

This text will appear in Volume 8 of the *Handbook of Geometry and Topology of Singularities*, Springer.

AN INTRODUCTION TO LOCAL TROPICALIZATION

PATRICK POPESCU-PAMPU AND DMITRY STEPANOV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we explain four viewpoints on the *local tropicalization* of formal subgerms of toric germs, which is a local analog of the global tropicalization of subvarieties of algebraic tori. We start by illustrating some of those viewpoints for plane curve singularities, then we pass to arbitrary dimensions. We conclude by describing several variants and extensions of the notion of local tropicalization presented in this paper.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Motivating considerations about the cusp singularity	3
3. The Newton polygon of a plane curve singularity	6
4. Basic facts about toric geometry and valuation theory	10
5. Four sets of weight vectors associated to an interior subtoric germ	14
6. Four viewpoints on local tropicalization	16
7. The local tropicalization of an effective principal subtoric divisor	18
8. The local tropicalization of splice type surface singularities	20
9. Variants and extensions of local tropicalization	22
9.1. Extended local tropicalization	22
9.2. Ulirsch's tropicalization of logarithmic schemes	25
9.3. Other generalizations of local tropicalization	26
References	27

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of this paper is to present various viewpoints on the notion of *local tropicalization*, introduced by the authors in their 2013 paper [39] as a local analog of the global notion of tropicalization of a subvariety of an algebraic torus. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of singularity theory and toric geometry. For details about global tropicalization, one may consult either the introductory papers [20], [42], [22] of Kajiwara, Teissier and Katz or Maclagan and Sturmfels' textbook [28].

Let us explain briefly what does it mean to tropicalize locally a reduced complex formal germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ whose irreducible components are not contained in the union $\partial \mathbb{C}^n$ of coordinate hyperplanes of \mathbb{C}^n . The initial definitions of [39, Definitions 6.6, 6.7] involved spaces of valuations, which allowed us to apply them both to local and global situations, for arbitrary commutative rings, not necessarily containing a field.

Date: 14 February 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14B05 (primary), 14T10, 14T90, 32S25.

Key words and phrases. Formal germs, local tropicalization, Newton polyhedra, semivaluations, splice type singularities, toric birational morphisms.

But the shortest definition of the local tropicalization of the embedding $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is most likely as *the topological closure inside \mathbb{R}^n of the union of rays $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w$ generated by the weight vectors $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$ which appear as initial exponent vectors of the formal arcs*

$$t \mapsto (c_1 t^{w_1} + \dots, \dots, c_n t^{w_n} + \dots)$$

contained in Y but not contained in $\partial \mathbb{C}^n$ (that is, such that $c_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $w_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$). For instance, if Y is a plane curve singularity defined by a series $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$, then its local tropicalization is the union of the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon of f (see Corollary 3.10).

For the geometrically-inclined reader, perhaps the most suggestive viewpoint on local tropicalization is the following *toric-geometrical* one. Seen as an affine toric variety, \mathbb{C}^n has the set \mathcal{F}_0 of faces of the non-negative orthant $\sigma_0 := (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})^n$ of its vector space \mathbb{R}^n of weight vectors as associated fan. Note that σ_0 also belongs to \mathcal{F}_0 , as its nonproper face. Let \mathcal{F} be a fan whose cones are contained in σ_0 . There exists a canonical birational morphism

$$\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

from the toric variety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ associated to the fan \mathcal{F} to the affine toric variety $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}_0}$. This birational morphism is a *modification*, that is, it is moreover *proper*, if and only if the *support* $|\mathcal{F}|$ of \mathcal{F} (that is, if the union of its cones) is equal to σ_0 . Denote by $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ the strict transform of Y by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and let

$$\pi : Y_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$$

be the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$. *It may happen that π is a modification without $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ being so* (see Example 5.2).

Question: *Under which condition is the morphism $\pi : Y_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$ proper?*

Answer: *Precisely when the support $|\mathcal{F}|$ of \mathcal{F} contains the local tropicalization of $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$! That is, this local tropicalization may be defined as *the intersection of the supports of the fans \mathcal{F} such that $\pi : Y_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$ is proper.**

This is the announced toric-geometrical interpretation of the notion of local tropicalization. In this paper we will present two more definitions of local tropicalization for embeddings $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ as above and we will explain the equivalences of the four definitions (see Theorem 6.2). We will indicate also related works (see Section 9).

Let us describe the structure of the paper. Each time a notation is introduced, it is included in a box and each time a terminology is presented, it is written in **boldface characters**. We start by explaining basic ideas about local tropicalization in dimension two, for plane curve singularities. Namely, in Section 2 we illustrate the above toric interpretation of local tropicalization using a cuspidal cubic curve in the affine plane \mathbb{C}^2 . In Section 3 we recall the notion of *Newton polygon* of a plane curve singularity $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ in a way suitable for our purposes and we explain in this context the equivalence of the above two definitions of local tropicalization. Then we pass to arbitrary dimensions. In Section 4 we explain our notations and basic facts about *toric geometry* and *valuation theory*. In Section 5 we turn the toric-geometric viewpoint on local tropicalization into a definition of local tropicalization for certain formal subgerms Y of a germ of affine toric variety $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ and we explain how to associate weight vectors to certain arcs included in Y or to semivaluations of the local ring of Y . In Section 6 we formulate Theorem 6.2, stating that various definitions of the local tropicalization of an embedding of Y into a toric germ $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ are equivalent and we explain intuitively some ingredients of its proof. In Section 7 we describe the local tropicalization of a principal effective divisor on a toric germ in terms of its Newton polyhedron. In Section 8 we describe our work done in collaboration with Cueto on the local tropicalizations of a large and important class of surface singularities, Neumann and Wahl's *splice type singularities*. Finally, in Section 9 we present variants and extensions of the notion of local tropicalization explained in this paper, mentioning papers of Kajiwara, Payne, Ulirsch, Esterov, J. Giansiracusa, N. Giansiracusa and Lorscheid.

2. MOTIVATING CONSIDERATIONS ABOUT THE CUSP SINGULARITY

In this section we illustrate the toric-geometrical interpretation of local tropicalization explained in Section 1 on the example of a plane cubic curve with a cusp singularity at the origin. For this purpose, we recall basic facts about toric surfaces, their fans and their orbits (see points (1)–(6) below).

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\boxed{Z(f)}$ the principal Cartier divisor defined by a rational function f on a normal complex analytic variety, or the germ of such a divisor defined by an element of a local ring of such a variety. We denote by $\boxed{\mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2}$ the complex affine plane with coordinates x and y .

Definition 2.1. A **modification** of an irreducible complex analytic variety X is a proper bimeromorphic morphism $\pi : X_\pi \rightarrow X$.

An **embedded resolution** of a curve contained in a smooth surface X is a modification π of the surface such that X_π is smooth, the total transform of the curve is a normal crossings divisor and π induces an isomorphism between the complement of the exceptional set of π in X_π and the complement of the singular locus of the curve in X . There exists a **minimal embedded resolution**, through which all other resolutions factor. It is obtained by blowing up successively the points of the curve and of its total transforms where one does not have locally normal crossings (see [50, Section 3.4]).

In order to illustrate this fact, let $\boxed{Y} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{x,y}^2 =: \boxed{S_0}$ be the plane cubic curve $Z(f)$, where:

$$(2.1) \quad f(x, y) := y^2 - 2x^3 + x^2y.$$

It has only one singular point, at the origin $\boxed{p_0} := (0, 0)$ of S_0 , as may be seen by solving the system of equations $f = \partial_x f = \partial_y f = 0$. The germ of Y at p_0 is a **cusp singularity**. That is, it is formally isomorphic to the germ at the origin of the semicubical parabola $Z(u^2 - v^3) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{u,v}^2$. This fact may be shown explicitly by first completing the square in the polynomial (2.1):

$$f(x, y) = \left(y + \frac{x^2}{2} \right)^2 - 2x^3 \left(1 + \frac{x}{8} \right)$$

and by performing then the formal (even analytic) change of variables:

$$u := y + \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad v := 2^{1/3}x \left(1 + \frac{x}{8} \right)^{1/3},$$

in which the last cubic root is expanded using Newton's binomial series expansion formula for $(1+t)^{1/3}$.

One may reach the minimal embedded resolution of Y by blowing up successively three points p_0, p_1, p_2 of Y and of its strict transforms, as illustrated on the upper part of Figure 1. For each $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, denote by

$$\boxed{\pi_i} : S_{i+1} \rightarrow S_i$$

the blowup morphism of the surface $\boxed{S_i}$ at the point p_i and by $\boxed{E_i} \hookrightarrow S_{i+1}$ the exceptional divisor of π_i . It is a smooth rational curve of self-intersection -1 . For each pair (i, j) such that $j \geq i+2$, we denote by $\boxed{E_{i,j}}$ the strict transform of E_i on the surface S_j and by $\boxed{Y_j}$ the strict transform of the curve Y on the same surface. For instance, $Y_0 = Y$.

Each time one blows up a smooth point of a compact curve contained in a smooth surface, the self-intersection number of its strict transform is one less than the initial self-intersection number (see [25, Lemma 4.3] or [50, Lemma 8.1.6]). Therefore, one has the following self-intersection numbers on the final surface S_3 :

$$E_{0,3}^2 = -3, \quad E_{1,3}^2 = -2, \quad E_2^2 = -1.$$

As $E_{0,3}$ and $E_{1,3}$ have negative self-intersections, they may be contracted to normal singular points (see [25, Theorem 4.9]). Denote by $\boxed{\tilde{S}}$ the resulting surface and by

$$\boxed{\gamma} : S_3 \rightarrow \tilde{S}$$

the contraction morphism (see the right diagonal arrow of Figure 1).




FIGURE 1. The minimal embedded resolution $\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$ and a toric partial resolution $\tilde{\pi}$ of the cuspidal cubic Y contained in the plane $S_0 = \mathbb{C}^2$. The exceptional curve created by blowing up the point p_i is denoted by E_i and its strict transform on the surface S_j is denoted by $E_{i,j}$. The strict transforms of $Z(x)$ and $Z(y)$ are still denoted by $Z(x)$ and $Z(y)$. The strict transform of Y on the surface S_j is denoted by Y_j and that on \tilde{S} is denoted by \tilde{Y} .

The composed morphism $\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 : S_3 \rightarrow S_0$ may be factored as

$$\pi_0 \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 = \tilde{\pi} \circ \gamma$$

where

$$\tilde{\pi} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow S_0$$

contracts the image $\tilde{E}_2 := \gamma(E_2) \hookrightarrow \tilde{S}$ to the initial point p_0 (see the left diagonal arrow of Figure 1). Note that the restriction of γ to the curve E_2 induces an isomorphism from E_2 to \tilde{E}_2 . For this reason, we still denote by p_3 the image $\gamma(p_3)$.

In Figure 1 we have also represented the axes of coordinates $Z(x), Z(y) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = S_0$ and their strict transforms on the various surfaces appearing in the drawing. For simplicity, we denote those strict transforms in the same way. Note that the morphisms π_i blow up singular points of the total transforms of the divisor $Z(xy)$. This means that those morphisms are *toric*, if one endows the affine plane \mathbb{C}^2 with its standard toric structure with dense torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. As the morphisms π_i are also birational, it means that they may be described by successive subdivisions of **fans**, that is, finite sets of strictly convex rational cones inside the **weight plane** \mathbb{R}^2 of the toric surface \mathbb{C}^2 , closed under the operation of taking faces and such that the intersection of any two of its cones is a common face. The cones of such a fan may be of dimension *two*, of dimension *one* – in which case they are **rays** spanned by well-defined **primitive vectors** of \mathbb{Z}^2 , that is, vectors of coprime coordinates – or of dimension *zero* – that is, equal to the origin of the weight plane. A two-dimensional cone has three proper faces – two rays and the origin – while a one-dimensional cone has only one proper face, namely, the origin.

Let us recall in which way a fan \mathcal{F} contained in the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 encodes a **toric surface** $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ (see [33], [13], [7] or [14, Section 1.3]):

- (1) There is an inclusion-preserving bijection between the cones τ of the fan and the affine open toric subsurfaces \mathcal{X}_{τ} of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The set of \mathbb{C} -valued points of \mathcal{X}_{τ} is the set of all *monoid* homomorphisms from $\tau^{\vee} \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ to \mathbb{C} , where τ^{\vee} is the dual cone of τ (see Section 4) and \mathbb{C} is viewed here as a *multiplicative* monoid. Each such surface \mathcal{X}_{τ} contains a unique closed orbit \mathcal{O}_{τ} , which is canonically a complex algebraic torus of dimension $2 - \dim \tau$. It is also the unique smallest dimensional orbit of \mathcal{X}_{τ} . As a set, \mathcal{O}_{τ} consists of those monoid homomorphisms which send

$(\tau^\vee \setminus \tau^\perp) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2$ to $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, where τ^\perp is the orthogonal complement (or the annihilator) of τ in the dual vector space.

- (2) To the trivial cone 0 reduced to the origin of the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 corresponds an algebraic torus \mathcal{X}_0 isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$. It is Zariski-dense in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$.
- (3) To a one-dimensional cone, that is, a ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w$ spanned by a non-zero weight vector w with integral coordinates, corresponds a toric affine open surface $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w}$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$.
- (4) To a **regular** two-dimensional cone, that is, to a cone spanned by a basis of the weight lattice \mathbb{Z}^2 , corresponds an affine open surface isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 .
- (5) To a two-dimensional cone which is not regular corresponds an affine open surface which is normal and has one singular point, namely, its 0-dimensional orbit.
- (6) If $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is an integer weight vector, then its corresponding **one parameter subgroup**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{C}^* &\rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^*)^2 \\ t &\mapsto (t^p, t^q) \end{aligned}$$

has a limit inside $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ when $t \rightarrow 0$ if and only if (p, q) belongs to the **support** $|\mathcal{F}|$ of \mathcal{F} , that is, to the union of its cones. This limit is the identity element of the orbit O_τ seen as a group, where τ is the unique cone of \mathcal{F} whose relative interior contains (p, q) .

