

HADAMARD'S LEMMA IN SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACES

A. BĚRDĚLLIMA

ABSTRACT. We extend Hadamard's Lemma to the setting of a separable Hilbert space.

Keywords: Hadamard's Lemma, Hilbert spaces, infinitesimals.

MSC 2020: 46C05, 26E15, 46G05, 26E35.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hadamard's Lemma. [3, §2, pp.17] *Let \mathbb{E}^n be an n -dimensional Euclidean space and let f be a smooth, real-valued function defined on an open, star-convex neighborhood U of a point $a \in \mathbb{E}^n$. Then $f(x)$ can be expressed, for all $x \in U$, in the form:*

$$(1) \quad f(x) = f(a) + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k - a_k) g_k(x),$$

where each g_k is a smooth function on U , $a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$.

In its simplest form, when $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$ and $a = 0$, this lemma reduces to the expression

$$f(x) = f(0) + x g(x).$$

Applying Hadamard's Lemma iteratively n times yields an expression of the n -th order Taylor series expansion of f around the origin, where the remainder is the product of x^{n+1} with another smooth function g

$$f(x) = f(0) + x f'(0) + x^2 \frac{f''(0)}{2!} + x^3 \frac{f'''(0)}{3!} + \dots + x^n \frac{f^{(n)}(0)}{n!} + x^{n+1} g(x).$$

As simple as this lemma might appear, it has deep implications in smooth infinitesimal analysis, as explored in [2, §1, §2]. For a concrete illustration, consider the ring of dual numbers $\mathbb{R}[\varepsilon]$, which consists of elements of the form $a + \varepsilon b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon \neq 0$ is an infinitesimal element satisfying $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Let $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ denote the space of smooth functions on \mathbb{R} . For $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, by Hadamard's Lemma the first order Taylor expansion around $a \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$f(x) = f(a) + (x - a) f'(a) + (x - a)^2 g(x),$$

where $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Thus, it becomes possible to evaluate a smooth function f at a dual number $a + \varepsilon b$, yielding:

$$f(a + \varepsilon b) = f(a) + \varepsilon b f'(a) + \varepsilon^2 b^2 g(a + \varepsilon b) = f(a) + \varepsilon b f'(a).$$

Hadamard's Lemma has found several applications in various areas of mathematics. For instance, in [5], the lemma was used to derive a representation for nonlinear Lipschitz continuous differential operators. In [4], it was employed to obtain variational formulas for the ground state value of the semi-linear Poisson equation.

In this note, we aim to extend Hadamard's Lemma to functions defined on an infinite-dimensional vector space. As a primary example, we consider the case of a separable Hilbert space. Several results analogous to those in finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces will be derived as a consequence of this extension.

E-mail: berdellima@gmail.com.

2. PRELIMINARIES

By \mathcal{H} , we denote a Hilbert space equipped with its inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, which induces the canonical norm $\| \cdot \| = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle^{1/2}$. We denote the dual of \mathcal{H} by \mathcal{H}^* . A function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable at $x \in \mathcal{H}$, if there exists a bounded linear functional $T(x) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^*$ such that

$$\lim_{\|h\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x) - \langle T(x), h \rangle|}{\|h\|} = 0.$$

If f is Fréchet differentiable at every point in an open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, we say that f is Fréchet differentiable on U . When f is Fréchet differentiable at x , we call the linear operator T the gradient of f at x , denoted $\nabla f(x)$. A function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Gateaux differentiable at $x \in \mathcal{H}$, if there exists a continuous linear function $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$g(v) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \delta v) - f(x)}{\delta}, \quad \text{for all } v \in \mathcal{H}.$$

