [17]. As our finite-temperature results are general for a symmetric Bose-Bose mixture could be interesting see how behaves the miscibility-separation condition regarding zero-temperature results [69, 70]. Our finite-temperature results could be contrasted with the recently implemented Monte Carlo methods at finite temperature [47, 48, 49].

In $3d$ we consider two different expansions of the phase shift, the conventional one, given in Eq. (24) and holding $a \gg r$ and a less known in Eq. (32) for $a \ll r$. From a scattering perspective it would be interesting to have expressions in the regime $a \ll r$ for both $2d$ and $1d$, and also consider their effects on the liquidlike phase with nonuniversal corrections to the interactions.

Acknowledgments

I acknowledge partial support by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior CAPES (Brazil). I thank Professor Sadhan Adhikari for recommendation on using of expansion (32), and comments on a preliminary version of the present work.

References

- [1] D. S. Petrov, Quantum mechanical stabilization of a collapsing Bose-Bose mixture, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 155302 (2015).
- [2] D. S. Petrov, and G. E. Astrakharchik, Ultradilute low-dimensional liquids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 100401 (2016).
- [3] E. Chiquillo, Equation of state of the one- and three-dimensional Bose-Bose gases, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 063605 (2018).
- [4] G. E. Astrakharchik, and B. A. Malomed, Dynamics of one-dimensional quantum droplets, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 013631 (2018).
- [5] Y. V. Kartashov, B. A. Malomed, L. Tarruell, and L. Torner, Three-dimensional droplets of swirling superfluids, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 013612 (2018).
- [6] A. Boudjemâa, Quantum and thermal fluctuations in two-component Bose gases, *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 033627 (2018).
- [7] E. Chiquillo, Low-dimensional self-bound quantum Rabi-coupled bosonic droplets, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 051601(R) (2019).
- [8] Y.V. Kartashov, G.E. Astrakharchik, B.A. Malomed, and L. Torner, Frontiers in multidimensional selftrapping of nonlinear fields and matter, *Nat. Rev. Phys.* **1**, 185 (2019).
- [9] S. Gautam, and S. K. Adhikari, Self-trapped quantum balls in binary Bose-Einstein condensates, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **52**, 055302 (2019).

- [10] M. Tylutki, G.E. Astrakharchik, B.A. Malomed, and D.S. Petrov, Collective excitations of a one-dimensional quantum droplet, *Phys. Rev. A* **101**, 051601(R) (2020).
- [11] H. Hu, and X.-J. Liu, Consistent theory of self-bound quantum droplets with bosonic pairing, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 195302 (2020).
- [12] H. Hu, J. Wang, and X.-J. Liu, Microscopic pairing theory of a binary Bose mixture with interspecies attractions: Bosonic BEC-BCS crossover and ultradilute low-dimensional quantum droplets, *Phys. Rev. A* **102**, 043301 (2020).
- [13] Q. Gu, and L. Yin, Phonon stability and sound velocity of quantum droplets in a boson mixture, *Phys. Rev. B* **102**, 220503(R) (2020).
- [14] L. He, P. Gao, and Z.-Q. Yu, Normal-superfluid phase separation in spin-half bosons at finite temperature, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 055301 (2020).
- [15] A. Boudjemâa, Many-body and temperature effects in two-dimensional quantum droplets in Bose-Bose mixtures, *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 1 (2021).
- [16] N. Guebli, and A. Boudjemâa, Quantum self-bound droplets in Bose-Bose mixtures: Effects of higher-order quantum and thermal fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. A* **104**, 023310 (2021).
- [17] G. De Rosi, G.E. Astrakharchik, and P. Massignan, Thermal instability, evaporation and thermodynamics of onedimensional liquids in weakly-interacting Bose-Bose mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **103**, 043316 (2021).
- [18] Y. Xiong, and L. Yin, Effective single-mode model of a binary boson mixture in the quantum droplet region, *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 053305 (2022).
- [19] T. A. Yoğurt, A. Keleş, and M.Ö. Oktel, Spinor boson droplets stabilized by spin fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. A* **105**, 043309 (2022).
- [20] T. A. Yoğurt, A. Keleş, and M.Ö. Oktel, Polarized Rabi-coupled and spinor boson droplets, *Phys. Rev. A* **107**, 023322 (2023).
- [21] L. He, H. Li, W. Yi, and Z.-Q. Yu, Quantum Criticality of Liquid-Gas Transition in a Binary Bose Mixture, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 193001 (2023).
- [22] C. R. Cabrera, L. Tanzi, J. Sanz, B. Naylor, P. Thomas, P. Cheiney, and L. Tarruell, Quantum liquid droplets in a mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates, *Science* **359**, 301 (2018).
- [23] G. Semeghini, G. Ferioli, L. Masi, C. Mazzinghi, L. Wolswijk, F. Minardi, M. Modugno, G. Modugno, M. Inguscio, and M. Fattori, Self-bound quantum droplets of atomic mixtures in free space, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 235301 (2018).