Note that if τ is a strictly convex rational cone of the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathcal{F} is the fan consisting of its faces, then one has $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{X}_\tau$.




FIGURE 2. The fans corresponding to the toric surfaces of Figure 1 and their subdivisions corresponding to the toric morphisms of the same figure. We write the name of the corresponding irreducible component of the total transform of $Z(xy) \mapsto S_0$. Inside each regular two-dimensional cone which gets subdivided, we indicate the name of the corresponding 0-dimensional orbit. The right diagonal arrow is the toric modification which blows down the orbit closures $E_{0,3}$ and $E_{1,3}$. The left diagonal arrow blows then down the orbit closure \tilde{E}_2 .

The process of successive subdivisions of fans corresponding to the toric morphisms of Figure 1 is represented in Figure 2. The starting fan $|\mathcal{F}_0|$, corresponding to the surface $S_0 = \mathbb{C}^2$, consists of the non-negative quadrant $|\sigma_0|$ of the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 of \mathbb{C}^2 and of its faces. Near each ray τ we write the name of the irreducible component of the total transform of $Z(xy)$ which is the closure of the corresponding one-dimensional orbit O_τ . That irreducible component is isomorphic either to \mathbb{C} (in the case of the strict transforms of $Z(x)$ and $Z(y)$) or to $\mathbb{C}P^1$ (in the case of an exceptional divisor $E_{i,j}$). On the upper horizontal line of Figure 2, each blowup is represented combinatorially by the subdivision of a regular cone τ along a ray. Inside each such cone τ we indicate the name of the associated point, which is the

0-dimensional orbit of \mathcal{X}_τ . The subdividing ray is spanned by the sum $w_i + w_j$ of the primitive weight vectors w_i and w_j spanning the edges of τ . All those primitive vectors are drawn as small dots.

Let us consider inside each surface S_1, S_2, S_3 appearing in the upper part of Figure 1 the affine toric open set \mathcal{X}_τ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ which corresponds to the ray τ which was added to get the surface. The strict transforms of the curve Y inside those affine toric surfaces \mathcal{X}_τ are the intersections of \mathcal{X}_τ with the curves Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 , that is, $Y_1 \setminus p_1, Y_2 \setminus p_2$ and Y_3 respectively. Indeed, only Y_3 does not pass through a singular point of the total transform of the divisor $Z(xy)$, that is, through a 0-dimensional orbit of the ambient toric surface, and $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ does not contain such an orbit. Restricting the toric birational morphisms $S_i \rightarrow S_0$ to those three strict transforms, we get the restrictions:

$$\pi_1|: Y_1 \setminus p_1 \rightarrow Y, \quad \pi_1 \circ \pi_2|: Y_2 \setminus p_2 \rightarrow Y, \quad \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 \circ \pi_3|: Y_3 \rightarrow Y.$$

Only the last morphism is *proper*, similarly to what happens for the morphism $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S_0$ (see the bottom of Figure 1). The curve Y_3 is the strict transform of Y in the affine toric surface $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(2,3)}$ determined by the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(2,3)$ spanned by the weight vector $(2,3)$. If we want to also turn the first two morphisms into proper ones, we need to add the orbits p_1 and p_2 respectively, that is, to look inside the affine toric surfaces \mathcal{X}_τ , where τ is one of the two-dimensional regular cones decorated by p_1 and p_2 in Figure 2. Note that both cones contain the ray $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(2,3)}$.

In fact, *for every fan \mathcal{F} whose support is contained in σ_0 , the restriction $\pi: Y_\pi \rightarrow Y$ of the toric morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\sigma_0} = \mathbb{C}^2$ to the strict transform Y_π in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is proper if and only if $|\mathcal{F}|$ contains the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(2,3)$* . Therefore, according to the toric-geometrical interpretation of local tropicalization explained in Section 1, *this ray is the local tropicalization of the germ (Y, p_0)* .

Question: *How is the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(2,3)$ related to the defining series $f(x,y)$ of the germ (Y, p_0) (see formula (2.1))?*

Answer: It is the ray orthogonal to the unique compact edge of the Newton polygon of f .

Proposition 3.12 below states the analogous result for all plane curve singularities without branches contained in the coordinate axes.

3. THE NEWTON POLYGON OF A PLANE CURVE SINGULARITY

In this section we relate the two viewpoints on local tropicalization explained in the introduction – via weight vectors of parametrizations and toric geometry – in the case of plane curve singularities $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ defined by series $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$ which are divisible neither by x nor by y . The object allowing to make this connection precise is the *Newton polygon* $\mathcal{N}(f)$ of f (see Definition 3.4, Corollary 3.10 and Proposition 3.12). We end the section by introducing a third viewpoint on the local tropicalization, using the *initial forms* $\text{in}_{(p,q)} f$ of f (see Corollary 3.14).

Consider a **plane curve singularity** $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, which for us means a reduced formal germ of dimension one. Since the local ring $\mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$ is factorial, we know that Y is a principal divisor, that is, there exists $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x,y]] \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying $f(0,0) = 0$, such that $Y = Z(f)$. The series $f(x,y)$ is well-defined up to multiplication by a unit of $\mathbb{C}[[x,y]]$, that is, by a series with non-zero constant term. The germ Y consists of a finite number of **branches**, that is, irreducible germs of curves.

We assume in the sequel that *no branch of Y is contained in the union of coordinate axes $Z(x)$ and $Z(y)$ of \mathbb{C}^2* , which amounts to say that f is not divisible by x or y . Note that in terms of Definition 4.1 below, this means that Y is an *internal subtoric germ* of $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$.

A basic property of plane *branches* B is that their normalizations are *smooth*, which means that they are described by parametrizations $t \mapsto (x(t), y(t))$, with $x(t), y(t) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Special kinds of such normalizing parametrizations may be obtained by the classical iterative method of Newton (see [16, pages 59-60] or [14, Section 1.2.6]). They satisfy the property that $x(t) = t^n$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ is the intersection number at 0 of B with the branch $(Z(x), 0)$. Each step of Newton's method uses a suitable *Newton polygon*.

Let us explain how Newton polygons pop up naturally in this context. Instead of looking for *Newton-Puiseux parametrizations* of the form $t \mapsto (t^n, y(t))$, we will consider an arbitrary parametrization $t \mapsto$

$(x(t), y(t))$ of a branch of $Y = Z(f)$ and we will look for constraints on its *initial terms*. As no branch of Y is contained in the coordinate axes of \mathbb{C}^2 , both series $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are non-zero. We have the relation

$$(3.1) \quad f(x(t), y(t)) = 0$$

in the ring $\mathbb{C}[[t]]$. Let us write

$$(3.2) \quad x(t) = \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \boxed{x_i} t^i, \quad y(t) = \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \boxed{y_j} t^j, \quad x_i, y_j \in \mathbb{C},$$

where $p > 0, q > 0, x_p \neq 0, y_q \neq 0$. Therefore $x_p t^p$ and $y_q t^q$ are the **initial terms** of $x(t)$ and $y(t)$.

Definition 3.1. The **support** $\boxed{\text{supp } f}$ of $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of exponents $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2$ such that the coefficient $f_{\alpha, \beta}$ of the monomial $x^\alpha y^\beta$ in the expansion of f does not vanish. With this notation we can write

$$(3.3) \quad f(x, y) = \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{supp } f} \boxed{f_{\alpha, \beta}} x^\alpha y^\beta, \quad f_{\alpha, \beta} \in \mathbb{C}^* \text{ for all } (\alpha, \beta) \in \text{supp } f.$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.3) and rearranging the terms, the identity (3.1) becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \delta(p, q)} f_{\alpha, \beta} x_p^\alpha y_q^\beta \right) \cdot t^{m(p, q)} + \sum_{m > m(p, q)} d_m t^m = 0,$$

for some coefficients $d_m \in \mathbb{C}$. The set $\delta(p, q) \subseteq \text{supp } f$ and the positive integer $m(p, q)$ appearing in (3.4) are defined as follows, recalling that σ_0 denotes the non-negative quadrant of \mathbb{R}^2 :

Definition 3.2. Consider $(p, q) \in \sigma_0 \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$. The **basis** $\boxed{\delta_{(p, q)}(f)}$ **relative to** (p, q) of the support $\text{supp } f$ of f is the subset of $\text{supp } f$ on which the linear function

$$\boxed{(p, q)} : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \\ (\alpha, \beta) \mapsto p\alpha + q\beta$$

achieves its minimum $\boxed{m(p, q)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ when restricted to $\text{supp } f$.

As (α, β) is the *vector of exponents* of the monomial $x^\alpha y^\beta$, the pair (p, q) may be interpreted as a *weight vector* relative to the variables (x, y) . We chose the name of *basis of $\text{supp } f$* for $\delta_{(p, q)}(f)$ as we think about it as the basis on which $\text{supp } f$ lies when it is studied using the *height function* $(p, q) : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

The following classical result explains how convex geometry introduces itself at this precise moment:

Proposition 3.3. *Let F be a finite subset of the real vector space V and let $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear form. Then, the minimum of the restriction of ℓ to F is achieved precisely on the intersection of F with a face of its own convex hull. Moreover, all the faces of the convex hull of F appear when ℓ varies in the dual vector space of V .*

For a summary of facts from convex geometry used in the theory of toric varieties see Oda's [33, Appendix].

In our context, we have to apply Proposition 3.3 to $F := \text{supp } f$. As f is a series, its support $\text{supp } f$ may be *infinite*. Nevertheless, it is easy to see that if $p > 0, q > 0$, that is, if (p, q) varies in the interior σ_0° of the non-negative quadrant σ_0 of the plane \mathbb{R}^2 of weight vectors, then the restriction of the linear form (p, q) of Definition 3.2 to $\text{supp } f$ achieves its minimum on a *finite* set. Indeed, the possible such sets are the intersections of $\text{supp } f$ with the *compact* faces of a variant of the convex hull of $\text{supp } f$, which is called the *Newton polygon* of f . This polygon is defined as follows:

Definition 3.4. The **Newton polygon** $\boxed{\mathcal{N}(f)}$ of the series $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$ is the convex hull in \mathbb{R}^2 of the set

$$\text{supp } f + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 = \bigcup_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \text{supp } f} ((\alpha, \beta) + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2).$$

The sum of the left-hand side of the previous equality is the so-called **Minkowski sum** of subsets of a real vector space, defined by:

$$A + B := \{a + b, a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Our assumption that f is not divisible by x or y means that its Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(f)$ intersects both axes of the plane of exponents of monomials. Its compact faces are either *vertices* or *edges*.




FIGURE 3. The Minkowski sum $\text{supp } f + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ of Definition 3.4 (on the left) and the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(f)$ of the series $f(x, y) = y^2 - 2x^3 + x^2y$ (on the right).

Example 3.5. Let us consider again the series $f(x, y) := y^2 - 2x^3 + x^2y$ of formula (2.1). Figure 3 shows the Minkowski sum $\text{supp } f + \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2$ of Definition 3.4 and its convex hull, which is by definition the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(f)$. \diamond

Remark 3.6. Assume that $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$, as in Example 3.5. If instead of weight vectors with positive coordinates we had considered arbitrary non-zero vectors (p, q) of the weight plane then, seen as linear forms on the plane of exponents of monomials, they would have achieved their minima on the intersections of $\text{supp } f$ with the faces of the *convex hull of this support*. This convex hull – a triangle in Example 3.5 – is by definition the *global Newton polygon* of the polynomial $f(x, y)$, which gives information about the parametric curves contained in $Z(f)$ which are described by *Laurent power series* (with possibly negative exponents) instead of power series with non-negative exponents. In this paper we are concerned only with parametric curves *passing through the origin of \mathbb{C}^2 or, more generally, of \mathbb{C}^n* . That is why we define the Newton polygon of f as in Definition 3.4. In order to differentiate it from the convex hull of the support, one may call it the *local Newton polygon*. Note that the term *Newton diagram* is also met in the literature, sometimes meaning the strict subset of the local Newton polygon which is the union of its compact faces (see for instance [46]).

The map associating to each non-zero series its Newton polygon behaves like the logarithm function, in the sense that it transforms products into Minkowski sums (see [14, Proposition 1.6.13]):

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that $f, g \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$. Then:*

$$\mathcal{N}(fg) = \mathcal{N}(f) + \mathcal{N}(g).$$

Proposition 3.7 implies that $\mathcal{N}(fg) = \mathcal{N}(f)$ whenever g is a unit of $\mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$. Therefore the Newton polygon does not depend of the defining series of a given plane curve singularity $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$. This allows to simply speak of the **Newton polygon** $\boxed{\mathcal{N}(Y)}$ of Y . Even if we drop it for simplicity from the notation, it is not an invariant of the abstract germ Y , since it depends strongly on the chosen embedding of Y in $(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ (see [35] or [15]).