If f is Fréchet differentiable at x , then it is also Gateaux differentiable at x with $g(v) = \langle \nabla f(x), v \rangle$ for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$. For $n \geq 2$, we define the higher order derivatives of f recursively. A function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is n -times differentiable at x , if it is $(n-1)$ -times differentiable and there exists a multilinear functional $T(x) : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow (\mathcal{H}^*)^n$ such that

$$\lim_{\|h_n\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\nabla^{n-1} f(x + h_n)(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) - \nabla^{n-1} f(x)(h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}) - T(x)(h_1, \dots, h_n)|}{\|h_n\|} = 0$$

uniformly for h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-1} in bounded sets in \mathcal{H} . We denote $T = \nabla^n f$. A function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is n -times differentiable on an open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, if it is n -times Fréchet differentiable at every $x \in U$. A function $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth on U if its derivatives of all orders exists at every $x \in U$. We denote the set of all smooth functions by $C^\infty(\mathcal{H})$. For more on infinite dimensional analysis, we refer to [1, §12].

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space and let (u_k) be an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H} . A mapping $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is smooth on an open set $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, if $g_k(x) = \langle g(x), u_k \rangle$ is a smooth function on U for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Finally a set $U \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ is a star-convex neighborhood of $a \in \mathcal{H}$ if for all $x \in U$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ it holds that $(1-t)a + tx \in U$. A Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is real, if its associated scalar field is \mathbb{R} . To this end, we assume that the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} is real and separable.

3. HADAMARD'S LEMMA IN SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACES

Theorem 1. *Let f be a smooth, real-valued function defined on an open, star-convex neighborhood U of a point $a \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $f(x)$ can be expressed, for all $x \in U$, in the form:*

$$(2) \quad f(x) = f(a) + \langle g(x), x - a \rangle,$$

where g is a smooth mapping on U .

Proof. Let $h(t) = f(a + t(x - a))$ for $t \in [0, 1]$. Because f is smooth on U , in particular it is Gateaux differentiable on U . Because U is an open star-convex neighborhood of a , then for s sufficiently small $a + (t+s)(x-a) \in U$. Smoothness of f on U implies that the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + (t+s)(x-a)) - f(a + t(x-a))}{s}$$

exists and it equals

$$\langle \nabla f(a + t(x-a)), x - a \rangle,$$

where ∇f is the gradient of f . On the other hand by definition of $h(t)$ we have that

$$h'(t) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(t+s) - h(t)}{s} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(a + (t+s)(x-a)) - f(a + t(x-a))}{s},$$

therefore

$$h'(t) = \langle \nabla f(a + t(x-a)), x-a \rangle.$$

Let (u_k) be an orthonormal basis for \mathcal{H} . Then

$$h'(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) (x_k - a_k),$$

where $\nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) = \langle \nabla f(a + t(x-a)), u_k \rangle$ and $x_k - a_k = \langle x-a, u_k \rangle$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Integrating over the interval $[0, 1]$ and noting that $h(0) = f(a)$ and $h(1) = f(x)$ yields

$$f(x) - f(a) = \int_0^1 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) (x_k - a_k) dt.$$

The integral above is absolutely convergent. Indeed note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) (x_k - a_k) \right| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)))^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - a_k)^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \|\nabla f(a + t(x-a))\| \|x-a\| \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) (x_k - a_k) \right| dt &\leq \|x-a\| \int_0^1 \|\nabla f(a + t(x-a))\| dt \\ &\leq \|x-a\| \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \|\nabla f(a + t(x-a))\|, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $(\nabla f) \circ \psi : [0, 1] \times \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, with $\psi(t, a, x) = a + t(x-a)$, is a continuous mapping in all its three arguments, and in particular in the variable t over the compact interval $[0, 1]$. Interchanging summation with integration implies

$$(3) \quad f(x) - f(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - a_k) \int_0^1 \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) dt = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - a_k) g_k(x),$$

where

$$g_k(x) = \int_0^1 \nabla_k f(a + t(x-a)) dt, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Because f is smooth on U , then so is g_k for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently the mapping