- [24] P. Cheiney, C. R. Cabrera, J. Sanz, B. Naylor, L. Tanzi, and L. Tarruell, Bright soliton to quantum droplet transition in a mixture of Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 135301 (2018).
- [25] G. Ferioli, G. Semeghini, L. Masi, G. Giusti, G. Modugno, M. Inguscio, A. Gallemí, A. Recati, and M. Fattori, Collisions of Self-Bound Quantum Droplets, *Phys.Rev. Lett.* **122**, 090401 (2019).
- [26] C. D’Errico, A. Burchianti, M. Prevedelli, L. Salasnich, F. Ancilotto, M. Modugno, F. Minardi, and C. Fort, Observation of quantum droplets in a heteronuclear bosonic mixture *Phys. Rev. Research* **1**, 033155 (2019).
- [27] G. Ferioli, G. Semeghini, S. Terradas-Briansó, L. Masi, M. Fattori, and M. Modugno, Dynamical formation of quantum droplets in a 39 mixture, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 013269 (2020).
- [28] T.G. Skov, M.G. Skou, N.B. Jørgensen, and J.J. Arlt, Observation of a Lee-Huang-Yang Fluid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 230404 (2021).
- [29] Z. Guo, F. Jia, L. Li, Y. Ma, J. M. Hutson, X. Cui, and D. Wang, Lee-Huang-Yang effects in the ultracold mixture of ^{23}Na and ^{87}Rb with attractive interspecies interactions, *Phys. Rev. Research* **3** 033247 (2021).
- [30] F. Wächtler, and L. Santos, Quantum filaments in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 061603(R) (2016).
- [31] F. Wächtler, and L. Santos, Ground-state properties and elementary excitations of quantum droplets in dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 043618 (2016).
- [32] D. Baillie, R.M. Wilson, R.N. Bisset, and P.B. Blakie, Self-bound dipolar droplet: a localized matter wave in free space, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 021602(R) (2016).
- [33] R. N. Bisset, R. M. Wilson, D. Baillie, and P. B. Blakie, Ground-state phase diagram of a dipolar condensate with quantum fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 033619 (2016).
- [34] H. Saito, Path-integral Monte Carlo study on a droplet of a dipolar Bose-Einstein condensate stabilized by quantum fluctuation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **85**, 053001 (2016).
- [35] Abdelâali Boudjemâa, Fluctuations and quantum self-bound droplets in a dipolar Bose-Bose mixture, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 033612 (2018).
- [36] H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, C. Wink, T. Maier, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and T. Pfau, Observing the Rosensweig instability of a quantum ferrofluid, *Nature (London)* **530**, 194 (2016).

- [37] M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, F. Böttcher, I. Ferrier-Barbut, and T. Pfau, Self-bound droplets of a dilute magnetic quantum liquid, *Nature (London)* **539**, 259 (2016).
- [38] I. Ferrier-Barbut, H. Kadau, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, and T. Pfau, Observation of quantum droplets in a strongly dipolar Bose gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 215301 (2016).
- [39] I. Ferrier-Barbut, M. Schmitt, M. Wenzel, H. Kadau, and T. Pfau, Liquid quantum droplets of ultracold magnetic atoms, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **49** 214004 (2016).
- [40] L. Chomaz, S. Baier, D. Petter, M. J. Mark, F. Wächtler, L. Santos, and F. Ferlaino, Quantum-fluctuation-driven crossover from a dilute Bose-Einstein condensate to a macro-droplet in a dipolar quantum fluid, *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 041039 (2016).
- [41] C. Staudinger, F. Mazzanti, and R.E. Zillich, Self-bound Bose mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 023633 (2018).
- [42] V. Cikojević, L. Vranješ Markić, G. Astrakharchik, and J. Boronat, Universality in ultradilute liquid Bose-Bose mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 023618 (2019).
- [43] V. Cikojević, L. V. Markić, and J. Boronat, Finite-range effects in ultradilute quantum drops, *New J. Phys.* **22**, 053045 (2020).
- [44] M. Ota, and G. E. Astrakharchik, Beyond Lee-Huang-Yang description of self-bound Bose mixtures, *SciPost Phys.* **9**, 020 (2020).
- [45] J.O. Andersen, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 599 (2004).
- [46] E. Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, and S. Hermans, Nonuniversal Effects in the Homogeneous Bose Gas, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 063609 (2001).
- [47] G. Spada, S. Pilati, and S. Giorgini, Attractive Solution of Binary Bose Mixtures: Liquid-Vapor Coexistence and Critical Point, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 173404 (2023).
- [48] G. Spada, L. Parisi, G. Pascual, N.G. Parker, T.P. Billam, S. Pilati, J. Boronat, and S. Giorgini, Phase separation in binary Bose mixtures at finite temperature, *SciPost Phys.* **15**, 171 (2023).
- [49] G. Spada, S. Pilati, and S. Giorgini, Quantum droplets in two-dimensional Bose mixtures at finite temperature arXiv:2405.09368v1
- [50] A. Cappellaro and L. Salasnich, Thermal field theory of bosonic gases with finite-range effective interaction, *Phys. Rev. A* **95**, 033627 (2017).
- [51] L. Salasnich, Non-Universal equation of state of the two-dimensional Bose gas, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 130402 (2017).