Let us come back to the equality (3.4). For this equality to be possible, it is necessary that *the coefficient*

$$\boxed{d_{m(p,q)}} := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \delta(p,q)} f_{\alpha, \beta} x_p^\alpha y_q^\beta$$

of $t^{m(p,q)}$ contains at least two summands. That is, *the face $\delta(p, q)$ must be a compact edge of $\mathcal{N}(f)$ and not a vertex*. If this is the case, then, since \mathbb{C} is algebraically closed, it is indeed possible to choose the

initial coefficients in the series $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ so that $d_{m(p,q)} = 0$. Moreover, as shown for instance in [49, Section IV.3.2] or [10, Section 2.1], one can organize in this way an iterative process which computes parametrizations of all the branches of $Z(f)$.

To say that $(p, q) \in \sigma_0^\circ$ achieves its minimum on the edge $\delta(p, q)$ of $\mathcal{N}(f)$ means that the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}(p, q)$ is orthogonal to $\delta(p, q)$. We may thus summarize the previous explanations as follows:

Theorem 3.8. *For a given plane curve singularity $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ without branches contained in the coordinate axes, there exists only a finite number of possibilities for the quotient q/p of initial exponents p and q of a parametrized arc contained in Y . Namely, those quotients are exactly the slopes of the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of Y .*

Remark 3.9. It is not always possible to choose the pair (p, q) of Theorem 3.8 to be primitive, that is, such that p and q are coprime. For instance, let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$ be the minimal polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[[x]][[y]]$ of the Newton-Puiseux series $x^{3/2} + x^{7/4}$. Therefore, $(x(t) := t^4, y(t) := t^6 + t^7)$ is a parametrization of the branch $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by f . The weight vector $(4, 6)$ of initial exponents of the series $(x(t), y(t))$ is not primitive and $(2, 3)$ is the unique primitive weight vector with positive coordinates which is proportional to it. Assume that a pair $(x_1(t), y_1(t)) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ has $(2, 3)$ as weight vector of initial exponents. Then, one may perform a change of variable, replacing t by $u \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ such that $x_1(t) = u^2$. The series $y_1(t)$ becomes a series $y_2(u) \in \mathbb{C}[[u]]$. The pair $(u^2, y_2(u))$ parametrizes the same branch $B \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ as $(x_1(t), y_1(t))$ therefore, as 2 is a prime number, this branch has at most one characteristic exponent (recall that the characteristic exponents of a Newton-Puiseux series are those exponents of its support which cannot be written as a fraction whose denominator is the lowest common denominator of the exponents strictly lower than them, see [14, Definition 1.6.2]). This shows that B cannot be equal to the branch Y , which has two characteristic exponents, namely $3/2$ and $7/4$.

Using the first definition of the local tropicalization of $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ given in the introduction, in terms of exponent vectors of arcs contained in Y , Theorem 3.8 implies:

Corollary 3.10. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a plane curve singularity without branches contained in the coordinate axes. Then its local tropicalization is the union of the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of Y .*




FIGURE 4. The local tropicalization of the series $f(x, y) = y^2 - 2x^3 + x^2y$ is the ray spanned by the primitive vector $(2, 3)$, which is indicated with a filled dot.

The local tropicalization of the series of Example 3.5 is represented in Figure 4. One recognizes the diagram of the bottom of Figure 2. This is not a coincidence. Indeed, one has the following property, which is illustrated in Figures 1 and 2:

Proposition 3.11. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a plane curve singularity without branches contained in the coordinate axes. Let \mathcal{F} be a fan with support contained in the non-negative quadrant σ_0 of the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider the strict transform $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ of Y by the toric morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathcal{X}_{\sigma_0}$. Then, $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ avoids the 0-dimensional orbits of the toric surface $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ if and only if the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of Y are cones of the fan \mathcal{F} .*

Proof. We add cones to the fan \mathcal{F} until we obtain a fan \mathcal{F}' whose support is σ_0 . Then, we subdivide the two-dimensional cones of \mathcal{F}' using the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of Y , obtaining a fan $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$. By [14, Proposition 1.4.18], the strict transform $Y_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}$ of Y by the toric morphism $\pi_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} : \mathcal{X}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathcal{X}_{\sigma_0}$ intersects the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}}$ only at points of the orbits of dimension one which correspond to the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of $\mathcal{N}(Y)$. As a consequence, the strict transform $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ intersects the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ only at points of the images of those orbits by the toric morphism $\hat{\pi} : \mathcal{X}_{\hat{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$. Those images are precisely the orbits of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ which correspond to the cones σ of \mathcal{F} whose relative interiors contain rays orthogonal to the compact edges of $\mathcal{N}(Y)$. To say that no such orbit is of dimension 0 means that no such cone σ is of dimension two. This is equivalent to the fact that the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon of Y are cones of the fan \mathcal{F} . \square

The previous proof yields also the following result:

Proposition 3.12. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ be a plane curve singularity without branches contained in the coordinate axes. Let \mathcal{F} be a fan with support contained in the non-negative quadrant σ_0 of the weight plane \mathbb{R}^2 . Consider the strict transform $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ of Y by the toric morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathcal{X}_{\sigma_0}$. Then the restriction $\pi : Y_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$ of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ is proper if and only if the support of \mathcal{F} contains the rays orthogonal to the compact edges of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of Y .*

This proves the equivalence of the two characterizations of local tropicalization given in Section 1 in the case of plane curve singularities $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ without branches contained in the coordinate axes.

We have worked above with initial terms of univariate series (see the equalities (3.2)). There is a corresponding notion for bivariate series, this time *relative to a weight vector*:

Definition 3.13. Let $(p, q) \in \sigma_0^\circ \cap \mathbb{Z}^2 = \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$. The (p, q) -**initial form** of the series f is the (p, q) -weighted homogeneous polynomial

$$\boxed{\text{in}_{(p,q)} f} := \sum_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \delta_{(p,q)}(f)} f_{\alpha, \beta} x^\alpha y^\beta \in \mathbb{C}[x, y].$$

Here $\delta_{(p,q)}(f) \subseteq \text{supp } f$ denotes the basis of $\text{supp } f$ relative to (p, q) , introduced in Definition 3.2.

This notion allows to rephrase Theorem 3.8 using a defining series of the given plane curve singularity, instead of parametrized arcs contained in it:

Corollary 3.14. *Let $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$ and $f \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]] \setminus \{0\}$. Then, (p, q) belongs to the local tropicalization of $Z(f) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ if and only if the initial form $\text{in}_{(p,q)} f$ is not a monomial.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.10, (p, q) belongs to the local tropicalization of $Z(f) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ if and only if (p, q) is orthogonal to a compact edge of the Newton polygon $\mathcal{N}(f)$, that is, if and only if the basis $\delta_{(p,q)}(f)$ has at least two elements. In turn, by Definition 3.13, this is equivalent to the fact that the initial form $\text{in}_{(p,q)} f$ is not a monomial. \square

Corollary 3.14 may be turned into a third definition of the local tropicalization of $Z(f) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$, as the union of rays generated by the vectors $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2$ such that the initial form $\text{in}_{(p,q)} f$ is not a monomial. We will see below that a slight modification of this definition may be given for germs of higher dimension embedded in germs of toric varieties (see Definition 5.7) and that it is equivalent to the two definitions presented in the introduction (see Theorem 6.2). Before that, we need to explain our notations from toric geometry and valuation theory in arbitrary dimensions.

4. BASIC FACTS ABOUT TORIC GEOMETRY AND VALUATION THEORY

In this section we explain elementary facts as well as our notations and conventions about *toric geometry* and *valuation theory*. A basic relation between the two is that any *subtoric arc* in the sense of Definition 4.3 defines a *semivaluation* (see Example 4.7).

Let us start with toric geometry. Here we work in arbitrary dimension, by contrast with Sections 2 and 3, in which we considered only toric surfaces. The readers needing more details may consult the

textbooks [33], [13], [7]. Those who want quick introductions to the subject may consult [2], [6] or [14, Section 1.3].

From now on, we replace the field \mathbb{C} of the previous sections with an arbitrary algebraically closed field \mathbb{K} . Let \boxed{N} and \boxed{M} be two **lattices**, that is, two free abelian groups of finite rank. We assume that they are **dual** of each other, which means that we fix a unimodular bilinear pairing

$$\begin{aligned} N \times M &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (w, m) &\mapsto \boxed{w \cdot m}. \end{aligned}$$

This pairing produces identifications

$$M = \text{Hom}(N, \mathbb{Z}), \quad N = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We interpret the two lattices differently: M is the **lattice of exponents of monomials** and N is the corresponding **weight lattice**. That is, each vector $m \in M$ gives rise to a **monomial** $\boxed{\chi^m} \in \mathbb{K}[M]$, where $\boxed{\mathbb{K}[M]}$ denotes the \mathbb{K} -algebra of the lattice M , and each vector $w \in N$ attributes the **weight** $w \cdot m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the monomial χ^m .

Once a basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) of M is fixed, one gets a dual basis $(e_1^\vee, \dots, e_n^\vee)$ of N . One may write then $m = m(1)e_1 + \dots + m(n)e_n$ and $w = w(1)e_1^\vee + \dots + w(n)e_n^\vee$, where all the coefficients $m(i)$ and $w(i)$ are integers. This allows to write $\chi^m = x_1^{m(1)} \dots x_n^{m(n)}$, where $\boxed{x_j} := \chi^{e_j}$ for every $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and w endows the variable x_j with the weight $w(j)$. That is, $\mathbb{K}[M]$ is an intrinsic way of writing the \mathbb{K} -algebra of **Laurent polynomials** in n variables and N is an intrinsic way of looking at all the ways to endow the variables of such polynomials with integer weights.

Let τ be a **strictly convex rational polyhedral cone** inside the real vector space $\boxed{N_{\mathbb{R}}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ generated by N . That is, τ is a cone $\boxed{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \langle w_1, \dots, w_k \rangle}$ generated by a finite set of vectors $w_j \in N$ and it is assumed not to contain vector subspaces of positive dimension. We denote by $\boxed{\tau^\circ}$ the interior of τ in the topological space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and by

$$\boxed{\tau^\vee} := \{m \in M_{\mathbb{R}}, w \cdot m \geq 0 \forall w \in \tau\} \subseteq M_{\mathbb{R}}$$

its **dual cone**. The intersection $\tau^\vee \cap M$ is a submonoid of $(M, +)$, generating a subalgebra $\mathbb{K}[\tau^\vee \cap M]$ of $\mathbb{K}[M]$. Its spectrum is by definition the **affine toric variety** \mathcal{X}_τ **defined by the cone τ over the field \mathbb{K}** :

$$\boxed{\mathcal{X}_\tau} := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[\tau^\vee \cap M]).$$

If τ is the origin 0 of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, one gets therefore $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[M])$. This space is also denoted by $\boxed{T_N}$ and is called the **algebraic torus** defined over \mathbb{K} and corresponding to the weight lattice N .

The set $\boxed{\mathcal{X}_\tau(\mathbb{K})}$ of **\mathbb{K} -valued points of \mathcal{X}_τ** is identified naturally with

$$\text{Hom}((\tau^\vee \cap M, +), (\mathbb{K}, \cdot)),$$

where the morphisms are taken in the category of morphisms of monoids. This identification is obtained by associating to each \mathbb{K} -valued point $p \in \mathcal{X}_\tau(\mathbb{K})$ the evaluation map which sends every $m \in \tau^\vee \cap M$ to $\chi^m(p) \in \mathbb{K}$. A basis (e_1, \dots, e_n) of M allows to identify the set $T_N(\mathbb{K})$ of \mathbb{K} -valued points of T_N with $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$.

The variety \mathcal{X}_τ is *smooth* if and only if the cone τ is **regular**, that is, $\tau = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \langle w_1, \dots, w_k \rangle$, where (w_1, \dots, w_k) may be extended to a basis of the lattice N . A choice of such an extension identifies $\mathcal{X}_\tau(\mathbb{K})$ with $\mathbb{K}^k \times (\mathbb{K}^*)^{n-k}$. Particular cases of this fact were listed as points (2), (3) and (4) of Section 2.

A **fan** is a finite set \mathcal{F} of strictly convex rational polyhedral subcones of the weight vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$, satisfying the following properties:

- if $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$, then all the faces of τ also belong to \mathcal{F} ;
- if $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ is a face of both τ_1 and τ_2 .

The **support** $\boxed{|\mathcal{F}|} \subseteq N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the fan \mathcal{F} is the union of its cones. All the fans of Figure 2 have the same support, equal to the non-negative quadrant σ_0 of \mathbb{R}^2 .

Every fan \mathcal{F} determines a toric variety $\boxed{\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}}$, obtained by gluing the affine toric varieties \mathcal{X}_{τ} defined by the cones τ of \mathcal{F} . Each variety \mathcal{X}_{τ} becomes a Zariski-open subvariety of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The torus $T_N = \mathcal{X}_0$ acts on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ by an extension of the canonical translational action on itself. This action partitions $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ into orbits. Each open subset \mathcal{X}_{τ} , for $\tau \in \mathcal{F}$, contains a unique orbit $\boxed{O_{\tau}}$ which is closed in \mathcal{X}_{τ} . It is also its unique smallest-dimensional orbit, and one has the equality:

$$\dim(O_{\tau}) + \dim(\tau) = \text{rk}(N).$$

This generalizes point (1) of Section 2. The **toric boundary** $\boxed{\partial\mathcal{X}_{\tau}}$ of \mathcal{X}_{τ} is the complement of the dense torus of \mathcal{X}_0 . That is, it is the union of orbits of codimension at least one in \mathcal{X}_{τ} .

If $|\mathcal{F}| \subseteq \sigma$, then there is a canonical toric birational morphism

$$\boxed{\pi_{\mathcal{F}}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$$

which identifies the dense tori of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and \mathcal{X}_{σ} . This morphism is a modification in the sense of Definition 2.1 (that is, it is moreover *proper*) if and only if $|\mathcal{F}| = \sigma$.