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x) u_k$$

is smooth on U . Rearranging terms in (3) yields (2). □

4. CONSEQUENCES OF HADAMARD'S LEMMA

4.1. Taylor series expansion.

Theorem 2. Any smooth function f in a star-convex neighborhood U of a point $a \in \mathcal{H}$ is representable in the form

$$(4) \quad f(x) = f(a) + \langle \nabla f(a), x - a \rangle + \frac{1}{2!} \nabla^2 f(a)(x - a, x - a) + \dots \\ \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \nabla^n f(a) \underbrace{(x - a, x - a, \dots, x - a)}_{n\text{-times}} + g(x) \underbrace{(x - a, x - a, \dots, x - a)}_{(n+1)\text{-times}},$$

where $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{n+1}$ is a smooth mapping and satisfies the representation

$$(5) \quad g(x)(x - a, x - a, \dots, x - a) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^{n+1} \\ |\alpha_i| = n+1}} (x_i - a_i)^{\alpha_i} g_{\alpha_i}(x).$$

Here $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n+1})$, $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{n+1}})$ are multi-indices, and $(x_i - a_i)^\alpha = (x_{i_1} - a_{i_1})^{\alpha_{i_1}} (x_{i_2} - a_{i_2})^{\alpha_{i_2}} \dots (x_{i_{n+1}} - a_{i_{n+1}})^{\alpha_{i_{n+1}}}$. By smoothness of the mapping g we mean that g_{α_i} are smooth functions on U for every multi-index α_i satisfying $|\alpha_i| = n + 1$.

Proof. From Theorem 1 we have

$$f(x) = f(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - a_k) g_k(x),$$

where g_k is smooth on U for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying Theorem 1 on each g_k yields

$$f(x) = f(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (x_k - a_k) \left(g_k(a) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (x_j - a_j) g_{kj}(x) \right)$$

Realizing that $g_k(a) = \nabla_k f(a)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, rearranging terms gives

$$f(x) = f(a) + \langle \nabla f(a), x - a \rangle + \sum_{k,j} (x_k - a_k)(x_j - a_j) g_{kj}(x).$$

Now applying Theorem 1 on each g_{kj} yields

$$f(x) = f(a) + \langle \nabla f(a), x - a \rangle + \sum_{k,j} (x_k - a_k)(x_j - a_j) \left(g_{kj}(a) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (x_i - a_i) g_{kji}(x) \right).$$

Realizing that $2 g_{kj}(a) = \nabla_{kj}^2 f(a)$ and rearranging terms yield

$$f(x) = f(a) + \langle \nabla f(a), x - a \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,j} (x_k - a_k)(x_j - a_j) \nabla_{kj}^2 f(a) \\ + \sum_{k,j,i} (x_k - a_k)(x_j - a_j)(x_i - a_i) g_{kji}(x) \\ = f(a) + \langle \nabla f(a), x - a \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 f(a)(x - a, x - a) \\ + \sum_{k,j,i} (x_k - a_k)(x_j - a_j)(x_i - a_i) g_{kji}(x).$$

Applying iteratively Theorem 2 on each g_{kji} and so on, yields formula (4). \square

4.2. Certain representations of a smooth function.

Theorem 3. *Let (u_n) be an orthonormal basis in \mathcal{H} and let $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function on \mathcal{H} . If $\nabla^j f$ vanishes on every subspace $S_j = \text{span}\{u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_j}\}$, where $1 \leq j \leq k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then f has the following form*

$$(6) \quad f(x) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \\ |\alpha_i| = k+1}} x_i^{\alpha_i} g_{\alpha_i}(x), \quad \text{for some smooth functions } g_{\alpha_i},$$

where $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{k+1}})$ and $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})$.