- [52] A. Cappellaro and L. Salasnich, Finite-range corrections to the thermodynamics of the one-dimensional Bose gas, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 063610 (2017).
- [53] H. Fu, Y. Wang, and B. Gao, Beyond the Fermi pseudopotential: A modified Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 053612 (2003).
- [54] A. Collin, P. Massignan, and C. Pethick, Energy dependent effective interactions for dilute many-body systems, *Phys. Rev. A* **75**, 013615 (2007).
- [55] H. Veksler, S. Fishman, and W. Ketterle, Simple model for interactions and corrections to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 023620 (2014).
- [56] D. S. Petrov, D. M. Gangardt, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, Low-dimensional trapped gases, *J. Phys. IV (France)* **116**, 5 (2004).
- [57] Y. Castin, Simple theoretical tools for low dimension Bose gases, *J. Phys. IV (France)* **116**, 89 (2004).
- [58] H.T.C Stoof, K.B. Gubbels, and D.B.M. Dickerscheid, *Ultracold Quantum Fields* (Springer, Berlin, 2009).
- [59] J. Armaitis, H. T. C. Stoof, and R. A. Duine, Hydrodynamic modes of partially condensed Bose mixtures, *Phys. Rev. A* **91**, 043641 (2015).
- [60] L. Salasnich and F. Toigo, Zero-point energy of ultracold atoms, *Phys. Rep.* **640**, 1 (2016).
- [61] M. Le Bellac, *Thermal Field Theory*, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [62] 't Hooft, G. and M. Veltman, Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields, *Nucl. Phys. B* **44**, 189 (1972).
- [63] H. Kleinert and V. Schulte-Frohlinde, *Critical Properties of ϕ^4 -Theories*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
- [64] L. S. Rodberg and M. Thaler, *Introduction to the Quantum Theory of Scattering* (Academic Press, 1970).
- [65] J. Sakurai and J. Napolitano, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2017).
- [66] F. Lorenzi, A. Bardin, and L. Salasnich, On-shell approximation for the s-wave scattering theory, *Phys. Rev. A* **107**, 033325 (2023).
- [67] S. K. Adhikari, Improved effective-range expansions for small and large values of scattering length, *Eur. J. Phys.* **39**, 055403 (2018).

- [68] S. Roy, M. Landini, A. Trenkwalder, G. Semeghini, G. Spagnolli, A. Simoni, M. Fattori, M. Inguscio, and Giovanni Modugno, Test of the Universality of the Three-Body Efimov Parameter at Narrow Feshbach Resonances, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 053202 (2013).
- [69] L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, *Bose-Einstein Condensation and Superfluidity*, (Clarendon, Oxford, UK, 2016).
- [70] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, *Bose-Einstein Condensate in Dilute Gases*, (Cambridge, University Press, UK, 2008).
- [71] N. N. Khuri, A. Martin, J.-M. Richard, and T. T. Wu, Low-energy potential scattering in two and three dimensions, *J. Math. Phys.* **50**, 072105 (2009).
- [72] S. K. Adhikari, Quantum scattering in two dimensions, *Am. J. Phys.* **54**, 362 (1986).
- [73] Y. Li, Z. Chen, Z. Luo, C. Huang, H. Tan, W. Pang, and B.A. Malomed, Two-dimensional vortex quantum droplets, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 063602 (2018).
- [74] V. E. Barlette, M. M. Leite, and S. K. Adhikari, Quantum scattering in one dimension, *Eur. J. Phys.* **21**, 435 (2000).
- [75] V. E. Barlette, M. M. Leite, and S. K. Adhikari, Integral equations of scattering in one dimension, *Am. J. Phys.* **69**, 1010 (2001).
- [76] L. Parisi, G.E. Astrakharchik, and S. Giorgini, Liquid state of one-dimensional Bose mixtures: a quantum Monte Carlo study, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **122**, 105302 (2019).