In the rest of the paper, we assume that $\boxed{\sigma} \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes a strictly convex rational polyhedral cone with non-empty interior σ° . This means that the closed orbit O_{σ} of \mathcal{X}_{σ} has dimension zero. For simplicity, we denote it by $\boxed{0}$ and the monoid $(\sigma^{\vee} \cap M, +)$ by $\boxed{\Gamma}$. Let $\boxed{(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)}$ be the formal germ of \mathcal{X}_{σ} at its closed orbit 0. Its local ring $\boxed{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0}}$ is equal to the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\boxed{\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]}$ of formal power series with coefficients in \mathbb{K} and exponents in the monoid Γ . If $f \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$, its **support** $\boxed{\text{supp } f} \subseteq \Gamma$ may be defined as in the two-dimensional regular case (see Definition 3.1).

In Definition 6.3 we will explain what does it mean to tropicalize locally *interior subtoric germs*, in the following sense:

Definition 4.1. We say that a formal subgerm $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ is a **subtoric germ**. If it is reduced and none of its irreducible components is contained in the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$, we call it an **interior subtoric germ**.

Remark 4.2. Denote by $\boxed{I_Y} \subseteq \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ the defining ideal of the subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$. Note that Y is contained in the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$ if and only if I_Y contains monomials χ^m , with $m \in \Gamma$. Therefore, an irreducible subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ is interior if and only if its defining ideal I_Y does not contain monomials.

Note that already in Section 1 we considered only *interior* subtoric germs of $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, without using this terminology. The plane curve singularities of Section 3 were also assumed to be interior subtoric. Let us introduce an analogous terminology for *arcs*:

Definition 4.3. An **arc of a formal germ** Y is a formal morphism of the form

$$\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow Y.$$

A **subtoric arc** is an arc of $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$. An **interior subtoric arc** is a subtoric arc of $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ whose image is not included in the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$.

We want to explain now how each arc of Y defines a *semivaluation* of its local ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y, 0}$ (see Example 4.7). We first explain basic facts about valuations and semivaluations. In this paper, we always assume that the *semivaluations* are real-valued:

Definition 4.4. Let R be a commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. A **valuation centered in R** is a map $\nu: R \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ such that:

- $\nu(x) = +\infty$ if and only if $x = 0$;
- $\nu(1) = 0$;
- $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$;
- $\nu(x + y) \geq \min\{\nu(x), \nu(y)\}$ for all $x, y \in R$.

A **semivaluation centered in R** is defined similarly, excepted that the first condition is relaxed to $\nu(0) = +\infty$. That is, ν is allowed to take the value $+\infty$ on elements different from 0.

For instance, the map

$$\boxed{\nu_t} : \mathbb{K}[[t]] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$$

associating to each non-zero formal power series its initial exponent and to 0 the symbol $+\infty$ is a valuation of the \mathbb{K} -algebra $\mathbb{K}[[t]]$, called the ***t*-adic valuation**.

Remark 4.5. The classical notion of *Krull valuation* has a field instead of a ring as source and an arbitrary totally ordered abelian group enriched with the symbol $+\infty$ as target (see [51, Chapter VI.8]). This notion was later extended to rings, replacing fields by rings as sources (see for instance [45, Section 2]) and the notion of *semivaluation* was obtained by allowing non-zero elements to take the value $+\infty$. In particular, real-valued semivaluations of a ring R take values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. Instead, the *centered* semivaluations of Definition 4.4 take only non-negative values. **In this paper we will only consider centered semivaluations, that is why we suppress the qualificative *centered*.** A centered semivaluation has a *center* in $\text{Spec}(R)$, defined by the prime ideal of elements $x \in R$ such that $\nu(x) > 0$. We will not use this notion.

It follows immediately from Definition 4.4 that if ν is a semivaluation on R , then the set

$$\boxed{\mathfrak{p}_\nu} := \{x \in R \mid \nu(x) = +\infty\}$$

is a prime ideal of R .

Definition 4.6. Let ν be a semivaluation of the ring R . We say that the closed subscheme of $\text{Spec}(R)$ defined by the prime ideal \mathfrak{p}_ν is the **support** of ν .

The name of *support* alludes to the fact that the semivaluation ν centered in R is determined by a valuation centered in R/\mathfrak{p}_ν . Indeed, the semivaluation ν descends to a valuation ν' of R/\mathfrak{p}_ν , therefore it may be expressed as the composition:

$$R \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{p}_\nu \xrightarrow{\nu'} [0, +\infty].$$

More generally, whenever $\varphi : R \rightarrow R'$ is a morphism of rings and $\nu' : R' \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is a valuation, the following composition is a semivaluation:

$$R \xrightarrow{\varphi} R' \xrightarrow{\nu'} [0, +\infty].$$

Example 4.7. For instance, from the algebraic viewpoint an arc of Y is defined by a morphism of rings $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_{Y,0} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[t]]$, therefore the *t*-adic valuation $\nu_t : \mathbb{K}[[t]] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ induces a semivaluation:

$$\nu_t \circ \varphi : \mathcal{O}_{Y,0} \rightarrow [0, +\infty].$$

This is the announced explanation of the way an arc determines a semivaluation. Note that this semivaluation is never a valuation when Y is of dimension at least two, because then there are non-zero elements h of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ satisfying $\varphi(h) = 0$. \diamond

In Definitions 4.1 and 4.3 we introduced the notions of *interior subtoric germs* and *interior arcs* respectively. Let us define an analogous notion for *semivaluations*, in the sense of Definition 4.4:

Definition 4.8. Let $\nu : \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ be a semivaluation. We call it an **interior semivaluation** if its support in the sense of Definition 4.6 is not contained in the toric boundary of \mathcal{X}_σ .

A semivaluation ν of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ of a subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is called **interior**, if the semivaluation of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ obtained by composing ν with the canonical projection $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ is so.

The reader can check that a semivaluation $\nu : \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]] \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ is interior if and only if $\nu(\chi^m) \neq +\infty$ for all $m \in \Gamma$. Moreover, the semivaluation associated to an arc of a subtoric germ in the sense of Example 4.7 is interior if and only if the arc is itself interior.

5. FOUR SETS OF WEIGHT VECTORS ASSOCIATED TO AN INTERIOR SUBTORIC GERM

In this section we generalize the ideas of Section 3 from plane curve singularities without branches contained in the coordinate axes to arbitrary interior subtoric germs in the sense of Definition 4.1. Namely, to every interior subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ we associate four kinds of vectors contained in the weight cone σ (see Definitions 5.1, 5.5, 5.7, 5.8), which will be used in Theorem 6.2 to give four different characterizations of a conic subset of σ . It is this subset which we call the local tropicalization of $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ (see Definition 6.3).

First, let us turn the toric-geometric explanations of Section 1 into the following definition:

Definition 5.1. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ, in the sense of Definition 4.1. A **compactifying fan** of Y is a fan \mathcal{F} such that $|\mathcal{F}| \subseteq \sigma$ and such that the restriction $\pi : Y_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow Y$ of the toric birational morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\sigma$ to the strict transform $\boxed{Y_{\mathcal{F}}}$ of Y by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is *proper*. The **compactifying cone** of Y is the intersection of the supports of all its compactifying fans.

Example 5.2. Let us give a simple example of fan \mathcal{F} such that $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is not proper but π is proper. Choose $n = 2$ and let Y be the line $Z(y - x)$ of the affine plane $\mathbb{K}_{x,y}^2$ with coordinates x, y . Let $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ be the toric birational morphism $\mathbb{K}_{u,v}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{x,y}^2$ defined by the equations $x = u, y = uv$, that is, one of the two charts of the blowup of the origin of $\mathbb{K}_{x,y}^2$. The corresponding fan \mathcal{F} consists of the faces of the cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\langle(1, 1), (0, 1)\rangle$ spanned by the vectors $(1, 1)$ and $(0, 1)$. The strict transform of Y by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is $Y_{\mathcal{F}} = Z(v - 1)$. The toric morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is obviously not proper, as the preimage $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(0) = Z(u) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}_{u,v}^2$ of the origin of $\mathbb{K}_{x,y}^2$ is not complete. But the restriction $\pi : Z(v - 1) \rightarrow Z(y - x)$ of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ is an isomorphism, hence it is proper. \diamond

Let us look at the compactifying cone of an interior subtoric branch $B \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$, in the sense of Definition 5.1. A normalisation morphism $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow B$ is an interior subtoric arc $\gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$, in the sense of Definition 4.3. Each such arc has an associated weight vector $w(\gamma)$, defined as follows. Denote by

$$\boxed{\gamma^*} : (\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]], \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathbb{K}[[t]], \cdot).$$

the corresponding local morphism of \mathbb{K} -algebras seen as a morphism of multiplicative monoids. By composing γ^* at the source with the natural injection of monoids $\boxed{i_\Gamma} : (\Gamma, +) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]], \cdot)$ and at the target with the t -adic valuation seen as a morphism $\nu_t : (\mathbb{K}[[t]], \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}, +)$ of monoids, we get a morphism of monoids $\nu_t \circ \gamma^* \circ i_\Gamma : (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, +)$, that is, an element of the monoid $(\sigma \cap N, +)$. As the arc γ is assumed to be subtoric, one has $(\nu_t \circ \gamma^* \circ i_\Gamma)(\Gamma \setminus \{0\}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{> 0}$, which shows that $\nu_t \circ \gamma^* \circ i_\Gamma \in \sigma^\circ \cap N$. We may formulate:

Definition 5.3. Let $\gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an interior subtoric arc. Its **weight vector** $\boxed{w(\gamma)}$ is the morphism of monoids

$$\nu_t \circ \gamma^* \circ i_\Gamma : (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, +),$$

seen as an element of $\sigma^\circ \cap N$.

For instance, if $\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\mathbb{K}) = \mathbb{K}^2$ and γ is defined by $t \mapsto (x(t), y(t))$ as in formula (3.2), one gets $w(\gamma) = (p, q) = (\nu_t(x(t)), \nu_t(y(t)))$.

One has the following property, which shows that *in the case of interior subtoric branches*, the two definitions of local tropicalization given in Section 1 are equivalent:

Proposition 5.4. *Let $B \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an interior subtoric branch and let $\gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an arc whose image is B . Then, the ray $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma) \subset \sigma$ generated by its weight vector in the sense of Definition 5.3 is independent of the choice of the arc γ and is equal to the compactifying cone of B , in the sense of Definition 5.1.*

Proof. Consider the affine toric variety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)}$. One may check that the arc γ may be lifted to an arc $\gamma' : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)}$ which sends the origin of $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]])$ to a point of the closed orbit $O_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)}$ of $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)}$. This proves that the restriction $\pi' : B' \rightarrow B$ of the toric morphism $\pi_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_\sigma$

to the strict transform B' of B is proper. As a consequence, the restriction $\pi : B_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B$ of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ to the strict transform $B_{\mathcal{F}}$ of B by $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ is proper whenever $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma) \subseteq |\mathcal{F}|$.

Indeed, consider a subdivision \mathcal{F}' of \mathcal{F} containing $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)$ among its rays. Then $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}'}$ contains the affine toric variety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)}$ as a Zariski-open subset. The restriction of the toric morphism $\pi_{\mathcal{F}'}$ to this subset is equal to $\pi_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)} : \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w(\gamma)} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$. This shows that the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}'}$ to the strict transform $B_{\mathcal{F}'}$ of B on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}'}$ is proper. As $\pi_{\mathcal{F}'}$ factors through $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$, this implies that $\pi : B_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow B$ is also proper. \square

Let us turn now to arbitrary interior subtoric germs. As explained in Section 1, we may look at all the interior subtoric arcs contained in them and at the corresponding weight vectors:

Definition 5.5. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ, in the sense of Definition 4.1. An **arcwise weight vector** of Y is the weight vector of an interior subtoric arc contained in Y , in the sense of Definition 5.3.

Instead of looking at the arcs $(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]), 0) \rightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ whose image is contained in Y , we may look dually at the formal germs of functions on $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ vanishing on Y , that is, at the elements of the ideal $I_Y \subseteq \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ defining Y in $(\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ (see Remark 4.2). Then, one may again define preferred weight vectors (see Definition 5.7). Note first that Definition 3.13 readily generalizes to an arbitrary weight vector $w \in \sigma^{\circ}$ and a formal series $f \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$, respectively an ideal I of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$:

Definition 5.6. Consider $w \in \sigma^{\circ}$ and $f = \sum_{m \in \text{supp } f} f_m \chi^m \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$. The **basis** $\boxed{\delta_w(f)} \subseteq \text{supp } f$ of $\text{supp } f$ **relative to** w is the locus where the restriction of the linear form $w : M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to the support $\text{supp } f$ of f achieves its minimum. The w -weighted homogeneous polynomial

$$\boxed{\text{in}_w f} := \sum_{m \in \delta_w(f)} f_m \chi^m$$

is called the w -**initial form** of the series f .

If $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ is an ideal, then its w -**initial ideal** $\boxed{\text{in}_w I}$ is the ideal of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ generated by the w -initial forms $\text{in}_w f$, for all $f \in I$.

Let us distinguish the weight vectors which define initial ideals without monomials (recall Remark 4.2), generalizing the two-dimensional situation considered in Corollary 3.14:

Definition 5.7. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ and $I_Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ be its defining ideal. A weight vector $w \in \sigma^{\circ}$ is called an **initial weight vector of** Y if the initial ideal $\text{in}_w I_Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ does not contain monomials.