Proof. By Theorem 2 we have with $a = 0$ the k -order Taylor expansion

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= f(0) + \langle \nabla f(0), x \rangle + \frac{1}{2!} \nabla^2 f(0)(x, x) + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \nabla^n f(0) \underbrace{(x, x, \dots, x)}_{k\text{-times}} + g(x) \underbrace{(x, x, \dots, x)}_{(k+1)\text{-times}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{k+1}$ is a smooth mapping and satisfies the representation

$$(7) \quad g(x)(x - a, x - a, \dots, x - a) = \sum_{\substack{i \in \mathbb{N}^{k+1} \\ |\alpha_i| = k+1}} (x_i - a_i)^{\alpha_i} g_{\alpha_i}(x),$$

where $i = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k+1})$, $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{k+1}})$. It suffices to show that $\nabla^j f(0) = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. We show by induction on $j \leq k$. Let $j = 1$. Because f vanishes on every subspace $S_1 = \text{span}\{u_n\}$, then it vanishes in particular at $x = 0$. Because f is smooth, then its Gateaux derivative at $x = 0$ along each u_n exists and it is given by $\langle \nabla f(0), u_n \rangle$. By definition of Gateaux derivative we have that

$$\langle \nabla f(0), u_n \rangle = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(0 + \delta u_n) - f(0)}{\delta}.$$

Because $f \equiv 0$ on $\text{span}\{u_n\}$, then $f(\delta u_n) = 0$ for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, consequently the last limit is identically zero. Hence $\langle \nabla f(0), u_n \rangle = 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, implying $\nabla f(0) = 0$. Let $\nabla^j f = 0$ on every $S_j = \text{span}\{u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_j}\}$ for $1 < j < k$. Consider $j + 1 \leq k$. By definition of the Fréchet differentiability we have

$$\lim_{\|h_{j+1}\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\nabla^j f(0 + h_{j+1})(h_1, \dots, h_j) - \nabla^j f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j) - \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_{j+1})|}{\|h_{j+1}\|} = 0$$

uniformly for h_1, h_2, \dots, h_j in bounded sets in \mathcal{H} . Let $v \in \mathcal{H}$ and write $h_{j+1} = \delta v$. Then as $\|h_{j+1}\| \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\delta \rightarrow 0$. Rewrite the last limit as

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\nabla^j f(0 + \delta v)(h_1, \dots, h_j) - \nabla^j f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j) - \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, \delta v)|}{\delta} = 0.$$

Denote the function $f_j(x) = \nabla^j f(x)(h_1, \dots, h_j)$ and express

$$\nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j, x) = \langle \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j), x \rangle$$

for $x \in \mathcal{H}$. The limit is then equivalent to

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f_j(0 + \delta v) - f_j(0) - \langle \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j), \delta v \rangle|}{\delta} = 0$$

for all $v \in \mathcal{H}$. Setting $v = u_n$ and rearranging terms yields

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{f_j(0 + \delta u_n) - f_j(0)}{\delta} = \langle \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j), u_n \rangle.$$

By induction hypothesis $\nabla^j f(0) = 0$. On the other hand the inclusion $\text{span}\{u_n\} \subseteq \text{span}\{u_n, u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_{j-1}}\}$ holds, implying $\nabla^j f(0 + \delta u_n) = 0$. Consequently $f_j(0 + \delta u_n) = f_j(0) = 0$, and so

$$\langle \nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j), u_n \rangle = 0, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This reduces to the case $j = 1$, hence $\nabla^{j+1} f(0)(h_1, \dots, h_j) = 0$ for all j -tuples (h_1, \dots, h_j) in bounded sets in \mathcal{H} and in particular $\nabla^{j+1} f(0)(u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_j}) = 0$ for all j -tuples of orthonormal elements $(u_{n_1}, u_{n_2}, \dots, u_{n_j})$, therefore $\nabla^{j+1} f(0) = 0$. \square

Corollary 4. *Let $f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that f vanishes on $S_n = \text{span}\{u_n\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then f has the following form*

$$(8) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k,j} x_k x_j g_{kj}(x), \quad \text{for some smooth functions } g_{kj}.$$