Finally, let us also define weight vectors associated to semivaluations:

Definition 5.8. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ. Let ν be an interior semivaluation of the ring $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$, in the sense of Definition 4.8. We look at it as a morphism of monoids $\nu : (\mathcal{O}_Y, \cdot) \rightarrow ([0, +\infty], +)$. Denote by $\boxed{r_Y} : (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Y, \cdot)$ the composition of the morphism $i_{\Gamma} : (\Gamma, +) \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]], \cdot)$ of Definition 5.3 and of the restriction morphism $(\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]], \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Y, \cdot)$. By composing ν and r_Y we get a morphism of monoids

$$\nu \circ r_Y : (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow ([0, +\infty], +),$$

that is, an element $\boxed{w(\nu)}$ of σ . We call it the **weight vector of the interior semivaluation** ν . The weight vector of an interior semivaluation of ν is called a **valuative weight vector of** Y .

One may check that:

- as ν was assumed to be interior, one has $w(\nu) \in \sigma^{\circ}$;
- the weight vector of the semivaluation associated to an interior arc γ of Y as explained in Example 4.7 is equal to the weight vector $w(\gamma)$ of γ in the sense of Definition 5.3.

6. FOUR VIEWPOINTS ON LOCAL TROPICALIZATION

This section presents the main result of this paper, Theorem 6.2, stating that given an interior subtoric germ, four subsets of the real weight space constructed using the notions introduced in Section 5 coincide. This provides four different interpretations of the *local tropicalization* of an interior subtoric germ (see Definition 6.3). We conclude the section with a structure result about local tropicalizations (see Theorem 6.5).

In the sequel we will use the following notion:

Definition 6.1. Let Σ be a subset of a finite-dimensional real vector space V . Its **cone-closure** is the closure $\overline{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\Sigma}$ inside V of the non-negative cone $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\Sigma$ over Σ , consisting of all the rays $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}w$ generated by the elements w of Σ .

The following result confirms that for each interior subtoric germ, the cone-closures of four different subsets of the weight cone of the ambient affine toric variety agree:

Theorem 6.2. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ, in the sense of Definition 4.1. Then, the following subsets of the weight cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ coincide:*

- (1) *the compactifying cone of Y , in the sense of Definition 5.1;*
- (2) *the cone-closure of the set of arcwise weight vectors of Y , in the sense of Definition 5.5;*
- (3) *the cone-closure of the set of initial weight vectors of Y , in the sense of Definition 5.7;*
- (4) *the cone-closure of the set of valutive weight vectors of Y , in the sense of Definition 5.8.*

Proof.

• When the field \mathbb{K} has characteristic 0, the equality of the sets defined in 2. and 3. is a consequence of [41, Corollary 4.3]. Here we present a sketch of a different proof valid in arbitrary characteristic. In fact, more is true: both starting cones agree, not only their cone-closures. Let us explain this fact. Fix a ray $\lambda \subset \sigma$ which is spanned by an element of $\sigma^\circ \cap N$. One proves that each of the following statements is equivalent to the next one:

- a) there exists an arcwise weight vector w of Y spanning λ ;
- b) there exists an interior subtoric arc of Y whose strict transform on the affine toric variety \mathcal{X}_λ intersects its unique closed orbit O_λ ;
- c) the strict transform Y_λ of Y on \mathcal{X}_λ is such that $Y_\lambda \cap O_\lambda$ is non-empty;
- d) $\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ is included in the set of initial weight vectors of Y .

Therefore, the non-negative cone over the set of arcwise weight vectors of Y coincides with the non-negative cone over the set of initial weight vectors of Y .

The equivalence b) \iff c) uses the fact that in any characteristic, branches admit parametrizations, that is, are images of arcs (see [10, Section 4.1] for an argument using their resolution by blowups and [5, Section 1.3.2] for an argument using the regularity of their normalizations).

The equivalence c) \iff d) results from the fact that if $w \in N$ spans the ray λ , then the initial ideal $\text{in}_w I_Y$ of the defining ideal $I_Y \subset \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ of Y , seen as an ideal of $\mathbb{K}[M]$ instead of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ as in Definition 5.6, defines a subvariety of the dense torus T_N whose closure in \mathcal{X}_λ intersects O_λ at a point of the intersection $Y_\lambda \cap O_\lambda$.

• The equality of the sets defined in 1. and 3. is a consequence of [9, Proposition 3.19]. Let us explain the main ideas of the proof, generalizing again the situation from the case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ of [9] to the case of arbitrary field \mathbb{K} . Denote by \mathcal{F} a fan whose support is contained in σ and by $\mathfrak{W}(Y)$ the set of initial weight vectors of Y in the sense of Definition 5.7. Choose a fan Σ subdividing the cone σ and such that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \Sigma$. Then, we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 Y_\Sigma & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_\Sigma & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}_\mathcal{F} & \longleftarrow & Y_\mathcal{F} \\
 & & \searrow \pi_\Sigma & & \searrow \pi_\mathcal{F} & & \searrow \pi \\
 & & & & \mathcal{X}_\sigma & \longleftarrow & Y
 \end{array}$$

where $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$ and Y_{Σ} are the strict transforms of Y in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$ and \mathcal{X}_{Σ} respectively, $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ and π_{Σ} are the natural toric birational morphisms, and π is the restriction of $\pi_{\mathcal{F}}$ to $Y_{\mathcal{F}}$. Note that π is *proper* (that is, \mathcal{F} is a compactifying fan of Y) if and only if Y_{Σ} is contained in $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{F}}$.

The equality of the sets defined in 1. and 3. is therefore a consequence of the following equivalence, for every cone τ of Σ :

$$(6.1) \quad O_{\tau} \cap Y_{\Sigma} \neq \emptyset \iff \tau^{\circ} \cap \mathfrak{W}(Y) \neq \emptyset,$$

where O_{τ} is the corresponding toric orbit of \mathcal{X}_{Σ} .

Let us first prove the implication \implies of (6.1). Choose $y_0 \in O_{\tau} \cap Y_{\Sigma}$. Let $\gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow (Y_{\Sigma}, y_0)$ be an arc passing through y_0 . Denote by $w \in N$ the weight vector (in the sense of Definition 5.3) of the interior subtoric arc $\pi_{\Sigma} \circ \gamma : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[[t]]) \rightarrow Y$. The fact that $y_0 \in O_{\tau} \cap Y_{\Sigma}$ means that the weight vector w lies in $\tau^{\circ} \cap N \subseteq \tau^{\circ}$. To show that $w \in \mathfrak{W}(Y)$, we let B be the branch contained in Y which is the image of the arc $\pi_{\Sigma} \circ \gamma$. Since $B \subseteq Y$, the ideal $I_Y \subset \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ of Y is contained in the ideal $I_B \subset \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ of B . Thus, $\mathfrak{W}(B) \subseteq \mathfrak{W}(Y)$. But, by arguments similar to those used in the proof of Proposition 5.4, we see that $w \in \mathfrak{W}(B)$.

Let us now prove the implication \impliedby of (6.1). Consider a primitive lattice vector $w \in \tau^{\circ} \cap \mathfrak{W}(Y)$ and a refinement Σ_w of Σ such that the ray $\lambda_w := \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cdot w$ is a cone of the fan Σ_w . By construction, the orbit O_{λ_w} is mapped via the toric morphism $\pi_w : \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma_w} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma}$ to the orbit O_{τ} . The intersection of the strict transform Y_{Σ_w} of Y in \mathcal{X}_{Σ_w} with the orbit O_{λ_w} is determined by the w -initial ideal $\text{in}_w I(Y)$ of the ideal $I(Y)$ defining Y , viewed in the Laurent polynomial ring $\mathbb{K}[M]$. As $w \in \mathfrak{W}(Y)$, this initial ideal is monomial free. Therefore, one has $Y_{\Sigma_w} \cap O_{\lambda_w} \neq \emptyset$. Since $O_{\tau_w} \subset \mathcal{X}_{\Sigma_w}$, the map π_w ensures that $Y_{\Sigma} \cap O_{\tau} \neq \emptyset$ as well.

• The equality of the sets defined in 3. and 4. is a consequence of [39, Theorem 11.2]. In fact, the inclusion of the set of 4. inside the set of 3. follows from essentially the same argument as described before Theorem 3.8. Namely, if $f \in I_Y \subset \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ is a series of the defining ideal of Y and $\nu : \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]/I_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is an interior semivaluation, then ν lifts to an interior semivaluation ν' of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ which necessarily takes value $+\infty$ on f . Since ν' does not take infinite value on any monomial χ^m with $m \in \Gamma$, it follows that f must involve at least two monomials where ν' attains its minimal value. Thus $\text{in}_w(f)$, where w is the weight vector corresponding to ν' , is not a monomial. The inverse inclusion is based on subtler results from the theory of valuations, see [39, Theorem 11.2] and references therein. \square

Theorem 6.2 provides four equivalent definitions of the *local tropicalization* of an interior subtoric germ:

Definition 6.3. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ be an interior subtoric germ, in the sense of Definition 4.1. The set defined by any of the four equivalent conditions of Theorem 6.2 is called the **local tropicalization** of the subtoric germ Y and is denoted by $\boxed{\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y} \subset \sigma$.

The local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ depends strongly on the embedding $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, 0)$ (think, for instance, about the various embeddings $t \mapsto (t, t^n)$ of a smooth branch inside $(\mathbb{K}^2, 0)$, whose local tropicalizations are, by Proposition 5.4, the rays spanned by $(1, n)$). Even if we drop it from the notation for simplicity, one has to keep this dependence in mind.

Each of the four viewpoints on local tropicalization presented in Theorem 6.2 is adapted to particular contexts. For instance:

- viewpoint 1. is useful when one wants to construct modifications of Y starting from a particular embedding in a germ of toric variety;
- viewpoint 2. is useful when one wants to think in terms of the arc space of the germ Y ;
- viewpoint 3. is useful when one deals with concrete defining equations of Y , as in our work [9] discussed in Section 8;
- viewpoint 4. is useful when one wants to think in terms of the space of semivaluations associated to Y ; for instance, our most general definitions of tropicalization given in [39, Section 6] used this space.

In fact, in our paper [39] we introduced two notions of local tropicalization, a *positive* and a *nonnegative* one (see [39, Definitions 6.6 and 6.7]), both being *extended* local tropicalizations in the sense explained

in Section 9. For simplicity, we preferred to take here as definition of local tropicalization only the intersection of the nonnegative local tropicalization of [39] with the cone σ .

Remark 6.4. Combining Proposition 5.4 with Theorem 6.2, we see that the local tropicalization of an interior subtoric branch of $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is the ray spanned by the weight vector of any arc parametrizing the branch. Maurer had introduced such weight vectors in his 1980 paper [29] for curve singularities $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with several branches, under the name of *critical tropisms*. For this reason, we may see Maurer's paper as a precursor of viewpoint 2. on local tropicalization. The semantic proximity of the noun *tropism* with the adjective *tropical* is interesting. Lejeune-Jalabert and Teissier had already used the expression *tropisme critique* in [26] (see [14, end of Section 1.4.5]).

Viewpoint 2. implies that the local tropicalization of the union of two interior subtoric germs of $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is the union of their two local tropicalizations. Therefore, every local tropicalization is a finite union of local tropicalizations of irreducible interior subtoric germs. Our main structure theorem about the local tropicalizations of such germs becomes (see [39, Theorem 11.9], [9, Proposition 3.11]):

Theorem 6.5. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an irreducible interior subtoric germ with defining ideal $I_Y \subset \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$. Then, the local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ is the support of a fan \mathcal{F} which satisfies the following conditions:*

- *the dimension of each maximal cone of \mathcal{F} is equal to the dimension of Y ;*
- *the maximal cones of \mathcal{F} all have non-empty intersections with σ° ;*
- *given any cone τ of \mathcal{F} , the w -initial ideal of I_Y is independent of the choice of $w \in \tau^\circ$.*

Viewpoint 3. implies that:

Proposition 6.6. *The local tropicalization of an interior subtoric germ is equal to the intersection of the local tropicalizations of the interior principal effective divisors containing it.*

This fact, which is a local version of one of the definitions of global tropicalization of a subvariety of an algebraic torus (see [28, Definition 3.2.1]), explains the importance of understanding the local tropicalizations of effective principal divisors on $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$. Next section is dedicated to this topic.

7. THE LOCAL TROPICALIZATION OF AN EFFECTIVE PRINCIPAL SUBTORIC DIVISOR

In this section we describe the local tropicalizations of the interior subtoric germs which are *effective principal divisors*. They are determined by the Newton polyhedra of their defining series in a way which generalizes the case of plane curve singularities described in Corollary 3.10 (see Theorem 7.4).

We continue using the notations of Section 4. We assume that the interior subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is an effective principal divisor on \mathcal{X}_σ . Therefore $Y = Z(f)$, where $f \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$. The fact that no irreducible component of Y is contained in the toric boundary $\partial\mathcal{X}_\sigma$ is equivalent to the condition that the series f is not contained in any of the ideals of $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ generated by the sets of monomials $(\sigma^\vee \setminus \tau^\perp) \cap M$, where τ is an edge of σ . For example, if σ is the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$, which allows to write $\mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]] = \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$, this simply says that f is not divisible by any of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n .

The following definition is a straightforward generalization of Definition 3.4:

Definition 7.1. Let $f = \sum_{m \in \text{supp } f} f_m \chi^m \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$ (therefore $f_m \in \mathbb{K}^*$ for every $m \in \text{supp } f$). Its **Newton polyhedron** $\boxed{\mathcal{N}(f)} \subseteq \sigma^\vee$ is the convex hull of the set

$$\bigcup_{m \in \text{supp } f} (m + \sigma^\vee) \subset \sigma^\vee.$$

Note that, as in the two-dimensional case examined in Section 3, for every $w \in \sigma^\circ$ the basis $\delta_w(f)$ appearing in Definition 5.6 is the intersection of $\text{supp } f$ with a compact face of $\mathcal{N}(f)$. This face is the locus where the linear form $w : M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ achieves its minimum in restriction to the polyhedron $\mathcal{N}(f)$.

There is a duality between the faces of the Newton polyhedron $\mathcal{N}(f)$ and a certain fan with support σ . Namely, if κ is a face of $\mathcal{N}(f)$, its **dual cone** $\boxed{\sigma(\kappa)} \subset \sigma$ is the closure of the set of weight vectors

$w \in \sigma$ whose restriction $w : \mathcal{N}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ achieves its minimum exactly on the face κ . Then, as results from [36, Chapter II.3.(A)], one has the following statement:

Lemma 7.2. *The set of cones $\sigma(\kappa)$, where κ varies over the faces of the Newton polyhedron $\mathcal{N}(f)$ of a series $f \in \mathbb{K}[[\Gamma]]$, forms a fan with support σ .*

Definition 7.3. The fan $\boxed{\mathcal{F}(f)}$ with support σ described in Lemma 7.2 is called the **Newton fan** of the series f .

For instance, the subdivision of the non-negative quadrant shown in Figure 4 is the Newton fan of the series $f(x, y) = y^2 - 2x^3 + x^2y \in \mathbb{C}[[x, y]]$, whose Newton polygon is shown in Figure 3.

By an argument similar to those explained for plane curve singularities after Proposition 3.7, the Newton polyhedron of a defining series f of the interior subtoric principal divisor Y is independent of the choice of this series. Therefore, one may speak simply of the **Newton fan** $\boxed{\mathcal{F}(Y)}$ of $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$.

The connection of the Newton fan $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ with the local tropicalization of Y (see Theorem 6.2), is given by the following result of [39, Proposition 11.8]:

Theorem 7.4. *Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ be an interior effective principal divisor. Then, the local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ of Y coincides with the union of the cones of the Newton fan $\mathcal{F}(Y)$ of Y which are dual to the compact edges of $\mathcal{N}(Y)$.*

One may prove Theorem 7.4 similarly to the proofs of Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.10, starting from characterization (2) in Theorem 6.2 of the local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ of Y .




FIGURE 5. The Newton polyhedron $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ of the Pham-Brieskorn singularity $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ defined by equation $x_1^\alpha + x_2^\beta + x_3^\gamma = 0$ (on the left) and its local tropicalization (on the right).

Example 7.5. Consider a **Pham-Brieskorn surface singularity** (a name which alludes mainly to the papers [40] and [3], as explained in [4, Pages 42–49]). It is by definition a hypersurface singularity in \mathbb{C}^3 defined by an equation of the form

$$(7.1) \quad x_1^\alpha + x_2^\beta + x_3^\gamma = 0,$$

where α, β, γ are pairwise coprime positive integers. Its Newton polyhedron $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ and its local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ are represented in Figure 5. The weight cone σ_0 of \mathbb{C}^3 seen as an affine toric variety is the non-negative octant of the weight space \mathbb{R}^3 . The compact faces of $\mathcal{N}(Y)$ are the triangle with vertices $(\alpha, 0, 0), (0, \beta, 0), (0, 0, \gamma)$, its edges and its vertices. By Theorem 7.4, $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ is the union of the three two-dimensional cones dual to the edges of the previous triangle. Those cones are spanned by the vector $(\beta\gamma, \gamma\alpha, \alpha\beta) \in \sigma_0$ orthogonal to the triangle and by each of the three vectors of the canonical basis of the weight space \mathbb{R}^3 . \diamond

Note that András Némethi and Baldur Sigurðsson described in [30] the local tropicalizations of effective but not necessarily principal divisors on toric germs.

8. THE LOCAL TROPICALIZATION OF SPLICE TYPE SURFACE SINGULARITIES

Theorem 7.4 shows that the local tropicalization of an interior effective principal subtoric divisor is determined by the associated Newton polyhedron. By contrast, if an interior subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ has a non-principal defining ideal I_Y , then it is much more difficult to determine its local tropicalization. The reason is that $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ is in general not the intersection of the local tropicalizations of the members of a generating family of I_Y , even if this generating family describes Y as a complete intersection. In this section we explain how we described in [9], in collaboration with María Angélica Cueto, the local tropicalizations of *splice type singularities*, which form an important class of isolated complete intersection surface singularities.

Splice type surface singularities were introduced in [31] and [32] by Walter Neumann and Jonathan Wahl as examples of complex isolated complete intersection surface singularities whose links are integral homology spheres. One may refer to Wahl's papers [47] and [48] for a description of their motivations for defining them.

This class of singularities contains the class of Pham-Brieskorn surface singularities (see Example 7.5). The following system defines also a splice type surface singularity in \mathbb{C}^4 (see [8, Examples 2.27, 2.34, 2.40]):

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{cases} x_1^2 - x_2^3 + x_3x_4 = 0, \\ x_3^5 - x_4^2 + x_1x_2^4 = 0. \end{cases}$$

In general, a splice type surface singularity is determined up to a special kind of equisingular deformation by a **splice diagram**. By this, one means a pair (Γ, w) , where Γ is a tree whose vertices have valencies either 1 (**leaves**) or ≥ 3 (**nodes**) and w is a function that assigns to each pair (u, e) of a node u and adjacent edge e a positive integer (**weight**) $w_{u,e}$. Thus, each edge connecting nodes u and v carries two weights – one near the node u and one near v . In the context of integral homology sphere links, these data must satisfy three more properties, called *coprime*, *edge determinant* and *semigroup conditions*:

- The *coprime condition* states that for each node, the weights of adjacent edges are ≥ 2 and pairwise coprime.
- For each node u and vertex p (which may be either a node or a leaf), define $\ell_{u,p}$ to be the product of all the weights adjacent to, but not lying on, the shortest path from u to p . In particular, when $p = u$ one gets the product d_u of weights adjacent to u . The *edge determinant condition* states that for every pair of adjacent nodes u and v , one has the inequality $d_u d_v > \ell_{u,v}^2$.
- Denote by $1, \dots, n$ the leaves of Γ . For each node u , consider the **weight vector**

$$w_u = (\ell_{u,1}, \dots, \ell_{u,n}) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If e is an edge adjacent to u , then it makes sense to speak about the leaves of Γ seen from u in the direction e . If i_1, \dots, i_s are these leaves, then the *semigroup condition* says that the number d_u must be contained in the sub-semigroup of $(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, +)$ generated by $\ell_{u,i_1}, \dots, \ell_{u,i_s}$, for all pairs (u, e) of a node u and an adjacent edge e .

Examples of splice diagrams satisfying the three conditions are shown in Figure 6.

To get from such data a singularity with integral homology sphere link, one should apply the following procedure developed by Neumann and Wahl. First of all, associate a variable x_i to every leaf i . Then, let u be a node, e an adjacent edge, and i_1, \dots, i_s the leaves seen from u in the direction of e . Choose a representation

$$d_u = \sum_{k=1}^s n_k \ell_{e,i_k},$$




FIGURE 6. The splice diagrams corresponding to the singularities defined by equation (7.1) (on the left) and by the system (8.1) (on the right).

such that $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for every $k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$. Such a representation exists, by the semigroup condition. Define the **admissible monomial** $\chi_{u,e}$ as

$$\boxed{\chi_{u,e}} := x_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots x_{i_s}^{n_s}.$$

If the node u has valency $r_u \geq 3$ and e_1, \dots, e_{r_u} are the adjacent edges, it is more convenient to denote the corresponding admissible monomials by $\chi_{u,1}, \dots, \chi_{u,r_u}$. For each node u , choose a complex matrix $\boxed{(a_{u,i,j})_{i,j}}$ of size $(r_u - 2) \times r_u$, such that all its maximal minors are non-zero. The **splice type system** determined by the splice diagram (Γ, w) and a choice of admissible monomials $\chi_{u,j}$ and coefficients $a_{u,i,j}$ is the system of equations

$$(8.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{r_u} a_{u,i,j} \chi_{u,j} = 0, \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, r_u - 2\},$$

where u varies among all the nodes of Γ . It is an easy combinatorial exercise to check that the system (8.2) has $n - 2$ equations. Finally, by definition, a **splice type singularity** is the germ at the origin of the variety in \mathbb{C}^n defined by the system (8.2) or by any system obtained by adding to (8.2) terms of higher w_u -weight to the equations corresponding to the node u . The interested reader can check that splice diagrams shown in Figure 6 indeed correspond to the singularities defined by equation (7.1) and by the system (8.1).

A system of equations corresponding to a big splice diagram can be rather complicated, and *it is far from obvious that the singularity defined by such a system is an irreducible isolated complete intersection of dimension 2*. Indeed, the proof of this fact spans several pages in [31] and [32], where the authors proceed by direct calculations with partial resolutions of the germ. In turn, the theory of local tropicalization allows for a more transparent and conceptual proof of these properties. We developed this strategy in full detail in [9]. Here we present a brief summary of our method.

Our main point in [9] was to give a previously unknown tropical interpretation of the splice diagram. We associated above a weight vector w_u to each node u of a splice diagram Γ . If we additionally associate the i -th vector e_i of the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^n to the i th leaf of Γ , we proved in [9, Theorem 5.11] that once conveniently normalized, *those weight vectors determine a piecewise linear embedding of the splice diagram Γ into the simplex Δ_{n-1} whose vertices are the points e_i* .

Further, by analyzing by purely combinatorial means (no calculations with resolutions being needed) the algorithm by which Neumann and Wahl constructed a system of equations from a given splice diagram Γ , we showed in [9, Theorem 1.2] that:

Theorem 8.1. *The local tropicalization of a splice type surface singularity $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ corresponding to the splice diagram Γ is the non-negative cone in \mathbb{R}^n over the tree Γ embedded in Δ_{n-1} .*

For instance, note that by intersecting the local tropicalization of the Pham-Brieskorn singularity represented on the right side of Figure 5 with the triangle Δ_2 , one gets a tree isomorphic to the corresponding splice diagram, which is represented on the left side of Figure 6.

Since $\Gamma \subset \Delta_{n-1}$ is a 1-dimensional simplicial complex, the local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ (endowed with appropriate fan structure) has maximal cones of dimension 2. Note that we started with integral weight vectors corresponding to the vertices of Γ , thus $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ is indeed the support of a *rational* polyhedral fan, as stated in Theorem 6.5. From the same theorem, we got $\dim Y = 2$. The splice system corresponding to Γ has the correct number of equations (namely $n - 2$), so it follows at once that Y is indeed a complete intersection and that all its irreducible components have dimension 2.

Recall that throughout this paper we assumed for simplicity that all the subtoric germs were *interior* in the sense of Definition 4.1. However, the theory of local tropicalization may be extended to subtoric germs which are not interior by adding *strata at infinity* to the local tropicalization (see Subsection 9.1 for an introduction and [39, Sections 4 and 6] for details). In [9] we performed the corresponding analysis and we showed that if Y is a splice type surface singularity, then its local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ has no strata at infinity except the closures of its finite strata, and thus Y has no irreducible components in the toric boundary $\partial \mathbb{C}^n$.

As a byproduct of our techniques, we got a relatively easy proof of the following new result (see [9, Theorem 1.1]):

Theorem 8.2. *Any splice type surface singularity is a Newton nondegenerate complete intersection.*

Combining this fact with Theorem 6.2 and the notion of *embedded toric resolution* (see, e.g., [24]), we proved that a resolution of singularities of Y could be obtained by a toric morphism corresponding to a convenient fan supported by $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$. But since the entire local tropicalization (with the exception of the coordinate rays $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} e_i$) is contained in the positive orthant $\mathbb{R}_{> 0}^n$ of \mathbb{R}^n , this toric morphism does not blow up anything except the origin in \mathbb{C}^n . This implies that *the splice type singularity Y is isolated*.

Finally, Y *must be irreducible* because if a complete intersection singularity of dimension ≥ 2 has two or more irreducible components, then it cannot be isolated (this is a special case of a theorem of Hartshorne, as explained in the proof of [39, Corollary 7.13]).

As a generalization of Goldin and Teissier's [19, Corollary of Theorem 6.1], which concerned only *branches*, de Felipe, González Pérez and Mourtada showed in [12] that any plane curve singularity may be reembedded explicitly in a higher dimensional space \mathbb{C}^n as a Newton non-degenerate germ and they expressed the local tropicalization of the reembedded germ in terms of invariants of the starting plane curve singularity. In [9, Corollary 7.14] we obtained a second proof of part of their reembedding theorem as a particular case of our general results about splice type singularities.

9. VARIANTS AND EXTENSIONS OF LOCAL TROPICALIZATION

In this final section we describe briefly a natural extension of the notion of local tropicalization to the case of a general subtoric germ, which can possess components in the toric boundary (see Subsection 9.1), as well as other generalizations by several authors (see Subsections 9.2 and 9.3).

9.1. Extended local tropicalization.

Till now we have always assumed that the subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ was *interior* in the sense of Definition 4.1, that is, without irreducible components contained in the toric boundary $\partial \mathcal{X}_\sigma$. However, it is sometimes necessary to relax this condition. It is the fourth definition of the local tropicalization, based on valuative weight vectors (see point 4. of Theorem 6.2), that most naturally can be generalized.