Theorem 5. *Any smooth function f such that $f(y) = f(z) = 0$, where $y \neq z$, satisfies*

$$(9) \quad f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_k(x),$$

where $g_k(y) = h_k(z) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let (u_k) be an orthonormal base in \mathcal{H} . Up to an invertible affine transformation we may assume that $y = u_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $z = 0$. By Theorem 1 any smooth function f with $f(z) = 0$ is representable in the form

$$f(x) = \langle \tilde{g}(x), x \rangle$$

for some smooth mapping $\tilde{g} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$. Let $w \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\tilde{g}(y) = w$. We may write $\tilde{g}(x) = (\tilde{g}(x) - w) + w$, then

$$\langle \tilde{g}(x), x \rangle = \langle \tilde{g}(x) - w, x \rangle + \langle w, x \rangle.$$

The first term vanishes when $x = z$ and again when $x = y$. Therefore we only need to look at the second term. When $x = y$ we get $0 = f(y) = \langle w, y \rangle = w_n$. For $k \neq n$ we write $x_k = x_k x_n - x_k(x_n - 1)$. Define $g_{3k-2}(x) = \tilde{g}_k(x) - w_k$, $g_{3k-1}(x) = w_k x_k x_n$, and $g_{3k}(x) = x_n - 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Similarly let $h_{3k-2}(x) = x_k$, $h_{3k-1}(x) = x_n$, and $h_{3k}(x) = w_k x_k$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can express f as follows

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \langle \tilde{g}(x) - w, x \rangle + \langle w, x \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{3k-2}(x) h_{3k-2}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{3k-1}(x) h_{3k-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_{3k}(x) h_{3k}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k(x) h_k(x). \end{aligned}$$

\square

4.3. Infinitesimal analysis.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathcal{H}[\varepsilon]$ denote the space of all elements of the form $x + \varepsilon y$ with $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ and the infinitesimal $\varepsilon \neq 0$ satisfying the condition $\varepsilon^2 = 0$. Then for any smooth function f it holds that*

$$(10) \quad f(x + \varepsilon y) = f(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle, \quad \text{for every } x, y \in \mathcal{H}.$$

In particular the following rules for differentiation hold: Let f, g be smooth functions

- (1) $\nabla f(x) = 0$, whenever f is a constant function, and $\nabla c f(x) = c \nabla f(x)$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$
- (2) $\nabla(f + g)(x) = \nabla f(x) + \nabla g(x)$ (sum rule)
- (3) $\nabla(f \cdot g)(x) = \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x)$ (product rule).

Proof. By Theorem 2 there is a smooth mapping $g : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + g(x)(y, y) = f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} y_i y_j g_{ij}(x).$$

Consequently

$$f(x + \varepsilon y) = f(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} y_i y_j g_{ij}(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle.$$

The last equation can be written equivalently as follows

$$\langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle = \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x)}{\varepsilon}.$$

If f is constant, then $\langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, and in particular for $y = \nabla f(x)$. This implies $\nabla f(x) = 0$. Now let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ (say $c \neq 0$, else it is trivial), then

$$\langle \nabla c f(x), y \rangle = \frac{c f(x + \varepsilon y) - c f(x)}{\varepsilon} = c \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x)}{\varepsilon} = c \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle = \langle c \nabla f(x), y \rangle$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, in particular for $y = \nabla c f(x) - c \nabla f(x)$, implying $\|\nabla c f(x) - c \nabla f(x)\|^2 = 0$. Therefore $\nabla c f(x) = c \nabla f(x)$. Now let f, g be smooth, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla(f + g)(x), y \rangle &= \frac{(f + g)(x + \varepsilon y) - (f + g)(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) + g(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x) - g(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x)}{\varepsilon} + \frac{g(x + \varepsilon y) - g(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle + \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x) + \nabla g(x), y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\langle \nabla(f + g)(x) - \nabla f(x) - \nabla g(x), y \rangle = 0$$

for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, in particular for $y = \nabla(f + g)(x) - \nabla f(x) - \nabla g(x)$ implying