To illustrate the difficulty that arises when the subtoric germ is not interior, let us start with an example. Let again \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field and $\mathcal{X}_\sigma = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{K}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ be the affine space considered as a toric variety. Assume that the subtoric germ $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is contained in the coordinate hyperplane defined by $x_1 = 0$, but in no other coordinate hyperplane. This means that $x_1 \in I_Y$, but $x_i \notin I_Y$ for any $i \neq 1$, where $I_Y \subset \mathbb{K}[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$ is the defining ideal of Y in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0}$. Now, if we try to compute the local tropicalization of Y according, say, to point 4. of Theorem 6.2, we see that since $r_Y(x_1) = 0 \in \mathcal{O}_Y$, we must have $\nu \circ r_Y(x_1) = +\infty$ for *each* semivaluation ν of Y , where the morphism of monoids

$$r_Y : (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_Y, \cdot)$$

was introduced in Definition 5.8. Thus there are no interior semivaluations and the local tropicalization is empty. To get something nontrivial, we have to allow the semivaluation ν to take infinite values on Γ , but then none of the corresponding valutive weight vectors of Y can be interpreted as an element of the real vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

To see how to deal with this issue, let us denote by Γ' the submonoid of $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ generated by the exponent vectors of the monomials x_2, \dots, x_n . Observe that despite the fact that any semivaluation ν of Y takes value $+\infty$ on x_1 , such a semivaluation can be finite on $r_Y(\Gamma')$. If this is the case, then ν defines a linear form on the space $M'_{\mathbb{R}}$, where M' is the sublattice of M generated by Γ' .

Recall the simple fact from linear algebra that if U is a linear subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V , then a linear form on U is the same thing as an element of the quotient space V^{\vee}/U^{\perp} , i.e., there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$U^{\vee} \simeq V^{\vee}/U^{\perp},$$

where V^{\vee} is the dual space of V and $U^{\perp} \subseteq V^{\vee}$ is the orthogonal of U . It follows that we can interpret the general valutive weight vectors of Y as elements of appropriate quotients of the space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Following an approach initiated by Ash, Mumford, Rapoport and Tai in [1, Section I.1] and developed by Kajiwara [20] and Payne [37], we regard those quotients as *strata at infinity* that can be adjoined to the space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

The formal definition proceeds as follows. Let as before σ be a strictly convex rational polyhedral cone in $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. If τ is a face of σ , denote $\boxed{N_{\tau}} := N \cap \mathbb{R}\tau$, where $\mathbb{R}\tau$ is the real vector subspace of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ spanned by τ . Since the abelian group N_{τ} is a *saturated* sublattice of N , then the quotient N/N_{τ} is also a lattice. Consider the disjoint union:

$$(9.1) \quad \boxed{L(\sigma, N)} := \bigsqcup_{\tau \leq \sigma} (N/N_{\tau})_{\mathbb{R}},$$

where τ varies in the set of faces of the polyhedral cone σ .

It is a formal exercise to see that $L(\sigma, N)$ can be identified with the set of all monoid homomorphisms from $(\Gamma := \sigma^{\vee} \cap M, +)$ to $(\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}, +)$. Moreover, using the standard topology on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$, for which a basis of neighborhoods of $+\infty$ is the set of all intervals of the form $(a, +\infty]$, we can endow $L(\sigma, N)$ with the topology of pointwise convergence. Then, (9.1) represents $L(\sigma, N)$ as a disjoint union of locally closed subsets, which we call its **strata**.

Each stratum $(N/N_{\tau})_{\mathbb{R}}$ carries naturally an integral lattice N/N_{τ} . The cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ has images in all the strata $(N/N_{\tau})_{\mathbb{R}}$, by the canonical projections $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow (N/N_{\tau})_{\mathbb{R}}$. We say that the disjoint union of σ with all those images is the **extended cone** $\overline{\sigma}$. It is a closed topological subspace of $L(\sigma, N)$ which can be alternatively defined as the closure of the cone σ in $L(\sigma, N)$. The topological spaces $L(\sigma, N)$ had appeared several times in the literature, but since there seemed to be no standard name for them, we called them **linear varieties** in [39, Section 4]. We chose that name by analogy with that of *toric variety*. Indeed, similarly to the fact that a toric variety is endowed with an action of an algebraic torus embedded in it as a dense open set, a linear variety is endowed with an action of a linear space which is also embedded in it as a dense open set (see [39, Remark 4.4]).

Definition 9.1. Let $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}, 0)$ be a subtoric germ, not assumed to be interior. Then, its **extended local tropicalization** is defined as the closure in the extended cone $\overline{\sigma}$ of all valutive weight vectors of Y of the form $\nu \circ r_Y$ (see Definition 5.8), where ν ranges over all (not necessarily interior) semivaluations of Y .

The notion of rational polyhedral fan has its extended version, and an analog of the structure Theorem 6.5 holds for extended local tropicalization. In fact, the main finiteness theorem is formulated and proven in [39, Theorem 11.9] for extended local tropicalization (which we called there *non-negative local tropicalization*).

In the case when Y is not contained in the toric boundary of \mathcal{X} , Definition 9.1 generalizes Definition 6.3 of local tropicalization, in the sense that $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ is obviously contained in the extended local tropicalization. Then, the question arises whether the extended and non-extended tropicalizations are essentially different from each other. The answer is *no*, and this again follows formally from [39, Theorem 11.9]:

Proposition 9.2. *If $Y \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is an interior subtoric germ, then the extended local tropicalization of Y is the closure in $L(\sigma, N)$ of the local tropicalization $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}} Y$ in the sense of Definition 6.3.*

Remark 9.3. We have to warn the reader wishing to continue studying the local tropicalization from [39] about a terminological difference with the present paper. In [39, Definitions 6.6 and 6.7], we distinguished between the *positive* and *nonnegative local tropicalization*, whereas here we speak simply about *local tropicalization*. In fact, the main Definitions 6.3 and 9.1 of this paper correspond to the nonnegative version of [39]. The difference with the positive local tropicalization can be explained as follows. Let Y be a subgerm of an affine toric germ $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$. Then we get the positive local tropicalization if in Definition 9.1 we consider only the semivaluations whose *center* is exactly the unique closed orbit 0 of \mathcal{X}_σ , and the closure operation is performed in the *interior* of the extended cone $\bar{\sigma}$. If we allow the semivaluations whose center only *contains* the unique closed orbit (as is implicitly done in Definition 9.1, see also the very Definition 4.4 of semivaluation used in this paper), then we get the nonnegative local tropicalization. The two local tropicalizations determine each other, see [39, Theorems 11.2, 11.9]. In this introductory text we felt no need to overload the reader's attention with such technical details.

To give the reader a better feeling of what is the extended tropicalization, let us consider one more example. In all our definitions, it creates no problem to take the germ Y to be *equal* with the germ $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ of affine toric variety itself. *Let us see what are the local tropicalization of $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ and its extended version.* Assume that the base field \mathbb{K} is the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. First recall from Section 4 that the set of \mathbb{C} -valued points $\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\mathbb{C})$ of the toric variety \mathcal{X}_σ corresponding to a cone $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be identified with the set of *monoid homomorphisms* $\sigma^\vee \cap M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where \mathbb{C} is considered as a monoid with respect to multiplication. If we replace (\mathbb{C}, \cdot) by (\mathbb{R}, \cdot) , we get in a similar way the set of real points $\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\mathbb{R})$ of \mathcal{X}_σ , and, if we replace (\mathbb{C}, \cdot) by the monoid $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \cdot)$ of nonnegative real numbers, we get its set $\mathcal{X}_\sigma(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0})$ of nonnegative real points. The group $(\mathbb{R}_{> 0}, \cdot)$ is isomorphic via the continuous isomorphism $\boxed{\log}$ to the group $(\mathbb{R}, +)$, and if we extend \log by setting formally $\log 0 := -\infty$, we get an isomorphism

$$-\log: (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \cdot) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, +)$$

of monoids. Note that the subset $[0, +\infty]$ of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ corresponds under this isomorphism to the segment $[0, 1]$ of $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

By composing any monoid morphism $\rho: (\sigma^\vee \cap M, +) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \cdot)$ with $-\log$, we get a monoid morphism

$$(-\log) \circ \rho: (\sigma^\vee \cap M, +) \rightarrow (\overline{\mathbb{R}}, +).$$

If such a morphism does not take the value $+\infty$, then it is simply an element of $\sigma \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Otherwise, it is an element of $\bar{\sigma} \subset L(\sigma, N)$ belonging to a stratum at infinity, that is, different from $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is easy to see that any such element can be realized by a semivaluation on the formal local ring $\mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]]$ of $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$. For example, if $w \in \sigma^\circ$, we can define the associated semivaluation (which is in fact a valuation) by:

$$\nu_w(f) := \min_{m \in \text{supp } f} w \cdot m, \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathbb{C}[[\Gamma]].$$

We conclude that:

Proposition 9.4. *One has $\text{Trop}_{\text{loc}}(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0) = \sigma$, whereas the extended local tropicalization of $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ is the extended cone $\bar{\sigma}$.*

Example 9.5. In Figure 7 are shown the structures of the extended local tropicalizations of formal germs $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$, for a 2-dimensional cone σ on the left and a three-dimensional one with four rays on the right (see also [39, Figures 3 and 4]). If ζ is a face of the cone σ , we denote by σ_ζ the image of σ by the quotient linear map $N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow (N/N_\zeta)_{\mathbb{R}}$. It is the intersection of the extended cone $\bar{\sigma}$ with the stratum $(N/N_\zeta)_{\mathbb{R}}$. We denote by λ_1, λ_2 the edges of the left-hand example and by λ, τ an edge and a 2-dimensional face respectively of the right-hand 3-dimensional example. In both drawings we have indicated by a filled disk the 0-dimensional stratum $(N/N_\sigma)_{\mathbb{R}}$ at infinity. \diamond

In [39, Section 12] we have compared local tropicalizations with the global tropicalization of subvarieties of algebraic tori. We showed in particular (see [39, Theorem 12.11]) that if $Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\sigma$ is an algebraic subvariety of the affine toric variety \mathcal{X}_σ with dense torus T_N , whose germ Y is an interior toric subgerm,




FIGURE 7. The extended local tropicalizations $\bar{\sigma}$ of a germ of affine toric variety $(\mathcal{X}_\sigma, 0)$ of dimension 2 on the left and dimension 3 on the right (see Example 9.5).

then the local tropicalization of Y is equal to the intersection of the cone σ with the global tropicalization of $Y \cap T_N \hookrightarrow T_N$.

Local tropicalization has also *functorial properties*, described in [39, Proposition 6.12]. This allowed us to generalize in [39, Section 13] the definition of local tropicalization to germs of subvarieties of toric (not necessarily affine) varieties, as well as to subvarieties of toroidal embeddings in the sense of [23]. However, a much stronger generalization is possible, as we explain in Subsection 9.2.

9.2. Ulirsch's tropicalization of logarithmic schemes.

The most basic piece of data which gives rise to a local tropicalization is a morphism of monoids

$$(9.2) \quad \gamma: (\Gamma, +) \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}, \cdot)$$

where $\Gamma := \sigma \cap M^\vee$ as before and \mathcal{O} is a local ring, such that γ sends all the non-units of Γ to the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} . If, from a general point of view, the choice of monoids Γ of that particular form seems not motivated enough, note that they can be characterized by abstract properties as the monoids which are simultaneously *commutative*, *finitely generated*, *cancellative*, *torsion free*, and *saturated*. The monoids satisfying the first three properties are also called *fine*. Morphisms of the form (9.2) were the basic building blocks used in [39] for the development of various generalizations of the local tropicalizations, trying to stick to elementary methods. The theory of *logarithmic structures*, initiated by Fontaine and Illusie and first described by Kato in his 1989 paper [21], incorporates monoid morphisms of this sort in a very general framework. For details on this framework, one may consult Ogus' textbook [34].

A **logarithmic structure** on a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a sheaf \mathcal{M}_X of monoids together with a morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{M}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$ of sheaves of monoids, such that α induces an isomorphism $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X^*) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X^*$.

An important class of logarithmic structures comes from pairs (X, D) , where X is a normal scheme and D is a hypersurface of it. The associated **divisorial logarithmic structure** on X is defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_X(U) := \{f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U) \mid f|_{U-D} \in \mathcal{O}_X^*(U)\},$$

for every open subset U of X . That is, \mathcal{M}_X is the sheaf of regular functions on X which do not vanish outside D . Toric varieties and toroidal embeddings possess therefore canonical logarithmic structures, which are the divisorial logarithmic structures induced by their boundaries. Moreover, to each sufficiently good logarithmic scheme (namely a fine and saturated one), it is possible to associate a *conical complex*, both in an extended and non-extended variants. Informally, a conical complex is like a fan, but its maximal cones do not have to be contained in a fixed vector space. In [44], Martin Ulirsch developed a theory of tropicalization of logarithmic schemes and their subvarieties. In the special case where X is an affine toric variety, it recovers the local tropicalization described at the end of Subsection 9.1. In [43], Ulirsch proved an analog of (1) of Theorem 6.2 for the case of so called *log-regular varieties*.

We would like to mention one more aspect of the theory of logarithmic structures which is of particular interest to singularity theory. Namely, this theory provides also a general framework for the operation of *rounding*, or *real oriented blowing up*, of algebraic varieties and analytic spaces (see [38]). This gives a new way of approaching some problems connected to Milnor fibrations, as explained in [8, Section 3.1].

9.3. Other generalizations of local tropicalization.

In [11, Section 2], Alexander Esterov defined a notion of *local tropical fan* as an independent object, i.e., not necessarily coming from a tropicalization. Then, in [11, Definition 3.4] he defined the local tropicalization of an analytic germ $Y \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\sigma$ of pure dimension k essentially as in point 1. of Theorem 6.2, but endowing it with an additional structure, namely a *weight function* that assigns a positive integral weight to each maximal cone of a particular kind of fan \mathcal{F} whose support is the local tropicalization. If Y is of pure dimension k , then the weight of a k -dimensional cone τ equals the intersection number of Y with the orbit O_τ corresponding to τ (see also [9, Definition 3.20]). Moreover, in order to develop an intersection theory of local tropical fans and of local tropical characteristic classes he showed that one can associate to a k -dimensional subtoric germ not only the tropicalization above, which is a k -dimensional local tropical fan, but a sequence of tropical fans of dimension $0, 1, \dots, k$, where the support of the k -dimensional fan is the local tropicalization, and which reflects more information about the germ. Esterov applied this extension of the theory of local tropicalization to the study of the monodromy of complex analytic functions.

We would like also to mention two recent developments in the theory of tropicalization. They are however not so much concerned with the local tropicalization as with the general question of determining which are the most general objects which may be tropicalized.

In [17] and [18], Jeffrey and Noah Giansiracusa investigated the connections between *tropicalization*, \mathbb{F}_1 -*schemes* (i.e., schemes over the so-called *field with one element*), and *Berkovich analytification* of algebraic varieties. A \mathbb{K} -scheme **with a model over** \mathbb{F}_1 is a scheme over a field \mathbb{K} which admits an affine covering where the coordinate ring of each affine piece is a monoid ring and their gluing is induced by the localizations of monoids. In the affine case $X = \text{Spec}(A)$, where A is a \mathbb{K} -algebra and \mathbb{K} is a valued field, the underlying set of the **Berkovich analytification** X^{an} of X is the set of all semivaluations of A extending the given valuation on \mathbb{K} . In particular, \mathbb{K} can be trivially valued, that is, $0 \in \mathbb{K}$ has value $+\infty$ and all the other elements of \mathbb{K} have value 0. The pioneering work on this subject was the 2009 paper [37] in which Sam Payne considered all possible embeddings of an affine algebraic variety X into different affine spaces \mathbb{K}^n , viewed as toric varieties. He noted that a monomial map between such embeddings induces a natural map between the corresponding extended global tropicalizations, and he showed that the associated category-theoretic limit of all such tropicalizations seen as sets can be identified with the analytification X^{an} .

In [17], J. and N. Giansiracusa generalized the construction of tropicalization from embeddings of some scheme X over \mathbb{K} to toric varieties to the embeddings of X to a \mathbb{K} -scheme equipped with a model over \mathbb{F}_1 . Also, they endowed this tropicalization with a kind of scheme structure. In [18], they constructed an embedding of a \mathbb{K} -scheme X to an \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme \widehat{X} which is *universal* in the sense that its tropicalization admits a morphism to the tropicalization of X with respect to any other embedding. Moreover, they proved that the Berkovich analytification X^{an} can be recovered as the tropicalization of this universal embedding $X \hookrightarrow \widehat{X}$. Finally, they reproved and refined Payne's limit result.

The paper [27] of Oliver Lorscheid is an attempt to determine even a deeper structure than that of an \mathbb{F}_1 -scheme that underlies all the instances of tropicalization. The author proposed for that role his theory of *ordered blueprints*. Also this theory enhances the tropicalization with a scheme structure. One may find in [27] the technical definitions of the ordered blueprint and the *ordered blue scheme* as well as the references to other works of Lorscheid on the theory of blueprints. Here we only draw the reader's attention to the fact that Lorscheid's work succeeds in interpreting Berkovich analytification, Kajiwara-Payne tropicalization [20], J. and N. Giansiracusa tropicalization, Ulirsch tropicalization and some other approaches to tropical geometry via his theory of ordered blueprints.

Acknowledgements: This research was funded, in whole or in part, by l'Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), project SINTROP (ANR-22-CE40-0014) and Labex CEMPI (ANR-11-LABX-0007-01). We thank Jose Luis Cisneros Molina, Dũng Tráng Lê and Jose Seade for the invitation to contribute to this volume of the Handbook of Geometry and Topology of Singularities. We are grateful to María Angélica Cueto for everything we understood about local tropicalization during our collaboration with her and for her careful reading of the first version of this paper. We thank also Evelia García Barroso, Arthur Renaudineau, Bernard Teissier and the anonymous referee for their remarks on previous versions of this text.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport and Y.-S. Tai, *Smooth compactification of locally symmetric varieties*. Math Sci Press, 1975. Second edition in 2010, Cambridge Univ. Press. [23](#)
- [2] J.-P. Brasselet, *Introduction to toric varieties*. Publicações Matemáticas do IMPA. 23o Colóquio Brasileiro de Matemática. IMPA, Rio de Janeiro, 2001. [11](#)
- [3] E. Brieskorn, *Rationale Singularitäten komplexer Flächen*. Invent. Math. **4** (1968), 336–358. [19](#)
- [4] E. Brieskorn, *Singularities in the work of Friedrich Hirzebruch*. Surv. Differ. Geom. **7**, International Press, 2000, 17–60. [19](#)
- [5] A. Campillo, J. Castellanos, *Curve singularities*. Hermann, 2005. [16](#)
- [6] D. Cox, *What is a toric variety?* In *Topics in algebraic geometry and geometric modeling*, 203–223, Contemp. Math. **334**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2003. [11](#)
- [7] D. Cox, J. Little and H. Schenck, *Toric varieties*. Springer, 2011. [4](#), [11](#)
- [8] M. A. Cueto, P. Popescu-Pampu and D. Stepanov, *The Milnor fiber conjecture of Neumann and Wahl, and an overview of its proof*. Chapter of the book *Essays in Geometry, Dedicated to Norbert A'Campo*, A. Papadopoulos ed., EMS Publishing House, Berlin, 2023, 629–709. [20](#), [26](#)
- [9] M. A. Cueto, P. Popescu-Pampu and D. Stepanov, *Local tropicalizations of splice type surface singularities*. With an appendix by J. Wahl. Math. Ann. **390** (2024), 811–887. [16](#), [17](#), [18](#), [20](#), [21](#), [22](#), [26](#)
- [10] S. D. Cutkosky, *Resolution of Singularities*. Graduate Studies in Maths. **63**, AMS, 2004. [9](#), [16](#)
- [11] A. Esterov, *The ring of local tropical fans and tropical nearby monodromy eigenvalues*. arXiv:1807.00609v3. 21 September 2021. [26](#)
- [12] A. B. de Felipe, P. González Pérez and H. Mourtada, *Resolving singularities of curves with one toric morphism*. Math. Ann. **387** (2023), 1853–1902. [22](#)
- [13] W. Fulton, *Introduction to toric varieties*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1993. [4](#), [11](#)
- [14] E. García Barroso, P. González Pérez and P. Popescu-Pampu, *The combinatorics of plane curve singularities. How Newton polygons blow up*. In *Handbook of Geometry and Topology of Singularities I*. J.-L. Cisneros Molina, D.T. Lê, J. Seade eds., 1–150. Springer, 2020. [4](#), [6](#), [8](#), [9](#), [10](#), [11](#), [18](#)
- [15] E. García Barroso, A. Lenarcik and A. Płoski, *Newton diagrams and equivalence of plane curve germs*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **59** (2007), no. 1, 81–96. [8](#)
- [16] É. Ghys, *A singular mathematical promenade*. ENS Éditions, Lyon, 2017. [6](#)
- [17] J. Giansiracusa and N. Giansiracusa, *Equations of tropical varieties*, Duke Math. J. **165** (2016), no. 18, 3379–3433. [26](#)
- [18] J. Giansiracusa and N. Giansiracusa, *The universal tropicalization and the Berkovich analytification*. Kybernetika (Prague) **58** (2022), no. 5, 790–815. [26](#)
- [19] R. Goldin and B. Teissier, *Resolving singularities of plane analytic branches with one toric morphism*. Resolution of singularities (Obergrugl), Progr. Math. **181**, 315–340. Birkhäuser, 2000. [22](#)
- [20] T. Kajiwara, *Tropical toric geometry*. In *Toric topology*, 197–207, Contemp. Math. **460**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2008. [1](#), [23](#), [26](#)
- [21] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*. In *Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory (Baltimore, MD, 1988)*, 191–224, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 1989. [25](#)
- [22] E. Katz, *A tropical toolkit*. Expo. Math. **27** (2009), no. 1, 1–36. [1](#)
- [23] G. Kempf, F. F. Knudsen, D. Mumford and B. Saint-Donat, *Toroidal embeddings. I*, Lect. Notes in Maths. **339**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973. [25](#)
- [24] A. G. Khovanskii, *Newton polyhedra (resolution of singularities)*. Journ. Soviet Math., **27** (1984), 2811–2830. [22](#)
- [25] H. B. Laufer, *Normal two-dimensional singularities*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1971. [3](#)
- [26] M. Lejeune-Jalabert and B. Teissier, *Transversalité, polygone de Newton, et installations*. In *Singularités à Cargèse*, 75–119. Astérisque **7–8**, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973. [18](#)
- [27] O. Lorscheid, *A unifying approach to tropicalization*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), no. 5, 3111–3189. [26](#)
- [28] D. Maclagan and B. Sturmfels, *Introduction to tropical geometry*. Grad. Stud. in Maths. **161**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015. [1](#), [18](#)
- [29] J. Maurer, *Puiseux expansion for space curves*. Manuscripta Math. **32** (1980), 91–100. [18](#)
- [30] A. Némethi and B. Sigurdsson, *Local Newton nondegenerate Weil divisors in toric varieties*. arXiv:2102.02948. [20](#)
- [31] W. Neumann and J. Wahl, *Complete intersection singularities of splice type as universal abelian covers*. Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 699–755. [20](#), [21](#)

- [32] W. Neumann and J. Wahl, *Complex surface singularities with integral homology sphere links*. Geom. Topol. **9** (2005), 757–811. [20](#), [21](#)
- [33] T. Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*. Springer, 1988. [4](#), [7](#), [11](#)
- [34] A. Ogus, *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **178**. Cambridge University Press, 2018. [25](#)
- [35] M. Oka, *On the stability of the Newton boundary*. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **40**, American Math. Soc., 1983, 259–268. [8](#)
- [36] M. Oka, *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity*. Hermann, 1997. [19](#)
- [37] S. Payne, *Analytification is the limit of all tropicalizations*. Math. Res. Lett. **16** (2009), no. 3, 543–556. [23](#), [26](#)
- [38] P. Popescu-Pampu, *Introduction to real oriented blowups in toric, toroidal and logarithmic geometries*. To appear. [26](#)
- [39] P. Popescu-Pampu and D. Stepanov, *Local tropicalization*. In *Algebraic and Combinatorial aspects of Tropical Geometry*. Proceedings Castro Urdiales 2011, E. Brugallé, M.A. Cueto, A. Dickenstein, E.M. Feichtner and I. Itenberg editors, Contemp. Math. **589**, AMS, 2013, 253–316. [1](#), [17](#), [18](#), [19](#), [22](#), [23](#), [24](#), [25](#)
- [40] F. Pham, *Formules de Picard-Lefschetz généralisées et ramification des intégrales*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **93** (1965), 333–367. [19](#)
- [41] D. Stepanov, *Universal valued fields and lifting points in local tropical varieties*. Comm. Algebra **45** (2017), no. 2, 469–480. [16](#)
- [42] B. Teissier, *Amibes non archimédiennes*. In *Géométrie tropicale*, 85–114, Ed. Éc. Polytechnique, 2008. [1](#)
- [43] M. Ulirsch, *Tropical compactification in log-regular varieties*, Math. Zeitschrift **280** (2015), 195–210. [25](#)
- [44] M. Ulirsch, *Functorial tropicalization of logarithmic schemes: the case of constant coefficients*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **114** (2017), no. 6, 1081–1113. [25](#)
- [45] M. Vaquié, *Valuations*. In *Resolution of singularities (Obergrugl, 1997)*, 539–590, Progr. Math. **181**, Birkhäuser, 2000. [13](#)
- [46] A. Varchenko, *Zeta-Function of monodromy and Newton’s diagram*. Invent. Math. **37** (1976), 253–262. [8](#)
- [47] J. Wahl, *Topology, geometry, and equations of normal surface singularities*. In *Singularities and computer algebra*, 351–371, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **324**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2006. [20](#)
- [48] J. Wahl, *Splice diagrams and splice-quotient surface singularities*. Celebratio Mathematica, article no. **1030**, Math. Sci. Publishers, 2022. [20](#)
- [49] R. J. Walker, *Algebraic curves*. Princeton Univ. Press, 1950. [9](#)
- [50] C. T. C. Wall, *Singular points of plane curves*. London Math. Soc. Student Texts **63**. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004. [3](#)
- [51] O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*. Vol. II. Reprint of the 1960 edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **29**. Springer-Verlag, 1975. [13](#)

Authors’ addresses:

P. Popescu-Pampu, Univ. Lille, CNRS, UMR 8524 - Laboratoire Paul Painlevé, F-59000 Lille, France.
Email address: patrick.popescu-pampu@univ-lille.fr

D. Stepanov, Department of Higher Mathematics and Center of Fundamental Mathematics, Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, 9 Institutskiy per., Dolgoprudny, Moscow, 141701, Russia.
Email address: stepanov.da@phystech.edu