$$\|\nabla(f + g)(x) - \nabla f(x) - \nabla g(x)\| = 0.$$

Consequently $\nabla(f + g)(x) = \nabla f(x) + \nabla g(x)$. Lastly we prove the product rule. Again let f, g be real-valued smooth functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x), y \rangle &= \frac{(f \cdot g)(x + \varepsilon y) - (f \cdot g)(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) \cdot g(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x) \cdot g(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{(f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x)) \cdot g(x + \varepsilon y) + f(x) \cdot (g(x + \varepsilon y) - g(x))}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \frac{f(x + \varepsilon y) - f(x)}{\varepsilon} \cdot g(x + \varepsilon y) + f(x) \cdot \frac{g(x + \varepsilon y) - g(x)}{\varepsilon} \\ &= \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle \cdot g(x + \varepsilon y) + f(x) \cdot \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From the above argument by Theorem 1 we have that $g(x + \varepsilon y) = g(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle$ for every $y \in \mathcal{H}$, so

$$\langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x), y \rangle = \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle.$$

Multiplying both sides by ε and using $\varepsilon^2 = 0$ yields

$$\varepsilon (\langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x), y \rangle - \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle \cdot g(x) - f(x) \cdot \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle) = 0.$$

By definition $\varepsilon \neq 0$, then $\langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) - f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x), y \rangle = 0$ for all $y \in \mathcal{H}$, and in particular for $y = \langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) - f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x)$, implying

$$\| \langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x) - \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) - f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x) \| = 0.$$

Consequently $\langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x) = \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x)$ for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$. □

Remark 7. We may identify a certain mapping $\Psi(f) : \mathcal{H}[\varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[\varepsilon]$ for all $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{H})$, that gives an interpretation for smooth functions in \mathcal{H} taking arguments from $\mathcal{H}[\varepsilon]$. The mapping Ψ acts as

$$\Psi(f)(x + \varepsilon y) = f(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle.$$

It is well-behaved with respect to the product of smooth functions. If $f, g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{H})$, then

$$\Psi(f \cdot g)(x + \varepsilon y) = (f \cdot g)(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla(f \cdot g)(x), y \rangle.$$

By rule (3) in Theorem 6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(f \cdot g)(x + \varepsilon y) &= f(x) \cdot g(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x) \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot \nabla g(x), y \rangle \\ &= f(x) \cdot g(x) + \varepsilon (\langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle \cdot g(x) + f(x) \cdot \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle) \\ &= (f(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle) \cdot (g(x) + \varepsilon \langle \nabla g(x), y \rangle) \\ &= \Psi(f)(x + \varepsilon y) \cdot \Psi(g)(x + \varepsilon y). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover $\Psi(1) = 1$, where $f(x) = 1$ is the multiplicative identity element in $C^\infty(\mathcal{H})$. Such maps Ψ play an important role in the theory of C^∞ -rings, e.g. see [2, §I, §II].

Remark 8. The extension of Hadamard's Lemma and its consequences presented here hold as well in separable Banach spaces admitting a Schauder basis.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. I. Bogachev and O. G. Smolyanov. *Real and Functional Analysis*. Moscow Lectures (ML, volume 4), Springer Cham, 2020.
- [2] I. Moerdijk and G. E. Reyes. *Models for Smooth Infinitesimal Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 1991.
- [3] J. Nestruev. *Smooth Manifolds and Observables*. Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2003.
- [4] T. Osawa. The Hadamard variational formula for the ground state value of $-\Delta u = \lambda|u|^{p-1}u$. *Kodai Math. J.*, 15:258–278, 1992.
- [5] A. I. Perov. On the Hadamard Lemma and the Lipschitz condition. *Russian Mathematics (Iz. VUZ)*, 53(12):30–40, 2009.

GERMAN INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY IN BERLIN
 FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
 BERLIN 13507, GERMANY.